## A new high-pressure silica phase obtained by molecular dynamics—Discussion

## MASAMI KANZAKI,<sup>1</sup> YOSHITO MATSUI,<sup>1</sup> AND MASANORI MATSUI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Study of Earth's Interior, Okayama University, Misasa, Tottori 682-01, Japan <sup>2</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812-81, Japan

Belonoshko et al. (1996) argued that they found a new highpressure silica phase (which they denoted SBAD) with space group *Pnc2* from molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. However, we noticed that a simple cell transformation for the reported structural data of the SBAD phase results in a structure that is virtually the same as the well known  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> type SiO<sub>2</sub> structure with space group *Pbcn*, as previously described by Matsui and Kawamura (1987) and Matsui and Matsui (1988). To compare the two structures, we transformed the coordinates of the SBAD (*Pnc2*) in accord with those of the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> (*Pbcn*) structure as follows:

$$x' = -y, y' = z + 0.014$$
, and  $z' = x + 0.25$ 

The origin along z is arbitrary for the *Pnc*2 lattice. The resulting revised structural data for the SBAD (now *Pb2n*) are a' = 3.8900, b' = 4.7225, c' = 4.2275 Å; Si1 = (0.0, 0.1590, 0.25); Si2 = (0.0, 0.8411, 0.75); O1 = (0.7364, 0.3820, 0.0822); O2 = (0.2414, 0.1190, 0.9172). The original structural data and notation of sites are from Belonoshko et al. (1996).

The revised structure becomes the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> structure with space group *Pbcn*, when y(Si2) = 1 - y(Si1), x(O2) = 0.5 + x(O1), y(O2) = 0.5 - y(O1), and z(O2) = 1 - z(O1). Deviations from these conditions are only 0.0001, 0.0050, 0.0010, and 0.0006 in fractional coordinate for y(Si2), x(O2), y(O2), and z(O2), respectively. Therefore, the SBAD structure cannot be considered to be "intermediate between the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> and baddeleyite structures" as described in their paper. To clarify whether this small difference in coordinate is significant or not, we performed MD calculations at 100 and 150 GPa and 300 K with the MS-1 (Matsui and Matsui 1988) and TTAM (Tsuneyuki et al. 1988) potentials. The SBAD structure immediately converged to the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> structure; the time-average and fractional coordinates are simulated to deviate less than 0.0001 from the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> structure.

The stability of the SBAD relative to the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> structure might ultimately be checked by first-principles electronic structure calculation. Recently, Karki et al. (1997) performed such calculations for the *Pnc2* (SBAD) SiO<sub>2</sub> phase, as well as the CaC1<sub>2</sub> and *Pa*3 phases. In their calculations, they have optimized the SBAD structure at 80 to 140 GPa and 0 K (see Table 2 of the paper for structural parameters at these pressures). However, the same coordinate transformation described above reveals that the structure is identical to the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> structure. Unlike the case of Belonoshko et al. (1996), no deviation from the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> structure is detected.

We also noted that the X-ray diffraction patterns presented in Table 1 of Belonoshko et al. (1996) contain errors. As shown above, the coordinate differences between the SBAD and the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> structures are so small that they should yield similar calculated X-ray patterns. Nevertheless, completely different patterns were given for the two structures in Table 1 of their paper. We recalculated the X-ray patterns for the two structures and found them to be virtually identical and similar to the pattern for the SBAD given in Table 1 of the paper. The pattern for the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> phase in Table 1 of their paper is thus erroneous. For example, the 3.8260 Å peak listed for the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> phase can be indexed as the 100 reflection, which (*h*00 with *h* = odd) is not allowed by the space group *Pbcn* of the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> phase.

Finally, we address the importance of the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> type SiO<sub>2</sub> phase in the Earth's deep mantle. Although the presence of this phase was first claimed by German et al. (1973) in recovered product of shock compression (1973), its powder X-ray diffraction pattern did not match that from computer simulations (Matsui and Kawamura 1987). However, the results of our MD simulation and those of the first-principles calculation of Karki et al. (1997) suggest that the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> phase might be stable in the deep mantle. Recently, Saxena et al. (1996) reported its occurrence in the decomposed products of MgSiO<sub>3</sub> perovskite above 60 GPa, although these authors attributed it to the SBAD phase. Therefore, the  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> type SiO<sub>2</sub> is a candidate for poststishovite phase at the lower mantle conditions.

## **REFERENCES CITED**

- Belonoshko, A.B., Dubrovinski, L.S., and Dubrovinski, N.A. (1996) A new highpressure silica phase obtained by molecular dynamics. American Mineralogist, 81, 785–788.
- German, V.N., Podurets, M.A., and Trumin, R.F. (1973) Synthesis of a highdensity phase of silicon dioxide in shock wave. Soviet Physics JETP, 37, 107.
- Karki, B.B., Warren, M.C., Stixrude, L., Acland, G.J., and Crain, J. (1977) Ab initio studies of high-pressure structural transformations in silica. Physical Review B, 55, 3465–3471.
- Matsui, Y. and Matsui, M. (1988) Molecular dynamics studies of polymorphism of SiO<sub>2</sub> at high pressures: A possible new cubic polymorph with high density. In S. Ghose, J.M.D. Coey, and E. Salje, Eds., Structural and magnetic phase transitions in minerals, p. 129–140. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Saxena, S.K., Dubrovinski, L.S., Lazor, P., Cerenius, Y., Häggkvist, P., Hanfland, M., and Hu, J. (1996) Stability of perovskite (MgSiO<sub>3</sub>) in Earth's mantle. Science, 274, 1357–1359.
- Tsuneyuki, S., Tsukada, M., Aoki, H., and Matsui, Y. (1988) First-principles interatomic potential of silica applied to molecular dynamics. Physical Review Letters, 61, 869–872.