
Erratum

Donelick et al. (1999, p. 1230) wrote: “To date, no published studies have been presented that test the model of Laslett et al. (1982),” which is erroneous. Although different approaches were used than those presented in Donelick et al. (1999), the principle of length-biased sampling of fission tracks and the phenomenon of orientation bias were treated in Galbraith et al. (1990). In addition, we neglected to note that the theoretical relation between track length and density was analyzed in Galbraith and Laslett (1988). We failed to cite these two articles, which came to our attention only after our work was reviewed and published. We greatly regret the oversight.

REFERENCES CITED

- Donelick, R.A., Ketcham, R.A., and Carlson, W.D. (1999) Variability of apatite fission-track annealing kinetics: II. Crystallographic orientation effects. *American Mineralogist*, 84, 1224–1234.
- Galbraith, R.F. and Laslett, G.M. (1988) Some calculations relevant to thermal annealing of fission tracks in apatite. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A*, 419, 305–321.
- Galbraith, R.F., Laslett, G.M., Green, P.F., and Duddy, I.R. (1990) Apatite fission track analysis: geological thermal history analysis based on a three-dimensional random process of linear radiation damage. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A*, 332, 419–438.