

## Appendix A. A Hybrid Model for Amphibole-Melt REE Partitioning

Following the same procedure outlined in the parameterization method, we found a hybrid model in which parameters  $D_0$ ,  $r_0$ , and  $E$  take on the following expressions:

$$\ln D_0^{\text{amph}} = 3.17(\pm 0.71) - 0.61(\pm 0.10)X_{\text{Si}}^{\text{amph}} - 2.19(\pm 0.20)X_{\text{Na}}^{\text{amph}} - 1.95(\pm 0.32)X_{\text{K}}^{\text{amph}} + 0.89(\pm 0.29)\ln(X_{\text{Si}}^{\text{melt}}) - 1.21(\pm 0.07)\ln(X_{\text{Ca}}^{\text{melt}}), \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$r_0^{\text{amph}} = 1.044(\pm 0.002) - 0.050(\pm 0.008)X_{\text{Fm}}^{\text{amph-M4}}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$E^{\text{amph}} = 332(\pm 15), \quad (\text{A3})$$

where  $r_0$  is in Å; and  $E$  is in GPa; and numbers in parentheses are  $2\sigma$  uncertainties estimated directly from the simultaneous inversion. The hybrid model has 9 fitting parameters and provides an improved fit ( $\chi_p^2 = 31.5$ ) over the melt (7 fitting parameters) and mineral composition (9 fitting parameters) models presented in the main text. It can be used to predict REE partition coefficients if major element compositions of both amphibole and melt composition are available.