1	Revision 3 (MS 8250)
2	word count: 11322
3	An ab-initio study on the thermodynamics of disulfide, sulfide, and bisulfide
4	incorporation into apatite and the development of a more comprehensive temperature,
5	pressure, pH, and composition-dependent model for ionic substitution in minerals
6	YoungJae Kim ^{1,2,*} , Brian Konecke ^{1,3} , Adam Simon ¹ , Adrian Fiege ¹ , Udo Becker ¹ ,
7	¹ University of Michigan, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Ann Arbor, MI
8	48109, USA
9	² Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont,
10	Illinois 60439, USA
11	³ Fathom Geophysics, LLC. 12956 Claylick Rd, Neward, Ohio 43056, USA
12	* corresponding author; E-mail: youngjkm@umich.edu
13	
14	Abstract
15	The mineral apatite, Ca10(PO4)6(F,OH,Cl)2, incorporates sulfur (S) during crystallization
16	from S-bearing hydrothermal fluids and silicate melts. Our previous studies of natural and
17	experimental apatite demonstrate that the oxidation state of S in apatite varies systematically as a
18	function of oxygen fugacity (fO_2). The S oxidation states -1 and -2 were quantitatively
19	identified in apatite crystallized from reduced, S-bearing hydrothermal fluids and silicate melts
20	by using sulfur K-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure spectroscopy (S-XANES) where 1

S⁶⁺/ Σ S in apatite increases from ~0 at FMQ-1 to ~1 at FMQ+2, where FMQ refers to the fayalite-magnetite-quartz *f*O₂ buffer. In this study, we employ quantum-mechanical calculations to investigate the atomistic structure and energetics of S(-I) and S(-II) incorporated into apatite and elucidate incorporation mechanisms.

One S(-I) species (disulfide, $S_2^{2^-}$) and two S(-II) species (bisulfide, HS⁻, and sulfide, S²⁻) 25 26 are investigated as possible forms of reduced S species in apatite. In configuration models for the 27 simulation, these reduced S species are positioned along the *c*-axis channel, originally occupied 28 by the column anions F, Cl, and OH in the endmember apatites. In the lowest-energy 29 configurations of S-incorporated apatite, disulfide prefers to be positioned halfway between the 30 mirror planes at z = 1/4 and 3/4. In contrast, the energy-optimized bisulfide is located slightly 31 away from the mirror planes by ~ 0.04 fractional units in the c direction. The energetic stability of 32 these reduced S species as a function of position along the *c*-axis can be explained by the 33 geometric and electrostatic constraints of the Ca and O planes that constitute the *c*-axis channel.

34 The thermodynamics of incorporation of disulfide and bisulfide into apatite are evaluated by 35 using solid-state reaction equations where the apatite host and a solid S-bearing source phase 36 (pyrite and Na₂S_{2(s)} for disulfide; troilite and Na₂S_(s) for sulfide) are the reactants, and the S-37 incorporated apatite and an anion sink phase are the products. The Gibbs free energy (ΔG) is 38 lower for incorporation with Na-bearing phases than with Fe-bearing phases, which is attributed 39 to the higher energetic stability of the iron sulfide minerals as a source phase for S than the 40 sodium sulfide phases. The thermodynamics of incorporation of reduced S are also evaluated by using reaction equations involving dissolved disulfide and sulfide species $(H_n S_2^{(2-n)})_{(aq)}$ and $H_n S_2^{(2-n)}$ 41 ⁿ⁾_(ac); n = 0, 1, and 2) as a source phase. The ΔG of S-incorporation increases for fluorapatite and 42

43 chlorapatite and decreases for hydroxylapatite as these species are protonated (i.e., as n changes 44 from 0 to 2). These thermodynamic results demonstrate that the presence of reduced S in apatite 45 is primarily controlled by the chemistry of magmatic and hydrothermal systems where apatite 46 forms (e.g., abundance of Fe; solution pH). Ultimately, our methodology developed for 47 evaluating the thermodynamics of S incorporation in apatite as a function of temperature, pH, 48 and composition is highly applicable to predicting the trace and volatile element incorporation in 49 minerals in a variety of geological systems. In addition to solid-solid and solid-liquid equilibria 50 treated here at different temperatures and pH, the methodology can be easily extended also to 51 different pressure conditions by just performing the quantum-mechanical calculations at elevated 52 pressures. 53 Keywords: Sulfur incorporation into apatite, oxybarometer, disulfide, bisulfide, sulfide, 54 fluorapatite, chlorapatite, hydroxylapatite, density functional theory (DFT) calculation.

55

57

Introduction

The behavior of sulfur (S) in earth systems is dependent on temperature (T), pressure (p), 58 59 oxygen (fO_2) and sulfur (fS_2) fugacity, and the composition (X) of the S-bearing fluid (i.e., 60 hydrothermal or silicate melt (Carroll and Webster, 2018; Webster et al., 2011). Sulfur is a polyvalent element that can co-exist in multiple oxidation states (e.g., S^{6+} , S^{4+} , S^{0} , S^{1-} and S^{2-}) 61 62 and serves as an important ligand for the transportation and enrichment of metals (e.g., Cu, Fe, 63 Ni, Ag, and Au) and critical elements (e.g., REEs) in magmatic-hydrothermal and hydrothermal 64 systems (Piccoli and Candela, 2002; Simon and Ripley, 2011; Wan et al., 2021). In silicate melts, sulfur is predominantly present as S⁶⁺ and/or S²⁻ (Baker and Moretti, 2011; Jugo, 2009; Jugo et 65 al., 2010). However, previous studies have proposed intermediate oxidation states of sulfur (e.g., 66 S^{4+} , S^{0} , and S^{1-}) that are stable in silicate melts (Matjuschkin et al., 2016; Métrich et al., 2009). 67

68 The S content at sulfide saturation (SCSS) and S content at sulfate saturation (SCAS) in 69 silicate melts and hydrothermal fluids is controlled by T-P-X and the redox conditions of the 70 system (Baker and Moretti, 2011; Fiege et al., 2015; Jugo, 2009; Keppler, 1999; Kleinsasser et 71 al., 2022; Simon and Ripley, 2011; Zajacz et al., 2012). The S content in reduced silicate melts 72 (fO_2 below ~FMQ, where FMQ refers to the fayalite-magnetite-quartz fO_2 buffer) is typically on 73 the order of a few tens to a few hundred parts per million (ppm) of dissolved S as sulfide, 74 whereas oxidized (fO_2 above ~FMQ+2) and water-rich silicate melt may contain up to 1.5 wt% S 75 dissolved as sulfate (Jugo, 2009; Jugo et al., 2005). The preservation of primary magmatic 76 sulfate minerals (e.g., anhydrite) is rare in the volcanic rock record, owing to the dissolution of 77 anhydrite by meteoric water (Jakubowski et al., 2002; Luhr et al., 1984). For this reason, S-

bearing minerals, where sulfate replaces major oxyanions in phosphate and silicate minerals, such as apatite and scapolite, are more common (Parat et al., 2011; Webster and Piccoli, 2015). Since the S oxidation state is sensitive to the system fO_2 , the abundance of different oxidation states of S (i.e., S⁶⁺/ Σ S where Σ S = [S²⁻ + S¹⁻ + S⁴⁺ + S⁶⁺]) in S-bearing minerals can serve as a proxy for the redox evolution of magmatic and magmatic-hydrothermal systems (Konecke et al., 2017, 2019).

84 Konecke et al. (2017, 2019) demonstrated experimentally that sulfur oxidation states in 85 apatite, $Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(F,OH,Cl)_2$, vary as a function of fO_2 in mafic melts. Specifically, the XANES data reported by those authors reveal that S^{2-} is the dominant oxidation state of S in igneous 86 apatite equilibrated at FMQ while S^{6+} is predominant over S^{4+} and S^{2-} as fO_2 increases from 87 88 FMQ+1.2 to FMQ+3. The data reported in those studies are the first to quantitatively demonstrate that apatite incorporates S oxidation states other than S^{6+} (in the form of sulfate), 89 90 which has long been reported incorrectly to be the only possible redox state of S in natural 91 apatite. Several studies have documented the presence of oxidation states of S other than, and in addition to, S^{6+} in natural apatite. Brounce et al. (2019) document the presence of S^{2-} in lunar 92 93 apatite within samples 12039 and 10044, which are, respectively, a 3.2 ± 0.05 billion year old, 94 low-TiO₂ basalt and a ~3.71–3.73 billion year old, high-TiO₂ basalt. Sadove et al. (2019) report the coexistence of multiple oxidation states of S (S⁶⁺, S⁴⁺, S¹⁻ and S²⁻) in terrestrial apatite from 95 96 the Phillips Mine magnetite-sulfide mineral deposit, New York, USA. Their work proposed that apatite grains with structurally incorporated reduced S species with oxidation states S^{1-} and S^{2-} 97 98 crystallized initially from a reduced, S-bearing hydrothermal fluids, followed by secondary alteration (metasomatism) that resulted in the structural incorporation of oxidized S (i.e., S^{6+} and 99

 S^{4+}) in apatite. Tassara et al. (2020) document the presence of S^{2-} in apatite within basaltic tephra 100 erupted from the Los Hornitos monogenetic cones in central-southern Chile, which are 101 102 among the most primitive materials reported in the Southern Andes (olivine Mg# \leq 92.5, and Ni < 5000 ppm). Meng et al. (2021) document the presence of S²⁻ in apatite from calc-alkaline 103 104 plutonic rocks associated with the Haib porphyry Cu deposit in the Paleoproterozoic 105 Richtersveld Magmatic Arc (southern Namibia), an interpreted mature island-arc setting. Meng et al. (2022) document the presence of S^{2-} in primary apatite from tonalite-trondhejmite-106 granodiorite (TTG) igneous rocks temporally associated with the ~2.7 Ga St-Jude and Clifford 107 108 porphyry-type $Cu \pm Au$ deposits in the Neoarchean southern Abitibi subprovince. In combination 109 with the experimental results of Konecke et al. (2017, 2019), these data from natural terrestrial and lunar samples demonstrate that the S6+/ Σ S ratio of apatite records the redox evolution of the 110 111 melts and fluids from which it crystallized.

112 There is a dearth of data that constrain the substitution mechanisms for S species with different oxidation states in apatite. The presence of S^{6+} in natural apatite has been attributed to 113 the substitution of SO₄²⁻ for PO₄³⁻ (Pan and Fleet, 2002; Parat et al., 2011; Streck and Dilles, 114 1998), whereas the presence of S^{2-} in apatite was only recently confirmed (e.g., Konecke et al., 115 116 2017, 2019; Sadove et al., 2019). Kim et al. (2017) computationally investigated thermodynamically plausible scenarios for the presence of S^{2-} in apatite that agree with the 117 experimental observations reported by Konecke et al. (2017, 2019); e.g., 2(F, Cl, OH)⁻ \Leftrightarrow S²⁻ + 118 vacancy. The results from Kim et al. (2017) demonstrated that S^{2-} behaves chemically like Cl⁻ in 119 the *c*-axis channel of apatite and, therefore, can substitute for the column anions F⁻, OH⁻ and/or 120 121 Cl^{-} . Those authors highlighted the need for future investigation of the substitution mechanisms

for HS^- and other possible S species substitutions in apatite since they may occur in hydrothermal fluids when H_2S is deprotonated. The demonstrated presence of structurally bound S^{1-} and S^{2-} in natural apatite (Sadove et al., 2019) also highlights the need for a theoretical understanding of the incorporation mechanisms of reduced S species in apatite.

126 In this study, we apply and evaluate quantum-mechanical calculations to elucidate the 127 energetics and geometry of reduced S species (oxidation state of -1 and -2) incorporated in the apatite structure. One S(-I) species, disulfide (S_2^{2-}) , and two S(-II) species, bisulfide (HS⁻), and 128 sulfide (S^{2-}) are investigated as possible forms of reduced S in apatite. The following plausible 129 substitution mechanisms were investigated: $2(F, Cl, OH)^- \leftrightarrow S_2^{2^-} + vacancy$; $(F, Cl, OH)^- \leftrightarrow$ 130 HS⁻; 2(F, Cl, OH)⁻ \leftrightarrow S²⁻ + vacancy. This computational approach permits the determination of: 131 132 [1] the energetic favorability of S incorporation into apatite depending on the occupancy of the 133 column anion and [2] the geometric and electrostatic constraints on the atomic site upon S 134 incorporation. The results demonstrate the potential role of S-in-apatite as a proxy to trace redox 135 conditions in magmatic and hydrothermal systems. This study builds on that of Kim et al. (2017), 136 which was based on the molecular energies at 0 K, by including computationally expensive 137 phonon analyses for the computation of enthalpy (including c_pT terms) and Gibbs free energy 138 contributions (including $-T\Delta S$ terms) for thermodynamics analyses. Both solids and aqueous 139 species were included as a source and sink, which is a significant advancement in applying 140 computational quantum-mechanical approaches to understanding the incorporation of redox-141 sensitive elements in minerals.

142

METHODS

143 Computational parameters

144 Energy optimizations were performed using the quantum-mechanical code CASTEP (Segall et al., 2002). Computational constraints that successfully addressed sulfur species with different 145 146 oxidation states in apatite were adopted from Kim et al. (2017). While optimizations were 147 applied to configurations of mineral structures, the cell parameters and atomic positions were 148 subject to modification to achieve minimum energies of the systems. The computational code is 149 based on density functional theory (DFT). The Perdew-Wang generalized gradient scheme (GGA) 150 was used along with ultrasoft pseudopotentials and parameterized by the Perdew-Burke-151 Ernzerhof (PBE) functional (Perdew et al., 1996). The energy cutoff for planewave expansion 152 was 400.0 eV, and the Brillouin zone was sampled using a k-point separation of 0.05 Å⁻¹ 153 according to the Monkhorst-Pack scheme (Monkhorst and Pack, 1976). The convergence tolerance for energy change was 2.0 $\times 10^{-6}$ eV/atom. The energy optimization yields the total 154 155 electronic energy of a system at 0 K, which is termed molecular energy (E_{mol}) in this study.

The phonon density of state (DOS) was calculated using CASTEP after energy optimizations using norm-conserving pseudopotentials and the same convergence tolerance mentioned above. The linear response methodology was used with a convergence criterion of 1.0×10^{-5} eV/Å² for electronic eigenvalues and a k-point separation of 0.05 Å⁻¹. From the phonon DOS, the vibrational contributions to the Gibbs free energy (ΔG_{vib}) are evaluated for mineral species as the sum of the zero-point vibrational energy and the entropy correction (Baroni et al., 2001).

162 **Incorporation energy calculations**

Incorporation equations and energies of chemical species into a mineral are evaluated based on periodic solid-state reactions. The background and principles of this approach are discussed in detail in previous studies (Gebarski and Becker, 2020; Kim et al., 2017; Reich and Becker, 2006; Shuller-Nickles et al., 2014; Shuller et al., 2010; Walker and Becker, 2015). Briefly, the general equation and energy are explained as follows.

168
$$apatite_{host} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i source_i \leftrightarrow apatite_{S-incorporated} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i sink_i$$
 (1)

169
$$\Delta E_{rxn} = \sum E_{prod} - \sum E_{reac}$$
(2)

170 A host apatite phase (*apatite*_{host}) with any of the three common anions on the column anion site 171 and sources for S (source) with different oxidation states are reactants, while S-incorporated 172 apatite (*apatite*_{S-incorporated}) and sinks for anions being released from the apatite host (*sink*_i) are 173 products. This approach allows for a consistent quantum-mechanical treatment, i.e., using the 174 same computational parameters throughout the equation, which is crucial to obtain reliable 175 energetic data. Once the molecular energies of all reactant and product phases are obtained from 176 energy optimization, the reaction energy (ΔE_{mol}) for an incorporation reaction is calculated using 177 Eq. 2. This incorporation reaction energy indicates the stability of the S-incorporated apatite 178 relative to the corresponding host apatite, as well as the source and sink phases. Furthermore, 179 enthalpy (ΔH) and Gibbs free energy (ΔG) of selected incorporation reactions are evaluated at 180 finite temperature (in this study, 25 °C and above) as the sum of total reaction energy (ΔE_{mol}), 181 zero-point energy (ΔE_{zp}), and the vibrational contributions (ΔH_{vib} and ΔG_{vib}) obtained from 182 energy optimizations and phonon analyses.

183 The thermodynamic data computed in this study were coupled with tabulated experimental 184 thermodynamic data (standard state and 25°C) to compare ΔG of incorporation reactions with 185 various source and sink phases. The first step of this procedure is to estimate computationally ΔG 186 of sulfur incorporation reactions into apatite (Tables 1 and 2) that involve Na-bearing source and 187 sink phases (denoted as *source*₁ and *sink*₁ in eq. 3). Among the source and sink phases examined 188 in this study. Na-bearing phases were chosen for this thermodynamic evaluation (i.e., energy 189 optimization followed by vibrational analysis) because they are computationally less demanding 190 than Fe-bearing phases or sinks and sources of dissolved ions. However, the latter two options of 191 sources and sinks (Fe-solids and aqueous reference species) were included by using 192 thermodynamic data from the literature. In a geological context, reaction equations involving Na-193 bearing solid phases may represent model systems to simulate silicate melts where sodium is one 194 of the major elements and thus anions (like halide ions and reduced S species) may be present as 195 bonded with sodium ions (Na⁺). Experimental thermodynamic data (Table S.1) were used to 196 calculate a balanced equation of a reaction (Eq. 4) that relates the Na-bearing phases with a 197 different kind of source and sink phase (denoted as *source*₂ and *sink*₂ in eq. 4). By combining 198 these two reaction equations (Eqs. 3 and 4), the reaction of S incorporation into apatite with 199 source and sink phases of interest (Eq. 5) and its ΔG are obtained.

200
$$apatite_{host} + a_1source_1 \leftrightarrow apatite_{s-incorporated} + b_1sink_1$$
 (3)

$$201 a_1 source_1 + b_2 sink_2 \leftrightarrow a_2 source_2 + b_1 sink_1 (4)$$

202
$$apatite_{host} + a_2source_2 \leftrightarrow apatite_{s-incorporated} + b_2sink_2$$
 (5)

For instance, a reaction equation of disulfide incorporation into fluorapatite involving Na-bearing source and sink phases is presented in Eq. 6. The ΔG of this reaction is evaluated from energy optimization and phonon analysis. The ΔG of the reaction equation relating Na- and Fe-bearing phases (Eq. 7) is obtained from tabulated thermodynamic data (Table S.1) and the ΔG of the incorporation reaction involving Fe-bearing phases is estimated by combining Eq. 6 and 7.

208
$$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{2(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{2(s)} + 2NaF_{(s)}$$
 $(\Delta G_{comp}^{incorp,Na})$ (6)

209
$$\operatorname{Na_2S_{2(s)}} + \operatorname{FeF}_{2(s)} \leftrightarrow 2\operatorname{NaF}_{(s)} + \operatorname{FeS}_{2(s)}$$
 $(\Delta G_{exp}^{Na,Fe}) (7)$

210
$$\operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6\operatorname{F}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{FeS}_{2(s)} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6\operatorname{S}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{FeF}_{2(s)}\left(\Delta G_{combined}^{incorp,Fe} = \Delta G_{comp}^{incorp,Na} - \Delta G_{exp}^{Na,Fe}\right) (8)$$

In the same manner, the ΔG of the incorporation reaction involving dissolved ionic species (Eq. 10) was estimated by using the equation relating Na-bearing phases and dissolved molecular species (Eq. 9)

214
$$\operatorname{Na_2S_{2(s)}} + 2\operatorname{HF}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow 2\operatorname{NaF}_{(s)} + \operatorname{H_2S_{2(aq)}} (\Delta G_{exp}^{Na,aq}) (9)$$

215
$$\operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_{4})_{6}F_{2(s)} + \operatorname{H}_{2}S_{2(aq)} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_{4})_{6}S_{2(s)} + 2\operatorname{HF}_{(aq)}\left(\Delta G_{combined}^{incorp,aq} = \Delta G_{comp}^{incorp,Na} - \Delta G_{exp}^{Na,aq}\right) (10)$$

In essence, this approach combines incorporation energies that can be computed purely at the DFT level with the subsequent phonon calculations with experimental values for ΔG values of formation of different source and sink phases to make the transition between different systems. Applying this approach is particularly useful for evaluating the thermodynamics of incorporation reactions involving reactant and product phases that are geologically relevant, but

computationally demanding (in this study, Fe-bearing minerals and ions dissolved in aqueoussolutions).

223 Structure models of apatite

224 The configuration models of the apatite endmembers are adopted from the natural apatite 225 structure, $[Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(F,OH,Cl)_2]$ (P6₃/m; see Hughes et al., 1990; Hughes and Rakovan, 2015) 226 and are depicted in Figure 1. The apatite structure has two types of Ca sites, Ca1 and Ca2. The 227 Cal site is coordinated to nine O atoms, while the Ca2 site is coordinated to six O atoms and one 228 column anion (Fig. 1a and b). The three oxygen sites, O1, O2, and O3 in apatite, belong to the constituent phosphate, forming the four corners of the tetrahedra whose center is P⁵⁺. The Ca2 229 230 and O3 triangular planes are aligned along the *c*-axis, forming the channel (Fig. 1c), and the sites 231 within the *c*-axis channel (fractional coordinate = (0, 0, z)) are occupied by the main column 232 anions, F⁻, OH⁻, and Cl⁻ (Fig. 1a). The planes in which the Ca2 triangles occur (also called the 233 mirror planes) are located at z = 1/4 and 3/4 (Fig. 1a). The apatite endmembers are subdivided 234 into fluor-, hydroxyl- and chlorapatite based on a single occupant of the anion column, but often 235 occur in binary or ternary solid solution between/among the main column anions (Hughes et al., 236 1990; Hughes and Rakovan, 2002). Thus, the *c*-axis channel has the potential to accommodate foreign anions with different radii and/or charge. One well-known example is carbonate $(CO_3^{2^-})$ 237 238 substituting for OH⁻ in hydroxylapatite (Fleet and Liu, 2007) as it is common in bones and teeth 239 as it allows for greater flexibility to form a variety of morphologies.

Among the main column anions, fluorine is the smallest and located on the mirror planes at z= 1/4 and 3/4 at the center of the Ca triangle (Fig. 1a). Hydroxide and chlorine do not fit in the

242 center of the Ca2 triangle and are thus displaced above or below the planes. There are multiple 243 positions of OH⁻ and Cl⁻ along the *c*-axis channel (Hughes and Rakovan, 2015). Since all atoms 244 in apatite must be given full atomic occupancy for quantum-mechanical modeling, the OH⁻ and 245 Cl⁻ positions below the planes at z = 1/4 and 3/4 were selected to complete the unit cell formula, 246 $[Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(F,Cl,OH)_2]$ (Fig. 1a). Thus, the structures of hydroxyl- and chlorapatite reduce the 247 symmetry to the $P6_3$ space group. Similar approaches to simulate hexagonal apatite without the 248 *m* symmetry have been successfully tested in previous computational studies (Corno et al., 2006; 249 Ulian et al., 2013). Using the structural and computational parameters above, a good agreement 250 in the unit cell parameters was found between calculated and experimental endmember apatites 251 reported by Hughes et al. (1989) (Table S.2). The resulting structures are then used to energy-

252 optimize the structure of the host and S-substituted apatite models.

253 It should be noted that in pure hydroxyl- and chlorapatite, the sense of ordering of hydroxide 254 or chloride in any individual column (e.g., above or below the mirror plane) causes the adjacent 255 column along the b axis to be ordered in the opposite direction (below or above the mirror plane) 256 (Hughes et al., 1989; Hughes and Rakovan, 2002). As a result, the symmetry of pure hydroxyl-257 and chlorapatite degenerates to $P2_1/b$ (Elliott et al., 1973; Mackie et al., 1972). Most natural 258 hydroxyl and chlorapatite, however, are known to exist in the hexagonal space groups due to impurities or vacancies in the anion columns (Hughes and Rakovan, 2002). In the apatite unit 259 260 cell and supercell models of this study, there is only one column along the c-axis and therefore, 261 the alternating ordering of hydroxide and chloride between adjacent columns is not included in 262 the energy-optimized structures. For this reason, the structural details of hydroxyl- and

chlorapatite reported from this study are more representative of natural hexagonal apatitestructures than pure monoclinic apatite structures.

265 Mechanisms of reduced S substitution

Although the major constituent column anions in apatite are F^- , OH^- and CI^- , various chemical substitutions can occur at the anion site in the *c*-axis channels of natural apatite. Pan and Fleet (2002) compiled examples of substituent ions and molecules in the *c*-axis channel that are monovalent, divalent, or neutral in charge and that can be monatomic, diatomic, or polyatomic. Here, we focus on possible forms and substitution mechanisms of reduced S species with oxidation states of –I and –II in apatite.

The first finding that S species can have an oxidation state of -1 in apatite was reported by Sadove et al. (2019). Possible forms of S(-I) include monovalent and monoatomic S (S⁻; monoatomic sulfide) and divalent diatomic S (S₂²⁻; disulfide) (Sadove et al., 2019). Since monoatomic sulfide has the same charge as the major column anions, simple substitution for F⁻, OH⁻ and Cl⁻ is possible at the column anion site (Eq. 6). Since disulfide is divalent and the column anion is monovalent in charge, the substitution of one disulfide for two column anions is needed to maintain the total charge neutral (Eq. 7).

$$279 \qquad (F,OH,Cl)^{-} \leftrightarrow S^{-} \tag{6}$$

$$280 \qquad 2(F,OH,Cl)^{-} \leftrightarrow S_{2}^{2-} + \text{vacancy}$$
(7)

The presence of oxidation state S(-II) in apatite has been demonstrated experimentally (Konecke et al., 2017, 2019) and in natural samples (Sadove et al., 2019). As H₂S in a silicate melt or hydrothermal fluid is deprotonated, S(-II) species may be partitioned into mineral phases

284	in the form of either bisulfide (HS ^{$-$}) or sulfide (S ^{2–}). Bisulfide can substitute for the column
285	anion via simple substitution (Eq. 8) due to the same charges whereas substitution of one sulfide
286	with two column anions (Eq. 9) is necessary for sulfide incorporation.

$$287 \qquad (F,OH,Cl)^{-} \leftrightarrow HS^{-} \tag{8}$$

288
$$2(F,OH,Cl)^{-} \leftrightarrow S^{2-} + vacancy$$
 (9)

289 The structure model of S-substituted apatite

290 When the initial models (i.e., ones subjected to energy optimization) of sulfur-substituted apatite were built, F⁻, OH⁻ or Cl⁻ in the *c*-axis channel were replaced by reduced S species (S⁻ 291 and S₂²⁻ for S(-I); S²⁻ and HS⁻ for S(-II)) in unit cells or supercells of fluor-, hydroxyl- and 292 293 chlorapatite (based on Eqs. 6 to 9). During the process of energy optimization, the sulfide species 294 can be repositioned to any unoccupied position of the column and then relax to local minima in 295 the energy landscape. Therefore, in this study, it was examined whether there is an energetic or geometric variation in the optimization result with different initial positions of S²⁻ in the anion 296 297 column. The position of F⁻, Cl⁻, and OH⁻ in the apatite anion column (i.e., (00z) obtained from 298 energy-optimizing the endmember apatite structures; Table S.2) was selected as the position of S 299 species in the initial models, unless stated otherwise. Where necessary, S species at those 300 positions are referred to as $S(X)_{ini}$ (where X = F, OH or Cl). Here, complete incorporation is 301 defined as S substitution wherein S is the only anion in the c-axis channel and partial 302 incorporation is when S replaces some fraction (< 1) of the column anions in the unit cell or 303 supercell of apatite.

For S(–I) in apatite, the calculated molecular energy of two monoatomic sulfide ions (S⁻) in the *c*-axis channel is 90 kJ/mol higher compared to that of one disulfide (S₂^{2–}) (compare Fig. 2a and c). This energy difference arises from the instability of monoatomic S⁻¹ that has an unpaired electron (i.e., a radical species). Therefore, further investigation for geometry and reaction energy of S(–I) in apatite focuses on the incorporation of disulfide.

309

RESULTS

310 Energy-optimized structures

This section focuses on the energy-optimized structures of disulfide, bisulfide, and sulfide, replacing completely or partially the column anions in apatite. The optimization results indicate structural responses of fluor-, chlor-, and hydroxylapatite to accommodating these species (Table S.3) and energetic stability of reduced S species (indicated by its total energy, E_{mol}) as a function of their position along the *c*-axis channel of apatite.

316

Disulfide $(S_2^{2^-})$ -incorporated apatite

317 Two possible models of complete incorporation of disulfide $(Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(S_2))$ were built to 318 examine the stability of apatite phases having disulfide aligned parallel to the *c*-axis channel (Fig. 319 2). One model is that disulfide is positioned halfway between the Ca2 triangular planes (Fig. 2a). 320 In the other model, the center of disulfide is located on the mirror plane at z = 1/4 or 3/4 (Fig. 2b). From energy-optimization, the former model is 130 kJ/mol (in E_{mol}) more stable than the latter 321 322 one (Fig. 3a). In the energy-optimized structure of the former model, the two S atoms of 323 disulfide are located at (0, 0, 0.66) and (0, 0, 0.35), and the S-S distance in disulfide is 2.1 Å (Fig. 324 3a). This S-S bond length of disulfide in apatite is in good agreement with the molecular

325 geometry of hydrogen disulfide (H₂S₂) (Dixon et al., 1985). The cell parameters of disulfide-326 incorporated apatite are listed in Table S.3. The unit cells of disulfide-incorporated apatite are 2 327 to 6 % longer in lattice parameters *a* and *b* and (< 2%) shorter in parameter *c* relative to their 328 respective host apatite, fluor-, chlor-, and hydroxylapatite.

329 Nine possible models of partial incorporation, in the form of $(Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}(S_2)(F,OH,Cl)_2)$ examine the atomic structure of disulfide interacting with neighboring column anions in the c-330 axis channel (Fig. 4). The initial models (the ones prior to energy optimization) were built using 331 332 $1 \times 1 \times 2$ supercells of apatite in which the z value (with respect to the original unit cell) of the 333 anion in the *c*-axis channel ranges between 0 and 2. In the initial models, column anions, F⁻, OH⁻, 334 and Cl⁻, are positioned on or below the mirror plane at z = 7/4 and 1/4, while the centers of the 335 respective disulfide units are located at z = 1/2, 3/4, and 1. The energy-optimized structures of 336 the nine possible models show that disulfide initially positioned at z = 1/2 and 3/4 (Fig. 4b and c) 337 moves to $z \approx 1$ or remains near $z \approx 1/2$ while the major column anions move away. One reason 338 for this repositioning of the anions in the *c*-axis channel is repulsion between disulfide and the column anions. In the optimized structure, disulfide is located halfway between the neighboring 339 340 column anions such that the repulsion between disulfide and the column anion is minimized. In 341 addition, it is energetically unfavorable for disulfide to be located on the mirror planes at z = 3/4342 (Fig. 4c) because its stability is lower than when positioned between the mirror planes, as 343 demonstrated from the calculations on the complete incorporation of disulfide (Fig. 2).

Overall, the simulation results of complete and partial incorporation of disulfide predict that disulfide is most energetically stable halfway between the mirror planes at z = 1/4 and 3/4 in the unit cell of apatite.

Bisulfide (HS⁻)-incorporated apatite

348 In the models of bisulfide replacing column anions entirely, $Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)_2$, bisulfide that is 349 initially positioned at the F site remains on the mirror planes at z = 1/4 and 3/4 (equivalent to the 350 F site in apatite) while HS⁻ initially placed at the OH and Cl site is displaced from the mirror 351 plane by 0.04 in the z direction, which corresponds to the OH site in hydroxylapatite (Fig. 3b). 352 The calculated E_{mol} of complete incorporation of bisulfide is nearly the same (the difference ≈ 2 353 kJ/mol) for HS⁻ occupying the OH and F sites (Fig. 3b). The cell parameters of bisulfide-354 incorporated apatite are listed in Table S.3. For lattice parameter a, the unit cells of bisulfide-355 incorporated apatite are 1 to 5 % larger than the host apatite ones. The deviation of lattice 356 parameter *c* from the host apatite is less than 2 %.

357 Nine possible models of bisulfide partial incorporation, in the form of 358 Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(HS)(F,OH,Cl), examine bisulfide in apatite that interacts with neighboring column 359 anions (Fig. 5). In the initial models, bisulfide occupies the column anion sites on or below the 360 mirror plane at z = 3/4, while the neighboring F, OH, and Cl, are on or below the mirror plane at 361 z = 1/4. Like complete incorporation of bisulfide, the most favorable configurations of partially 362 incorporated bisulfide are close to the F and OH sites (z = 0.68 to 0.76) (Fig. 5). During energy 363 optimization, the z positions of neighboring F and OH change by less than 0.02 fractional unit 364 whereas the Cl position (z = 0.07 in chlorapatite; Fig. 5a) is shifted in the z direction toward the 365 mirror plane by 0.08 to 0.11 fractional units (Fig. 5b-d). The shifted positions of chlorine 366 indicate that the electrostatic interaction between the column anion and bisulfide is repulsive 367 such that the column anion moves away from bisulfide.

Sulfide (S²⁻)-incorporated apatite

Previous modeling work by Kim et al. (2017) investigated the complete and partial incorporation of sulfide into the *c*-axis channel in apatite using the same computational procedure as the present study. Here, a brief synopsis of their relevant results is provided.

In the complete incorporation model with the chemical formula of $(Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S)$, incorporation of sulfide (S^{2^-}) occurs at z = 1/2. This atomic position of sulfide in apatite is in good agreement with experimental data for sulfoapatite (Henning et al., 2000). The calculated E_{mol} of sulfide-incorporated apatite was found to be 130 kJ/mol lower for the unit cell of apatite having sulfide at z = 1/2 than at z = 3/4 (equivalent to the F site in fluorapatite). This result indicates that it is energetically unfavorable to accommodate sulfide on the F site in apatite.

The partial incorporation of sulfide into apatite was examined using nine possible configuration models with stoichiometry $(Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}(S)(F,OH,Cl)_2)$ (see Fig. 5 in Kim et al. (2017)). The energy optimization results showed that sulfide in apatite is most energetically stable when positioned at z = 0.51 to 0.61, which is close to the Cl site in natural apatite (displacement by 0.18 in *z* value from the mirror planes). Like disulfide and bisulfide in apatite (Fig. 4 and 5), it was found that neighboring F, Cl, and OH were shifted from their original positions in the *c*-axis channel, due to repulsive interaction between the column anion and sulfide.

385 Thermodynamics of reduced S incorporation into apatite

The thermodynamics of disulfide, bisulfide, and sulfide incorporation into apatite were evaluated for the reaction equations involving Na-bearing solids, Fe-bearing solids, and species dissolved in aqueous solution as source and sink phases (Table 1 and 2). For the reactions

389 involving Na-bearing phases, we calculated all three thermodynamic entities, ΔE_{mol} , ΔH , and ΔG . 390 While ΔE_{mol} is the sum of the core-core, core-electron, and electron interaction in the system in 391 addition to the kinetic energy of the electrons (i.e., the "E" in the Schrödinger equation $H\Psi = E\Psi$ 392 at 0 K), ΔH contains the zero-point vibrational energy (ZPE) and $c_p T$, and ΔG contains the ZPE, 393 $c_n T$, and the vibrational entropy term -TAS. ΔG and ΔH values are derived using a quasiharmonic vibrational analysis at 25 °C and also at higher temperatures as described below. The 394 395 energetic properties (ΔE_{mol} , ΔH , and ΔG) of the equations involving Na-bearing phases are 396 computationally obtained, while the ΔG of equations involving Fe-bearing phases and dissolved 397 species are evaluated by combining the computed data with tabulated experimental 398 thermodynamic data (Table S.1) for "pure" (non-incorporated) source and sink phases (see 399 Methods section for details). The ΔG values of incorporation depend highly on selected source 400 and sink phases; this is not an artifact, as different source phases represent thermodynamically 401 stable minerals or solid compounds in different geochemical environments. These 402 thermodynamic data provide insights on physicochemical parameters controlling incorporation 403 of reduced S species into apatite in geological systems (see Discussion section).

404

Disulfide incorporation into apatite

The reaction equations and energies for complete and partial incorporation of disulfide into apatite are presented in Table 1. There is a trend of Na-bearing phases that the enthalpy of incorporation is higher than the molecular energy by 10 to 60 kJ/mol. Then, the entropy of the reaction increases such that ΔG is less positive for fluor- and hydroxylapatite and more negative for chlorapatite than ΔH . For the same Na-bearing, Fe-bearing, and aqueous source and sink

410 phases, the differences in ΔG between complete and partial incorporation reactions are less than 411 60 kJ/mol.

In the reactions involving Na-bearing phases, the ΔE_{mol} , ΔH , and ΔG of disulfide incorporation is lowest for chlorapatite, followed by fluorapatite and hydroxylapatite. Complete substitution (i.e., replacement) of Cl by disulfide is about 144 kJ/mol more favorable than replacement of F and 189 kJ/mol more favorable than replacement of OH. These energy differences are accounted for by the following reaction equations:

417
$$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + 2 NaCl_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + 2 NaF_{(s)}$$
 (10)

418
$$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_{2(s)} + 2 NaCl_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + 2 NaOH_{(s)}$$
 (11)

From the experimental thermodynamic data (Table S.1b; Drouet (2015)), the ΔG of Equations 10 and 11 are evaluated to be 90 kJ/mol (\pm 97 kJ/mol) and 135 kJ/mol (\pm 81 kJ/mol), which are comparable with our DFT-calculated ΔG , 144 and 189 kJ/mol, respectively. The uncertainties of these experimental ΔG values are equivalent to the standard deviation of the Gibbs free energy of formation (ΔG_f^0) values of fluor-, chlor- and hydroxylapatite as compiled from previous studies (Drouet (2015) and references therein).

In the reactions involving Fe-bearing phases, the ΔG of incorporation is lower for chlorapatite and hydroxylapatite than for fluorapatite, which is in part because of the higher stability of Fe(OH)_{2(s)} as the sink phase than FeF_{2(s)} and FeCl_{2(s)}. In general, the Gibbs free energies of the reactions involving Na-bearing phases (-70 to 170 kJ/mol) are lower than those involving Fe-bearing phases (190 to 350 kJ/mol). This outcome arises from the thermodynamic stability of pyrite as a source phase for disulfide relative to Na₂S_{2(s)}.

431 For the treatment of hydrothermal source and sink phases, we considered dissolved molecular species as source and sink phases (Table 1). $H_2S_{2(aq)}$, $HS_2^{-}_{(aq)}$, and $S_2^{2-}_{(aq)}$ (on the 432 433 reactant side) were used as source phases for disulfide and $HF_{(aq)}$, $F_{(aq)}$, $C\Gamma_{(aq)}$, and $OH_{(aq)}$, 434 $H_2O_{(1)}$ (on the product side) were used as sink phases for the column anions. The protonation 435 states of disulfide and fluoride species change as a function of pH and their speciation diagrams are presented in Figure S.1 (hydrochloric acid is always deprotonated). pKa1 and pKa2 of H2S2(aq) 436 437 are 5.0 and 9.7 and pK_{a1} of HF_(aq) is 3.1. It follows that at pH below 5.0, H₂S_{2(aq)} is the most 438 dominant disulfide species while both $HF_{(aq)}$ and $F_{(aq)}$ can exist in this pH range. At pH above 5.0, $HS_{2^{-}(aq)}$ becomes dominant ($S_{2^{-}(aq)}^{2^{-}}$ at pH > 10), and F⁻ is the major fluoride species (Fig. 439 440 S.1). Considering these variations in speciation with pH, four possible reaction equations of 441 disulfide incorporation with $HF_{(aq)}$ and $F_{(aq)}$ as the sink phase, three reaction equations with Cl⁻, 442 and three reaction equations with $OH_{(aq)}$ and $H_2O_{(1)}$ are balanced, and their Gibbs free energies 443 are evaluated (Table 1). Overall, the ΔG values for both complete and partial incorporation are 444 lower for chlor- and hydroxylapatite than for fluorapatite. Furthermore, by linearly combining 445 these reaction equations with protonated and deprotonated species, we evaluate the ΔG of 446 incorporation involving dissolved source and sink phases at equilibrium molar fractions that vary 447 as a function of pH (Fig. 6a and b). For both complete and partial incorporation, ΔG decreases 448 with pH for incorporation into fluor- and chlorapatite but increases with pH for hydroxylapatite. 449 Among the three host apatite phases, the ΔG of complete and partial incorporation is lowest for 450 hydroxylapatite at pH below 4 and for chlorapatite at pH above 5.

451 **Bisulfide incorporation into apatite**

452 The reaction equations and energies of complete and partial incorporation of bisulfide into 453 hydroxylapatite are presented in Table 2. The ΔG is 476 kJ/mol for complete incorporation and 454 227 kJ/mol for partial incorporation when Na₂S_(s) and Na₂O_(s) are source and sink. The ΔG of 455 reactions involving NaSH_(s) and NaOH_(s) is 193 kJ/mol for complete incorporation and 79 kJ/mol 456 for partial incorporation. The ΔG of incorporation with Fe-bearing phases is 208 and 93 kJ/mol 457 for complete and partial incorporation, respectively. The differences in Gibbs free energy 458 between the reactions involving different source and sink phases are attributed to the energetic 459 instability of Na₂O_(s) as the sink phase relative to NaOH_(s) and wüstite (FeO). For the 460 incorporation reactions involving molecular species dissolved in aqueous solutions, the ΔG of 461 incorporation with $H_2S_{(aq)}$ and $H_2O_{(1)}$ as the source and sink phases is 72 for complete 462 incorporation and 25 kJ/mol for partial incorporation. The ΔG of incorporation involving HS⁻_(aq) and OH_(aq) is 171 and 74 kJ/mol for complete and partial incorporation, respectively. The 463 464 dependence of ΔG of incorporation on the protonation states of the source and sink phases 465 originates from the higher acidity of $H_2S_{(aq)}$ than $H_2O_{(1)}$ (pK_{a1} of H_2S is 5.0 and pK_a of H_2O is 466 15.7; Table S.1e).

467 **Sulfide incorporation into apatite**

The reaction equations and energies of complete and partial incorporation of sulfide into fluor-, hydroxyl-, and chlorapatite are presented in Table 2. In the reactions involving Na-bearing phases, the Gibbs free energy is lower for incorporation of sulfide into chlorapatite than that into fluor- and hydroxylapatite. For incorporation into fluorapatite, the Gibbs free energy of partial

472 incorporation (209 kJ/mol) is about 90 kJ/mol higher than that of complete incorporation, 473 whereas the Gibbs free energy of partial incorporation into chlorapatite (-92 kJ/mol) is 45 474 kJ/mol lower than that of complete incorporation. This is in part because structural distortion 475 (indicated by a change in cell parameters; Table S.3) occurs to a lesser extent for accommodating 476 sulfide in chlorapatite than in fluorapatite. The Gibbs free energies of reaction involving Fe-477 bearing phases are higher than those involving Na-bearing phases by 170 kJ/mol for 478 incorporation into fluorapatite, and by 210 kJ/mol for incorporation into chlorapatite. These 479 differences in Gibbs free energy come from the higher stability of troilite (FeS) as the source 480 phase (on the reactant side) compared to that of $Na_2S_{(s)}$.

481 In the reaction equations of incorporation involving dissolved molecular species (Table 2), $H_2S_{(aq)}$, $HS^{-}_{(aq)}$, and $S^{2-}_{(aq)}$ (on the reactant side) are used as source phases for sulfide and $HF_{(aq)}$, 482 483 $F_{(aq)}$, $CI_{(aq)}$, $H^{+}_{(aq)}$, $OH^{-}_{(aq)}$, and $H_2O_{(1)}$ (on the product side) as sink phases for the column 484 anions. At pH below 6.9, $H_2S_{(aq)}$ is the most dominant among the sulfide species, while both $HF_{(aq)}$ and $F_{(aq)}^{-}$ can exist in this pH range (Fig. S.1). At pH above 6.9, $HS_{(aq)}^{-}$ and $S_{(aq)}^{2-}$ become 485 486 dominant and $\overline{F}_{(aq)}$ is the major fluoride species. Like incorporation of disulfide, considering 487 these variations in speciation with pH, four possible reaction equations of disulfide incorporation 488 with $HF_{(aq)}$ and $F_{(aq)}^{-}$ as the sink phase and three reaction equations with $Cl_{(aq)}^{-}$ are balanced and 489 their Gibbs free energies are evaluated (Table 2). Overall, the ΔG of sulfide incorporation with 490 the same aqueous source phases is lower for chlorapatite than for fluorapatite by 150-190 kJ/mol 491 for complete incorporation and by 290–330 kJ/mol for partial incorporation (Table 2). From the 492 linear combination of these reaction equations with protonated and deprotonated species, we 493 calculate the ΔG of incorporation reactions in an aqueous environment with varying pH (Fig. 6c

494	and d). For both complete and partial incorporation, ΔG decreases with pH for incorporation into
495	fluor- and chlorapatite. This result indicates that incorporation of sulfide into apatite is
496	thermodynamically more favorable under alkaline conditions than neutral and acidic conditions.

497

Discussion

498 The stability of S species in the *c*-axis column channel

499 The modeling results of this study and Kim et al. (2017) demonstrate that the stability of reduced S species $(S_2^{2^-}, HS^-, and S^{2^-})$ in apatite depends highly on the atomic sites that the 500 501 sulfide species occupy. In the energy-optimized configurations of S-incorporated apatite, 502 disulfide prefers to occupy the positions halfway between the mirror planes at z = 1/4 and 3/4503 (Fig. 3a and 4), whereas bisulfide is positioned slightly away (~ 0.04 in z value) from or on the 504 mirror planes (Fig. 3b and 5). Sulfide is most energetically stable when close to the Cl site in 505 apatite (Kim et al., 2017). In this section, some theoretical considerations are discussed to 506 explain how the energetic stability of the incorporated S species depends on the geometry and 507 the constituent elements (Ca and O atoms; Fig. 1c and d) of the *c*-axis channel.

The *c*-axis channel comprises O3 and Ca2 triangular planes perpendicular to the *c*-axis (Fig. 1c and d). Since the size and the electric charge (i.e., positively charged Ca vs. negatively charged O planes) of the *c*-axis channel are changed with z, the position of a substituent ion that occupies the *c*-axis channel can be determined by 1) electrostatic interaction of the substituent anion with the Ca2 and O3 triangular planes and 2) the geometric constraint in the *c*-axis channel. The electrostatic contribution is due to attractive and repulsive interactions between the Ca2/O3

514 plane and the substituent ion. Geometrically, substitution is likely to occur if the channel and the 515 substituent ion are similar in size.

516 The first step to quantify these constraints on a substituent ion in the *c*-axis channel is to 517 estimate the size of the *c*-axis channel of apatite as a function of *z*. We postulate that the channel 518 geometry is approximated by a series of circles along the *c*-axis tangent to the Ca2 and O3 519 triangular planes (Fig. 7a). The interatomic distances between calcium atoms on the Ca2 plane and oxygen atoms on the O3 plane range from 4.0 to 4.4 and from 5.0 to 5.5 Å, respectively, 520 521 depending on the occupant of the column anion site (Table S.4). In the structure of fluor-, chlor-, 522 and hydroxylapatite, the estimated channel radii on the Ca2 planes range between 1.3 and 1.5 Å 523 and the O3 planes between 1.6 to 1.9 Å (Table S.4 and Fig. 7b).

524 Based on the estimated geometry of the *c*-axis channel, we examined whether the calculated position of reduced S species, $S_2^{2^-}$, HS⁻, and S²⁻ in the *c*-axis channel is consistent with the 525 526 geometric and electrostatic constraints described above. Our calculation shows that disulfide in 527 the *c*-axis channel is the most energetically stable when its center is located halfway between the 528 mirror plane at z = 1/4 and 3/4 (Fig. 3a and 4). This geometry enables disulfide to maximize 529 attractive interaction with the positively charged Ca planes at z = 1/4 and 3/4 (electrostatic 530 contribution), while the two O3 planes at z = 0.57 and 0.43 have more spacious channels than the 531 Ca2 planes (Fig. 7b) to accommodate disulfide molecules (geometric contribution). For bisulfide in apatite, the approximate size of HS⁻ (≈ 1.4 Å, the S-H distance of HS⁻) falls within a range of 532 the channel radii of the Ca2 planes (1.3 and 1.5 Å; Fig. 7b). The difference in electronegativity 533 534 between sulfur and hydrogen generates the partial negative charge near sulfur and the partial 535 positive charge near hydrogen. The oxygen in the O3 planes attracts the hydrogen of HS⁻

536 whereas the hydrogen repels the calcium in the Ca2 plane. Therefore, the calculated position of the S atom of HS⁻ being slightly away from the mirror plane by ~ 0.04 in z value (Fig. 3b) is 537 538 explained by considering the geometric and electrostatic constraints. In the energy-optimized structures of sulfide-incorporated apatite reported by Kim et al. (2017), sulfide (S^{2-}) in apatite is 539 540 displaced away from the mirror planes by 1.0 to 1.6 Å, which correspond to the atomic sites near 541 to the O3 planes at z = 0.57 and 0.43 (\approx the Cl site in chlorapatite). Since the ionic radius of 542 sulfide (1.84 Å; Shannon (1976)) exceeds the channel radius of the Ca2 planes, it is energetically 543 stable when it is accommodated in wider channels around the O3 planes (Fig. 7b). Overall, the 544 positions of reduced S species in apatite calculated from our modeling approaches are in good 545 agreement with the geometric and electrostatic constraints of the *c*-axis column anion channel. 546 These examples of reduced S species demonstrate that the geometric and electrostatic 547 considerations on the *c*-axis channel can be useful to predict the behavior of chemical species 548 incorporated in apatite.

549 Parameters controlling the thermodynamics of reduced S in apatite in

550 geological systems

In the computational approach of this study, the thermodynamics of disulfide, bisulfide, and sulfide incorporation are evaluated using different source and sink phases (Table 1 and 2). In general, the calculated energy of incorporation is lower for the reactions involving Na-bearing phases than those involving Fe-bearing phases (like pyrite and troilite on the reactant side). These results arise from the higher stability of these iron sulfide minerals as the source phase for S compared to sodium sulfide phases. In turn, it is inferred that when S-incorporated apatite occurs in association with sulfide minerals, the presence of reduced S species in apatite are 27

primarily controlled by the chemistry of a system where apatite forms (e.g., Fe-abundant vs. depleted). This inference is consistent with Sadove et al. (2019), who reported the presence of inclusions of pyrrhotite and pyrite in natural S-incorporated apatite from the Phillip magnetitesulfide mineral deposit, New York. Their data demonstrated that reduced S species were partitioned between iron sulfide and apatite as those phases crystallized from a reduced, Sbearing hydrothermal fluid.

Our computed data also indicate that the speciation of dissolved S species would be 564 565 important in controlling the thermodynamics of incorporation reactions in aqueous media. For 566 reactions with fluor- and chlorapatite as the host phases, incorporation of disulfide and sulfide in deprotonated forms like $S_2^{2^-}$ and S^{2^-} is more thermodynamically favorable than incorporation in 567 protonated forms. In contrast, protonation of sulfide increases the thermodynamic favorability of 568 569 disulfide and bisulfide incorporation into hydroxylapatite. These computational results 570 demonstrate that the form of S species incorporated in apatite (e.g., sulfide vs. bisulfide) could be 571 determined by fluid pH where apatite forms through aqueous processes (like low-temperature 572 aqueous and hydrothermal systems).

Temperature is another crucial parameter in controlling the thermodynamics of S incorporation in geological environments such as magmatic and hydrothermal systems. To demonstrate the effect of temperature on the thermodynamics, the Gibbs free energy of complete and partial incorporation of disulfide with Na-bearing and Fe-bearing phases as a function of temperature is evaluated using temperature-dependent ΔG values of incorporation (Fig. 8). For Na-bearing systems, the ΔG of disulfide incorporation increases with temperature for fluor- and chlorapatite but decreases with increasing temperature for hydroxylapatite. For Fe-bearing

systems, the ΔG of disulfide incorporation into fluor- and hydroxylapatite decreases, and that into chlorapatite increases as temperature increases. Our computed thermodynamic data suggest that the thermodynamic favorability of S incorporation into apatite is likely to be temperaturedependent in geological systems. At elevated temperatures, there may be thermodynamic advantages when disulfide is incorporated into fluor- and chlorapatite in Fe-enriched environments and into hydroxylapatite in Fe-depleted conditions.

586 Our methodology that combines DFT-computed thermodynamic data with experimental thermodynamic data has been demonstrated to have the potential for evaluating the 587 588 thermodynamics of S incorporation in apatite in various geological systems. The thermodynamic 589 data obtained from this approach can be used to predict partitioning of reduced S species 590 between apatite and other S-bearing minerals (in this study, pyrite, and troilite) under varying 591 temperature, pH, and composition. Our new approach opens up the possibility of using DFT-592 modeling to establish the thermodynamic basis to support experimental and field observations on 593 element incorporation in minerals and to understand the underlying mechanism and 594 thermodynamics in more detail. In addition, it can inspire experimentalists for further evaluation. 595 For example, the calculations presented here indicate a strong preference for chlorapatite to 596 incorporate different S species than the other two host apatites, which should be easily verifiable 597 by experiments. In general, the full quantum-mechanical derivation of the thermodynamics of an 598 incorporation reaction is time-consuming, especially the Gibbs free energy portion that requires 599 phonon analysis which can be an order of magnitude more computationally expensive than the 600 molecular energy E_{mol} only. However, once the Gibbs free energies of related source and sink 601 phases are available, in solid or aqueous form, the transition to such a different reference model

602 is a matter of minutes. This step can then be taken further relatively easily to geochemical603 mixtures.

604 It would be important to examine sources of errors in both the experimental and the 605 computational portion of this approach. As stated in one example above, applying Gibbs free 606 energies of formation from different literature studies can result in uncertainties of at least tens of 607 kJ/mol, making a critical evaluation of thermodynamic data necessary. The error in the 608 calculations is of a different nature. One caveat of calculations is that complete error analysis is 609 often more expensive than the calculation itself if the entire space of potential errors is scanned. 610 them either being computational parameters (DFT functional, basis functions, k point density, 611 pseudopotentials, or higher-order effects for heavy elements such as spin-orbit coupling or 612 relativistic effects) or model setup (the most notable one, here, is the unit cell size). Fortunately, 613 some of these effects cancel out because they may be similar on both sides of a given equation, 614 especially if oxidation states do not change across the equation.

615 In this study, we have evaluated the incorporation thermodynamics as a function of 616 speciation, temperature, and for some examples of aqueous source/sink phases, pH. Another 617 variable that is rather straightforward to modify is pressure. However, the derivation of 618 thermodynamics becomes very demanding at high temperatures when simulating reactions in 619 melts or hydrothermal solutions. Some questions that one would have to address are: Are solid 620 reference phases still a viable approximation or do they break down or even lose their internal 621 structure (melt)? Is the quasi-harmonic phonon approximation still holding true for phonon 622 calculations? How are solubility products and pKa values defined in hydrothermal solutions of 623 high p, T?

624

Implications

625 This study addresses the structural incorporation of reduced S species with the S(-II) and 626 S(-I) oxidation states into apatite and the response of the apatite structure to these substituents. Other than sulfide (S^{2-}) that can substitute for column anions in the *c*-axis channel (Henning et 627 628 al., 2000; Kim et al., 2017), bisulfide (HS⁻) is another potential form of sulfur species with oxidation state S(-II) in apatite via simple substitution of $HS^- \leftrightarrow (F, OH, Cl)^-$. Of the two 629 simplest S(-I) species, disulfide $(S_2^{2^-})$ is the energetically more stable and plausible form in 630 apatite than monoatomic sulfide (S⁻). In general, the energetic stability and crystallographic 631 632 characteristics of the S species in the *c*-axis channel of apatite vary depending on the S oxidation state and chemical forms $(S_2^{2^-}, HS^-, and S^{2^-})$ and can be affected by (1) the neighboring column 633 634 anion (F⁻, OH⁻ or Cl⁻) and (2) geometric and electrostatic constraints by the Ca2 and O3 planes 635 along the *c*-axis.

636 The presence of volatile elements (especially, halogens, sulfur, and carbon) in apatite is 637 ubiquitous in igneous and hydrothermal environments (Webster and Piccoli, 2015). Although historically. S⁶⁺ has been considered the most dominant oxidation state of sulfur in natural 638 639 apatite (c.f., Parat et al. (2011)), recent studies have demonstrated the strong dependence of the S 640 oxidation state in apatite as a function of oxygen fugacity (fO_2) and the formation of apatite containing variable proportions of S^{6+} , S^{4+} , S^{1-} and S^{2-} as crystallized from magmatic and 641 hydrothermal fluids (Konecke et al., 2017, 2019; Sadove et al., 2019). In line with these previous 642 643 studies, the modeling of different S species in the apatite structure in this study implies that the 644 oxidation state of S in apatite has the potential to serve as a geochemical proxy to probe the fO_2 and fS_2 of magmatic and hydrothermal systems. 645

646

647 Acknowledgments

- 648 Y.K. acknowledges support from Samsung Scholarship. A.C.S. acknowledges the U.S.
- 649 National Science Foundation EAR 1924142.

651

REFERENCES

- Baker, D.R., and Moretti, R. (2011) Modeling the solubility of sulfur in magmas: a 50-year old geochemical challenge. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 73(1), 167-213.
- Baroni, S., De Gironcoli, S., Dal Corso, A., and Giannozzi, P. (2001) Phonons and related crystal properties from density-functional perturbation theory. Reviews of Modern Physics, 73(2), 515.
- Brounce, M., Boyce, J., McCubbin, F.M., Humphreys, J., Reppart, J., Stolper, E., and Eiler, J. (2019) The
 oxidation state of sulfur in lunar apatite. American Mineralogist: Journal of Earth and Planetary
 Materials, 104(2), 307-312.
- Carroll, M.R., and Webster, J.D. (2018) Solubilities of sulfur, noble gases, nitrogen, chlorine, and fluorine
 in magmas. Volatiles in magmas, 231-280.
- Corno, M., Busco, C., Civalleri, B., and Ugliengo, P. (2006) Periodic ab initio study of structural and vibrational features of hexagonal hydroxyapatite Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 8(21), 2464-2472.
- Dixon, D.A., Zeroka, D.J., Wendoloski, J.J., and Wasserman, Z.R. (1985) The molecular structure of
 hydrogen disulfide (H₂S₂) and barriers to internal rotation. The Journal of Physical Chemistry,
 89(25), 5334-5336.
- Drouet, C. (2015) A comprehensive guide to experimental and predicted thermodynamic properties of
 phosphate apatite minerals in view of applicative purposes. The Journal of Chemical
 Thermodynamics, 81, 143-159.
- Elliott, J.C., Mackie, P., and Young, R. (1973) Monoclinic hydroxyapatite. Science, 180(4090), 1055 1057.
- Fiege, A., Holtz, F., Behrens, H., Mandeville, C.W., Shimizu, N., Crede, L.S., and Göttlicher, J. (2015)
 Experimental investigation of the S and S-isotope distribution between H₂O–S±Cl fluids and
 basaltic melts during decompression. Chemical Geology, 393, 36-54.
- Fleet, M.E., and Liu, X. (2007) Coupled substitution of type A and B carbonate in sodium-bearing apatite.
 Biomaterials, 28(6), 916-926.
- 677 Gebarski, B.B., and Becker, U. (2020) Quantum-mechanical determination of the incorporation of
 678 pentavalent plutonium into carbonate and sulfate minerals. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta,
 679 269, 693-710.
- Hughes, J.M., Cameron, M., and Crowley, K.D.J.A.M. (1989) Structural variations in natural F, OH, and
 Cl apatites. 74(7-8), 870-876.
- Hughes, J.M., Cameron, M., and Crowley, K.D. (1990) Crystal structures of natural ternary apatites; solid
 solution in the Ca₅(PO₄)₃X (X= F, OH, Cl) system. American Mineralogist, 75(3-4), 295-304.
- Hughes, J.M., and Rakovan, J. (2002) The crystal structure of apatite, Ca₅(PO₄)₃(F, OH, Cl). Reviews in
 Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 48(1), 1-12.
- Hughes, J.M., and Rakovan, J.F.J.E. (2015) Structurally robust, chemically diverse: apatite and apatite
 supergroup minerals. 11(3), 165-170.

- Jakubowski, R.T., Fournelle, J., Welch, S., Swope, R., and Camus, P. (2002) Evidence for magmatic
 vapor deposition of anhydrite prior to the 1991 climactic eruption of Mount Pinatubo, Philippines.
 American Mineralogist, 87(8-9), 1029-1045.
- Jugo, P.J., Luth, R.W., and Richards, J.P. (2005) Experimental data on the speciation of sulfur as a function of oxygen fugacity in basaltic melts. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 69(2), 497-503.
- Jugo, P.J. (2009) Sulfur content at sulfide saturation in oxidized magmas. Geology, 37(5), 415-418.
- Jugo, P.J., Wilke, M., and Botcharnikov, R.E. (2010) Sulfur K-edge XANES analysis of natural and
 synthetic basaltic glasses: Implications for S speciation and S content as function of oxygen
 fugacity. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 74(20), 5926-5938.
- Keppler, H. (1999) Experimental evidence for the source of excess sulfur in explosive volcanic eruptions.
 Science, 284(5420), 1652-1654.
- Kim, Y., Konecke, B., Fiege, A., Simon, A., and Becker, U. (2017) An ab-initio study of the energetics
 and geometry of sulfide, sulfite, and sulfate incorporation into apatite: The thermodynamic basis
 for using this system as an oxybarometer. American Mineralogist: Journal of Earth and Planetary
 Materials, 102(8), 1646-1656.
- Kleinsasser, J., Simon, A.C., Konecke, B.A., Beckmann, P., and Holtz, F. (2022) Sulfide and sulfate
 saturation of dacitic melts as a function of oxygen fugacity. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta,
 In press.
- Konecke, B.A., Fiege, A., Simon, A.C., Parat, F., and Stechern, A. (2017) Co-variability of S⁶⁺, S⁴⁺, and S²⁻ in apatite as a function of oxidation state: Implications for a new oxybarometer. American Mineralogist, 102(3), 548-557.
- Konecke, B.A., Fiege, A., Simon, A.C., Linsler, S., and Holtz, F. (2019) An experimental calibration of a
 sulfur-in-apatite oxybarometer for mafic systems. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 265, 242 258.
- Luhr, J.F., Carmichael, I.S., and Varekamp, J.C. (1984) The 1982 eruptions of El Chichón Volcano,
 Chiapas, Mexico: mineralogy and petrology of the anhydritebearing pumices. Journal of
 volcanology and geothermal research, 23(1-2), 69-108.
- Mackie, P., Elliot, J., and Young, R. (1972) Monoclinic structure of synthetic Ca5 (PO4) 3Cl, chlorapatite.
 Acta Crystallographica Section B: Structural Crystallography and Crystal Chemistry, 28(6),
 1840-1848.
- Matjuschkin, V., Blundy, J.D., and Brooker, R.A. (2016) The effect of pressure on sulphur speciation in mid-to deep-crustal arc magmas and implications for the formation of porphyry copper deposits.
 Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 171(7), 1-25.
- Meng, X., Kleinsasser, J.M., Richards, J.P., Tapster, S.R., Jugo, P.J., Simon, A.C., Kontak, D.J., Robb, L.,
 Bybee, G.M., and Marsh, J.H. (2021) Oxidized sulfur-rich arc magmas formed porphyry Cu
 deposits by 1.88 Ga. Nature communications, 12(1), 1-9.
- Meng, X., Richards, J.P., Kontak, D.J., Simon, A.C., Kleinsasser, J.M., Marsh, J.H., Stern, R.A., and Jugo,
 P.J. (2022) Variable modes of formation for tonalite-trondhjemite-granodiorite-diorite (TTG) related porphyry-type Cu±Au deposits in the Neoarchean southern Abitibi subprovince (Canada):
 Evidence from petrochronology and oxybarometry. Journal of Petrology.

- Métrich, N., Berry, A.J., O'Neill, H.S.C., and Susini, J. (2009) The oxidation state of sulfur in synthetic
 and natural glasses determined by X-ray absorption spectroscopy. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
 Acta, 73(8), 2382-2399.
- Monkhorst, H.J., and Pack, J.D. (1976) Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. Physical review B,
 13(12), 5188.
- Pan, Y., and Fleet, M.E. (2002) Compositions of the apatite-group minerals: substitution mechanisms and controlling factors. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 48(1), 13-49.
- Parat, F., Holtz, F., and Streck, M.J. (2011) Sulfur-bearing magmatic accessory minerals. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 73(1), 285-314.
- Perdew, J.P., Burke, K., and Ernzerhof, M. (1996) Generalized gradient approximation made simple.
 Physical review letters, 77(18), 3865.
- Piccoli, P.M., and Candela, P.A. (2002) Apatite in igneous systems. Reviews in Mineralogy and
 Geochemistry, 48(1), 255-292.
- Reich, M., and Becker, U. (2006) First-principles calculations of the thermodynamic mixing properties of
 arsenic incorporation into pyrite and marcasite. Chemical Geology, 225(3-4), 278-290.
- Sadove, G., Konecke, B.A., Fiege, A., and Simon, A.C. (2019) Structurally bound S²⁻, S¹⁻, S⁴⁺, S⁶⁺ in terrestrial apatite: The redox evolution of hydrothermal fluids at the Phillips mine, New York, USA. Ore Geology Reviews, 107, 1084-1096.
- Segall, M., Lindan, P.J., Probert, M.a., Pickard, C.J., Hasnip, P.J., Clark, S., and Payne, M. (2002) First principles simulation: ideas, illustrations and the CASTEP code. Journal of Physics: Condensed
 Matter, 14(11), 2717.
- Shannon, R. (1976) Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides
 and chalcogenides. Acta crystallographica section A: crystal physics, 32(5), 751-767.
- Shuller-Nickles, L.C., Bender, W.M., Walker, S.M., and Becker, U. (2014) Quantum-mechanical methods for quantifying incorporation of contaminants in proximal minerals. Minerals, 4(3), 690-755
- Shuller, L.C., Ewing, R.C., and Becker, U. (2010) Quantum-mechanical evaluation of Np-incorporation
 into studtite. American Mineralogist, 95(8-9), 1151-1160.
- Simon, A.C., and Ripley, E.M. (2011) The role of magmatic sulfur in the formation of ore deposits.
 Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 73(1), 513-578.
- Streck, M.J., and Dilles, J.H. (1998) Sulfur evolution of oxidized arc magmas as recorded in apatite from
 a porphyry copper batholith. Geology, 26(6), 523-526.
- Tassara, S., Reich, M., Konecke, B.A., González-Jiménez, J.M., Simon, A.C., Morata, D., Barra, F.,
 Fiege, A., Schilling, M.E., and Corgne, A. (2020) Unraveling the Effects of Melt–Mantle
 Interactions on the Gold Fertility of Magmas. Frontiers in Earth Science, 8, 29.
- Ulian, G., Valdrè, G., Corno, M., and Ugliengo, P. (2013) Periodic ab initio bulk investigation of
 hydroxylapatite and type A carbonated apatite with both pseudopotential and all-electron basis
 sets for calcium atoms. American Mineralogist, 98(2-3), 410-416.
- Walker, S.M., and Becker, U. (2015) Uranyl (VI) and neptunyl (V) incorporation in carbonate and sulfate
 minerals: Insight from first-principles. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 161, 19-35.

- Wan, Y., Wang, X., Chou, I.-M., and Li, X. (2021) Role of sulfate in the transport and enrichment of REE
 in hydrothermal systems. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 569, 117068.
- Webster, J.D., Goldoff, B., and Shimizu, N. (2011) C–O–H–S fluids and granitic magma: how S
 partitions and modifies CO 2 concentrations of fluid-saturated felsic melt at 200 MPa.
 Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 162(4), 849-865.
- Webster, J.D., and Piccoli, P.M. (2015) Magmatic apatite: A powerful, yet deceptive, mineral. Elements,
 11(3), 177-182.
- Zajacz, Z., Candela, P.A., Piccoli, P.M., Wälle, M., and Sanchez-Valle, C. (2012) Gold and copper in volatile saturated mafic to intermediate magmas: Solubilities, partitioning, and implications for ore deposit formation. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 91, 140-159.
- 780

complete incorporation	energy (kJ/mol)		l)
Na-bearing	ΔE_{mol}	ΔH	ΔG
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{2(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{2(s)} + 2 NaF_{(s)}$	108	155	128
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{2(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{2(s)} + 2 NaCl_{(s)}$	-22	-12	-16
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{2(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{2(s)} + 2 NaOH_{(s)}$	157	175	173
Fe-bearing			ΔG
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + FeS_{2(s)} \text{ (pyrite)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{2(s)} + FeF_{2(s)}$			342
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + FeS_{2(s)} (pyrite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{2(s)} + FeCl_{2(s)}$			239
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + FeS_{2(s)} \text{ (pyrite)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{2(s)} + FeOH_{2(s)}$			234
aqueous	ΔG (n =0)	ΔG (n =1)	ΔG (n=2)
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + H_nS_2^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 F^{(aq)}$	199	244	273
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + H_2S_{2(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ HF}_{(aq)}$	-	-	237
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + H_nS_2^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 Cl^{(aq)}$	32	77	105
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + H_nS_2^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{2(s)} + n H_2O_{(1)} + (2-n) OH^{(aq)}$	159	114	53
partial incorporation	energy (kJ/mo))
Na-bearing	ΔE_{mol}	ΔH	ΔG
$2 \operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6 \operatorname{F}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{Na}_2 \operatorname{S}_{2(s)} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{20}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_{12} \operatorname{F}_2 \operatorname{S}_{2(s)} + 2 \operatorname{Na}_{7(s)}$	121	181	138
$2 \operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6\operatorname{Cl}_2 + \operatorname{Na}_2\operatorname{S}_{2(s)} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{20}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_{12}\operatorname{Cl}_2\operatorname{S}_{2(s)} + 2 \operatorname{Na}\operatorname{Cl}_{(s)}$	-40	-56	-66
$2 \operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6\operatorname{OH}_2 + \operatorname{Na}_2\operatorname{S}_{2(s)} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{20}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_{12}\operatorname{OH}_2\operatorname{S}_{2(s)} + 2 \operatorname{NaOH}_{(s)}$	164	175	164
Fe-bearing			ΔG
$2 \operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6 \operatorname{F}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{FeS}_{2(s)} \text{ (pyrite)} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{20}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_{12} \operatorname{F}_2 \operatorname{S}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{FeF}_{2(s)}$			352
$2 \operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6\operatorname{Cl}_2 + \operatorname{FeS}_{2(s)} (\text{pyrite}) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{20}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_{12}\operatorname{Cl}_2\operatorname{S}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{FeCl}_{2(s)}$			188
$2 \operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6\operatorname{OH}_2 + \operatorname{FeS}_{2(s)} (\text{pyrite}) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{20}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_{12}\operatorname{OH}_2\operatorname{S}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{FeOH}_{2(s)}$			225
aqueous	ΔG (n =0)	ΔG (n =1)	ΔG (n=2)
$2 \operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6 \operatorname{F}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{H}_n \operatorname{S2}^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{20}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_{12} \operatorname{F}_2 \operatorname{S}_{2(s)} + n \operatorname{H}^+ + 2 \operatorname{F}^{(aq)}$	209	253	282
$2 \operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6 \operatorname{F}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{S}_{2(aq)} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{20}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_{12} \operatorname{F}_2 \operatorname{S}_{2(s)} + 2 \operatorname{HF}_{(aq)}$	_	_	247
$2 \operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6 \operatorname{Cl}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{H}_n \operatorname{S}_2^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{20}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_{12} \operatorname{Cl}_2 \operatorname{S}_{2(s)} + n \operatorname{H}^+ + 2 \operatorname{Cl}^-(aq)$	-18	27	55
$2 \operatorname{Ca}_{10}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_6 \operatorname{OH}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{H}_n \operatorname{S2}^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ca}_{20}(\operatorname{PO}_4)_{12} \operatorname{OH}_2 \operatorname{S}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{n} \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{O}_{(1)} + (2-n) \operatorname{OH}_{(aq)}^-$	151	106	45

Table 1. Reaction equations and energies of disulfide $(S_2^{2^{-}})$ incorporation into apatite.

782

783

784

Table 2. Reaction equations and energies of sulfide (S^{2^-}) and bisulfide (HS^-) incorporation into

787 apatite.

complete incorporation	e	l)	
Na-bearing	ΔE_{mol}	ΔH	ΔG
<u>sulfide</u>			
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{(s)} + 2 NaF_{(s)}$	75	117	118
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{(s)} + 2 NaCl_{(s)}$	-61	-70	-47
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{(s)} + 2 NaOH_{(s)}$	118	131	157
bisulfide			
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_{2(s)} + 2 Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)_{2(s)} + 2 Na_2O_{(s)}$	494	514	476
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaSH}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaOH}_{(s)}$	171	186	193
Fe-bearing			ΔG
sulfide			
$\overline{\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)}} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} \text{ (troilite)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{S}_{(s)} + \text{FeF}_{2(s)}$			285
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)}$ (troilite) $\leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{(s)} + FeCl_{2(s)}$			160
bisulfide			
$\overline{\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_{2(s)}} + 2 \text{ FeS}_{(s)} \text{ (troilite)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ FeO}_{(s)}$			200
(wüstite)			208
	ΔG	ΔG	ΔG
aqueous	(n = 0)	(n = 1)	(n=2)
sulfide			
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(c)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{(c)} + nH^+ + 2F^-(aq)$	202	276	316
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + H_2S_{(aa)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{(s)} + 2 HF_{(aa)}$		_,.	280
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6C_{2(5)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_{(5)} + nH^+ + 2C_{(aq)}^{-}$	14	88	127
bisulfide		00	
$Ca_{10}(PO_4)_4OH_{2(2)} + 2H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(n-2)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_4(HS)_{2(2)} + 2H_nO^{(n-2)}_{(1)}$	_	171	72
$\frac{1}{(a_1)} = \frac{1}{(a_2)} = \frac{1}{(a_1)} = \frac{1}{(a_2)} = \frac{1}{(a_2)} = \frac{1}{(a_1)} = \frac{1}{(a_2)} = \frac{1}{(a_1)} = \frac{1}{(a_2)} = \frac{1}{(a_1)} = \frac{1}{(a_2)} = \frac{1}{(a_2)} = \frac{1}{(a_1)} = \frac{1}{(a_1)} = $		1 / 1	. =
partial incorporation	e	nergy (kJ/mol	D
partial incorporation Na-bearing	e ΔE_{mol}	nergy (kJ/mol ΔH	$\frac{1}{\Delta G}$
partial incorporation Na-bearing sulfide	ΔE_{mol}	$\frac{\text{nergy } (\text{kJ/mol})}{\Delta H}$	ΔG
$\frac{\text{partial incorporation}}{\text{Na-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_{4}\text{F}_{2(2)} + \text{Na}_{2}\text{S}_{(2)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S}_{2(2)} + 2 \text{ Na}_{5(2)}$	ΔE_{mol}	<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> Δ <i>H</i> 247	$\frac{\Delta G}{209}$
$\frac{\text{partial incorporation}}{\text{Na-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaF}_{(s)}}$ $2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaF}_{(s)}}$	$\frac{\Delta E_{mol}}{185}$	<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> <u>ΔH</u> 247 -78	$\frac{\Delta G}{209}$
$\frac{\text{partial incorporation}}{\text{Na-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaF}_{(s)}}$ $2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaCl}_{(s)}}$ $2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaCl}_{(s)}}$	$\frac{\Delta E_{mol}}{185}$	<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> <u>ΔH</u> 247 -78 253	$\frac{\Delta G}{209}$ -92 260
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> <u>ΔH</u> 247 -78 253	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta G \\ 209 \\ -92 \\ 260 \end{array}$
$\frac{\text{partial incorporation}}{\text{Na-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaF}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaCl}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S}(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaOH}_{(s)}}$ $\frac{\text{bisulfide}}{\text{Ca}_2(\text{PO}_4) \text{ OH}_3 + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_2(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S}(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaOH}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S}(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaOH}_{(s)}}$	e ΔE_{mol} 185 -69 244 246	<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> <u>ΔH</u> 247 -78 253 241	$ \Delta G 209 -92 260 227 $
$\frac{\text{partial incorporation}}{\text{Na-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaF}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaCl}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S}(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaOH}_{(s)}}{\frac{\text{bisulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{O}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{O}_{(s)}}$	e ΔE_{mol} 185 -69 244 246 86	<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> <u>ΔH</u> 247 -78 253 241 89	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> <u>ΔH</u> 247 -78 253 241 89	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> <u>ΔH</u> 247 -78 253 241 89	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	e ΔE_{mol} 185 -69 244 246 86	<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> <u>ΔH</u> 247 -78 253 241 89	
$\frac{\text{partial incorporation}}{\text{Na-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaF}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaCl}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S}(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaOH}_{(s)}}{\frac{\text{bisulfide}}{\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{O}_{(s)}}{\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{NaSH}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{NaOH}_{(s)}}{\text{Fe-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} \text{ (troilite)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + \text{FeF}_{2(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} \text{ (troilite)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S}_{2(s)} + \text{FeF}_{2(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} \text{ (troilite)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S}_{2(s)} + \text{FeF}_{2(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} \text{ (troilite)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{2(s)} \text{S}_{2(s)} \text{S}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{2(s)} \text{S}_{2(s)} \text{S}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{2(s)} \text{S}_{2(s)} \text{S}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{2(s)} \text{S}_{2(s)} \text{S}_{2(s)} \text{S}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{2(s)} \text{S}_{2(s)} \text{S}_{2(s$	e ΔE_{mol} 185 -69 244 246 86	<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> Δ <i>H</i> 247 -78 253 241 89	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	ΔE_{mol} 185 -69 244 246 86	<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> Δ <i>H</i> 247 -78 253 241 89	
$\frac{\text{partial incorporation}}{\text{Na-bearing}} \\ \frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaF}_{(s)}} \\ 2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaCl}_{(s)}} \\ 2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S}(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaOH}_{(s)} \\ \\ \frac{\text{bisulfide}}{\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{O}_{(s)} \\ \\ \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{NaSH}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{NaOH}_{(s)} \\ \\ \hline \text{Fe-bearing} \\ \\ \frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + \text{FeF}_{2(s)} \\ 2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)} \\ \\ \frac{\text{bisulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)} \\ \\ \frac{\text{bisulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)} \\ \\ \frac{\text{bisulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)} \\ \\ \frac{\text{bisulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)} \\ \\ \frac{\text{bisulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \oplus \text{Ca}_{2(s)} (\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)} \\ \\ \frac{\text{bisulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \oplus \text{Ca}_{(s)} (\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)} \\ \\ \frac{\text{bisulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \\ \\ \frac{\text{c}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_{12} \text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)} (\text{c}_{10}(\text{c}_{10}(\text{c}_{10}(\text{c}_{10}(\text{c}_{10}(\text{c}_{10}(\text{c}_{10}(\text{c}_$	e ΔE_{mol} 185 -69 244 246 86	<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> Δ <i>H</i> 247 -78 253 241 89	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Partial incorporation \\ \hline Na-bearing \\ \hline Sulfide \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + 2 & NaF_{(s)} \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SCl_{2(s)} + 2 & NaCl_{(s)} \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}S(OH)_{2(s)} + 2 & NaOH_{(s)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + Na_2O_{(s)} \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + NaSH_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + NaOH_{(s)} \\ \hline Fe-bearing \\ \hline Sulfide \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} & (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + FeF_{2(s)} \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} & (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SCl_{2(s)} + FeCl_{2(s)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} & (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + FeO_{(s)} & (wüstite) \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	e ΔE_{mol} 185 -69 244 246 86	<u>nergy (kJ/mol</u> Δ <i>H</i> 247 -78 253 241 89	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Partial incorporation \\ \hline Na-bearing \\ \hline Sulfide \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + 2 & NaF_{(s)} \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SCl_{2(s)} + 2 & NaCl_{(s)} \\ \hline 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}S(OH)_{2(s)} + 2 & NaOH_{(s)} \\ \hline bisulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + Na_2O_{(s)} \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + NaSH_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + NaOH_{(s)} \\ \hline Fe-bearing \\ \hline sulfide \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} & (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + FeF_{2(s)} \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} & (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SCl_{2(s)} + FeCl_{2(s)} \\ \hline bisulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} & (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + FeO_{(s)} & (wüstite) \\ \hline aqueous \\ \hline \end{tabular}$		$\frac{\text{nergy (kJ/mol})}{\Delta H}$ 247 -78 253 241 89 ΔG	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Partial incorporation \\ \hline Na-bearing \\ \hline Sulfide \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + 2 & NaF_{(s)} \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SCl_{2(s)} + 2 & NaCl_{(s)} \\ \hline 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}S(OH)_{2(s)} + 2 & NaOH_{(s)} \\ \hline bisulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + Na_2O_{(s)} \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + NaSH_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + NaOH_{(s)} \\ \hline Fe-bearing \\ \hline sulfide \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} & (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + FeF_{2(s)} \\ 2 & Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} & (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SCl_{2(s)} + FeCl_{2(s)} \\ \hline bisulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} & (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + FeO_{(s)} & (wüstite) \\ \hline aqueous \\ \hline \end{tabular}$		$\frac{\text{nergy (kJ/mol})}{\Delta H}$ 247 -78 253 241 89 ΔG (n =1)	
$\frac{\text{partial incorporation}}{\text{Na-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaF}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaCl}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S}(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaOH}_{(s)}}{\frac{\text{bisulfide}}{\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{O}_{(s)}}{\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{NaSH}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{NaOH}_{(s)}}{\text{Fe-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + \text{FeF}_{2(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)}}{\text{bisulfide}}$ $\frac{\text{ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)}}{\text{bisulfide}}$ $\frac{\text{ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{FeO}_{(s)} (\text{wüstite})}{\text{aqueous}}$		$\frac{\text{nergy (kJ/mol})}{\Delta H}$ 247 -78 253 241 89 ΔG (n =1) 267	
$\frac{\text{sulfide}}{\text{Na-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaF}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaCl}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S}(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaOH}_{(s)}}{\text{bisulfide}}$ $\frac{\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{O}_{(s)}}{\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{NaSH}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{NaOH}_{(s)}}{\text{Fe-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + \text{FeF}_{2(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)}}{\text{bisulfide}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)}}{\text{bisulfide}}$ $\frac{\text{aqueous}}{\text{aqueous}}$		$\frac{\text{nergy (kJ/mol})}{\Delta H}$ 247 -78 253 241 89 ΔG (n =1) 367	
$\frac{\text{partial incorporation}}{\text{Na-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaF}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaCl}_{(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S(OH})_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaOH}_{(s)}}{\frac{\text{bisulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{S}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{O}_{(s)}}{\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{NaSH}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{NaOH}_{(s)}}{\text{Fe-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + \text{FeF}_{2(s)}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)}}{\text{bisulfide}}$ $\frac{\text{ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)}}{\text{bisulfide}}$ $\frac{\text{ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)}}{\text{bisulfide}}$ $2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{6}(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{FeO}_{(s)} (\text{wüstite})$ $aqueous$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 \text{ Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{H}_8\text{S}_{(aq)}} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + \text{n} \text{H}^+ + 2 \text{F}^{(aq)}}{\text{ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{FeO}_{(s)} (\text{wüstite})}$ $\frac{\text{ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{H}_8\text{S}_{2(aq)}} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + \text{n} \text{H}^+ + 2 \text{F}^{(aq)}}{\text{ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{FeO}_{(s)} (\text{wüstite})}$		$\frac{\text{nergy (kJ/mol})}{\Delta H}$ 247 -78 253 241 89 ΔG (n =1) 367 -	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline partial incorporation \\ \hline Na-bearing \\ \hline Sulfide \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + 2 NaF_{(s)} \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SCl_{2(s)} + 2 NaCl_{(s)} \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}S(OH)_{2(s)} + 2 NaOH_{(s)} \\ \hline bisulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + Na_2O_{(s)} \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + NaSH_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + NaOH_{(s)} \\ \hline Fe-bearing \\ \hline Sulfide \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + FeF_{2(s)} \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6Cl_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SCl_{2(s)} + FeCl_{2(s)} \\ \hline bisulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + FeO_{(s)} (wüstite) \\ \hline aqueous \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 F^{(aq)} \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 F^{(aq)} \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 F^{(aq)} \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 F^{(aq)} \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 F^{(aq)} \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 C\Gamma^{(aq)} \\ \hline \end{array}$		$\frac{\text{nergy (kJ/mol})}{\Delta H}$ 247 -78 253 241 89 $\qquad \qquad $	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta G \\ \hline \Delta G \\ 209 \\ -92 \\ 260 \\ 227 \\ 79 \\ \hline \Delta G \\ 376 \\ 114 \\ 93 \\ \hline \Delta G \\ (n=2) \\ 407 \\ 371 \\ 82 \end{array}$
$\frac{\text{partial incorporation}}{\text{Na-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6F_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaF}_{(s)}}{2 Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{DI}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCI}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaCI}_{(s)}}{2 Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{S(OH)}_{2(s)} + 2 \text{ NaOH}_{(s)}}{\frac{\text{bisulfide}}{2 Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH}_{2(s)} + \text{Na}_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{Na}_2\text{O}_{(s)}}{\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{DH}_{2(s)} + \text{NaSH}_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{HS})\text{OH}_{(s)} + \text{NaOH}_{(s)}}{\text{Fe-bearing}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + \text{FeF}_{2(s)}}{2 Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCI}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)}}{\text{bisulfide}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SCI}_{2(s)} + \text{FeCl}_{2(s)}}{\text{bisulfide}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{FeS}_{(s)} (\text{troilite}) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + \text{reO}_{(s)} (\text{wüstite})}{\text{aqueous}}$ $\frac{\text{sulfide}}{2 Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{Ha}^{\text{S}^{(n-2)}}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + \text{n} \text{H}^+ + 2 \text{F}_{(aq)}}{(aq)} (2 Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{F}_{2(s)} + \text{Ha}^{\text{S}^{(n-2)}}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + \text{n} \text{H}^+ + 2 \text{F}_{(aq)}}{(aq)} (2 Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{Ha}^{\text{S}^{(n-2)}}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SF}_{2(s)} + \text{n} \text{H}^+ + 2 \text{C}_{(aq)}}{(aq)} (2 Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{Ha}^{\text{S}^{(n-2)}}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SC}_{2(s)} + \text{n} \text{H}^+ + 2 \text{C}_{(aq)}} (aq) (2 Ca_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6\text{Cl}_{2(s)} + \text{n} \text{H}^{\text{S}^{(n-2)}}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(\text{PO}_4)_{12}\text{SC}_{2(s)} + \text{n} \text{H}^+ + 2 \text{C}_{(aq)}} (aq) (ag) (ag) (ag) (ag) (ag) (ag) (ag) (ag$		$\frac{\text{nergy (kJ/mol})}{\Delta H}$ 247 -78 253 241 89 ΔG $(n = 1)$ 367 $-$ 42	$ \begin{array}{r} \Delta G \\ 209 \\ -92 \\ 260 \\ 227 \\ 79 \\ \Delta G \\ 376 \\ 114 \\ 93 \\ \Delta G \\ (n=2) \\ 407 \\ 371 \\ 82 \\ 2 $
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Partial incorporation \\ \hline Na-bearing \\ \hline Sulfide \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + 2 NaF_{(s)} \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(DI_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}S(DI_{2(s)} + 2 NaOH_{(s)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH_{2(s)} + Na_2S_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + Na_2O_{(s)} \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6OH_{2(s)} + NaSH_{(s)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + NaOH_{(s)} \\ \hline Fe-bearing \\ \hline Sulfide \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + FeF_{2(s)} \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6CI_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SCI_{2(s)} + FeCI_{2(s)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6CH_{2(s)} + FeS_{(s)} (troilite) \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)OH_{(s)} + FeO_{(s)} (wüstite) \\ \hline aqueous \\ \hline Sulfide \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 F^{(aq)} \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6F_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 CI^{(aq)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6CI_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 CI^{(aq)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ 2 Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6CI_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 CI^{(aq)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6CI_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 CI^{(aq)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6CI_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 CI^{(aq)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6CI_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 CI^{(aq)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6CI_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SF_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 CI^{(aq)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6CI_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}SCI_{2(s)} + n H^+ + 2 CI^{(aq)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6CI_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(SH)(OH)_{(s)} + H_nO^{(n-2)}_{(1)} \\ \hline Disulfide \\ \hline Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6CI_{2(s)} + H_nS^{(n-2)}_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(SH$		$ \frac{n ergy (kJ/mol)}{\Delta H} 247 -78 253 241 89 \overline{\Delta G} (n = 1) 367 - 42 74 $	$ \begin{array}{r} \Delta G \\ 209 \\ -92 \\ 260 \\ 227 \\ 79 \\ \Delta G \\ 376 \\ 114 \\ 93 \\ \Delta G \\ (n=2) \\ 407 \\ 371 \\ 82 \\ 25 \\ 25 $

788



789

Figure 1. (a, b) The unit cell and (c, d) c-axis channel configurations of fluorapatite on view of [110] and [001] direction. In (a), the relative positions (based on the *z* values; Table S.2) of hydroxide and chloride are displayed in addition to fluorine. In (a, b), the Ca atoms labelled 1 and 2 represents two types of Ca sites, Ca1 and Ca2. In (d), the interatomic distances on each Ca2 and O3 plane are measured and used to estimate the channel sizes (see Fig. 7 and text for details).

796

- 798
- 799



802

803 Figure 2. Energy-optimized geometries of S(-I) species in apatite. The configurations of 804 disulfide (a) with its center located at z = 1/2 (halfway between the mirror planes at z = 1/4 and 805 3/4) and (b) its center located at z = 3/4. The distance of the two S atoms is 2.1 Å as acquired 806 from energy-optimization. (c) The configuration of monoatomic S(-I) atoms located below the 807 mirror plane at z = 1/4 and 3/4. While all these configuration models represent the same 808 composition of S-incorporated apatite, $(Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6S_2)$, the lowest molecular energy is found in 809 the configuration described in (a). The energy values are with respect to this lowest-energy 810 configuration.

811

812



814

Figure 3. Energy-optimized structures of complete incorporation of (a) disulfide, (b) bisulfide, and (c) sulfide into apatite. The two solid lines represent the mirror planes in apatite at z = 1/4and 3/4. The z values (grey-highlighted) are specified to indicate the positions of the center of disulfide in (a) and the positions of S atoms belonging to bisulfide and sulfide in (b, c). The energy values are with respect to the lowest energy calculated for respective species in apatite.

820



Figure 4. (a) Initial and (b to d) energy-optimized configurations (i.e., ones before and after the process of energy optimization) for partial incorporation of disulfide in the c-axis column anion channel in the form of $(Ca_{20}(PO_4)_{12}(S_2)(F,OH,Cl)_2)$. The *z* value (with respect to the unit cell) of the anions in the c-axis channel ranges between 0 and 2. In the initial configurations, the center of disulfide was positioned (b) at z = 1/2, (c) z = 3/4, and (d) z = 1.



828

Figure 5. (a) Initial and (b to d) energy-optimized configurations for partial incorporation of bisulfide in the c-axis channel of apatite in the form of $(Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(HS)(F,OH,Cl))$. In the initial configurations, bisulfide was positioned (b) at the fluorine, (c) hydroxide, and (d) chlorine sites in the c-axis channel (denoted by S(F,OH,Cl)_{ini}).

833

834



836

837 Figure 6. Gibbs free energy (ΔG) is calculated as a function of pH, assuming thermodynamic 838 equilibrium at 25 °C, for (a, b) complete and partial incorporation of disulfide and (c, d) complete 839 and partial incorporation of sulfide into apatite using source and sink phases dissolved in aqueous solution. The fractions of dissolved disulfide species, H_2S_2 , HS_2^- , and S_2^{2-} (n₁, n₂, and n₃, 840 respectively, where $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = 1$), sulfide species, H₂S, HS⁻, and S²⁻ (m₁, m₂, and m₃, 841 842 respectively, where $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = 1$), and F species, HF and F⁻ (k_1 and k_2 , respectively, where 843 $k_1 + k_2 = 1$) vary as a function of pH as presented in Fig. S.1. These pH dependences of dissolved 844 S and F species are considered to balance the reaction equations.





Figure 7. (a) The scheme to estimate the radius of the c-axis channel on the Ca2 and O3 planes in the apatite unit cell and (b) the variation in the radius of the c-axis anion channel of fluor-, hydroxyl- and chlorapatite across the c-axis. In (b), the ionic radii of the substituent ions (HS⁻ and S²⁻) and their energy-optimized positions in the c-axis channel are plotted with the grey symbols.

852

853









858

859



861

860

Figure 8. Gibbs free energy (ΔG) as a function of temperature for complete and partial incorporation of disulfide with (a, b) Na-bearing and (c, d) Fe-bearing source and sink phases.