1	Revision 1 - Word count: 9,889 (text)
2	
3	X-ray absorption spectroscopy study of Mn reference compounds
4	for Mn speciation in terrestrial surface environments
5	Teresa Zahoransky <sup>a</sup> , Anna V. Wegorzewski <sup>b</sup> , Winnie Huong <sup>a</sup> , Christian
6	MIKUTTA <sup>a,*</sup>
7	<sup>a</sup> Soil Mineralogy, Institute of Mineralogy, Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz University Hannover,
8	Callinstr. 3, D-30167 Hannover, Germany
9	<sup>b</sup> Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources (BGR), Stilleweg 2, D-30655 Han-
10	nover, Germany
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	*Correspondence
18	c.mikutta@mineralogie.uni-hannover.de
19	
20	
21	Keywords
22	Manganese, average oxidation state, speciation, X-ray absorption near edge structure
23	(XANES) spectroscopy, extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy,
24	linear combination fitting, spectral fingerprinting, soils and sediments
25	

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

2	6
7	υ

#### ABSTRACT

27 X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) offers great potential to identify and quantify Mn spe-28 cies in surface environments by means of linear combination fit (LCF), fingerprint, and shell-29 fit analyses of bulk Mn XAS spectra. However, these approaches are complicated by the lack 30 of a comprehensive and accessible spectrum library. Additionally, molecular-level infor-31 mation on Mn coordination in some potentially important Mn species occurring in soils and 32 sediments is missing. Therefore, we investigated a suite of 32 natural and synthetic Mn refer-33 ence compounds, including Mn oxide, oxyhydroxide, carbonate, phosphate, and silicate min-34 erals, as well as organic and adsorbed Mn species, by Mn K-edge X-ray absorption near edge 35 structure (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy. The 36 ability of XAS to infer the average oxidation state (AOS) of Mn was assessed by comparing 37 XANES-derived AOS with the AOS obtained from redox titrations. All reference compounds 38 were studied for their local (<5 Å) Mn coordination environment using EXAFS shell-fit anal-39 ysis. Statistical analyses were employed to clarify how well and to what extent individual Mn 40 species (groups) can be distinguished by XAS based on spectral uniqueness. Our results show 41 that LCF analysis of normalized XANES spectra can reliably quantify the Mn AOS within  $\sim 0.1$  v.u. in the range +2 to +4. These spectra are diagnostic for most Mn species investigated, 42 but unsuitable to identify and quantify members of the manganate and Mn(III)-oxyhydroxide 43 44 groups. First-derivative XANES fingerprinting allows the unique identification of pyrolusite, 45 ramsdellite, and potentially lithiophorite within the manganate group. However, XANES 46 spectra of individual Mn compounds can vary significantly depending on chemical composi-47 tion and/or crystallinity, which limits the accuracy of XANES-based speciation analyses. In 48 contrast, EXAFS spectra provide a much better discriminatory power to identify and quantify Mn species. Principal component and cluster analyses of  $k^2$ -weighted EXAFS spectra of Mn 49 50 reference compounds implied that EXAFS LCF analysis of environmental samples can identi-

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

51 fy and quantify at least the following primary Mn species groups: (1) Phyllo- and tectoman-52 ganates with large tunnel sizes  $(2 \times 2 \text{ and larger; hollandite, romanèchite, todorokite}), (2) tec-$ 53 tomanganates with small tunnel sizes  $(2 \times 2 \text{ and smaller; cryptomelane, pyrolusite,})$ 54 ramsdellite), (3) Mn(III)-dominated species (nesosilicates, oxyhydroxides, organic com-55 pounds, spinels), (4) Mn(II) species (carbonate, phosphate, and phyllosilicate minerals, ad-56 sorbed and organic species), and (5) manganosite. All Mn compounds, except for members of the manganate group (excluding pyrolusite) and adsorbed Mn(II) species, exhibit unique EX-57 58 AFS spectra that would allow their identification and quantification in mixtures. Therefore, 59 our results highlight the potential of Mn K-edge EXAFS spectroscopy to assess bulk Mn spe-60 ciation in soils and sediments. A complete XAS-based speciation analysis of bulk Mn in envi-61 ronmental samples should preferably include the determination of Mn valences following the 62 'Combo' method of Manceau et al. (2012) (American Mineralogist 97, 816-827), EXAFS 63 LCF analyses based on principal component and target transformation results, as well as EX-64 AFS shell-fit analyses for the validation of LCF results. For this purpose, all 32 XAS reference spectra are provided in the Supplementary material for further use by the scientific 65 66 community.

67

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Manganese (Mn) is a redox-sensitive element with a crustal abundance of about 0.1 wt.% (Yaroshevsky, 2006). It participates in numerous globally important environmental processes such as photosynthetic oxygen production and oxidative lignin degradation, and serves as an activator of more than 35 enzymes (Broadley et al., 2012; Burnell, 1988; Jensen et al., 1996; Keiluweit et al., 2015). The three naturally occurring Mn oxidation states +2, +3, and +4 account for the large variety of mono- and mixed-valent Mn species in environmental samples, including oxides and oxyhydroxides (collectively termed (oxyhydr)oxides), carbonates, phos-

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

phates, silicates, organic compounds or Mn species being adsorbed to (in)organic surfaces. Manganese concentrations in sediments and bedrocks may be as low as 20 mg/kg in quartz sands and >1,000 mg/kg in basic rocks like basalt and gabbro (Blume et al., 2016; Gilkes and McKenzie, 1988). Natural background levels of soil Mn range between 40 and 1,000 mg/kg (Blume et al., 2016), but neither total nor exchangeable Mn in soil is correlated with bedrock composition, indicative of a high Mn mobility in the Earth's crust (Mortvedt, 2000).

81 The mobility of Mn in soils and sediments depends largely on biological processes controlling its redox state (Tebo et al., 2005). Since Mn<sup>2+</sup> is more soluble than Mn<sup>4+</sup>, Mn bio-82 83 availability tends to increase with decreasing pH and redox potential (Blume et al., 2016). A particularly important role in the cycling of Mn in surface environments is attributed to 84 85 Mn(III/IV) (oxyhydr)oxides, since their precipitation and dissolution primarily control the amount of soluble and thus bioavailable Mn<sup>2+</sup> (Martin, 2005; Tebo et al., 2004). These miner-86 87 als exist either as layer or tunnel structures. Layer-type Mn(III/IV) (oxyhydr)oxides ('phyl-88 lomanganates') consist of stacked sheets of edge-sharing MnO<sub>6</sub> octahedra, whereas in Mn(III/IV) (oxyhydr)oxides with tunnel structure ('tectomanganates') MnO<sub>6</sub> octahedra form 89 90 single, double or triple chains by sharing edges, which are linked together via  $MnO_6$  corners to produce tunnels of varying size (McKenzie, 1989). Positive charge deficits created by Mn<sup>4+</sup> 91 site vacancies or the substitution of structural Mn<sup>3+/4+</sup> by cations of lower valence are compen-92 sated by exchangeable cations (e.g., H<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, etc.) occupying the tunnel or 93 interlayer spaces (McKenzie, 1989). 94

95 Manganate minerals are thought to be primarily formed via fast enzymatic  $Mn^{2+}$  oxi-96 dation by bacteria and fungi (Tebo et al., 2004). Resulting Mn(III/IV) (oxyhydr)oxides are 97 poorly crystalline phyllomanganates structurally akin to H<sup>+</sup>-birnessite ('acid birnessite') or  $\delta$ -98 MnO<sub>2</sub>, and may serve as precursors for more crystalline Mn oxides (e.g., todorokite) and

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

99 Mn(III) oxyhydroxides (e.g., feitknechtite, manganite) through ageing and/or redox reactions 100 involving dissolved Mn(II) (Elzinga, 2011; Feng et al., 2010; Mivata et al., 2007). 101 The role of Mn(III/IV) (oxyhydr)oxides as strong oxidants for inorganic and organic 102 soil and sediment constituents is well documented (Bartlett, 1981; Ehlert et al., 2016; Feng et 103 al., 2007; Remucal and Ginder-Vogel, 2014; Villinski et al., 2001). Owing to their low point 104 of zero charge and high specific surface area, biogenic Mn(III/IV) (oxyhydr)oxides show a 105 high affinity towards heavy metals like Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn, which frequently exceeds that of 106 Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxides (O'Reilly and Hochella Jr, 2003; Tebo et al., 2004). As a conse-107 quence, soil Mn contained in ferromanganese nodules and concretions is often associated with 108 these trace metals (Latrille et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2002; Manceau et al., 2003). 109 Despite the ecological importance and omnipresence of Mn in terrestrial surface envi-110 ronments, studies targeting the identification and quantification of chemical forms (species) of 111 Mn are surprisingly rare. Available Mn speciation studies can be grouped into three catego-112 ries. Category 1 studies used sequential extraction methods (Habibah et al., 2014; 113 Kalembkiewicz et al., 2008; Narwall and Singh, 2001; Qiang et al., 1994), which provide val-114 uable information on operationally defined Mn fractions. However, poor selectivity of ex-115 tractants, Mn redistribution during extractions, and the use of a large variety of non-116 standardized extraction procedures severely limit the explanatory power of this speciation 117 approach (Hass and Fine, 2010; Hlavay et al., 2004; Sutherland and Tack, 2003). Category 2 118 studies employed X-ray or electron diffraction to identify and/or characterize Mn minerals in 119 geomaterials. The use of these techniques is hampered by the fact that Mn minerals in soils 120 and sediments are frequently nanocrystalline and amorphous to X-rays (Chukhrov and 121 Gorshkov, 1981; Cornu et al., 2005; Latrille et al., 2001; Rhoton et al., 1993; Ross et al.,

122 1976; Zhang and Karathanasis, 1997). Therefore, these studies almost exclusively focused on

123 ferromanganese nodules and concretions in soils (Liu et al., 2002; Manceau et al., 2003;

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

Szymański et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 1964; Tokashiki et al., 1986; Uzochukwu and Dixon, 124 125 1986) or sediments (Lee and Xu, 2016; Taira et al., 1981). Category 3 studies utilized syn-126 chrotron-based Mn K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) to speciate soil (Frommer et 127 al., 2011; Hernandez-Soriano et al., 2012; Herndon et al., 2014; Keiluweit et al., 2015; 128 Manceau et al., 2005) and sediment Mn (Carroll et al., 2002; Friedl et al., 1997; O'Day et al., 129 2000). Regardless of the type of Mn species present, high-brilliance synchrotron facilities 130 provide the capability for non-destructive analysis of oxidation state and average local (<5 Å) 131 coordination of Mn in environmental samples with Mn concentrations of several hundred mil-132 ligrams per kilogram. Previous XAS studies mostly employed either Mn K-edge X-ray ab-133 sorption near edge structure (XANES) or micro-focused extended X-ray absorption fine struc-134 ture (EXAFS) spectroscopy. The XANES technique is useful to infer the average oxidation 135 state (AOS) of Mn in soils and sediments based on the shift of the absorption edge towards 136 higher energies with increasing Mn AOS (Manceau et al., 2012; McKeown and Post, 2001). Quantitative information on the fractional amounts of  $Mn^{2+}$ ,  $Mn^{3+}$ , and  $Mn^{4+}$  and the resulting 137 138 Mn AOS in a sample can be obtained by the 'Combo' linear combination fit (LCF) analysis method of Manceau et al. (2012) using a XANES spectra database of well-characterized 139 140 monovalent Mn references. The accuracy of this method was estimated to be 0.04 valence 141 units (v.u.) in the Mn AOS range +3 to +4, which decreased when the proportion of divalent 142 Mn was greater than 15% (Manceau et al., 2012). Although this state-of-the-art LCF approach 143 has already been used to analyze the AOS of soil Mn (Herndon et al., 2014; Keiluweit et al., 144 2015), its generic applicability has not been validated by other methods available for Mn AOS 145 quantification.

In addition to the determination of Mn oxidation states, Mn K-edge XANES spectra may in principle be useful for the identification and quantification of distinct Mn species in unknown samples using LCF analysis (Frommer et al., 2011; Leven et al., 2018; Morales-

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

149 Pérez et al., 2021). However, limited numbers of fit references, spectral similarity of different 150 species, and non-unique LCF solutions can severely limit this approach (Gustafsson et al., 151 2020; Scheinost et al., 2002). 152 In contrast to XANES analyses, EXAFS spectroscopy has hitherto only rarely been 153 used for the identification and quantification of Mn species in soils and sediments (Manceau 154 et al., 2005; Mayanna et al., 2015). EXAFS evaluations of environmental samples typically 155 include shell-fit and LCF analyses (Ahmad et al., 2019; Scheckel and Ryan, 2004), the latter 156 often combined with principal component analysis (PCA) and target transformation (TT) test-157 ing (Langner et al., 2012; Manceau et al., 2002; Mikutta and Rothwell, 2016; Scheinost et al., 158 2002). Decisive for the success of Mn EXAFS LCF analysis is the existence of a comprehen-159 sive spectrum library including relevant Mn species potentially occurring in terrestrial envi-160 ronments. Several EXAFS studies provide fundamental information on the local Mn coordi-161 nation in a range of Mn compounds (Ahmad et al., 2019; Bhattacharya and Elzinga, 2018; 162 Manceau and Combes, 1988; Manceau et al., 2005; McKeown and Post, 2001; Silvester et al., 163 1997: Webb et al., 2005). However, the number of publicly available Mn EXAFS spectra is 164 neither sufficient for validation of EXAFS parameters previously determined for specific Mn 165 species, nor for Mn speciation analysis of soils and sediments. Additionally, systematic stud-166 ies on spectral uniqueness of Mn compounds are largely missing. Complicating matters fur-167 ther, EXAFS data are lacking for various potentially important Mn species such as Mn-168 containing silicate and phosphate minerals, organic Mn(II/III) compounds, and adsorbed 169 Mn(II) species. 170 The main objective of this study was to evaluate how well and to what extent different

170 The main objective of this study was to evaluate how well and to what extent different 171 Mn species potentially occurring in terrestrial surface environments such as soils and sedi-172 ments can be distinguished by Mn K-edge XANES and EXAFS spectroscopy. To this end, we 173 collected XAS spectra of 32 well-characterized mineral and organic Mn compounds and ana-

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

174 lyzed these spectra for features and structural information that allow the discrimination of 175 distinct Mn species (groups). This information is indispensable for the correct analysis and 176 interpretation of Mn XAS spectra of environmental samples. In addition, we used redox titra-177 tions to verify the accuracy of the 'Combo' XANES LCF method of Manceau et al. (2012) for 178 determining the AOS of Mn in geomaterials.

179

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 180 Manganese reference compounds

The 32 Mn reference samples analyzed in this study, 15 natural and 17 synthetic, belong to seven main groups: (1) Phyllomanganates, (2) tectomanganates, (3) oxide minerals without tunnel or layer structure, (4) Mn(III) oxyhydroxides, (5) carbonate, phosphate, and silicate minerals, (6) organic Mn(II/III) compounds, and (7) Mn(II) adsorbed to (in)organic materials. Table 1 lists all reference compounds along with information on, for example, ideal and empirical formulas, structure type where appropriate, Mn content, and XAS spectrum source.

187 Table 1

Natural mineral samples were carefully handpicked using a binocular microscope. The cleanest looking crystals or parts with least alteration were chosen for further analysis. Synthetic bixbyite, manganosite, and pyrolusite were purchased as mineral powders. Cryptomelane was synthesized according to McKenzie (1971),  $\delta$ -MnO<sub>2</sub>, hexagonal acid and triclinic Nabirnessites following Villalobos et al. (2003), manganite was synthesized using a slightly modified protocol from Chiu and Hering (2000), and Ba-free romanèchite following Shen et al. (2005).

Manganese(II) adsorption samples were prepared by batch experiments using a natural
 85:15-90:10 illite-smectite mineral with R3 ordering from Füzérradvány, Hungary (<2-μm</li>
 fraction; Dohrmann et al., 2009) and peat from a raised peat bog (Federseemoor) near Bad

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

Buchau, Germany (40-250-µm fraction; Hoffmann et al., 2012) as adsorbents. Experimental
conditions are detailed in the Supplementary material.

200

#### 201 Characterization of Mn reference compounds

202 X-ray diffraction. All available solids were analyzed by powder X-ray diffraction in Bragg-203 Brentano geometry using a Bruker AXS D4 Endeavor diffractometer equipped with a second-204 ary graphite monochromator (CuK $\alpha_{1,2}$  radiation) and a scintillation counter. X-ray diffraction 205 patterns were collected at room temperature within a 2theta range of 5-110° using a measur-206 ing time of 4-10 s per  $0.02^{\circ}$  step (tube settings: 40 kV/40 mA). The identity of all minerals 207 was confirmed (Figs. S1 and S2). Minor impurities in ramsdellite result from its transition to 208 pyrolusite. Natural pyrolusite and groutite showed minor manganite impurities. An unknown 209 reflection was found in the diffractograms of triclinic Na-birnessite and synthetic cryptome-210 lane. Remaining additional diffraction peaks in different Mn compounds could be assigned to 211 non-Mn phases such as calcite in groutite, annite in hendricksite, and quartz in braunite and in 212 the peat sample. Literature references or powder diffraction file (pdf) numbers used for XRD 213 evaluation are compiled in Table S1.

214 **Chemical composition.** Twelve natural minerals were prepared as polished and carbon-215 coated thin sections. Their chemical composition was determined by electron probe microa-216 nalysis (EPMA) using a CAMECA SX100 instrument equipped with five wavelength-217 dispersive spectrometers. A focused beam was used with an acceleration voltage of 15 kV and 218 a beam current of 15 nA for major elements (Al, Cr, K, Mg, Mn, P, Si, Ti) and 100 nA for 219 minor or trace elements (Ba, Ca, Cl, F, Fe, Na, Sr, Zn). Counting time on element peaks was 220 10 s for Al, Ba, Cr, K, Mn, Na, Si, 20 s for Ca, Cl, Fe, Mg, P, Sr, Ti, 30 s for Zn, and 50 s for 221 F. Background counting times were half on-peak counting times. The following standards 222 were used for calibrations (element, analyzer crystal): Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Fe, large lithium fluoride

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

(LLIF)), jadeite (Na, thallium acid phthalate (TAP)), kyanite (Al, TAP), Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (Mn, LLIF),
orthoclase (K, pentaerythritol (PET)), wollastonite (Si, Ca, TAP and PET, respectively), olivine (Mg, TAP), TiO<sub>2</sub> (Ti, large pentaerythritol (LPET)), Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Cr, LPET), apatite (P, PET),
NaCl (Cl, LPET), ZnS (Zn, LLIF), SrF<sub>2</sub> (F, Sr, TAP and LPET, respectively), BaSO<sub>4</sub> (Ba,
LPET). Results of these analyses are summarized in Table S2. Detection limits for each element measured are listed in Table S3.

229 The chemical composition of six synthetic mineral compounds (acid Na-birnessite, 230 cryptomelane,  $\delta$ -MnO<sub>2</sub>, manganite, Na-birnessite (tricl), romanèchite) was determined by 231 inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, Agilent 5900 SVDV) 232 after acid digestion of the samples at 120-140 °C (Table S2). Acid reagents included 69% HNO3 (Suprapur<sup>®</sup>, Roth), 37% HCl (p.a., Roth), and, if necessary, 40% HF (p.a., Merck) and 233 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Suprapur<sup>®</sup>, Merck). Peat samples were previously combusted at 550 °C overnight 234 235 in a ceramic crucible. The digestions are described in the Supplement material, and detection 236 limits for each element measured can be found in Table S3. The elemental composition of 237 remaining reference compounds is given as provided by the supplier (synthetic bixbyite, 238 manganosite, pyrolusite, organic Mn(II/III) Mn compounds) or as stated in the respective pub-239 lications (synthetic feitknechtite and todorokite) (Table 1). For references with adsorbed 240 Mn(II), the Mn content was determined as described in the Supplementary material.

Based on chemical composition, empirical mineral formulas (Table 1) were calculated after normalization to oxygen. Fractional amounts of Mn valences per formula unit were determined by charge-balance calculations (Deer, 1992). Mineral formulas of synthetic Nabirnessites and  $\delta$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> are those stated in their respective synthesis protocols. Details on empirical formula calculations are presented in the Supplementary material.

Redox titrations. Redox titrations for the determination of bulk Mn AOS were performed after Grangeon et al. (2012) using an automated titration system (TitroLine 7800, SI Analyt-

10

Zahoransky et al.

267

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

248	ics). Briefly, (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Fe(SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O ('Mohr's salt') was used to reduce Mn <sup>3+/4+</sup> in Mn refer-
249	ence compounds to dissolved $Mn^{2+}$ . The excess reductant (Fe <sup>2+</sup> ) was back-titrated with
250	$KMnO_4$ solution and total Mn determined by the re-oxidation of $Mn^{2+}$ to $Mn^{3+}$ , stabilized by
251	pyrophosphate ( $P_2O_7^{4-}$ ). All measurements were carried out in duplicates or triplicates.
252	Manganese K-edge XAS. Bulk Mn K-edge XAS spectra of 26 Mn reference compounds
253	were collected at beamline 7-3 of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL
254	Menlo Park, USA), beamline 5-BM-D of the Advanced Photon Source (APS, Argonne,
255	USA), and beamlines P64 and P65 of PETRA III at the Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron
256	(DESY, Hamburg, Germany). The beamlines were equipped with Si(220) (7-3) and Si(111)
257	double-crystal monochromators (5-BM-D, P64, P65), which were calibrated by setting the
258	first-derivative maximum of the K-edge absorption spectrum of elemental Mn to 6,539 eV
259	Higher harmonics in the beam were reduced by detuning monochromators by 15-50% and by
260	harmonic rejection mirrors (P65). In addition, 3-µm Cr filters were used to reduce undesired
261	fluorescence radiation at beamlines 7-3 and P65. Measurements were performed in both fluo-
262	rescence and transmission mode utilizing solid-state fluorescence detectors (7- or 30-element
263	Ge detectors, Vortex SDDs) and ionic chambers, respectively. To avoid beam damage, all
264	samples were measured at 5-20 K employing He-cryostats or at 77 K (5-BM-D) using a Lin-
265	cam cell. Details of the sample preparation can be found in the Supplementary material.
266	Spectra were recorded with a maximum energy increment of 5 eV before the edge and

Five to 20 scans were collected per sample. Spectra of a Mn metal foil were used to correct for slight energy shifts during sample measurements.

0.2-0.3 eV along the edge. The EXAFS was recorded with a k-space resolution of 0.05 Å<sup>-1</sup>.

Spectral pre-processing, including merging of individual scans, rebinning, and energy
calibration, was conducted in SIXPack (Webb, 2005) or Athena (Ravel and Newville, 2005).
The spectra were further processed in Athena following standard routines. The pre-edge re-

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

273 gion was fit with a linear function and the post-edge region with a quadratic polynomial. The 274 edge-step energy,  $E_0$ , was defined as the first maximum of the first XANES derivative. For 275 background removal the Autobk algorithm was applied. The frequency cut-off parameter, 276  $R_{bkg}$ , was set to 0.9-1.1, and the *k*-weight for background removal to two or three. A Hanning 277 window function with a sill width of 2 Å<sup>-1</sup> was used to Fourier transform the data.

In addition to the measured spectra, six XAS spectra were obtained from external sources (Table 1). Details on their origin and measurement can be found in the respective publications.

281 Manganese XAS data analysis. For the determination of Mn AOS and the fractional amount 282 of each Mn oxidation state present in the reference compounds, we applied the 'Combo' LCF 283 method of Manceau et al. (2012). For this, normalized XANES spectra were fit using 17 Mn 284 K-edge XANES spectra of monovalent Mn references available as open source in Manceau et 285 al. (2012) (deposit item AM-12-037), which were processed as described above. The fits were 286 performed in Athena over an energy range of -20 to 30 eV  $(E-E_0)$  by applying a non-287 negativity constraint. A single  $E_0$  shift was used for all standards. During the fits, negatively 288 loaded references were progressively eliminated until only references with positive (or zero) 289 loadings remained. Each previously deleted reference was then again randomly added and the 290 fit run again to assure that the global minimum was found using the normalized sum of 291 squared residuals (R-factor) as best-fit criterion (Manceau et al., 2012). Eventually, no nega-292 tive loadings remained and the total fraction of each Mn oxidation state in the sample ana-293 lyzed was calculated as the sum of the individual component fractions (Manceau et al., 2012). 294 Least-squares fits of  $k^3$ -weighted EXAFS spectra of Mn reference compounds were 295 performed in Artemis (Ravel and Newville, 2005) on a shell-by-shell basis in R-space ( $R+\Delta R$ 296 ~1-4 Å). R-space resolution as given by the Rayleigh criterion  $(0.5\pi/(k_{\text{max}}-k_{\text{min}}))$  was 0.14-297 0.20 Å, such that atomic shells separated by lower values could not be resolved. Theoretical 12

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

298 phase-shift and amplitude functions were calculated with FEFF6 (Ankudinov et al., 1998) 299 based on crystal-structure information. Partial occupancies due to chemical substitution as 300 revealed by chemical analyses were accounted for by adding additional atoms into the respec-301 tive feff.inp file. For structures containing two or more inequivalent Mn sites (e.g., bixbyite, 302 hausmannite) aggregated FEFF calculations were used, that is, path lists of individual sites 303 were automatically merged together and weighted by the fractional population of the site in the unit cell (Ravel, 2014). The passive amplitude reduction factor,  $S_0^2$ , was fixed to 0.8 dur-304 305 ing optimization. If individually fitted Debye-Waller parameters,  $\sigma^2$ , converged towards simi-306 lar values, they were equated in the final fit to reduce the number of fit variables. 307 Statistics. The Pearson correlation coefficient was used as a similarity metric for normalized and first-derivative XANES (E = 6.530-6.600 eV) as well as  $k^2$ -weighted EXAFS spectra (k =308 2.0-11.5 Å<sup>-1</sup>,  $E_0 = 6,563$  eV). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the  $k^2$ -309

weighted Mn EXAFS spectra. Suitability of this data for PCA was confirmed by the KaiserMeyer-Olkin (KMO) test in SPSS Statistics (IBM Corp.). In addition, an unsupervised hierar-

chical cluster analysis of the EXAFS spectra was conducted using Ward's clustering algorithm
(Ward, 1963) with squared Euclidean distances as distance measure. Correlation, PC, and

314 cluster analyses were conducted in Statistica (TIBCO Software Inc.). For linear regression

between XANES- and titration-based Mn AOS, normal distribution of data points, constant

316 variance of the dependent variable, and independence of residuals were assured by Shapiro-

317 Wilk and Spearman rank correlation tests as well as Durbin-Watson statistic, respectively,

- 318 using SigmaPlot v.14 (Systat Software Inc.).
- 319

315

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 320 XANES spectra of Mn references compounds

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

Figure 1 illustrates absorbance shifts to higher energies with increasing Mn AOS of Mn reference compounds. Here, a zero-energy value was defined by setting the first maximum of the first XANES derivative in the pre-edge region (~6,539 eV) to 0 eV. The ordinate represents the relative energy at which the normalized absorbance XANES signal first reaches 50% of the edge absorption maximum. Manganese compounds belonging to different Mn AOS groups are clearly separated, confirming that XANES data allow a general classification of

327 Mn species with respect to Mn oxidation state.

328

#### Figure 1

329 Figures 2 and 3 show normalized XANES and corresponding first-derivative spectra of Mn 330 reference compounds, and Table 2 summarizes primary absorption peaks identified up to 6,570 eV. Phyllo- and tectomanganates with varying amounts of Mn<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>4+</sup> generally 331 332 show XANES spectra with broad pre-peaks at 6,541.5-6,543.4 eV, smoothly rising absorption edges, and maximal absorbances between 6,560.3 and 6,562.5 eV (Fig. 2, Table 2). The simi-333 334 larity of their XANES features suggests that a unique identification of single members in mix-335 tures would not generally be possible. However, lithiophorite exhibits a unique edge feature, 336 leading to two distinct peaks in the first-derivative XANES spectrum at 6,551.5 and 6,557.5 337 eV. This feature may aid the identification of lithiophorite in mixtures with other phyl-338 lomanganates. Among tectomanganates, cryptomelane (2×2 tunnels), hollandite sensu stricto (s.s.) ( $2 \times 2$  tunnels), romanèchite ( $2 \times 3$  tunnels), and todorokite ( $3 \times 3$  tunnels) possess similar 339 340 XANES spectra. In contrast, the first-derivative XANES of pyrolusite  $(1 \times 1 \text{ tunnels})$  and ramsdellite (1×2 tunnels) feature characteristic double peaks at ~6,552 and ~6,558 eV (Fig. 2, 341 342 Table 2), potentially allowing the identification of tectomanganates with the smallest tunnel 343 sizes in environmental samples. Differences in XANES spectra observed for natural and syn-

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

thetic tectomanganates (cryptomelanes, pyrolusites, todorokites) reflect the sensitivity of 344 345 XANES spectroscopy to variations in mineral composition and/or crystallinity (Fig. 2). 346 Manganese oxides without layer or tunnel structure such as bixbyite (ferric Mn(III) 347 oxide), hausmannite (Mn(II/III) spinel), and manganosite (Mn(II) oxide) show a greater vari-348 ability in their XANES spectra, reflecting different Mn oxidation states and Mn coordination 349 environments (Fig. 3). While natural and synthetic bixbyites show featureless absorption edg-350 es similar to phyllo- and tectomanganates (but distinct differences in their first-derivative 351 spectra), hausmannite exhibits a prominent shoulder in the absorption edge at  $\sim$ 6,553 eV and 352 a well-defined absorption maximum at 6,559.1 eV (Fig. 3, Table 2). Similarly, the XANES of 353 manganosite possesses a modulated absorption edge, a well-defined absorption maximum at 354 6,555.0 eV, and a distinct post-edge oscillation at 6,568.6 eV (Fig. 3, Table 2). These results 355 suggest that hausmannite and manganosite can be readily identified in soils and sediments 356 based on XANES analysis.

The  $Mn^{3+}O(OH)$  polymorphs feitknechtite, groutite, and manganite exhibit similar XANES features, consisting of smoothly rising absorption edges with two inflection points at ~6,549 and ~6,556 eV, and absorption maxima located at ~6,560 eV (Fig. 3, Table 2). Variations among these minerals are subtle, and suggest that groutite and manganite are virtually indistinguishable by XANES spectroscopy.

Carbonate, phosphate, and silicate minerals have unique XANES spectra which also differ from those of Mn (oxyhydr)oxide minerals. Unsurprisingly, this group displays the greatest diversity of XANES characteristics. Triplite, a  $Mn^{2+}$ -containing phosphate, shows a steep rise in the absorption edge with a non-unique absorption maximum of 6,552.7 eV. In contrast, rhodochrosite has a pronounced absorption-edge maximum at 6,551.1 eV and a distinct post-edge absorption maximum at 6,562.4 eV, leading to diagnostic first-derivative XANES maxima at 6,548.9 and 6,559.9 eV (Fig. 3, Table 2). The two Mn<sup>2+</sup>-bearing triocta-

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

hedral micas hendricksite and masutomilite show similar spectral features with double absorbance peaks at ~6,552 and ~6,557 eV, which are distinct from all other Mn compounds. The identification of 2:1 phyllosilicates with structural  $Mn^{2+}$  may thus be possible in unknown sample materials. Braunite, a Mn(II/III) nesosilicate, shows a marked step in the absorption edge and two pronounced peaks in the first-derivative XANES at 6,548.1 and 6,552.9 eV (Fig. 3, Table 2), comparable to feitknechtite.

The XANES of organic Mn compounds differ from each other: While Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate has a smoothly rising absorption edge and a broad absorbance maximum similar to triplite, Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate shows a marked shoulder in the rising part of the edge, a sharp absorption maximum at 6,553.3 eV, and a characteristic double peak in the firstderivative XANES at 6,547.0 and 6,551.5 eV (Fig. 3, Table 2). In contrast, Mn(III) acetate dihydrate exhibits a broad, undulating absorption maximum, which is distinct from all other Mn references (Fig. 3).

382 Samples with adsorbed Mn(II) (illite, peat) are characterized by smoothly rising ab-383 sorption edges, well-defined absorption maxima at  $\sim$ 6.553 eV, and a comparatively feature-384 less post-edge absorption (Fig. 3, Table 2). In comparison to the peat samples, Mn(II) ad-385 sorbed to illite has a less prominent white-line and two discernable main peaks in the first-386 derivative XANES (Fig. 3). The XANES spectra of the peat samples are different from all 387 other references, and resemble those of Mn(II) citrate, Mn(II) malate, and Mn(II) succinate 388 (Fernando et al., 2010). This result suggests that Mn(II) complexed by natural organic matter 389 should be uniquely identifiable in environmental samples. Noteworthy, peat samples prepared 390 at pH 5 and 7 possess identical XANES spectra, implying that pH had no effect on the coor-391 dination environment of organically bound Mn(II) (Fig. 3).

392 Our results illustrate that Mn compounds differ in absorption-edge energies as related 393 to Mn AOS, and that several Mn compounds and mineral classes show characteristic inflec-

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

394 tion points in their XANES spectra. Manganese K-edge XANES features are more pro-395 nounced in the respective first-derivative spectra. Since small post-edge normalization errors 396 do not affect the shape of the derivatives (Manceau et al., 2012), they might be better suited 397 for fingerprinting and LCF analysis than the respective absorbance spectrum. However, 398 XANES LCF analyses of natural samples may become biased because XANES spectra of 399 individual Mn compounds can differ substantially due to variations in chemical composition 400 and/or crystallinity, as evidenced for bixbyites, cryptomelanes, pyrolusites, and todorokites 401 (Figs. 2 and 3).

402

### Figures 2 and 3, Table 2

#### 403 Average oxidation state of Mn reference compounds

To obtain information on the Mn AOS and relative fractions of Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>3+</sup>, and Mn<sup>4+</sup> in the 404 reference compounds, we used the XANES LCF 'Combo' method (Manceau et al., 2012). 405 The results are tabulated in Table 3. Note, different from other LCF procedures, the 'Combo' 406 407 method does not claim the samples to be mixtures of the standards (Manceau et al., 2012; 408 Manceau and Nagy, 2012). Therefore, no uncertainties are assigned to individual fractions or 409 the derived AOS. Manceau et al. (2012) estimated the accuracy of AOS determination by the 410 'Combo' method to be  $\sim 0.04$  v.u. in phyllo- and tectomanganates (AOS range 3.0-4.0) with a negligible amount of  $Mn^{3+}$  in layer structures and less than about 15%  $Mn^{2+}$ . Based on our fit 411 412 results for the synthetic monovalent Mn references manganosite (AOS 2.0), bixbyite (AOS 413 3.0), and pyrolusite (AOS 4.0), the absolute accuracy of Mn AOS determination using the 414 'Combo' method was not better than 0.12 v.u. Regression of nominal vs. LCF-derived AOS for these references resulted in a standard error of the AOS estimate of 0.07 v.u. ( $R^2 = 0.995$ , 415 416 p < 0.001). This result is consistent with Manceau et al. (2012) showing that increasing proportions of  $Mn^{2+}$  lead to a decreased accuracy of the LCF 'Combo' method. 417

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

418	Manganese reference compounds with a nominal Mn AOS of two ( $N = 10$ ) yielded
419	XANES-derived AOS of 2.0-2.49 ( $\bar{x} = 2.13$ ). In this group, higher AOS determined for hen-
420	dricksite (2.21), masutomilite (2.49), and Mn(II) adsorbed to illite (2.35) suggest the presence
421	of up to 50% Mn <sup>3+</sup> . For the mica minerals, this result can be explained by partial oxidation of
422	$Mn^{2+}$ in their octahedral layers. For the illite sample, the result may indicate the formation of
423	a Mn(III/IV) phase upon partial re-oxidation of adsorbed Mn(II), but this could not be con-
424	firmed by EXAFS shell-fit results (see below). LCF results of reference compounds with a
425	nominal AOS of three $(N = 6)$ and four $(N = 4)$ , were largely in agreement with their nominal
426	AOS ( $\bar{x} = 3.07$ and 3.96, respectively; Table 3).

427 Table 3

428 In order to validate XANES-based Mn AOS, we performed redox titrations for selected refer-429 ence compounds with Mn concentrations >1.5 wt.% (N = 25). These experiments showed that 430 the AOS of hausmannite was significantly overestimated by the 'Combo' method, most likely because its pronounced Jahn-Teller distortion of Mn<sup>3+</sup>O<sub>6</sub> octahedra (Jarosch, 1987) was not 431 432 adequately reflected by the standard spectra used (Manceau et al., 2012). An unreasonable 433 titration-based Mn AOS was also observed for triplite (Table 3), which can be attributed to 434 incomplete reductive dissolution of the sample during the experiment. With the exception of 435 these two references, the Mn AOS derived from redox titrations were in excellent agreement with the XANES-based AOS ( $R^2 = 0.988$ ) (Fig. 4). The regression slope was  $0.997 \pm 0.024$  (p 436 437 <0.0001) and the standard error of estimate 0.08. This result implies that the 'Combo' LCF 438 method, when applied to environmental samples, provides accurate Mn AOS data within ap-439 proximately 0.1 v.u. for the entire Mn valence range +2 to +4.

440

## Figure 4

### 441 EXAFS spectra of Mn references compounds

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

Figure 5 shows  $k^3$ -weighted Mn K-edge EXAFS spectra and Fourier-transform (FT) magnitudes and real parts of one representative of each Mn species group along with the corresponding model fit. The figure exemplifies the diversity of local Mn bonding environments, which can be expected in natural samples. Corresponding data of the remaining references are shown in Figures S3 and S4. EXAFS shell-fit parameters of all compounds are summarized in Table 4. In the following, we detail EXAFS results for each species group, and clarify (dis)similarities of EXAFS parameters among species (groups).

449

#### Figure 5, Table 4

450 **Phyllo- and tectomanganates.** Figure 6 illustrates  $k^3$ -weighted Mn K-edge EXAFS spectra of 451 phyllo- and tectomanganates. Phyllomanganates with hexagonal layer symmetry (acid Na-452 birnessite, δ-MnO<sub>2</sub>, lithiophorite) show very similar EXAFS spectra featuring comparatively symmetrical oscillations centered at approximately 4.2, 6.7, 9.2, and 10.4 Å<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast, the 453 spectrum of triclinic birnessite exhibits a split of the small oscillation between 7.9 and 8.2  $\text{Å}^{-1}$ . 454 This antinode splitting is attributed to the ordering of structural  $Mn^{3+}$  in triclinic birnessite 455 456 (Ling et al., 2018; Webb et al., 2005) and allows the distinction of phyllomanganates with hexagonal and triclinic layer symmetry. The peak near 8.0 Å<sup>-1</sup> was previously used as a diag-457 458 nostic fingerprint to differentiate between phyllo- and tectomanganates (McKeown and Post, 459 2001). In this study, however, also  $2\times3$  and  $3\times3$  tectomanganates exhibit a similar spectral 460 feature in this region (Fig. 6).

461 Tectomanganates can be generally discerned from phyllomanganates by a gradually 462 emerging left-side shoulder of the 6.7-Å<sup>-1</sup> oscillation. This shoulder becomes more intense 463 with increasing content of corner-sharing octahedra (i.e., smaller tunnel size) and eventually 464 results in a split oscillation at 6.4 and 6.7 Å<sup>-1</sup>. The relative intensities of this double-feature 465 are reversed for pyrolusite (1×1 tunnel) as compared to other tectomanganates (Manceau and

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

466	Combes, 1988) (Fig. 6). Tectomanganates with $2 \times 2$ (hollandite s.s., cryptomelane), $1 \times 2$
467	(ramsdellite), and 1×1 (pyrolusite) tunnel structure also possess a pronounced and diagnostic
468	oscillation at ~7.4 Å <sup>-1</sup> , which increases and shifts to lower wavenumbers with decreasing tun-
469	nel size (Fig. 6). Pyrolusites show two distinct troughs at 7.8 and 8.1 Å <sup>-1</sup> , which are also visi-
470	ble in attenuated form for ramsdellite, and thus can serve as another diagnostic fingerprint for
471	tectomanganates with small tunnel sizes. In combination with the pronounced peak near 7.4
472	Å <sup>-1</sup> and the unique oscillation at 9.5 Å <sup>-1</sup> , these results suggest that pyrolusite should be unam-
473	biguously identifiable in mixtures of phyllo- and tectomanganates.

474 All manganates are additionally characterized by an oscillation at 10.2-10.5 Å<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 475 6). Because this oscillation is not accompanied by a pronounced right-side shoulder in case of 476 phyllomanganates with hexagonal layer structure (Fig. 6), it can aid the identification of tec-477 tomanganates, especially those with  $1\times1$ ,  $1\times2$ , and  $2\times2$  tunnel structures, in mixtures with 478 hexagonal phyllomanganates.

479 First O neighbors of Mn in phyllomanganates could be modelled with a single shell at 480 1.90-1.92 Å (Figs. 5 and S3a). Mn-Mn distances of edge-sharing octahedra (Mn-Mn1) were 481 fit at 2.87-2.92 Å, which accord with values published for hexagonal and pseudo-orthogonal phyllomanganate structures (Webb et al., 2005). For hexagonal birnessite and  $\delta$ -MnO<sub>2</sub>, we 482 obtained Mn-Mn2 distances of 3.44-3.49 Å (Table 4). These distances are typically assigned 483 to triple corner-sharing  $Mn^{3+}$  octahedra at interlayer sites above or below cation vacancies 484 485 (Ling et al., 2018; Silvester et al., 1997; Villalobos et al., 2003). Similar to triclinic birnessite, 486 no Mn-Mn2 contribution was observed for lithiophorite, in agreement with the presence of 487 exclusively edge-sharing octahedra (Lanson et al., 2000; McKeown and Post, 2001; Silvester 488 et al., 1997; Villalobos et al., 2003). Two additional O shells were fit at 3.56(3) and 4.14(12) 489 Å, which accord with the lithiophorite structure published by Wadsley (1952) (Table 4).

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

490	Tectomanganates (Figs. 5 and S3b) show similar variations in their Mn-O, Mn-Mn1,
491	and Mn-Mn2 distances as compared to phyllomanganates (Table 4). Within this group, first-
492	shell O neighbors are located at 1.88-1.92 Å, and second- and third-shell Mn neighbors at
493	2.87-2.90 and 3.43-3.48 Å, respectively. Shell-fit models also included additional O shells at
494	3.7-3.8 Å (todorokites) and 3.9-4.0 Å (pyrolusites) as well as higher Mn shells at 3.7-3.8 Å
495	(hollandite s.s.) and 4.4 Å (pyrolusites) (Bolzan et al., 1993; Miura, 1986; Post et al., 2003)
496	(Table 4). It generally follows that Mn coordination environments in phyllo- and tectomanga-
497	nates are largely similar, such that interatomic distances up to the third coordination shell pre-
498	clude any meaningful discrimination of these minerals in environmental samples using EX-
499	AFS shell-fit analysis.

500

#### Figure 6

501 **Oxide minerals without layer or tunnel structure.** Bixbyite possesses two inequivalent Mn 502 sites with an occupancy of 25 and 75% in its unit cell (Geshnizgani, 2014). As a result, two O 503 subshells at ~1.91 and ~2.24 Å were needed to account for the generally low first-shell ampli-504 tudes of natural and synthetic bixbyite (Fig. S3c). The cubic structure of bixbyite is further 505 characterized by edge- and corner-sharing  $Mn^{3+}$  octahedra, for which we obtained Mn-Mn 506 distances of 3.09-3.11 and 3.54-3.57 Å, respectively (Table 4). These distances are consistent 507 with those published by Longo et al. (2010).

The unit cell of hausmannite also contains two inequivalent Mn sites (Jarosch, 1987). Here, tetrahedral sites are occupied by  $Mn^{2+}$  and octahedral sites by  $Mn^{3+}$  cations. For octahedrally coordinated  $Mn^{3+}$ , Mn-O distances were fit with 4.1(3) equatorial O atoms at 1.95(1) Å and 1.9(3) axial O atoms at 2.27(1) Å, which account for the octahedral Jahn-Teller distortion approximately parallel to [001] (Jarosch, 1987). A third O shell (~2.01 Å) for tetrahedrally coordinated  $Mn^{2+}$  (Longo et al., 2010) was too close to the Mn-O<sub>eq</sub> distance to be included in

Zahoransky et al.

514	the model and did not improve the fit (F-test; Hamilton, 1965). First-shell oxygens are fol-
515	lowed by two Mn subshells at 2.88(1) and 3.10(0) Å, corresponding to edge-sharing $Mn^{3+}$
516	octahedra (Longo et al., 2010). The third FT peak of hausmannite (Figs. 5 and S3c) was re-
517	produced with Mn shells at 3.44(1) and 3.73(1) Å (Table 4). These values are consistent with
518	tetrahedra-octahedra corner linkages (Longo et al., 2010) and Mn-Mn distances of $Mn^{2+}$ tetra-
519	hedra, respectively (Jarosch, 1987).

520 In contrast to the former two minerals, the first FT peak of cubic manganosite could be 521 modeled with a single O shell at 2.22(0) Å (Fig. S3c). Analogous to Ressler et al. (1999),

522 higher coordination shells were fit with Mn neighbors at 3.13(0) Å, indicative of edge-sharing

523  $Mn^{2+}$  octahedra, and third-shell O atoms at 3.84(0) Å (Fig. S3c, Table 4).

524 Manganese(III) oxyhydroxides. Minerals of this group are characterized by reduced first-525 shell amplitudes typically caused by the broad distribution of Mn-O bond lengths and associ-526 ated scattering cancellation effects (Silvester et al., 1997; Webb et al., 2005) (Figs. 5 and 527 S4a). Consequently, first-shell oxygens in Mn(III) oxyhydroxides were fit with two or three O 528 subshells. For feitknechtite, we obtained Mn-O distances of 1.91(0) and 2.22(1) Å, for grout-529 ite 1.93(1), 2.15(4), and 2.34(2) Å, and for manganite 1.93(0) and 2.28(1) Å (Table 4). Sec-530 ond-shell Mn in feitknechtite was fit at 2.83(1) and 2.99(0) Å, respectively, and third-shell 531 Mn at 3.33(1) Å (Table 4). The latter distance is considerably shorter than the 3.43 Å reported 532 by Mackle et al. (1993), but more consistent with the presumed sole presence of edge-sharing  $Mn^{3+}$  octahedra in feitknechtite. The coordination numbers (*CNs*) of the two Mn1 subshells in 533 534 feithnechtite were previously either set to four and two, respectively (Ressler et al., 1999), or 535 to unity (Mackle et al., 1993). We obtained CNs of 1.9(3) and 3.8(11) (Table 4), which are in 536 good agreement with the values used by Ressler et al. (1999).

537 In contrast to the other two polymorphs, first Mn neighbors in groutite could be fit 538 with a single shell at 2.88(1) Å (Table 4). Higher Mn coordination shells corresponding to

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

539	edge- and corner-sharing $Mn^{3+}$ octahedra occur at 3.38(3) and 3.61(1) Å, respectively (Table
540	4). These distances are within the error range of values published by Scheinost et al. (2001).
541	For manganite, we obtained Mn-Mn1 distances of 2.77(1) and 2.98(2) Å, a Mn-Mn2 distance
542	of 3.69(1), and a Mn-Mn3 distance of 3.83(2) Å (Table 4). The former can be assigned to Mn
543	atoms in chains of edge-sharing $Mn^{3+}$ octahedra and the latter two to corner-sharing octahedra
544	(Mackle et al., 1993). Even though our Mn-Mn1/2 distances are up to $\sim 0.07$ Å longer than
545	those reported for manganite by Mackle et al. (1993), they fit well with crystallographic data
546	(Dachs, 1963). Contrary to Mackle et al. (1993), who postulated a similar local Mn coordina-
547	tion environment in manganite and feitknechtite, our data imply a substantially different Mn
548	coordination within these minerals.

549 Carbonate, phosphate, and silicate minerals. In minerals of this group, atoms other than O 550 and Mn/Fe contribute to their EXAFS: Al/Si in masutomilite, C in rhodochrosite, P in triplite, 551 and Zn in hendricksite. To the best of our knowledge, most of these minerals have not previ-552 ously been studied by Mn EXAFS spectroscopy. Their spectra and model fits are depicted in 553 Figs. 5 and S4b. Generally, first-shell O/F neighbors within this mineral group are found at 554 distances of 1.94-2.55 Å, and were accounted for by one (hendricksite, masutomilite, rhodo-555 chrosite), two (triplite) or three subshells (braunite) (Table 4). Braunite shows a very broad 556 first FT peak with low amplitude (Fig. S4b), which was fit with 2.6(2), 2.1(3), and 0.9(3) O 557 neighbors at 1.94(1), 2.25(1), and 2.55(3) Å, respectively. Higher shells included 1.9(5) Mn 558 atoms at 3.17(1) Å, typical of edge-sharing Mn octahedra, and 5.3(18) O atoms at 3.77(2) Å 559 (Table 4). All parameters agree well with crystallographic data (Ohmann et al., 1998).

The first coordination shell of hendricksite was fit with 4.9(9) O atoms at 2.16(1) Å, implying fairly distorted Mn<sup>2+</sup> octahedra in this mica mineral. Second-shell signals arise from Mn/Fe and Zn neighbors at 3.14(1) Å, sharing the same atomic position in the unit cell (Robert, 1985). The *CNs* of second-shell Mn/Fe and Zn atoms were set to 2.0 and 2.5, respectively, 23

Zahoransky et al.

564

565

#### Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

their low concentrations (Table 1).

567 Similar to hendricksite, the first coordination shell in masutomilite was reproduced by

568 4.9(9) O/F atoms at a distance of 2.15(1) Å. The CNs of second-shell Al and Mn, both located

569 at 3.02(5) Å, were set to 2.5 and 0.4, respectively, based on chemical analysis (1.23 apfu Al

570 and 0.18 apfu Mn in octahedral coordination). Octahedral-layer Li was not included in the

571 model due to its low scattering amplitude. Higher shells of masutomilite include four Al/Si

atoms at 3.24(3) Å and four O atoms at 3.47(3) Å (Table 4).

For rhodochrosite, the only important Mn carbonate occurring in soils and sediments (Barreto et al., 2016; Ying et al., 2011), first O neighbors belonging to the  $CO_3^{2-}$  group were fit at 2.19(1) Å. These were followed by a C shell at 3.08(2) Å, Mn shells at 3.79(1) and 4.75(1) Å, and O shells at 3.28(1), 4.10(3), and 4.58(1) Å (Table 4). All distances are in agreement with Friedl et al. (1997), except for the longest Mn-O distance, which is ~0.03 Å shorter than previously reported.

The FT of triplite is dominated by first-shell F/O atoms, followed by two smaller peaks hosting Fe/Mn and P neighbors (Fig. S4b). First-shell F and O atoms were fit at 2.05(3) and 2.15(1) Å, respectively, atoms of edge-sharing Fe/Mn octahedra at 2.93(2) Å, and P atoms at 3.55(1) Å (Table 4). Chemical analysis suggested 1.6 F and 4.4 O neighbors (0.79 apfu F and 2.21 apfu O) in the first coordination shell, which is close to theoretical F and O *CN*s of two and four, respectively (Waldrop, 1969).

585 **Organic Mn(II/III) compounds.** The local Mn coordination in Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate, 586 Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate, and Mn(III) acetate dihydrate has, to our knowledge, not previously 587 been studied by EXAFS spectroscopy. Their spectra are displayed in Figures 5 and S4c. The 588 first coordination shell of both Mn(II) compounds was fit with approximately six O atoms at

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

589	2.18(1) Å. In contrast, two O subshells housing 5.2(5) and 1.5(5) O atoms at 1.93(0) and
590	2.20(1) Å, respectively, were needed to model the first FT peak of Mn(III) acetate dihydrate
591	(Table 4). For Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate, distances of C and Mn shells were fit at 3.19(4)
592	and 3.40(2) Å, respectively (Table 4). Remarkably, the best fit was obtained with C and Mn
593	CNs fixed to nominal values of monoclinic Mn(II) acetate dihydrate ( $P2_1/c$ ) (Cheng and
594	Wang, 1991). The determined Mn-Mn distance is 0.2 Å shorter than expected for single cor-
595	ner-sharing Mn octahedra present in monoclinic Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate ( $P2_1/c$ ) (Bertaut
596	et al., 1974; Tranqui et al., 1977), but is consistent with long edge-sharing octahedral linkages
597	as in Mn(II) acetate dihydrate (Cheng and Wang, 1991).

In Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate, four proximal C atoms are located at 2.95(2) Å, followed by distant O atoms at 3.93(2) Å. In addition, three multiple-scattering (MS) paths were included in the model (Table 4), which significantly improved the fit (*F*-test). Our fit results comply with XRD data for monoclinic Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate (C2/c), and demonstrate the absence of octahedral linkages in Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate (Puzan et al., 2018).

In Mn(III) acetate dihydrate, the first coordination shell is followed by four proximal C atoms at 2.98(2) Å, a substantially shorter distance compared to Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate (Table 4). Best fits of higher coordination shells were obtained with two Mn atoms at 3.40(0) Å and two O atoms at 4.37(5) Å (Table 4). Both, distances and *CNs* comply with XRD data for monoclinic Mn(III) triacetate dihydrate ( $P2_1/m$ ), in which Mn octahedra are linked to chains via single corners (Le Bail, 2016; personal communication to the crystallography open database (COD), COD ID 3500063).

Adsorbed Mn(II) species. To the best of our knowledge, molecular-scale information on Mn(II) adsorbed to clay minerals (illite) and particulate natural organic matter (peat) is currently not available. Spectra of Mn(II) adsorbed to illite and peat are illustrated in Figures 5 and S4d. The EXAFS of Mn(II) adsorbed to illite is dominated by first-shell signals, housing 25

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

614	two O atoms at 1.92(1) Å and four O atoms at 2.16(1) Å (Table 4). Implementation of Al or
615	Si backscatterers into the model proved unsuccessful, suggesting that hydrated $Mn^{2+}$ ions are
616	primarily adsorbed as outersphere complexes on siloxane surfaces. We found no evidence of a
617	Mn(III/IV) phase, which could explain the XANES- and titration-derived AOS values >2 ob-
618	tained for the illite sample (Table 3). This may suggest a similar adsorption mechanism for
619	Mn <sup>3+</sup> ions or a systematic error in both AOS determination methods, which we consider less
620	likely.

621 Nearly identical shell-fit results were obtained for Mn(II) adsorbed to peat at pH 5 and 622 7, showing that pH had a negligible effect on the coordination of organically bound Mn(II). Both EXAFS were well reproduced with one proximal C atom at 3.20(7) (pH 5) and 623 3.21(8) Å (pH 7), implying monodentate Mn<sup>2+</sup> complexation by carboxyl groups. Owing to 624 625 their low scattering amplitudes, distal C atoms were not included in the model; instead, an obtuse triangle Mn-C-O MS path was used to reproduce the low FT peaks at ~4.5 Å (Fig. 5, 626 Table 4). Interestingly,  $Mn^{2+}$  complexation by particulate organic matter did not lead to  $Mn^{2+}$ 627 628 oxidation under oxic conditions (Table 3).

629 **Comparison of interatomic distances.** When XAS is applied to soils or sediments, over-630 absorption can frequently affect the EXAFS amplitude, leading to a bias of fitted *CNs*. Addi-631 tionally, *CNs* can be influenced by poor crystallinity (i.e., high static disorder) and/or small 632 particle size relative to crystalline reference compounds (O'Day et al., 2004). As these issues 633 do not affect the EXAFS frequency, atomic distances are more robust parameters when com-634 paring average Mn coordination environments of natural samples.

Figure 7 compiles all absorber-single scatter distances determined in this study. The figure also includes data from various literature sources for comparison (Table S4). Note that the designation of individual coordination shells is somewhat arbitrary, and for simplicity, atoms in subshells were considered part of a 'shell'. As evidenced by Friedl et al. (1997), Mn-

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

639	O bond distances depend on the oxidation state of Mn. In agreement with this, the shortest
640	Mn-O distances were observed for tectomanganates, and the longest Mn-O distances for
641	(in)organic Mn(II) species (Fig. 7). In general, average first-shell distances of all reference
642	compounds can be classified according to their XANES-derived Mn AOS: 2.14±0.04 Å ( $\bar{x}\pm\sigma$ )
643	for AOS 2.0-2.5 members ( $N = 10$ ), 2.02±0.06 Å for AOS 3.0-3.5 members ( $N = 9$ ), and
644	$1.90\pm0.01$ Å for AOS 3.7-4.0 members ( $N = 13$ ). Differences in average Mn-O/F bond
645	lengths of AOS groups are significant at the $p < 0.05$ level (Kruskal-Wallis rank-based ANO-
646	VA, Dunn's method), except for the AOS 3.0-3.5 and 3.7-4.0 groups. Figure 7 also illustrates
647	that split shells of first O neighbors are absent in the phyllo- and tectomanganate groups. In
648	contrast, Mn-O1 subshells or longer Mn-O distances are typical features of all other Mn spe-
649	cies groups. Likewise, Mn-Mn1 distances of edge-sharing Mn octahedra in phyllo- and tec-
650	tomanganates (2.87-2.92 Å) are substantially shorter than corresponding (average) Mn-Mn
651	distances in (1) oxides without layer or tunnel structure (3.03-3.13 Å; bixbyite, hausmannite,
652	manganosite), (2) members of the phosphate and silicate group (2.93-3.17 Å; braunite, hen-
653	dricksite, masutomilite, triplite), and (3) organic Mn(II) compounds (3.40 Å; Mn(II) acetate
654	tetrahydrate). Although Mn-Mn1 distances of phyllo- and tectomanganates are similar to (av-
655	erage) Mn-Mn1 distances in Mn(III) oxyhydroxides, the need to fit two Mn-Mn1 subshells to
656	an EXAFS spectrum of an environmental sample, may indicate the presence of feitknechtite
657	and manganite (or hausmannite) (Fig. 7).
658	Organic Mn(II/III) compounds may possess edge- or corner-sharing MnO <sub>c</sub> linkages

658 Organic Mn(II/III) compounds may possess edge- or corner-sharing MnO<sub>6</sub> linkages, 659 whose Mn-Mn distances can overlap with those of manganate and oxyhydroxide minerals, 660 notably groutite (Fig. 7). In contrast, organically complexed Mn(II) species lack Mn or other 661 heavy atoms in higher coordination shells. Their Mn-C distances are either significantly 662 shorter or longer than the Mn-C distance of rhodochrosite (3.08(2) Å), thus facilitating the

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

distinction between rhodochrosite and organic Mn(II) species in environmental samples(Fig. 7).

665

Figure 7

666

667

### 668 Statistical XAS spectrum comparisons

Key to species detection and quantification by means of Mn XAS LCF is spectral dissimilari-669 670 ty. Therefore, Pearson correlations were used to compare the (dis)similarity of XAS spectra of 671 all reference compounds. Normalized XANES spectra (6,530-6,600 eV) were highly positive-672 ly correlated due to similar overall shape (Fig. S5), complicating a meaningful species identi-673 fication and quantification based on LCF analysis of this data without auxiliary information. 674 Significantly lower spectral correlations for first-derivative XANES spectra (Fig. S6) indicate 675 much better discriminative power of these data. Here, low and moderate correlations (r < 0.7) 676 exist between adsorbed Mn(II) species and most other species-group members. The same 677 holds for carbonate, silicate, and phosphate minerals as well organic Mn(II) compounds (Fig. 678 S6). This suggests that members of these groups can likely be identified based on their first-679 derivative XANES, provided data quality is sufficient. However, high correlations (r > 0.8) 680 were still observed between manganates, oxide minerals without layer or tunnel structure, and 681 Mn(III) oxyhydroxides, making it difficult to identify and quantify individual members of 682 these species groups in mixtures using first-derivative XANES spectra (Fig. S6). A much bet-683 ter discriminative power can be achieved on the basis of Mn EXAFS spectra. Figure 8 shows the Pearson correlation matrix of  $k^2$ -weighted EXAFS spectra ( $k = 2.0-11.5 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ ,  $E_0 = 6,563$ 684 eV) of all reference compounds. A  $k^2$ -weighting was chosen because environmental studies 685 686 usually deal with low-Mn concentration samples that would not allow a higher k-weighting in

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: *Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds* 

687 LCF analyses without risking excessive spectral noise amplification. However, caution must 688 be exercised in interpreting these correlation coefficients because strong correlations were 689 observed for species whose EXAFS spectra differ substantially, for example, pyrolusite and 690 Ba-free romanèchite (r = 0.82) (cf. Fig. 6). It follows that correlation coefficients of less than 691 approximately 0.8 can be taken as indication of spectral dissimilarity. Using this criterion, 692 Figure 8 shows that EXAFS spectra of most reference compounds are unique, only exceptions being spectra of several members of manganates, organic Mn(II/III) compounds, adsorbed 693 694 Mn(II) species, and triplite. This result confirms the supreme potential of EXAFS LCF analy-695 sis to identify and quantify individual Mn species in environmental samples.

Next, we performed a PCA to reduce the dimensionality of the  $k^2$ -weighted Mn K-696 697 edge EXAFS dataset and clarify spectral group membership. Output parameters for the first 698 ten principal components (PCs) are summarized in Table S5. The number of statistical mean-699 ingful PCs based on eigenvalues >1 (Kaiser-Guttman criterion; Guttman, 1954) was five (Ta-700 ble S5). These PCs explained 88.6% of the total variance of all Mn EXAFS spectra, suggest-701 ing five superordinate variables defining spectral group membership. A loading plot of PC1 702 vs. PC2, explaining 56.08% and 13.33% of spectral variance, respectively, is illustrated in 703 Figure 9. Here, PC1 mainly reflects the oxidation state of Mn reference compounds, as mem-704 bers of manganates load highly negatively, Mn(II) species positively, and species with pre-705 dominantly Mn(III) intermediately and negatively on PC1. Combined, PCA implies that at 706 least five Mn species (groups) can be distinguished in the entire EXAFS data set, and Figure 9 707 suggests that the Mn oxidation state plays a major role.

To validate the PCA results, an unsupervised tree-clustering analysis was performed using Ward's method (Ward, 1963). Figure 10 shows the output of the cluster analysis. The length of the horizontal lines (linkage distances) is proportional to spectral dissimilarity. Like PCA, hierarchical cluster analysis generally separated Mn(III/IV) phases from Mn(III)- and

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

712	Mn(II)-containing compounds at a linkage distance of 25-40 (Fig. 10). A division into five
713	meaningful clusters, as suggested by PCA, is given at a linkage distance of about 18. Here,
714	cluster one consists of all phyllomanganates and tectomanganates with large tunnel sizes ( $2 \times 2$
715	and larger) including hollandite s.s. (2×2 tectomanganate). Cluster two comprises tectoman-
716	ganates with small tunnel sizes (2×2 and smaller). Minerals dominated by Mn(III) are
717	grouped into cluster three. Cluster four unites all Mn(II) species, and cluster five is exclusive-
718	ly formed by manganosite. A closer inspection of inner-cluster variability revealed that bixby-
719	ite, hausmannite, hendricksite, masutomilite, pyrolusite, and rhodochrosite are clearly distinct
720	within their respective clusters. While EXAFS spectra with a linkage distance >6 show dis-
721	criminable spectral features, they become virtually indistinguishable at a linkage distance <5
722	based on direct comparisons. This implies that six of the 32 Mn reference compounds (haus-
723	mannite, hendricksite, manganosite, masutomilite, Mn(III) acetate dihydrate, rhodochrosite)
724	are clearly recognizable and quantifiable as individual species when present in mixtures. All
725	other Mn compounds can at least be reliably assigned to a particular species group.

726

#### Figures 8-10

727 IMPLICATIONS

Research on Mn oxidation states in soils and sediments is prerequisite to better understand redox cycling of Mn and its participation in environmental processes. Since accurate Mn AOS determination in soils and sediments by wet-chemical methods is impossible due to the excess of other electron donors/acceptors, especially Fe and organic matter, XANES spectroscopy is currently the only available tool for process-oriented research relying on this key parameter. By comparing XANES LCF- and redox titration-based AOS results for mono- and multivalent Mn compounds, we found that the XANES LCF 'Combo' method of Manceau et al.

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

(2012) provides accurate Mn AOS data within approximately 0.1 v.u. over the entire naturalMn valence range.

737 Linear combination fitting of XAS spectra is a widely used method for the identifica-738 tion and quantification of element species in environmental samples (Hutchison et al., 2001; 739 Langner et al., 2012; Leven et al., 2018; Mikutta and Rothwell, 2016; Scheckel and Ryan, 740 2004). This approach requires comprehensive databases with suitable reference spectra 741 (Gustafsson et al., 2020; Scheinost et al., 2002). While these exist for several key elements 742 such as Fe (O'Day et al., 2004; Wilke et al., 2001), they are not available for Mn. Therefore, 743 we provide (energy-calibrated) XAS spectra of 32 Mn compounds, potentially occurring in 744 soils and sediments, in the Supplementary material. This spectrum library can be used by the 745 scientific community for Mn species identification and quantification in terrestrial surface 746 environments.

747 Prerequisite to the application of XAS LCF for species recognition and quantification 748 is spectral uniqueness (Gustafsson et al., 2020; Scheinost et al., 2002). Our results show that, 749 compared to EXAFS spectra, normalized and first-derivative Mn K-edge XANES spectra 750 provide far less discriminative power to distinguish and thus identify individual Mn species in 751 environmental samples. Owing to high spectral correlations, the use of normalized Mn 752 XANES spectra for species identification and quantification in LCF analysis of environmental 753 samples is not recommended without proper justification based on auxiliary species infor-754 mation. First-derivative XANES spectra of most analyzed Mn compounds are unique, sug-755 gesting that identification and quantification of most Mn species would be possible. However, 756 members of the manganate and Mn(III)-oxyhydroxide groups are probably indistinguishable 757 in mixtures using (first-derivative) XANES spectra. Prominent exceptions are pyrolusite, 758 ramsdellite, and perhaps lithiophorite, which possess unique first-derivative XANES finger-759 prints. Nonetheless, the employment of XANES LCF for Mn species identification and quan-

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

760 tification in natural samples is limited. First, because XANES features are not solely depend-761 ent on the Mn oxidation state or structural and electronic factors, but also on energy calibra-762 tion and monochromator resolution (Manceau et al., 2012; Manceau et al., 2002). Second, 763 because XANES spectra are influenced by subtle changes in chemical composition and/or 764 crystallinity of Mn compounds. Comparisons of XANES and EXAFS spectra of natural and 765 synthetic Mn compounds (bixbyites, cryptomelanes, pyrolusites, todorokites) document the 766 robustness of EXAFS spectroscopy to variations in chemistry and/or crystallinity, demonstrat-767 ing its superior value for bulk Mn species identification and quantification in natural samples. 768 Based on PCA and cluster analyses, EXAFS LCF analysis of environmental samples is at 769 least capable of discriminating the following species groups: (1) phyllomanganates and tec-770 tomanganates with large tunnel sizes  $(2 \times 2 \text{ and larger; hollandite s.s., romanèchite,})$ 771 todorokite), (2) tectomanganates with small tunnel sizes ( $2 \times 2$  and smaller; cryptomelane, py-772 rolusite, ramsdellite), (3) Mn(III)-dominated species (nesosilicates, organic compounds, oxy-773 hydroxides, spinels), (4) Mn(II) species (carbonate, phosphate, and phyllosilicate minerals, 774 adsorbed and organic species), and (5) manganosite. Within these conservatively established 775 species groups, most Mn compounds exhibit unique EXAFS features, which would assist 776 their identification and quantification in mixtures using EXAFS LCF analysis. Sole excep-777 tions are manganate minerals (except for pyrolusite) and adsorbed Mn(II) species whose EX-778 AFS are dominated by first-shell O signals. These results highlight the potential of Mn K-779 edge EXAFS spectroscopy to quantitatively assess bulk Mn speciation in soils and sediments, 780 which so far has not been exploited. For speciation analysis of natural samples using Mn K-781 edge EXAFS spectroscopy, we recommend stepwise application of PCA-TT and LCF analy-782 sis and subsequent validation of LCF results by spectral fingerprinting as well as EXAFS 783 shell-fitting in order to justify the presence of individual Mn species.

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

In summary, the results of this study provide a comprehensive framework for the analysis and interpretation of Mn XAS spectra of natural samples and for the evaluation of the role of Mn in environmental processes. Our spectrum library thus lays the foundation for further process-oriented environmental Mn research.

788

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

789 We are especially grateful to Evert Elzinga, Flora Brocza, Caroline Peacock, and David 790 McKeown for providing additional XAS spectra and Reiner Dohrmann for the illite reference. 791 Special thanks go to Julian Feige for the preparation of thin sections and Philip Weigel for 792 EPMA assistance. Tobias Fußwinkel is thanked for sharing his knowledge on mineral formula 793 calculation. Furthermore, we are indebted to Jens Gröger-Trampe and Bodo Mieke (LBEG) 794 for their support during redox titrations. Use of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation 795 Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, was supported by the U.S. Department 796 of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-797 76SF00515s. Use of the Advanced Photon Source, an Office of Science User Facility operat-798 ed for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science by Argonne National Labora-799 tory, was supported by the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. We also 800 thank PETRA III at DESY for providing us with beamtime. Assistance at synchrotron facili-801 ties by Ritimukta Sarangi (SSRL), Qing Ma (APS), and Edmund Welter, Wolfgang Caliebe, 802 Akhil Tayal, and Vadim Murzin (all DESY) is gratefully acknowledged. This work was fi-803 nancially supported by the DFG (project no. 326242261).

804

~~-

#### REFERENCES

805	Ahmad, A., van der Wal, A., Bhattacharya, P. and van Genuchten, C.M. (2019)
806	Characteristics of Fe and Mn bearing precipitates generated by Fe(II) and Mn(II) co-
807	oxidation with O <sub>2</sub> , MnO <sub>4</sub> and HOCl in the presence of groundwater ions. Water Research
808	161, 505-516.
809	Ankudinov, A.L., Ravel, B., Rehr, J.J. and Conradson, S.D. (1998) Real-space multiple-
810	scattering calculation and interpretation of X-ray-absorption near-edge structure. Physical
811	Review B 58, 7565.
812	Anthony, J.W., Bideaux, R.A., Bladh, K.W. and Nichols, M.C. (2003) Handbook of
813	Mineralogy. Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly, VA 20151-1110, USA.
814	Barreto, M.B., Mónaco, S.L., Díaz, R., Barreto-Pittol, E., López, L. and Peralba, M.d.C.R.
815	(2016) Soil organic carbon of mangrove forests (Rhizophora and Avicennia) of the
816	Venezuelan Caribbean coast. Organic Geochemistry 100, 51-61.
01-	

- Bartlett, R.J. (1981) Nonmicrobial nitrite-to-nitrate transformation in soils. Soil Science
  Society of America Journal 45, 1054-1058.
- Bertaut, E., Tran Qui, D., Burlet, P., Thomas, M. and Moreau, J. (1974) Crystal structure of
  manganese acetate tetrahydrate. Acta Crystallographica Section B: Structural
  Crystallography and Crystal Chemistry 30, 2234-2236.
- Bhattacharya, L. and Elzinga, E.J. (2018) A comparison of the solubility products of layered
  Me(II)-Al(III) hydroxides based on sorption studies with Ni(II), Zn(II), Co(II), Fe(II),
  and Mn(II). Soil Systems 2, 20.
- Blume, H., Brümmer, G., Fleige, H., Horn, R., Kandeler, E., Kögel-Knabner, I., Kretzschmar,
  R., Stahr, K. and Wilke, B. (2016) Scheffer/Schachtschabel Soil Science. Springer: Berlin
  Heidelberg, Germany.
- Bolzan, A., Fong, C., Kennedy, B. and Howard, C. (1993) Powder neutron diffraction study
   of pyrolusite, β-MnO<sub>2</sub>. Australian Journal of Chemistry 46, 939-944.
- Broadley, M., Brown, P., Cakmak, I., Rengel, Z. and Zhao, F. (2012) Function of nutrients:
  micronutrients. Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants (Third Edition), pp. 191248. Elsevier.
- Burnell, J.N. (1988) The biochemistry of manganese in plants. In: Robin D. Graham, R.J.H.,
  Nicholas C. Uren, Ed., Manganese in Soils and Plants, pp. 125-137, Dordrecht.
- Carroll, S., O'Day, P.A., Esser, B. and Randall, S. (2002) Speciation and fate of trace metals
  in estuarine sediments under reduced and oxidized conditions, Seaplane Lagoon,
  Alameda Naval Air Station (USA). Geochemical Transactions 3, 81-101.
- Cheng, C.-Y. and Wang, S.-L. (1991) Structure of manganese acetate dihydrate. Acta
   Crystallographica Section C: Crystal Structure Communications 47, 1734-1736.
- Chiu, V.Q. and Hering, J.G. (2000) Arsenic adsorption and oxidation at manganite surfaces.
  1. Method for simultaneous determination of adsorbed and dissolved arsenic species.
  Environmental Science & Technology 34, 2029-2034.
- Chukhrov, F.V. and Gorshkov, A.I. (1981) Iron and manganese oxide minerals in soils. Earth
  and Environmental Science Transactions of The Royal Society of Edinburgh 72, 195200.
- Cornu, S., Deschatrettes, V., Salvador-Blanes, S., Clozel, B., Hardy, M., Branchut, S. and Le
  Forestier, L. (2005) Trace element accumulation in Mn-Fe-oxide nodules of a planosolic
  horizon. Geoderma 125, 11-24.
- Bachs, H. (1963) Neutronen-und Röntgenuntersuchungen am Manganit, MnOOH. Zeitschrift
   für Kristallographie Crystalline Materials 118, 303-326.
- Beer, W.A., Robert Andrew Howie, and Jack Zussman (1992) An Introduction to the Rock Forming Minerals, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Longman, 712, London.

- Bohrmann, R., Rüping, K.B., Kleber, M., Ufer, K. and Jahn, R. (2009) Variation of preferred
  orientation in oriented clay mounts as a result of sample preparation and composition.
  Clays and Clay Minerals 57, 686-694.
- Ehlert, K., Mikutta, C. and Kretzschmar, R. (2014) Impact of birnessite on arsenic and iron
  speciation during microbial reduction of arsenic-bearing ferrihydrite. Environmental
  Science & Technology 48, 11320-11329.
- Ehlert, K., Mikutta, C. and Kretzschmar, R. (2016) Effects of manganese oxide on arsenic
  reduction and leaching from contaminated floodplain soil. Environmental Science &
  Technology 50, 9251-9261.
- 862 Elzinga, E.J. (2011) Reductive transformation of birnessite by aqueous Mn(II). Environmental
   863 Science & Technology 45, 6366-6372.
- Feng, Q., Kanoh, H., Miyai, Y. and Ooi, K. (1995) Metal ion extraction/insertion reactions
  with todorokite-type manganese oxide in the aqueous phase. Chemistry of Materials 7,
  1722-1727.
- Feng, X.H., Zhai, L.M., Tan, W.F., Liu, F. and He, J.Z. (2007) Adsorption and redox
  reactions of heavy metals on synthesized Mn oxide minerals. Environmental Pollution
  147, 366-373.
- Feng, X.H., Zhu, M., Ginder-Vogel, M., Ni, C., Parikh, S.J. and Sparks, D.L. (2010)
  Formation of nano-crystalline todorokite from biogenic Mn oxides. Geochimica et
  Cosmochimica Acta 74, 3232-3245.
- Fernando, D.R., Mizuno, T., Woodrow, I.E., Baker, A.J.M. and Collins, R.N. (2010)
  Characterization of foliar manganese (Mn) in Mn (hyper)accumulators using X-ray
  absorption spectroscopy. New Phytologist 188, 1014-1027.
- Friedl, G., Wehrli, B. and Manceau, A. (1997) Solid phases in the cycling of manganese in
  eutrophic lakes: New insights from EXAFS spectroscopy. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
  Acta 61, 275-290.
- Frommer, J., Voegelin, A., Dittmar, J., Marcus, M.A. and Kretzschmar, R. (2011)
  Biogeochemical processes and arsenic enrichment around rice roots in paddy soil:
  Results from micro-focused X-ray spectroscopy. European Journal of Soil Science 62, 305-317.
- Geshnizgani, E.R. (2014) Low temperature oxidation of VOCs in air by catalytic ozonation,
   Pages, University of Saskatchewan.
- 60 Gilkes, R. and McKenzie, R. (1988) Geochemistry and mineralogy of manganese in soils.
  Manganese in Soils and Plants, pp. 23-35. Springer.
- Grangeon, S., Manceau, A., Guilhermet, J., Gaillot, A.-C., Lanson, M. and Lanson, B. (2012)
  Zn sorption modifies dynamically the layer and interlayer structure of vernadite.
  Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 85, 302-313.
- Gustafsson, J.P., Braun, S., Tuyishime, M.J.R., Adediran, G.A., Warrinnier, R. and
  Hesterberg, D. (2020) A probabilistic approach to phosphorus speciation of soils using P
  K-edge XANES spectroscopy with linear combination fitting. Soil Systems 4, 26.
- Guttman, L. (1954) Some necessary conditions for common-factor analysis. Psychometrika
   19, 149-161.
- Habibah, J., Khairiah, J., Ismail, B. and Kadderi, M. (2014) Manganese speciation in selected
  agricultural soils of peninsular Malaysia. American Journal of Environmental Sciences
  10, 148-156.
- Hamilton, W.C. (1965) Significance tests on the crystallographic R factor. Acta
   Crystallographica 18, 502-510.

900	Hass, A. and Fine, P. (2010) Sequential selective extraction procedures for the study of heavy
901	metals in soils, sediments, and waste materials - a critical review. Critical Reviews in
902	Environmental Science and Technology 40, 365-399.

- Hernandez-Soriano, M.C., Degryse, F., Lombi, E. and Smolders, E. (2012) Manganese
   toxicity in barley is controlled by solution manganese and soil manganese speciation. Soil
   Science Society of America Journal 76, 399-407.
- Herndon, E.M., Martínez, C.E. and Brantley, S.L. (2014) Spectroscopic (XANES/XRF)
  characterization of contaminant manganese cycling in a temperate watershed.
  Biogeochemistry 121, 505-517.
- Hlavay, J., Prohaska, T., Weisz, M., Wenzel, W.W. and Stingeder, G.J. (2004) Determination
  of trace elements bound to soil and sediment fractions (IUPAC Technical Report). Pure
  and Applied Chemistry 76, 415-442.
- Hoffmann, M., Mikutta, C. and Kretzschmar, R. (2012) Bisulfide reaction with natural
  organic matter enhances arsenite sorption: Insights from X-ray absorption spectroscopy.
  Environmental Science & Technology 46, 11788-11797.
- Hutchison, K.J., Hesterberg, D. and Chou, J.W. (2001) Stability of reduced organic sulfur in
  humic acid as affected by aeration and pH. Soil Science Society of America Journal 65,
  704-709.
- Jarosch, D. (1987) Crystal structure refinement and reflectance measurements of hausmannite,
   Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Mineralogy and Petrology 37, 15-23.
- Jensen, K.A., Bao, W., Kawai, S., Srebotnik, E. and Hammel, K.E. (1996) Manganesedependent cleavage of nonphenolic lignin structures by *Ceriporiopsis subvermispora* in
  the absence of lignin peroxidase. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 62, 36793686.
- Kalembkiewicz, J., Sitarz-Palczak, E. and Zapała, L. (2008) A study of the chemical forms or
   species of manganese found in coal fly ash and soil. Microchemical Journal 90, 37-43.
- Keiluweit, M., Nico, P., Harmon, M.E., Mao, J., Pett-Ridge, J. and Kleber, M. (2015) Longterm litter decomposition controlled by manganese redox cycling. Proceedings of the
  National Academy of Sciences 112, E5253-E5260.
- Langner, P., Mikutta, C. and Kretzschmar, R. (2012) Arsenic sequestration by organic sulphur
   in peat. Nature Geoscience 5, 66-73.
- 4931 Lanson, B., Drits, V.A., Silvester, E. and Manceau, A. (2000) Structure of H-exchanged
  4932 hexagonal birnessite and its mechanism of formation from Na-rich monoclinic buserite at
  4933 low pH. American Mineralogist 85, 826-838.
- Latrille, C., Elsass, F., Van Oort, F. and Denaix, L. (2001) Physical speciation of trace metals
  in Fe-Mn concretions from a rendzic lithosol developed on Sinemurian limestones
  (France). Geoderma 100, 127-146.
- Lee, S. and Xu, H. (2016) XRD and TEM studies on nanophase manganese oxides in
  freshwater ferromanganese nodules from Green Bay, Lake Michigan. Clays and Clay
  Minerals 64, 523-536.
- Lefkowitz, J.P., Rouff, A.A. and Elzinga, E.J. (2013) Influence of pH on the reductive
  transformation of birnessite by aqueous Mn(II). Environmental Science & Technology
  47, 10364-10371.
- Leven, A., Vlassopoulos, D., Kanematsu, M., Goin, J. and O'Day, P.A. (2018)
  Characterization of manganese oxide amendments for in situ remediation of mercurycontaminated sediments. Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts 20, 1761-1773.
- Ling, F.T., Post, J.E., Heaney, P.J. and Ilton, E.S. (2018) The relationship between Mn oxidation state and structure in triclinic and hexagonal birnessites. Chemical Geology 479, 216-227.

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

- Liu, F., Colombo, C., Adamo, P., He, J.Z. and Violante, A. (2002) Trace elements in manganese-iron nodules from a Chinese Alfisol. Soil Science Society of America Journal 66, 661-670.
- Longo, A., Liotta, L.F., Carlo, G.D., Giannici, F., Venezia, A.M. and Martorana, A. (2010)
  Structure and the metal support interaction of the Au/Mn oxide catalysts. Chemistry of
  Materials 22, 3952-3960.
- Mackle, P., Charnock, J.M., Garner, C.D., Meldrum, F.C. and Mann, S. (1993)
  Characterization of the manganese core of reconstituted ferritin by X-ray absorption
  spectroscopy. Journal of the American Chemical Society 115, 8471-8472.
- Manceau, A. and Combes, J.M. (1988) Structure of Mn and Fe oxides and oxyhydroxides: A
   topological approach by EXAFS. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals 15, 283-295.
- Manceau, A., Marcus, M.A. and Grangeon, S. (2012) Determination of Mn valence states in
   mixed-valent manganates by XANES spectroscopy. American Mineralogist 97, 816-827.
- Manceau, A., Marcus, M.A. and Tamura, N. (2002) Quantitative speciation of heavy metals
  in soils and sediments by synchrotron X-ray techniques. Reviews in Mineralogy and
  Geochemistry 49, 341-428.
- Manceau, A. and Nagy, K.L. (2012) Quantitative analysis of sulfur functional groups in natural organic matter by XANES spectroscopy. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 99, 206-223.
- Manceau, A., Tamura, N., Celestre, R.S., MacDowell, A.A., Geoffroy, N., Sposito, G. and
  Padmore, H.A. (2003) Molecular-scale speciation of Zn and Ni in soil ferromanganese
  nodules from loess soils of the Mississippi Basin. Environmental Science & Technology
  37, 75-80.
- Manceau, A., Tommaseo, C., Rihs, S., Geoffroy, N., Chateigner, D., Schlegel, M., Tisserand,
  D., Marcus, M.A., Tamura, N. and Chen, Z.-S. (2005) Natural speciation of Mn, Ni, and
  Zn at the micrometer scale in a clayey paddy soil using X-ray fluorescence, absorption,
  and diffraction. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 69, 4007-4034.
- Marcus, M.A., Westphal, A.J. and Fakra, S.C. (2008) Classification of Fe-bearing species
   from K-edge XANES data using two-parameter correlation plots. Journal of Synchrotron
   Radiation 15, 463-468.
- Martin, S.T. (2005) Precipitation and dissolution of iron and manganese oxides. In: Grassian,
   V.H., Ed., Environmental Catalysis, pp. 61-82. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Mayanna, S., Peacock, C.L., Schäffner, F., Grawunder, A., Merten, D., Kothe, E. and Büchel,
   G. (2015) Biogenic precipitation of manganese oxides and enrichment of heavy metals at
   acidic soil pH. Chemical Geology 402, 6-17.
- McKenzie, R. (1971) The synthesis of birnessite, cryptomelane, and some other oxides and
   hydroxides of manganese. Mineralogical Magazine 38, 493-502.
- McKenzie, R.M. (1989) Manganese Oxides and Hydroxides. In: Dixon, J.B., Weed, S.B.,
  Eds., Minerals in Soil Environments, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., pp. 439-465. Soil Science Society of
  America, Madison, Wisconsin.
- McKeown, D.A. and Post, J.E. (2001) Characterization of manganese oxide mineralogy in rock varnish and dendrites using X-ray absorption spectroscopy. American Mineralogist 86, 701-713.
- Mikutta, C. and Rothwell, J.J. (2016) Peat bogs as hotspots for organoarsenical formation and
   persistence. Environmental Science & Technology 50, 4314-4323.
- Miura, H. (1986) The crystal structure of hollandite. Mineralogical Journal 13, 119-129.
- Miyata, N., Tani, Y., Sakata, M. and Iwahori, K. (2007) Microbial manganese oxide
   formation and interaction with toxic metal ions. Journal of Bioscience and
   Bioengineering 104, 1-8.

- Morales-Pérez, A., Moreno-Rodríguez, V., Del Rio-Salas, R., Imam, N.G., GonzálezMéndez, B., Pi-Puig, T., Molina-Freaner, F. and Loredo-Portales, R. (2021) Geochemical
  changes of Mn in contaminated agricultural soils nearby historical mine tailings: Insights
  from XAS, XRD and SEP. Chemical Geology.
- Mortvedt, J.J. (2000) Bioavailability of micronutients. In: Sumner, M.E., Ed., Handbook of
   Soil Science, pp. D-71-88. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Narwall, R. and Singh, B. (2001) Solid phase speciation of iron and manganese in alum shale
   soils studied by parallel and sequential extraction. Communications in soil science and
   plant analysis 32, 331-349.
- 1007 O'Day, P.A., Carroll, S.A., Randall, S., Martinelli, R.E., Anderson, S.L., Jelinski, J. and
  1008 Knezovich, J.P. (2000) Metal speciation and bioavailability in contaminated estuary
  1009 sediments, Alameda Naval Air Station, California. Environmental Science & Technology
  1010 34, 3665-3673.
- 1011 O'Reilly, S.E. and Hochella Jr, M.F. (2003) Lead sorption efficiencies of natural and synthetic
   1012 Mn and Fe-oxides. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 67, 4471-4487.
- 1013 O'Day, P.A., Rivera, N., Jr., Root, R. and Carroll, S.A. (2004) X-ray absorption spectroscopic
  1014 study of Fe reference compounds for the analysis of natural sediments. American
  1015 Mineralogist 89, 572-585.
- 1016 Ohmann, S., Abs-Wurmbach, I., Stüßer, N., Sabine, T. and Westerholt, K. (1998) The
   1017 magnetic structure of braunite Mn<sup>2+</sup>Mn<sup>3+6</sup>O<sub>8</sub>/SiO<sub>4</sub>. Zeitschrift für Kristallographie –
   1018 Crystalline Materials 213, 19-27.
- Peacock, C.L. and Moon, E.M. (2012) Oxidative scavenging of thallium by birnessite:
  Explanation for thallium enrichment and stable isotope fractionation in marine
  ferromanganese precipitates. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 84, 297-313.
- Post, J.E., Heaney, P.J. and Hanson, J. (2003) Synchrotron X-ray diffraction study of the
   structure and dehydration behavior of todorokite. American Mineralogist 88, 142-150.
- Puzan, A.N., Baumer, V.N., Lisovytskiy, D.V. and Mateychenko, P.V. (2018) Structure disordering and thermal decomposition of manganese oxalate dihydrate, MnC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O. Journal of Solid State Chemistry 260, 87-94.
- Qiang, T., Xiao-quan, S. and Zhe-ming, N. (1994) Evaluation of a sequential extraction
   procedure for the fractionation of amorphous iron and manganese oxides and organic
   matter in soils. Science of the Total Environment 151, 159-165.
- Ravel, B. (2014) Path degeneracy and EXAFS analysis of disordered materials. Journal of
   Synchrotron Radiation 21, 1269-1274.
- Ravel, B. and Newville, M. (2005) *ATHENA, ARTEMIS, HEPHAESTUS*: Data analysis for Xray absorption spectroscopy using *IFEFFIT*. Journal of Synchrotron Radiation 12, 537541.
- 1035 Remucal, C.K. and Ginder-Vogel, M. (2014) A critical review of the reactivity of manganese
  1036 oxides with organic contaminants. Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts 16,
  1037 1247-1266.
- Ressler, T., Brock, S.L., Wong, J. and Suib, S.L. (1999) Multiple-scattering EXAFS analysis
  of tetraalkylammonium manganese oxide colloids. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B
  1030, 6407-6420.
- 1041 Rhoton, F., Bigham, J. and Schulze, D. (1993) Properties of iron-manganese nodules from a
  1042 sequence of eroded Fragipan soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 57, 13861043 1392.
- Ross, S.J., Franzmeier, D.P. and Roth, C.B. (1976) Mineralogy and chemistry of manganese
   oxides in some Indiana doils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 40, 137-143.

1046	Scheckel, K.G. and Ryan, J.A. (2004) Spectroscopic speciation and quantification of lead in
1047	phosphate-amended soils. Journal of Environmental Quality 33, 1288-1295.

- Scheinost, A.C., Kretzschmar, R., Pfister, S. and Roberts, D.R. (2002) Combining selective
  sequential extractions, X-ray absorption spectroscopy, and principal component analysis
  for quantitative zinc speciation in soil. Environmental Science & Technology 36, 50215028.
- Scheinost, A.C., Stanjek, H., Schulze, D.G., Gasser, U. and Sparks, D.L. (2001) Structural
  environment and oxidation state of Mn in goethite-groutite solid-solutions. American
  Mineralogist 86, 139-146.
- Shen, X.F., Ding, Y.S., Liu, J., Cai, J., Laubernds, K., Zerger, R.P., Vasiliev, A., Aindow, M.
  and Suib, S.L. (2005) Control of Nanometer-Scale Tunnel Sizes of Porous Manganese
  Oxide Octahedral Molecular Sieve Nanomaterials. Advanced Materials 17, 805-809.
- Silvester, E., Manceau, A. and Drits, V.A. (1997) Structure of synthetic monoclinic Na-rich
  birnessite and hexagonal birnessite: II. Results from chemical studies and EXAFS
  spectroscopy. American Mineralogist 82, 962-978.
- Sutherland, R.A. and Tack, F.M. (2003) Fractionation of Cu, Pb and Zn in certified reference
  soils SRM 2710 and SRM 2711 using the optimized BCR sequential extraction
  procedure. Advances in Environmental Research 8, 37-50.
- Szymański, W., Skiba, M. and Błachowski, A. (2014) Mineralogy of Fe-Mn nodules in
   Albeluvisols in the Carpathian Foothills, Poland. Geoderma 217, 102-110.
- Taira, H., Kitano, Y. and Kaneshima, K. (1981) Terrestrial ferro-manganese nodules formed
  in limestone areas of the Ryukyu Islands Part I Major and minor constituents of terrestrial
  ferro-manganese nodules. Geochemical Journal 15, 69-80.
- 1069 Taylor, R., McKenzie, R. and Norrish, K. (1964) The mineralogy and chemistry of 1070 manganese in some Australian soils. Soil Research 2, 235-248.
- Tebo, B.M., Bargar, J.R., Clement, B.G., Dick, G.J., Murray, K.J., Parker, D., Verity, R. and
  Webb, S.M. (2004) Biogenic manganese oxides: Properties and mechanisms of
  formation. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 32, 287-328.
- Tebo, B.M., Johnson, H.A., McCarthy, J.K. and Templeton, A.S. (2005) Geomicrobiology of
   manganese(II) oxidation. Trends in Microbiology 13, 421-428.
- 1076 Tokashiki, Y., Dixon, J. and Golden, D. (1986) Manganese oxide analysis in soils by
  1077 combined X-ray diffraction and selective dissolution methods. Soil Science Society of
  1078 America Journal 50, 1079-1084.
- Tranqui, D., Burlet, P., Filhol, A. and Thomas, M. (1977) Redetermination by neutron
  diffraction of the structure of manganese acetate tetrahydrate (MAT). Acta
  Crystallographica Section B: Structural Crystallography and Crystal Chemistry 33, 13571361.
- 1083 Uzochukwu, G. and Dixon, J. (1986) Managanese oxide minerals in nodules of two soils of
   1084 Texas and Alabama. Soil Science Society of America Journal 50, 1358-1363.
- 1085 Villalobos, M., Lanson, B., Manceau, A., Toner, B. and Sposito, G. (2006) Structural model
  1086 for the biogenic Mn oxide produced by *Pseudomonas putida*. American Mineralogist 91,
  1087 489-502.
- 1088 Villalobos, M., Toner, B., Bargar, J. and Sposito, G. (2003) Characterization of the
  1089 manganese oxide produced by *Pseudomonas putida* strain MnB1. Geochimica et
  1090 Cosmochimica Acta 67, 2649-2662.
- 1091 Villinski, J.E., O'Day, P.A., Corley, T.L. and Conklin, M.H. (2001) In situ spectroscopic and
  1092 solution analyses of the reductive dissolution of MnO<sub>2</sub> by Fe(II). Environmental Science
  1093 & Technology 35, 1157-1163.

- Wadsley, A. (1952) The structure of lithiophorite, (Al,Li)MnO<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>. Acta Crystallographica
   5, 676-680.
- Waldrop, L. (1969) The crystal structure of triplite, (Mn,Fe)<sub>2</sub>FPO<sub>4</sub>. Zeitschrift für
   Kristallographie Crystalline Materials 130, 1-14.
- 1098 Ward, J.H. (1963) Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. Journal of the
   1099 American Statistical Association 58, 236-244.
- Webb, S.M. (2005) SIXpack: A graphical user interface for XAS analysis using IFEFFIT.
  Physica Scripta 2005, 1011.
- Webb, S.M., Tebo, B.M. and Bargar, J.R. (2005) Structural characterization of biogenic Mn
  oxides produced in seawater by the marine *Bacillus sp.* strain SG-1. American
  Mineralogist 90, 1342-1357.
- Wilke, M., Farges, F., Petit, P.-E., Brown, G.E., Jr. and Martin, F. (2001) Oxidation state and
  coordination of Fe in minerals: An Fe *K*-XANES spectroscopic study. American
  Mineralogist 86, 714-730.
- 1108 Yaroshevsky, A. (2006) Abundances of chemical elements in the Earth's crust. Geochemistry1109 International 44, 48-55.
- Ying, S.C., Kocar, B.D., Griffis, S.D. and Fendorf, S. (2011) Competitive microbially and Mn
  oxide mediated redox processes controlling arsenic speciation and partitioning.
  Environmental Science & Technology 45, 5572-5579.
- Zhang, M. and Karathanasis, A. (1997) Characterization of iron-manganese concretions in
   Kentucky Alfisols with perched water tables. Clays and Clay Minerals 45, 428-439.
- 1115
- 1116

# FIGURE CAPTIONS

**Figure 1.** Classification plot of Mn reference compounds. Different XANES-derived Mn AOS based on absorption-edge position are shown relative to the first maximum in the first XANES derivative at ~6,540 eV (after Marcus et al., 2008). Note that masutomilite and hendricksite as well as hausmannite and braunite are separated from other members of the lowand medium-AOS range. Synthetic pyrolusite shows the highest Mn AOS of 4.0 of all reference compounds.

1124

1117

1125Figure 2. Stacked normalized Mn K-edge XANES (left) and corresponding first-derivative1126spectra (right) of phyllo- and tectomanganates. The spectrum numbers match the numbers in1127Table 1: 1 - acid Na-birnessite (hex, syn),  $2 - \delta$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> (syn), 3 - lithiophorite, 4 - Na-birnessite1128(tricl, syn), 5 - cryptomelane, 6 - cryptomelane (syn), 7 - hollandite s.s., 8 - pyrolusite, 9 -1129pyrolusite (syn), 10 - ramsdellite, 11 - romanèchite, 12 - romanèchite (Ba-free, syn), 13 -1130todorokite, 14 - todorokite (syn).

1131

1132 Figure 3. Stacked normalized Mn K-edge XANES (left) and corresponding first-derivative 1133 spectra (right) of Mn oxide minerals without layer or tunnel structure as well as Mn(III) oxy-1134 hydroxides, carbonate, phosphate, and silicate minerals, organic Mn(II/III) compounds, and 1135 adsorbed Mn(II) species. The spectrum numbers match the numbers in Table 1: 15 - bixbyite, 1136 16 - bixbyite (syn), 17 - hausmannite, 18 - manganosite, 19 - feitknechtite (syn), 20 - groutite, 21 - manganite (syn), 22 - braunite, 23 - hendricksite, 24 - masutomilite, 25 - rhodochrosite, 1137 26 - triplite, 27 - Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate (syn), 28 - Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate (syn), 29 -1138 1139 Mn(III) acetate dihydrate (syn), 30 - Mn(II) ads. illite pH7, 31 - Mn(II) ads. peat pH5, 32 -1140 Mn(II) ads. peat pH7.

1141

**Figure 4.** Linear regression (solid line) between average oxidation state (AOS) of Mn obtained from redox titrations against Mn AOS determined by XANES LCF. Confidence and prediction bands (95%) are indicated by dark and light blue color, respectively. Data for hausmannite and triplite (out of range) were excluded from analysis.

1146

1147 **Figure 5.** Stacked  $k^3$ -weighted Mn K-edge EXAFS spectra (left) as well as corresponding 1148 Fourier-transform magnitudes and real parts (right) of selected Mn reference compounds: 1 -1149  $\delta$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> (syn), 2 - hollandite s.s., 3 - hausmannite, 4 - feitknechtite, 5 - rhodochrosite, 6 -1150 Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate, 7 - Mn(II) ads. peat pH5. Solid lines represent experimental data 1151 and dotted lines model fits. EXAFS parameters are summarized in Table 4. The fits of other 1152 Mn compounds are displayed in Figures S3 and S4.

1153

**Figure 6.** Stacked  $k^3$ -weighted Mn K-edge EXAFS spectra of phyllo- and tectomanganates. 1155 1 - acid Na-birnessite (hex, syn), 2 -  $\delta$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> (syn), 3 - Na-birnessite (tricl, syn), 4 - lithiopho-1156 rite, 5 - todorokite, 6 - todorokite (syn), 7 - romanèchite (nat), 8 - romanèchite (Ba-free, syn), 1157 9 - hollandite s.s., 10 - cryptomelane (nat), 11 - cryptomelane (syn), 12 - ramsdellite, 13 - py-1158 rolusite (nat), 14 - pyrolusite (syn). Vertical lines and black arrows indicate important spectral 1159 features (see text for details).

- 1160
- 1161
- 1162

Zahoransky et al.

Running head: Mn K-edge XAS of Mn reference compounds

**Figure 7.** Interatomic distances between Mn and near-neighbor atoms in Mn reference compounds obtained from this study (colored symbols) and the literature (gray symbols). Literature references are listed in Table S4. In masutomilite, first-shell O could also be F and thirdshell Al could be Si. In triplite and hendricksite, second-shell Mn may also be Fe. Horizontal lines separate the seven different Mn species groups (see text for further details).

1168

1169 **Figure 8.** Pearson correlation matrix for  $k^2$ -weighted Mn K-edge EXAFS spectra (k = 2.0-1170 11.5 Å<sup>-1</sup>,  $E_0 = 6,563$  eV) of Mn reference compounds. Only significant correlations (p < 0.05) 1171 are reported.

1172

Figure 9. Loading plot of the first two PCs obtained from PCA of  $k^2$ -weighted Mn K-edge EXAFS spectra ( $k = 2.0-11.5 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ ,  $E_0 = 6,563 \text{ eV}$ ) of Mn reference compounds. Species are colored according to their XANES-derived Mn AOS.

1176

1177 Figure 10. Unsupervised tree clustering of  $k^2$ -weighted Mn K-edge EXAFS spectra (k = 2.0-

1178 11.5 Å<sup>-1</sup>,  $E_0 = 6,563$  eV) of Mn reference compounds using Ward's method. Five clusters are

1179 indicated at a linkage distance of 18.

1180

Table 1. Manganese reference compounds studied by XAS

No.	Reference	Mineral formula (nominal / empirical) <sup>a</sup>	Remarks	Mn (wt.%) <sup>b</sup>	Spectrum source
Phyl	omanganates				•
1	Acid Na-birnessite (hex, syn)	$H_{0.06}K_{0.18}(H_2O)_{0.54}Mn^{3+}_{0.08}(H_2O)_{0.24}(Mn^{4+}_{0.88},vac_{0.12})_{\Sigma 1.00}O_2$		54	This study
2	$\delta$ -MnO <sub>2</sub> (syn)	$(Mn^{4+}, Fe^{3+}, Ca, Na)_{\Sigma 1,00}(O, OH)_2 \cdot nH_2O$		49	This study
3	Lithiophorite	$(A1,Li)Mn^{4+}O_2(OH)_2/A1_2LiMn^{4+}Mn^{3+}O_6(OH)_6$		39°	McKeown and Post (2001)
4	Na-birnessite (tricl, syn)	$Na_{0.26}(Mn^{4+}_{0.74}Mn^{3+}_{0.26})_{\Sigma_{1.00}}O_{2}$		55	This study
Tecto	omanganates				5
5	Cryptomelane	$K(Mn^{4+},Mn^{3+})_{8}O_{16} / (K_{0.78}Na_{0.06}Si_{0.05}Ca_{0.04}Zn_{0.03}Sr_{0.02})_{50.98}(Mn^{4+}_{6.73}Mn^{3+}_{1.22}Al_{0.06})_{58.01}O_{16}$	2×2 tunnel	57	This study
6	Cryptomelane (syn)	$K(Mn^{4+},Mn^{3+})_8O_{16}/(K_{0.89}Pb_{0.01})_{0.90}(Mn^{4+}_{6.79}Mn^{3+}_{1.31})_{\Sigma 8,10}O_{16}$	2×2 tunnel	62	This study
7	Hollandite s.s.	$Ba(Mn^{4+},Mn^{3+})_{8}O_{16}/(Ba_{0.75}Pb_{0.16})_{0.91}(Mn^{4+}Mn^{3+}Fe)_{\Sigma 8.00}O_{16}$	2×2 tunnel	n.d.	McKeown and Post (2001)
8	Pyrolusite	$\beta - Mn^{4+}O_2 / (Mn^{4+}O_{2}Al_{0.01})_{\Sigma 1.00}O_2$	1×1 tunnel	61	This study
9	Pyrolusite (syn)	$\beta$ -Mn <sup>4+</sup> O <sub>2</sub>	1×1 tunnel	61°	This study
10	Ramsdellite	$R-Mn^{4+}O_2/(Mn^{4+}0.99Al_{0.01}) \simeq 1.00O_2$	1×2 tunnel	62	This study
11	Romanèchite	$(Ba, H_2O)_2(Mn^{4+}, Mn^{3+})_5O_{10}/Ba_{0.66}(Mn^{4+}_{3.68}Mn^{3+}_{1.32})_{\Sigma 5.00}O_{10} \cdot 1.34H_2O$	2×3 tunnel	$50^{\circ}$	McKeown and Post (2001)
12	Romanèchite (Ba-free, syn)	$(Na,H_2O)_2(Mn)_{10}O_{20} / Na_{1.08}(Mn^{4+}_{4.17}Mn^{3+}_{0.74})_{24.91}O_{10} \cdot H_2O$	2×3 tunnel	60	This study
13	Todorokite	$(Mn^{2+}, Ca, Na, K)(Mn^{4+}, Mn^{2+}, Mg)_{6}O_{12} \cdot 3H_{2}O /$	3×3 tunnel	52	This study
		$(Mn^{2+}_{0.18}Ca_{0.22}Na_{0.22}Sr_{0.14}K_{0.11}Zn_{0.08}Ba_{0.03}Si_{0.02})_{50.99}(Mn^{4+}_{5.15}Mn^{2+}_{0.02}Mg_{0.23})_{56.00}O_{12}\cdot 3H_2O$			5
14	Todorokite (svn)	$(Mn^{2+}, Ca, Na, K)(Mn^{4+}, Mn^{2+}, Mg)_6O_{12}, 3H_2O / Mn_{6,1}Mg_{0,7}O_{12}, 3H_2O$	3×3 tunnel	56°	Peacock and Moon (2012)
Oxid	e minerals without laver or tunnel	structure			
15	Bixbyite	$(Mn^{3+}, Fe^{3+})_2O_3/(Mn^{3+}_{1.74}Fe^{3+}_{0.21}Al_{0.05})_{\Sigma_{2.00}}O_3$		60	This study
16	Bixbyite (syn)	$Mn^{3+}2O_3$		$70^{\circ}$	This study
17	Hausmannite	$(Mn^{2+}, Mn^{3+})_{3}O_{4}/(Mn^{3+})_{97}Mn^{2+})_{00}Fe^{3+}_{002})_{53,00}O_{4,00}$	Spinel	70	This study
18	Manganosite (syn)	Mn <sup>2+</sup> O	1	77°	This study
Mn(1	II) oxyhydroxides				5
19	Feitknechtite (syn)	$\beta$ -Mn <sup>3+</sup> O(OH)		62°	Lefkowitz et al. (2013)
20	Groutite	$\alpha$ -Mn <sup>3+</sup> O(OH) / $\alpha$ -(Mn <sup>3+</sup> <sub>0.98</sub> Mg <sub>0.1</sub> ) <sub>50.99</sub> O(OH)		60	This study
21	Manganite (syn)	$\gamma - Mn^{3+}O(OH) / \gamma - Mn^{3+}_{1,00}O(OH)$		66	This study
Carb	onate, phosphate, and silicate min	erals			2
22	Braunite	$Mn^{3+}Mn^{2+}{}_{6}O_{8}SiO_{4}/(Mn^{3+}{}_{6.08}Mn^{2+}{}_{0.80}Ca_{0.15}Al_{0.01})_{\Sigma7.04}O_{8}Si_{0.95}O_{4}$	Nesosilicate	61	This study
23	Hendricksite	$K(Zn,Mg,Mn^{2+})_{3}Si_{3}AlO_{10}(OH)_{2}/$	Trioctahedral mica	8.3	This study
		$(K_{0.93}Na_{0.07})_{\Sigma_{1.00}}(Zn_{1.28}Mg_{0.76}Mn^{2+}_{0.76}Fe^{2+}_{0.28}Ba_{0.01}Ti_{0.01})_{\Sigma_{3.10}}(Si_{2.83}Al_{1.17})_{\Sigma_{4.00}}O_{10}(F_{0.03}(OH)_{1.97})_{\Sigma_{2.00}}$			2
24	Masutomilite	K(Li,Al,Mn <sup>2+</sup> ) <sub>3</sub> (Si,Al) <sub>4</sub> O <sub>10</sub> (F,OH) <sub>2</sub> /	Trioctahedral mica	2.4	This study
		$(K_{0.85}Na_{0.04})_{\Sigma_{0.89}}(Li_{1.53}Al_{1.23}Mn^{2+}_{0.18})_{\Sigma_{2.94}}(Si_{3.43}Al_{0.57})_{\Sigma_{4.00}}O_{9.93}(F_{2.06}Cl_{0.01})_{\Sigma_{2.07}}$			2
25	Rhodochrosite	$Mn^{2+}CO_3/(Mn^{2+}_{0.94}Zn_{0.03}Ca_{0.02}Mg_{0.01})_{\Sigma 1.00}CO_3$	Carbonate	43	This study
26	Triplite	$(Mn^{2+}, Fe^{2+}, Ca, Mg)_2(PO_4)(F, OH) / (Mn^{2+}_{1.10}Fe^{2+}_{0.74}Ca_{0.10}Mg_{0.05})_{\Sigma 2.00}(P_{0.99}O_{3.96})(F_{0.79}(OH)_{0.21})_{\Sigma 1.00}$	Phosphate	27	This study
Orga	nic Mn(II/III) compounds				2
27	Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate (syn)	$Mn^{2+}(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$		$22^{\circ}$	Ehlert et al. (2014)
28	Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate (syn)	$Mn^{2+}C_2O_4 \cdot 2H_2O$		31°	This study
29	Mn(III) acetate dihydrate (syn)	$Mn^{3+}(CH_3COO)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$		$20^{\circ}$	This study
Adso	rbed Mn(II) species				-
30	Mn(II) ads. illite pH7	$K_{0.65}Al_{2.0}(Al_{0.65}Si_{3.35}O_{10})(OH)_2 \cdots Mn^{2+}_x$	Phyllosilicate	0.7	This study
31	Mn(II) ads. peat pH7		Organic sediment	0.9	This study
32	Mn(II) ads. peat pH5		Organic sediment	1.2	This study

<sup>a</sup> Nominal mineral formulas after Anthony et al. (2003). Empirical formulas of birnessites and δ-MnO<sub>2</sub> are taken from Villalobos et al. (2003, 2006), those of hollandite, lithiophorite, and romanèchite from McKeown and Post (2001), and the formula of todorokite (syn) from Feng (1995). All other empirical mineral formulas are based on chemical analysis of this study.

<sup>b</sup> Determined by chemical analysis of this study unless stated otherwise. n.d. = not determined.

<sup>c</sup> Calculated from mineral formula (empirical if two formulas are stated). No chemical analysis performed in this study.

Table 2. Primary	V XANES absorption a	nd first-derivative peaks of	f Mn reference compounds
2	1	1	1

No.	Reference	Abs	orption		Fir	st derivativ	/e	
		1	2	1	2	3	4	5
Phy	llomanganates							
1	Acid Na-birnessite (hex, syn)	6562.5		6540.5		6553.5	6558.0	6560.0
2	$\delta$ -MnO <sub>2</sub> (syn)	6561.8		6539.8		6552.5	6556.5	
3	Lithiophorite	6560.4		6539.5	6541.7	6551.5	6557.5	
4	Na-birnessite (tricl, syn)	6560.9		6539.7		6549.2	6553.6	6558.2
Tect	tomanganates							
5	Cryptomelane	6562.0		6540.8		6556.8		
6	Cryptomelane (syn)	6562.0		6540.6		6557.6		
7	Hollandite s.s	6561.2		6540.0	6542.0	6551.5	6556.0	
8	Pyrolusite	6561.0		6541.0		6552.3	6558.2	
9	Pyrolusite (syn)	6560.3		6540.6	6542.2	6552.7	6558.5	
10	Ramsdellite	6560.9		6540.6	6542.4	6552.2	6558.3	
11	Romanèchite	6561.7		6539.8	6541.7	6551.5	6556.5	
12	Romanèchite (Ba-free, syn)	6561.4		6539.8		6550.7	6557.3	
13	Todorokite	6562.1		6540.6		6551.1	6557.8	
14	Todorokite (syn)	6560.4		6538.9		6549.0	6556.2	
Oxi	de minerals without layer or tunne	el structure						
15	Bixbyite	6558.2		6539.4		6547.9	6549.9	6553.4
16	Bixbyite (syn)	6559.5		6539.8		6548.4	6550.7	6553.8
17	Hausmannite	6559.1		6539.8		6546.8	6550.8	6556.8
18	Manganosite (syn)	6555.0	6568.6	6539.8		6544.5	6550.2	6554.0
Mn(	III) oxyhydroxides							
19	Feitknechtite (syn)	6559.7		6539.5		6549.2	6555.5	
20	Groutite	6560.4		6539.0		6549.1	6555.1	
21	Manganite (syn)	6560.7		6539.4		6549.3	6556.1	
Car	bonate, phosphate, and silicate mi	nerals						
22	Braunite	6556.4		6540.0		6548.1	6553.0	
23	Hendricksite	6551.9	6556.4	6539.0		6546.9	6550.3	6555.3
24	Masutomilite	6552.3	6557.5	6539.3		6546.1	6551.1	6556.3
25	Triplite	6552.7		6540.1		6547.4	6548.1	
26	Rhodochrosite	6551.1	6562.4	6539.4		6548.9	6559.9	
Org	anic Mn(II/III) compounds							
27	Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate (syn)	6551.9		6539.4		6548.4	6564.7	
28	Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate (syn)	6553.3		6539.0		6547.0	6551.5	
29	Mn(III) acetate dihydrate (syn)	6560.8		6539.0		6552.0	6557.5	
Ads	orbed Mn(II) species							
30	Mn(II) ads. illite pH7	6552.2		6539.1		6547.4	6551.0	
31	Mn(II) ads. peat pH7	6552.8		6539.8		6549.3		
32	Mn(II) ads. peat pH5	6553.1		6539.5		6549.0		

Table 3. Nominal, titration-, and XANES-derived bulk Mn AOS of Mn reference compounds,
as well as fractional amounts of Mn <sup>2+</sup> , Mn <sup>3+</sup> , and Mn <sup>4+</sup> (normalized to unity) determined from
XANES analysis

No.	Reference	AOS <sup>a</sup> (nominal)	AOS <sup>b</sup> (titration)	AOS (XANES)	Mn <sup>2+</sup>	Mn <sup>3+</sup>	Mn <sup>4+</sup>	Fit	R-factor <sup>c</sup>
Dhall	amanganatas	(nonnar)	(titi ation)	(/111(115)				Juin	(** 10)
1 F H YH	Acid Na-birnessite (hex_syn)	3.92	3 94(0)	3.86	0.04	0.05	0.91	0.99	5
2	$\delta$ -MnO <sub>2</sub> (syn)	4.00	3.98(2)	3.90	0.01	0.08	0.91	0.99	11
3	Lithiophorite	3.70	n.d.	3.49	0.02	0.47	0.51	0.97	36
4	Na-birnessite (tricl. svn)	3.57	3.69(1)	3.84	0.03	0.10	0.87	0.97	22
Tecto	omanganates								
5	Cryptomelane	3.88	3.85(1)	3.84	0.04	0.08	0.88	0.99	9
6	Cryptomelane (syn)	3.88	3.88(2)	3.95	0.00	0.05	0.95	1.02	18
7	Hollandite s.s.	3.75	n.d.	3.75	0.08	0.09	0.83	0.96	42
8	Pyrolusite	4.00	4.03(2)	3.98	0.01	0.00	0.99	0.98	31
9	Pyrolusite (syn)	4.00	4.03(0)	4.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	21
10	Ramsdellite	4.00	4.02(1)	3.98	0.01	0.00	0.99	1.00	9
11	Romanèchite	3.50	n.d.	3.82	0.02	0.14	0.84	0.98	10
12	Romanèchite (Ba-free, syn)	3.84	3.76(1)	3.91	0.03	0.03	0.94	1.04	8
13	Todorokite	3.67	3.77(2)	3.77	0.01	0.21	0.78	1.02	6
14	Todorokite (syn)	3.67	3.70(2)	3.74	0.04	0.17	0.79	0.99	9
Oxid	e minerals without layer or tunnel s	tructure							
15	Bixbyite	3.00	3.11(3)	3.08	0.16	0.61	0.24	1.00	17
16	Bixbyite (syn)	3.00	3.05	3.07	0.08	0.78	0.15	1.02	10
17	Hausmannite	2.67	2.72(1)	3.35	0.19	0.27	0.54	0.93	32
18	Manganosite (syn)	2.00	2.04(0)	2.12	0.94	0.00	0.06	1.00	118
Mn(l	II) oxyhydroxides								
19	Feitknechtite (syn)	3.00	n.d.	3.02	0.02	0.93	0.04	0.97	58
20	Groutite	3.00	3.05(1)	3.17	0.03	0.78	0.20	0.99	14
21	Manganite (syn)	3.00	3.07(3)	3.01	0.02	0.96	0.03	1.02	16
Carb	onate, phosphate, and silicate mine	rals							
22	Braunite	2.86	2.98(4)	2.99	0.10	0.80	0.10	0.98	57
23	Hendricksite	2.00	2.32(11)	2.21	0.79	0.21	0.00	0.96	655
24	Masutomilite	2.00	2.41(13)	2.49	0.51	0.49	0.00	0.98	1130
25	Rhodochrosite	2.00	2.06(1)	2.08	0.96	0.00	0.04	0.99	38
26	Triplite	2.00	1.39(5)	2.01	0.99	0.01	0.00	0.99	62
Orga	nic Mn(II/III) compounds								
27	Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate (syn)	2.00	n.d.	2.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.96	45
28	Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate (syn)	2.00	2.04(1)	2.05	0.95	0.05	0.00	1.01	133
29	Mn(III) acetate dihydrate (syn)	3.00	3.00(0)	3.06	0.00	0.94	0.06	1.02	202
Adso	rbed Mn(II) species								
30	Mn(II) ads. illite pH7	2.00	2.48	2.35	0.69	0.26	0.05	1.01	11
31	Mn(II) ads. peat pH5	2.00	n.d.	2.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.01	218
32	Mn(II) ads. peat pH7	2.00	n.d.	2.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.02	280

<sup>a</sup> Based on nominal mineral formulas (cf. Table 1). <sup>b</sup> Errors are given as standard deviation of triplicate measurements for the last significant figure. n.d. = not determined. Figures without error assignment are based on single measurements due to limited sample availability.

<sup>c</sup> *R*-factor =  $\Sigma_i (data_i - fit_i)^2 / \Sigma_i data_i^2$ .

No.	Reference	<i>R</i> -factor <sup>b</sup>	$\chi_v^{2b}$	$N_{\rm idp}^{\rm c}$	N <sub>var</sub> <sup>c</sup>	$\Delta E_0 (eV)^d$		<b>R</b> (Å) <sup>g</sup>		R (Å)	CN	R (Å)		R (Å)	CN	<b>R</b> (Å)
				k-range	R-range		$\sigma^2 (A^2)^r$		$\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )		$\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )		$\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )		$\sigma^2$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	
Phyllo	manganates															
1	Acid Na-birnessite	0.005	856	14.4	6	2.2(6)	O: 6.2(6)	1.90(0)	Mn: 5.3(4)	2.89(0)	Mn: 1.2(4)	3.49(1)				
2	(nex, syn)	0.007	702	2.8-13.2	1.0-3.2	2.0(7)	0.0049(3)	1.00(0)	0.0046(1)	2.07(0)	0.0046	2 44(2)				
2	$\delta$ -MnO <sub>2</sub> (syn)	0.007	/93	16.1 2 9-13 1	6 1 0-3 5	3.0(7)	0: 5.6(6) 0.0042(3)	1.90(0)	Mn: $4.7(3)$ 0.0044(2)	2.87(0)	Mn: 1.0 0.0044	3.44(2)				
3	Lithiophorite	0.009	74	14 7	8	-0.8(11)	0.0012(3)	1.92(1)	Mn: 6.0	2.92(1)	0.0011	3 56(3)	$Mn \cdot 4.0$	4 14(12)		
5	Liunophorite	0.009	74	3.5-11.3	1.0-4.0	-0.0(11)	0.0022(3)	1.52(1)	0.0067(3)	2.92(1)	0.0082(38)	5.50(5)	0.0082	4.14(12)		
4	Na-birnessite	0.006	781	12.7	5	6.1(8)	O: 5.3(5)	1.92(0)	Mn: 6.8(8)	2.89(0)	( )					
	(tricl, syn)			2.6-11.8	1.0-3.2		0.0030(3)		0.0066(3)							
Tector	nanganates															
5	Cryptomelane	0.008	769	16.2	6	0.8(7)	O: 5.0(4)	1.90(0)	Mn: 3.7(3)	2.88(0)	Mn: 4.0(4)	3.44(0)				
				2.7-13.0	1.0-3.5		0.0025(3)		0.0032(2)		0.0032					
6	Cryptomelane (syn)	0.016	351	17.8	6	1.4(10)	O: 5.8(7)	1.91(0)	Mn: 3.7(4)	2.89(1)	Mn: 4.2(6)	3.45(1)				
	••			2.7-13.0	1.0-3.5		0.0024(7)		0.0026(4)		0.0026					
7	Hollandite	0.006	142	13.6	7	-0.7(9)	O: 4.3(5)	1.91(0)	Mn: 3.6(4)	2.91(1)	Mn: 3.5(5)	3.48(1)	Mn: 1.9(5)	3.75(1)		
				3.5-11.3	1.0-3.8		0.0032(3)		0.0062(4)		0.0062		0.0062			
8	Pyrolusite	0.013	290	18.28	9	-5.5(9)	O: 5.6(8)	1.88(1)	Mn: 2.1(4)	2.87(1)	Mn: 5.6(6)	3.43(1)	O: 8.0	4.01(5)	Mn: 4.0	4.42(4)
				2.6-12.3	1.0-4.0		0.0028(4)		0.0018(2)		0.0018		0.0102(35)		0.0102	
9	Pyrolusite (syn)	0.013	53	23.0	9	-5.3(7)	O: 5.6(6)	1.88(0)	Mn: 1.9(2)	2.88(1)	Mn: 7.1(6)	3.43(0)	O: 8.0	3.93(2)	Mn: 4.0	4.41(1)
				2.7-4.2	1.0-4.2		0.0023(3)		0.0020(2)		0.0020		0.0030(8)		0.0030	
10	Ramsdellite	0.006	70	69.3	7	-6.2(6)	O: 5.6(4)	1.89(0)	Mn: 3.2(4)	2.88(0)	Mn: 3.8(5)	3.43(0)				
				2.7-13.8	1.0-3.6		0.0026(2)		0.0026(2)		0.0010(2)					
11	Romanèchite	0.005	309	10.2	6	-2.9(10)	O: 4.7(9)	1.90(1)	Mn: 4.6(18)	2.90(1)	Mn:	3.47(2)				
				2.8-10.2	1.0-3.2	. ,	0.0025(4)		0.0077(6)		2.8(13)					
											0.0077					
12	Romanèchite	0.013	1548	14.5	6	-2.5(10)	O: 5.7(8)	1.90(1)	Mn: 4.4(8)	2.88(1)	Mn: 2.4(7)	3.44(1)				
	(Ba-free, syn)			2.9-11.8	1.0-3.6		0.0035(4)		0.0044(4)		0.0044					
13	Todorokite	0.011	1593	18.5	9	0.4(8)	O: 5.3(5)	1.92(0)	Mn: 4.2(7)	2.87(0)	Mn: 2.7	3.43(1)	O: 6.0	3.71(3)		
				2.6-13.9	1.0-3.6		0.0032(3)		0.0049(3)		0.0027(10)		0.0024(21)			
14	Todorokite (syn)	0.011	834	18.3	9	-2.1(9)	O: 4.7(4)	1.92(0)	Mn: 3.8(8)	2.88(1)	Mn: 2.7	3.44(2)	O: 6.0	3.72(7)		
				2.7-13.9	1.0-3.6		0.0033(3)		0.0055(4)		0.0069(19)		0.0072(43)			
Oxide	minerals without lay	ver or tunne	l structu	ire												
15	Bixbyite <sup>h</sup>	0.011	667	14.2	5	-4.3(12)	O1: 4.0(5)	1.92(1)	Mn: 5.2(10)	3.11(1)	Mn: 6.0	3.57(1)				
	•			2.6-11.0	1.0 - 3.7		0.0070(6)		0.0053(3)		0.0118(13)					
							O2: 1.7(4)	2.24(1)								
							0.0070									
16	Bixbyite (syn) <sup>h</sup>	0.020	204	15.9	5	-6.8(13)	O1: 4.0(6)	1.90(1)	Mn: 5.1(12)	3.09(1)	Mn: 6.0	3.54(1)				
	5 (5)			2.7-12.1	1.0-3.7	. ,	0.0059(7)		0.0057(4)		0.0086(9)					
							O2: 1.3(6)	2.23(1)			( )					
							0.0059									
17	Hausmannite	0.002	66	16.8	10	0.4(11)	01: 4.1(3)	1.95(1)	Mn1: 1.3(1)	2.88(1)	Mn: 8.1(8)	3.44(1)	Mn: 7.7(7)	3.73(1)		
				2.9-11.8	1.0-4.0		0.0071(3)		0.0028(5)		0.0082		0.0082(3)			
							O2: 1.9(3)	2.27(1)	Mn2: 2.7(2)	3.10(0)			. /			
							0.0071	. /	0.0028	. /						

Table 4. EXAFS parameter determined by shell-fitting of  $k^3$ -weighted Mn K-edge EXAFS spectra of Mn reference compounds<sup>a</sup>

# Table 4. (continued)

No.	Reference	<i>R</i> -factor <sup>b</sup>	$\chi_v^{2b}$	N <sub>idp</sub> c <i>k</i> -range	N <sub>var</sub> <sup>c</sup> <i>R</i> -range	$\Delta E_0 (\mathrm{eV})^\mathrm{d}$	$\frac{CN^{\rm e}}{\sigma^2  ({\rm \AA}^2)^{\rm f}}$	<i>R</i> (Å) <sup>g</sup>	$\frac{CN}{\sigma^2 (\text{\AA}^2)}$	<i>R</i> (Å)	$CN \sigma^2 (\text{\AA}^2)$	R (Å)	$CN \sigma^2 (\text{\AA}^2)$	<i>R</i> (Å)	$\frac{CN}{\sigma^2 (\text{\AA}^2)}$	<b>R</b> (Å)
Oxid	e minerals without la	ver or tunne	l struct	ure												
18	Manganosite (syn) <sup>h</sup>	0.010	29	21.8 2.3-13.6	5 1.0-3.6	-2.8(6)	O: 5.8(10) 0.0063(9)	2.22(0)	Mn: 12.0 0.0048(2)	3.13(0)	O: 8.0 0.0164(92)	3.84(0)				
Mn(I	III) oxyhydroxides	0.000	0	14.0	10	0.2(7)	01 4 0(2)	1.01(0)	N 1 10(2)	0.02(1)	N 40	2 22(1)		2 (0(2)		
19	Feitknechtite (syn)	0.002	8	14.2 2.7-11.1	10 1.0-3.7	0.3(7)	01: 4.0(2) 0.0052(1) 02: 2.0(2)	1.91(0)	Mn1: 1.9(3) 0.0074(4) Mn2: 3.8(11)	2.83(1)	Mn: 4.0 0.0141(1)	3.33(1)	0: 8.0	3.60(2)		
							0.0052	2.22(1)	0.0074	2.99(0)						
20	Groutite	0.008	113	19.1 2.8-13.7	12 1.0-3.8	3.6(11)	O1: 4.2(4) 0.0040(4)	1.93(1)	Mn: 2.1(4) 0.0028(3)	2.88(1)	Mn: 2.0 0.0115(36)	3.38(3)	Mn: 4.0 0.0035(7)	3.61(1)		
							O2: 0.9(4) 0.0040	2.15(4)					O: 8.0 0.0035	3.77(2)		
							O3: 1.4(3)	2.34(2)								
21	Manganite (syn)	0.005	214	16.2 2 8-12 4	11 1 1-3 8	1.7(9)	0.0040 O1: 4.7(4) 0.0062(3)	1.93(0)	Mn1: 1.0(1) 0.0021(6)	2.77(1)	O: 8.4(18) 0.0062	3.61(2)				
				2.0-12.4	1.1-5.0		O2: 2.0(3)	2.28(1)	Mn2: 1.0(1)	2.98(2)	Mn: 4.0	3.69(1)	Mn: 4.0	3.83(2)		
Cark	anata nhaanhata an	l cilianto mi	nonala				0.0062		0.0021		0.0075(18)		0.0075(14)			
22	Braunite	0.011	26	14.9	8	54(10)	$01 \cdot 26(2)$	1.94(1)	Mn 1 9(5)	3 17(1)	0.53(18)	377(2)				
	Draamie	01011	20	2.9-11.4	1.0-3.8	011(10)	0.0045(5)		0.0038(5)	5117(1)	0.0063	5117(2)				
							O2: 2.1(3) 0.0045	2.25(1)								
							O3: 0.9(3) 0.0045	2.55(3)								
23	Hendricksite	0.017	22	12.1 2.6-11.4	5 1.1-3.3	3.9(13)	O: 4.9(9) 0.0042(8)	2.16(1)	Mn/Fe: 2.0 0.0037(4)	3.14(1)						
									Zn: 2.5 0 0037	3.14						
24	Masutomilite	0.022	52	12.1 2 1-10 5	6 1 0-3 3	4.2(15)	O/F: 4.9(7)	2.15(1)	Al: 2.5	3.02(5)	Al/Si: 4.0	3.24(3)	O: 4.0	3.47(3)		
				2.1-10.5	1.0-5.5		0.0027(1)		Mn: 0.4 0.0100	3.02	0.0100		0.0100			
25	Rhodochrosite	0.012	42	21.7	12	2.7(9)	O: 6.3(8)	2.19(1)	C: 6.0	3.08(2)	O: 6.0	3.28(1)	Mn: 6.0	3.79(1)	O1: 6.0	4.10(3)
				2.2-11.5	1.1-4.8		0.0050(5)		0.0034		0.0033(14)		0.0048		0.0048(4)	4 50(1)
															02: 4.0	4.58(1)
															Mn: 6.0 0.0032(1)	4.75(1)
26	Triplite	0.008	91	12.4 2.3-10.6	7 1.0-3.4	3.6(8)	F: 1.6 0.0067	2.05(3)	Mn/Fe: 1.0(4) 0.0073(13)	2.93(2)	P: 2.8(7) 0.0073	3.55(1)				
							O: 4.4 0.0067(1)	2.15(1)								

Table 4. (continued)

No.	Reference	<i>R</i> -factor <sup>b</sup>	$\chi_v^{2b}$	N <sub>idp</sub> ° <i>k</i> -range	N <sub>var</sub> c <b>R-range</b>	$\Delta E_0 (\mathrm{eV})^\mathrm{d}$	$CN^{\rm e}$ $\sigma^2 ({\rm \AA}^2)^{\rm f}$	<b>R</b> (Å) <sup>g</sup>	$\frac{CN}{\sigma^2}$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	R (Å)	$\frac{CN}{\sigma^2}$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	R (Å)	$\frac{CN}{\sigma^2}$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	R (Å)	$\frac{CN}{\sigma^2}$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	<b>R</b> (Å)
Orga	nic Mn(II/III) compo	unds														
27	Mn(II) acetate tetrahvdrate (svn)	0.015	175	13.2 2.3-11.1	6.0 1.0-3.4	2.1(10)	O:5.9(7) 0.0045(0)	2.18(1)	C: 5.2 0.0112(62)	3.19(4)	Mn: 1.6 0.0060(20)	3.40(2)				
28	Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate (syn)	0.029	476	19.0 2.8-12.3	8.0 1.0-4.2	6.9(9)	O: 6.5(6) 0.0041(4)	2.18(1)	C: 4.0 0.0041	2.95(2)	O-C <sup>i</sup> : 8.0 0.0062	3.11(12)	O: 6.0 0.0040	3.93(2)	C-O-C <sup>i</sup> : 4.0 0.0083	4.08(4)
															C-O <sup>i</sup> : 8.0 0.0062	4.23(2)
29	Mn(III) acetate dihydrate (syn)	0.013	703	24.2 2.7-13.7	9 0.9-4.4	5.7(8)	O1: 5.2(5) 0.0041(0) O2: 1.5(5)	1.93(0)	C: 4.0 0.0077(24)	2.98(2)	Mn: 2.0 0.0014(3)	3.40(0)	O: 2.0 0.0068(40)	4.37(5)		
							0.0041	2.20(1)								
Adso	rbed Mn(II) species															
30	Mn(II) ads. illite pH7	0.005	5	9.9 2.5-10.5	5 1.0-3.0	-2.4(9)	O1: 2.0 0.0123(18)	1.92(1)								
							O2: 4.0 0.0123	2.16(1)								
31	Mn(II) ads. peat	0.016	540	14.4	5	3.3(9)	O: 6.0	2.18(1)	C: 1.0	3.20(7)	C-O <sup>i</sup> : 8.0	4.48(5)				
22	pris Mn(II) ads. peat	0.017	244	2.0-10.5	1.3-4.0	3 4(10)	0.0037(0)	2.18(1)	0.0037	2 21(8)	$C O^{i} \otimes 0$	4 40(5)				
22	pH7	0.017	266	2.0-10.5	1.3-4.0	5.7(10)	0.0051(5)	2.10(1)	0.0051	5.21(8)	0.0077	(3)				

<sup>a</sup>The amplitude reduction factor, S<sub>0</sub><sup>2</sup>, was set to 0.8 for all fits. Parameter uncertainties are given in parenthesis for the last significant figure.

<sup>b</sup>*R*-factor =  $\Sigma_i(\text{data}_i - \text{fit}_i)^2 / \Sigma_i \text{data}_i^2$  and reduced  $\chi^2 = (N_{idp} / N_{pts}) \Sigma_i((\text{data}_i - \text{fit}_i) / \varepsilon_i)^2 / (N_{idp} - N_{var})$ , where  $N_{idp}$  is the number of independent data points in the model fit,  $N_{pts}$  the total number of data points,  $N_{var}$  the number of fit variables (with all *CNs* set in the final fit), and  $\varepsilon_i$  is the uncertainty of the i<sup>th</sup> data point.

"Number of independent points and fit variables in final fit, respectively.

<sup>d</sup>Energy-shift parameter.

\*Coordination number (path degeneracy). Coordination numbers with assigned errors were fit individually and subsequently set in the final fit.

<sup>f</sup>Debye-Waller parameter. If no uncertainties are indicated, parameters were constrained.

<sup>g</sup>Mean half path length.

<sup>h</sup>A volumetric lattice expansion parameter was used to calculate atomic distances in bixbyites and manganosite (cubic crystal system).

<sup>i</sup>Multiple-scattering (MS) path. For Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate, the Debye-Waller parameter of the Mn-O-C obtuse triangle MS path was defined as  $\sigma^2(Mn-C)$ ; MS2 (Mn-C-O-C) and MS3 (Mn-C-O) are forward triangle MS paths. Their Debye-Waller parameters were defined as  $\sigma^2(Mn-C)+\sigma^2(Mn-O1)$  and  $\sigma^2(Mn-C)+0.5\sigma^2(Mn-O1)$ , respectively. For Mn(II) adsorbed to peat, the Debye-Waller parameter of the obtuse triangle MS path was defined as  $1.5\sigma^2(Mn-O)$ .



Figure 2



Always consult and cite the final, published document. See http://www.minsocam.org or GeoscienceWorld

Figure 3



Always consult and cite the final, published document. See http://www.minsocam.org or GeoscienceWorld

# Figure 4



Figure 5



Always consult and cite the final, published document. See http://www.minsocam.org or GeoscienceWorld

# Figure 6



Always consult and cite the final, published document. See http://www.minsocam.org or GeoscienceWorld

Figure 7



# Figure 8

	Acid Na-birnessite (hex, syn)	ð-MnO2 (syn)	Lithiophorite	Na-birnessite (tricl, syn)	Cryptomelane	Cryptomelane (syn)	Hollandite	Pyrolusite	Pyrolusite (syn)	Ramsdellite	Romanèchite (Ba-free, syn)	Romanèchite	Todorokite	Todorokite (syn)	Bixbyite	Bixbyite (syn)	Hausmannite	Manganosite (syn)	Feitknechtite (syn)	Groutite	Manganite (syn)	Braunite	Hendricksite	Masutomilite	Rhodochrosite	Triplite	Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate	Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate	Mn(III) acetate dihydrate	Mn(II) ads. illite pH7	Mn(II) ads. peat pH5 Mn(II) ads. peat pH7
Acid Na-birnessite (hex, syn)			ž							S																					
δ-MnO <sub>2</sub> (syn)	0.99	0.00	Δ.							late						5															
Litniophorite	0.73	0.80	0.00							Jar						20															
Christomelano	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.88						anç						aye															
Cryptometane (syn)	0.32	0.93	0.70	0.00	1 00					Ë						+ +								<u>s</u>							
Hollandite	0.84	0.88	0.90	0.87	0.94	0.94				g						no								era							
Pyrolusite	0.76	0.78	0.58	0.70	0.90	0.90	0.81			e H						Ę								Ъ.							
Pyrolusite (syn)	0.69	0.72	0.53	0.65	0.84	0.85	0.76	0.98								s S	ø							с Ф							
Ramsdellite	0.86	0.88	0.69	0.80	0.96	0.96	0.87	0.96	0.92							ā	Ē			8 S				at							
Romanèchite (Ba-free, syn)	0.92	0.94	0.78	0.96	0.95	0.94	0.89	0.82	0.76	0.90						Ľ.	2			ide				ilio Ni							
Romanèchite	0.90	0.93	0.89	0.89	0.95	0.95	0.98	0.79	0.73	0.88	0.91					E	st			ê				g							
Todorokite	0.95	0.95	0.73	0.92	0.95	0.94	0.86	0.82	0.77	0.90	0.99	0.90				de	ne			þ				a							
			0.00	0.00	0.95	0.94	0.91	0.80	0.74	0.89	0.98	0.94	0.98			õ	<u>n</u>			ž				ate							
Todorokite (syn)	0.94	0.97	0.82	0.90	0.00							~ ~ ~				-	-			<u> </u>											
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite	0.94	0.97	0.82	0.96	0.00		0.20					0.20								$\tilde{}$				Å				ds S			
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn)	0.94	0.97	0.82	0.96	0.50	0.50	0.20	0.67	0.57	0.50	0.00	0.20	0.07		0.99	0.00								osphe				spun			
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite	0.94	0.97	0.82	0.30	0.52	0.52	0.20 0.22 0.55	0.57	0.57	0.50	0.39	0.20	0.37	0.40	0.99 0.37	0.36				Mn(III) o				phospha				spunod			
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Foit/repetite (syn)	0.94 0.34 -0.24	0.97	0.82	0.30	0.52	0.52	0.20 0.22 0.55 -0.33	0.57	0.57	0.50	0.39	0.20 0.21 0.50 -0.30	0.37	0.40	0.99 0.37 0.38	0.36	0.42			Mn(III) d				e, phospha				spunoduuc			
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Feitknechtite (syn)	0.94 0.34 -0.24 0.54	0.97 0.38 -0.30 0.56	0.82 0.37 -0.47 0.49 0.77	0.30 -0.42 0.43 0.73	0.52 -0.26 0.48	0.52 -0.27 0.44	0.20 0.22 0.55 -0.33 0.56 0.71	0.57 -0.19 0.41 0.48	0.57 -0.23 0.39 0.41	0.50 -0.26 0.45	0.39 -0.31 0.43	0.20 0.21 0.50 -0.30 0.59 0.78	0.37 -0.26 0.49	0.40 -0.32 0.54	0.99 0.37 0.38 0.64	0.36 0.31 0.62 0.15	0.43	-0.22	0.66	Mn(III) d				nate, phospha				) compounds			ø
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Feitknechtite (syn) Groutite Manganite (syn)	0.94 0.34 -0.24 0.54 0.80 0.52	0.97 0.38 -0.30 0.56 0.82 0.56	0.82 0.37 -0.47 0.49 0.77 0.55	0.30 -0.42 0.43 0.73 0.50	0.52 -0.26 0.48 0.68 0.42	0.52 -0.27 0.44 0.65 0.39	0.20 0.22 0.55 -0.33 0.56 0.71 0.49	0.57 -0.19 0.41 0.48 0.34	0.57 -0.23 0.39 0.41 0.31	0.50 -0.26 0.45 0.60 0.42	0.39 -0.31 0.43 0.69 0.43	0.20 0.21 0.50 -0.30 0.59 0.78 0.55	0.37 -0.26 0.49 0.69 0.47	0.40 -0.32 0.54 0.77 0.54	0.99 0.37 0.38 0.64 0.16 0.34	0.36 0.31 0.62 0.15 0.34	0.43 0.26 0.27	-0.22	0.66	0 (III)uW				bonate, phosph				/III) compounds			cies
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Feitknechtite (syn) Groutite Manganite (syn) Braunite	0.94 0.34 -0.24 0.54 0.80 0.52 0.35	0.97 0.38 -0.30 0.56 0.82 0.56 0.38	0.82 0.37 -0.47 0.49 0.77 0.55 0.32	0.30 -0.42 0.43 0.73 0.50 0.26	0.52 -0.26 0.48 0.68 0.42 0.37	0.52 -0.27 0.44 0.65 0.39 0.35	0.20 0.22 0.55 -0.33 0.56 0.71 0.49 0.42	0.57 -0.19 0.41 0.48 0.34 0.29	0.57 -0.23 0.39 0.41 0.31 0.26	0.50 -0.26 0.45 0.60 0.42 0.33	0.39 -0.31 0.43 0.69 0.43 0.29	0.20 0.21 0.50 -0.30 0.59 0.78 0.55 0.43	0.37 -0.26 0.49 0.69 0.47 0.34	0.40 -0.32 0.54 0.77 0.54 0.37	0.99 0.37 0.38 0.64 0.16 0.34 0.75	0.36 0.31 0.62 0.15 0.34 0.73	0.43 0.26 0.27 0.48	-0.22 -0.17 0.29	0.66 0.84 0.75	0.72 0.39	0.49			arbonate, phospha				(II/III) compounds			becies
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Feitknechtite (syn) Groutite Manganite (syn) Braunite Hendricksite	0.94 0.34 -0.24 0.54 0.52 0.35 -0.42	0.97 0.38 -0.30 0.56 0.82 0.56 0.38 -0.47	0.82 0.37 -0.47 0.49 0.77 0.55 0.32 -0.55	0.30 -0.42 0.43 0.73 0.50 0.26 -0.58	0.52 -0.26 0.48 0.68 0.42 0.37 -0.47	0.52 -0.27 0.44 0.65 0.39 0.35 -0.48	0.20 0.22 0.55 -0.33 0.56 0.71 0.49 0.42 -0.51	0.57 -0.19 0.41 0.48 0.34 0.29 -0.40	0.57 -0.23 0.39 0.41 0.31 0.26 -0.42	0.50 -0.26 0.45 0.60 0.42 0.33 -0.47	0.39 -0.31 0.43 0.69 0.43 0.29 -0.52	0.20 0.21 0.50 -0.30 0.59 0.78 0.55 0.43 -0.48	0.37 -0.26 0.49 0.69 0.47 0.34 -0.50	0.40 -0.32 0.54 0.77 0.54 0.37 -0.53	0.99 0.37 0.38 0.64 0.16 0.34 0.75 0.33	0.36 0.31 0.62 0.15 0.34 0.73 0.28	0.43 0.26 0.27 0.48 0.03	-0.22 -0.17 0.29 0.63	0.66 0.84 0.75	0.72 0.39 -0.39	0.49	0.12		Carbonate, phosph				Mn(II/III) compounds			) species
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Feitknechtite (syn) Groutite Manganite (syn) Braunite Hendricksite Masutomilite	0.94 0.34 -0.24 0.54 0.52 0.35 -0.42 -0.37	0.97 0.38 -0.30 0.56 0.82 0.56 0.38 -0.47 -0.41	0.37 -0.47 0.49 0.55 0.32 -0.55 -0.30	0.30 -0.42 0.43 0.73 0.50 0.26 -0.58 -0.42	0.52 -0.26 0.48 0.68 0.42 0.37 -0.47 -0.38	0.52 -0.27 0.44 0.65 0.39 0.35 -0.48 -0.37	0.20 0.22 0.55 -0.33 0.56 0.71 0.49 0.42 -0.51 -0.38	0.57 -0.19 0.41 0.48 0.34 0.29 -0.40 -0.39	0.57 -0.23 0.39 0.41 0.31 0.26 -0.42 -0.40	0.50 -0.26 0.45 0.60 0.42 0.33 -0.47 -0.40	0.39 -0.31 0.43 0.69 0.43 0.29 -0.52 -0.43	0.20 0.21 0.50 -0.30 0.59 0.78 0.55 0.43 -0.48 -0.37	0.37 -0.26 0.49 0.69 0.47 0.34 -0.50 -0.45	0.40 -0.32 0.54 0.77 0.54 0.37 -0.53 -0.45	0.99 0.37 0.38 0.64 0.16 0.34 0.75 0.33	0.36 0.31 0.62 0.15 0.34 0.73 0.28	0.43 0.26 0.27 0.48 0.03	-0.22 -0.17 0.29 0.63	0.66 0.84 0.75 -0.46	0.72 0.39 -0.39 -0.39	0.49 -0.27 -0.38	0.12	0.57	Carbonate, phospha				nic Mn(II/III) compounds			(II) species
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Feitknechtite (syn) Groutite Manganite (syn) Braunite Hendricksite Masutomilite Rhodochrosite	0.94 0.34 -0.24 0.54 0.52 0.35 -0.42 -0.37 -0.34	0.97 0.38 -0.30 0.56 0.82 0.56 0.38 -0.47 -0.41 -0.37	0.82 0.37 -0.47 0.49 0.77 0.55 0.32 -0.55 -0.30 -0.35	0.30 -0.42 0.43 0.73 0.50 0.26 -0.58 -0.42 -0.36	0.52 -0.26 0.48 0.68 0.42 0.37 -0.47 -0.38 -0.29	0.52 -0.27 0.44 0.65 0.39 0.35 -0.48 -0.37 -0.27	0.20 0.22 0.55 -0.33 0.56 0.71 0.49 0.42 -0.51 -0.38 -0.34	0.57 -0.19 0.41 0.48 0.34 0.29 -0.40 -0.39 -0.16	0.57 -0.23 0.39 0.41 0.31 0.26 -0.42 -0.40 -0.14	0.50 -0.26 0.45 0.60 0.42 0.33 -0.47 -0.40 -0.21	0.39 -0.31 0.43 0.69 0.43 0.29 -0.52 -0.43 -0.35	0.20 0.21 0.50 -0.30 0.59 0.78 0.55 0.43 -0.43 -0.37 -0.37	0.37 -0.26 0.49 0.69 0.47 0.34 -0.50 -0.45 -0.37	0.40 -0.32 0.54 0.77 0.54 0.37 -0.53 -0.45 -0.40	0.99 0.37 0.38 0.64 0.16 0.34 0.75 0.33	0.36 0.31 0.62 0.15 0.34 0.73 0.28 -0.34	0.43 0.26 0.27 0.48 0.03	-0.22 -0.17 0.29 0.63	0.66 0.84 0.75 -0.46 -0.37	0.72 0.39 -0.39 -0.39 -0.44	0.49 -0.27 -0.38 -0.26	0.12 -0.35 -0.24	0.57 0.31	Carbonate, phosph				ganic Mn(II/III) compounds			Mn(II) species
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Feitknechtite (syn) Groutite Manganite (syn) Braunite Hendricksite Masutomilite Rhodochrosite Triplite	0.94 0.34 -0.24 0.54 0.52 0.35 -0.42 -0.37 -0.34 -0.62	0.97 0.38 -0.30 0.56 0.82 0.56 0.38 -0.47 -0.41 -0.37 -0.63	0.37 -0.47 0.49 0.77 0.55 -0.32 -0.55 -0.30 -0.35 -0.35 -0.48	0.30 -0.42 0.43 0.73 0.50 0.26 -0.58 -0.42 -0.36 -0.64	0.52 -0.26 0.48 0.68 0.42 0.37 -0.47 -0.38 -0.29 -0.58	0.52 -0.27 0.44 0.65 0.39 0.35 -0.48 -0.37 -0.27 -0.26	0.20 0.22 0.55 -0.33 0.56 0.71 0.49 0.42 -0.51 -0.38 -0.34 -0.57	0.57 -0.19 0.41 0.48 0.34 0.29 -0.40 -0.39 -0.16 -0.47	0.57 -0.23 0.39 0.41 0.31 0.26 -0.42 -0.40 -0.14 -0.43	0.50 -0.26 0.45 0.60 0.42 0.33 -0.47 -0.40 -0.21 -0.53	0.39 -0.31 0.43 0.69 0.43 0.29 -0.52 -0.43 -0.35 -0.67	0.20 0.21 0.50 -0.30 0.59 0.78 0.55 0.43 -0.43 -0.48 -0.37 -0.37 -0.58	0.37 -0.26 0.49 0.69 0.47 0.34 -0.50 -0.45 -0.37 -0.70	0.40 -0.32 0.54 0.77 0.54 0.37 -0.53 -0.45 -0.40 -0.69	0.99 0.37 0.38 0.64 0.16 0.34 0.75 0.33 -0.33 -0.29	0.36 0.31 0.62 0.15 0.34 0.73 0.28 -0.34 -0.29	0.43 0.26 0.27 0.48 0.03	-0.22 -0.17 0.29 0.63 0.16	0.66 0.84 0.75 -0.46 -0.37 -0.50	0.72 0.39 -0.39 -0.44 -0.45	0.49 -0.27 -0.38 -0.26 -0.46	0.12 -0.35 -0.24 -0.37	0.57 0.31 0.50	Carbonate, phospha	0.56			Organic Mn(II/III) compounds			ed Mn(II) species
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Feitknechtire (syn) Groutite Manganite (syn) Braunite Hendricksite Masutomilite Rhodochrosite Triplite Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate	0.94 0.34 -0.24 0.54 0.52 0.35 -0.42 -0.37 -0.34 -0.62 -0.44	0.97 0.38 -0.30 0.56 0.82 0.56 0.38 -0.47 -0.41 -0.37 -0.63 -0.63 -0.49	0.82 0.37 -0.47 0.49 0.77 0.55 0.32 -0.55 -0.30 -0.35 -0.35 -0.48 -0.46	0.30 -0.42 0.43 0.73 0.50 0.26 -0.58 -0.42 -0.36 -0.64 -0.50	0.52 -0.26 0.48 0.68 0.42 0.37 -0.47 -0.38 -0.29 -0.58 -0.44	0.52 -0.27 0.44 0.65 0.39 0.35 -0.48 -0.37 -0.27 -0.56 -0.43	0.20 0.22 0.55 -0.33 0.56 0.71 0.49 0.42 -0.51 -0.38 -0.34 -0.57 -0.49	0.57 -0.19 0.41 0.48 0.34 0.29 -0.40 -0.39 -0.16 -0.47 -0.32	0.57 -0.23 0.39 0.41 0.31 0.26 -0.42 -0.40 -0.14 -0.43 -0.30	0.50 -0.26 0.45 0.60 0.42 0.33 -0.47 -0.40 -0.21 -0.53 -0.37	0.39 -0.31 0.43 0.69 0.43 0.29 -0.52 -0.43 -0.35 -0.67 -0.49	0.20 0.21 0.50 0.59 0.78 0.55 0.43 -0.43 -0.37 -0.37 -0.37 -0.58 -0.50	0.37 -0.26 0.49 0.69 0.47 0.34 -0.50 -0.45 -0.37 -0.70 -0.70	0.40 -0.32 0.54 0.77 0.54 -0.53 -0.45 -0.40 -0.69 -0.53	0.99 0.37 0.38 0.64 0.16 0.34 0.75 0.33 -0.33 -0.29 -0.31	0.36 0.31 0.62 0.15 0.34 0.73 0.28 -0.34 -0.29 -0.34	0.43 0.26 0.27 0.48 0.03 -0.16 -0.22	-0.22 -0.17 0.29 0.63 0.16 0.22	0.66 0.84 0.75 -0.46 -0.37 -0.50 -0.48	0.72 0.39 -0.39 -0.44 -0.45 -0.50	0.49 -0.27 -0.38 -0.26 -0.46 -0.47	0.12 -0.35 -0.24 -0.37 -0.42	0.57 0.31 0.50 0.54	Carbonate, phospha	0.56	0.77		Organic Mn(II/III) compounds			rbed Mn(II) species
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Feitknechtite (syn) Groutite Manganite (syn) Braunite Hendricksite Masutomilite Rhodochrosite Triplite Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate	0.94 0.34 -0.24 0.54 0.52 0.35 -0.42 -0.37 -0.34 -0.62 -0.44 -0.43	0.97 0.38 -0.30 0.56 0.82 0.56 0.38 -0.47 -0.41 -0.37 -0.63 -0.49 -0.45	0.82 0.37 -0.49 0.77 0.55 -0.32 -0.55 -0.30 -0.35 -0.48 -0.46 -0.35	0.30 -0.42 0.43 0.73 0.50 0.26 -0.58 -0.42 -0.36 -0.64 -0.50 -0.50 -0.43	0.52 -0.26 0.48 0.68 0.42 0.37 -0.47 -0.38 -0.29 -0.58 -0.44 -0.40	0.52 -0.27 0.44 0.65 0.39 0.35 -0.48 -0.37 -0.27 -0.56 -0.43 -0.39	0.20 0.55 -0.33 0.56 0.71 0.49 0.42 -0.51 -0.38 -0.34 -0.57 -0.49 -0.42	0.57 -0.19 0.41 0.48 0.34 0.29 -0.40 -0.39 -0.16 -0.47 -0.32 -0.32	0.57 -0.23 0.39 0.41 0.26 -0.42 -0.40 -0.14 -0.43 -0.30 -0.29	0.50 -0.26 0.45 0.60 0.42 0.33 -0.47 -0.40 -0.21 -0.53 -0.37 -0.36	0.39 -0.31 0.43 0.69 0.43 -0.52 -0.43 -0.35 -0.67 -0.49 -0.47	0.20 0.21 0.50 0.59 0.78 0.55 0.43 -0.48 -0.37 -0.37 -0.58 -0.50 -0.50 -0.43	0.37 -0.26 0.49 0.69 0.47 0.34 -0.50 -0.45 -0.37 -0.70 -0.49 -0.51	0.40 -0.32 0.54 0.77 0.54 0.37 -0.53 -0.45 -0.40 -0.69 -0.53 -0.51	0.99 0.37 0.38 0.64 0.16 0.34 0.75 0.33 -0.33 -0.29 -0.31 -0.27	0.36 0.31 0.62 0.15 0.34 0.73 0.28 -0.34 -0.29 -0.34 -0.29	0.43 0.26 0.27 0.48 0.03 -0.16 -0.22 -0.25	-0.22 -0.17 0.29 0.63 0.16 0.22 0.20	0.66 0.84 0.75 -0.46 -0.37 -0.50 -0.48 -0.42	0.72 0.39 -0.39 -0.44 -0.45 -0.50 -0.33	0.49 -0.27 -0.38 -0.26 -0.46 -0.47 -0.45	0.12 -0.35 -0.24 -0.37 -0.42 -0.33	0.57 0.31 0.50 0.54 0.44	Carbonate, phospha 0.70 0.00	0.56 0.70 0.48	<b>0.77</b> 0.84	0.80	Organic Mn(II/III) compounds			Isorbed Mn(II) species
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Feitknechtite (syn) Groutite Manganite (syn) Braunite Hendricksite Masutomilite Rhodochrosite Tripite Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate Mn(II) acetate dihydrate	0.94 0.34 -0.24 0.54 0.52 0.35 -0.42 -0.37 -0.34 -0.62 -0.44 -0.43 0.64	0.97 0.38 -0.30 0.56 0.82 0.56 0.38 -0.47 -0.41 -0.37 -0.63 -0.49 -0.45 0.70	0.37 -0.47 0.49 0.77 0.55 -0.30 -0.35 -0.48 -0.46 -0.46 0.71	0.30 -0.42 0.43 0.73 0.50 0.26 -0.58 -0.42 -0.36 -0.64 -0.50 -0.64 0.63	0.52 -0.26 0.48 0.68 0.42 0.37 -0.47 -0.38 -0.29 -0.58 -0.44 -0.40 0.75	0.52 -0.27 0.44 0.65 0.39 0.35 -0.48 -0.37 -0.27 -0.56 -0.43 -0.39 0.74	0.20 0.22 0.55 -0.33 0.56 0.71 0.49 0.42 -0.51 -0.38 -0.34 -0.34 -0.57 -0.49 -0.49 -0.42 0.80	0.57 -0.19 0.41 0.48 0.29 -0.40 -0.39 -0.16 -0.47 -0.32 -0.31 0.71	0.57 -0.23 0.39 0.41 0.26 -0.42 -0.40 -0.14 -0.43 -0.30 -0.29 0.72	0.50 -0.26 0.45 0.60 0.42 0.33 -0.47 -0.40 -0.21 -0.53 -0.37 -0.36 0.76	0.39 -0.31 0.43 0.69 0.43 0.29 -0.52 -0.43 -0.35 -0.67 -0.49 -0.47 0.64	0.20 0.21 0.50 -0.30 0.59 0.78 0.55 0.43 -0.48 -0.37 -0.37 -0.58 -0.50 -0.43 0.80	0.37 -0.26 0.49 0.69 0.47 -0.50 -0.45 -0.37 -0.70 -0.49 -0.51 0.66	0.40 -0.32 0.54 0.77 0.54 -0.53 -0.45 -0.40 -0.69 -0.53 -0.51 0.71	0.99 0.37 0.38 0.64 0.34 0.75 0.33 -0.33 -0.29 -0.31 -0.27 0.29	0.36 0.31 0.62 0.34 0.34 0.28 -0.34 -0.29 -0.34 -0.29 0.30	0.43 0.26 0.27 0.48 0.03 -0.16 -0.22 -0.25 0.56	-0.22 -0.17 0.29 0.63 0.16 0.22 0.20 -0.28	0.66 0.84 0.75 -0.46 -0.37 -0.50 -0.48 -0.42 0.71	0.72 0.39 -0.39 -0.44 -0.45 -0.50 -0.33 0.63	0.49 -0.27 -0.38 -0.26 -0.46 -0.47 -0.45 0.64	0.12 -0.35 -0.24 -0.37 -0.42 -0.33 0.54	0.57 0.31 0.50 0.54 0.44 -0.49	Carbonate, phospha 0.40 0.00 0.40	0.56 0.70 0.48 -0.25	0.77 0.84 -0.41	0.80	Bend Signic Mn(II/III) compounds			Adsorbed Mn(II) species
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Feitknechtite (syn) Groutite Manganite (syn) Braunite Hendricksite Masutomilite Rhodochrosite Triplite Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate Mn(II) acetate dihydrate Mn(III) acetate dihydrate Mn(III) acetate dihydrate	0.94 0.34 -0.24 0.54 0.52 0.35 -0.42 -0.37 -0.34 -0.62 -0.44 -0.43 0.64 -0.37	0.97 0.38 -0.30 0.56 0.82 0.56 0.38 -0.47 -0.41 -0.37 -0.63 -0.49 -0.45 0.70 -0.49	0.37 -0.47 0.49 0.77 0.55 -0.30 -0.35 -0.48 -0.48 -0.46 -0.35 0.71 -0.30	0.30 -0.42 0.43 0.73 0.50 0.26 -0.58 -0.42 -0.36 -0.64 -0.50 -0.43 0.63 -0.45	0.52 -0.26 0.48 0.68 0.42 0.37 -0.47 -0.38 -0.47 -0.58 -0.44 -0.40 0.75 -0.40	0.52 -0.27 0.44 0.65 -0.48 -0.37 -0.27 -0.56 -0.43 -0.39 0.74 -0.39	0.20 0.22 0.55 0.56 0.71 0.49 0.42 -0.51 -0.38 -0.34 -0.57 -0.49 -0.42 0.80 -0.41	0.57 -0.19 0.41 0.48 0.34 0.29 -0.40 -0.39 -0.16 -0.47 -0.32 -0.31 0.71 -0.36	0.57 -0.23 0.39 0.41 0.26 -0.42 -0.40 -0.14 -0.43 -0.30 -0.29 0.72 -0.36	0.50 -0.26 0.45 0.60 0.42 0.33 -0.47 -0.40 -0.21 -0.53 -0.37 -0.36 0.76 -0.38	0.39 -0.31 0.43 0.69 0.43 -0.52 -0.43 -0.43 -0.47 -0.49 -0.47 0.64 -0.49	0.20 0.21 0.50 -0.30 0.59 0.78 0.55 0.43 -0.43 -0.37 -0.58 -0.50 -0.50 -0.43 0.80 -0.38	0.37 -0.26 0.49 0.69 0.34 -0.50 -0.45 -0.37 -0.70 -0.49 -0.51 0.66 -0.50	0.40 -0.32 0.54 0.77 0.54 -0.53 -0.45 -0.40 -0.69 -0.53 -0.51 0.71 -0.48	0.99 0.37 0.38 0.64 0.34 0.75 0.33 -0.33 -0.29 -0.31 -0.27 0.29 -0.21	0.36 0.31 0.62 0.15 0.34 0.73 0.28 -0.34 -0.29 -0.34 -0.29 0.30 -0.31	0.43 0.26 0.27 0.48 0.03 -0.16 -0.22 -0.25 0.56	-0.22 -0.17 0.29 0.63 0.16 0.22 0.20 -0.28 0.25	0.66 0.84 0.75 -0.46 -0.37 -0.50 -0.48 -0.42 0.71 -0.29	0.72 0.39 -0.39 -0.44 -0.45 -0.50 -0.33 0.63 -0.25	0.49 -0.27 -0.38 -0.26 -0.46 -0.47 -0.45 0.64 -0.23	0.12 -0.35 -0.24 -0.37 -0.42 -0.33 0.54 -0.22	0.57 0.31 0.50 0.54 0.44 -0.49 0.63	Carbonate, phospha 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40	0.56 0.70 0.48 -0.25 0.60	0.77 0.84 -0.41 0.86	0.80 -0.49 0.85	Organic Mn(II/III) compounds	-0.35		Adsorbed Mn(II) species
Todorokite (syn) Bixbyite Bixbyite (syn) Hausmannite Manganosite (syn) Feitknechtite (syn) Groutite Manganite (syn) Braunite Hendricksite Hendricksite Masutomilite Rhodochrosite Triplite Mn(II) acetate tetrahydrate Mn(II) oxalate dihydrate Mn(II) acetate dihydrate Mn(II) ads. illite pH7 Mn(II) ads. peat pH5	0.94 0.34 -0.24 0.54 0.52 0.35 -0.42 -0.37 -0.34 -0.62 -0.44 -0.43 0.64 -0.37 -0.55	0.97 0.38 -0.30 0.56 0.82 0.56 0.38 -0.47 -0.41 -0.37 -0.63 -0.49 -0.45 0.70 -0.40 -0.59	0.37 -0.47 0.49 0.77 0.55 -0.30 -0.55 -0.30 -0.35 -0.48 -0.48 -0.46 -0.35 0.71 -0.30	0.30 -0.42 0.43 0.73 0.50 0.26 -0.58 -0.42 -0.56 -0.42 -0.50 -0.43 0.63 -0.45 -0.57	0.52 -0.26 0.48 0.42 0.37 -0.47 -0.38 -0.47 -0.38 -0.49 -0.58 -0.44 0.75 -0.40 0.75	0.52 -0.27 0.44 0.65 0.39 0.35 -0.48 -0.37 -0.27 -0.56 -0.43 -0.39 0.74 -0.40 0.74	0.20 0.22 0.55 0.33 0.56 0.71 0.49 0.42 -0.51 -0.38 -0.34 -0.57 -0.49 -0.49 -0.42 0.80 -0.42	0.57 -0.19 0.41 0.48 0.34 -0.40 -0.39 -0.40 -0.39 -0.16 -0.47 -0.32 -0.31 0.71 -0.36 -0.48	0.57 -0.23 0.39 0.41 0.31 0.26 -0.42 -0.40 -0.14 -0.43 -0.30 -0.29 0.72 -0.36 -0.47	0.50 -0.26 0.45 0.60 0.42 0.33 -0.47 -0.40 -0.21 -0.53 -0.37 -0.36 0.76 0.76	0.39 -0.31 0.43 0.69 0.43 0.29 -0.52 -0.43 -0.52 -0.43 -0.47 0.64 -0.49 -0.61	0.20 0.21 0.50 -0.30 0.59 0.55 0.43 -0.48 -0.37 -0.37 -0.58 -0.50 -0.50 0.43 0.80 -0.58	0.37 -0.26 0.49 0.69 0.47 -0.50 -0.45 -0.37 -0.70 -0.49 -0.51 0.66 -0.50 -0.60	0.40 -0.32 0.54 0.57 -0.53 -0.45 -0.40 -0.69 -0.53 -0.51 0.71 -0.48 -0.64	0.99 0.37 0.38 0.64 0.16 0.34 0.75 0.33 -0.33 -0.29 -0.31 -0.27 0.29 -0.18 -0.29 -0.18	0.36 0.31 0.62 0.15 0.34 0.73 0.28 -0.34 -0.29 0.30 -0.34 -0.29 0.30	0.43 0.26 0.27 0.48 0.03 -0.16 -0.22 -0.25 0.56	-0.22 -0.17 0.29 0.63 0.22 0.20 -0.28 0.25 0.26	0.66 0.84 0.75 -0.46 -0.37 -0.50 -0.48 -0.42 0.71 -0.29 -0.49	0.72 0.39 -0.39 -0.44 -0.45 -0.50 -0.33 0.63 -0.25 -0.25 -0.45	0.49 -0.27 -0.38 -0.26 -0.46 -0.47 -0.45 0.64 -0.45 -0.45	0.12 -0.35 -0.24 -0.37 -0.42 -0.33 0.54 -0.42 -0.41	0.57 0.31 0.50 0.54 0.44 -0.49 0.63 0.61	Carbonate, phospha 08.0 18.0 08.0	0.56 0.70 0.48 -0.25 0.60	0.77 0.84 -0.41 0.86 0.87	0.80 -0.49 0.85	Organic Mn(II/III) compounds	-0.35	0.92	Adsorbed Mn(II) species

1 >0.9 >0.8 >0.7 >0.6 ≤0.6 \*PM = Phyllomanganates

# Figure 9



# Figure 10

