1	Revision1
2 3	Liquid properties in the Fe-FeS system under moderate pressure: tool box to model small planetary cores
4 5	Guillaume Morard ¹ , Johann Bouchet ² , Attilio Rivoldini ³ , Daniele Antonangeli ¹ , Mathilde Roberge ¹ , Eglantine Boulard ¹ , Adrien Denoeud ⁴ , Mohamed Mezouar ⁵
6	¹ Institut de Minéralogie, de Physique des Matériaux, et de Cosmochimie (IMPMC), Sorbonne
7	Universités - UPMC, UMR CNRS 7590, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, IRD UMR
8	206, F-75005 Paris, France.
9	² CEA, DAM, 91297 Arpajon, France
10	³ Royal Observatory of Belgium; B-1180 Bruxelles Belgium
11	⁴ Ecole Polytechnique, LULI, F-91128 Palaiseau, France
12	⁵ European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble F-38043 France
13	

14 Abstract

Physical properties of liquid Fe-S alloys (from 10 to 50 at%S) under high 15 pressure were investigated by in situ X-ray diffraction (up to 5GPa and 1900 K) and by 16 ab initio calculations. The local structure of Fe-S liquid alloys clearly show how S 17 modifies the local arrangement of the Fe atoms. Density has been extracted from the 18 diffuse scattering by minimization of the oscillation in the short distance of the radial 19 distribution function g(r). Two different formalisms for the P-V-T-X equation of state 20 are presented in order to model density and sound velocity as a function of pressure, 21 temperature and sulfur content. Based on these results, Moon's core composition is 22 23 discussed. This coherent dataset will serve as a thermodynamically consistent ground for 24 modeling the core of small telluric planets and large icy satellites.

25

26

27

28 Introduction

Elements lighter than iron are expected to be present in planetary cores, due to their 29 abundance in iron meteorites and their affinity with the metallic phase. Among the potential 30 light elements (Poirier 1994), sulphur is likely the most abundant in the cores of iron 31 meteorites parent bodies (Chabot 2004). From tungsten isotopes data (Kleine et al. 2009) and 32 experimental studies of percolation processes (Yoshino et al. 2003), core formation is 33 expected to occur within a few million years of the solar system formation, even in small 34 planetesimals (Greenwood et al. 2006). It is therefore important to constrain physical 35 36 properties of Fe-S liquid alloys in order to better understand core formation in the early stage of the solar system. 37

Melting properties in the Fe-FeS system under high pressure have been intensively 38 investigated by in situ (Campbell et al. 2007; Morard et al. 2007b; Chen et al. 2008a; G. 39 Morard et al. 2008; Andrault et al. 2009) or ex situ methods (Chudinovskikh and Boehler 40 2007; Stewart et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2008b; Kamada et al. 2012). However, while the Fe-rich 41 side of the phase diagram begins to be relatively well described, we still lack precise 42 knowledge of important physical properties, especially in the liquid state, such as density or 43 44 viscosity (LeBlanc and Secco 1996; Sanloup et al. 2000; Vočadlo et al. 2000; Balog et al. 2003; Nishida et al. 2008). 45

As today, the inner structures and in particular the cores of planetary bodies in the Solar 46 system are not well known, mostly because of the absence of seismological data, with the 47 clear exception for the Earth and, to some extent, for the Moon. Inferences about planetary 48 49 cores can be obtained from geodesy, electrical and magnetic data, and size, density, and composition have been estimated (e.g. Yoder et al. 2003; Margot et al. 2007; Rivoldini et al. 50 2009; Zhang and Pommier 2017). However, those inferences require detailed modeling of the 51 interior structure, and the precision of the estimates, in particular core composition, calls for 52 extensive knowledge about core material properties. In this study we investigated the density 53 of liquid Fe-S alloys up to 5 GPa and 1900 K and assessed how it affects the Lunar interior. 54

55 Simultaneous measurements of density and liquid structure have been performed to 56 emphasize the strong link between evolution of the local atomic arrangement and changes in 57 the liquid density. This experimental study has been complemented by ab initio calculations 58 of the liquid structure in similar P-T conditions. We present two models describing 59 thermoelastic properties of liquid Fe-S as a function of pressure, temperature, and S content based on our data and results published in the literature. These models are finally applied toestimate S content in Moon's core.

62

63 Experimental procedure

64 Paris-Edinburgh Press experiments

X-ray diffraction experiments were carried out at the High Pressure beamline ID27 at 65 ESRF in Grenoble, France (Mezouar et al. 2005) using a large volume VX5 type Paris-66 Edinburgh press (PEP) (Besson et al. 1992; Klotz et al. 2005). This press allows large opening 67 angle in the equatorial plane. The very high brilliance X-ray beam delivered by two in-68 vacuum undulators was collimated down to 50*50 microns (typical values). The X-ray 69 70 wavelength was fixed to λ =0.24678 Å (Gadolinium K-edge) using a Si(111) channel cut monochromator. A multichannel collimator (Mezouar et al. 2002; Morard et al. 2011) was 71 72 used to minimize the X-ray background coming from sample environment materials. The data were collected using a MAR345 imaging plate system (X-Ray research company GmbH, 73 74 Nodersted, Germany). The sample-detector distance was calibrated with a LaB₆ standard powder and the diffraction images were treated and integrated using the Fit2D software 75 76 (Hammersley et al. 1996). Typical exposure time to obtain the hereby presented data is 300s.

The high-pressure chamber consists of two opposed tungsten carbide anvils which 77 78 have quasi-conical hollows. We used 7 mm boron epoxy gaskets with a classical cell assembly (graphite cylinder furnace, MgO capsule used as pressure medium and electrical 79 80 insulator (Mezouar et al. 1999)). MgO polycrystalline capsule is softer than single crystal alumina capsule, used for conventional X-ray radiography technique, so to minimize pressure 81 gradients in the cell (Nishida et al. 2016). Pressure was calibrated using equation of state 82 (EoS) of MgO (Utsumi et al. 1998) close to the sample, in order to reduce P-T uncertainties. 83 84 Temperature was fitted using a previously established calibration curve (Morard et al. 2007a). 85 By combined use of this calibration and MgO EoS, pressure was found to be stable up to 1570 K and to decrease at higher temperatures (up to 0.7 GPa for the highest temperature). With 86 increasing temperature we could track the structural transitions in Fe (Klotz et al., 2008) and 87 FeS (Urakawa et al., 2004), confirming the validity of the temperature calibration. As 88 addressed in details in a previous paper (Morard et al., 2007b), metrological uncertainties are 89 90 the following : 170 K in temperature and 0.6 GPa in pressure.

The samples were a mixture of pure Fe (99.99 %, Alfa Aesar) and FeS powders 91 (99.9%, Sigma Aldrich). Electron microprobe (EMP) analyses were performed on quenched 92 samples (Centre Camparis, UPMC, Paris) using a Cameca SX100 wavelength dispersive 93 spectrometer (WDS) to measure the three main elements in this study (Fe, S and O, as 94 potential pollutant). Operating conditions in both cases were 15 kV and 40 nA for a counting 95 time of 20 s on peak and 10 s on background. Standards for the three elements were Fe, FeS₂ 96 and Fe₂O₃, respectively. Our samples show fine dendritic textures; therefore we used a 97 defocused beam of ~20 microns to average the compositions of quenched texture (Figure 1). 98

Density and liquid structure of liquid Fe-S alloys were extracted from the diffuse 99 scattering signal recorded under high pressure and high temperature. Diffuse signal with high 100 quality were recorded on a Q range up to almost 100 nm⁻¹, allowing measurements up to the 101 third oscillation of the structure factor S(Q) (Figure 2). Analysis of angle dispersive liquid 102 103 diffuse signal recorded using PEP is detailed in a previous paper (Morard et al., 2013). Uncertainties on experimentally measured density values of ± 3 atoms/nm³ (equivalent to 104 \sim 250 kg/m³) were estimated from Q range, self-absorption and minimal distance on the radial 105 distribution function g(r) (Table 1) (Morard et al, 2013). 106

107

108 Molecular Dynamics Calculations

Simulations were performed using the ABINIT package (Gonze et al. 2016). The 109 electrons are treated quantum mechanically using density functional theory (DFT) while the 110 ions movement is treated classically using the resulting forces. The calculations used the 111 projected augmented wave (PAW) (Blochl 1994; Torrent et al. 2008) method for the 112 computation of the electronic structure and the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) 113 following the parametrization of Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof (PBE) for the exchange-114 correlation energy and potential (Perdew et al. 1996). For iron we generated a pseudo-115 116 potential with 3s, 3p, 3d, and 4s states as valence electrons (see (Dewaele et al. 2008; Bouchet et al. 2013) for a detailed description of the generation of the pseudopotential and a 117 118 comparison with recent experimental data). For sulfur the pseudo-potential includes 3s and 3p states as valence electrons. The simulations were performed using a cutoff energy, E_c , for the 119 plane wave basis chosen equal to 350 eV. The radius of the augmentation regions for the 120 121 PAW pseudo-potential were chosen small enough to avoid an overlapping of the spheres 122 surrounding each atom at the highest densities. An efficient scheme for the parallelization

present in ABINIT was used to perform the simulations involving a large number of atomsand time steps (Bottin et al. 2008).

We used supercells of liquid Fe of 128 atoms and we replace 13, 17, 29, 38, 50 and 64 125 atoms of Fe by atoms of S to obtain composition of 10%atS, 23%atS, 30%atS, 39%atS, and 126 50% at S respectively. The simulations were first performed in the NPT (constant number of 127 atoms, pressure and temperature) ensemble to reach the desired pressure (5 GPa) and then in 128 the NVT (constant number of atoms, volume and temperature) ensemble in order to avoid any 129 fluctuations of cell parameters. The temperature was fixed to 2500 K and we systematically 130 131 used an electronic temperature equal to the ionic temperature in our simulations. This relatively high temperature is necessary to ensure the complete melting of the supercells. 132

Once the box of simulated atoms is equilibrated (after at least 5 ps), partial radial 133 distributions functions, g(r), for Fe–Fe, Fe–S and S–S atoms were calculated from 2.5 ps time 134 averages taken different starting times to ensure the convergence. It is well known that at 135 room pressure the experimental equilibrium volume of iron is underestimated in DFT-GGA-136 PBE calculations due to an incorrect modelling of magnetic effects (Dewaele et al. 2008) by 137 around 7% and therefore the density is overestimated by the same percentage. The bulk 138 139 modulus is also strongly overestimated (Dewaele et al. 2008), and therefore the density discrepancies between DFT_GGA and experiments hold up to high pressure (around 150 140 GPa). Note that it is possible to correct the GGA results by using the dynamical mean-field 141 theory (Pourovskii et al. 2013) and to recover a good agreement with the experimental 142 143 equation of state, but this approximation cannot be used in molecular dynamics calculations due to its enormous computational cost. For solid Fe-S in the B2 structure the density 144 overestimation is similar (Sherman 1995). We reproduce these discrepancies in our 145 calculations for liquid Fe-S with a constant overestimation of the experimental density by 146 about 1000 kg/m³, due to the GGA-PBE, but also to a larger pressure in the calculations. 147 Noteworthy, the overall evolution of the density as a function of the sulfur composition is 148 149 comparable to that experimentally measured.

150

151 **Results and discussion**

152 Liquid structure

Analysis by Fourier transform of the diffuse X-ray scattering of liquid metals gives 153 154 access to the local structure g(r) of the liquid (Figure 3). In addition to the experimental results, ab initio calculations have been performed on this system in a similar P-T range. 155 As already mentioned, inherent problems of calculations involving iron, without modeling 156 157 magnetic properties correctly, leads to a known overestimation of the density. However, main features of experimental and theoretical liquid structures are relatively similar. It 158 should be noticed that oscillations present in the experimental data of the structure of pure 159 FeS liquid are not real but are related to spurious signal coming from limited Q range on 160 161 the corresponding structure factor.

Irrespectively of the density shift, simulations bring additional information impossible 162 163 to obtain with the present XRD experiments: partial g(r) have been be extracted from the calculations (Figure 4), showing no S-S polymerization on the Fe-FeS side of the phase 164 diagram, in agreement with previous published calculations using smaller supercells (64 165 atoms compared to 128 in our MD simulations) (Alfe and Gillan 1998; Vočadlo et al. 166 2000). The S-S bond remains quite large, emphasizing S-S repulsion, and no formation of 167 S-S clusters for all studied compositions. This definitely rules out the idea that S-S 168 169 interactions were at the origin of the anomalous values of the viscosity (LeBlanc and Secco 1996). 170

Partial g(r) do not show any drastic change in the bond lengths with increasing S 171 content (Figure 4). The Fe-S bond is shorter than the Fe-Fe bond, this is related to the 172 173 covalent status of this bond (Flory et al. 2005; Morard et al. 2008). The position of the first peak in the g(r), directly related to the first coordinance sphere (CS), was observed in 174 175 the experiments to remain relatively unchanged up to ~30at%S and to drastically decrease for larger sulfur concentrations (Figure 5). As the contribution of each X-X atomic pair to 176 177 the total g(r) probed by X-ray is related to the weight of the atoms, the first peak position is essentially associated with the Fe-Fe network. S-S bond is relatively longer (3.4 Å) but 178 with lower intensity and larger dispersion, whereas Fe-S bonds are shorter (2.2 Å) than 179 Fe-Fe bonds (2.5 Å) and have a higher intensity. It is therefore the addition of Fe-S bonds 180 that influence the total g(r) observed in our experiments, and explained the shortening of 181 the observed first CS position (Figure 5). The hereby presented peak position are in 182 relative good agreement with previous results from (Kono et al. 2015). 183

184

185 *Comparison of our dataset with the literature*

The Fe-FeS binary system has been studied extensively over a large pressure range. 186 187 Density of liquid Fe-S alloys has been determined by ex-situ sink-float method (Balog et al. 2003; Nishida et al. 2008), by in situ X-ray radiography (J. Chen et al. 2014), and by X-ray 188 absorption (Sanloup et al. 2000; Nishida et al. 2011). These datasets do not provide a 189 consistent picture, and the derived densities show large discrepancies, even when 190 measurements have been performed with the same method. Sound velocities of liquid Fe-S 191 alloys have been probed in situ under high pressure by conventional ultrasonic method but, as 192 for the density measurements, the results by different research groups are in disagreement 193 (Nishida et al. 2013, 2016; Jing et al. 2014). A thorough comparison of our new 194 195 measurements (Table 1) with literature results will sheds light on the properties of the Fe-S binary system at high pressure and high temperature. 196

197 Regarding pure liquid FeS, previous studies have found contradictory results (Nishida et al. 2011; B. Chen et al. 2014) in spite of using the same X-ray absorption technique. Chen et 198 al. argue that the reported density difference can be explained by the temperature difference. 199 Specifically, they claim that temperature difference of 150K can account for a density 200 difference of almost 400 kg/m³. However such a large thermal expansion is incompatible with 201 ambient pressure measurements (for pure FeS, the liquid density only changes from ~3900 202 kg/m³ to ~3820 kg/m³ between 1473 K and 1623 K (Kaiura and Toguri 1979)). Furthermore, 203 a combined fit of either of the two high-pressure sets of density for pure liquid FeS with 204 205 ambient pressure results is impossible using conventional EoS values, and requires an unrealistically small K_{T0} (Nishida et al. 2011). Conversely, the here-measured density for pure 206 207 liquid FeS at high pressure are in good agreement with ambient pressure measurements (Nagamori 1969; Kaiura and Toguri 1979), while differ from the previous high-pressure 208 209 measurements (the difference between the present dataset at 4 GPa and 1900 K and previous determination is 6% (Nishida et al. 2008), 10% (Nishida et al. 2011), and 20 % (J. Chen et al. 210 211 2014) (Figure 6).

Unlike liquid density measurements at high pressure, literature concerning measurements on liquid Fe-S alloys at ambient pressure is rather limited and relatively old (Nagamori 1969; Kaiura and Toguri 1979). It should also be noted that there are no density measurements over a wide compositional range (0-40 at% S) at ambient pressure. Regarding pure liquid Fe, measurements have been recently performed over a wide temperature range, allowing a very good estimation of the density/temperature relation (Assael et al. 2006), which is used to
extract density of liquid Fe-S alloys at 1900 K as a function of S content (Figure 7).

The density of the liquid Fe-S alloys at ambient pressure (ρ_0) is a sensitive parameter in 219 our study. Drastically different models have been used in previous studies (Figure 7), with a 220 deviation of $\sim 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ for close compositions in the 15-20 at% S range. We anchor our 221 study on ambient pressure measurements, fixing the density for the 40-50 at% S alloys on 222 those by Nagamori (1969) extrapolated at 1900 K using thermal expansion from Kaiura and 223 224 Togori (1979). A quadratic polynomial fit is then performed to interpolate between density of S-rich alloys and that of pure liquid Fe, fixed to the value of Assael et al. (2006). This trend is 225 in relative good agreement with densities calculated by ab initio techniques (Kuskov and 226 227 Belashchenko 2016) for low S concentration (Figure 7). The so-derived second order polynomial gives: 228

229
$$\rho = -3108X_S^2 - 5176X_S + 6950 \quad (1)$$

where X_S is the S concentration in at.%. Looking at this relation, it comes clearly out that values of density from Sanloup et al., (2000) and the related model from Jing et al. (2014) are too low, in particular for low S content, and in disagreement with our model (Figure 7).

Regarding sound velocity, most recent measurements (Nishida et al. 2016) and calculations (Kuskov and Belashchenko 2016) are incompatible with ambient pressure measurements (Nasch et al. 1997; Nasch and Manghnani 1998) (Figure 8 and 9), while previous measurements on Fe-16at%S are (Jing et al. 2014) (Figure 9). Our model is therefore adjusted to agree with Jing et al, (2014).

To conclude, a survey of the literature on Fe-S liquid alloys under high pressure show relatively scattered results. Strong disagreement exists for density, sound velocity and thermal expansion. Our approach is to anchor our model on ambient pressure measurements, with only few assumptions, as for example the interpolation of liquid density between 0 and 40 at% S.

243

244 Parametrized equation of state

In order to fit our set of density data, we use a 3^{rd} order Birch Murnaghan equation of state relating pressure P and density ρ at the fixed temperature of 1900 K:

247
$$P = \frac{3}{2} K_{T0} \left[\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{\frac{\gamma_3}{3}} - \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{\frac{5}{3}} \right] \left[1 + \frac{3}{4} \left(K_0' - 4 \right) \left(\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} - 1 \right) \right]$$
(2)

where K_{T0} is the isothermal bulk modulus at ambient pressure and 1900 K, K' is the pressure derivative of the bulk modulus and ρ_0 is the density at ambient pressure and 1900 K. Each parameter is a function of molar S content in the liquid (X_S). The isothermal bulk modulus at ambient pressure and its derivative can be expressed as follows (J. Chen et al. 2014):

$$K_{T0} = K_{T,Fe}^{1-X_S} * K_{T,S}^{X_S}$$
 (3)

A linear mixing law for bulk moduli could be used, but for a shorter range of composition (Morard et al. 2013). In the present study, we found more suitable to use such type of mixing law. More details regarding different mixing law for bulk moduli can be found in Chen et al, 2014.

For pure Fe we assume $K_{T.Fe}$ =76 GPa. This value is deduced from sound velocity 258 259 measurements at 1bar (Nasch and Manghnani 1998), assuming a value for the specific heat at constant volume C_V of 4R and the value of specific heat at constant pressure C_P as in (Nasch 260 and Steinemann 1995). Regarding sulfur, we take K_{T,S}=1.6 GPa (Tsuchiya 1994) as in Chen 261 et al. (2014), which gives an overall good agreement with compressibility data from Nishida 262 et al. (2011). However, it should be noticed that this value is measured at 673 K and not at 263 1900 K. Accordingly, this parameter should be rather considered a fitting parameter, 264 265 restraining the validity of our model only between Fe and FeS compounds.

As measurements in the 0-5 GPa range do not cover a large enough pressure range to adequately constrain K' values, this is adjusted to fit the velocity measurements, following the relation K'=K'_{Fe}+X_S*3, with K'_{Fe}=6.5.

As our measurements were performed at different temperatures, we also need to estimate thermal expansion as a function of pressure, temperature, and S content. We assume a linear dependence of density on temperature (experimentally proven for liquid iron(Assael et al. 2006)). We calculate the thermal expansion at 1900 K, assuming linearity between Fe and FeS:

274
$$\alpha_0 = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\right)_0 \quad (4)$$

275
$$\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\right)_{Fe,0} = 0.926 \text{ from (Assael et al. 2006)}$$

276
$$\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\right)_{FeS,0} = 0.533$$
 from (Kaiura and Toguri, 1979)

277
$$\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial T}\right)_{0} = \left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial T}\right)_{Fe,0} - 2\left(\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial T}\right)_{Fe,0} - \left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial T}\right)_{FeS,0}\right) X_{S}$$
(5)

Due to the lack of data on thermal expansion for intermediate compositions, we chose a linear mixing for the thermal expansion mixing model. More detailed investigation is required in the future to better constrain this linear model.

281

Then, thermal expansion at a given pressure is calculated under the assumption:

$$\alpha_0 K_{T,0} = \alpha K_T$$
 (6)

- 283 With
- 284

$$K_{T} = \frac{K_{T0}}{2} \left[7 \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}} \right)^{\frac{7}{3}} - 5 \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}} \right)^{\frac{5}{3}} \right] \left[1 + \frac{3}{4} \left(K_{0}^{'} - 4 \right) \left(\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} - 1 \right) \right] + \frac{3K_{T0}}{4} \left[\left(K_{0}^{'} - 4 \right) \left(\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}} \right)^{3} - \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}} \right)^{\frac{7}{3}} \right) \right]$$

$$286 \qquad (7)$$

A parameter that could be directly derived from our Eos is the compressional sound velocity in the liquid:

289
$$V_{P} = \sqrt{\frac{K_{T}(1+\alpha\gamma T)}{\rho}}$$
(8)

The value of the Grüneisen parameter of the liquid Fe-S alloy is calculated from the V_P of pure Fe and Fe-16%at. S (Nasch et al. 1997; Nasch and Manghnani 1998) (Figure 8, inset) assuming that the Grüneisen parameter evolves linearly with the sulfur concentration. The values obtained are in agreement with ab initio calculations in (Kuskov and Belashchenko 2016) (Figure 8, inset). However, calculations from (Kuskov and Belashchenko 2016) argue for an increase of the sound velocity as a function of S content, whereas 2016) experimental data show the opposite behavior (Figure 8). In addition, Grüneisen parameter is 2017 assumed to be constant in the pressure range 0-10 GPa. This seems quite reasonable over a 2018 relatively small pressure range (Kuskov and Belashchenko 2016). The Grüneisen parameter 2019 varies here as γ =1.52+2.5X₈.

300

301 Thermodynamic model

In addition to the empirical parametrization introduced above, we also provide a 302 303 description of the thermoelastic properties of liquid Fe-S alloys based on thermodynamic solution modeling. This approach is complementary to the previous one and is based on the 304 mixing of the end-members: pure liquid Fe and FeS. For liquid Fe we use the EoS of 305 Komabayashi (2014) and for liquid FeS, an EoS deduced from our density data and from 306 sound velocity data of Nishida et al. (2016). For both end-members we use a Vinet equation 307 308 for isothermal compression and an Anderson-Grüneisen parametrization for isobaric heating 309 (see (Komabayashi 2014). The parameters for liquid FeS EoS are given in Table 2. To assess 310 the solution model that best describes our density data and the sound velocity data of Nishida et al (2016) over the entire Fe-FeS binary, we test three different mixing models that affect the 311 312 volume of the solution, assuming (a) ideality; (b) concentration dependent non-ideality; and (c) concentration and pressure dependent non-ideality. 313

To model the excess contribution we use the Margules parameterization, introduced by Buono and Walker (2011), to describe the non-ideal behavior of Fe-FeS liquidus on the ironrich side of the Fe-FeS phase diagram. In this parametrization, the excess contribution to the Gibbs energy is linear in temperature. As a consequence, the thermal expansivity and isobaric heat capacity of the solution depends only on the end-members.

The ideal and excess thermoelastic properties of the solution are obtained from its Gibbs energy (e.g. Poirier et al. 2000), and the Grüneisen parameter required for calculating the sound velocity (Eq 8) is computed from

322
$$\gamma = \left(\frac{c_p}{\alpha \, \kappa_T V} - \alpha \, T\right)^{-1}, \quad (9)$$

where α , K_T , V, and C_p are the thermal expansivity, isothermal bulk-modulus, volume, and isobaric heat capacity of the solution.

325 Ideal mixing

The ideal mixing volume between the two end-members is described by the following equation:

328
$$V = (1 - x)V_{Fe} + xV_{FeS}, (10)$$

where V is the volume of the considered liquid alloy, x the mole fraction of FeS, and V_{Fe} the volume of liquid Fe and V_{FeS} the volume of liquid FeS.

Such a simple model cannot reproduce our density dataset (Figure 10). The density calculated from Eq.10 decreases too rapidly with increasing S concentration and does not reproduce the positive curvature of density as function of S content seen in the data (Figure 10).

335 Pressure independent volume Margules parameters

336 In this non-ideal mixing model, the volume is:

337
$$V = (1-x)V_{Fe} + xV_{FeS} + (1-x)x(W_{V,Fe}(1-x) + W_{V,FeS}x), \quad (11)$$

where $W_{V,Fe}$ and $W_{V,FeS}$ are the volume Margules parameters. The values of those parameters have been estimated by fitting Eq. 11 on the density data (case A) and on the sound velocity data (case B) separately (Table 3).

The density data is well described in case A, but the predicted sound velocities do not 341 agree with the measurements. Conversely, a fit to the sound velocity data provides a good 342 representation for the sound velocity (case B), but fails to describe the experimental density 343 data. This simple Margules mixing model is well suited for modeling the Fe-rich side of the 344 345 Fe-S phase diagram and the density of Fe-S solutions however, as shown in case B, a different 346 non-ideal behavior is required to describe the sound velocity data. With Eq 11, the sound velocity is only affected by the non-ideality through the volume but not through the bulk 347 modulus. A non-ideal contribution to the bulk modulus requires that the volume Margules 348 coefficients depend on pressure, as discussed in the next section. 349

350 Pressure dependent volume Margules parameters

By trial and error, we have found that the density and sound velocity data can well be described if we adopt the following parametrization for the volume Margules parameters:

353
$$W_{V,Fe} = W_{11} + W_{12} \ln\left(\frac{3}{2} + P\right)$$
(11)

$$W_{V,FeS} = W_{21} + W_{22} P.$$
(12)

The estimated values for the parameters W are given in Table 3. They have been obtained by fitting the equations describing the volume and sound velocity on the density and sound velocity data at the same time. As can be seen from Fig. 10, this parameterization for the liquid solution gives an adequate description for the experimental data in the 0-8 GPa pressure range. Note that this parametrization cannot be used to model thermoelastic properties beyond that pressure range since an already moderate extrapolation in pressure would lead to unphysical properties.

362

363 Implications

To infer the size and sulfur content of planetary cores from seismic or geodesy data 364 requires an accurate knowledge of density and velocity properties of solid and liquid iron 365 alloys as a function pressure, temperature and sulfur content. Until now, no consensus on 366 experimental measurements on Fe-S liquid alloys has been reached, with strong 367 contradictions between the different high-pressure studies, and also large disagreement with 368 ambient pressure data. In the present work, we presented new experimental density data of 369 liquid Fe-S alloys at high pressure and high temperature, together with ab initio liquid 370 371 structure calculations. Combining this new data set and literature data, we were able to establish an empirical and a thermodynamic model for liquid Fe-S solutions that allow to 372 compute thermoelastic properties of the liquid alloy as a function of pressure, temperature, 373 and sulfur concentration. 374

375 To illustrate the effect of the new density data on the internal structure of small planets, we compare the composition of the Moon's core predicted by our empirical and 376 377 thermodynamic Fe-S mixing model with a core model assuming ideal mixing between liquid iron and liquid Fe-10wt%S (Figure 11). The thermoelastic properties of the core in the ideal 378 379 mixing model are calculated following (Dumberry and Rivoldini 2015) and are based on the 380 EoS of liquid Fe (Komabayashi 2014) and Fe-10wt%S (Balog et al. 2003). The aim of this model is to emphasize that liquid Fe-S alloys EoS directly affect the Moon's core composition 381 deduced from its radius. 382

13

383 For our global Moon model, we use the density profile of the silicate part of the Moon 384 structure deduced by Weber et al. 2011 from seismic data, the core models listed in the previous paragraph, and assume that the entire core is in the liquid state, convecting and 385 composed of iron and sulfur. In order to agree with the most recent moment of inertia estimate 386 (Williams et al. 2014), we had to reduce the density of the upper part of the mantle by about 387 0.1%. The pressure in the planet is calculated by solving the hydrostatic pressure equation and 388 389 Poisson equation. The temperature profile in the core is obtained by integrating the adiabatic 390 gradient equation in the core (e.g. (Dumberry and Rivoldini 2015)) assuming a core-mantle boundary (CMB) temperature of 1750K. All thermoelastic properties of the core are 391 calculated from the mixing models as a function of pressure, temperature, and composition. 392

393 Our results show that for a given core radius, models based on the new elastic data require approximately twice the amount of sulfur than models based on an equation of state deduced 394 395 from previous elastic data (Figure 11). Noteworthy, the sulfur concentrations required by the empirical and the thermodynamic model are very similar, even though when the two models 396 397 are based on a different sets of acoustic velocity data. Models that agree at 1 sigma with the moment of inertia have a core radius below about 360 km but, the specifics of the core model 398 399 have only a very negligible effect on the moment inertia of the planet. However, the larger 400 amount of sulfur required by the new elastic data significantly affects the thermal evolution of 401 the core (i.e. the liquidus of the core decreases with increasing S content) and with it the 402 dynamo action, by delaying the onset of crystallization of iron-rich compounds in the core. The present model assumes a specific CMB temperature (1750 K) which is expected in the 403 present day core of the Moon (Laneuville et al. 2014). Lowering the CMB temperature will 404 405 somewhat increase the sulfur content required for the core to match the mass constraint and initiate the crystallization of iron-rich compounds close to the CMB, since the core isentrope 406 407 is likely steeper than the liquidus (Williams 2009; Breuer et al. 2015). Irrespectively of the 408 assumptions, the present model is a clear illustration of the impact of our two models on Moon's core composition. 409

The present study, anchored on experimental measurements and theoretical calculations, allows to present a coherent model for planetary cores, with applications ranging from the direct simulations of small planetary cores dynamics to the inversion of seismic data (Garcia et al. 2011; Weber et al. 2011; Antonangeli et al. 2015).

414

415 Acknowledgements

	-
416	The authors thank Stany Bauchau (ESRF) for his help with the X-ray experiments.
417 418	This work was supported by the Planetlab program of the French National Research Agency (ANR), grant No. ANR-12-BS04-001504.
419 420	A.R. is supported by the Belgian PRODEX program managed by the European Space Agency in collaboration with the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office
421 422	
423	Figure Captions
424 425 426 427 428	Figure 1: Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) images of polished cross sections of recovered samples. Here are presented two different compositions, with typical quench textures of S-poor and S-rich liquids. Microprobe measurements were performed on large area, of ~20 microns, in order to average the composition over these textures.
429 430 431	Figure 2 : Structure factors S(Q) of liquid Fe-S alloys as a function of the composition for similar P-T conditions.
432 433 434 435	Figure 3 : Comparison between pair distribution function g(r) obtained from ab initio calculations (5 GPa and 2500 K) and experimental results (similar P-T conditions and composition as in Figure 2).
436 437 438	Figure 4 : Partial pair distribution function for different composition extracted from the ab initio calculations.

Figure 5: First peak position as a function of the S content for the different g(r), comparedwith theoretical work, and previous results from (Kono et al. 2015).

16

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2018-6405

441

Figure 6: Density of liquid iron alloys from this study and the corresponding thermodynamic
model compared with available measurements. The color of the different symbol is related to
the composition, rounded to the closest nominal value.

445

Figure 7: Density of liquid Fe-S alloys at ambient pressure from different studies. Our
thermodynamic model uses an interpolation between the density of pure Fe from (Assael et al.
2006) and densities of S-rich liquids (Nagamori 1969) corrected for temperature difference
following (Kaiura and Toguri 1979).

450

Figure 8: Sound velocity fit for this model as a function of S content based on measurements at ambient pressure from (Nasch et al. 1997; Nasch and Manghnani 1998), compared with theoretical calculations from Kuskov and Belashchenko (2016). Inset: Gruneisen parameter used in this model compared with ab initio calculations from Kuskov and Belashchenko (2016).

456

Figure 9: Sound velocity extracted from our thermodynamic model compared to the available literature (Nasch et al. 1997; Nasch and Manghnani 1998; Jing et al. 2014; Nishida et al. 2016). Different colors correspond to different compositions, rounded to the closest nominal value. Representative error bars for the two high pressure studies have been added in the figure legend.

462

Figure 10: Thermodynamic models of density and sound velocity of liquid Fe-S alloys at 1900 K. Four different models have been tested: ideal solution, pressure independent (Model A and B) and pressure dependent Margules parameters. It is clear that the pressure dependence of the Margules parameters is required to represent adequately the experimental values.

468

Figure 11: Radius of Moon's core as a function of S content of an entirely liquid core, using
models presented in this study (parametrized EoS and thermodynamic model) compared with
an ideal solution based on previous density measurements (Balog et al. 2003). Calculations
were performed for a temperature at the Moon CMB of 1750 K.

- 473
- 474 Tables

						Density at			
Run	Pressure	Temperature		density	Density	1900 K			r1
number	(GPa)	(K)	xS (at%)	(atoms/nm3)	(kg/m3)	(kg/m3)	CN1	CN2	(nm)
31-32	4,2 ± 0,6	1900 ± 170	9.9	76,9 ± 3	6830 ± 270	6830 ± 270	8,05	9,26	2,564
34-35	4,1 ± 0,6	1980 ± 170	9.9	76,1 ± 3	6760 ± 270	6840 ± 270	7,94	9,12	2,567
61-62	2,2 ± 0,6	1780 ± 170	9.9	75,8 ± 3	6730 ± 270	6630 ± 270	7,97	9,18	2,578
65-66	2,1 ± 0,6	1860 ± 170	9.9	75 ± 3	6660 ± 270	6630 ± 270	7,85	9,05	2,581
35-36	3,2 ± 0,6	1860 ± 170	17.4	76,4 ± 3	6560 ± 260	6520 ± 260	7,88	9,14	2,569
49-50	2,9 ± 0,6	1780 ± 170	22.9	74 ± 3	6190 ± 250	6090 ± 250	7,64	8,88	2,571
53-54	2,9 ± 0,6	1860 ± 170	22.9	73,4 ± 3	6140 ± 250	6110 ± 250	7,54	8,75	2,572
89-90	1,7 ± 0,6	1780 ± 170	22.9	70,4 ± 3	5890 ± 250	5790 ± 250	7,32	8,45	2,59
91-92	1,5 ± 0,6	1860 ± 170	22.9	70 ± 3	5860 ± 250	5830 ± 250	7,26	8,4	2,589
42	5 ± 0,6	1750 ± 170	29.4	75,2 ± 3	6100 ± 240	5980 ± 240	7,71	8,95	2,552
63	2,7 ± 0,6	1690 ± 170	29.4	72,2 ± 3	5860 ± 240	5690 ± 240	7,43	8,61	2,566
17	3,5 ± 0,6	1600 ± 170	38	71,9 ± 3	5590 ± 230	5370 ± 230	6,86	8	2,543
25	5,2 ± 0,6	1870 ± 170	50	59,8 ± 3	4360 ± 220	4340 ± 220	3,96	4,5	2,415
48	2,6 ± 0,6	1770 ± 170	50	57,3 ± 3	4180 ± 220	4100 ± 220	3,77	4,28	2,423

475

476 Table 1: Pressure-temperature conditions, chemical composition of quenched samples,
477 densities measured and calculated at 1900 K.

478

Reference temperature TO (K)	1650
Reference volume (after Nagamori corrected for T=1650 K)	
(cm3/mol)	11.8
Reference thermal expansion (after Kaiura) (K-1)	1.29E-04
KTO (Gpa)	16.5 (0.5)
К'ТО	6.21
Ϋ́	1
Q	0

479

Table 2: Parameters for liquid FeS Equation of state, following Vinet and AndersonGruneisen parameterization (see (Komabayashi 2014) more details).

Model A Model B

$W_{V,Fe}$	-6.74±0.63	4.93±0.92
$W_{V,FeS}$	-0.47±0.51	5.70±0.87
	P dependent	
	model	
W11	-7.78±0.95	
W12	2.31±0.14	
W21	-2.32±0.88	
W22	0.057±0.024	

482

483

Table 3: Margules parameters for pressure independent and pressure dependent
 parameterization. These parameters are given cm³/mol.

486

487 **Bibliography**

- 488
- Alfè, ., and Gillan, M.J. (1998) First-principles simulations of liquid Fe-S under Earth 's core conditions. Physical Review B, 58, 8248–8256.
- Andrault, D., Bolfan-Casanova, N., Ohtaka, O., Fukui, H., Arima, H., Fialin, M., and
 Funakoshi, K. (2009) Melting diagrams of Fe-rich alloys determined from synchrotron in situ measurements in the 15-23 GPa pressure range. Physics of the Earth and Planetary
 Interiors, 174, 181–191.
- Antonangeli, D., Morard, G., Schmerr, N.C., Komabayashi, T., Krisch, M., Fiquet, G., Fei,
 Y., and Mao, H.-K. (2015) Toward a mineral physics reference model for the Moon's
 core. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
 112.
- Assael, M.J., Kakosimos, K., Banish, R.M., Brillo, J., Egry, I., Brooks, R., Quested, P.N.,
 Mills, K.C., Nagashima, A., Sato, Y., and others (2006) Reference Data for the Density
 and Viscosity of Liquid Aluminum and Liquid Iron. Journal of Physical and Chemical
 Reference Data, 35, 285–300.
- Balog, P.S., Secco, R.A., Rubie, D.C., and Frost, D.J. (2003) Equation of state of liquid Fe-10
 wt % S: Implications for the metallic cores of planetary bodies. Journal Of Geophysical
 Research-Solid Earth, 108.
- Besson, J.M., Nelmes, R.J., Hamel, G., Loveday, J.S., Weill, G., and Hull, S. (1992) Neutron
 diffraction above 10 GPa. Physica B: Condensed Matter, 181, 907–910.
- 508 Blochl, P.E. (1994) Projector augmented-wave method. Physical Review B, 50.
- Bottin, F., Leroux, S., Knyazev, A., and Zérah, G. (2008) Large-scale ab initio calculations
 based on three levels of parallelization. Computational Materials Science, 42, 329–336.
- Bouchet, J., Mazevet, S., Morard, G., Guyot, F., and Musella, R. (2013) Ab initio equation of
 state of iron up to 1500 GPa. Physical Review B Condensed Matter and Materials
 Physics, 87, 1–8.

Breuer, D., Rueckriemen, T., and Spohn, T. (2015) Iron snow, crystal floats, and inner-core
 growth: modes of core solidification and implications for dynamos in terrestrial planets

and moons. Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 2, 1–26. 516 517 Buono, A.S., and Walker, D. (2011) The Fe-rich liquidus in the Fe-FeS system from 1bar to 10GPa. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 75, 2072–2087. 518 Campbell, A.J., Seagle, C.T., Heinz, D.L., Shen, G., and Prakapenka, V.B. (2007) Partial 519 melting in the iron-sulfur system at high pressure: A synchrotron X-ray diffraction study. 520 Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 162, 119–128. 521 Chabot, N.L. (2004) Sulfur contents of the parental metallic cores of magmatic iron 522 meteorites. Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta, 68, 3607-3618. 523 Chen, B., Gao, L., Leinenweber, K., Wang, Y., Sanehira, T., and Li, J. (2008a) In situ 524 525 investigation of high-pressure melting behavior in the Fe-S system using synchrotron Xray radiography. High Pressure Research, 28, 315–326. 526 Chen, B., Li, J., and Hauck, S.A. (2008b) Non-ideal liquidus curve in the Fe-S system and 527 Mercury's snowing core. Geophysical Research Letters, 35, 10–14. 528 529 Chen, B., Li, Z., Zhang, D., Liu, J., Hu, M.Y., Zhao, J., Bi, W., Alp, E.E., Xiao, Y., Chow, P., and others (2014) Hidden carbon in Earth's inner core revealed by shear softening in 530 dense Fe₇ C₃. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 17755–17758. 531 Chen, J., Yu, T., Huang, S., Girard, J., and Liu, X. (2014) Compressibility of liquid FeS 532 measured using X-ray radiograph imaging. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 533 228, 294–299. 534 Chudinovskikh, L., and Boehler, R. (2007) Eutectic melting in the system Fe-S to 44??GPa. 535 Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 257, 97–103. 536 Dewaele, A., Torrent, M., Loubeyre, P., and Mezouar, M. (2008) Compression curves of 537 538 transition metals in the Mbar range: Experiments and projector augmented-wave calculations. Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics, 78, 1–13. 539 Dumberry, M., and Rivoldini, A. (2015) Mercury's inner core size and core-crystallization 540 regime. ICARUS, 248, 254-268. 541 542 Flory, M.A., McLamarrah, S.K., and Ziurys, L.M. (2005) High-resolution spectroscopy of CoS (X 4 ?? i): Examining 3d transition-metal sulfide bonds. Journal of Chemical 543 Physics, 123, 1–9. 544 Garcia, R.F., Gagnepain-beyneix, J., Chevrot, S., and Lognonné, P. (2011) Very preliminary 545 546 reference Moon model. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 188, 96–113. Gonze, X., Jollet, F., Abreu Araujo, F., Adams, D., Amadon, B., Applencourt, T., Audouze, 547 C., Beuken, J.M., Bieder, J., Bokhanchuk, A., and others (2016) Recent developments in 548 the ABINIT software package. Computer Physics Communications, 205, 106–131. 549 Greenwood, R.C., Franchi, I.A., Jambon, A., Barrat, J.A., and Burbine, T.H. (2006) Oxygen 550 Isotope Variation in Stony-Iron Meteorites. Science, 313, 1763. 551 Hammersley, A.P., Svensson, S.O., Hanfland, M., Fitch, A.N., and Hausermann, D. (1996) 552 Two-dimensionnal detector software: from real detector to idealised image or two-theta 553 scan. High Pressure Research, 14, 235–248. 554 Jing, Z., Wang, Y., Kono, Y., Yu, T., Sakamaki, T., Park, C., Rivers, M.L., Sutton, S.R., and 555 Shen, G. (2014) Sound velocity of Fe-S liquids at high pressure: Implications for the 556 557 Moon's molten outer core. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 396, 78-87.

- Kaiura, G.H., and Toguri, J.M. (1979) Densities of the molten FeS, FeS–Cu2S and Fe–S–O
 systems—utilizing a bottom-balance Archimedean technique. . Canadian Metallurgical
 Quarterly, 18, 155–164.
- Kamada, S., Ohtani, E., Terasaki, H., Sakai, T., Miyahara, M., Ohishi, Y., and Hirao, N.
 (2012) Melting relationships in the Fe-Fe3S system up to the outer core conditions. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 359–360, 26–33.
- Kleine, T., Touboul, M., Bourdon, B., Nimmo, F., Mezger, K., Palme, H., Jacobsen, S.B.,
 Yin, Q., and Halliday, A.N. (2009) Hf W chronology of the accretion and early
 evolution of asteroids and terrestrial planets. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 73,
 5150–5188.
- Klotz, S., Strässle, T., Rousse, G., Hamel, G., and Pomjakushin, V. (2005) Angle-dispersive
 neutron diffraction under high pressure to 10 GPa. Applied Physics Letters, 86, 1–3.
- Komabayashi, T. (2014) Thermodynamics of melting relations in the system Fe-FeO at high
 pressure: Implications for oxygen in the Earth's core. Journal of Geophysical Research :
 Solid Earth, 119, 4164–4177.
- Kono, Y., Kenney-Benson, C., Shibazaki, Y., Park, C., Shen, G., and Wang, Y. (2015) Highpressure viscosity of liquid Fe and FeS revisited by falling sphere viscometry using
 ultrafast X-ray imaging. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 241, 57–64.
- Kuskov, O.L., and Belashchenko, D.K. (2016) Thermodynamic properties of Fe-S alloys from
 molecular dynamics modeling: Implications for the lunar fluid core. Physics of the Earth
 and Planetary Interiors, 258, 43–50.
- Laneuville, M., Wieczorek, M.A., Breuer, D., Aubert, J., Morard, G., and Rückriemen, T.
 (2014) A long-lived lunar dynamo powered by core crystallization. Earth and Planetary
 Science Letters, 401.
- LeBlanc, G.E., and Secco, R.A. (1996) Viscosity of an Fe-S liquid up to 1300 degrees C and
 5 GPa. Geophysical Research Letters, 23, 213–216.
- Margot, J.L., Peale, S.J., Jurgens, R.F., Slade, M.A., and Holin, I. V (2007) Large Longitude
 Libration of Mercury Reveals a Molten Core. Science, 316, 710–714.
- Mezouar, M., Le Bihan, T., Libotte, H., Le Godec, Y., and Häusermann, D. (1999) ParisEdinburgh large-volume cell coupled with a fast imaging plate system for structural
 investigation at high pressure and high-temperature. Journal of Synchrotron Radiation, 6,
 1115–1119.
- Mezouar, M., Faure, P., Crichton, W., Rambert, N., Sitaud, B., Bauchau, S., and Blattmann,
 G. (2002) Multichannel collimator for structural investigation of liquids and amorphous
 materials at high pressures and temperatures. Review of Scientific Instruments, 73, 3570.
- Mezouar, M., Crichton, W.A., Bauchau, S., Thurel, F., Witsch, H., Torrecillas, F., Blattman,
 G., Marion, P., Dabin, Y., Chavanne, J., and others (2005) Development of a new stateof-the-art beamline optimized for monochromatic single crystal and powder X-ray
 diffraction under extreme conditions at the ESRF. Journal of Synchrotron Radiation, 12,
 659–664.
- Morard, G., Mezouar, M., Rey, N., Poloni, R., Merlen, A., Le Floch, S., Toulemonde, P.,
 Pascarelli, S., San-Miguel, A., Sanloup, C., and others (2007a) Optimization of ParisEdinburgh press cell assemblies for in situ monochromatic X-ray diffraction and X-ray
 absorption. High Pressure Research, 27.

- Morard, G., Sanloup, C., Fiquet, G., Mezouar, M., Rey, N., Poloni, R., and Beck, P. (2007b)
 Structure of eutectic Fe-FeS melts to pressures up to 17 GPa: Implications for planetary
 cores. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 263, 128–139.
- Morard, G., Andrault, D., Guignot, N., Sanloup, C., Mezouar, M., Petitgirard, S., and Fiquet,
 G. (2008) In situ determination of Fe-Fe3S phase diagram and liquid structural properties
 up to 65 GPa. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 272, 620–626.
- Morard, G., Sanloup, C., Guillot, B., Fiquet, G., Mezouar, M., Perrillat, J.P., Garbarino, G.,
 Mibe, K., Komabayashi, T., and Funakoshi, K. (2008) In situ structural investigation of
 Fe-S-Si immiscible liquid system and evolution of Fe-S bond properties with pressure.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 113, 1–12.
- Morard, G., Mezouar, M., Bauchau, S., Lvarez-Murga, M., Hodeau, J.L., and Garbarino, G.
 (2011) High efficiency multichannel collimator for structural studies of liquids and low Z materials at high pressures and temperatures. Review of Scientific Instruments, 82, 2–
 7.
- Morard, G., Siebert, J., Andrault, D., Guignot, N., Garbarino, G., Guyot, F., and Antonangeli,
 D. (2013) The Earth's core composition from high pressure density measurements of
 liquid iron alloys. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 373.
- Nagamori, M. (1969) Density of molten Ag–S, Cu–S, Fe–S, and Ni–S systems. Transactions
 of the Metallurgical Society AIME, 245, 1897–1902.
- Nasch, P., Manghnani, M., and Secco, R. (1997) Anomalous behavior of sound velocity and
 attenuation in liquid Fe-Ni-S. Science, 277, 219.
- Nasch, P.M., and Manghnani, M.H. (1998) Molar Volume, Thermal Expansion, and Bulk
 Modulus in Liquid Fe-Ni Alloys at 1 Bar : Evidence for Magnetic Anomalies ?
 Geophysical Monograph, 101, 307–317.
- Nasch, P.M., and Steinemann, S.G. (1995) Density and Thermal Expansion of Molten
 Manganese, Iron, Nickel, Copper, Aluminum and Tin by Means of the Gamma-Ray
 Attenuation Technique GAMMA-RAY ATTENUATION TECHNIQUE. Physics and
 Chemistry of Liquids, 29, 43–58.
- Nishida, K., Terasaki, H., Ohtani, E., and Suzuki, A. (2008) The effect of sulfur content on
 density of the liquid Fe-S at high pressure. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 35, 417–
 423.
- Nishida, K., Ohtani, E., Urakawa, S., Suzuki, A., Sakamaki, T., Terasaki, H., and Katayama,
 Y. (2011) Density measurements of liquid FeS at high pressures using synchrotron X-ray
 absorption. American Mineralogist, 96, 864–868.
- Nishida, K., Kono, Y., Terasaki, H., Takahashi, S., Ishii, M., Shimoyama, Y., Higo, Y.,
 Funakoshi, K.I., Irifune, T., and Ohtani, E. (2013) Sound velocity measurements in
 liquid Fe-S at high pressure: Implications for Earth's and lunar cores. Earth and
 Planetary Science Letters, 362, 182–186.
- Nishida, K., Suzuki, A., Terasaki, H., Shibazaki, Y., Higo, Y., Kuwabara, S., Shimoyama, Y.,
 Sakurai, M., Ushioda, M., Takahashi, E., and others (2016) Towards a consensus on the
 pressure and composition dependence of sound velocity in the liquid Fe???S system.
 Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 257, 230–239.
- Perdew, J.P., Burke, K., and Ernzerhof, M. (1996) Generalized gradient approximation made
 simple. Physical Review Letters, 77, 3865–3868.

- Poirier, J.P. (1994) Light elements in the Earth's outer core: A critical review. Physics of the
 Earth and Planetary Interiors, 85, 319–337.
- Pourovskii, L. V., Miyake, T., Simak, S.I., Ruban, A. V., Dubrovinsky, L., and Abrikosov,
 I.A. (2013) Electronic properties and magnetism of iron at the Earth's inner core
 conditions. Physical Review B Condensed Matter and Materials Physics, 87.
- Rivoldini, A., Van Hoolst, T., and Verhoeven, O. (2009) The interior structure of Mercury
 and its core sulfur content. Icarus, 201, 12–30.
- Sanloup, C., Guyot, F., Gillet, P., Fiquet, G., Mezouar, M., and Martinez, I. (2000) Density
 measurements of liquid Fe-S alloys at high pressure. Geophysical Research Letters, 27,
 811–814.
- Sherman, D.M. (1995) pressure and the composition of the Earth 's core. Earth and Planetary
 Science Letters, 132, 87–98.
- Stewart, A.J., Schmidt, M.W., van Westrenen, W., and Liebske, C. (2007) Mars: A New
 Core-Crystallization Regime. Science, 316, 1323–1325.
- Torrent, M., Jollet, F., Bottin, F., Zérah, G., and Gonze, X. (2008) Implementation of the
 projector augmented-wave method in the ABINIT code: Application to the study of iron
 under pressure. Computational Materials Science, 42, 337–351.
- Tsuchiya, Y. (1994) The thermodynamics of structural changes in the liquid sulphur-tellurium
 system: compressibility and Ehrenfest's relations. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter,
 6, 2451.
- Utsumi, W., Weidner, D.J., and Liebermann, R.C. (1998) Volume measurement of MgO at
 high pressures and high temperatures. Geophysical Monograph, 101, 327–333.
- Vočadlo, L., Alfè, D., rice, G.D., and Gillan, M.J. (2000) First principles calculations on the
 diffusivity and viscosity of liquid Fe-S at experimentally accessible conditions. Physics
 of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 120, 145–152.
- Weber, R.C., Lin, P.-Y., Garnero, E.J., Williams, Q., and Lognonne, P. (2011) Seismic
 Detection of the Lunar Core. Science, 331, 309–312.
- Williams, J.G., Konopliv, A.S., Boggs, D.H., Park, R.S., Yuan, D., Lemoine, F.G., Goossens,
 S., Mazarico, E., Nimmo, F., Weber, R.C., and others (2014) Lunar interior properties
 from the GRAIL mission. Journal of Geophysical Research : Planets, 119, 1546–1578.
- Williams, Q. (2009) Bottom-up versus top-down solidification of the cores of small solar
 system bodies: Constraints on paradoxical cores. Earth and Planetary Science Letters,
 284, 564–569.
- Yoder, C.F., Konopliv, A.S., Yuan, D.N., Standish, E.M., and Folkner, W.M. (2003) Fluid
 Core Size of Mars from Detection of the Solar Tide. Science, 300, 299–303.
- Yoshino, T., Walter, M.J., and Katsura, T. (2003) Core formation in planetesimals triggered
 by permeable flow. Nature, 422, 154–157.
- Zhang, Z., and Pommier, A. (2017) Electrical Investigation of Metal-Olivine Systems and
 Application to the Deep Interior of Mercury. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets,
 122, 2702–2718.
- 686

Figure 1







Figure 3



Figure 4





Figure 6



Figure 7





Figure 8





Figure 10



Figure 11

