Atomic-scale characterization of the oxidation state of Ti in meteoritic hibonite: Implications for early solar system thermodynamics
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Abstract

Calcium-aluminum-rich inclusions (CAIs) in chondritic meteorites are composed of refractory minerals thought to be the first solids to have formed in the solar nebula. Among them, hibonite, nominally CaAl₂O₉, holds particular interest because it can incorporate significant amounts of Ti into its crystal structure in both Ti⁴⁺ and Ti³⁺ oxidation states. The relative amounts of these cations that are incorporated reflect the redox conditions under which the grain formed or last equilibrated and their measurement can provide insight into the thermodynamic landscape of the early solar nebula. Here we develop a new method for the quantification of Ti oxidation states using electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) in an aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) in order to apply it to hibonite.
Using a series of Ti-bearing oxides, we find that the onset intensity of the Ti \(L_{2,3}\) edge decreases as a function of increasing Ti-oxidation state, which is corroborated by simulated Ti-oxide spectra using first-principles density-functional theory. We test the relationship on a set of synthetic hibonite grains with known Ti\(^{4+}/\Sigma\)Ti values and apply the developed method on a hibonite grain from a compact type A inclusion in the Northwest Africa (NWA) 5028 CR2 carbonaceous chondrite. The STEM-EELS data show that the chondritic hibonite grain is zoned with a Ti\(^{4+}/\Sigma\)Ti ratio ranging from 0.78±0.04 to 0.93±0.04 over a scale of 100 nm between the core and edge of the grain, respectively. The Ti substitution sites are characterized by experimental and calculated high-angle annular-dark-field (HAADF) images and atomic-level EEL spectrum imaging. Simulated HAADF images reveal that Ti is distributed between the M2 and M4 sites while Mg sits on the M3 site. Quantitative energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy shows that this grain is also zoned in Al and Ti. The Mg distribution is not well-correlated with that of Ti and Ti\(^{4+}/\Sigma\)Ti at the nanoscale.

The spatial decoupling of the element composition and Ti-oxidation states suggests a multistage evolution for this hibonite grain. We hypothesize that Ti and Mg were incorporated into the structure during condensation at high temperature through multiple reactions. Transient heating, presumably in the solar nebula, adds complexity to the crystal chemistry and potentially redistributed Ti and Mg. Concurrently, the formation of oxygen vacancies as a result of a reducing gas, led to the reduction of Ti\(^{4+}\) to Ti\(^{3+}\). The multiple defect reactions occurring in this single hibonite crystal preclude a simple relationship between the Ti\(^{4+}/\Sigma\)Ti and the \(fO_2\) of formation. However, moving forward, these measurements are fundamental input for modeling of the thermodynamic conditions under which hibonite formed in the early solar nebula.
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INTRODUCTION

The sun and planets formed out of a rotating disk of gas and dust over four billion years ago. It was hypothesized that the temperature of the inner solar nebula was high enough such that solid materials experienced melting, evaporation, and condensation (Cameron 1962). Thermodynamic models subsequently predicted that as the solar gas cooled, solid materials condensed out of it according to their volatility, with the most refractory phases forming at the highest temperatures (Lord 1965; Larimer 1967; Grossman 1972; Lewis 1974; Yoneda and Grossman 1995; Ebel and Grossman 2000; Lodders 2003; Ebel 2006; Grossman et al. 2008; Scott and Krot 2014; Zega et al. 2021). Evidence for such condensation is provided by the mineral assemblages found in Ca-Al-rich inclusions (CAIs) within primitive chondrites. With radiometric age dates of $4.5673 \pm 0.00016$ billion years (Amelin et al. 2009; Connelly et al. 2012), CAIs mark time zero for our solar system, and our chronological understanding of its evolution is anchored to that time stamp.

Common CAI phases include melilite, spinel, perovskite, hibonite, Ca-pyroxene and forsterite usually with lesser amounts of grossite, metal, and corundum (MacPherson 2014). The composition and structure of these CAI phases are key to determining the chemical pathways through which they formed and to quantifying the conditions under which they condensed or were subsequently altered, whether in the solar nebula or on chondrite parent bodies (Beckett et al. 1988; Simon et al. 1991, 1997; Keller and Buseck 1994; Connolly and Burnett 2003; Grossman et al. 2008; Chi et al. 2009). Thus, measurement of CAI phases from the bulk scale down to the atomic level allows us to gain insight into the thermodynamic landscape surrounding their origins.

Hibonite (nominally Ca$_{12}$Al$_{19}$O$_{19}$) is among the first few phases predicted to form, at temperatures between 1400 and 1900 K, in thermodynamic models that consider a cooling gas of solar composition (e.g., Yoneda and Grossman 1995; Ebel and Grossman 2000; Lodders 2003; Zega et al. 2021). Hibonite
can incorporate a variety of solutes into its crystal structure, including: Mg\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{3+}\), V\(^{3+}\)
and V\(^{4+}\), Ti\(^{3+}\) and Ti\(^{4+}\), Si\(^{4+}\) and Th\(^{4+}\) as minor and trace elements (Allen et al. 1978; Beckett et al. 1988;
Bermanec et al. 1996; Rakotondrazafy et al. 1996; Simon et al. 1997). Titanium is particularly
important because it is often found in significant concentrations in meteoritic hibonite (>0.1 cations
per formula unit (Brearley and Jones 1998) and it can occur in multiple oxidation states, thereby
providing a redox sensor of nebular conditions (Allen et al. 1978; Armstrong et al. 1982; Beckett et al.
1988; Doyle et al. 2014; Ardit et al. 2016). Quantitative measurement of the Ti oxidation state in
hibonite can, therefore, provide fundamental information on the thermodynamic conditions under
which it formed or last equilibrated, e.g., composition of the gas, temperature of condensation or
thermal processing, and oxygen fugacity (\(f_{O_2}\)) (Beckett et al. 1988).

Hibonite is part of the magnetoplumbite group of minerals. It has a space group symmetry of
P6\(_3\)/mmc and is characterized by unit cell parameters \(a \approx 5.5\)\(\text{Å}\), \(c \approx 22.0\)\(\text{Å}\) (Curien et al. 1956; Bermanec
et al. 1996; Nagashima et al. 2010; Holtstam and Hålenius 2020). This structure contains six cation
sites and five oxygen sites. Ca occupies a 12-coordinated polyhedron, whereas the aluminum is
distributed among five crystallographic sites (named hereafter M1 to M5). M1, M4, and M5 are
octahedra, M3 is a tetrahedron, M2 is a trigonal bipyramid, and the atoms within these sites form
polyhedral layers perpendicular to the c axis of the hexagonal cell. Atomic substitutions occur
abundantly in the hibonite structure, and so the detailed crystal chemistry becomes fundamental to
inferring precisely the thermodynamic conditions of the nebular gas at its origin. However, the
reactions leading to the Ti incorporation, oxidation, and the location of the substitution in the
structure are still debated. Ti can be incorporated into the hibonite structure mainly through two
pathways. Ti\(^{3+}\) can substitute directly for Al\(^{3+}\), or Ti\(^{4+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) can couple substitute for two Al\(^{3+}\) (Allen
et al. 1978; Bermanec et al. 1996; Nagashima et al. 2010; Doyle et al. 2014; Berry et al. 2017). The
oxidation state of Ti can be further affected by the presence of O vacancies and cation defects, both of
which are expected to occur in the structure (Beckett et al. 1988; Asaduzzaman et al. 2021). Regarding
the location of the Ti substitution within the M sites (Al), Beckett et al. (1988) proposed that Ti\(^{3+}\)
occupies the M2 site while Ti\(^{4+}\) occupies the M4 site. More recently, Doyle et al. (2014, 2016)
proposed that Ti\(^{3+}\) does not occupy the M2 site, but instead occupies the M4 octahedral site, and Ti\(^{4+}\)
partitions between the M2 trigonal bipyramidal and the M4 octahedral sites. The Mg, when balancing
the charge of the Ti, is expected to occupy the M3 site. Oxygen vacancies can also occur and modify
the Mg and Ti partitioning (Beckett et al. 1988). The distribution of Ti within the M2 and M4 sites was
recently observed by coupling STEM-HAADF and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) measurements
(Han et al. 2021). However, the Ti\(^{4+}/\Sigma Ti\) distribution over these sites has not yet been investigated by
direct measurement. Thus, establishing a clear connection between the cation site occupancy and the
oxidation state of Ti in hibonite is crucial to understanding the nature of the substitution reactions,
their thermodynamic history, and what they tell us about the thermodynamic landscape of the solar
nebula.

Here, we examine the oxidation state of Ti and the chemistry of hibonite via EDS and EELS in an
aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscope. We: (1) report an alternative
method for the quantification of Ti-oxidation state in Ti-bearing oxides using EELS; (2) examine the
Ti\(^{3+}/\Sigma Ti\) variations in meteoritic hibonite down to the atomic scale; (3) provide new information on the
Ti site substitution; and (4) link the Ti\(^{4+}/\Sigma Ti\) variation as well as chemical zonation to the
thermodynamic history of a hibonite grain from the Northwest Africa (NWA) 5028 CR2 chondrite. We
propose that the approach used here is an important steppingstone for the analysis of other Ti-
bearing oxides in primitive planetary materials and rigorous treatment of the kinds of defect reactions
recorded by them.

SAMPLES AND METHODS
EELS has been used for decades to obtain information on oxidation states of 3d metals in a wide range of earth, planetary, and synthetic materials from micro to atomic scales (Leapman et al., 1982; Brydson et al., 1993; Garvie et al., 1994; Van Aken et al., 1998; Garvie and Buseck, 1998; Van Aken and Liebscher, 2002; Zega et al., 2003; Muller et al., 2008; Chi et al., 2009; Shao et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2011; 2012). Fitting of linear mixing models on energy-loss near-edge structure (ELNES) is one such approach but requires standards whose valence states, coordination polyhedra, and crystal fields ideally match those of the unknown (e.g., Garvie and Buseck, 1998a; Zega et al., 2003). In comparison, the white-line method, so called because the absorption edges of EELS spectra were first observed on photographic film as white lines (Azaroff and Pease 1974), monitors the chemical shift of EELS spectra to higher energy loss with increasing oxidation state using integration windows (Leapman et al. 1982; Garvie et al. 1994; Garvie and Buseck 1998; Van Aken et al. 1998; Van Aken and Liebscher 2002; Stoyanov et al. 2007). The advantage of the white-line method is that it can accommodate materials with varied crystal chemistry (electrical properties, orientation, site symmetry, etc.) without the need to synthesize endmembers (with systematically varied Ti$^{4+}$/ΣTi ratios) as is the case with a method based on curve fitting. Previous workers developed a calibration curve for Ti based on the white-line approach (Stoyanov et al. 2007). Thus, our purpose here is to modify it as needed to reflect our laboratory and electron-optical conditions.

**Samples**

To reproduce the white-line ratio method, we acquired seven high-purity (>99.8%) standards with known amounts of Ti$^{4+}$ and Ti$^{3+}$. These materials and their Ti-oxidation states include: TiO (Ti$^{2+}$), Ti$_2$O$_3$ (Ti$^{3+}$), Ti$_3$O$_5$ (Ti$^{4+}$:Ti$^{3+} = 1:2$), Ti$_6$O$_7$ (Ti$^{4+}$:Ti$^{3+} = 1:1$), TiO$_2$ (Ti$^{4+}$), FeTiO$_3$ (Ti$^{4+}$), CaTiO$_3$ (Ti$^{4+}$). All were acquired from commercial suppliers (CERAC, Alfa Asear, Phelly Materials, and SigmaAldrich) in powder form except Ti$_6$O$_7$, which was kindly provided by Dr. Lindsay Keller (Keller 1995). Standards were crushed in
an agate stone mortar and pestle in dry conditions and deposited onto lacey-carbon films supported
by Cu-mesh TEM grids (Fig. S1a). The dry conditions avoided the use of solvents and hence possible
alteration of the samples but some grain clustering (up to 200 nm) occurred during the sprinkling of
the particles. We measured only those particles that are monodisperse and electron transparent (< 50
nm in thickness, see Fig. S1).

We also examined synthetic hibonites equilibrated under conditions of controlled temperature
and oxygen fugacity ($f_{O_2}$). We measured the ‘ALL’ samples reported in Ihinger and Stolper (1986) and
Beckett et al. (1988) because their composition and Ti oxidation states are comparable to meteoritic
samples. The compositions of these synthetic hibonite samples correspond to the average
composition of hibonite in the CG-11 inclusion in the Allende CV3 chondrite. They were chosen
because they contain significantly lower concentration of V (which can occur as $V^{4+}$ and $V^{3+}$) and a
higher Ti concentration than the Blue Angel (BA) inclusion from the Murchison meteorite (0.39 vs 1.1
$V_2O_3$ wt.% and 7.3 vs 4.1 TiO$_2$ wt%, respectively; see Armstrong et al. (1982).

The $Ti^{4+}/\Sigma Ti$ of these samples was originally measured by electron spin resonance (ESR)
spectroscopy (Beckett et al. 1988). We selected the extreme and an intermediate samples of the
series that correspond to $Ti^{4+}/\Sigma Ti$ of 0.85±0.01, 0.91±0.01, and 0.95±0.01 for ALL 2-55, ALL2-57, and
ALL2-6, respectively. Chips from these experiments were embedded in epoxy bullets and sectioned by
with a diamond knife (in a microtome) to obtain a flat surface. Our goal with these measurements was
to confirm the accuracy of the calibration using orthogonal approaches of EELS and ESR, and to relate
the $Ti^{4+}/\Sigma Ti$ ratio to the $f_{O_2}$ under which meteoritic hibonite formed or last equilibrated.

To test the efficacy of our calibration and apply it to meteoritic hibonite, we examined a CAI within
the CR2 chondrite NWA 5028 (thin section from the Center for Meteorite Studies, Arizona State
University collection #1845-5). The CAI was identified as a compact type-A (CTA) (Ramprasad et al.
2018). The hibonite grain is attached to the rim of the CAI (see the RS2 area in Ramprasad et al. 2018)
and identified and quantified using a Cameca SX-100 electron microprobe, located in the Kuiper Materials Imaging and Characterization Facility (KMICF) at the Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona. A 1-µm probe with an acceleration voltage of 15 kV and beam current of 20 nA was used for the analyses, with counting times of 8 to 20 s on the peaks and 4 to 10 s on the background for each element. Mg, Na, Si and Al intensities were acquired on a TAP crystal; Ca, Ti, K, Cr, P and Cl on a PET crystal; and Fe, Mn and V on an LIF crystal. Petrographic description of the CAI is reported in Ramprasad et al. (2018).

We prepared electron-transparent cross sections of the four hibonite samples (3 synthetic and 1 meteoritic hibonite) using the ThermoScientific (formerly FEI) Helios NanoLab 660 G³ focused-ion-beam scanning-electron microscope (FIB-SEM) also located in KMICF. The general procedures of FIB sample preparation, e.g., coarse cutting, lift out, and in situ thinning were previously described (Zega et al., 2007; 2020) but we note some exceptions briefly here. Rather than attach the FIB sections to Cu support grids in flagpole-style geometry, we used the top of one of the posts that has an M-shape configuration (Fig. S1b) to aid in securing the section on both sides and minimize bending. We created electron-transparent windows of 6 µm x 8 µm. Other areas of the synthetic hibonite FIB sections were kept thick ~500 nm, i.e., electron opaque. This mounting approach provided additional stability to the FIB section that was necessary in order to obtain very thin (<40 nm) samples for atomic-resolution analyses. All samples were ion polished down to 8 keV acceleration voltage to remove the amorphous damage layer on each surface created by higher-voltage milling (Schaffer et al. 2012).

**STEM**

The Ti standards and the natural and synthetic hibonites were measured using a 200 keV aberration-corrected Hitachi HF5000 scanning TEM (S/TEM). The HF5000 is equipped with a cold field-emission gun, a third-order spherical-aberration corrector for STEM mode, bright-field (BF), and
annular dark field (ADF) STEM detectors, an Oxford Instruments X-Max N 100 TLE EDS system with dual 100 mm\(^2\) windowless silicon-drift detectors with solid angle (\(\Omega\)) of 2.0 sr, and a Gatan Quantum ER (model 965) electron energy-loss spectrometer (EELS). The Quantum ER is a Gatan Imaging Filter (GIF) equipped with a 2048 \times 2048\) CCD detector and dual EELS capabilities for simultaneous acquisition of low- and core-loss spectra. STEM-HAADF images were acquired using a 200 keV acceleration voltage, a 10 \(\mu\)A emission current, and 3.45 eV extraction voltage, a STEM condenser aperture of 25 \(\mu\)m, and a 2 \(\mu\)s dwell time.

Three types of EELS spectrum-imaging experiments were performed. These experiments include:

1. large (30 \times 30 nm up to 150 \times 150 nm) field-of-view measurements to compare the Ti-oxide standards and the hibonite samples (both natural and synthetic) under the same electron-optical conditions; (2) large field-of-view (135 x 135 nm) measurements using a higher probe current and a larger collection angle than in (1); and (3) atomic-resolution maps (field of view: 6.2 nm x 2.5 nm) of the natural hibonite. Each experiment was performed in STEM mode using a similar condition as for the HAADF images. All EELS spectra were corrected for channel-to-channel gain variation and dark current. Each standard and synthetic sample was analyzed two times to verify the reproducibility of results. For the standards, the two measurements represent different grains with different orientations located in different areas on the lacey film of the TEM grid. For the synthetic hibonites, spectra were acquired from different locations in the FIB section.

The experimental conditions for the EELS spectrum imaging are as follows. (1) For large field-of-view analysis, the microscope was operated using a 100 pm probe, a STEM condenser aperture of 25 \(\mu\)m, a 105 pA probe current, and convergence angle (\(\alpha\)) of 28 mrad. Spectra were acquired using an EELS entrance aperture of 2.5 mm and a collection angle (\(\beta\)) of 24 mrad. The energy dispersion was set to 0.025 eV/ch and a drift tube offset of 440 eV, enabling acquisition of the Ti L\(_{2,3}\) edge with an energy range of \(\sim\) 50 eV and analysis of its ELNES. At this scale, samples are not beam sensitive and the drift is...
negligible. Therefore, maps were acquired with a single frame but a large pixel time of 2 s for core loss and 0.01 s for the low loss. Quantitative analysis was performed on summed spectra to enhance the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio. (2) For EELS large field-of-view analysis, we used a different set of conditions because we wanted a quantifiable spectrum at each pixel. We used a condenser aperture size of 35 µm and a probe current of 330 pA. We increased β to 63 mrad by using high-angle EELS mode, which provides a shorter camera length than standard EELS mode. We also used a 5 mm EELS entrance aperture and a dispersion of 0.25 eV/channel to increase the EELS S/N ratio. Spectral images were acquired by averaging multiple passes (5 frames). A pixel time of 0.2 s for the core loss was used and 0.001 s for the low loss. (3) Atomic-resolution EELS requires lower probe current and shorter acquisition time to minimize the beam damage and the carbon deposition, respectively. Thus, we used a condenser aperture of 30 µm and reduced the probe current to 150 pA. Further, we used the liquid nitrogen cold trap on the HF5000 to limit the carbon deposition during beam rastering and to decrease the sample drift. We used a large EELS spectrometer aperture (5.0 mm), the high-angle EELS mode (β = 63 mrad), and a 0.5 eV/channel dispersion to ensure a high S/N ratio and short acquisition time. To obtain EELS spectra from individual atomic columns on the hibonite grain from the NWA 5028 meteorite, we oriented it along [1120], with a goniometer tilt of α = 16.4° and β = -2.0°, enabling analysis of the stacking along [0001]. The drift tube offset was set at 400 eV, and EELS maps were acquired with a single frame, a pixel dwell time of 0.2 s for the core loss and 0.001 s for the low loss. These atomic-resolution experiments limited our acquisition time and probe current, and so EDS spectra could not be obtained at this scale of measurement.

Data Processing

Spectra were processed using personal Python scripts (available at: https://github.com/ZanettaPM/Ti-Oxidation-EELS-data-processing) constructed using Hyperspy (de la
Peña et al. 2021). The energy position of the low-loss and high-loss spectra from different pixels were calibrated via zero-loss peak (ZLP) centering. The average energy resolution calculated from the full-width-half-maximum intensity of the ZLP over all standard spectra (experimental condition 1) is approximately 0.26 eV. The π* peak of the C K-edge at 285 eV acquired with the same energy dispersion served as a calibration point. A shift of 1.7 eV is commonly observed at the π* peak energy (after ZLP centering) which corresponds to a ~2.5 eV shift at the Ti L$_{2,3}$ edge energy. An inverse power law ($AE^r$) model, where $E$ is the energy loss and $A$ and $r$ are constants, was used to subtract the background (random plural and single-scattering contributions). An energy window measuring 20 eV, placed between 430 eV and 450 eV (for a 0.025 eV/channel dispersion), was used to fit the background function. This range is located after potential ghost peak channels (due to the previous ZLP calibration) and at lower energy than possible Ti L$_{2,3}$ pre-edge peaks. The plural scattering contributions due to sample thickness were removed by Fourier-ratio deconvolution. We also removed the continuum beneath the white lines to isolate their intensities. There are varied methods for such removal, including a linear fit between edge onset and offset (Garvie and Buseck 1998; Zega et al. 2003), but for the purposes of reproducibility and consistency with previous work (Stoyanov et al. 2007) we applied a double-step function. The onset and the offset refer to the position at which the energy rapidly decreases to a ground-state intensity before the first peak and after the last peak in the Ti L$_{2,3}$ edge respectively. The double-step function was initially implemented by Van Aken and Liebscher (2002) for the Fe L$_{2,3}$ edge and later modified by Stoyanov et al. (2007) for Ti in the form of:

$$f(E) = h \cdot \arctan\left(\frac{\pi}{w} \cdot (\Delta E - E1)\right) + \frac{\pi}{2} + h \cdot \arctan\left(\frac{\pi}{w} \cdot (\Delta E - E2)\right) + \frac{\pi}{2}$$

where $\Delta E$ is the electron energy varying over a range of tens of eV; $w$ represents the width of the functions fixed to 2 eV; $E1$ and $E2$ are the inflection points fixed at 457.5 and 463.0 eV, respectively;
and h is the height of the individual arctan functions. In this work, h is a free parameter fit to the spectrum after the L₂ edge between 473 and 477 eV depending on the shape of the offset (Giannini 2014).

EDS data were also processed using Hyperspy. We fit the spectra, i.e., background and peaks, using a linear combination of the different components and a least square minimization method. The model of the background and its implementation are described in Zanetta et al. (2019). Net peak counts are given by the Gaussian areas which allows us to obtain element maps. Quantification was achieved using the Cliff-Lorimer method (Cliff and Lorimer 1975) and an absorption-correction routine developed by Le Guillou et al. (2018) and based on Horita et al. (1987). We used theoretical k-factors provided by Oxford Instruments®. Hyperspectral maps, measuring about 0.4 µm² in area, with a pixel size of ~0.5 nm, were acquired with a dwell time of 30 µs during 1h. These maps were then binned to achieve a suitable S/N ratio, quantified, and recalculated as cation per formula unit (pfu). The first set of maps described below is 160 × 160 pixels and is used to display the elemental distributions of the cations. The second set of maps measures 10 × 10 pixels and is used to achieve a high number of counts and plot element variations. Major elements like Al reach 50,000 counts; Ca, Ti and Mg reach around 8,000 counts while minor elements like Fe and Si reach 1000 counts per pixel, per integrated peak. The high number of counts, the accurate fitting of the spectrum, and the absorption-correction procedure, which is particularly important to account for the thickness variation and the effect of heavy elements on lighter elements, allow us to give confident errors on the quantified results (less than 3% on major elements including Mg and Ti).

Experimental HAADF images were compared to simulated images computed using the QSTEM software, a multislice image-simulation code (Koch 2002). Two cases were investigated. We initially simulated a nominal hibonite (CaAl₁₂O₁₉). Then, using the Supercell software (Okhotnikov et al. 2016), we generated a hibonite solid solution of CaAl₁₀Ti₁Mg₁O₁₉ with Ti and Mg substituting on M4 sites and...
M3 sites, respectively with an occupancy of 0.5. We also investigated CaAl\textsubscript{T10}Ti\textsubscript{1}Mg\textsubscript{1}O\textsubscript{19} with Ti substituting between M2 and M4 sites. However, replicating the low concentration of Ti on the M2 site (<1 atom/unit cell for the M2 site, i.e., an occupancy of 0.5) is complex and requires a large supercell. So, for simplicity, we assumed in our QSTEM simulation that the M2 site contains only Al, and as discussed later, this assumption is valid (see Fig. 4b). From this hibonite structure, we simulated HAADF images to compare to our experimental HAADF images. We also added spherical aberration to our simulations of end-members: CaAl\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{19} and CaAl\textsubscript{T10}Ti\textsubscript{1}Mg\textsubscript{1}O\textsubscript{19}, accounting for the A1, B1, and C3 components of the aberration function, e.g., Urban et al. (1999) and Chang et al. (2006). The model consists of a 60×60×30 Å supercell. The scan window is 80×80 pixels with a resolution of 0.25 Å/pixel. We fixed the number of slices to 21 with a slice thickness of 1.43 Å.

**Density Functional Theory**

To understand the chemical shift and ELNES of our EELS spectra, we used density functional theory (DFT) to simulate spectra. We computed the electronic band structure of the Ti-oxides by means of the linearized augmented plane wave (LAPW) method, as implemented in the Wien2k package (Blaha et al. 2020). Electronic structure calculations of various Ti-bearing compounds were then conducted starting from experimentally reported crystal structures (Table 1). These structures are further relaxed by employing both local spin density approximation (LSDA) and the generalized gradient approach (GGA) (Perdew et al. 1996) exchange-correlation functionals. Both approaches are found to yield similar EELS spectra. Initialization parameters and self-consistent field (SCF) calculation convergence rules were set according to Hébert (2007). The energy to separate core and valence states were fixed at -6 Rydberg (Ry; 1 Ry ≈ 13.605 eV), except for FeTiO\textsubscript{3} and hibonite where values of -7 Ry and -8 Ry, respectively, were adopted because of their atomic configurations. After structural relaxation, we used an atomic sphere radius, i.e. muffin-tin radius (RMT), as large as possible but avoiding any
overlap. Within the RMT, the Wien2K code uses spherical harmonics, whereas in the interstitial region, planewaves are instead used. Final RMT values range from 1.63 to 1.99 atomic units (a.u.) for the Ti (Table 1). The matrix size $R_{\text{MT}} \times K_{\text{max}}$ was always fixed at 7.0, where $K_{\text{max}}$ is the plane wave cutoff and $R_{\text{MT}}$ is the smallest atomic sphere. Spin-polarized calculations were performed for Ti$_2$O$_3$, Ti$_3$O$_5$, and Ti$_4$O$_7$. Convergence criteria for the SCF cycle were fixed at 0.00001 Ry and 0.5 mRy/au for the energy and the force respectively. After optimization of the structure, we proceeded to the next iteration with higher k-mesh points (sampling points in the irreducible wedge of the first Brillouin zone of the material) until the theoretical electron energy-loss near-edge spectra (TELNES) between two iterations became nearly identical (peak positions and width differing by <0.1 eV). Final k-mesh sizes are presented in Table 1.

The TELNES3 program implemented in Wien2k was used to model EELS spectra (Jorissen 2007). Spectra were calculated using the same parameters as our experiments. We used a fixed splitting energy of 5.5 eV between the L$_3$ and L$_2$ transitions and a branching ratio (intensity ratio between the L$_2$ and L$_3$ lines) of 0.8 (Leapman et al. 1982; Stoyanov et al. 2007). We assumed no orientation dependence and so we averaged our calculations over all possible directions of the scattering vector with respect to the crystal. To improve the match between the model and the experimental results, we used 2x2x2 supercells. A full core-hole calculation for every species was achieved by removing one core electron that was later added to the valence electrons. The spectral broadening, which is mostly dependent on instrumental broadening, was always simulated with the same conditions, i.e., no linearly energy dependent valence broadening and spectrometer broadening set at 0.6 eV (experimentally determined). We kept the core-hole lifetime of the two edges as tabulated in the TELNES3 program.

We calculated EELS hibonite spectra for two Ti oxidation states. We investigated two cases with Ti$^{4+}$/ΣTi ratios of 1 and 0.5, respectively, and a composition of CaAl$_{10}$Ti$_1$Mg$_1$O$_{19}$, and CaAl$_{10}$Ti$_2$Mg$_1$O$_{19}$.
respectively. We produced the structures using the Supercell program (Okhotnikov et al. 2016). For the Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma$Ti ratio of 1, we assumed that all the Ti occurs in the M4 site. For the ratio of 0.5, we randomly distributed the Ti between the M2 and M4 site with a 1:1 ratio. Mg is set to occupy the M3 site.

RESULTS

Chemical shift calibration and white-line ratio

We acquired EELS spectra at the Ti L$_{2,3}$ edge for standards to develop a calibration curve relating the white-line ratio to the Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma$Ti ratio under the electron-optical conditions for our laboratory. Figure 1 shows the processed spectra normalized to the individual maxima acquired from Ti-bearing reference materials (the absolute intensity variations are normalized). The spectra show a chemical shift, marked by the edge onset, of 454.0 to 457.5 eV at the Ti L$_{2,3}$ edge that correlates with the valence state of the material. We observed doublets (labeled a, b and c, d) at the L$_3$ and L$_2$ edges similar to those reported by Stoyanov et al. (2007). The ELNES for these samples increases in complexity from reduced Ti$^{2+}$ in TiO to oxidized Ti$^{4+}$ in TiO$_2$. The spectrum of CaTiO$_3$, containing Ti$^{4+}$, shows four well-resolved broad peaks labeled a, b, c and d. In comparison, spectra from samples with mixed oxidation state display secondary peaks convoluted with the major ones. As the Ti-oxidation state decreases, peak intensity a and c decline in comparison to b and d peaks. The spectrum of TiO contains only two major peaks and low-intensity pre-peaks a and c (Table S1). The energy positions of the peaks (Table S2) are in agreement with previous measurements of Ti L$_{2,3}$ spectra of Ti-oxides (Leapman et al. 1982; Brydson et al. 1987, 1989; Henderson et al. 2002; Stoyanov et al. 2007).

Hibonite samples (synthetic and natural) that contain a high Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma$Ti ratio (>0.8) also show four major peaks (a, b, c, and d) with secondary peaks on the b and the c peak. As shown in Fig. 2, the four spectra display ELNES features similar to the Ti-oxide standards. A shift in energy is visible from 458.05 to 458.4 eV at the a peak position (Table S3).
Figure 3a shows the $I(L_2)/I(L_3)$ white-line intensity ratio as a function of Ti oxidation state for the standard reference materials and the synthetic hibonites after removal of background and continuum intensity and integration of 1 eV wide windows centered at energies of 455.8 and 465.25 eV (cf., Fig. 1 and 2). We obtained an exponential relationship between the $I(L_2)/I(L_3)$ and the $\text{Ti}^{4+}/\Sigma \text{Ti}$ ratios. Ti-oxide standards and synthetic hibonites with high $\text{Ti}^{4+}/\Sigma \text{Ti}$ values (>0.8) display strong (±50%) $I(L_2)/I(L_3)$ variation (Fig. 3a). To investigate the effect of the second window position on the $I(L_2)/I(L_3)$ ratio, we normalized all spectra to their individual maxima, and we plotted the value of the $L_2$ integration window as a function of the $\text{Ti}^{4+}/\Sigma \text{Ti}$ ratio (Fig. 3b). The data show significant scatter in the integrated intensity of the samples with a high $\text{Ti}^{4+}/\Sigma \text{Ti}$ ratio. We observe a general positive slope as a function of increasing Ti-oxidation state, but the large scatter in the data precludes a strong correlation between the white-line and $\text{Ti}^{4+}/\Sigma \text{Ti}$ ratios (Fig. 3a).

**Comparison of experimental and simulated spectra**

Given the complexities of the Ti L$_{2,3}$ ELNES, we performed first-principles calculations for the reference oxides and hibonites based on previous experimentally determined structures. The goal of these calculations is to verify the electronic-transition energies of the measured materials and to gain insight into the underlying physics. The input structure and parameters for the TELNES 3 calculations are presented in Table 1. To first order, the calculated spectra presented in Figure 4 match well to the experimental data. We observe the same peaks a through d in the simulated spectra (solid lines), giving reasonable 1:1 correspondence with experimental spectra (dashed lines). We observe that the chemical shift, peak position, and peak width are reproduced. What differs between experimental and simulated spectra is the peak heights. Even if the branching ($L_3$ to $L_2$) ratio of 0.8 is well reproduced (Leapman et al. 1982), the ratio of the doublets (i.e., a, b and c, d) are not consistent in certain spectra. In the experimental data, the peak a and c (corresponding to the 2t$_{2g}$ level, see §4.1) are always less...
intense than the peak b and d (3eg level). In comparison, most of the calculated spectra (Ti2O3, Ti4O7,
FeTiO3, TiO2 and CaTiO3) exhibit the opposite trend. The most significant difference between the
experimental and calculated spectra occurs for CaTiO3. The calculated CaTiO3 spectrum shows wider
peaks and a significant peak-height variation compared to the experimental spectrum.

The hibonite model spectra shows similar results to the standard calculated spectra. The chemical
shift is clearly visible in the calculated spectra for the two selected cases where Ti4+/ΣTi=0.5 and
Ti4+/ΣTi=1 (Fig. 4b, red and purple curves, respectively). Compared to these calculated spectra, the
experimental spectra with Ti4+/ΣTi~0.9 (ALL 2-6, ALL 2-57 and ALL 2-55 samples) display an edge onset
energy located between these two endmembers (456.5 – 457.8 eV). Similar to the oxide standards, we
find that the experimental ratios between the peaks a and b, and c and d are not reproduced by the
calculation (cf., solid and dashed curves in Fig. 4). If calculated and experimental spectra are compared
in more detail, the ELNES of the Ti4+/ΣTi=1 case where Ti is only located on the M4 site (purple curve)
shows a close match to the experimental spectra. In comparison, the model in which Ti4+/ΣTi=0.5 and
Ti is equally distributed between Al2 and Al4 sites (red curve) shows the presence of b” and d” peaks
that are not observed in the experimental spectra.

Regardless of the specific site occupancies and those effects on the ELNES, our TELNES calculations
show that the onset position of the Ti L2,3 is predominantly dependent on the oxidation state.
However, the position of the offset appears to depend on both the chemical shift and other crystal-
field effects with peak position varying for similar Ti4+/ΣTi values (e.g., peak d in FeTiO3 vs TiO2), which
is corroborated in the experimental data (cf., Figs. 3a,b). These observations required us to develop a
modified approach to quantify the Ti4+/ΣTi ratio.

**Edge onset correlation and coupled EELS/EDS NWA 5028 hibonite analysis**
As the onset position is only dependent on oxidation state for the Ti-bearing materials investigated in this study, we decided to explore its possible use for quantifying the Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratio. Thus, we quantified the onset intensity (all spectra are normalized to their individual maxima) of the L₃ edge using a window of 2 eV ranging from 455 to 457 eV (Fig. 1 and 2. single window highlighted in blue) for the Ti-oxides. The window size and width were selected to give the best calibration (Fig. S3). We find that the L₃ integrated intensity decreases as a function of increasing oxidation state (Fig. 5). We fit the data to a polynomial function, which yields a 0.99 correlation coefficient (Fig 5). The obtained calibration was compared to the quantified Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratios of previously synthesized hibonites (ALL series) and this led to a close match (Fig.5). Based on scattering of the data points from the oxide standards around the fitted curve, we estimate an absolute error of ~ 1% for the determination of the Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratios (dashed black curves, Fig. 5).

A view of the complete CTA CAI and the local area from which the section of NWA 5028 was extracted is shown in Figure 6. We extracted a hibonite grain that occurs at the edge of the inner part of the rim layer (towards the core side of the CAI) with spinel and perovskite and is surrounded by a mixture of melilite and diopside. The hibonite grain contains up to 2 at% of Ti (8.3 wt% TiO₂) and Mg (2.4 wt% MgO), and low V 0.16 at % (0.56 wt% V₂O₃) (Table 2) and appears homogeneous in BSE contrast. Inclusions of spinel and perovskite are also observed in the grain. A large field-of-view STEM-HAADF image of the FIB section shows the hibonite grain and surrounding context (Fig. 7a). The absolute thickness of the lower-contrast zone is estimated to be <30 nm according to the method in Lakoubovskii et al. (2008). We oriented the Hib. 1 grain in Fig. 7a along [11̅20], enabling imaging along [0001] (Fig. 7b). Analysis of an SAED pattern shows the 2.2 nm spacing along the [0001] direction (Fig. 7c), which appears in the lattice-fringe contrast of the HRTEM image (Fig. 7b). The SAED pattern reveals sharp and discrete reflections indicating local long-range order, free of stacking defects. However, stacking disorder (not shown) was observed in other locations. We focused our study on the
Hib. 1 hibonite grain in Fig. 7a, but measurements were also acquired from the Hib. 2 hibonite grain for comparison. We applied the new calibration curve to the Hib. 1 grain (Fig. 5). We obtained a mean Ti\(^{4+}/\Sigma\text{Ti}\) value of 0.86±0.01 using the same acquisition procedure we applied to the standard oxides and synthetic hibonites. This value is in the same range as the orange hibonite from inclusion CG-11 of Allende or hibonite in the Blue Angel inclusion from Murchison (Beckett et al. 1988). This Ti\(^{4+}/\Sigma\text{Ti}\) value is also comparable to those determined by Simon et al. (2009), Zega et al. (2012), Giannini (2014), and Han et al. (2021) even though their measurements were not based on a standard calibration.

In order to evaluate the homogeneity of the composition of the hibonite grain extracted from the CTA CAI of the NWA 5028 CR2 carbonaceous chondrite, we acquired simultaneous EDS and EELS spectra from the same area. We quantified each spectrum contained in every pixel of the EDS maps (Fig. 7a, white-dashed box, labeled Map. Fig. 8). Figure 8 shows the elemental distribution per formula unit (pfu) extracted from the X-ray lines present in the spectra of the map. The Ca map shows some spatial variations, likely due to the thickness of the sample, but the magnitude of variation is low and within error. In contrast, Ti and Mg exhibit significant spatial variations (0.65±0.03 to 0.8±0.04 cation pfu) and show inverse spatial correlations with Al. We note that Ti is quantified based on the K\(\alpha\) line which is not convoluted with any other line.

To further assess the mechanism at the origin of the Ti incorporation and gain insight into cation substitutions, we plotted four pertinent chemical fields based on quantified maps with the highest number of counts (10x10 pixels and thousand counts per peak; Fig. 9). Previous studies indicated that Ti can be incorporated into the structure either by direct substitution of Ti\(^{3+}\) for Al\(^{3+}\) or Ti\(^{4+}\) coupled substitution with Mg\(^{2+}\) for two Al\(^{3+}\), and therefore we focused on these three elements (Allen et al. 1978; Beckett et al. 1988; Doyle et al. 2014; Berry et al. 2017). If cations are normalized to their sum, i.e. Al+Ca+Ti+Mg+V+Fe+Cr=13, we obtain values of Al=10.5±0.1, Ca=1±0.02 and O=19±0.5. Ti+Mg+V+Fe+Cr make up the 1.5 pfu difference. Si counts were near the detection limit (see Table 2;
Si=0.04 cation pfu and Fig. S4). Ca is homogeneous with a value close to 1 cation pfu, even as Al varies significantly. The Al concentration linearly decreases as Ti+Mg increases; a strong correlation with a slope ~-1.02 and R² = 0.9 is observed (Fig. 9a). The Ti and Mg concentrations spatially correlate (Fig. 8) but do not match perfectly (and anticorrelate with Al) with a range of 0.65 to 0.80 cations pfu (Fig. 9c). The concentration of Mg is approximately equivalent to Ti on average, but a significant dispersion around an ideal 1:1 correlation between Ti and Mg is observed (Fig. 9c). The Ca site shows a variation of ±0.02. In comparison, Ti and Mg (or Ti+Si and Mg+Fe) show a variation of ±0.15 cation pfu. Fe, Si, Cr and V are usually associated with the same substitution sites and can modify the relationship between Al and the other cations. Since Cr and V are negligible (Fig. S4) we plotted the effect of Fe and Si on the correlation (Fig. 9d). Their low concentrations did not significantly affect the relationship (M2/M4 sites vs M3 site). We note that on average the concentration of Ti is approximately equivalent to Mg and the Ti+Si concentration is approximately equivalent to Fe+Mg as shown by the microprobe bulk measurement (Table 2). However, we emphasize that the nanoscale measurements reveal a significant heterogeneity that is not evidenced by the lower scale microprobe measurements.

EELS spectral images were acquired with EDS maps, and so we evaluated the Ti L₂,₃ edge acquired over the same field of view. We quantified the chemical shift to obtain a Ti⁴⁺/∑Ti ratio (Fig. 10) using our onset calibration (Fig. 5). The ratio varies from 0.78±0.04 to 0.93±0.04; note that the errors on these values are large due to the use of a smaller energy dispersion for this map (0.25 eV/channel vs 0.025 eV/channel). The map exhibits higher Ti³⁺ relative concentrations towards the grain boundary (cf., Fig. 7a). In comparison, the EELS spectrum image (not shown) of the hibonite grain in the top left corner of the FIB section (Fig. 7a) is homogeneous with a mean value of 0.95±0.04. We used the Ti⁴⁺/∑Ti EELS ratio for assessing the electroneutrality of the sample. The ideal charge of 19 O pfu is subtracted from the charge of the cation sum, i.e., Al³⁺+Ti³⁺+Ti⁴⁺+Mg²⁺+V³⁺+Fe²⁺+Cr³⁺+Si⁴⁺. The observed distribution of Ti³⁺, Ti⁴⁺ and Mg²⁺ and the low concentrations of other solutes (Fe, Cr, V, Si)
does not yield to electroneutrality, even if errors on quantification and possible variations in oxidation states for the other solutes are considered (Fig. S7).

To evaluate the crystal chemistry and the atomic-scale variation of the $\text{Ti}^{4+}/\Sigma\text{Ti}$ ratio, we performed aberration-corrected STEM-HAADF imaging. Such imaging reveals atomic columns arranged in horizontal planes along the c-axis (Fig. 11). The planes are characterized by a high-contrast central row of atomic columns juxtaposed by slightly less intense atomic columns above and below (Fig. 11b,c). The atomic columns form an echelon-like motif that is repeated vertically along [0001] (Fig. 11b,c).

Hibonite is a member of the magnetoplumbite group (Holtstam and Hålenius 2020). Its structure can be described as a sequence of spinel blocks (or S-blocks) interspersed with conductor layers (or R-blocks), which are visible in Fig. 11d (Nagashima et al. 2010). The Ca, O and Al sites (M1 to M5) are represented with different colors (see the ball-and-stick model). The spinel block consists of the M1, M3, and M5 sites (yellow, orange and green balls) giving a stoichiometry of $\text{Y}_6\text{O}_8$ where Y represents a small cation like Al (see Doyle et al., 2014 for graphical representation). The R-Block contains large cations such as Ca along with the M2 and M4 octahedral sites (red, light blue and dark blue), yielding an overall composition of $\text{AY}_6\text{O}_{11}$, where A represents a large cation (Ca for instance). In hibonite, the S- and R-blocks alternate, hence the final formula is $\text{AY}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$. In the model, the Ca atoms are shown in red and match the central atom of the R-block in the HAADF image with the highest brightness (Fig. 11d). In this orientation (and in contrast to Han et al., 2021), Ca is adjacent to the M2 and M4 sites, i.e., the light and dark blue spheres respectively in the model that complete the R-block (Fig. 11c, d, e, f, g). The M1 and M3 sites occur in the darkest areas of the HAADF image, revealing clear separation of each echelon-like motif (Fig. 11 f, g). Finally, the M5 columns (green spheres in the model) surround the R-block and are visible as bright columns. In this orientation [11-20], every other M5 column is brighter due to a higher atomic occupancy. The relatively darker M5 columns adjacent to the bright
M5 columns contain lower occupancy (50% lower in this direction). Light elements such as O are generally not detectable with HAADF imaging (see Carter and Williams, 2016) and are therefore not visible on the image simulation (Fig. 11d, f). The simulation accounting for the Ti and Mg substitutions and aberrations in Fig. 11g closely matches the experimental HAADF image (Fig. 11c), in particular, reproducing the ‘dumbbell’ configuration where Ti atoms substitute onto the two adjacent M4 columns (white ellipses). The simulation of Mg substituting on the M3 site gives darker areas, reproducing the contrast observed in the HAADF image (Fig. 11c and 11g). The Ti concentration within the M2 site in this local area of the experimental image is below the detection limit.

We performed aberration-corrected STEM-EELS mapping of the local region of hibonite at its boundary with perovskite (Fig. 12) to determine its atomic-scale composition (cf., Fig. 7a). Our focus here is on Ti but because the Ca L\textsubscript{2,3} edge occurs at an energy close to that of Ti (346 eV and 456 eV, respectively), our energy dispersion allows the acquisition of both the Ca L\textsubscript{2,3} and Ti L\textsubscript{2,3} edges. We therefore mapped both cations. We note that the intensity of the Al L and K edges tend to be weak and located at lower and higher energy losses, (78 and 1560 eV, respectively) than Ca L\textsubscript{2,3} and Ti L\textsubscript{2,3} and are therefore not represented here. The O atoms, which are distributed throughout the structure are generally not detected with HAADF (although they can be observed using annular-bright-field STEM) and can be challenging to resolve by EELS, and so are also not represented here. The STEM-EELS mapping shows that the Ca columns are well defined (Fig. 12b) and match well to the model with atoms in the center of the R-block (cf., Fig. 11c-g). All columns present relatively similar intensities suggesting that their occupancy is similar over the sampled area. The Ti L\textsubscript{2,3} map reveals that it occurs in the region of the M2 and the M4 sites adjacent to the Ca (Fig. 12c). The relative concentrations of Ti in M2 and M4 are difficult to determine by EELS, but the high-intensity pixels are distributed around the line defined by the Ca atoms, suggesting higher Ti concentration on the M4 site (Fig. 12c), as shown by the HAADF image simulation (Fig. 11g). We applied our edge onset calibration to the Ti L\textsubscript{2,3}
edge to determine the Ti$^{4+}$/ΣTi ratio of this local area (Fig. 12d). The data show that the Ti$^{4+}$/ΣTi ratio is heterogeneous at the atomic scale with potentially more hotspots of higher Ti$^{4+}$ in the center of the R block even if Ti$^{3+}$ hotspots are also observed in the center of R-block in the bottom part of the map. We observe pure Ti$^{4+}$ atomic columns but no pure Ti$^{3+}$ columns.

**DISCUSSION**

**Validity of the single-window method**

In EELS, edges result from the transition of core electrons to unoccupied states above the Fermi level. The observed peaks in the Ti-oxide standards can mostly be explained by four possible ionizations. The interaction between the Ti 3d, 4s, and 4p atomic orbitals and oxygen 2s and 2p atomic orbitals gives rise to the following molecular orbital levels: 1a$_{1g}$($\sigma$), 1t$_{1u}$($\sigma$), 1e$_g$($\sigma$), 2a$_{1g}$($\sigma$), 2t$_{1u}$($\sigma$), 2e$_g$($\sigma$), 1t$_{2g}$(\pi), 3t$_{1u}$(\pi), t$_{2u}$(\pi$^0$), t$_{1u}$(\pi$^0$), 2t$_{2g}$(\sigma$^*$), 3e$_g$(\sigma$^*$), 3a$_{1g}$($\sigma^*$), 4t$_{1u}$($\sigma, \pi^*$) (Fischer 1970; Stoyanov et al. 2007). In all of the Ti-oxides measured here, the molecular orbitals are filled up to the t$_{1u}$(\pi$^0$). The dipole selection rules exclude the 4t$_{1u}$($\sigma, \pi^*$) as a possible final state, and the 3a$_{1g}$($\sigma^*$) level, at higher energy, is a lower probability transition. However, evidence of secondary peaks at 472 and 477 eV was observed in the TiO$_2$ spectrum that could correspond to 2p$_{1/2}$,2p$_{3/2}$ $\rightarrow$ 3a$_{1g}$ transitions. The number and intensity of the Ti L$_{2,3}$ ELNES peaks are, therefore, mostly controlled by the 2p$_{1/2}$,2p$_{3/2}$ $\rightarrow$ 2t$_{2g}$(\pi$^*$),3e$_g$(\sigma$^*$) transitions and the degree of occupancy of the 2t$_{2g}$ level (Fig.1 and Table S1; Stoyanov et al. 2007).

In contrast to the oxide standards measured here, where Ti is restricted to one or two crystallographically distinct octahedral sites, hibonite has three distinct octahedra, M1 (point symmetry D3d), M4 (C3v) and M5 (Cs), a M3 tetrahedron (C3v), and the unusual fivefold-coordinated trigonal bipyramid M2 (D3h). Previous work suggested that Ti mostly occupies the M4 site (Beckett et al. 1988; Doyle et al. 2014, 2016; Ardit et al. 2016; Berry et al. 2017). Ti is therefore located in a site...
with an octahedral coordination (except for low Ti concentration e.g., Berry et al., 2017) similar to the Ti-oxide standards (Table 1). This similar Ti environment explains why ELNES of the Ti standards with Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma\text{Ti}$ ratio=1 (TiO$_2$, CaTiO$_3$, and FeTiO$_3$) and the hibonite spectra show similar peak doublets.

The quantized electronic transitions mainly control the observed peak positions, e.g., Fig. 4. However, previous work showed that ELNES are also affected by other interactions. For instance, distortion of the Ti polyhedra, depending on the material, can add complexity to the Ti L$_{2,3}$ ELNES (Stoyanov et al. 2007). The peak width, which depends on the time it takes for the ionized electron to decay to the ground state, can also vary according to the electronic environment (Egerton 1996).

Decoupling the portion of the chemical shift due to the oxidation state is therefore challenging. The white-line approach has the advantage of tracking the shift in energy as a mean to quantifying oxidation-state ratios rather than precise fitting of the ELNES which is particularly challenging for early 3d metals that contain complex crystal-field effects superimposed on a chemical shift (Fischer 1970; Leapman et al. 1982; De Groot et al. 1990; Brydson et al. 1993; Garvie and Buseck 1998; Stoyanov et al. 2007). The white-line approach has been applied to 3d metals such as Fe (Van Aken et al. 1998; Van Aken and Liebscher 2002) and Ti (Stoyanov et al. 2007). In the latter work, Stoyanov et al. (2007) showed a relationship between the white-line intensity ratio (L$_2$/L$_3$) and the oxidation state of Ti. Thus, we attempted a similar approach to obtain a calibration of the shift of the Ti L$_{2,3}$ edge as a function of Ti oxidation state under the electron-optical conditions in our laboratory (Figure 1, 2, 3a). However, because the Ti-oxide standards and synthetic hibonites with high Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma\text{Ti}$ values (>0.8) display large (±50%) IL$_2$/IL$_3$ variations (Fig. 3a), the fit that we obtained is markedly different from the one reported by Stoyanov et al. (2007). We attribute this difference to the position of the edge offset window (IL$_2$: 465.25 to 466.25 eV; cf., Fig. 1 and Fig. 3b). For standards with similar Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma\text{Ti}$ values (>0.8), we note that the L$_2$ integration window (465.25 to 466.25 eV) can occur on the left side of the d peak, as can be seen for FeTiO$_3$ and TiO$_2$ standards or directly on the crest as in CaTiO$_3$ (Fig.1). Thus, this difference in
position will significantly impact the final $L_2$ intensities and hence the $IL_2/IL_3$ white-line ratio. Moreover, our experimental results and DOS calculations demonstrate that the dependency of the edge-offset position on other effects, e.g., electronic structure, does not relate to our experimental microscope conditions (Fig. 4). These observations suggest that dependency of the white-line ratio on both oxidation and crystal-field effects complicates the development of a universal curve for Ti.

Difficulty in reproducing the white-line ratio method for Ti was also noted by Giannini (2014). As an alternative method, Giannini (2014) proposed using relative heights of the four peaks that occur in hibonite spectra as a possible proxy for the oxidation state. To tackle the crystal-field dependency, we first tried various methods that could relate the features present in the spectra to the oxidation state. Spectral parameters that are related to $Ti^{4+}/\Sigma Ti$, but not to the site occupancy, distortion, or Ti content would be ideal for a Ti-valence calibration curve. We therefore tested a variety of potential tracers for the valence state including: the position of the d peak (Fig. 2), the doublet dissociation in energy, the edge center energy (defined by the difference between the onset and the offset), the edge variance, and the relative heights (as proposed by Giannini (2014). We concluded however, that all of these parameters depend on effects other than just the chemical shift. The only alternative method leading to a satisfactory calibration curve was obtained when taking the ratio of the peak d energy range (464.5 to 468.5 eV) divided by the $L_2$ energy range (462.5 to 468.5) (Le Guillou et al. 2015). This method leads to a larger dispersion around the calibration curve but might be considered as an alternative if the $S/N$ ratio of the acquisition is low (since it integrates energy ranges with higher intensities than the single window approach). The $IL_3$ onset window (455.8 to 456.8 eV) shows a more accurate correlation with the Ti oxidation state. We therefore used the $IL_3$ onset window for our $Ti^{4+}/\Sigma Ti$ calibration. However, the use of a wider window (455 to 457 eV) increases the final $R^2$ (Fig. 5) and reduces artifacts that are background related (Fig. S3). We note that the almost linear relationship between the $IL_3$ intensity and the $Ti^{4+}/\Sigma Ti$ ratio is linked to the evolution of the width of the band gap.
for the various oxidation states. For TiO, which shows metallic conductivity, the conduction and the valence bands overlap, but for an insulator such as TiO₂ (or hibonite), the band gap becomes larger and the electronic screening poorer, leading to a shift to higher energy relative to metallic Ti.

As a test of the efficacy of the alternative method developed here, we find that the Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratios of the ALL sample series quantified with our approach (Fig. 5) are ALL2-6=0.91±0.01, ALL2-57=0.88±0.01, and ALL2-55=0.84±0.01. These values compare favorably with the corresponding ratios of 0.95±0.01, 0.91±0.01, and 0.85±0.01, measured by Beckett et al. (1988) using electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy. The agreement between the data sets from these orthogonal approaches and the lower data dispersion around the fitted curve in comparison to the previously discussed white-line ratio method suggests that the alternative method provides a higher accuracy under our optical conditions.

**Error estimation on the bulk Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratio determination and mapping limitations**

The single-window method described above based on the edge-onset position requires that each spectrum must first be normalized to its own maximum to remove the intensity variations due to the sample thickness or Ti concentration. This normalization is possible because the d peak always corresponds to the maximum value of the spectra in the studied materials. The use of only one window in front of the edge potentially makes this method sensitive to the background noise especially for samples with high Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratio (>0.8). Such noise sensitivity does not apply in the two-window method (e.g. Stoyanov et al., 2007) because at least one window is placed at energies where the signal/background (S/B) ratio is high (S/B>10). In comparison, the edge-onset method described here requires 1) a high S/B ratio (S/B>5) to avoid any noisy spike that would impact the integrated value and 2) correct removal of the background. The background should ideally fall to zero intensity
before the edge. To increase the reproducibility of Ti^{4+}/ΣTi quantification, we fixed the energy window used for the background removal to a constant energy range and position (see the methods section).

For either the two-window method or our approach here, the calibration of the position of the edge is essential. In our case, we fixed the π* peak of the C, K edge at 285 eV for the standard calibration. Keeping the same dispersion and acquiring the C edge of amorphous carbon immediately after the Ti edge maps provided reproducible measurements of the Ti edge with shift variations <0.04 eV between all the standard carbon π* peak positions. This protocol resulted in a small shift of about 2 eV of the Ti edge to lower energy among all the standards (Table S2). In comparison, Stoyanov et al. (2007) used a dispersion of 0.3 eV/channel for the carbon calibration step and a dispersion of 0.05 eV/channel for the Ti edge acquisition. This difference in choice of dispersion is partly responsible for why we obtain a different exponential calibration curve shown in Fig. 3a in comparison to Stoyanov et al. (2007). The data show that standards define an error of ± 1.1 % around the calibration curve for a dispersion of 0.025 eV/channel (Fig. 5). The Ti^{4+}/ΣTi ratio is therefore well constrained for bulk measurements. This error is approximately similar to the 10% error on the Ti^{3+} wt% value determined by Beckett et al. (1988) for values contained between 0.8 to 1 Ti^{4+}/ΣTi. For lower Ti^{4+}/ΣTi values, our approach presents a higher accuracy than ESR measurements (if the Ti^{3+} wt% increases relatively to Ti^{4+}).

We find that errors on the Ti^{4+}/ΣTi ratio are larger for nanoscale mapping than for the bulk values (experimental condition 1 in §2.2), which is mostly related to the larger energy dispersion. The higher probe current and collection angle increase the S/N ratio and enable correct removal of the background intensity, which are, as we note above, the main sources of uncertainties in this method. The lower dispersion (0.25 ev/ch), however, gives lower spectral resolution and generates, therefore, an inherently less accurate integration of the onset-position intensity. To determine the accuracy of the Ti^{4+}/ΣTi ratio, we measured the TiO₂ standard (Ti^{4+}/ΣTi ratio=0.5) with two different dispersions,
0.025 and 0.25 eV/channel (noted as experimental conditions 1 and 2 in §2.2). We estimate a difference in the Ti\(^{4+}/ΣTi\) ratio between these two dispersions of ±0.04, which implies a relative error of ±8% for the Ti\(_5\)O\(_5\) standard and a ±5% relative error for Ti\(^{4+}/ΣTi=0.86\) in the NWA 5028 hibonite grain.

Atomic-scale measurements are more complex than the nanoscale measurements described above because the sample is beam-sensitive and carbon deposition can occur for exposure times >0.2s. These experimental limitations force short acquisition times, which gives a lower S/N ratio than in the nanoscale maps. The background is therefore noisier, leading to larger errors in the Ti\(^{4+}/ΣTi\) ratio determination. This error is added to the error due to lower energy dispersion (0.5 eV/ch). Even though the pre-edge background can be strongly affected by the noise, the S/N ratio of the Ti L\(_{2,3}\) edge is still above the detection limit and the shift is easily resolved (e.g., Fig. S5). The range of Ti\(^{4+}/ΣTi\) obtained at the atomic level is consistent with bulk values obtained on the same samples. Based on the noise level, we estimate an absolute error in atomic scale maps of ±0.08 for the Ti\(^{4+}/ΣTi\) determination, which represents a relative error of ±12%. The bulk measurement allows an accurate quantification of the mean Ti\(^{4+}/ΣTi\) value of the grain, whereas maps constrain variations in Ti\(^{4+}/ΣTi\) down to the atomic scale.

**Heterogeneities at the nanoscale**

The microprobe measurement (Table 2) of the hibonite that we extracted from the CAI in NWA 5028 gives a composition (Ca=1.0, Al=10.49 and Ti = 0.70 pfu and Mg ~ Ti) that is within the range of previous measurements for meteoritic hibonites (e.g., Keil and Fuchs 1971; Allen et al. 1978; Armstrong et al. 1982; MacPherson and Grossman 1984; Ihinger and Stolper 1986; Beckett et al. 1988; Kööp et al. 2016), although it is Ti-rich (0.12 to 0.62 Ti pfu: Fig. S6 and Grossman 1975; Paque et al. 1987; Bischoff et al. 1993; Weber and Bischoff 1997; Srinivasan et al. 2000; Lin et al. 2003; Sapah
Other solutes such as Fe, Si, Cr or V occur in relatively low concentration (<0.05 pfu), which simplifies the crystal chemistry of the hibonite in this CAI. However, hibonite grains in chondrites can exhibit heterogeneous compositions at the nanometer scale (Han et al. 2015, 2021; Doyle et al. 2016). Such variations reflect the spatial and temporal evolution of the thermodynamic conditions, i.e., chemical potential, temperature, and pressure of the system in which grains formed or last equilibrated. Our TEM data do show that the hibonite chemistry is heterogeneous at the nanoscale, which is particularly clear in the elemental maps for Ti, Mg and Al (Fig. 8 and 9). The plotted elemental compositions (Fig. 9) shows that Ca has negligible variation, whereas Al and Ti+Mg are anticorrelated, suggesting that Ti and Mg are only present on Al sites. We emphasize that the bulk sample exhibits approximately equal Mg and Ti concentrations (Table 2), but that compositional heterogeneities occur at the nanoscale for these two elements (Fig. 8 and 9c). The highlighting of such nanoscale heterogeneities could suggest that similar nanoscale variations occur in other hibonite grains.

Crystal chemistry and Ti substitution sites

The crystal chemistry of hibonite solid solutions, including description of the site occupancies, oxidation states of Mg, Ti, and other solutes is fundamental to the understanding of precise defect reactions that have occurred during the formation and equilibration of the phase. Recent studies showed that Ti mostly occupies the M4 site but that Ti\(^{4+}\) can also occupy the M2 site (Nagashima et al. 2010; Doyle et al. 2014; Berry et al. 2017; Asaduzzaman et al. 2021; Han et al. 2021). The Ti\(^{4+}\) distribution between the two sites is dependent on the Ti concentration (Berry et al. 2017).

In our hibonite DFT calculations, we set the Ti to the M4 site or homogeneously distribute it between the M2 site and the M4 site according to the literature (Doyle et al. 2014). Such relatively simple site distributions facilitate investigation of the effect of the Ti occupancy on the ELNES. In our calculations, the equal distribution of the Ti atoms on the M2 and M4 sites in hibonite gives a spectrum containing groups of peaks equal in intensity, e.g., b and b' (or d and d') and b'' (or d''), see
Fig. 4b, red spectrum). These ELNES features are not representative of the experimental spectra likely because of the high concentration of Ti on the M2 site. Rather, a closest match between experimental and simulated spectra, in terms of ELNES features, occurs where Ti occupies only the M4 site (%Ti$^{4+}$=1 case; Fig. 4b, purple spectrum). Therefore, the ELNES modelled by our DFT calculations confirm that Ti mostly occupies the M4 site and that Ti is more concentrated on the M4 site rather than the M2 site, consistent with previous observations and computational work (Doyle et al. 2014; Berry et al. 2017; Asaduzzaman et al. 2021; Han et al. 2021).

In this study, the use of aberration corrected STEM coupled with image simulation and a hyperspectral data-processing script developed in-house, allowed for the first time a direct measurement of the Ti distribution between the M2 and M4 site in the hibonite structure (Fig. 11 and 12). STEM-HAADF images show brighter spots on the M4 site and the weaker intensity of the M3 sites. The image simulations confirm that these features are due to the Ti and Mg substituting in M4 and M3 sites, respectively (Fig. 11). We did not observe strong contrast on the M2 position of the HAADF image, suggesting this site contains a low concentration of Ti. Al and Ca sites exhibit homogeneous intensities similar to the simulations (Fig 11 c). Vacancies may occur but are under the HAADF simulation detection limit (2 to 3 at%).

EELS spectrum imaging of the Ca L$_{2,3}$ edge corroborates the STEM-HAADF data, i.e., homogeneous intensity of the atomic columns, and suggests that there is no significant cation defect on the Ca site (Fig 12 a, b). In comparison, the EELS spectrum image of the Ti L$_{2,3}$ edge shows high intensity pixels that are dispersed around the Ca site. This dispersion around the Ca rows confirms the HAADF measurements and indicates that Ti mostly occupies the two adjacent face-sharing octahedral M4 sites (which appear as dumbbells in projection). It was proposed that this configuration is energetically favored due to stabilization of the Ti-Ti interaction (Doyle et al. 2014; Asaduzzaman et al. 2021). In addition to the Ti substitution site determination, the data show that pure Ti$^{4+}$ columns (Ti$^{4+}$/ΣTi=1) are
more abundant in the center of the row where the M2 sites are located (Fig. 12 d). Although the
uncertainties on the Ti$^{4+}$/ΣTi ratio are larger at the atomic level than the nanoscale or bulk, the spatial
distribution suggested by our STEM-EELS data indicate that if Ti$^{4+}$ is distributed between the M2 and
M4 sites, then Ti$^{3+}$ mainly occupies the M4 site, which is consistent with previous work (Doyle et al.
2014). The observations made at the atomic scale allow us to discuss the mechanism of incorporation
which we discuss below.

Mechanism of incorporation and Ti oxidation state

The observed crystal chemistry and the heterogeneities in conjunction with the knowledge of
substitution mechanism(s) of solutes into the measured hibonites can shed light on the
thermodynamic conditions that prevailed during condensation and subsequent alteration in the early
solar nebula. Ti and Mg are the most concentrated solutes in chondritic hibonites (Brearley and Jones
1998), and the two key substitution reactions hypothesized for their incorporation are:

$$ Ti(g) + Al_{Al}^X \rightleftharpoons Ti_{Al}^X + Al(g) \quad (2) $$

and

$$ Ti(g) + Mg(g) + 2Al_{Al}^X \rightleftharpoons Ti_{Al}^X + Mg_{Al}' + 2Al(g) \quad (3) $$

Where $Al_{Al}^X$ represents an aluminum ion sitting on an aluminum lattice site, with a Al$^{3+}$ charge that
define the reference state (Kröger and Vink 1956). $Ti_{Al}^X$ is a titanium ion sitting on an aluminum lattice
site, with a single positive charge (in comparison to the reference charge, i.e., 4+) and $Mg_{Al}'$ a
magnesium ion sitting on an aluminum lattice site, with a single negative charge (2+). In other words,
in this equation (2), the cations are in the following valence state: Al$^{3+}$, Mg$^{2+}$ and Ti$^{4+}$. Thus, coupling
the analysis of the spatial distribution of Mg and Ti and its oxidation state is fundamental to testing
the hypothesized substitution reactions in hibonite. Previous work showed that most meteoritic
hibonite grains exhibit a nearly 1:1 correlation of Mg:Ti (Allen et al. 1978; Armstrong et al. 1982;
This well-known 1:1 Mg:Ti correlation led previous workers to conclude that reaction (3) was the main pathway for Ti (and Mg) incorporation (Allen et al. 1978; Armstrong et al. 1982; Beckett et al. 1988; Han et al. 2021). The dispersion around the 1:1 line (Fig. 9c) was also observed and attributed to a minor amount of Ti$^{3+}$ being directly incorporated through reaction (2) without Mg. Similarly, by plotting Si+Ti vs Fe+Mg, previous authors questioned the incorporation mechanisms of Si and Fe (frequently present in the hibonite crystal chemistry) and their impact on the 1:1 correlation (Simon et al. 2006, 2019; Han et al. 2015, 2021). Part of the dispersion around the 1:1 curve (e.g., see Fig. 11b of Han et al. 2021), not explained by reaction (2) and (3), was therefore interpreted as being due to secondary substitution of Fe$^{2+}$ for Mg$^{2+}$ and Si$^{4+}$ for Ti$^{4+}$ in parent body settings.

In our study of this hibonite grain, several lines of evidence cannot be explained by a combination of reactions (2) and (3), or by the incorporation of Fe and Si, and lead us to propose new mechanisms of Ti and Mg incorporation into the hibonite structure. To be clear, we are not suggesting that previously proposed mechanisms are not possible, but rather that they cannot explain the crystal chemistry of the hibonite grain that we measure here. First, some points in Fig. 9c occur above the 1:1 correlation line and show that Mg is locally more concentrated than Ti which is unexpected if only reaction (2) and (3) occur. Second, Fe and Si are in low concentrations, and so the magnitude of the dispersion around the curve (Fig. 9d) cannot be explained by the substitution of these cations during a secondary event as previously proposed Simon et al. (2006, 2019) and Han et al. (2015, 2021). Lastly, the Ti oxidation state distribution of the NWA 5028 hibonite grain does not correlate with the Mg and Ti distribution. If, as previously proposed in the literature, reaction (3) is predominant and the direct substitution reaction (2) is responsible for the dispersion around the Ti:Mg 1:1 line, then the Ti oxidation state should correlate with the Ti and Mg distribution. To highlight this discrepancy, we calculated the excess of Mg (i.e., the ratio of Mg$^{2+}$ relative to Ti$^{4+}$ cations). Our data show that Mg is in
excess in most of the pixels, and that a significant amount of Mg is therefore not associated with Ti\textsuperscript{4+} (Fig. 13). These data indicate that part of Mg has been introduced independently from Ti into the structure and that a portion of Ti\textsuperscript{4+} was reduced to Ti\textsuperscript{3+}.

Based on the EDS nanoscale quantification (Figs. 8 and 9) and the comparative EDS and EELS data (Fig. 13), we infer that additional reactions must be considered to explain both the Mg concentration and the Ti oxidation state distribution. If Mg substitutes independently in the structure, an oxygen vacancy is locally formed to ensure local charge neutrality.

\[
Mg(g) + Al^{X}_{Al} \rightleftharpoons Mg^{I}_{Al} + v_{o}^{-} + e' + Al(g) \quad (4)
\]

\[
O_{o} = v_{o}^{-} + 2e' + 1/2 O_{2} \quad (5)
\]

(e.g., Kröger and Vink, 1956) where \(O_{o}\) represents an oxygen anion, \(v_{o}^{-}\) a doubly ionized oxygen vacancy, and \(e'\) a free electron. If Mg substitutes near a Ti\textsuperscript{3+} already present in the structure, then the Ti is oxidized to Ti\textsuperscript{4+}:

\[
Mg(g) + Ti^{X}_{Al} + Al^{X}_{Al} \rightleftharpoons Mg^{I}_{Al} + Ti^{I}_{Al} + Al(g) \quad (6)
\]

Lastly, we showed that Ti\textsuperscript{4+} could also be reduced to Ti\textsuperscript{3+} (Fig. 13) by trapping the free electrons originating from the formation of oxygen vacancies (5), i.e.,

\[
Ti^{I}_{Al} + e' \rightleftharpoons Ti^{X}_{Al} \quad (7)
\]

In order to test the hypothesis that oxygen vacancies could occur and play a key role in dictating the concentration of Ti\textsuperscript{3+} (and the distribution of Ti and Mg) in the structure, similar to the mechanism originally proposed by Beckett et al. (1988), we compared our Ti\textsuperscript{4+}/ΣTi maps to the total charge of the cation sum subtracted by 19 O pfu (see §3.3). As noted, electroneutrality was not obtained, and we find that the charge difference matches our Ti\textsuperscript{4+}/ΣTi maps (Fig. S7). Based on quantification of the electroneutrality from our EDS and EELS data, we estimate that oxygen vacancies represent 0.25 to 0.5 pfu of the grain (Fig. S7). An oxygen vacancy of 0.5 pfu represents 1/12 of the atoms on the O4 Wyckoff site (which is the closest site to the M3 where Mg substitute) and 1 oxygen atom per unit cell.
(which contains 38 oxygen atoms). Several additional pieces of evidence support that the charge difference is certainly due to O vacancies including: (1) neither Ca nor Al cation vacancies were observed in the experimental HAADF images, which was confirmed by comparison to the calculated images; (2) no abundant Ca vacancies were observed on the Ca L\textsubscript{2,3} EELS spectrum image; (3) other solutes (V, Cr, Fe) are in too low concentration to explain the charge difference; and (4) substitutions (2), (3), or (6) are unlikely to explain the Ti\textsuperscript{4+}/ΣTi distribution because the Mg/Ti and Ti\textsuperscript{4+}/ΣTi spatial distribution are not correlated (Fig. 8, 10 and 13).

We conclude that multiple reactions are needed to correctly describe the crystal chemistry of this specific hibonite grain including reactions producing oxygen vacancies. Therefore, the Ti oxidation state distribution in this grain is not only controlled by the Mg/Ti ratio, proposed previously for hibonite (Berry et al. 2017). As shown by reaction (6), the sequence of occurrence of these reactions is also important from the geological context and is discussed in detail in the next section. These multiple reactions preclude direct connection of Ti\textsuperscript{4+}/ΣTi to the f\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} of the system. Instead, we suggest that the Ti (\(\mu_{\text{Ti}}\)) and Mg chemical potential (\(\mu_{\text{Mg}}\)) as well as the f\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} of the gas play an important role in the final Ti\textsuperscript{4+}/ΣTi ratio recorded by the grain. Under reducing conditions, the f\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}} of the gas might prevail over the \(\mu_{\text{Mg}}\), whereas in oxidizing environment, the \(\mu_{\text{Mg}}\) could prevail over the f\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{2}}.

**Cosmochemical Implications**

NWA 5028 is a CR2 chondrite, and therefore, is not expected to have experienced high-temperature processing (thermal metamorphism with T> 400°C) on its parent body (Harju et al. 2014). In contrast, evidence for parent body aqueous alteration in the form of Fe-silicates within veins in spinel was observed in the chondrite (Ramprasad et al. 2018). However, we hypothesize that such low-temperature processes would not significantly modify the Ti\textsuperscript{4+}/ΣTi gradient or the total Ti/Mg ratio in hibonite over the timescales inferred for parent-body processing. We base this hypothesis on the
low diffusion rates of Ti and Mg in spinel (Brady and Cherniak 2010), which, as described in detail
above, is a fundamental unit of the hibonite structure. Fe$^{2+}$ could however exchange with Mg$^{2+}$ and
increase the diffusion rate of the two cations but the Fe concentration in this hibonite is low (<0.04
pfu) suggesting that Fe$^{2+}$ did not replace a significant amount of Mg$^{2+}$ (Simon et al. 2006, 2019). Thus, it
is unlikely that parent-body processing impacted the parameters of interest in this study, and so we
explore nebular processes below.

CAIs are classified into different types based on their mineralogy and morphology. Fluffy type-A
(FTA) CAIs are melilite-rich objects that contain highly irregular and nodular structures and are
believed to have been formed by gas-solid condensation in the early solar nebula. In comparison, CTAs
exhibit more spheroidal shapes and are thought to have undergone partial to complete melting and
recrystallization sometime after condensation but prior to incorporation into their parent bodies
(MacPherson and Grossman 1984; Simon et al. 1999). The CAI selected here is typed as a CTA
(Ramprasad et al. 2018). Therefore, at least two stages need to be considered as potential source of
the heterogeneity in the grain (Fig. 9c and d). The grain could have incorporated homogeneous
amounts of Ti and Mg that were later redistributed in the grain. Alternatively, the heterogeneity could
have been directly inherited from the gas phase during initial condensation of the grain. Lastly, both
scenarios could have occurred consecutively. We note that this hibonite grain is at the boundary of
the Wark-Lovering (WL) rim (Fig. 6) and exhibits a low concentration of Si (Table 2), which suggests
that this hibonite did not equilibrate with a silica-rich melt that might have formed from the secondary
event that modified the morphology of the CTA. The heterogeneous Al, Ti, and Mg concentrations
observed at the nanoscale (Fig. 8,9) as well as a Ti$^{4+}$/ΣTi gradient directed toward the grain boundary
(away from the capping layer Fig. 7, 10) indicate that the thermal event that led to the chemical,
structural, and morphological modification of the CAI was, locally, either too brief or at too low a
temperature to fully re-equilibrate this hibonite grain with surrounding material.
The complex history recorded by this hibonite grain is shown schematically in Fig. 14. We consider two scenarios. In scenario 1, the heterogeneity is inherited during nebular equilibration, i.e., before the transient thermal event. 1.a) we hypothesize that the hibonite grain is formed through backreaction with previously condensed material, in accordance with thermodynamic predictions, producing a nominal CaAl$_2$O$_{19}$ composition; 1.b) Ti is incorporated at high temperature through a direct substitution (reaction 2). 1.c) Mg solubility in hibonite increases as the temperature decreases (e.g., Lodders, 2003) and is added through multiple reactions (4 and 6). Equilibrium between the grain and the gas was not achieved and an elemental gradient was recorded, i.e., the grain became zoned in Ti and Mg and Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma$Ti. 1.d) a transient thermal event occurred, presumably in the solar nebula but prior to accretion of the CAI in its parent body. This secondary event may have remodeled the Ti, Mg, and Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma$Ti distribution. For instance, if the $f$O$_2$ was sufficiently low during the thermal processing, formation of new oxygen vacancies (reaction 5) could have led to the reduction of Ti$^{4+}$ to Ti$^{3+}$ via reaction (7).

In the second scenario, both 2.a) solid-gas interaction or 2.b) a direct condensation of molecular species to form the hibonite grain could have led to a homogeneous composition. Ti and Mg are incorporated simultaneously through a coupled substitution (reaction 3 above) at high temperature into the hibonite structure. Indeed, Doyle et al. (2014) showed that a local Mg$^{2+}$ and Ti$^{4+}$ association in the structure (replacing two nearest Al$^{3+}$) are energetically favored in hibonite rather than the formation of isolated defects (i.e., dissociated Ti and Mg atoms). If Ti facilitates the incorporation of Mg at temperature higher than its normal condensation temperature (according to thermodynamic predictions, e.g., Lodders, 2003), then it is likely that the Mg$^{2+}$ and Ti$^{4+}$ association (i.e., the coupled substitution reaction 3) will dominate. A small amount of direct substitution reaction (2) could have occurred. 2.c) At this stage, the Mg/Ti distribution matches the Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma$Ti distribution and the 1:1 correlation still occurs in the grain. 2.d) The heterogeneity is inherited from the stage 2. The transient
thermal event impacting the CTA modifies the distribution of Ti and Mg and oxygen vacancies are formed. The Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma\text{Ti}$ is modified by reaction (7) reducing Ti$^{4+}$ in the structure to Ti$^{3+}$. The 1:1 Mg:Ti correlation is lost and the Ti and Mg distributions do not match the Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma\text{Ti}$ distribution.

It is difficult to conclude whether scenario 1 or 2 is the correct interpretation for the history of this grain because thermodynamic models of Mg and Ti in hibonite are not available in the literature. Thermodynamic equilibrium calculations predict hibonite forms as a relatively pure Ca-Al-oxide. Therefore, measurement of hibonite grains extracted from FTA CAIs and the development of a more comprehensive thermodynamic model would be important steppingstones in understanding which scenario occurred for this grain and what such defects can tell us about the conditions in which hibonite formed or last equilibrated.

**Hibonite as nebular oxygen barometer?**

Hibonite is among the first several phases thermodynamically predicted to form in a gas of solar composition (Yoneda and Grossman 1995; Ebel and Grossman 2000; Lodders 2003). According to such models, corundum (Al$_2$O$_3$) which is calculated to condense first, can back react with Ca (as atomic Ca or molecular CaO) in the nebular gas to form hibonite. If the hibonite grain equilibrates with the gas, then the Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma\text{Ti}$ in hibonite should reflect the T, P, and $f\text{O}_2$ of the system. It was proposed that under sufficiently oxidizing conditions, the Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma\text{Ti}$ in hibonite is linearly correlated to the oxygen fugacity ($f\text{O}_2$) of the environment in which it formed (Beckett et al. 1988). This relationship was established assuming that: 1) most of the Ti in hibonite is Ti$^{4+}$ and incorporated through the coupled substitution reaction (3) and 2) Ti$^{4+}$ was reduced to Ti$^{3+}$ by trapping free electrons originating from the formation of oxygen vacancies (reactions 5 and 7). In their study, Beckett et al., (1988) investigated this relationship for specific hibonite compositions, i.e., with homogeneous Mg concentrations and hence a fixed chemical Mg potential ($\mu_{\text{Mg}}$). In comparison, Doyle et al. (2014) and Berry et al. (2017), argued that the
Ti³⁺ concentration in the hibonite structure is directly dependent on the Mg activity in the gas (reaction 7) and that Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi might be independent of the fO₂. This scenario implies that in the absence of Mg, all Ti in hibonite must be Ti³⁺. We note that in their studies they investigated fO₂ conditions similar to those synthesized by Beckett et al. (1988) but on samples with different Mg concentrations, i.e., variable µ_Mg. Thus, moving forward, we underline three uncertainties that must be resolved for hibonite to be used as an oxybarometer.

First, the analysis of hibonite in the CTA from NWA 5028 reveals that Mg played a key role in the Ti oxidation state recorded by the hibonite grain. The final Mg concentration must therefore be considered because the relationship between Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi and fO₂ will depend on the availability of Mg according to reaction (4). The synthesis of hibonite matching the compositions of specific samples (BA and ALL samples) allowed Beckett et al. (1988) to determine a relationship for a specific set of conditions and a fixed Mg chemical potential. Thus, understanding the relationship of Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi and fO₂ in other hibonite samples, with different compositions, requires knowledge of the µ_Mg.

Another complexity in relating Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi and the fO₂ is that numerous 3d metals can substitute into the hibonite crystal, i.e., Fe, Cr, V (Allen et al. 1978; Beckett et al. 1988; Bermanec et al. 1996; Rakotondrazafy et al. 1996; Simon et al. 1997). Of these, V can occur in relatively high concentration in certain types of CAIs, e.g., the blue angel inclusion from Murchison that contains up to 1.8 wt% V₂O₅ (Armstrong et al. 1982). If, e.g., V³⁺ or V⁴⁺ compete with Ti for similar Al sites, the measured Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratio alone will not be representative of the fO₂ of the material when it last equilibrated. Other elements can also compete with Ti in meteoritic hibonites. Even if they occur in lower abundance than V, the estimation of their concentration is also important to establishing the relationship between Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi and the fO₂.

In addition, the fO₂ variation as a function of temperature should be considered. For Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi to be useful in constraining redox conditions for natural hibonites, the temperature pathway experienced by
the grain would ideally be known. For example, the temperature of formation and hence $f_{O_2}$ of a hibonite grain extracted from an FTA CAI preserving primary signatures of condensation could be estimated based on equilibrium thermodynamic predictions. However, the case of a CTA CAI, as measured here, is more complex. On the one hand, it is likely that kinetics played an important role on the recorded Ti-oxidation state during the brief and intense thermal processing that the CTA may have experienced, precluding correlation of the Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma$Ti ratio to the $f_{O_2}$ without an appropriate kinetic model. On the other hand, the elemental and the oxidation gradients could be used to determine the time–temperature path associated with the diffusion process from profile modeling (Cuvillier et al. 2015). However, the temperature and the duration of thermal processing of this CTA CAI was not estimated because it requires disentangling if the zonation was inherited from growth during condensation or because of diffusion in a subsequent event. Deciphering these signatures is beyond the scope of this paper.

Developing methods to quantify the nebular fugacity is challenging because the entire crystal chemistry, including all possible crystal defects, must be known. A complete model considering the parameters discussed above, e.g., $\mu_{Mg}$, temperature history, the solutes competing with Ti, and the reaction paths for Ti incorporation would give a correct estimation of the $f_{O_2}$ of the gas in which the grain formed or last equilibrated. Such a model will be the subject of a future paper.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We developed an EELS method to measure the Ti$^{4+}/\Sigma$Ti ratio of oxide materials at various spatial scales. Experimental data, supported by first-principles DFT calculations, confirm that use of the EELS Ti L$_{2,3}$ edge onset is suitable to quantify Ti-oxidation states in heterogeneous materials. By coupling STEM-EDS and -EELS and applying them to measurement of a hibonite grain from a CTA CAI extracted from the NWA 5028 CR2 chondrite, we constrained the hibonite chemistry. The grain is zoned, with Al...
and Ti anticorrelating, and Ti occurring as both Ti$^{3+}$ and Ti$^{4+}$. Further, applying our developed quantitative EELS method at the atomic scale, we find that the Ti$^{3+}$ mainly occupies the M4 site, Ti$^{4+}$ is distributed between the M2 and M4 sites with a preference for M4, and Mg occupies M3. From the crystal chemistry, we infer that multiple reaction paths incorporated both Ti and Mg, and that coupled substitution is not the only reaction incorporating these cations into the hibonite structure. The formation of oxygen vacancies in a reducing nebular gas impacted the concentration of the reduced Ti. We conclude that the observed zoning testifies to a complex history in which hibonite may have been witness to large changes in nebular oxygen fugacity. However, the use of hibonite as an oxybarometer via measurement of its Ti$^{4+}$/ΣTi ratio alone is made complicated by its crystal chemistry, the solutes that can compete with Ti, and the varied reaction paths for Ti incorporation. We show that the $\mu_{Ti}$, $\mu_{Mg}$, and $f_{O_2}$ of the system, play an important role in the final Ti$^{4+}$/ΣTi ratio recorded by the grain. Depending on the redox conditions of the system, the $f_{O_2}$ or the $\mu_{Mg}$ could prevail. Thus, careful measurement of these variables and consideration of the reaction paths are essential. An approach combining measurements and modelling of the kind we report here could allow for more widespread use of hibonite (and other phases) as direct probes of the thermodynamic landscape of the early solar nebula.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research supported by the NASA Emerging Worlds (80NSSC19K0509) and Laboratory Analysis of Returned Samples (80NSSC18K1475) Programs. We also thank Dr. Lindsay Keller for the Ti$_4$O$_7$ powder. The DFT results are based upon High Performance Computing (HPC) resources supported by the University of Arizona TRIF, UITS, and Research, Innovation, and Impact (RII) and maintained by the UArizona Research Technologies department. We gratefully acknowledge NASA (grants #NNX12AL47G and #NNX15AJ22G) and NSF (grant #1531243) for funding of the instrumentation in the Kuiper
Materials Imaging and Characterization Facility at the Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona. We thank members of the Planetary Materials Research Group at LPL for helpful discussions. P-M. Z. thanks Dr. Kenneth Domanik for his help with microprobe measurements.
REFERENCES CITED


Ti$^{4+}$ in hibonite (CaAl$_{12}$O$_{19}$). American Mineralogist, 99, 1369–1382.


Table 1: DOS parameters for the SCF calculations and optimization of the different species. Space group shown in Hermann-Mauguin notation; Ti symmetry shown in Schoenflies notation. RMT: muffin-tin radius, corresponding to the non-overlapping largest-atomic spheres in a spherically symmetric potential approximation. Ry; 1 Ry ≈ 13.605 eV. Kmesh point = sampling points in the irreducible wedge of the first Brillouin zone of the material. See text for more details. Visualization of the structures is presented in Fig. S2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Wt%</th>
<th>At%</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>pfu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>41.95</td>
<td>32.79</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>10.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>45.06</td>
<td>59.40</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>101.11</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>Sum cations</td>
<td>12.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Composition of the hibonite grain as measured via EPMA before FIB sampling. Composition given in weight percent (Wt%) and atomic percent (at%) and in cation per formula unit (pfu) based on 19 O atoms.
Figure 1: Stack of ELNES spectra of the Ti-bearing oxides. Two sets of data were processed and averaged for each sample. Spectra are ordered according to their Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratio. White line integration windows defined by Stoyanov et al. (2007) are indicated in red (i.e., L₃ and L₂ windows). The boundaries of the single integration window for the edge onset method presented in this work are indicated in blue. See text for discussion of window width and placement. Peak positions are given in Table S2.

Figure 2: ELNES spectra of the synthetic (ALL series) stacked in order of increasing Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratio and natural (NWA 5028) hibonite. Two sets of data were processed and averaged for each sample. White line integration windows defined by Stoyanov et al. (2007) are indicated in red (i.e., L₂ and L₃ windows). The single integration window for the edge onset method presented in this work is indicated in blue. Peak positions are given in Table S3.

Figure 3: The white-line ratio shown as a function of Ti oxidation state. a) Application of the white-line ratio method using the window positions and the background subtraction method detailed in Stoyanov et al. (2007). Both Ti-bearing oxides (blue crosses) and synthetic hibonites (red circles) are plotted. The fitted curve formula is given in the top left corner of the graphic. b) The L₂ edge intensity (after normalization; see text for details) plotted as a function of Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi. Both Ti-bearing oxides (blue crosses) and synthetic hibonites (red circles) are plotted. Large variations are revealed for samples with similar Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi close to 1 (i.e., CaTiO₃, TiO₂, FeTiO₃ and synthetic hibonites).

Figure 4: ELNES from experimental (dashed curves) measurements and DFT calculations (solid curves). a) TELNES (DOS + Broadening) calculation of the previously listed Ti-bearing oxide standards compared to their experimental spectra. b) TELNES (DOS + Broadening) calculation of two hibonite structures with distinct Ti oxidation states (as indicated, %Ti⁴⁺=0.5-1) compared to the synthetic hibonite experimental spectra. All spectra are normalized to their maxima. In the %Ti⁴⁺=1 case, Ti substitutes on the M4 site; in the %Ti⁴⁺=0.5 case, Ti is distributed over the M2 and M4 sites.

Figure 5: The normalized L₃ intensity, single window, as shown in Figs. 1 and 2, as a function of the Ti⁴⁺ concentration. Synthetic hibonite with known Ti⁴⁺/Ti³⁺ falls on the same correlation line. Blue crosses are Ti-bearing oxide standards. Red circles are the synthetic hibonite samples from Beckett et al. (1988). The red line corresponds to the quadratic expression fit to the data (equation shown at top). Dashed black curves represents the error dispersion. This correlation enables determination of the Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratio (0.86±0.01) of the hibonite grain sampled in NWA 5028 (green lines). The green area represents the error on the determination of the oxidation state.

Figure 6. Microprobe data on a CTA CAI from the NWA 5028 CR2 chondrite. a) Backscattered electron (BSE) image of the CTA CAI showing where the hibonite grain was extracted (orange rectangle). The region of interest is located at the edge of a dark (in BSE contrast) rim that surrounds the CTA (e.g., Ramprasad et al., 2018). b) BSE image of the RS2 area (e.g., Ramprasad et al., 2018) showing the hibonite grain (indicated by orange arrow and outlined with a white dotted curve). c) Higher magnification BSE image of the hibonite grain. The grain exhibits sharp boundaries indicated by a dashed white curve. Surrounding phases are identified. The orange rectangle represents the location of the FIB transect.

Figure 7: Aberration-corrected STEM and diffraction data on hibonite extracted from NWA 5028. a) Low magnification HAADF - STEM image showing hibonite grains and adjacent perovskite. Some curtaining appears (relatively dark vertical lines) as a result of ion milling of material with different sputtering rates. Areas from which diffraction and image data were acquired are indicated. b) Higher magnification HAADF – STEM image revealing lattice fringes of the oriented hibonite. c) SAED pattern of hibonite from NWA 5028, viewed down the [1120] zone axis. Measurement of the pattern along the vertical direction reveals an interplanar spacing of 2.2 nm consistent with the c-axis of hibonite.
Figure 8: Quantified element maps acquired by TEM-EDS and presented in cation pfu (160×160 pixels). This dataset was acquired from the region shown in Figure 7a. Only major elements are plotted.

Figure 9: Quantification of the STEM-EDS data for hibonite grain 1 (hib.1 - see Fig.7a). Composition shown per formula unit assuming Al+Ca+Mg+Ti+Si+Fe+V+Cr=13. Each point represents a quantified pixel of the rebinned EDS map (10×10 pixels).

Figure 10: STEM-EELS data on hibonite grain 1. a) A map (40×40 pixels) of the Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratio (hib.1 - see Fig.7a) determined using EELS by the edge-onset method. Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi decreases from the interior (higher in the panel) to the grain boundary at the bottom. b) The chemical shift of the Ti L₂,₃ edge (before double-step function removal) visible between the boundary of the grain (bottom part of the image) and the core.

Figure 11: Experimental and simulated STEM-HAADF images of hibonite. a) Atomic-resolution STEM-HAADF data from hibonite grain boundary (see Figure 7a). b) HAADF image showing the location of atomic-scale measurements (Fig. 12). Atomic columns are visible in this [1120] projection. The map is acquired near the grain boundary. c) High-contrast HAADF image showing the presence of brighter spots on the face sharing M4 sites and weak contrast on the M3 site (white ellipses). d) image simulation (QSTEM®) of a nominal hibonite compared to a model in the same orientation [1120]. The ball-and-stick model shows 1:1 correspondence with the atomic position visible on the experimental HAADF image and in the simulation. Each site is indicated as a color, e.g., Ca (red), O (black) and the Al sites which are listed from M1 to M5. e) Image simulation with aberrations of a nominal hibonite. f) Image simulation of hibonite containing 0.7 pfu of Ti and Mg substituting on M4 site and M3 site respectively. A model in the same orientation is compared. The white ellipses circle the site of Ti substitution (M4) g) Image simulation of the same hibonite (0.7 pfu of Ti/Mg) with aberrations. The white ellipses show the replica of the brighter spot. The space between the blocks are darker than in e) due to the lower Z value as a result of Mg substituting into the M3 site.

Figure 12: Atomic-resolution EELS mapping of the local area shown by the orange box in Fig. 11b in the [1120] orientation. (a) HAADF reference image. (b) Ca L₂,₃ atomic-resolution EELS map. (c) Ti L₂,₃ atomic-resolution EELS map. (d) Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi map. Pixels containing low Ti L₂,₃ intensity were masked to better reveal the relationship with the Ti columns and the Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratio. The map exhibits variation of Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi of 0.4 with an error of ±0.08.

Figure 13: Mg\textsubscript{Excess} in cation per formula unit (Mg/Ti⁴⁺) compared to the Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi ratio determined by our single window approach in a hibonite grain of NWA5028. The superimposed orange arrows in the plot correspond to reaction 4 (vertical arrow) and to the reaction 7 (diagonal arrow). The vertical only impacts the Mg concentration, whereas the diagonal line corresponds to Ti³⁺ replacing Ti⁴⁺ and increasing the isolated Mg defect. The horizontal dashed line corresponds to Mg=Ti or an ideal 1:1 correlation between Mg²⁺ and Ti⁴⁺. Error bars are plotted according to the EDS quantification accuracy and the error discussed in section 4.2.

Figure 14: Schematic of the formation and evolution of the hibonite grain selected near the rim of the CTA CAI. Two pathways 1 and 2 are considered, with each consisting of formation and equilibration stages 1 and 2, respectively in the solar protoplanetary disk. See the text for discussion. Ultimately, the grain did not reach equilibrium and contains an element and Ti-oxidation-state gradient. The direction of the Ti⁴⁺/ΣTi gradient is different from the direction of the elemental gradient (orange arrows vs white/blue or white/green arrows in the bottom row).
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The figure shows a scatter plot with the y-axis labeled $Mg_{\text{Excess}}$ and the x-axis labeled $Ti^{4+}/\Sigma Ti$. The data points are represented by blue circles, with two arrows indicating the direction of change from lower to higher values. The arrows are labeled with numbers: (7) and (4).
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1. Compositional heterogeneities arise from initial condensation
   a) Solid-gas interaction
   b) Ti incorporation
   (2) $Ti(g) + Al_{2}O_{3} = TiO_{2} + Al(g)$
   c) Mg incorporation
   d) Possible redistribution of Ti and Mg and formation of new oxygen vacancies
   
Stage 2

CTA phase

2. Compositional heterogeneities are inherited from a nebular transient: thermal event
   a) Solid-gas interaction
   b) Direct condensation
   c) Homogeneous distribution
   (3) $Ti(g) + Mg(g) + 2Al_{2}O_{3} = TiO_{2} + MgO + 2Al(g)$
   d) Redistribution of Ti and Mg and O vacancies formation

Legend:
- $Ti(g)$: Gaseous Ti
- $Al_{2}O_{3}$: Alumina
- $TiO_{2}$: Titanium dioxide
- $MgO$: Magnesium oxide
- $Al(g)$: Gaseous Al
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