1	Revision 1
2	Effects of the dissolution of thermal barrier coating materials on the viscosity of
3	remelted volcanic ash
4	
5	Dirk Müller, Kai-Uwe Hess, Ulrich Kueppers, Donald B. Dingwell
6	Department for Earth and Environmental Sciences, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität
7	München, Theresienstrasse 41, 80333 Munich, Germany
8	
9	Abstract
10	The chemical interaction between remelted volcanic ash and ceramic coatings of yttria-
11	stabilized zirconia (YSZ) and / or gadolinium zirconate (GZO) is of special importance for the
12	design of volcanic ash melt-resistant thermal barrier coatings (TBCs) for aviation turbine
13	technologies. The spreading and infiltration potential of the melts is strongly influenced by
14	the melt viscosity. Thus the interpretation of infiltration experiments and modeling of
15	infiltration processes both rely on accurate viscosity data. Melt viscosity may be significantly
16	altered by the dissolution of the YSZ or GZO thermal barrier coatings during the infiltration
17	process. Here, we have determined the influence of YSZ and GZO additions to the viscosity
18	of a series of volcanic ash melts using high temperature concentric cylinder viscometry. All
19	samples have been characterized fully after viscometry. At 6.5 wt% of YSZ or GZO, both
20	dopants lead to a reduction of viscosity in a temperature range between 1297-1640 °C in air.
21	The magnitude of the decrease in viscosity depends weakly on volcanic ash melt composition.
22	The viscosity effect has been parameterized in the following form:

$$\Delta \eta = x \cdot m_{dopant}$$

23	whereby x is a melt-composition specific coefficient of viscosity decrease, and m_{dopant}
24	represents the added amount of YSZ / GZO (wt%). This viscosity reduction should contribute
25	to an acceleration of the physical infiltration of TBCs via remelted volcanic ash.
26	
27	Keywords: gas-turbine, aviation, rare earth oxides, yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ),
28	gadolinium zirconate (GZO)

- 29
- 30

Introduction

31 Yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ) is a typical material used for thermal barrier coatings on 32 turbine blades in aviation engines. Gadolinium zirconate (GZO) has been proposed as a 33 potential replacement for YSZ in thermal barrier coatings in order to improve resistance to environmental dust. The lifetime of thermal barrier coatings (TBCs) is mainly limited by 34 35 mechanical stresses, induced by thermal cycling, that lead to mechanical degradation and eventual exposure of the underlying alloy. Exposure of such TBCs to environmental debris in 36 37 the atmosphere such as dust, sand or volcanic ash, initiates a further degradation of TBCs 38 which is also chemical in nature as the ingested environmental debris may "remelt" (via 39 melting of minerals or softening of a pre-existing glass phase) leading to chemical reaction 40 with and partial dissolution of the TBC ceramics. Even in the absence of catastrophic failure of turbine engines due to TBC degradation, chronic exposure will lead to a substantial 41 decrease in the lifetime of the TBCs and thereby increase operating costs substantially. YSZ 42 43 (containing 6-8 wt% Y₂O₃) is state-of-the-art TBC material commonly used in the aviation 44 industry (Clarke et al., 2012). It has however been demonstrated to possess a poor chemical resistance to attack by silicate melts at high temperatures (Zhao et al., 2014). Alternative TBC 45 materials with higher chemical resistance to silicate melts are thus being investigated (Clarke 46

& Phillpot, 2005, Vaßen et al., 2010). Recently, gadolinium zirconate (GZO) has been shown
to exhibit particularly improved performance in the presence of silicate melts (Krämer et al.,
2008, Drexler et al., 2012).

50 The area as well as the infiltration depth and speed of silicate melts into TBCs is inferred to

depend strongly on the viscosity of the melt (Jackson et al., 2015, Song et al., 2017) together

with the TBC structure (Kabir et al., 2019) and chemical reactivity (Krämer et al., 2008,

53 Drexler et al., 2012). Low viscosity melts are inferred to cover larger areas and infiltrate more

54 easily into the open pore space of modern TBCs, leading to enhanced chemical reaction

55 between the melt and the TBC and heightened risk of mechanical degradation during

56 operative thermal cycling. The viscosity of silicate melts is strongly influenced by their

57 chemical composition (Giordano et al., 2008). An enhanced concentration of network-formers

58 (e.g. SiO₂) generally leads to more viscous melts, whereas network-modifiers (e.g., excess

59 alkalis) generally act to decrease the viscosity.

60 It has been reported that the addition of Gd_2O_3 and / or Y_2O_3 to silicate melts will decrease the

viscosity (Wang et al., 2012), while smaller amounts of up to 5 mol% ZrO₂ will increase

62 viscosity (Barbieri et al., 2003, Karell et al., 2008). Recent viscosity models for

multicomponent melts (Fluegel, 2007 and Giordano et al., 2008) do not incorporate Zr, Y or

64 Gd and thus their influences on viscosity cannot yet be predicted for multicomponent melts.

As a result, any model-based predictions represent a simplified estimate at best and an

66 inaccurate one at worst in the resulting viscosity (Poerschke et al., 2016).

67 Here, we provide a direct experimental investigation of the effects of the addition of YSZ and

68 GZO to five natural volcanic ash melts in an effort to alleviate this problem and to provide a

69 parameterization suitable for modelling applications in volcanic ash melt TBC infiltration

70 studies.

72

Materials & Methods

73 Materials Synthesis

74 Volcanic eruptions generate a wide range of volcanic ash whose bulk chemical compositions vary in silica content (40-75 wt%), alkali/aluminum ratio, iron content and oxidation state. 75 and volatile contents. In an initial effort to span this wide range of compositions, we have 76 77 chosen five ash samples of significantly different compositions: Krafla, Iceland (basalt) (Kr-L1); Tungurahua, Ecuador (andesite) (14TUN05); Cordon Caulle, Chile (rhyolite) (CoCa-1); 78 79 Laacher See, Germany (phonolite) (LSB); Astroni, Italy (tephri-phonolite) (Astro-1). The Astroni and Tungurahua samples were naturally present in the form of volcanic ash (grain 80 81 size < 2mm), whereas the other samples were composed of lapilli (2-64 mm). The lapilli 82 samples were ground to an ash grain size using a tungsten carbide (WC) disk mill. The volcanic ash samples were each doped by adding 6.5 wt% of yttria-stabilized zirconia 83 84 (YSZ) powder (Y₂O₃/ZrO₂ 8/92, 20-45 µm, GTV Verschleißschutz GmbH, Germany) or 85 gadolinium zirconate (GZO) powder (Gd₂O₃/ZrO₂ 60/40, 45-125 µm, Industriekeramik Hochrhein, Germany). Depending on the volcanic ash composition, the converted molar 86 percent are 3.39-3.53 mol% YSZ and 1.70-1.77 mol% GZO (Supplementary material 1). 87 Homogenization of the powder mixtures was performed by milling them in a WC disk mill. 88

89 Viscometry

Viscosity measurements were conducted at the Department for Earth and Environmental
Sciences, LMU Munich. Powder mixes were melted into thin-walled Pt crucibles in air at
1500 °C for several hours in MoSi₂ resistance furnaces, then quenched by removal from the
furnace to form glasses. Those glasses were extracted from the crucibles by pouring combined
with drilling and/or percussion, depending on melt viscosity. The glasses were reloaded and
remelted into viscometry measurement crucibles and then transferred to a viscometry furnace.

96 Pt₈₀Rh₂₀ spindles of standard dimensions were attached to a Brookfield viscometer head and then immersed in the samples and stirring commenced (Dingwell, 1986, Dingwell and Virgo, 97 1988). Measurement protocols started with the highest temperature measurements first and 98 then a stepwise reduction of temperature (25 °C steps) until sample crystallization occurred or 99 100 instrument limits were reached. At the end of each stepwise temperature decrease series the 101 initial temperature and state was reoccupied to check for instrument drift or sample volatilization. The entire apparatus and set-up has been calibrated against standard glass 102 103 DGG-1 (HVG-DGG). At the end of the reoccupation of the highest temperature data point the 104 sample was removed from the furnace and allowed to cool to room temperature in air in the crucible. The sample was then subsequently drilled out of the crucible using diamond coring 105 106 drills whose outer diameter was slightly less than the inner diameter of the crucible. These cored samples were then subjected to chemical and mineralogical analyses. 107

108 Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA)

109 The chemical compositions of the glass samples as well as crystals therein were determined

using a Cameca SX-100 electron microprobe at the Department for Earth and Environmental

111 Sciences, LMU Munich. In order to meet the requirements of the beam sensitive glass the

112 following conditions were applied for glasses: 15 kV accelerating voltage, 5 nA sample

113 current, and a 10 µm defocused beam. Crystalline phases were measured with a focused beam

at 15 kV and 20 nA. Calibration was performed using the following silicate and oxide

standards: albite (Na, Si), orthoclase (K, Al), hematite (Fe), periclase (Mg), wollastonite (Ca),

116 ilmenite (Ti), bustamite (Mn), Cr₂O₃ (Cr), apatite (P), anhydrite (S), vanadinite (F), yttrium-

117 iron garnet (YIG) (Y), gadolinium-iron garnet (GdIG) (Gd), zirconia (Zr), hafnium (Hf). Only

results with totals between 98.5 - 101.5 wt% were accepted for evaluation.

119 X-ray fluorescence (XRF)

120	The original ash samples were ground for 5 minutes in a zirconia ball mill to obtain a fine-
121	grained powder. For XRF analyses, the samples were analyzed at the Institute of Geosciences,
122	Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany. Major elements were analyzed by
123	measuring fused glass beads (0.4 g sample, 5.2 g $Li_2B_4O_7$ flux) with a Philips Analytical
124	MagiX PRO.
125	Loss-on-ignition (LOI) was determined by weighing the sample before and after heat
126	treatment for 2 h at 980 °C.
127	
128	Results
129	Chemical composition
130	The chemical compositions of the undoped samples were measured by EPMA and XRF (Fig.
131	1, Supplementary material 1). In order to crosscheck both analytical methods the results were
132	normalized to 100 % for comparison (excluding the LOI of XRF analyses). Those results are
133	in very good agreement (Supplementary material 1). Based on the TAS nomenclature (Le
134	Maitre et al., 2002) the samples can be assigned to basaltic (Krafla), andesitic (Tungurahua),
135	rhyolitic (Cordon Caulle), phonolitic (Laacher See) and tephri-phonolitic (Astroni)
136	compositions.
137	All GZO doped samples show homogeneous glasses, as proved by back-scattered electron
138	images and EPMA analyses (Supplementary material 1). Regarding the YSZ doped samples
139	only the Krafla and Tungurahua samples are entirely homogeneous, whereas very minor
140	amounts of Y-lean ZrO ₂ spheres (rounded 50 micron particles) were found at the bottom of
141	the YSZ-doped Cordon Caulle, Laacher See and Astroni samples (Supplementary material 1).
142	Based on this study it is not possible to say whether these particles are undissolved, Y-leached
143	starting material (Xia et al., 2019) or are precipitates from the melt (Krämer et al., 2006) that
	6

gravitationally settled at the bottom of the crucibles. The amounts of these crystals are
insufficient to substantially influence the viscosity determinations or the bulk chemistry of the
melts during measurements.

147

148 Viscometry

149 The viscosities of the undoped, low-alkali samples (Krafla, Tungurahua, Cordon Caulle) 150 positively correlate with their SiO₂ content, while the alkali-rich, phonolitic samples (Laacher 151 See, Astroni) show viscosities between the andesitic Tungurahua and the rhyolitic Cordon 152 Caulle sample (Fig. 2, Supplementary material 2). It will be apparent at a first inspection that 153 the addition of YSZ or GZO leads to a reduction of viscosity for all volcanic ash samples. To 154 a first order, the magnitudes of viscosity reduction are also similar. In more detail, for the Krafla sample there is no observable difference between the viscosity reduction resulting from 155 156 the additions of YSZ vs. GZO. The viscosity determinations for doped Krafla are also the 157 most extensively documented as there was no evidence for oxidation or crystallization during 158 the stepwise cooling. This is presumably due to a relatively high solubility of the YSZ and 159 GZO dopants in this, the only low silica basaltic composition investigated in this study. For 160 the Tungurahua and the Laacher See sample, the YSZ doping leads to a slightly stronger 161 reduction of viscosity in comparison to the GZO dopant and vice versa for the Cordon Caulle and Astroni samples. 162

Amongst the YSZ-doped samples, only the YSZ Krafla sample viscosity was measured over the whole temperature range. For the Tungurahua, Cordon Caulle, Laacher See and Astroni samples, measurements were interrupted at temperatures where instabilities in the viscometry were observed which we tentatively assign to incipient high temperature crystallization. Degraded quality of viscometry signals led to their exclusion from further analysis.

169	Discussion
170	The overall reduction of viscosity in consequence of YSZ or GZO doping indicates that the
171	influence of the network-modifying agents Y2O3 and Gd2O3 dominates over any potential
172	network-forming capability of ZrO_2 in these melts. Based on the molar difference between the
173	YSZ and GZO dopant concentration (~3.5 mol% YSZ versus ~1.7 mol% GZO), that lead to
174	comparable viscosity reductions, it can be concluded that gadolinium has a stronger network-
175	modifying impact on silicate glasses than yttrium, consistent with the observations of Wang et
176	al. (2012). Since the variation of the molar fractions for YSZ and GZO within the different
177	volcanic ashes is very little (3.39-3.53 mol% YSZ and 1.70-1.77 mol% GZO), these
178	differences are regarded as negligible for the interpretation of the data. The dependency of
179	viscosity (η) reduction on the dopant amount is visualized in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. Assuming a
180	linear relationship in first order, the following simplified parametrization can be done based
181	on viscosity values determined at 1590.6 °C:
182	$\Delta \eta = x \cdot m_{dopant} \tag{1}$
183	whereby x is a melt-composition specific coefficient of viscosity decrease (Tab. 1) and m_{dopant}
184	represents the added amount of YSZ / GZO (wt%). For parametrization, the theoretical

composition of 6.5 wt.% dopant concentration was used instead of taking the measured glass

186 composition after viscometry, as the latter ones might be influenced by high temperature

which viscosity data was measured for all samples (Supplementary material 2).

187 crystallization. The temperature of 1590.6 °C was chosen since it is the only temperature at

189

188

190

Implications

191	The high temperature viscosity data of five volcanic ash samples of basaltic, andesitic,
192	rhyolitic and phonolitic compositions, each doped with 6.5 wt% YSZ or GZO, reveal a
193	reduction of viscosity for all samples compared to their natural counterparts. With respect to
194	thermal barrier coatings it can be concluded, that once the dissolution of the YSZ or GZO
195	coating material in contact with a silicate melt starts, the viscosity will decrease, enabling an
196	enhanced spreading on the surface and / or infiltration in the coating. A simple
197	parameterization of the effects of YSZ and GZO on the viscosity of melts of volcanic ash
198	samples can be expressed as a linear relationship. This parameterization should be employed
199	in any future modelling of the dynamics of silicate (CMAS or natural ash) melt interaction
200	with TBCs.
201	
202	Acknowledgment
202	Acknowledgment
203	We thank Hilger W. Lohringer for EPMA sample preparation. Gerhard Wolf is acknowledged
204	to make the YSZ and GZO powders available for us and Álvaro Amigo Ramos for collecting
205	the Cordon Caulle sample. DM acknowledges funding by the German Federal Ministry for
206	Economic Affairs and Energy (VAsCo, IGF No. 189 EN/2) and the support by the
207	LMUMentoring program as part of the German University Excellence Initiative. DBD
208	acknowledges the support of ERC Advanced Grant ADG-2018- 834225 (EAVESDROP).
209	
210	
211	References
212	Barbieri, L., Cannillo, V., Leonelli, C., Montorsi, M., Mustarelli, P., and Siligardi, C. (2003)
213	Experimental and MD Simulations Study of CaO-ZrO2-SiO2 Glasses, Journal of
214	Physical Chemistry B, 6519-6525.

- Clarke, D.R., and Phillpot, S.R. (2005) Thermal barrier coating materials, Materials Today, 8,
- 216 22-29.

217	Clarke, D.R., Oechsner, M., Padture, N.P., and Guest Editors (2012) Thermal-barrier coatings
218	for more efficient gas-turbine engines, MRS Bulletin, 37, 891-898.

- 219 Craig, M., Ndamka, N.L., Wellman, R.G., and Nicholls, J.R. (2015) CMAS degradation of
- EB-PVD TBCs: The effect of basicity, Surface & Coatings Technology, 270, 145-153.
- Dingwell, D.B. (1986) Viscosity-temperature relationships in the system Na₂Si₂O₅-Na₂Al₄O₅,
 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 50, 1261-1265.
- 223 Dingwell, D.B., and Virgo, D. (1988) Viscosities of melts in the Na2O-FeO-Fe2O3-SiO2
- system and factors controlling relative viscosities of fully polymerized silicate melts,
- Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 52, 395-403.
- 226 Drexler, J.M., Chen, C.-H., Gledhill, A.D., Shinoda, K., Sampath, S., and Padture, N.P.
- 227 (2012) Plasma sprayed gadolinium zirconate thermal barrier coatings that are resistant
- to damage by molten Ca-Mg-Al-silicate glass, Surface & Coatings Technology, 206,
- **3911-3916**.
- Fluegel, A. (2007) Glass viscosity calculation based on a global statistical modelling
- approach, Glass Technology: European Journal of Glass Science and Technology, Part
 A, 48, 13-30.
- Giordano, D., Russell, J.K., and Dingwell, D.B. (2008) Viscosity of magmatic liquids: A
 model, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 271, 123-134.
- HVG-DGG (Hüttentechnische Vereinigung der Deutschen Glasindustrie e. V. Deutsche
 Glastechnische Gesellschaft e.V.), http://www.hvg-

237	dgg.de/fileadmin/dateien/verein/Standardglas_Ia.pdf; http://www.hvg-
238	dgg.de/fileadmin/dateien/verein/Standardglas_Ib.pdf
239	Jackson, R.W., Zaleski, E.M., Poerschke, D.L., Hazel, B.T., Begley, M.R., and Levi, C.G.
240	(2015) Interaction of molten silicates with thermal barrier coatings under temperature
241	gradients, Acta Materialia, 89, 396-407.
242	Kabir, M.R., Sirigiri, A.K., Naraparaju, R., and Schulz, U. (2019) Flow Kinetics of Molten
243	Silicates through Thermal Barrier Coating: A numerical Study, Coatings, 9, 332.
244	Karell, R., Chromcikova, M., and Liska, M. (2008) Structure and properties of selected
245	zirconia silicate glasses, Advanced Materials Research, 39-40, 173-176.
246	Krämer, S., Yang, J., and Levi, C.G. (2006) Thermochemical Interaction of Thermal Barrier
247	Coatings with Molten CaO-MgO-Al2O3-SiO2 (CMAS) Deposits, Journal of the
248	American Ceramic Society, 89, 3167-3175.
249	Krämer, S., Yang, J., Levi, C.G., and Johnson, C.A. (2008) Infiltration-Inhibiting Reaction of
250	Gadolinium Zirconate Thermal Barrier Coatings with CMAS Melts, Journal of the
251	American Ceramic Society, 91, 576-583.
252	Le Maitre, R. W., Streckeisen, A., Zanettin, B., Le Bas, M. J., Bonin, B., and Bateman, P.
253	(Eds.) (2002) Igneous Rocks: A Classification and Glossary of Terms,
254	Recommendations of the International Union of Geological Sciences Subcommission
255	30 on the Systematics of Igneous Rocks, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
256	United Kingdom.
257	Poerschke, D.L., Barth, T.L., and Levi, C.G. (2016) Equilibrium relationships between
258	thermal barrier oxides and silicate melts, Acta Materialia, 120, 302-314.

259	Rose, W.I., and Durant, A.J. (2009) Fine ash content of explosive eruptions, Journal of
260	Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 186, 32-39.
261	Song, W., Lavallée, Y., Wadsworth, F.B., Hess, KU., and Dingwell, D.B. (2017) Wetting

- 262and Spreading of Molten Volcanic Ash in Jet Engines, The Journal of Physical
- **263** Chemistry Letters, 8,1878-1884.
- Vaßen, R., Jarligo, M.O., Steinke, T., Mack, D.E., and Stöver, D. (2010) Overview on
- advanced thermal barrier coatings, Surface & Coatings Technology, 205, 938-942.
- 266 Wang, M., Cheng, J., Li, M., He, F., and Deng, W. (2012) Viscosity and thermal expansion of
- soda-lime-silica glass doped with Gd₂O₃ and Y₂O₃, Solid State Sciences, 14, 1233-
- 268 1237.
- 269 Xia, J., Yang, L., Wu, R.T., Zhou, Y.C., Zhang, L., Huo, K.L., and Gan, M. (2019)
- 270 Degradation mechanisms of air plasma sprayed free-standing yttria-stabilized zirconia
- thermal barrier coatings exposed to volcanic ash, Applied Surface Science, 481, 860-
- 272 871.
- 273 Zhao, H., Levi, C.G., and Wadley, H.N.G. (2014) Molten silicate interactions with thermal
- barrier coatings, Surface & Coatings Technology, 251, 74-86.

276	List of figure captions				
277	Fig. 1: Visualization of the chemical compositions of the used volcanic ashes, plotted in the				
278	total alkali versus silica (TAS) diagram after Le Maitre et al. (2002).				
279	Fig. 2: Results of the viscosity measurements for the undoped as well as the YSZ and GZO				
280	doped volcanic ash samples. The error for viscosity is within the symbol size.				
281	Fig. 3: Change in viscosity ($\Delta\eta$) in dependence of YSZ dopant amount at 1590.6 °C (left –				
282	wt.%; right – mol%).				
283	Fig. 4: Change in viscosity ($\Delta\eta$) in dependence of GZO dopant amount at 1590.6 °C (left –				
284	wt.%; right – mol%).				

285

- 287 Tab. 1: Melt composition dependent gradient (x) for calculation of viscosity decrease ($\Delta\eta$) through YSZ / GZO doping of
- silicate melts (for wt.% and mol% values).

	YSZ	GZO	YSZ	GZO
	(wt.%)	(wt.%)	(mol%)	(mol%)
Krafla	-0.0160	-0.0156	-0.0294	-0.0574
Tungurahua	-0.0321	-0.0249	-0.0609	-0.0941
Cordon Caulle	-0.0358	-0.0438	-0.0686	-0.1676
Laacher See	-0.0244	-0.0173	-0.0451	-0.0639
Astroni	-0.0275	-0.0385	-0.0507	-0.1414

289



Figure 1: Visualization of the chemical compositions of the used volcanic ashes, plotted in the total alkali versus silica (TAS)

diagram after Le Maitre et al. (2002).

294

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2020-7334



Figure 2: Results of the viscosity measurements for the undoped as well as the YSZ and GZO doped volcanic ash samples.







300 Figure 3: Change in viscosity ($\Delta\eta$) in dependence of YSZ dopant amount at 1590.6 °C (left – wt.%; right – mol%).



301

302 Figure 4: Change in viscosity ($\Delta\eta$) in dependence of GZO dopant amount at 1590.6 °C (left – wt.%; right – mol%).