

1                   **Highlights & Breakthroughs contribution for American Mineralogist on**

2           **“Liquid properties in the Fe-FeS system under moderate pressure: tool box to model small**  
3   **planetary cores” by Guillaume Morard et al.**

4  
5   Anne Pommier, UCSD-SIO-IGPP, La Jolla, CA 92093

6  
7  
8   The interpretation of seismic and magnetic field observations used to probe the deep interior  
9   of terrestrial bodies such as the Earth or the Moon requires understanding the physical and  
10  chemical properties of metallic cores. This necessary knowledge comes essentially from high-  
11  pressure experimental studies and *ab initio* calculations on core analogues in the solid and the  
12  liquid states as well as from thermal modeling of the deep interior. In particular, density, when  
13  combined with seismic velocities of iron alloys as presented by Morard and coworkers, can be  
14  used to place constraints on core chemistry, elastic constants, as well as on the size of the core  
15  and thus, allows probing core structure and dynamics.

16  Morard and coworkers conducted density experiments on liquid samples in the Fe-FeS system.  
17  The exact chemistry of terrestrial cores is not presently known and the amount and nature of  
18  elements lighter than iron present in the core is debated and varies for each body. However,  
19  the Fe-FeS system is considered to be a relevant core analogue of terrestrial bodies, due to the  
20  presence of sulfur in iron meteorites, as evidenced by meteorite geochemistry (e.g., Dreibus  
21  and Wänke, 1985) and siderophile behavior of sulfur over a wide pressure range, as  
22  demonstrated by solubility experiments (e.g., Tsuno et al., 2011). The physical properties of Fe-

23 S alloys at conditions relevant to planetary cores are still largely unconstrained, especially in the  
24 liquid state where *in situ* measurements are technically challenging. As pointed out by Morard  
25 and coworkers, the available dataset of liquid Fe-S density is meager under pressure and more  
26 concerning, previous density studies in the Fe-FeS system lack consensus, with differences in  
27 density being as high as  $>500 \text{ kg/m}^3$  for identical compositions at similar pressure and  
28 temperature conditions. Temperature differences, pressure calibration, and sample  
29 contamination could be some of the many factors that can bias experimental data.  
30 As a result, the current experimental density dataset prevents from understanding the  
31 chemistry-dependence of the density of Fe-S liquids. To tackle this issue, Morard and coworkers  
32 conducted a systematic study of the effect of sulfur content on the density of Fe-S liquids. The  
33 authors investigated the density and structure of Fe-S liquids containing between 9.9 and 50  
34 at.% S using experiments under pressure (up to 5.2 GPa) and high temperature (1600-1980 K)  
35 as well as *ab initio* calculations at comparable conditions. Based on their experimental  
36 measurements and theoretical calculations, equations of state (EoS) were developed in order to  
37 model density and sound velocity as a function of depth, temperature, and sulfur content.  
38 What Morard and coworkers provided through their work is not just a new set of density data  
39 of iron alloys, but a coherent density-sound velocity model for small terrestrial cores as a  
40 function of pressure, temperature, and sulfur content. This model can be used to predict the  
41 structure of small planetary cores as well as interpret seismic data, and therefore has significant  
42 implications for our understanding of the present-day state of metallic cores in small terrestrial  
43 bodies and planetary cooling history.

44 The new density-sound velocity model presents a significant advancement in understanding the  
45 present-day composition of small terrestrial cores in the liquid state and should also motivate  
46 new experimental and numerical work, as many related questions require further research: for  
47 instance, what are the density and sound velocity of a partially solid core? The proposed model  
48 is for liquid alloys, which is necessary to understand fully liquid cores as it possibly occurred  
49 shortly after the differentiation of a telluric body. However, several terrestrial bodies are  
50 thought to currently have a non-negligible solid fraction, which likely affects both density and  
51 sound velocity. To add complexity, it should be noticed that for a given bulk density value, there  
52 is no unique solution regarding core composition and state, as different combinations of sulfur  
53 (or any other light element) content and degree of crystallization (i.e., solid fraction) can  
54 provide similar bulk density values. It is possible to use the models by Morard et al. to compute  
55 the density of partial melts, using the EOS and sound velocity for solid iron from previous  
56 studies (Tsuji et al., 2013; Antonangeli et al., 2015), though new experiments at high pressure  
57 (>5 GPa) and for other compositions than Fe-S as well as numerical modeling of the physical  
58 properties are needed to model core crystallization of terrestrial bodies other than the Moon.  
59 Also, what is the effect of core chemistry on the generation and sustainability of an intrinsic  
60 magnetic field? In the case of the Moon, magnetic observations have suggested that a core  
61 dynamo operated for more than 500 million years (Weiss and Tikoo, 2014) and this magnetic  
62 activity was possibly linked to the thermal evolution and crystallization regime of a metallic  
63 core (e.g., Laneuville et al., 2014; Scheinberg et al., 2015). The cause for the cessation of the  
64 dynamo remains debated partly because of the lack of constraints on the core composition and  
65 crystallization regime. Assuming an Fe-S core composition and a core-mantle boundary

66 temperature ( $T_{CMB}$ ) from previous studies (Laneuville et al., 2014), Morard and coworkers'  
67 model suggests that the amount of sulfur in a fully liquid Lunar core is more than twice the one  
68 estimated as part of previous elastic data. For a core radius of about 330 km (e.g., Weber et al.,  
69 2011), the amount of S present in a fully liquid core would be about 16 wt.%. These estimates  
70 strongly depend on  $T_{CMB}$ , as lowering the  $T_{CMB}$  value requires an increase in the sulfur content in  
71 the core in order to match the mass constraint. An enrichment in sulfur would result in a  
72 decrease in the liquidus temperature (i.e., the temperature at which the first iron crystals  
73 form), affecting the timing of core crystallization and thus, the magnetic field. Interestingly, if  
74 the Fe-FeS liquidus curve and the temperature-depth curve of the core (adiabat) intersect at  
75 the top of the core (e.g., Breuer et al., 2015), the Lunar core would undergo top-down  
76 crystallization (so called "iron snow" regime) rather than bottom-up crystallization (inner core  
77 formation). The "iron snow" regime has been found to be a possible explanation to the dynamo  
78 cessation early in the history of Mars (Davies and Pommier, 2018) and it seems that it might  
79 also occur in the Moon.

80 By applying their results to the Moon, Morard et al. add a new level of detail to the picture of  
81 the Lunar core that has not come into focus yet. While the exact chemistry of the Lunar core is  
82 still unconstrained, it is reasonable to assume that the seismic data reflect the present-day  
83 composition of the core, its thermal state, and provide information about its cooling history.  
84 The exploration of core crystallization and magnetic activity may require the joint-investigation  
85 of multiple physical properties, such as density, thermal and electrical conductivity. New  
86 experimental and multi-disciplinary approaches may well pave the way for relating field  
87 observations from past and future spacecraft missions to the evolution of planetary interiors.

88

89

90 **References**

91 Antonangeli, D, Morard, G, Schmerr, NC, Komabayashi, T, Krisch, M, Fiquet, G, Fei, Y, 2015.

92       Toward a mineral physics reference model for the Moon's core. PNAS 112(13), 3916-3919.

93 Breuer, D., Rückriemen, T., Spohn, T., 2015. Iron snow, crystal floats, and inner-core growth:

94       modes of core solidification and implications for dynamos in terrestrial planets and moons.

95       Prog. Earth Planet. Sci. 2, 39.

96 Davies, C.J., Pommier, A., 2018. Iron snow in the Martian core? Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 481, 189–

97       200.

98 Dreibus, G., Wänke, H., 1985. A volatile-rich planet. Meteoritics 20, 367–382.

99 Laneuville, M., Wieczorek, M.A., Breuer, D., Aubert, J., Morard, G., Rückriemen, T., 2014. A

100       long-lived lunar dynamo powered by core crystallization. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 401, 251–

101       260.

102 Scheinberg, A., Soderlund, K.M., Schubert, G., 2015. Magnetic field generation in the lunar core:

103       the role of inner core growth. Icarus 254, 62–71.

104 Tsujino, N, Nishihara, Y, Nakajima, Y, Takahashi, E, Funakoshi, K-i, Higo, Y, 2013. Equation of

105       state of  $\gamma$ -Fe: Reference density for planetary cores. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 375, 244–253.

106 Tsuno, K., Frost, D.J., Rubie, D.C., 2011. The effects of nickel and sulphur on the core— mantle

107       partitioning of oxygen in Earth and Mars. Phys. Earth Planet. Int. 185, 1–12.

108 Weber, C.R., Lin, P-Y., Garnero, E.J., Williams, Q., Lognonné, P., 2011. Seismic detection of the

109       Lunar core. Science 331, 309-312.

110 Weiss, B.P., Tikoo, S.M., 2014. The lunar dynamo. *Science* 346, 1246753.