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ABSTRACT
A model for the origin, ascent and eruption of the lunar A17 orange glass magma has been constructed using petrological constraints from gas solubility experiments and from analyses of the lunar sample 74220 to better determine the nature and origin of this unique explosive eruption. Three Stages of the eruption have been identified. Stage 1 of the eruption model extends from ~550 km, the A17 orange glass magma source region based on phase equilibria studies, to 50 km depth in the Moon. Stage 2 extends from ~50 km to 500 m, where a C-O-H-S gas phase formed and grew in volume based on melt inclusion analyses and measurements. The volume of the gas phase at 500 m depth below the surface is calculated to be 7 to 15 vol% of the magma (closed-system) using the minimum and maximum estimates of CO, H2O and S loss from the melt. In Stage 3, depths shallower than ~450 m, the rising magma exsolved an additional 800-900 ppm H2O and 300 ppm S, increasing the moles in the gas by a factor of 3 to 4. The closed-system gas phase is calculated to reach ~70% at ~130 m depth, enough to fragment the magma and form pyroclastic beads. However, fragmentation (bead formation) is interpreted to have occurred at depths ranging from 600 to 300 m below the lunar
surface based on the pressure necessary to explain the C content of the orange glass beads. The gas volume (70%) required to fragment the ascending magma at this depth is a factor of ~5 greater than the volume determined for closed-system degassing of an orange glass magma at 500 m, strongly implying that the gas was produced by open-system degassing as the magma ascended from greater depths.

Formation of the dike carrying the magma up from the ~550 km deep source is considered to occur by a crack propagation mechanism (Wilson and Head 2003; 2017). The rapid dike-propagation process facilitates gas collection by open-system degassing in the upper part of the dike. This is necessary to achieve the gas volumes required for magma fragmentation at 600 m depths, and the magma-ascent velocities to explain the wide areal distribution of the bead deposit. The explosive nature of the picritic orange glass eruption, and the homogeneity of the bead compositions, are consistent with this gas-assisted eruption scenario, as is the evidence of a Fe-metal forming reduction event during Stage 2 followed by a Stage 3 oxidation event in the ascending magma.

**INTRODUCTION**

Primitive composition (picritic) glasses were erupted on the Moon as the product of volcanic fire-fountain eruptions (Heiken et al. 1974) that occurred during the main period of mare basalt volcanism (3.3-3.7 Ga; Hiesinger et al. 2011). The picritic glass magmas, which range in composition from low-Ti (< 2 wt% TiO₂) green glass to intermediate-Ti (3-7 wt% TiO₂) yellow glass to high-Ti orange, red and black glasses (8-16 wt% TiO₂), erupted primarily as phenocryst-free melts (Delano 1986). At the time of eruption, only the Apollo 17 orange glass beads contained phenocrystals, <1 vol% of
Fo$_{81}$ olivine in the form of <800 um crystals (Heiken et al. 1974; McKay et al. 1978; Weitz et al. 1999). Picritic glass occurrences are widespread on the lunar near side, with glasses identified at all of the Apollo lunar landing sites (Delano 1986). Many of the deposits are correlated with Dark Mantle deposits on the lunar surface (Head 1976; Gaddis et al. 1985; Weitz et al. 1998); almost all are in mare-filled basins or on their margin (Gaddis et al. 2003).

The origin of the picritic lunar glass magmas is generally accepted as being due to partial melting of different zones in the upper lunar mantle that were formed by crystallization and cumulate overturn in a Lunar Magma Ocean (Delano 1986; Hess and Parmentier 1995; Shearer et al. 2006). Assuming that the major phases left in the source region were olivine and low-Ca pyroxene, experimental studies indicate that the picritic magmas formed at depths of 300-500 km in the Moon by relatively low degrees of partial melting (Green et al. 1975; Walker et al. 1975; Delano, 1980; Elkin-Tanton and Grove 2003; Krawczynski and Grove 2012; Brown and Grove 2015). The cumulate overturn best explains the relatively high Mg# of the picritic magmas and the ilmenite accumulation that is required in the high-Ti magma source regions (~500 km depths) to produce partial melts with 8-16 wt % TiO$_2$. Without overturn, crystal fractionation of the lunar magma ocean would have produced a relatively evolved (low Mg/Fe) olivine + pyroxene upper mantle, and ilmenite would only have appeared in the final stages of LMO crystallization just below the plagioclase-rich crust (Snyder et al. 1992; Elardo et al. 2011; Elkins-Tanton et al. 2011). The cumulate overturn also helps to explain the partial melting in the upper mantle because melting is enhanced by mixing of hot, low-density Mg-rich cumulates from depth and ilmenite-rich cumulate from above. Several
significant questions remain, however; and here we attempt to address three of them. (1) Now that we know there were volatiles dissolved in lunar picritic magmas as they ascended from depth in the Moon (Saal et al. 2008; Hauri et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2015; Wetzel et al. 2015), can we determine the composition and volume of the gas phase as a function of depth? (2) What role, if any, did these volatiles play in causing the relatively dense (Fe-rich) picritic magmas to ascend through the thick plagioclase-rich lunar crust (Grove and Krawczynski 2009; Xu et al. 2014) without significant cooling and crystallization? (3) Was the abundance and composition of the exsolved volatiles sufficient to explain the small bead diameter and petrological characteristics of glass particles in the various eruption (Heiken and McKay 1977; Housley 1978; Delano, 1986; Longhi 1992) deposits? The approach used to gain answers to these questions was to evaluate the potential importance of the volatiles determined to be present in the A17 orange picritic glass magma (OPGM) at various points in its ascent and eruption. We focus on the A17 OPGM because there are more analytical data available for this magma composition than others, but the model should also apply to picritic green and yellow glass magmas, since they erupted with the same explosive style (Delano 1986) and at essentially the same low oxidation state (Fogel and Rutherford 1995).

**GAS PHASE FORMATION IN ASCENDING PICRITIC MAGMAS**

**Data on C-O-H abundance in picritic melts**

Data on the abundance of C and H\textsubscript{2}O in the OPGM based on bead and melt inclusion analyses is summarized in Fig. 1. Analyses of individual glass beads (lower left in Fig. 1) show that C and H\textsubscript{2}O are present at the ppm level in the A17 orange and in
A15 green and yellow glass beads. The variations in dissolved water at nearly constant C content are interpreted as indicating kinetically controlled H$_2$O degassing after the beads were formed (Wetzel et al. 2015). This interpretation is supported by the fact that the core to rim trend in an individual yellow bead (red triangles in Fig. 1) mimics the trend for individual beads as a group, and the fact that the expected rapid diffusion of H vs. C (Fogel and Rutherford 1995; Saal et al. 2008) would produce such a trend in a rapidly cooling pyroclastic bead. Analyses of olivine-hosted melt inclusions in the A17 orange glass (Hauri et al. 2011; Wetzel et al. 2015) show that higher amounts of C (up to 4 ppm) and H$_2$O (500 to 1200 ppm) were present when samples of melt were trapped by olivine during its growth at depth in the Moon. These melt inclusions define the ascent path of this magma in equilibrium with a CO-rich vapor phase with ~0.01 mole fraction H$_2$O (Wetzel et al. 2015). Melt inclusions in the A17 orange glass olivine contain shrinkage bubbles, although it was possible to measure the bubble size for only two inclusions (Wetzel et al. 2015). The total C and H present in the two inclusions, illustrated by the red squares in Fig. 1, was calculated assuming the CO-rich gas in the shrinkage bubble equilibrated with the melt to the glass transition temperature. This calculation suggests there could have been as much as 44-64 ppm C and 476-752 ppm H$_2$O in the melt when these inclusions were trapped (Wetzel et al. 2015), but this is probably a maximum estimate of the C present in the OGM as discussed in the following section.

**CO solubility as a function of pressure: depth of C and H degassing**

In order to use the volatile analyses of the natural samples to determine the origin and amount of the gas phase in the lunar picritic magmas as a function of depth, either a
solubility model or experimental data is required. Experiments at appropriate conditions have been performed on C-O-H solubility in a picritic A15 green glass composition (Wetzel et al. 2013) and on Fe–rich basalts (Stanley et al. 2014; Armstrong et al. 2015). This work demonstrated that C is dissolved primarily as CO (Armstrong et al. 2015), and the total dissolved C decreases with decreasing pressure (Fig. 2) for a fixed carbon activity, and a lunar oxidation state (IW-1). The Wetzel et al. (2013) experiments were done primarily with low dissolved water content (1000 ppm) following indications from the melt inclusion data (Hauri et al. 2011), and all contained an excess gas phase (vesicles). Data for similar experiments on the A17 orange glass compositions (Appendix 1) plotted in Fig. 2 show the same C solubility vs. pressure relationship as that of the green glass. The calculated composition of the coexisting C-O-H gas phase using the Zhang and Duan (2009) model for these conditions is shown as a function of pressure in Fig. 3. The high and increasing mole fraction of CO at pressures below 200 MPa is consistent with the high CO and relatively low CH₄ and H₂ indicated by FTIR and Raman spectra of the experimental glasses in this pressure range (Wetzel et al. 2013; 2015). We note that while Wetzel et al. (2013; 2015) identified the dissolved C as Fe-carbonyl based mainly on the presence of a peak in the Raman spectra, Yoshioka et al. (2015) have now shown convincingly that the dissolved species are almost certainly variably coordinated CO species. Importantly, the uncertainty in the C speciation in the melt does not alter the solubility data (Fig. 2) used here, since they are based on SIMS analyses of C.

To obtain the C (CO) concentration in the ascending OPGM, we use the orange glass solubility curve in Fig. 2, because it was determined over the pressure range that
we are attempting to investigate (300 to 0 MPa). Recent 1.2 GPa experiments (Armstrong et al. 2015) on the solubility of C in basaltic melts with similar small amounts of dissolved water demonstrated a correlation of dissolved CO abundance with $f_{CO}$ as well as pressure. These data have not been used here because they were primarily at one pressure, and most experiments were at $fO_2$ values above and below the lunar (IW – 1.0) value, where oxidized C (carbonate) and FeO reduction respectively, became important.

The pressure isobars in Fig. 4 indicate that the C measured in the melt inclusion glasses was quenched in at pressures from 17 to 2 MPa or from about 4 to 0.5 km depth in the lunar crust. This pressure estimate is a minimum however because it assumes an activity of C = 1 in the magma, and this was probably not the case as discussed below. Additionally, when the CO contents of the gas bubbles in the melt inclusions are added back into the inclusion glass, the estimate of pressure (depth) of inclusion entrapment moves to higher values. The degassing recorded by the melt inclusions possibly began at a depth of 52±9 km (Wetzel et al. 2015) where a graphite-saturated magma would have contained as much as 50±6 ppm C (117 ppm CO) and ~1000 ppm H$_2$O as indicated by bubble-bearing melt inclusions (Fig. 1). However, because it is possible the shrinkage bubbles were not filled with the CO-rich gas at the glass transition temperature (Steele-Macinnes et al. 2011; Hartley et al. 2014; Moore et al. 2015), we conclude that this is a maximum CO content for the OPGM during M.I. entrapment, and 52 km is a maximum depth estimate.

We refer to degassing of the OGPM from 52 to 0.5 km depths as Stage 2, and any degassing that might have occurred at greater than 52 km depth as Stage 1. Stage 2
degassing ended at a pressure of ~2 MPa, approximately 0.5 km below the lunar surface, where the melt began losing significant H_2O with very little loss of CO (Fig. 4). The dissolved H_2O remaining in the orange glass beads after they were quenched ranges from 1-10 ppm, while the C ranges from 0.35 to 0.65 ppm (Fig. 1). Melt inclusions with the same low C content as the beads (0.45 ppm) contain 400 and 830 ppm dissolved H_2O (Fig. 4). We interpret the inclusion with 830 ppm H_2O and 0.45 ppm C as representative of the low-pressure end of the equilibrium degassing trend that began at depths > 4 km in the crust, and suggest that the four other inclusions with <1 ppm C and 400-600 ppm H_2O lost water (H) by diffusion through the host olivine during the initial part of Stage 3 (e.g., Cottrell et al. 2002; LeVoyer et al. 2014), or that some of this H-loss occurred as these melts transitioned from embayments to sealed off inclusions in the host olivine. Cl in the same four inclusions is also anomalously low (next section). It follows that approximately 900 ppm H_2O was added to the gas phase during this final stage (Stage 3) of the eruption, i.e., as the magma went from ~500 m below the lunar surface to where the beads cooled to temperatures below the glass transition in the volcanic eruption cloud.

The transition from Stage 2 to Stage 3 of the OPGM ascent occurred at depths ranging from 300 to 600 m for different batches of magma. The depth range comes from the pressures indicated by the C content of the individual orange glass beads (Fig. 1; Wetzel et al. 2015). We reason that while the core compositions of beads have experienced little or no C loss after magma fragmentation, as indicated by the single bead profile (Fig. 1), C gains would not be possible. Therefore, the C-pressures for the beads give a minimum pressure estimate for bead formation at the beginning of Stage 3.
Wetzel et al. (2015) concluded that the Stage 2-3 transition represents a change from equilibrium degassing to kinetically controlled degassing accompanied by melt fragmentation; to confirm this conclusion, we need to assess the volume and degassing behavior of all gas phase components present in the OPGM.

**Partitioning of S, F and Cl into the volcanic gas phase**

There have been a number of experimental and analytical studies of S, F and Cl partitioning into the volcanic gas phase in terrestrial basaltic magmas where the C is dissolved primarily as carbonate (e.g., Moune et al. 2009; Lesne et al. 2011) and the water contents are relatively high, but there has been little research on the behavior of these elements at lunar oxidation states. Experiments on degassing by Ustunisik et al. (2015) were done with nominally C-free glasses containing 2.3 wt% dissolved H₂O, and thus are not useful for evaluating the early degassing of a CO-bearing OPGM with ~1000 ppm H₂O. Thermodynamic calculations (Sato 1976; Fogel 1989) indicate that CO and CO₂ are the only species in the C-O-S system for which the fugacity is greater than 1 MPa at 200 MPa and 1400 °C. This suggests that unless the H component causes S to enter the gas phase, possibly as H₂S, S would not be a large component of the OPGM gas phase at lunar crustal pressures. Similar thermodynamic calculations for the C-O-H-S system (Gaillard and Scaillot 2009) indicate that S species (SO₂, H₂S and S₂) become minor components of the gas phase, but only at pressures <1 MPa at lunar oxidation states (COS was not included in this calculation). Given this limited experimental and theoretical data for S, Cl and F partitioning behavior between melt and CO-rich gas phases at lunar oxidation states, we turn to the constraints that come from analyses of the olivine-hosted melt inclusions in the natural A17 OPGM sample.
The behavior of S, Cl and F in the OPGM as it degassed during ascent through the lunar crust is shown by the melt inclusion and bead analyses (Fig. 5). The four inclusions with low dissolved H$_2$O and relatively low C that were interpreted as affected by post Stage 2 diffusive water loss also have low Cl (1.1-1.5 ppm) relative to the inclusion with 0.45 ppm C and 803 ppm H$_2$O (2.1 ppm Cl). Assuming the Cl in these four anomalous inclusions was also affected by selective post-Stage 2 diffusion, possibly just before the inclusion was sealed off in the host crystal, the remaining melt inclusion data show no measurable partitioning of Cl into the Stage 2 gas phase. In contrast, the Cl loss during Stage 3 (1.5 ppm) was a large percentage of original Cl in the inclusions even though it did not contribute significantly to the total moles of gas produced. However, S was clearly a significant component of the gas produced during the OPGM eruption. A line through the M.I. data suggests that there was possibly 50 ppm of S-loss to the gas phase during the Stage 2 ascent of the OPGM. This suggestion holds even though the lowest S data point (447 ppm) is for the inclusion with the second lowest H$_2$O (510 ppm) and lowest Cl (1.2 ppm) contents, and may not represent Stage 2 degassing of S. We tentatively conclude that as much as 50 ppm S was probably added to the gas phase in Stage 2, and ~300 ppm S (plus 1 ppm Cl) was added to the gas phase during Stage 3.

The melt inclusion data for F (Fig. 5c) suggest that there was an average of ~50 ppm F in the OPGM melt as the CO-rich gas phase formed during Stage 2 of the eruption. The inclusion with an anomalously low F content (at 1 ppm C) is an inclusion interpreted to have lost H$_2$O to the gas phase just before or after the entrapment. Given that the remaining inclusions contain an average of 52 ppm F, and the beads contain an
average of 13 ppm, we conclude that the OPGM lost ~40 ppm F to the gas phase in Stage 3 of the eruption.

**Gas volumes in OPGM as function of depth**

We conclude that the OPGM magma first became saturated with a CO-rich gas at a depth between 4 km and 52 km; to calculate the end-member case, we also initially assume that the bubbles stayed in the melt volume where they formed (closed-system). The gas formed during the Stage 2 ascent from 52 km to 0.5 km depth (Table 1) included a minimum of 12 and maximum of 115 ppm CO (wt) and possibly as much 50 ppm S. At 0.5 km depth, the volume of the CO would have reached a maximum of 7.7 vol %, or it could have been as low as 1 vol% (12 ppm CO). We assume (Table 1) that the 50 ppm S might have occurred equally as SO₂, S₂ and H₂S in the gas phase following thermodynamic calculations (Gaillard and Scaillet 2009). The CO and S yield a minimum of 0.8 and a maximum total of 4.1 cc of gas in 33 cc of melt, equivalent to 2.4 to 11 vol.% of the magma at 0.5 km depth.

In Stage 3 of the magma ascent, 900 ppm dissolved H₂O moved into the gas phase along with an additional 300 ppm S, probably by kinetically controlled degassing after bead formation. The above-mentioned calculations of gas-phase composition indicate that this S occurs approximately equally as SO₂, S₂ and H₂S, but H₂ is already in the gas, and the reaction with S to form H₂S does not produce additional gas moles. Similarly, the 40 ppm F and 1-1.5 ppm Cl transferred to the gas phase in this stage are also assumed to have added no additional moles to the gas phase since they were would have occurred as HF and HCl (Symonds et al. 1988; Ustunisik et al. 2015). The Stage 3
gas release (0.005 moles H$_2$O and 0.0005 moles S$_2$ + SO$_2$) would account for at least 92% of the moles of gas present at 24 m below the lunar surface (1 atm), and in this closed-system ascent the magma would be 96 vol% gas. However, some fraction of the Stage 3 gas was clearly lost from melt beads after they exited the lunar surface (Saal et al. 2008). Although the Saal et al. (2008) estimate is based on diffusion profiles in the A15 green glass beads, we use this as a guide to post-eruption processes in OPGM beads. We estimate that one-half to two-thirds of the 900 ppm water was lost by diffusion from the beads during their ballistic flight after leaving the vent by comparing the 300 second flight time (Saal et al. 2008) with an estimate of the 50-100 s time for ascent from 500 m to the surface (500 m at ~5-10 m/s; Rutherford and Papale 2009). This change lowers the closed-system gas volume to 94 and 93 vol% respectively, when the magma is 25 m below the lunar surface.

Two additional factors could have been involved in the above gas volume assessment; both could significantly increase the amount of gas present in a given volume of magma during its ascent through the lunar crust. First, it is possible that there was enough dissolved CO in the ascending magma to cause gas saturation deeper than is indicated by the melt inclusions. If the melt became CO-saturated at the base of the lunar lithosphere, ~100 km depth at 3.7 Ga (Weiczorek, et al. 2010), the total CO eventually released to the gas would have increased by a factor of 2. The gas volume at 0.5 km depth would have been 18 rather than 9 vol% of the magma, and the gas would have been CO-rich, but the Stage 3 degassing of H$_2$O (and S) would still be ~85 vol% of the gas phase in the magma at 25 m. The other major factor that could have increased the gas volumes in the ascending magma at any depth is gas separation from the melt (open-
system degassing). As discussed in the following section, gas separation is considered a key part of the dike propagation process that established the conduit.

**MODEL OF PICRITIC GLASS MAGMA ERUPTION**

Original models for the formation of the lunar picritic glass deposits were generally based on an analogy with volcanic fire-fountaining such as is commonly observed in Hawai`i (Heiken et al. 1974; Housley 1978; Rutherford and Papale 2009). These models considered a steady-state magma flow in an established conduit. However, the characteristics of the lunar glass bead deposits (e.g., Weitz et al. 1998) require that we also consider the original feeder dike formation (Wilson and Head 2003). The OPGM is considered to have originated at ~550 km depth in the Moon’s upper mantle. This depth estimate is based on experiments showing that a mantle containing olivine, low-Ca pyroxene, Ca-pyroxene, and ilmenite (plus other minor phases) would have retained the two major phases (olivine and low-Ca pyroxene) only after partial melting at ~1550 °C (Krawcyznski and Grove 2012). The partial melt formed under these conditions would have been slightly less dense than the surrounding residual mantle, tending to rise along grain boundaries, and collecting in small melt pools within a rising diapir (e.g., Longhi 1992). Magma separation at 550 km is geochemically required since there is no evidence of melt re-equilibration or reaction with the residual mantle at depths above 550 km. Wilson and Head (2003; 2017) suggest that a dike would begin to propagate upward from the melt-rich zone when the melt in this zone became interconnected (i.e., a few % partial melting), causing the pressure on the overlying rocks to increase with the size of the connected melt zone. The excess pressure generated would vary depending on the vertical extent of the melt zone, the
density difference between the melt and host rocks, and the local acceleration due to gravity. Wilson and Head (2017, Table 2) calculate that a 25 km vertical melt-rich column would be sufficient to initiate a dike that would rise 78.5 km from a melt zone at 500 km depth, and the dike width would reach 112 m. The model indicates that the dike would separate from the source at this point (top of dike at ~450 km depth) due to the increasing rise-rate of the dike tip and the inability of the melt source region to maintain melt-supply and overpressure at the base of the dike (Fig. 6). According to the model, the disconnected dike would rise rapidly (~30 m/s) through the overlying mantle and crust due to its buoyancy. In the dike propagation process, a transient low-pressure is produced at the crack tip as each new fracture occurs because the melt cannot move into the new crack at the crack-forming rate. We know that a stable gas phase may have formed in the ascending OPGM at ~52 km depth based on the vapor bubbles in melt inclusions described above, but this model predicts it may have formed locally at greater depths due to the low-pressure in the dike tip. Once a gas phase formed near the dike tip, the crack formation mechanism would tend to cause further gas-melt separation near the top of the dike. As the tip reaches the surface, the bubble-rich magma might extend for several km behind the tip according to the model (Fig. 6 inset). Given the rapid increase in gas volume in the magma over the final 0.5 km, we expect the exit velocity at the vent quickly reached the maximum sonic limit for choked flow (Wilson and Head 2003; 2017).

**UNIQUE ERUPTION CHARACTERISTICS EXPLAINED BY THE MODEL**

Ascent and eruption
The above model for the orange glass magma dike-initiation, propagation and approach to the surface explains many of the unique aspects of the deposit formed near the Apollo 17 site. The fine grain size of the glass beads (<1 mm) and the widespread area (3000 km²; Gaddis, 2003) covered by the deposit require that the magma must have been very gas-rich and ascending rapidly as it reached the surface (Housley 1978; Wilson and Head 2017). The sample data and calculations indicate that the magma contained at least 8-15 vol% gas bubbles at 0.5 km depth in the crust and at least 93-94 vol% at 25 m depth based on closed-system degassing of the CO, S, F, Cl, and H₂O present in the melt at these depths. This gas volume is not sufficient to cause magma fragmentation (Papale 1999; Gonnerman and Manga 2013) at the Stage 2-3 transition (~500 m depth) of an OPGM with the initial C contents considered (4-50 ppm). Using the same MI data, and their O-H speciation and solubility data, Newcombe et al. (2017) reach the same conclusion. They determine that the O-H gas phase alone would have caused fragmentation at a pressure of ~5 bars for batch (closed-system) degassing C-O-H species in the OPGM. The contribution of SO₂ + S₂ to the Stage 3 gas increases the moles of gas present by only 5%. Based on these observations, fragmentation of the OPGM magma would have occurred at a minimum pressure slightly greater than 5 bars (125 m) assuming closed-system degassing. However, as argued above, bead formation almost certainly occurred as deep as 300-600 m. This depth range corresponds to the pressure needed to explain the C that remains in the cores of individual beads (Fig. 1; Wetzel et al. 2015). Also supporting this conclusion is the fact that the Stage 3 degassing profiles in individual beads can be best modeled by bead diffusion processes (Saal et al. 2008; Wetzel et al. 2015) beginning in this depth range. The amount of gas...
required (70 vol%) to cause fragmentation at ~500 m is a factor of 5-10 greater than that produced by the closed-system ascent described above; we suggest the excess gas was probably caused by open-system degassing during the earlier OPGM ascent.

The fact that no extrusive lava flow samples of picritic magma composition have been observed in Apollo sample collections (e.g. Sonzogni and Treiman 2014) may be explained in part by the collection of volcanic gas near the dike tip during the eruption of these magmas. Immediately after the dike reached the surface, downward moving shock waves would lower the pressure the magma in upper part of the dike until an equilibrium pressure gradient was established in the dike (Wilson and Head 2017), and this would cause some additional degassing, particularly in the upper half of the dike. However, after the initial eruption, magma reaching the surface would have experienced lesser and lesser amounts of open system gas concentration because it was further removed from the dike-tip process. The result could be that magma at depth in the dike does not have sufficient gas to maintain the overpressure that keeps the dike open and erupting (Wilson et al. 2014) beyond the initial stages. A continuation of this process could end the eruption as the dike closes laterally before gas-poor magma could reach the surface (Wilson and Head 2017). The fact that the dike was likely separated from the mantle source before the eruption began (Fig. 6), could also have played a role in preventing OPGM flows from reaching the lunar surface given that once the eruption began, further upward movement of the dike would slowly decrease the overall buoyancy of such a dike.

The distance from the vent travelled by the glass beads formed in the OPGM eruption is a function of the velocity of the gas bead mixture at the vent, the expansion
of the gas phase present at the vent, and the energy still to be released as additional gas is lost from the melt beads and expands into the lunar vacuum (Wilson and Head 2003; 2017; Wilson et al. 2014). Wilson and Head (2017) use 2000 ppm dissolved CO and 1000 ppm H$_2$O, and find the gas release and expansion is not sufficient to cause exit velocities (300-400 km/s) necessary to drive the beads as far as might be required (>30 km radius of the A17 dark mantle deposit). However, this problem could be reduced or even removed for the early volumes of magma reaching the surface if sufficient gas was added to these magmas by the open system degassing. The nature of the dike-tip propagation process makes it difficult to quantitatively determine the magma ascent velocity as the first kilometers of magma reaches the surface, but once the vent is open, the flow in the dike would rapidly approach the conduit flow simulated by Rutherford and Papale (2009). According to this calculation a minimum magma velocity estimate would be in the range of 5 to 15 m/s at 500 m depth assuming the 7-15 v % gas present at this depth. The velocity of the mixture at the vent could be more than twice this estimate given the addition of large volumes of H$_2$O and S species transferred to the gas phase in the first 500 m of the Stage 3 degassing. Additionally, a large fraction (50-60 %) of the H$_2$O added to the gas in Stage 3 would have been present as H$_2$ (Newcombe et al. 2017), which would decrease the density of the gas phase by a factor of 5 relative to a gas with just H$_2$O. This would significantly increase the velocity of the ascending magma during Stage 3 both below the surface, and in the expanding hot gas cloud carrying the beads away from the vent.

**Origin of the Fe-metal grains**
The origin of Fe-rich metal beads observed in the OPGM and other picritic glass deposits (Reid et al. 1970; Brett 1976; Sato 1976; Fogel and Rutherford 1995; Weitz et al. 1997) must be considered in a model explaining these eruptions. Metal beads trapped in olivine phenocrysts of the OPGM are homogenous (Fe$_{85}$Ni$_{14}$Co$_1$). An origin of the metal by graphite oxidation (Sato 1976; Nicholis and Rutherford 2009) now seems unlikely because the metal grains were trapped by growing olivine phenocrysts that formed at depths of 4 - 50 km (or greater) based on the CO content of the olivine-hosted melt inclusions (Fig. 4). If the magma was carrying graphite, it had to be included in the partial melting region, since there is no plausible reaction to cause graphite formation in the ascending magma carrying dissolved CO as the only C source. Release of CO from the rising melt could occur, but reduction of CO to form graphite would cause oxidation of FeO in the melt, the reverse of what is observed. Also, graphite in the OPGM in the 550 km deep source region would require that the melt contain 550 ppm C as dissolved CO according to the solubility data (Wetzel et al. 2013). A comparison of volatile element ratios in the OPGM and depleted terrestrial mantle shows similar ratios of H/C (and S/Cl and F/S) when the melt has 52 ppm dissolved C (Wetzel et al. 2015), suggesting that the 550 ppm C concentration estimate is too high. We conclude that graphite was probably not carried up from depth in the orange glass magma, and the melt was not likely saturated with CO at 550 km. Additionally, while the breakdown of dissolved Fe-carbonyl would have the potential to produce the Fe-metal (Wetzel et al. 2013), this process no longer appears viable since Yoshioka et al. (2015) have shown that the dissolved species in a mafic melt is almost certainly not Fe-carbonyl.
A process that can explain the Fe-metal formation during (or before) the Stage 2 OPGM ascent is one that involves S loss from the melt (Brett 1976). The loss of up to 50 ppm S during this Stage is suggested by the melt inclusion data (Fig. 5; Table 1). The speciation of the S may have been either as COS (Sato 1976; Fogel and Rutherford 1995) or possibly SO2 (Gaillard and Scaillet 2009) in the gas phase, and as FeS species in the melt (i.e., Danckwerth et al. 1979); either case could produce Fe-rich metal grains in the magma. This suggestion does mean that the S addition to the gas phase occurred at somewhat higher pressures (>2 MPa) than those indicated by the Gaillard and Scaillet (2009) thermodynamic calculations, and this needs to be investigated further by additional calculations or experiments. A possible reaction would be FeS^{melt} + CO = Fe^{metal} + COS; another would be FeS + 2H2O = Fe^{metal} + SO2 + 2H2. These reactions would be driven by equilibrium partitioning of S between a C-O-H gas and the picritic melts, and do not require the melts to be saturated with FeS (Danckwerth et al. 1979).

Late stage oxidation

The OPGM experienced an oxidation event late in its eruption history as indicated by Ni-rich rims on all of the Fe-metal grains that were not enclosed in olivine phenocrysts (Weitz et al. 1997). The Ni contents in these beads ranged from 14 wt% in the core up to 30 wt% at the rim in different size beads, clearly indicating an oxidation event in the magma after the olivine phenocryst growth was completed. This means that the oxidation event took place when the magma had risen above ~500 m depth in the lunar crust (Fig. 4) during the Stage 3 degassing (Table 1). Weitz et al. (1997) interpreted the oxidation to be caused by the loss of volatile cations such as K, Na, B and P from the melt to the gas. This explanation is probably correct to some degree, but
we also now know that significant amounts of water were lost from the melt during this final explosive ascent stage (Fig. 1; Table 1). Given the H was dissolved as OH in the melt (Newcombe et al. 2017) and is calculated to be present primarily as H$_2$O and H$_2$ in the gas (Galliard and Scailett 2009), this gas formation would tend to produce melt oxidation (4OH = H$_2$O + H$_2$ + 1.5O$_2$). Later in Stage 3, diffusive OH loss might be replaced by selective H$_2$ diffusion (Saal et al. 2013) contributing further to oxidation of the melt. Identification of relatively high Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$_{total}$ ratios (ratios of 8-13 in orange glass) as determined by X-Ray adsorption spectroscopy analyses of the orange and other picritic glass beads (McCanta et al. 2017), confirms the presence of a late-stage oxidation in all picritic magmas. The ferric iron content of the OPGM at IW-1.0 would have been less than 1 wt% of the total Fe present in the melt (McCanta et al. 2009). An observed increase in ferric iron toward the rims of partially crystallized orange glass beads indicates that the oxidation continued while the beads were cooling and developing a microcrystallinity, possibly in the hot gas cloud, but maybe after deposition in the surface deposit as well.

**IMPLICATIONS**

The data presented here indicate that degassing in the ascending lunar orange picritic glass magma (OPGM) began with formation of a CO-rich gas at a depth between 4 and 52 km in the lunar crust. Sulfur and minor water partitioned into this gas phase until the magma reached a pressure of ~2 MPa at a depth of ~500 m. The volume of the gas in the magma at this depth is calculated to be 7-15 %, for a closed- system process, sufficient to add to the magma ascent rate, but unable to cause magma fragmentation. Degassing of CO was nearly complete when the magma reached depths of 300-600 m,
but strong degassing of the remaining 900 ppm dissolved water and 300 ppm S began here. On a mole basis, the additional H₂O and S added during Stage 3 accounted for 80-90% of the gas in the OPGM as it reached the lunar surface without considering any open-system effects. The closed-system degassing would have caused the OPGM to fragment (70 vol% gas), and form beads at ~150 m depth, but the C-contents of the glass beads require the fragmentation to have occurred in the 300 to 600 m range. Fragmentation at the latter depths requires a significant (factor of 5-10) increase in the gas volume at the start of Stage 3 over that produced by closed-system degassing. The most likely explanation is open-system degassing during Stages 1 and 2, but CO saturation in the 550 km deep OPGM source region would produce the same result.

The many unique characteristics of the OPGM deposit can be explained using a dike formation model (e.g., Wilson and Head 2003; 2017) that involves a dike forming at the top of a melt-rich zone at ~550 km depth (Krawczynski and Grove 2012). The rapid rate at which the dike propagates from depth to the surface in this model involves open-system degassing that helps to explain the highly explosive nature of the eruption, the large areal distribution of the deposit, and the fact that the magma was able to pass through the lunar crust without significant cooling or contamination. The formation of Fe-metal beads during Stage 2, and the Stage-3 oxidation of the glass in the ascending magma, are also explained by the degassing.

Previous suggestions for metal formation utilizing either graphite oxidation or breakdown of Fe-carbonyl dissolved in the melt now appear unworkable unless the C/H ratio in the OPGM magma source was a factor of 10 greater than in Earth’s depleted mantle. However, the Fe-metal in the picritic orange glass magma could have formed
during the ascent when sulfur, originally coordinated with Fe in the melt, was transferred into the CO-rich gas phase (Brett 1976) during Stage 2 of the eruption.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Log plot of C and H2O data for glass beads and melt inclusions in A17 orange and A15 Green and Yellow picritic glass samples. Orange circles are orange glass melt inclusions; orange squares are orange glass beads. A15 green glass beads are green circles, and yellow beads are yellow diamonds. Red diamonds show a core-to-rim profile in a single yellow glass bead. Decreasing H2O trend of individual glass bead data is interpreted as diffusion-controlled degassing after bead formation. Melt inclusion data show an apparent equilibrium degassing trend of decreasing C with little loss of H2O. Red squares...
show the composition calculated by adding CO gas from gas bubbles back into melt inclusions (modified after Wetzel et al. 2015).

Figure 2. C solubility for experiments on picritic lunar glass composition melts. Data points for the A17 orange glass (orange circles) and A15 yellow glass (yellow circles) compositions are from the table in the Appendix of this paper; the heavy dark line is a fit to A15 green glass data of Wetzel et al. (2013). The line fit through orange glass data is indistinguishable from the more abundant green glass data; the line fit through the yellow glass experiments shows slightly higher C contents, but the data set is very small. All data are for a lunar fO2 of IW-1.0 log unit where CO is the main C species dissolved in the melt (Wetzel et al. 2015). All melts have low (<1500 ppm) dissolved water, and gas bubbles are present. Activity of C = 1 (graphite saturation).

Figure 3. Calculated composition of fluid phase vs. pressure in the C-O-H system for a lunar fO2 of IW -1 log units, and an activity of carbon = 1 (graphite saturated) using the Zhang and Duan (2009) program. Note the strong changes in composition of fluid at pressures less than 200 MPa. Calculations by Sato (1976), Fogel and Rutherford (1995) and Gaillard and Scaillet (2009) indicate that when S is added to the system no sulfur species make up more than 1 mol% of the vapor phase at 200 MPa, but SO2, H2S and S2 all increase at low (<1 MPa) pressures.

Figure 4. Modified C-O-H solubility model of Dixon et al. 1995 (after Wetzel et al. 2015). The solid lines are isobars (crustal depths) and dashed lines are isopleths indicating the fraction of H2O in the vapor phase (XH2O). H2O data are from Hauri et al (2015). Orange circles are A17 melt inclusions; other symbols are bead data (See Fig. 1). The pressure
contours are not for a fixed activity of C, but Wetzel et al. (2015) found that this model and
the experimental solubility curve for graphite saturation (Fig. 2) yielded identical C (ppm) -
pressure curves.

Figure 5. Plot of Cl, S and F vs. C in the olivine-hosted melt inclusions (circles) and
individual glass beads (squares) for A17 sample 74220. (Data from Saal 2008, Hauri et al.
2011, and Hauri et al. 2015). As discussed in the text, Cl does not appear to partition into
the CO-rich gas formed during Stage 2 of the OPGM ascent recorded by the C decrease in
the melt inclusions, but ~50 ppm S may enter the gas in this Stage. As discussed in the text,
four M.I.’s with low (<1 ppm C) have very low dissolved H2O and low Cl compared with
the highest H2O inclusion with equally low C, and are interpreted to have degassed by
diffusion through channels prior to sealing by olivine growth. Beginning at ~ 0.5 km depth
in the crust, H2O, S, F and Cl partition strongly into the gas phase as the magma nears the
lunar surface and fragments.

Figure 6. Model of lunar OPGM dike emplacement (after Wilson and Head 2017). Circled
numbers 1-6 refer to following: (1) Partial melt last equilibrates with residual mantle diapir
at ~550 km, and dike propagation begins at contact of melt-rich zone with overlying
mantle, (2) dike reaches ~78 km vertical for a 25 km (vertical) melt zone, then separates
from the source and begins to move veryrapidly upward, (3) depth of CO-rich gas
formation is 7 km minimum, 50 km maximum, followed by continuous degassing of CO-
rich gas with ascent; gas and foam collect at dike tip as it propagates, (4) ~7-15 vol%
bubbles in magma at 0.5 km for closed system degassing, for initial C contents of 4 to 50
ppm, (5) Stage 3 degassing (H2O and SO2, S2 and H2S) begins with fragmentation (bead
formation) at 0.5 km depth. (6) Dike tip breaches surface releasing melt beads in hot suspension of >92 vol% gas. Modified after Wilson and Head (2003).
Appendix 1. A17 Orange and A15 Yellow Picritic Glass Experiments*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Pressure MPa</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>C (ppm)</th>
<th>H₂O (ppm)</th>
<th>F (ppm)</th>
<th>S (ppm)</th>
<th>Cl (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YG-2</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>72(1)</td>
<td>894.4(1)</td>
<td>8(14)</td>
<td>44(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YG-3</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>63(1)</td>
<td>372.3(1)</td>
<td>8(14)</td>
<td>49(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YG-4</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>52(2)</td>
<td>599.8(1)</td>
<td>8(10)</td>
<td>41(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YG-6</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>30(3)</td>
<td>421.9(1)</td>
<td>10(3)</td>
<td>34(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YG-7</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1482</td>
<td>37(2)</td>
<td>357.8(1)</td>
<td>8(1)</td>
<td>31(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YG-11</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>23(5)</td>
<td>249.4(1)</td>
<td>9(1)</td>
<td>33(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YG-5</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>28(3)</td>
<td>305.4(1)</td>
<td>10(1)</td>
<td>35(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YG-9</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>1475</td>
<td>32(3)</td>
<td>243.2(1)</td>
<td>8(1)</td>
<td>34(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGS-1c2a</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>16(7)</td>
<td>191(7)</td>
<td>4(8)</td>
<td>456(9)</td>
<td>28(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGS-1e</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>36(9)</td>
<td>477(5)</td>
<td>2(5)</td>
<td>1688(3)</td>
<td>19(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGS-1f</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>20(5)</td>
<td>175(2)</td>
<td>3(3)</td>
<td>1222(3)</td>
<td>31(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGS-2</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>19(11)</td>
<td>60(2)</td>
<td>3(3)</td>
<td>1381(3)</td>
<td>100(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGS-1f</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>17(5)</td>
<td>193.7(1)</td>
<td>2(4)</td>
<td>1273(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGS-3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>13(6)</td>
<td>78.2(1)</td>
<td>2(3)</td>
<td>1113(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGV-1</td>
<td>105.4</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>49(2)</td>
<td>445.3(1)</td>
<td>3037(1)</td>
<td>101(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGV-5</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>32(3)</td>
<td>390.5(1)</td>
<td>2145(1)</td>
<td>1260(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGV-6</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>14(6)</td>
<td>239.3(1)</td>
<td>1964(1)</td>
<td>836(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGV-7</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>48(2)</td>
<td>384.9</td>
<td>2190(1)</td>
<td>1339(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGV-8</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>34(3)</td>
<td>291.9</td>
<td>2047(1)</td>
<td>1176(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Experiments done as described in Wetzel et al. (2013) in graphite-lined Pt tubes with a piece of C-saturated Fe-metal in contact with melt that fixes fO₂ at ~ IW-1.0. Run duration was 2 hours in each experiment. Compositions used were the A17 (74220) orange and the A15 (15427) yellow glass as determined by Delano (1986) with 1000 to 2000 ppm of S, Cl, and H₂O. All glasses produced contained were gas saturated (contained vesicles). Numbers in brackets are 2 sigma analytical errors in the last digit reported for the reported concentration based on ~10 analyses (Wetzel et al. 2015).
Table 1. Summary of gas (ppm wt) formed in A17 OPGM during ascent stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas Species</th>
<th>Stage 1 (ppm) (52 km)</th>
<th>Stage 2 (ppm) (52-0.5 km)</th>
<th>Stage 3 (ppm) (&lt;0.5 km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$S</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S$_2$</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Gas species based on SIMS measurements of H, C, S, F and Cl and calculated as ppm (wt) of the species indicated as present in the gas phase (Fig. 3). S in the gas phase is assumed equally as SO$_2$ (Fogel and Rutherford 1995), H$_2$S and S$_2$ (Galliard and Scaillet 2009). Melt inclusion data indicate as much as 50 ppm of S present initially was lost in Stage 2; 300 ppm S was lost in Stage 3. n.d. = not determined.
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