COPiapite FROM THE SANTA MARIA MTS., EASTERN RIVERSIDE CO., CALIFORNIA
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Several specimens of a ferric sulphate were received by the Brush Mineral Collection several years ago from Mr. L. J. Childs of Rialto, California. These specimens were preserved under a bell jar to prevent alteration. Recently the writers have examined this material and selected pure material for analysis.

The mineral, which proved to be copiapite, is from the Santa Maria Mts., Eastern Riverside Co., California. It is yellowish brown in color and has a crystalline structure. With the copiapite occurs amaranthite and an altered white mineral which could not be identified. The copiapite proved upon microscopic examination to be homogeneous and free from other minerals.

Professor W. E. Ford examined the mineral optically and reports that it is biaxial, optically positive, alpha undetermined, beta equal to 1.53, and gamma equal to 1.57; pleochroism Z equals yellow and Y nearly colorless. Possesses one perfect cleavage normal to obtuse bisectrix.

The analysis was made of the purest material carefully selected and preserved from any dehydration in a tightly stoppered specimen tube. Insoluble material, ferric oxide, calcium oxide, and magnesium oxide were determined by the standard methods. Aluminium oxide, ferrous oxide and alkalies were absent. It was realized that the determination of water was most important and that a very careful and accurate determination was necessary. Water was determined by heating a weighed sample over which a current of dry air was led and passing the water vapor given off thru a layer of heated anhydrous sodium carbonate to remove any sulphuric anhydride and collecting the water in weighed calcium chloride and phosphorus pentoxide tubes.

The analyses and ratios are here quoted:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Molecular ratios</th>
<th>Revised ratios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>26.46%</td>
<td>26.32%</td>
<td>26.39%</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.166 equal 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>39.64</td>
<td>39.93</td>
<td>39.79</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>0.413 equal 4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>29.99</td>
<td>29.85</td>
<td>29.92</td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>1.582 equal 19.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insoluble</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.89%</td>
<td>99.81%</td>
<td>99.86%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The magnesium oxide and calcium oxide are considered as impurities and the magnesium oxide subtracted as kieserite (MgSO₄·H₂O), and the calcium oxide as anhydrite CaSO₄, to obtain the revised ratios. The molecular ratios are then 2 Fe₂O₃·5 SO₃·19 H₂O which we propose to write Fe₄(OH)₂(SO₄)₆·18 H₂O.

To show whether the number of molecules of sulphur trioxide and water combined with 2 Fe₂O₃ was constant we recalculated the ratios of previous analyses which appear to be most reliable, subtracting the sulphates of the bivalent metals as the monohydrate. The amount of calcium sulphate is so small when present that it makes no difference whether it is considered as anhydrite or as gypsum. The reason for considering these sulphates as monohydrates is because this is the form which crystallizes from solutions containing considerable quantities of other dissolved substances.¹

The following data and graphical presentation (Lower Figure) show that the ratio 2 Fe₂O₃ : SO₃ is constant except for one analysis which had 5% insoluble, and was mixed with a white mineral. The ratio 2 Fe₂O₃ : H₂O is not as constant as might be expected (Upper Figure), but the difficulty in obtaining a good water determination (determination of H₂O by difference in many cases), difficulty in securing fresh material, and the low molecular weight of water explain these divergences.

Scharizer² recognizes two types of copiapite—one free from bivalent metals, and the other containing them. We cannot accept this distinction and prefer to regard bivalent bases as impurities present as the hydrated sulphates until it can be definitely established by experiment that ferric sulphate can form addition products with bivalent sulphates. This could be shown quite definitely by means of a solubility diagram but at present this data is lacking.

There is considerable work still to be done on the hydrated sulphate minerals. When suitable material becomes available we hope to continue our work. Others who have at their disposal unaltered material should help to establish the chemical composition and relationships of these minerals. In conclusion we wish to acknowledge our indebtedness to Professor H. W. Foote for his inspiration, suggestions and criticism.
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