## Structural distortions and vibrational modes in Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>

PUI K. LAM, RICI YU, MATTHEW W. LEE

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawaii at Manoa, 2505 Correa Road, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, U.S.A.

SHIV K. SHARMA

Hawaii Institute of Geophysics, University of Hawaii at Manoa, 2525 Correa Road, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, U.S.A.

#### ABSTRACT

A pair-potential model consisting of ionic interactions, core-core repulsions, and covalent interactions is used to simulate the lattice energy of forsterite (Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>). The microscopic interactions responsible for the distortions of SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra and the systematic variation of the high frequency modes of olivines are identified. The skewing of the tetrahedra is attributed to the forces on Si and oxygen ions caused by the Coulomb interactions with Mg ions. The observed trends of the high frequency  $\nu_3$ - and  $\nu_1$ -derived modes in olivines are related to variation in intertetrahedral O-O Coulomb interactions.

### INTRODUCTION

Forsterite (Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, Fo) and fayalite (Fe<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, Fa) form complete solid solutions known as olivines. The Mg-rich olivines are the most common minerals in the Earth's upper mantle; knowledge of the vibrational and structural properties of Fo is important for understanding a number of geophysical processes. Kieffer and Navrotsky (1985) stressed that a full understanding of the macroscopic behavior of minerals relies on a detailed knowledge of the microscopic interactions. At present, a first-principles quantum mechanical treatment of systems as complicated as Earth minerals is computationally difficult. Our aim is to model the microscopic interactions in terms of pairwise atomistic potentials, an approach that has been shown to be quite successful (Price and Parker, 1984; Price et al., 1987), and to use the model to study some chemical trends. We must emphasize that the pair-potential model is an empirical and approximate representation of the microscopic interactions; it is not unique and the interpretations are model dependent. However, if the model can account for a variety of properties and has a predictive capability, then it may be deemed useful.

Various pair-potential models have been proposed for forsterite and related silicates: a model with full ionic charge and Born-Mayer repulsion (Miyamoto and Takeda, 1980); a model with partial ionic charge, Born-Mayer repulsion, and rigid SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra (Matsui and Bushing, 1984; Catti, 1981, 1982); and a model with partial ionic charge, Born-Mayer repulsion, and covalent interaction for Si-O (Price and Parker, 1984). A detailed comparison of these models was given by Price and Parker (1984). The models differ slightly on the expressions for the potentials and the way the parameters are obtained. The pair-potential parameters are usually obtained by fitting to one type of data (such as structural data); then the parameters are used to predict other data (such as elastic data). Although calculated macroscopic properties are presented in previous reports, there is often not enough discussion on the connection between the macroscopic properties and the microscopic interactions. In this paper, we discuss in detail the connection between the microscopic interactions and the macroscopic properties. As for the choice of pair-potential, we use a model proposed recently by Rao et al. (1987, 1988) as a starting point and modify the parameters to fit other experimental data such as the isotopic frequency shifts (Paques-Ledent and Tarte, 1973). The derived parameters do seem to make "physical or chemical" sense, and that is important for our present purpose. Our current computer code does not perform an automated search of the optimal pair-potential parameters, unlike programs such as WMIN (Bushing, 1981) and METAPOCS (Parker, 1983); our procedure is performed manually. In the process of adjusting the parameters, we have learned a great deal about how the microscopic parameters affect the macroscopic properties.

We will focus on certain experimental observations that are not well described by current models. For example, an isotopic experiment (Paques-Ledent and Tarte, 1973) indicates that the intense Raman  $(A_z)$  modes at 824 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $(\Omega_{-})$  and 855 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $(\Omega_{+})$  have a strong mixing of  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_3$ characters, whereas the highest mode at 966 cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\omega_3$ ) has a nearly pure  $v_1$  character [the same notations for the frequencies were used by Piriou and McMillan (1983)]. For forsterite, the  $\Omega_+$  mode has more  $\nu_1$  character whereas the  $\Omega_{-}$  mode has more  $\nu_{3}$  character. Experimental evidence also indicates a crossover in the  $\nu_1$ - $\nu_3$  mixing as Mg is replaced by a larger cation such as Ca (Handke, 1986; Piriou and McMillan, 1983); for example, the lower frequency mode,  $\Omega_{-}$ , has more  $\nu_1$  character in  $\gamma$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. So far, lattice-dynamics calculations have not reproduced the correct mixing or the crossover behavior (Oehler and Gunthard, 1969; Iishi, 1978; Price et al., 1987). Systematic variation in the  $\Omega_{-}$ ,  $\Omega_{+}$ , and  $\omega_{3}$  frequencies also occur as a function of the size of the cation. Piriou and Mc-Millan (1983) analyzed this trend with a simple coupling

Atom	x	Y	Ζ
Mgl	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)
MgII	-0.0085	0.2774	0.2500
	(0.0)	(0.25)	(0.25)
Si	0.4262	0.0940	0.2500
	(0.375)	(0.0833)	(0.25)
01	0.7657	0.0913	0.2500
	(0.75)	(0.0833)	(0.25)
02	0.2785	-0.0526	0.2500
	(0.25)	(-0.0833)	(0.25)
03, 04	0.2777	0.1628	0.0331
	(0.25)	(0.1667)	(0.0)
		10.1943 Å, c = 5.980	
(a	= 4.8125 Å, b =	10.2089 Å, $c = 5.873$	38 Å)

**TABLE 1.** Lattice parameters and atomic coordinates of forsterite (*Pbnm*, Z = 4)

Note: The corresponding values in an ideal structure with the same unitcell volume are given in parentheses.

model that accounted qualitatively and semiquantitatively for the variation of the high-frequency modes of olivines, but the physical origin of these systematic variations was not identified. Systematic variations in the bond lengths and bond angles of the  $SiO_4$  tetrahedron are also functions of the size of the cation (Piriou and McMillan, 1983); the tetrahedron becomes more regular as the size of the cation becomes larger. This trend has not been explained in terms of microscopic forces.

#### **CRYSTAL STRUCTURE AND GROUP SYMMETRY**

Forsterite (*Pbnm*, Z = 4) has an orthorhombic unit cell that contains four formula units of  $Mg_2SiO_4$  (Hazen, 1976; Brown, 1980). The two cation sites are inequivalent; they are  $M_1$  (a site) and  $M_2$  (c site). The four oxygen sites are  $O_1$  (c site),  $O_2$  (c site),  $O_3 = O_4$  (d site); Wyckoff site labels are given in parentheses (Hahn, 1983). The lattice parameters and the essential atomic coordinates (Hazen, 1976) are listed in Table 1. The corresponding values for an ideal olivine structure (with the same unit-cell volume) are given in parentheses. The other atomic coordinates inside the unit cell can be obtained from the symmetry operations listed in Table 2. We have translated the position of  $O_2$  by a symmetry operation so that it is in the same tetrahedron as the other oxygens. Piriou and McMillan (1983) pointed out that Hazen's (1976) analysis for forsterite gave much too low a value for the Si- $O_2$  distance, 1.640 Å. We find that the atomic positions given by Hazen (1976) are similar to those of other refinements (Birle et al., 1968; Brown, 1980); the error was in Hazen's calculation of the distances. From Hazen's

TABLE 2. Symmetry operations for forsterite

coordinates, we calculate the Si-O<sub>2</sub> distance to be 1.654 Å, which coincides with that of Birle et al. (1968).

The symmetry group for the vibrational modes at the zone center (k = 0) is isomorphic to the  $D_{2h}$  point group and can be factored into the following symmetries or irreducible representations (Oehler and Gunthard, 1969; Burns and Glazer, 1978):

$$\Gamma^{\text{rotal}} = 11A_g + 11B_{1g} + 7B_{2g} + 7B_{3g} + 10A_u + 10B_{1u} + 14B_{2u} + 14B_{3u}, \qquad (1)$$

where three of them are purely translational modes,  $B_{1u} + B_{2u} + B_{3u}$ , the g modes are Raman active,  $A_u$  is spectroscopically inactive, and the rest of the u modes are infrared active.

We find two useful ways to visualize the crystal structure:

Linked SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra. SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra are linked together by Mg ions. The Mg-O Coulomb attraction pulls the isolated tetrahedra together whereas the intertetrahedral O-O repulsion (Born-Mayer) and the Mg-O repulsion (Born-Mayer) prevent them from collapsing. This picture brings out the importance of the Mg-O interaction in governing the bulk modulus. This picture is also useful for analyzing the vibrational modes. One can start with the modes of an isolated tetrahedron and examine how these modes change and how additional modes arise as the intertetrahedral O-O and Mg-O interactions are being included.

**Oxygen-ion lattice.** Starting with oxygen ions in a hexagonal-close-packed (hcp) structure, Si and Mg ions are added to some of the tetrahedral and octahedral holes, respectively, in the hcp structure. This picture is useful for visualizing the driving forces for the structural distortion from the ideal structure.

#### **THEORETICAL MODELS**

#### **Calculational method**

The lattice energy is represented by a sum of pair-wise potentials. The force on an atom is obtained by calculating the derivative of the lattice energy with respect to the atomic displacement. The phonon frequencies and the corresponding atomic displacements are obtained by diagonalizing the dynamical matrix. The expression for the dynamical matrix is given in well-known texts such as Born and Huang (1954) and Maradudin (1974). Our pairpotential model includes the long-range Coulomb interactions; therefore, an Ewald-summation technique is used to ensure good convergence of the results (Maradudin, 1974). A force-constant model is also used to study the dependence of the phonon frequency on the various force

<i>R</i> <sub><i>k</i></sub> :	E	$C_2(Z)$	$C_2(Y)$	$C_2(X)$	1	σ(Z)	σ(Υ)	σ(X)
		1	1 1 1	1 1		1	1 1 1	1 1
$t\tau_k$ :	0	(0, 0,)	(,)	(—, —, 0)	0	(0, 0,)	(,)	(,, 0)
		2	2 2 2	2 2		2	222	2 2

constants. We have tested our computer codes by reproducing the previous force-constant results of forsterite by Oehler and Gunthard (1969) and reproducing the pairpotential results of NaCl by Kellermann (1940).

#### **Pair-potential model**

The forms for the pair potentials used in this study are similar to those used by Rao et al. (1987, 1988) but with some modifications. We start with an ionic model of the crystal. The interaction between any two ions is given by a Coulomb interaction term and a Born-Mayer core-core repulsion term:

$$V_i(r) = \frac{Z_i Z_j e^2}{r} + a \exp[-br/(R_i + R_j)], \qquad (2)$$

where  $Z_i$  and  $Z_j$  are the ionic charges of the *i*th and *j*th ions, r is the distance between them,  $R_i$  and  $R_j$  are the radii parameters, and a and b are the Born-Mayer parameters. The parameters  $Z_i$ ,  $Z_j$ ,  $R_i$ ,  $R_j$ , a, and b are adjusted to fit the observed frequencies and structural properties. Note that the radii parameters are adjustable parameters: their values need not coincide with the ionic radii used in structural studies (Pauling, 1960). In fact, the values of the radii parameter depend on the value of b; one can simultaneously rescale all the radii and the value of bwithout changing the potential in Equation 1. Rao et al. (1987, 1988) used the above ionic description for the "nonbonded" ions only. In principle, the Coulomb interaction should be present for both nonbonded and bonded ions. Furthermore, Rao et al. increased the Born-Maver repulsion for the intratetrahedral O-O by a factor of 55 and compensated the effect partly by including a Van der Waals attraction term,  $-W/r^6$ . Price et al. (1987) also enhanced the O-O repulsion and included a Van der Waals term. In our study, we find that the dominant contribution to the O-O force constant is the O-O Coulomb repulsion rather than the Born-Mayer repulsion. We did not find it necessary to use different Born-Maver parameters for the intratetrahedral and intertetrahedral O-O interaction. We also did not include the Van der Waals term.

For the Si-O bond, we include an *additional* term that describes the covalent bond:

$$V_c(r) = -d \exp[-c(r - r_o)^2/r], \qquad (3)$$

where d, c, and  $r_o$  are adjustable parameters. This is an additional contribution to the interaction between Si and O. In the work of Rao et al. (1987, 1988), the Si-O interaction contained only the covalent term. Price and Parker (1984) modeled the Si-O covalent interaction by a Morse potential, but later they showed that the parameters obtained by fitting to the structural data were not able to describe the vibrational modes correctly (Price et al., 1987). So, they abandoned the Morse potential and used a "bond-bending" potential, which is a three-body potential. The fact that we are able to reproduce the vibrational frequencies with a pair-wise potential for the

Si-O covalent interaction suggests that a Morse potential could also do the job provided the parameters are adjusted.

The parameters assigned by Rao et al. (1987, 1988) gave too large a frequency for the high-frequency modes; their calculated phonon density of states peaked around  $\simeq 1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . We have retained most of Rao et al.'s parameters but have modified some of them as necessary. The set of pair-potential parameters are chosen to give (1) satisfactory fit to the measured phonon frequency; (2) minimal forces on the atoms; and (3) satisfactory agreement with the isotopic frequency-shift data. The potential parameters used in this work are listed in Table 3. We use the same ionic charges and radii as assigned by Rao et al. (1988). The slightly different charges and radii among the oxygens and among the magnesiums reflect the inequivalency of these sites. Rao et al. (1988) assigned a =1882 and b = 12.364 for the Born-Mayer parameters. In their 1987 article, Rao et al. assigned b = 8.5, but they scaled the radii by the same factor, so the results are the same. We also use b = 12.364, but we change the value of a for the Si-O and the O-O interactions. We find it necessary to have a Born-Mayer repulsion term for Si-O, but this term is small ( $a = \frac{1}{2} \times 1882$ ) because part of the repulsion is already provided by the positive curvature of the covalent term. We find that in order to obtain satisfactory agreement with the isotopic shift experiment (especially the correct mixing of  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_3$  for the highfrequency  $A_g$  modes), we need a large Born-Mayer parameter for the O-O interaction ( $a = 3.5 \times 1882$ ). Price et al. (1987) also assigned the largest Born-Mayer parameter for the O-O interaction. We have given the Born-Mayer parameters in four and five significant figures for the sake of direct comparison with Rao et al.'s work. Actually, a change of a couple percent in the parameters would not alter the overall quality of the fit to the frequencies; rootmean-square error changes from 27 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 30 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

### Force-constant model

We want to identify the connection between the frequency modes and the dominant interactions, and so we consider nearest-neighbor interactions only: Mg-Mg, Mg-Si, Mg-O, Si-O, and O-O (we also use the ideal structure). The force-constant parameters are adjusted to give the best overall fit to the experimental Raman frequencies and infrared frequencies (Iishi, 1978). The force constants (Table 4) are compared with those from Oehler and Gunthard (1969) and from Iishi (the short-range model, SR1, 1978). Oehler and Gunthard used the same model, and so a direct comparison can be made between their force constants and ours. However, Iishi used a slightly different model. Oehler and Gunthard fitted their force constants to their infrared data only. They obtained an unusually large Mg-Mg force constant. As pointed out by Paques-Ledent and Tarte (1973), the vibrational mode assignments of Oehler and Gunthard are inconsistent with the observed isotopic shifts in the IR and Raman spectra of Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. For example, Oehler and Gunthard conclud-

Atom:	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	Si	O <sub>1</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	O <sub>4</sub>
			Effective charge	s and radii param	eters		
Charges*	1.60	1.80	1.0	-1.2	-1.0	-1.10	· 1.10
Radii (Å)	1.68	1.73	1.0	1.55	1.45	1.50	1.50
	<i>a</i> (eV)		b (Å⁻¹)	c (Å-1	)	d (eV)	<i>r</i> <sub>o</sub> (Å)
			Short-range pair	-potential parame	ters†		
M-M	1882		12.364	0		0	0
Si-M	1882		12.364	0		0	0
Si-Si	1882		12.364	0		0	0
D-M	1882		12.364	0		0	0
D-Si	941		12.364	5.25		3.7	1.635
0-0	6587		12.364	0		0	0
inits of proton							

TABLE 3. Pair-potential parameters

ed that an infrared band at around  $880 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is dominated by the Mg-Mg and Mg-O force constants. This is in contradiction with the isotope-shift results of Paques-Ledent and Tarte. Oehler and Gunthard also obtained an unusually large Si-O force constant (4.63 mdyn/Å) for forsterite. This value can be traced to a probable error in two of their measured IR frequencies (see the two frequencies indicated by double asterisks in Table 5). Their Si-O force constant for Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> is 3.42 mdyn/Å, which is much closer to our value (3.76 mdyn/Å) and to that of Iishi for forsterite (3.468 mdyn/Å).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Distortion of the SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron in the olivines

Various models have been proposed to explain the distortions. For example, Baur (1972) proposed a geometric model where the distortions are explained in terms of misfitted polyhedra (the tetrahedral and the octahedral volumes do not have the ideal ratio). McLarnan et al. (1979) used semiempirical molecular orbital calculations to study the distortion in the bond angles and the intratetrahedral O-O distances but did not study the distortion in the Si-O bond distances. These models are either so simple that the underlying forces are not considered or are so complicated that the interpretations are difficult. The advantage of the pair-potential approach is that one

TABLE 4. Force constants (mdyn/Å) for forsterite

	1	2	3
F(Mg-Mg)	0.22	1.94	_
F(Mg-Si)	0.53	0.30	0.151
F(Mg-O)	0.25	0.30	0.456
F(Si-O)	3.76	4.63	3.468
F(O-O)	0.44	0.34	0.654–1.09* 0.231†

*Note:* In the present work (column 1; 22 °C) and the work of Oehler and Gunthard (1969; column 2; -175 and -235 °C), the force constants are for the ideal structure; in lishi's work (1978; column 3), the force constants are for the experimentally determined structure.

\* Intratetrahedral O-O.

† Intertetrahedral O-O.

can interpret the pair-potential in terms of the microscopic interactions and yet avoid the complexity of quantum treatment. We use the idealized olivine structure as the reference and investigate the microscopic forces that are responsible for distorting the ideal structure to the experimental observed structure. There are various aspects of the distortion in going from the ideal structure to the real structure. For example, the lattice parameters are distorted from the ideal ratio ( $a:b:c = 1:3/\sqrt{2}:$  $\sqrt{(3/2)}$  (see Table 1); the tetrahedral volume is contracted compared to the octahedral volume; the SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra are skewed. Our focus will be on the distortion of the SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron.

If we start with the oxygen ions in a hcp lattice, there are no forces on the oxygens because of lattice symmetry. After Si is added to the tetrahedral holes, the "local" environment of the four oxygens of a tetrahedron remains approximately equivalent. The covalent interaction between Si and oxygen causes the contraction of the Si-O distances without skewing the tetrahedral symmetry. The Si-O distance in the ideal structure is 1.805 Å (computed from the atomic position given in Table 1) as compared to 1.615-1.651 Å in the real forsterite structure. However, after the magnesiums are added to some of the octahedral holes, the local environment of O<sub>1</sub> differs greatly from that of the other oxygens (see Fig. 1). The  $O_1$  and its three nearest neighbor magnesiums are noncoplanar whereas the other oxygens form a nearly planar arrangement with their three nearest-neighbor magnesiums. Since only some of the octhedral holes are filled, there are unbalanced forces on the tetrahedra. We find that the dominant forces for the skewing of the tetrahedron are forces on Si and oxygen that come from the Mg-O and the Mg-Si Coulomb interactions; the Born-Mayer repulsion serves to limit the amount of distortion. The net forces on the atoms (not including the covalent force) are shown in Figure 2. Since the Coulomb interaction is spatially long range, the summation of the forces are performed with an Ewald-type of summation technique. However, the direction of some of the forces can be accounted for by considering only the nearest-neighbor interactions. We



Fig. 1. Local environment of a  $SiO_4$  tetrahedron in forsterite. The Si is closer to the three cations at the base than to the three on the top.

will discuss the dominant forces on each atom with specific reference to Figure 1:

Force on Si. There is a net force on the Si that is directed toward  $O_1$ . The largest contribution to this force comes from the Coulomb repulsion between Si and the three basal magnesiums (the Si-Mg distance is 2.48 Å in the ideal structure; see Fig. 1). The three magnesiums on the top of the tetrahedron are farther from the Si (the Si-Mg distance is 3.64 Å in the ideal structure); therefore, the Coulomb repulsion from these three magnesiums does not cancel that from the basal magnesiums. This force on Si is responsible for making the Si-O<sub>1</sub> distance shorter than the other Si-O distances. The Coulomb interaction between Si and all the oxygens causes a small force on the Si in the negative *a* direction, and the Si-Si Coulomb repulsion causes a small lateral force in the positive *b* direction.

Force on  $O_1$ . The net force on  $O_1$  is nearly zero. The Coulomb attraction and the Born-Mayer repulsion from the three magnesiums on the top balance almost exactly those from the Si underneath. This is consistent with the  $O_1$  ionic position having the least amount of distortion (Table 1). Since there is also no net force on  $M_1$  because of inversion symmetry, the  $M_1$ - $O_1$  distance (2.084 Å) is the same in both the real forsterite structure and the ideal structure.

Force on  $O_2$ . Both the covalent and the Si-O Coulomb attraction exert a force on  $O_2$  that is directed toward the central Si. (Actually, the total Si-O Coulomb force is not exactly directed toward the central Si because of the presence of other silicons.) The Mg-O Coulomb attraction exerts a small downward force on  $O_2$ . The direction of this downward force can be explained by considering the contribution from the three nearest magnesiums. The combination of the central and downward force creates a bending force on  $O_2$  with respect to the central Si (see Fig. 2). This bending force is consistent with the observed distortion.

Force on  $O_3$  ( $O_4$ ). The forces on  $O_3$  are similar to those on  $O_2$ , i.e., the combined central and downward force creates a bending force.



Side View

Fig. 2. Forces on the ideal  $SiO_4$  tetrahedron. Si-O covalent force is not included. The force on  $O_1$  is nearly zero.

The observed trend is that as the cation size increases, the Si-O tetrahedron becomes more regular. This trend is consistent with our analysis of the forces above. As the cation size increases, the Si-M distance and O-M distance increase, hence reducing the Coulomb interactions that cause the skewing of the tetrahedron. There is, however, a small counter effect. Generally, larger cations are more electro-positive. The ionic charge of a large cation would be more positive, and the oxygen ion would be more negative, thus increasing the Coulomb interaction. However, the increase in the ionic charge is expected to be only a few percent, whereas the Si-M and O-M distances change by 10% from Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> to  $\gamma$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. Since the Coulomb force is proportional to  $r^{-2}$ , a 10% change in the distance causes ~20% change in the force.

#### Comparison between calculated and measured frequencies

Polarized Raman spectra of an oriented single crystal of forsterite were recorded at room temperature with a spex 1402 double monochromator. Data acquisition was controlled by a spex Datamate computer. The crystal was excited with the 488.0-nm line (500 mW) of an  $Ar^+$  ion laser, and a spectral slit width of 2 cm<sup>-1</sup> was used. The

Experimental frequencies (cm <sup>-1</sup> )*				Theory*		Experi isotopi	mental shifts		
Ref. 1	Ref. 2	Ref. 3	Ref. 4	Ref. 1	<sup>30</sup> Si	<sup>28</sup> Mg	<sup>18</sup> O	<sup>30</sup> Si	<sup>28</sup> M
Raman moo	1a A								
183		183	2.004	172	1	2	5		
227		227		209	3	1	6	_	_
305		305	_	293	1	4	10		-
330		329		305	ò	ō	14		
340	0	340		372	õ	10	5		
424	(a	424		421	o	4	15		
	_				2	ů.	26		
546	_	546		519					_
608		609		577	4	0	25		-
824	1	826	823	844	6	0	43	5.5	_
856		856	856	863	4	0	36 33	3.5 8	_
965	_	966	959	956	11	U	33	0	
Raman moo	ie <i>B</i> 1,,								
189		192	100	213	3	1	6		
220		224		251	3	2	7		-
260		260	_	314	1	2 4	11		
319	52	318		351	Ó	3	16		
418	()	418	200	359	2	5	7		
434		434		423	ō	3	17		
583	_	583	1.00	607	3	1	29		
				667	2	7	23		
632		632			2		35		
839	_	839	-	852	9	0			-
864 976		866 976	_	884 965	2 10	1 0	44 35	_	_
570	_	370		303	10	0	00		
Raman moo	le <i>B</i> <sub>20</sub>								
142		142		150	1	2	4	222	
243	_	244		251	1	0	13		-
303	_	324		329	2	5	9		-
364	_	368		341	0	0	17		-
439		441	_	449	ŏ	2	22		
586		588		595	2	ō	30		
881		884	-	877	10	õ	31	_	
Raman moo 227	le B <sub>3g</sub>	226		197	2	1	6	57	
272	100	272	8.	285	1	Ó	14	100	
	1								
316	100	318		317	0	3	14		1
374		376		390	1	1	21	-7	_
411	· <del>· · · ·</del>	412		409	0	6	12		1
592		595		603	1	3	27		2
919		922	э19	887	11	0	30	7	3
Infrared re-	do P								
Infrared mo	201	201		190	0	4	4		-
	201	224		273	0	8	2		-
<u> </u>	276	274	277	293	0	7	5	0.0	9.
	319	296		325	Ō	3	13		
	408	365	364	364	3	6	6	1.5	4
	475	423	425.5	424	ŏ	4	17	0.0	5
	507	483	482	466	ŏ	3	21	2.0	2
	613	502	402	488	õ	3	21		_
_	960	885	885	877	10	0	31	15.5	1
Infrared mo	del <i>B</i> <sub>2u</sub> 144	144		133	1	1	4		-
_	201	224	100	295	1	8	2		
_	276	280	-	304	2	6	4		
	301	294		365	ō	4	16		
_		352		418	2	8	15		-
_	361					3			-
	421	400	415	425	1		8	20	10
_	507	421	415	458	1	9	11	3.0	10.
_	540	465	466.5	483	0	9	10	3.0	11.
_	613	510	100	543	2	6	17	_	-
_	837**	537		585	3	5	17		
	880	838	842	846	4	0	43	1.5	0.
	000	882		878	5	0	37		-
1.1.1.1	993 993	987		958	11	ŏ	33		

TABLE 5. Calculated (pair-potential model) and measured phonon frequencies at k = 0 and their isotopic shifts

#### TABLE 5—Continued

Experimental frequencies (cm <sup>-1</sup> )*			Theory*	Calculated isotopic shifts			Experimental isotopic shifts		
Ref. 1	Ref. 2	Ref. 3	Ref. 4	Ref. 1	<sup>ao</sup> Si	<sup>26</sup> Mg	<sup>18</sup> O	<sup>30</sup> Si	<sup>26</sup> Mg
Infrared mo	del B								
_	201	201	-	214	2	2	5	_	-
	224	224	-	261	1	5	5		
_	276	274		300	0	7	6		_
~	295	293		323	2	6	4		
—	381	320		391	1	9	11	_	
_	421	378	384	401	0	3	12	0.0	2.0
_	462	403	1 <u>1</u> 11	466	1	5	17	<u></u> 2	(r <u></u> 2)
_	475	498	—	526	0	10	16	_	-
	613	562	601	538	4	2	17	2.0	1.5
—	837**	601		583	0	10	13		_
—	880	838	-	844	0	1	47		
	993	957	961.5	923	10	1	33	11.0	0.5
	993	980	1001	955	11	0	33	1.0	3.0
Inactive mo	del A.								
-		_		117	1	2	3		_
-				161	1	2 2	4		
	<u></u>		<u></u>	225	0	5	4	<u> </u>	
	<u></u>			286	0	4	10	<u> </u>	
-		-		347	2	4	9		-
<u> </u>				376	0	4	15	-	_
	7.77	_		437	0	10	8		_
				469	1	6	15		_
				511	0	4	20	-	_
<del></del>		-		885	10	0	31		-

\* References are (1) this paper, (2) Oehler and Gunthard (1969), (3) lishi (1978), and (4) Paques-Ledent and Tarte (1973). The measured frequencies from the unpolarized infrared and Raman results of Paques-Ledent and Tarte are tentatively assigned. \*\* These two frequencies from Oehler and Gunthard (1969) are probably incorrect.

scattered light was collected at 90° with respect to the excitation beam. Our Raman frequencies agree very well with those obtained by Iishi (1978), but differ from those obtained by Servoin and Piriou (1973), especially in the low-frequency region of the  $B_{1g}$ ,  $B_{2g}$ , and  $B_{3g}$  modes.

The comparison between experimental and calculated phonon frequency from the pair-potential model is shown in Table 5. The overall fit to the measured frequencies is quite good; the root-mean-square deviation is  $\simeq 27 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The isotopic shift is one convenient way to reveal the atomic motion of the modes. The calculated isotopic shifts corresponding to <sup>28</sup>Si  $\rightarrow$  <sup>30</sup>Si, <sup>24</sup>Mg  $\rightarrow$  <sup>26</sup>Mg, and <sup>16</sup>O  $\rightarrow$  <sup>18</sup>O are also shown in Table 5. Since the  $A_u$  modes are spectroscopically inactive, these modes were not available as input to our fitting of the pair-potential parameters. It is interesting to note that our calculated  $A_u$  modes are very close to those of Price et al. (1987) except for the lowest and highest frequencies.

Before we discuss the systematic trend of the high-frequency modes in the olivines, we must understand the atomic motions of each mode and establish a relationship between the frequency modes and the underlying force constants (interactions). We find from the phonon eigenvector analysis that the highest  $A_g$  mode,  $\omega_3$  (966 cm<sup>-1</sup>), is predominantly Si-O<sub>1</sub> stretch, the  $\Omega_+$  (855 cm<sup>-1</sup>) mode is predominantly Si-O<sub>2</sub> stretch, and the  $\Omega_-$  (824 cm<sup>-1</sup>) mode is predominantly Si-O<sub>2</sub> stretch. This is consistent with the fact that Si-O<sub>1</sub> is the shortest bond and Si-O<sub>2</sub> is the longest. For simplicity, we had used only one value for the Si-O covalent term (d = 3.7). This does not mean that the force constants for all the Si-O bonds are the same because the Si-O Born-Mayer term and the Si-O Coulomb term depend on distance. However, the Born-Mayer and the Coulomb term are not enough to account for the variation in force constant for the different Si-O bonds. As we can see from Table 5, the calculated  $\Omega_{-}$ (844 cm<sup>-1</sup>) is too high whereas the calculated  $\omega_{3}$  (956 cm<sup>-1</sup>) is too low. We can bring the calculated frequencies into better agreement with experiment by adjusting the Si-O covalent term individually for Si-O<sub>1</sub>, Si-O<sub>2</sub>, and Si-O<sub>3</sub>. If we choose d = 3.8 for Si-O<sub>1</sub> (bond distance = 1.615 Å), d = 3.6 for Si-O<sub>3</sub> (bond distance = 1.635 Å), and d =

TABLE 6. Effect of various force constants on the frequency distribution

	Isolate	ed SiO₄		
		F(Si-O) +	Fors	terite
	<i>F</i> (Si-O)	intratet- rahedral <i>F</i> (O-O)	F(Si-O) + all F(O-O)	F(Si-O) + F(O-O) + F(Mg-O)
V <sub>3</sub>	837	860	875-915	885-920
V <sub>1</sub>	631	765	815-840	825-850
V4	0.15	234		
$v_4 + v_2$			400-575	440-600
V2	0.25	216		

*Note:* Frequencies are in units of cm<sup>-1</sup>. The force constants are listed in Table 4.



Fig. 3. Symmetry modes of an isolated SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron.

3.5 for Si-O<sub>2</sub> (bond distance = 1.654 Å), we obtain  $\Omega_{-}$  = 828 cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Omega_{+}$  = 858 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and  $\omega_{3}$  = 960 cm<sup>-1</sup>, in better agreement with experiment.

# Dependence of vibrational modes on the individual force constants

We analyze the vibrational modes of forsterite in terms of interacting  $SiO_4$  tetrahedra. We use the force-constant model for this study because the interactions can be controlled individually. The result is summarized in Table 6 and is discussed below.

An isolated SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron. There are four types of symmetry modes:  $v_3$  (3),  $v_1$ ,  $v_4$  (3), and  $v_2$  (2), the degeneracy is indicated in parenthesis. The motions of these modes are shown in Figure 3 and are discussed below.

The  $v_3$  modes are the antisymmetric stretching modes

of Si-O. These modes are most sensitive to the Si-O force constant and moderately sensitive to the O-O force constant.

The  $\nu_1$  mode is the symmetric stretching mode of Si-O. The Si atom is stationary. This mode is sensitive to both the Si-O and O-O force constants.

The  $\nu_4$  modes are the antisymmetric bending modes of oxygens. To first order, the Si-O bond distance does not change; hence these modes are only slightly sensitive to the Si-O force constant, but they are very sensitive to the O-O force constant.

The  $\nu_2$  modes are the symmetric bending modes of oxygens. The Si atom is stationary. These modes are sensitive to the O-O force constant.

When only the Si-O force constant is used, the  $\nu_3$  (antisymmetric stretch) frequency is always higher than the



Fig. 4. A diagram showing qualitatively the amount of  $\nu_1$ - $\nu_3$  mixing and the ordering of the  $\nu_1$ - and  $\nu_3$ -derived modes in olivines as a function of the intertetrahedral O-O force constant, which increases from plot a to plot b to plot c.

 $\nu_1$  (symmetric stretch) frequency (first column in Table 6). The difference in the  $v_3$  and  $v_1$  frequencies is proportional to the Si-O force constant. When the intratetrahedral O-O force constant is included (Table 6, column 2), the  $\nu_1$  frequency increases more than the  $\nu_3$  frequency. In principle, a sufficiently large intratetrahedral O-O force constant can cause the order of the  $\nu_3$  and  $\nu_1$  frequencies to be reversed. However, the intratetrahedral O-O force constant is normally not large enough to cause such reversal in an isolated SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron. But, when the tetrahedra are placed in a crystal, there are additional O-O force constants from the intertetrahedral interaction. If the intertetrahedral O-O force constant is large enough, it could push the  $\nu_1$ -derived modes higher than some of the  $\nu_3$ -derived modes (Fig. 4c). We believe that it is the variation in the intertetrahedral O-O force constant among the olivines that causes the crossover behavior in the  $\nu_1$ - $\nu_3$  mixing of the high-frequency  $A_{\rm g}$  modes. A more detailed discussion is given in the section on Relationships between the High-Frequency Modes and Structure of Olivines.

Forsterite as interacting tetrahedra. The interactions between tetrahedra produce perturbations on the eigenmodes of an isolated tetrahedron. Three effects can take place: the frequencies of the modes increase because of additional force constants, the degeneracies of the modes are lifted, and the different symmetries of the unperturbed modes  $(v_3, v_1, v_4, \text{ and } v_2)$  are mixed. We discuss the effect of each intertetrahedral interaction individually.

The  $v_{4^-}$  and  $v_{2^-}$  derived modes are the most sensitive to the inclusion of the intertetrahedral O-O force constants (Table 6, column 3); the frequencies are nearly doubled. This doubling of the frequency is caused by the fact that each oxygen is interacting with 12 nearest-neighbor oxygens (the oxygens form a hcp structure) as compared to 3 neighbors in the isolated tetrahedron. The force constant for these modes increases by a factor of 4, and hence the frequencies increase by a factor of 2. Since the unperturbed  $v_4$  and  $v_2$  modes are very close in frequency, the eigenmodes in the crystal are a strong mixture of these two symmetry modes.

The intertetrahedral O-O force constants increase the frequencies of the  $v_1$ -derived modes more than those of the  $v_3$ -derived modes. However, the spread of the  $v_3$  modes is larger than that of the  $\nu_1$  modes. The spread in the  $\nu_3$ modes is caused mainly by the lifting of degeneracy within a tetrahedron whereas the spread of the  $\nu_1$  modes is caused by mixing of  $v_1$  modes from different tetrahedra. There is practically no mixing between the  $v_3$  and  $v_1$  modes with our force-constant model because we have chosen a Si-O force constant large enough that separation between the unperturbed  $v_3$  and  $v_1$  frequencies is large and an intertetrahedral O-O force constant small enough that there is no significant mixing. The fact that our chosen Si-O force constant is too large is related to our using only one Si-O force constant for all the Si-O bonds. In the real forsterite structure, the Si-O bond distances within a tetrahedron are different. The Si-O<sub>1</sub> bond is the shortest, and the Si-O<sub>2</sub> bond is the longest. The longest Si-O bond (Si-O<sub>2</sub>) should have a smaller force constant, thus bringing some of the  $\nu_3$ -derived modes closer in frequency to the  $\nu_1$ -derived modes. By using different force constants for the different Si-O bonds, we are able to obtain the proper mixing of  $\nu_3$  and  $\nu_1$  modes. We believe that this could be the reason why previous lattice-dynamics calculations did not obtain the correct  $\nu_1$ - $\nu_3$  mixing; the Si-O force constants used were too large for the Si-O<sub>2</sub> bond.

The  $\nu_3$ - and  $\nu_1$ -derived modes (Table 6, column 4) are increased further by the Mg-O interaction but only slightly ( $\simeq 10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) whereas the  $\nu_4$ - and  $\nu_2$ -derived modes are increased a little bit more ( $\simeq 30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ).

The force constants of the Mg-Si and Mg-Mg interactions have very small effects on the vibrational modes.

## Isotopic frequency shifts and mode assignments

Paques-Ledent and Tarte (1973) had performed isotopic substitution experiments on forsterite. They replaced <sup>28</sup>Si by <sup>30</sup>Si and <sup>24</sup>Mg by <sup>26</sup>Mg and measured the frequency shifts. Previous lattice-dynamics calculations (Iishi, 1978; Price et al., 1987) have assigned the following characters to the three highest  $A_g$  modes: 965 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $(\nu_3)$ , 856 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $(\nu_3)$ , and 824 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $(\nu_1)$ . However, Paques-Ledent and Tarte found significant mixing of  $v_3$  and  $v_1$ characters for the 856 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 824 cm<sup>-1</sup> modes. The possibility of the 856 cm<sup>-1</sup> mode having a strong  $v_1$  character has also been suggested by Piriou and McMillan (1983). The isotopic experimental result places further constraints on the potential parameters because a proper ratio of Si-O and O-O force constants is needed to reproduce the correct mixing of  $v_3$  and  $v_1$  characters. We have calculated the isotopic frequency shifts using the pairpotential model and compared our results with the isotopic exchange results of Paques-Ledent and Tarte (see Table 5). The masses for Si and Mg are computed according to the percentage of isotopic species in Paques-Ledent and Tarte's experiment. Since their data were not polarized measurements, we first matched their experimental frequency with the polarized measurement (Iishi, 1978) and then compared the calculated isotopic shift with the experimental shift. The agreement for the Raman mode is very good. Since Paques-Ledent and Tarte's infrared frequencies are quite different from those of Iishi (1978), there might be errors in matching up the frequencies. Furthermore, Piriou and McMillan (1983) had suggested that some of the infrared modes may be leakage from other longitudinal optical (LO) modes. We have not taken that into account.

From a rough estimate, a pure  $\nu_3$ -derived mode of forsterite would have an isotopic shift of about 12 cm<sup>-1</sup>, when <sup>28</sup>Si is replaced by <sup>30</sup>Si. A pure  $\nu_1$ -derived mode has zero frequency shift for Si-isotope substitution. According to our calculated isotopic shift, the 965 cm<sup>-1</sup> mode is almost entirely  $\nu_3$ -derived. The 865 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the 825 cm<sup>-1</sup> modes are very close in frequency; therefore there is a strong  $\nu_1$ - $\nu_3$  mixing. The 865 cm<sup>-1</sup> mode can be assigned approximately as 40%  $\nu_3$  and 60%  $\nu_1$ , and the 825 cm<sup>-1</sup> mode as 60%  $\nu_3$  and 40%  $\nu_1$ .

# Relationship between the high-frequency modes and structure of olivines

There is a systematic decrease of the high-frequency modes of olivines as the size of the cation increases (e.g., Piriou and McMillan, 1983). From Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> to  $\gamma$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>, the highest mode,  $\omega_3$ , decreases by 40 cm<sup>-1</sup>, the next highest mode,  $\Omega_+$ , decreases by 17 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and the third-highest mode,  $\Omega_{-}$ , decreases by 11 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Piriou and McMillan, 1983). From isotopic experiment and relative Raman intensities, it is concluded that there is significant  $\nu_1 - \nu_3$  mixing in  $\Omega_{-}$  and  $\Omega_{+}$ . In Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>,  $\Omega_{+}$  has more  $\nu_{1}$  character, whereas in  $\gamma$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>,  $\Omega_{-}$  has more  $\nu_1$  character, i.e., there is a crossover behavior. Piriou and McMillan (1983) used a model of two coupled harmonic oscillators to correlate the decrease in the frequencies with the crossover behavior in the mixing. They started with three simple harmonic oscillators whose natural frequencies are  $\omega_3$ ,  $\omega_2$ , and  $\omega_1$  and whose characters are  $\nu_3$ ,  $\nu_3$ , and  $\nu_1$ , respectively. The  $\omega_2$  and  $\omega_1$  modes were assumed to be coupled with a coupling parameter  $\beta$ . Because of coupling, the eigen-frequencies are  $\Omega_+$  and  $\Omega_-$ , where

$$\Omega_{\pm}^{2} = \frac{\omega_{1}^{2} + \omega_{2}^{2}}{2} \pm \left[ \left( \frac{\omega_{1}^{2} - \omega_{2}^{2}}{2} \right)^{2} + \beta^{4} \right]^{\nu}.$$
 (4)

[There is a typographical error in Eq. 1 of Piriou and McMillan (1983).] The amount of  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_3$  characters in the  $\Omega_+$  and  $\Omega_-$  mode depend on the relative values of  $\omega_2$  and  $\omega_1$  (see Piriou and McMillan, 1983, Eqs. 2a to 3b). If  $\omega_2$  is greater than  $\omega_1$ , the lower mode has more  $\nu_1$  character. They *assumed* that these three frequencies decrease as a function of some parameter X;  $\omega_1 = 858 - 24X$ ;  $\omega_2 = 848 - 11.5X$ ;  $\omega_3 = 993 - 43.5X$ . The value of X for a given olivine was obtained using the assumed equation for  $\omega_3$ . Some questions that one may ask are, (1) What is the physical meaning of the parameter X? and (2) Does the success of Piriou and McMillan's model in correlating the two observed trends imply that both trends have the same microscopic origin?

In view of our discussion in the section on Dependence of Vibrational Modes, the decrease of the high-frequency modes could, in principle, be caused by a decrease in the Si-O force constant, the intratetrahedral O-O force constant, or the intertetrahedral O-O force constant. Qualitatively, the parameter X represents a combination of these three interactions, but quantitatively, which interaction is dominant? First, we note that the decrease in  $\omega_3$  is much greater than that of  $\Omega_+$  and  $\Omega_-$ . The  $\omega_3$  mode is basically Si-O<sub>1</sub> stretch, which has the shortest bond length. As the cation size increases, the SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron becomes more regular, and the Si-O<sub>1</sub> bond increases. On the basis of our quantitative calculations, we find that the increase in the Si-O<sub>1</sub> distance alone cannot account for the large decrease in  $\omega_3$ . The Si-O<sub>1</sub> distance is 1.634 Å in  $\gamma$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> as compared to 1.615 Å in Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. We simulate the effect of Si-O<sub>1</sub> bond increase by decreasing the covalent term. As previously discussed, d = 3.8 for a Si-O distance of 1.615 Å and d = 3.6 for 1.634 Å. We find that  $\omega_3$  changes from 966 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 945 cm<sup>-1</sup> when the value of d changes from 3.8 to 3.6 (keeping everything else fixed). This is only a 21 cm<sup>-1</sup> decrease as compared to the 40 cm<sup>-1</sup> decrease observed. The intratetrahedral O-O distances increase somewhat (Piriou and McMillan, 1983, Fig. 5) but insignificantly. On the other hand, there is a significant change in the intertetrahedral O-O distances, about 10% from Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> to  $\gamma$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. The dominant contribution to the O-O force constant comes from the Coulomb interaction; a 10% increase in distance causes a 30% decrease in the Coulomb force constant. A consistent explanation is that the decrease in  $\omega_3$  is caused not only by the increase in Si-O<sub>1</sub> distance but also by the increase in the intertetrahedral O-O distance, which decreases the intertetrahedral O-O Coulomb force constants. On the other hand, the  $\Omega_+$  and  $\Omega_-$  modes involved mostly Si-O<sub>1</sub> and Si-O<sub>2</sub> stretching motion, respectively. Since the Si-O<sub>3</sub> and Si-O<sub>2</sub> distances change very little among the olivines (Piriou and McMillan, 1983, Fig. 5), the decrease in  $\Omega_+$  and  $\Omega_-$  is caused mainly by the increase in the intertetrahedral O-O distance.

The mixing of  $v_3$  and  $v_1$  characters depends on the separation of the unperturbed  $\omega_3$  and  $\omega_1$  frequencies (controlled by the Si-O and intratetrahedral O-O force constants) and the strength of the mixing interaction (controlled by the intertetrahedral O-O force constant). As mentioned above, the Si-O<sub>3</sub> and Si-O<sub>2</sub> distances are relatively constant among the olivines; therefore, the dominant effect again comes from the variation in the intertetrahedral distances. For small cations where the intertetrahedral O-O distances are short, the intertetrahedral O-O Coulomb force constants are large, causing a large spreading of the  $v_3$ - and  $v_1$ -derived modes such that the  $v_3$ -derived mode could be lower than the  $v_1$ -derived modes (see also discussion in the section on Dependence of Vibration Modes and Fig. 4c). For large cations, the intertetrahedral O-O force constants are not large enough to cause a large spreading of the  $v_3$ - and  $v_1$ -derived modes (see Fig. 4b). The idea that large O-O Coulomb interaction can raise the  $\nu_1$ -derived frequency above that of the  $\nu_3$ -derived mode is also confirmed in our calculation by artificially increasing the charges on the oxygens. One prediction of our model is that applying pressure would increase the intertetrahedral O-O force constant and cause the lower mode to be more  $v_3$ -like provided that the Si-O distances do not decrease significantly compared to the intertetrahedral O-O distances. One can observe this change in the  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_3$  character with either an isotopic experiment or the relative intensities of the two  $A_{p}$  modes.

### SUMMARY

We have analyzed the microscopic interactions that are responsible for the distortion of the  $SiO_4$  tetrahedron and

the systematic variation of the high-frequency modes of olivines. Our conclusions are summarized as follows:

1. The contraction of the SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron is caused mainly by the Si-O covalent bonding.

2. The skewing of the tetrahedron is caused by forces on Si and O that come from the Si-Mg and O-Mg Coulomb interactions. In particular, the shortening of the Si-O<sub>1</sub> bond is caused by the Coulomb repulsion between the Mg ions at the base of the tetrahedron and the Si ion, which pushes the Si toward the O<sub>1</sub>. The bending forces on O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> are caused by the combined effects of the covalent Si-O interaction and the O-Mg Coulomb attraction.

3. The SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron becomes more regular as the cation size increases because the increase in the cation-Si distance causes a reduction in their Coulomb repulsion and thereby relaxes the shortening of the Si-O<sub>1</sub> bond and because the increase in the cation-oxygen distances reduces the distortion forces (the cation-oxygen Coulomb interaction) on the oxygens.

4. The systematic variation of three highest-frequency  $A_g$  modes,  $\omega_3$ ,  $\Omega_+$ , and  $\Omega_-$  (corresponding to the 824 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 856 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and 966 cm<sup>-1</sup> modes in Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>), in the olivines were examined. The decreases of the  $\Omega_-$  and  $\Omega_+$  frequencies are caused mainly by the reduction in the intertetrahedral O-O Coulomb repulsion as the cation size increases. The decrease in the  $\omega_3$  frequency is caused by both the reduction in the intertetrahedral O-O Coulomb repulsion as the reduction by both the reduction in the intertetrahedral O-O Coulomb repulsion and the reduction in the Si-O covalent interaction due to the lengthening of the Si-O<sub>1</sub> bond.

5. The amount of  $\nu_1$ - $\nu_3$  mixing and the ordering of the  $\nu_1$ - and  $\nu_3$ -derived modes depend on the ratio  $(r_t)$  of the intratetrahedral O-O force constant to the Si-O force constant and the value of the intertetrahedral O-O force constant [intertetrahedral *F* (O-O)]. For the olivines, the ratio  $r_t$  is relatively constant because the average Si-O distance and intratetrahedral O-O distances are relatively constant. However, the intertetrahedral O-O distance varies by 10% as the cation size increases. We propose that the variation in the intertetrahedral O-O Coulomb interaction is responsible for the crossover behavior in the  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_3$  mixing observed in the olivines.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank K. R. Rao and S. Ghose for providing us a preprint of their paper. The Cray computer time was provided by the San Diego Supercomputer Center. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation grant EAR-850891. We thank Glenn A. Waychunas and the two referees for their constructive comments. This is Hawaii Institute of Geophysics contribution no. 2141.

#### **References** cited

- Baur, W.H. (1972) Computer-simulated crystal structures of observed and hypothetical Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> polymorphs of low and high density. American Mineralogist, 57, 704–731.
- Birle, J.D., Gibbs, G.V., Moore, P.B., and Smith, J.V. (1968) Crystal structures of natural olivines. American Mineralogist, 53, 807–824.
- Born, M., and Huang, K. (1954) Dynamical theory of crystal lattices. Oxford University Press, London.
- Brown, G.E. (1980) Olivines and silicate spinels. In Mineralogical Society of America Reviews in Mineralogy, 5, 275–381.

- Burns, G., and Glazer, A.M. (1978) Space groups for solid state scientists, p. 200–205. Academic Press, New York.
- Bushing, W.R. (1981) WMIN, a computer program to model molecules and crystals in terms of potential energy functions. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge.
- Catti, M. (1981) The lattice energy of forsterite. Charge distribution and formation enthalpy of the SiO<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ion. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 7, 20–25.
- (1982) Atomic charges in MgSiO<sub>4</sub> (forsterite), fitted to thermoelastic and structural properties. Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids, 43, 1111–1118.
- Hahn, T., Ed. (1983) International tables for crystallography, vol. A, p. 288, no. 62. D. Reidel, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- Handke, M. (1986) Vibrational spectra, force constants, and Si-O bond character in calcium silicate crystal structure. Applied Spectroscopy, 40, 871–877.
- Hazen, R.M. (1976) Effects of temperature and pressure on the crystal structure of forsterite. American Mineralogist, 61, 1280–1293.
- Iishi, K. (1978) Lattice dynamics of forsterite. American Mineralogist, 63, 1198-1208.
- Kellermann, E.W. (1940) Theory of the vibrations of the sodium chloride lattice. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, A238, 513-548.
- Kieffer, S.W., and Navrotsky, A., Eds. (1985) Microscopic to macroscopic: Atomic environments to mineral thermodynamics. Mineralogical Society of America Reviews in Mineralogy, 14.
- Maradudin, A.A. (1974) Elements of the theory of lattice dynamics. In G.K. Horton and A.A. Maradudin, Eds., Dynamical properties of solids, p. 1–82. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam.
- Matsui, M., and Bushing, W.R. (1984) Computational modeling of the structure and elastic constants of the olivine and spinel forms of Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 11, 55–59.
- Mclarnan, T.J., Hill, R.J., and Gibbs, G.V. (1979) A CNDO/2 molecular orbital study of shared tetrahedral edge conformations in olivine-type compounds. Australian Journal of Chemistry, 32, 949–959.
- Miyamoto, M., and Takeda, H. (1980) An interpretation of the structures of mantle minerals at high pressure in terms of interatomic forces. Geochemical Journal, 14, 243–248.
- Oehler, O., and Gunthard, Hs.H. (1969) Low-temperature infrared spectra between 1200 and 20 cm<sup>-1</sup> and normal-coordinate analysis of silicates with olivine structure. Journal of Chemical Physics, 51, 4719– 4728.
- Paques-Ledent, M.Th., and Tarte, P. (1973) Vibrational studies of olivine-type compounds—I. The i.r. and Raman spectra of the isotopic species of Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. Spectrochimica Acta, 29A,1007–1016.
- Parker, S.C. (1983) Prediction of mineral crystal structures. Solid State Ionics, 8, 179–186.
- Pauling, L. (1960) The nature of the chemical bond (third edition), Chapters 7, 11, and 13. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York.
- Piriou, B., and McMillan, P. (1983) The high-frequency vibrational spectra of vitreous and crystalline orthosilicates. American Mineralogist, 68, 426–443.
- Price, G.D., and Parker, S.C. (1984) Computer simulations of the structural and physical properties of the olivine and spinel polymorphs of Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 10, 209–216.
- Price, G.D., Parker, S.C., and Leslie, M. (1987) The lattice dynamics of forsterite. Mineralogical Magazine, 51, 157–70.
- Rao, K.R., Chaplot, S.L., Choudhury, N., Ghose, S., and Price, D.L. (1987) Phonon density of states and specific heat of forsterite, Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>. Science, 236, 64–65.
- Rao, K.R., Chaplot, S.L., Choudhury, N., Ghose, S., Hastings, J.M., Corliss, L.M., and Price, D.L. (1988) Lattice dynamics and inelastic neutron scattering from forsterite, Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>: Phonon dispersion relation, density of states and specific heat. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 16, 83–97.
- Servoin, J.L., and Piriou, B. (1973) Infrared reflectivity and Raman scattering of Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> single crystal. Physica Status Solidi (b), 55, 677–686.

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED DECEMBER 5, 1988

MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED SEPTEMBER 28, 1989