The hydrous component in garnets: pyralspites

ROGER D. AINES¹ AND GEORGE R. ROSSMAN

Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences² California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91125

Abstract

Natural pyralspite garnets have been found to commonly contain a hydrous component, ranging in concentration from 0.02 to 0.25 wt.% as H₂O. Anhydrous pyralspites of crustal origin are rare. Of forty crustal garnets examined, only two were anhydrous. The most-hydrous garnets were spessartines from igneous pegmatites. Metamorphic garnets had lower water contents, and frequently also contained hydrous inclusions. The infrared absorptions of the hydrous component in the garnet end members are characteristic, and consist of 2 to 4 narrow bands centered at 3640 cm⁻¹ in spessartine, 3500 cm⁻¹ in almandine, and 3670 cm⁻¹ in pyrope. The IR spectra indicate that the hydrous component is not in the form of molecular H₂O; the most likely form is H₄O₄⁴⁻ substituting for SiO₄⁴⁻ but other substitutions involving multiple OH⁻ groups on one site are consistent with the data. The concentration of OH (as H₂O) in garnets may be determined from the integral absorptivity (K) in the 3700–3400 cm⁻¹ region, although K varies with chemistry from 3700–6000 (1 mol_{H1}⁴, o cm⁻²) in end members to 120–600 in intermediate compositions.

Introduction

The hydrous component found in many garnets is routinely ascribed to the hydrogarnet substitution, $H_4O_4^{4-1}$ \rightleftharpoons SiO₄⁴⁻. The characteristics of this substitution are poorly defined in natural garnets, however. This is particularly true of the pyralspite garnets, the pyrope-almandine-spessartine series. In this paper we discuss the nature and extent of the hydrous component commonly found in pyralspite garnets. In a forthcoming paper, we will discuss the grandite series. These papers address the questions of the degree to which all natural garnets may be expected to contain a hydrous component, and whether that hydrous component is the classic hydrogarnet substitution, other substitutional hydroxide, molecular water or fluid inclusions, or alteration and included hydrous phases. Previous studies have concentrated on rare garnets containing 1% H2O or more; we have instead concentrated on understanding the hydrous component in common garnets.

Hydrogarnets were first described by Cornu (1906) and Foshag (1920). Winchell (1933), Flint et al. (1941), Pabst (1937, 1942), and Belyankin and Petrov (1941) brought understanding of the natural hydrogarnets to the point where it was realized that the $H_4O_4^{4-} \rightleftharpoons SiO_4^{4-}$ substitu-

² Contribution No. 3974.

tion accounted for the chemistries of hydrous grandites known at that time. Modern research has focused almost exclusively on synthetic hydrogrossular. A series of papers by Cohen-Addad and co-workers proved, using neutron diffraction and other techniques, that in several synthetic compounds including hydrogrossular there are, in fact, tetrahedral groups of 4OH⁻ replacing the SiO₄⁴⁻ tetrahedron (Cohen-Addad et al., 1964, 1967; Cohen-Addad 1968, 1969). These results were confirmed by Foreman (1968). There are, however, no direct determinations of the H₄O₄ tetrahedral grouping in natural garnets. The proton positions in hydrogarnet type substitutions have only been verified by neutron diffraction in natural materials in henritermierite (Aubry et al., 1969), and by X-ray diffraction in bicchulite (Sahl, 1980) and zunyite (Baumer et al., 1974).

The pyralspite series is not well known for containing a hydrous component. Ackermann et al. (1983) describe the synthesis of hydrous pyropes, which they assume contain the hydrogarnet substitution. Wilkins and Sabine (1973) reported that two spessartines from Sterling Hill, New Jersey, contained up to 2.5 wt.% H₂O. Hsu (1980) synthesized hydrospessartine, but the garnets were reported to be metastable.

In this paper we discuss the extent to which natural pyralspite garnets contain a hydrous component, and the evidence for the speciation of that component. The hydrogarnet substitution $(H_4O_4^4 \rightleftharpoons SiO_4^4)$, or other substitutional hydroxides would constitute a structural hydrous component. They are part of the crystal struc-

¹ Present address: Lawrence Livermore Natl. Laboratory, Earth Sciences Department, P.O. Box 808, L-202, Livermore, California 94550.

ture and their properties are linked to those of the basic garnet structure. Other forms for a hydrous component are basically inclusions of a foreign phase: fluid inclusions, alteration, or included hydrous phases. Regardless of the actual speciation, we report the content of hydrous component as H₂O because that is the species actually measured by our analytical methods. We have tabulated the total amounts of H₂O present in pyralspites from a variety of occurrences and localities, and determined calibrations for structural H₂O content in pyralspites. The major tool used in this study was infrared (IR) spectroscopy, because of its sensitivity to the O-H bond. The use of IR spectroscopy to determine the speciation of trace hydrous components in minerals is discussed by Aines and Rossman, (1984a). Water contents were obtained from infrared integral absorptivity, calibrated by thermogravimetry, P2O5 cell coulometry, and H2 gas manometry.

Methods

Infrared spectra were obtained on doubly polished, single crystal slabs of garnet, using techniques described by Goldman et al. (1977). A Perkin-Elmer model 180 grating infrared spectrometer was used for both room temperature and cryogenic measurements. Near infrared (NIR) measurements were obtained using a Cary 17I grating spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded digitally using an interface with a DEC MINC-11 computer. These spectra were corrected for baseline and then used to determine integrated areas under absorption bands.

Water contents were calculated by calibrating the integrated IR intensities against the water content measured with a DuPont moisture evolution analyzer (MEA). Reagent grade Mg(OH)₂ was used as a standard material; its water content was calibrated using thermogravimetry. The carrier gas used was N₂ or 99% N₂:1% O₂ for samples containing ferrous iron. In these samples it is possible for H₂O to oxidize the iron, evolving H₂ gas which is not detected by the cell. The oxygen gas is added to react with H₂ to regenerate H₂O prior to reaching the electrolytic cell.

100 to 200 mg of coarsely powdered garnet was used for MEA analysis. Samples were held at 110°C until no more moisture was measurable, then heated at ~500°/minute to 1000°C. The moisture evolved was taken as the water content of the garnets. The blank for this technique is $30\pm10 \ \mu g \ H_2O$, as determined from empty boat runs, and runs using identically prepared anhydrous garnet. The precision is approximately 5% of the measurement (exclusive of the error in the blank) as measured using the Mg(OH)₂ standard. The primary errors in this measurement are due to adsorbed water on furnace walls and tightly bound water on particle surfaces that was added during grinding. The water added during grinding ranged up to 0.04 wt.%. It was kept below 0.02% for the analyses reported here by thoroughly drying the mortar and pestle

in a vacuum oven at 160°C and by not overgrinding the samples. The average particle size was 25 μ m.

Water contents were also estimated by thermal gravimetric analysis using a Mettler TA2000 TGA system. The lower detection limit is ~100 μ g with a precision of approximately ±50 μ g. Up to 100 mg of powdered sample was used per run. The primary error in this measurement is due to bouyancy changes in the sample during the course of the run, which is made with constant flow of N₂ gas. Measurements were made up to 1200°C.

Samples 13 and 49 were analyzed by gas volumetric methods. 500 mg of the powdered samples were heated to 1400° and the evolved gas was converted to H₂, then measured manometrically. This analysis was performed in James O'Neil's laboratory at the USGS, Menlo Park, California, facility.

Major element analyses were performed using the Caltech MAC5 automated microprobe, with data reduction methods described by Bence and Albee (1968) and the alpha factors of Albee and Ray (1970). A pyrope collected by T. McGetchin from the Moses Rock diatreme, Utah, was used as a secondary standard (Champion et al., 1975). This garnet is homogeneous and has been extensively used as a microprobe secondary standard. It is included in Table 1, Sample #110. In no instance was there sufficient H_2O in these garnets for the microprobe analyses to be significantly silica deficient.

Samples

A total of 75 pyralspite garnets were surveyed for the presence of a hydrous component. A summary of the localities and occurrences of samples that were analyzed in detail is given in Table 1. The garnets were museum specimens, and details of associated phases can not be obtained directly. Table 1 contains references to the detailed petrology of the localities, where available. Only representative samples from several diatreme and kimberlite localities are listed in Table 1. A more complete discussion of the hydrous mantle garnets encountered during the course of this project will be published later. Samples were chosen to represent the common range of natural chemistries of pyralspite garnets, to represent the common occurrences, and to be a sufficient size (and lacking inclusions) for accurate analysis.

The necessary size of gemmy material is approximately 250 μ m in diameter by 1 mm in thickness for spectroscopic analysis. More material is required for the analytical techniques used for H₂O concentration. Accordingly, analytical results on large samples were used to calibrate the infrared integral absorptivity so that water contents could be measured on extremely small samples. The effects of inclusions on spectroscopic results were determined by studying samples which contained zones of high and low inclusion content. All samples were doubly polished for IR analysis, and the same sample was then analyzed by microprobe utilizing the existing polished surface.

2 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 4 Rutherford #2, Amelia, Va Spess 5 Rutherford #2, Amelia, Va Spess 10 Tanzania Spess 11 Unknown, Bast Africa (?) Py-Alm 12 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess-Alm 13 Asbestos, Quebec Gross 19 Franklin, N. Jersey Gross-Spess 21 Unknown Py-Alm 22 Brazil Alm-Spess 23 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 29 Spuce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 29 Sorce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 29 Spess Gree Mt. Norway Py 20 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 21 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 25 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 26 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 27 North River, N. York Py-Alm 28 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 29 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 20 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm	Occurrence, Comments	Number	Reference
4 Rutherford #2, Amelia, Va Spess 5 Rutherford #2, Amelia, Va Alm-Spess 10 Tanzania Spess-Alm 11 Unknown, East Africa (?) Py-Alm 12 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess-Alm 13 Asbestos, Quebec Gross 19 Franklin, N. Jersey Gross-Spess 21 Unknown Py-Alm 22 Brazil Alm-Spess 23 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 29 Spuce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 20 Spuce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 21 Brazil Alm-Spess 22 Rincon, Calif. Spess-Alm 23 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 29 Source Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 20 Speuce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 21 Ugelvik, Norway Py 22 Rincon, Calif. Alm-Pyr 29 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 21 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py-Alm 25 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm	Igneous pegmatite	7765	
5 Rutherford #2, Amelia, Va Alm-Spess 10 Tanzania Spess-Alm 11 Unknown, East Africa (?) Py-Alm 12 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess-Alm 13 Asbestos, Quebec Gross 19 Franklin, N. Jersey Gross-Spess 21 Unknown Py-Alm 22 Brazil Alm-Spess 23 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 24 Brazil Alm-Spess 25 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 26 Spruce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 27 Spruce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 28 Groen K. New York Alm-Pyr 29	Igneous pegmatite	6725	Sinkankas, 1968
10 Tanzania Spess-Alm 11 Unknown, East Africa (?) Py-Alm 12 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess-Alm 13 Asbestos, Quebec Gross 19 Franklin, N. Jersey Gross-Spess 11 Unknown Py-Alm 12 Brazil Alm-Spess 13 Asbestos, Quebec Gross 14 Pranklin, N. Jersey Py-Alm 12 Brazil Alm-Spess 13 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 14 Spruce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 15 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 16 Gereen Knobs, N. Mex. Py 17 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 18 Mit. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm-Pyr 19 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 19 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 10 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 11 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 12 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py 13 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess	Igneous pegmatite	6725	Sinkankas, 1968
11 Unknown, East Africa (?) Py-Alm 12 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess-Alm 13 Asbestos, Quebec Gross 19 Franklin, N. Jersey Gross-Spess 11 Unknown Py-Alm 12 Brazil Alm-Spess 13 Asbestos, Quebec Gross 19 Franklin, N. Jersey Gross-Spess 21 Unknown Py-Alm 22 Brazil Alm-Spess 33 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 29 . Spess-Alm 32 Rincon, Calif. Spess-Alm 33 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 34 Ugelvik, Norway Py 35 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 51 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 61 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 62 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 78	-0	15001	
12 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess-Alm 13 Asbestos, Quebec Gross 19 Franklin, N. Jersey Gross-Spess 19 Franklin, N. Jersey Gross-Spess 21 Unknown Py-Alm 22 Brazil Alm-Spess 23 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 27 Spruce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 28 3 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 29 9 9 9 32 Rincon, Calif. Spess-Alm 34 Ugelvik, Norway Py 35 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 64 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa Alm-Spess		15002	
13 Asbestos, Quebec Gross 13 Asbestos, Quebec Gross 19 Franklin, N. Jersey Gross-Spess 21 Unknown Py-Alm 22 Brazil Alm-Spess 23 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 27 Spruce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 28 32 Rincon, Calif. Spess-Alm 29 32 Rincon, Calif. Alm 29 32 Rincon, Calif. Alm 30 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 31 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 32 Rincon, Calif. Alm 33 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 34 Ugelvik, Norway Py 35 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 68 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 70 North River, N. York <t< td=""><td>Igneous pegmatite (?)</td><td>15003</td><td></td></t<>	Igneous pegmatite (?)	15003	
19 Franklin, N. Jersey Gross-Spess 19 Franklin, N. Jersey Gross-Spess 21 Unknown Py-Alm 22 Brazil Alm-Spess 23 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 27 Spruce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 28 Incon, Calif. Spess-Alm 29 Sore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 29 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 35 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 64 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 65 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Groos-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Yancy Co., N. Car. 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Mose	Altered ultramafic body	15004	Grice & Williams, 1979
1 Unknown Py-Alm 21 Unknown Py-Alm 22 Brazil Alm-Spess 23 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 27 Spruce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 28 3 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 29 3 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 33 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 34 Ugelvik, Norway Py 35 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 58 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 64 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Yancy Co., N. Car. 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110		N.M.N.H.#11318*	Frondel, 1972
22 Brazil Alm-Spess 23 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 27 Spruce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 28 32 Rincon, Calif. Spess-Alm 33 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 34 Ugelvik, Norway Py 35 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 61 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Yancy Co., N. Car. Alm-Spess 104 Rock Mica Mine, Yancy Co., N. Car. Alm-Spess 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 114		15005	
23 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 23 Wrangell, Alaska Alm 27 Spruce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 28 29 32 32 Rincon, Calif. Alm 34 Ugelvik, Norway Py 35 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 56 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 64 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 65 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Groos-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Yancy Co., N. Car. 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 12 Podsedice, Czech. Py 13 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py <td>1</td> <td>15006</td> <td></td>	1	15006	
27 Spruce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 28 Spruce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 29 Spruce Pine, N. Car. Spess-Alm 31 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 32 Rincon, Calif. Alm 33 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 34 Ugelvik, Norway Py 35 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 61 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 62 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Yancy Co., N. Car. 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Mosees Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 <td>Metemorphic, schist</td> <td>15007</td> <td>Sinkankas, 1976</td>	Metemorphic, schist	15007	Sinkankas, 1976
28 29 31 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. 33 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. 34 Ugelvik, Norway 35 Gore Mt. New York 41 Geren Knobs, N. Mex. 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. 55 Anakapelle, India 57 North River, N. York 57 North River, N. York 57 North River, N. York 56 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. 57 North River, N. York 56 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. 57 North River, N. York 56 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. 57 North River, N. York 58 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil 59 Roberts Victor Mine, 78 Moses Gock, N. Car. 105 Broken Hill, Australia 110 Moses Rock, Utah 711 Chanthaburi, Thailand 112 Podsedice, Czech. 713 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. <td< td=""><td>Imeous permatite. Zoned.</td><td>1738</td><td>Parker, 1952</td></td<>	Imeous permatite. Zoned.	1738	Parker, 1952
29 32 Rincon, Calif. Spess-Alm 33 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 34 Ugelvik, Norway Py 35 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 61 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 65 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 66 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Yancy Co., N. Car. Gross-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Yancy Co., N. Car. Alm-Spess 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Other zones in Sample 27:		
32 Rincon, Calif. Spess-Alm 33 Mt. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 34 Ugelvik, Norway Py 35 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 64 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 64 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa Im-Spess 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	27 rim, 28 middle, 29 core		
33 Mit. San Jacinto, Calif. Alm 34 Ugelvik, Norway Py 35 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 61 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 65 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 66 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Yancy Co., N. Car. 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Igneous pegmatite	15008	Jahns & Wright, 1951
34 Ugelvik, Norway Py 35 Gore Mt. New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 61 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 63 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 64 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py 80 Kock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Xepolith in tonalite	9968	Hill, 1984
 35 Gore Mt, New York Alm-Pyr 35 Gore Mt, New York Alm-Pyr 49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 64 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 65 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 66 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 67 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 	Ultramafic body	15009	Carswell, 1968
49 Green Knobs, N. Mex. Py 55 Anakapelle, India Py-Alm 56 Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 57 North River, N. York Py-Alm 61 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 64 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 65 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Xancy Co., N. Car. Alm-Spess 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Metamorphic gneiss	15010	Levin, 1950
 Grein Milos, Miler, Miler, Py-Alm Sakapelle, India Py-Alm Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Yancy Co., N. Car. Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess Moses Rock, Utah Py Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 	Distreme megacryst	15011	Smith & Levy, 1976
 Anatopere, Mint Py-Alm Casey Bay, Antarctica Py-Alm Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Yancy Co., N. Car. Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess Moses Rock, Utah Py Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 	Metamorphic, granulite	Ed Grew #3080L	Grew, 1982
 Sonorth River, N. York Py-Alm Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess Moses Rock, Utah Py Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 	Metamorphic, granulite	Ed Grew #2434E	Grew, 1981
31 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 61 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 64 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 65 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 78 Minas Gereis, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Yancy Co., N. Car. 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Metamorphic, granulite	10244	Levin, 1950
64 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 65 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 78 Minas Gereis, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Yancy Co., N. Car. 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 12 Podsedice, Czech. Py 13 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Distreme megacryst	15013	Hunter & Smith, 1981; Swizter, 1977
 65 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 65 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py-Alm 78 Minas Gerais, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Yancy Co., N. Car. 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 	Diatreme megacryst	15013	Hunter & Smith, 1981; Switzer, 1977
00 Ominas Gereis, Brazil Spess 78 Minas Gereis, Brazil Spess 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Alm-Spess 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Diatreme megacryst	15013	Hunter & Smith, 1981; Switzer, 1977
10 Minus Ostrin, S. Afr. Py 89 Wesselton Mine, S. Afr. Py 95 Roberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa 104 Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Yancy Co., N. Car. 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Igneous pegmatite (?)	15014	
 Boberts Victor Mine, Gross-Py South Africa Rock Mica Mine, Alm-Spess Yancy Co., N. Car. Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess Moses Rock, Utah Py Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py Cossedice, Czech. Py Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py 	Kimberlite megacryst	Harvard #12528	
35 Roberts Victor Mille, South Africa Clease Ty 104 Rock Mica Mine, Yancy Co., N. Car. Alm-Spess 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Kyanite bearing eclogite	N.M.N.H.#87375	
104 Rock Mica Mine, Yancy Co., N. Car. Alm-Spess 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	nodule		
Yancy Co., N. Car. Alm Spess 105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Igneous pegmatite	1731	Parker, 1952
105 Broken Hill, Australia Alm-Spess 110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Puccan bollinging	4	,
110 Moses Rock, Utah Py 111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py		11826	
111 Chanthaburi, Thailand Alm-Py 112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Distreme megacryst	15016	McGetchin & Silver, 1972
112 Podsedice, Czech. Py 113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py	Nodules in alkali besalt	9964	
113 Garnet Ridge, Ariz. Py		15017	Hintze, 1897, p. 63
The carnet hinge, Ariz, ry	Distreme	15013	Hunter & Smith, 1981; Switzer, 1977
	Diatreme	15013	Hunter & Smith, 1981; Switzer, 1977
112 Gallet Riuge, Alia. Fy 118 St Lewronce N Vork Alm	Metamorphic, grapulite	15012	Levin, 1950

Table 1. Localities and occurrences of garnets used in this study

Results

Forty pyralspite garnets of crustal origin were studied; all but two were hydrous, as indicated by the presence of absorption bands in the 3400 to 3700 cm⁻¹ region. Peaks in this region are typical of O–H stretching absorptions (e.g., Aines and Rossman, 1984a). Typical "water" contents are 0.1 wt.%, ranging up to 0.25 wt.%. This hydrous component is structural, not due to inclusions or alteration which may considerably increase the apparent water content. Pyralspites of mantle origin are also frequently hydrous.

Figures 1 and 2 show the major element chemistry of the garnets studied and the integrated IR absorptivity due to structural hydrous component. Water contents may be calculated from integrated IR absorption as shown in the scale bar in Figure 1 which was calibrated using MEA and TG results. Only the concentration of structural hydrous component is shown in Figures 1 and 2. Some samples also contained hydrous inclusions or were altered and the relative amount of water held in structural versus nonstructural sites could not be determined. These are shown as squares containing a cross in Figures 1 and 2. Samples that were badly altered, largely metamorphic garnets, are not included in these figures. The pyrope-almandine and spessartine-almandine series are plotted against grossular because most pyralspites contain some calcium. In many respects it is appropriate to consider the octahedral Al³⁺ garnets (pyrope, almandine, spessartine, grossular) as a single group. One representative end-member grossular is included in Table 1. A discussion of the grossular– andradite series is in preparation.

"Water" contents

Figures 1 and 2 show the content of hydrous component in the garnets studied in terms of integrated infrared absorption measured from 3750 cm^{-1} to 3400 cm^{-1} . This method of measuring the hydrous component is very sensitive, limited only by the sample thickness available, but must be calibrated by another method in order to obtain absolute "H₂O" concentrations. The primary calibration method used was P₂O₅ cell coulometry using the moisture evolution analyzer. Table 2 shows the results of MEA calibration of the IR integrated absorbance. Samples listed here were analyzed first by IR, then ground for MEA. The strong zoning present in some samples (for example up to a factor of 10 for points separated by 4 mm in sample numbers 27–29) required that the same area used for IR be powdered for MEA.

The result of primary interest in Table 2 is the large variation in integral molar absorptivity seen between endmember and intermediate-chemistry garnets. Spessartine



Fig. 1. Chemistries and "water" contents of pyropealmandine garnets studied. Cation contents are plotted as mole %. None of the garnets deviate significantly from $M_3^{2+}Al_2Si_3O_{12}$, M = Ca,Mg,Fe. The vertical axis is integral infrared absorbance in the region 3750 to 3400 cm⁻¹, which is related to water content as shown in the scale and in Table 2. Squares with no vertical extension and which are filled with a cross represent samples that were too badly altered to determine the content of structural hydrous component, even though the IR pattern indicated that one was present. Sample numbers refer to Table 1.



Fig. 2. Chemistries and "water" contents of spessartinealmandine garnets studied. Same scale as Fig. 1. None of these samples differs significantly from $M_3^{2+}Al_2Si_3O_{12}$, M =Mn,Fe,Ca. Vertical extension has not been shown for samples 4 and 13, which have very large integral absorbances due to the large molar absorptivity of these end member garnets; their water contents are similar to those of the other samples (see Table 2).

Table 2. Integral molar extinction coefficients

Sample Number		H2O* (wt%)		K# (liter mol ⁻¹ cm ⁻²)	Composition (mole %)
2		0.084	±.04	3700	Mn ₉₇
4	青菁	0.25	±.01	5900	Mn97
9		0.36	±.02	5820	Mn ₉₅
10		0.059	±.03	116	Mn ₆₀ Fe ₄₀
12	##	0.17	±.02	620	MnssFeas
13	##	0.18	±.01	** 8000	C807 45
35		0.06	±.01	180	MgarFerr
49		0.08	±.02	** 260	Mg- Fee
104		0.27	+.02	1103	Mp - Fe
113	##	0.15	+.03	340	Ma 45 855
114		0.22	±.03	320	Mg75Fe25 Mg75Fe25

- Determined by MEA analysis. Errors based on duplicate measurements and known error in MEA measurement which is largely a function of the amount of sample available.
- ** Determined by H₂ gas volume. For Sample 13, MEA gave identical results. No MEA available for Sample 49.
- # Determined from integral absorption from 3750 to 3400 cm⁻¹.

These samples were checked for complete dehydration at 1000°C by heating a slab in air for four hours. All lost at least 95% of their original IR intensity.

and grossular have a molar absorptivity (K) of around 5000 to 6000 ($l \text{ mole}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) while the spessartinealmandines and pyrope-almandines have absorptivities of 200 to 500. Some of the variability seen in Table 2 may be ascribed to analytical error. Major sources of error include alteration in the sample and surface hydroxyl which forms during grinding even in a low humidity atmosphere. This averaged 0.02 to 0.04 wt.%. These problems may account for the variability among each group, but the extreme difference between the end-members and intermediate chemistries is considerably larger than any possible error.

Two of the samples in Table 2 were analyzed by the H₂ gas volume technique. Sample 49 was not analyzed by MEA because of small sample size. Sample 13 was analyzed by both techniques and both gave identical results within error: MEA (two analyses) 0.18% (±0.01); H_2 gas 0.17% (±0.02). Sample 13 is from the same locality as the material used by Westrum, et al. (1979) in a study of the thermophysical properties of grossular. They obtained a water content of 0.19 wt.%. Several samples were also measured using thermogravimetry. The errors due to buoyancy for small samples were considerably larger than the corrected weight losses, and all samples studied in this way gave considerably greater weight losses than indicated by MEA. Sample 13, for instance, lost 0.53 wt.% from 100 to 1100°C. The sample weighed 112 mg. Considerably larger samples are required for accurate TG work, and no single sample was large enough. An average sample of 500 purple and red garnets from the Garnet Ridge, Arizona, diatreme was ground and sieved to pass 200 mesh but not pass 325 mesh. In this

way a 1-gram sample was available for TG, and it yielded a weight loss of 0.14%. The same sample gave an MEA result of 0.12%, confirming that the values in Table 2 are not artifacts of small sample size. Individual garnets from the same locality yielded from 0 to 0.22 wt.% H₂O by MEA, in accord with their integrated IR absorbances (Table 2).

The complete dehydration of the garnets measured by MEA was verified in four cases (Table 2) by heating a doubly polished slab at 1000°C for four hours. All four showed greater than a 95% loss of integrated intensity in the infrared spectrum of the O-H region after this treatment, indicating that the MEA analysis at 1000°C is valid. TG curves for single samples and the 500-stone average Garnet Ridge sample show continuous weight loss from 200° to 1000° when heated at 5°C/min. The structural hydrous component in pyralspites is not stable to very high temperatures, if at all, at $P_{\text{tot}} = 1$ bar.

Infrared analysis

IR spectroscopy was used to determine the nature of the hydrous component, if present, and to calculate the total water content from the integral absorption intensity.

End-members. The IR absorption patterns for typical end-members of the octahedral Al^{3+} garnets are shown in Figure 3. Only the grossular and spessartine are true endmembers. The pyrope and almandine both contain ~20 mol% of almandine and pyrope component, respectively. The examples shown in Figure 3 are all gemmy crystals with no hydrous inclusions or alteration.

Two important results of the infrared analysis of pyralspites are exhibited in Figure 3. (1) End member patterns are composed of multiple absorption peaks. (2) The location of the center of the multiple peaks varies with the chemistry. The grossular and spessartine end-member patterns are best known since multiple examples of them were available. All end-member grossulars and spessartines studied have IR spectra similar to those in Figure 3. The multiple peaks in almandine are not as well-resolved as those in grossular and spessartine. All pyropic garnets studied have patterns similar to that seen in Figure 3, but as discussed below this is probably not an end-member spectrum. The end-member pyrope pattern is not well determined because of the absence of stoichiometric pyropes in nature and the low water content of common Mg²⁺-rich garnets.

The spectra shown in Figure 3 were obtained at -196° C to enhance the separation between peaks. At room temperature the spectra differ only in appearing less well resolved; all the peaks may be identified but may occur as shoulders rather than distinct peaks. The spectra in Figure 3, as well as the other spectra in this paper, have been corrected to remove the effects of a broad Fe²⁺ absorption centered at 4240 cm⁻¹. The Fe²⁺ absorption produces a strongly sloping background which was re-



Fig. 3. Typical single crystal infrared spectra of end-member pyralspite garnets obtained at -196° C. From bottom to top: grossular, sample 13, 0.14 mm thick, spessartine, sample 14, 0.06 mm thick; almandine, sample 23, plotted as 11.0 mm thick; pyrope, sample 49, plotted as 10.0 mm thick. End-member hydrous garnets of these chemistries always give IR patterns like these with the exception of the peaks shown here of absorbance less than 0.2, which are not reproducible and are due to inclusions or alteration. These spectra have been corrected for a sloping baseline due to an Fe²⁺ electronic absorption at 4200 cm⁻¹, with the exception of the pyrope which is uncorrected to show the baseline. In the absence of a hydrous component, all garnets are featureless in the 3700–3400 cm⁻¹ region.

moved using a scaled baseline obtained from a rigorously anhydrous garnet, sample #111.

The consistent nature of the IR spectra of the endmember pyralspites is striking. No exceptions to this behavior were observed in this study; if end-member garnets were hydrous, they gave the IR spectra seen in Figure 3. This is strong evidence that the absorption pattern is intrinsic to garnet rather than from an alteration product or included phase. In other words, there is a structural hydrous component in natural pyralspites

Alteration. Many pyralspites contain a hydrous component that is clearly not structural and, in fact, is not part of the garnet but rather part of an included phase. Water of this type may be recognized by two methods. (1) In garnets with varying concentrations of inclusions or altered regions, any component of the IR spectrum that changes from region to region in the same manner as the inclusion concentration is assumed to be due to the alteration or included phases. (2) Any component of the IR spectrum of a garnet that is inconsistent with the spectra expected for a garnet of that chemistry is assumed to be due to alteration. Criterion (2) could only be applied after many garnets were studied and the patterns of spectral behavior were established.

Figure 4 shows how these criteria are applied to two actual cases. The top two spectra are of an almandine from Wrangell, Alaska. The upper trace is in a region of many inclusions, some of which appear to be chlorite, based on microprobe analysis. Below that is a spectrum of an inclusion-free, gemmy region of the crystal. Identical spectra are obtained in all inclusion-free portions of this crystal. The intrinsic, structural hydrous component



Fig. 4. Example of two altered almandine garnets showing the spectral features which allow alteration and inclusions to be distinguished from a structural hydrous component. The upper two traces are both from a single crystal of sample 23. The uppermost trace shows a region of inclusions, the lower trace a gemmy area which gives a typical pattern for almandine structural hydrous component. The peaks in the upper trace in the 3700–3600 cm⁻¹ region are due to the inclusions or alteration. The sample is plotted as 3.8 mm thick. The lowermost trace shows a 0.7 mm thick almandine from a granulite, sample 118. The extremely broad band underlying the sharp peaks is characteristic of incipient alteration. It extends to 3000 cm⁻¹. All three of these spectra have a sharp peak at 3625 cm⁻¹ which is the characteristic O–H stretching frequency of muscovite, and may be attributed to muscovite inclusions.

in this garnet gives rise to the peak at 3550 cm^{-1} , a peak seen in other almandines, while the higher wavenumber peaks are due to included hydrous phases. The lowest spectrum in Figure 4 is of a spessartine–almandine garnet in which there is incipient alteration. The extremely broad band (~400 cm⁻¹ in width) seen underlying the sharper peaks is characteristic of incipient alteration in which crystallites have not yet formed. It is similar to the spectra seen for fluid inclusions (Aines and Rossman, 1984a). This garnet has obvious cloudy zones of alteration extending several hundred micrometers inward from large cracks.

Intermediate chemistries. The spectral patterns of the intermediate composition pyralspites are more complex than those of the end-members. Once alteration and included phases are removed from consideration, two common factors are seen in the behavior of the intermediate garnets. (1) Multiple peaks occur, and (2) sharp bands of the sort seen in Figure 3 do not occur. The sharpest peaks seen in end-member spessartine are $\sim 10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in width (at half height) while the sharpest bands seen in intermediate compositions are $40-50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ wide, as broad as the entire set of sharp bands seen in the end-members.

Figure 5 shows the infrared spectra of typical members of the spessartine-almandine series. The chemistries of these samples are shown in Figure 2. Although the spectra of the intermediate compositions are complex, they appear to be simple combinations of the end-member patterns. The broad bands of the intermediate compositions appear to be averaged versions of the multiple sharp bands seen in the end-members as, for instance, the two most spessartine-rich samples at the top of Figure 5.

Despite a consistency of spectral behavior in the Mn²⁺-Fe²⁺ series, the transition between spectral patterns is not entirely a smooth function of chemistry. Mn²⁺-rich samples are always dominated by the bands at \sim 3640 cm⁻¹ and \sim 3600 cm⁻¹, and Fe²⁺ garnets are dominated by the bands at \sim 3470 cm⁻¹ and \sim 3540 cm⁻¹. However, as seen in the Mn²⁺-rich samples of Figure 5 (the top two), the relative intensities of the 3640 cm^{-1} and 3600 cm⁻¹ bands may vary widely. This behavior is also seen for the Fe^{2+} -related peaks at ~3470 cm⁻¹ and 3540 cm⁻¹. Several spessartines of near end-member chemistry contain minor peaks in the region observed for Fe^{2+} related peaks. (These samples contain lamellar bands, $\sim 200 \ \mu m$ across, that are slightly more brown than the bulk sample.) The consistent peak locations and slightly variable peak intensities suggest that there is a structural hydrous substitution present, but that factors other than the bulk chemistry may control the extent or structure of the substitution.

Similar behavior is seen in the $Mg^{2+}-Fe^{2+}$ series (Fig. 6), but the smooth progression of spectral pattern with chemistry seen in Figure 5 is not present. Again, there are four fundamental bands, and the high wavenumber pair at \sim 3660 cm⁻¹ and 3560 cm⁻¹ are associated with Mg²⁺-rich garnets, and the low wavenumber pair at 3470 cm⁻¹ and



Fig. 5. Infrared spectra of typical members of the spessartinealmandine series showing the progression of spectral patterns at intermediate chemistries. From bottom to top: sample 23, plotted as 5.5 mm; sample 104, plotted as 1.8 mm; sample 27, plotted as 13 mm; sample 29, plotted as 0.7 mm; sample 5, 0.06 mm thick, and sample 4, 0.06 mm thick. All spectra recorded at -196° C.

3540 cm⁻¹ are associated with Fe²⁺-rich garnets. At the intermediate chemistries (middle trace of Fig. 6), however, the highest and lowest wavenumber bands are greatly diminished in intensity. The IR pattern is dominated by a band that appears to be a combination of the 3560 cm⁻¹ and 3540 cm⁻¹ bands.

Near infrared spectroscopy. The 5200 cm⁻¹ overtone band of molecular water allows one to distinguish between molecular H₂O and OH⁻ ions. This band arises from combined bending and stretching, and cannot occur for OH⁻ ion (e.g., Aines and Rossman, 1984a). Figure 7 shows the near infrared (NIR) spectrum of a hydrous spessartine. Superimposed upon three broad bands (~1000 cm⁻¹ wide) are a number of sharp bands (~20 cm⁻¹ wide). The broad bands are electronic transitions of Fe²⁺. The sharp bands are overtone and combination



Fig. 6. Infrared spectra of typical members of the pyropealmandine series showing the progression of spectral pattern with chemistry. From bottom to top: sample 23, plotted as 15 mm; sample 21, plotted as 20 mm; sample 49, plotted as 9.5 mm thick. Spectra recorded at -196° C.

modes related to the fundamental O–H modes seen at \sim 3600 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 5). None occur at \sim 5200 cm⁻¹, the diagnostic H₂O frequency. All the O–H is in the form of OH⁻ ions. The NIR region is difficult to study in detail because of very low intensities in the hydrous pyralspites, but no band at \sim 5200 cm⁻¹ has been seen.

Discussion

Structure of the hydrous defect

The pyralspite garnets contain two classes of hydrous component: a structural component, and alteration-related water. The alteration-related hydrous component also includes hydrous inclusions and will not be discussed further. The structural hydrous component is of interest because: (1) the "water" contained in the garnet is a record of the environment of formation or later events, and (2) the garnet structure must be changed to accommodate a hydrous component and this may also change the physical properties of the garnet.

The criteria used to distinguish the structural hydrous component from alteration and inclusions, and the spectroscopic features that we have observed for the structural component, provide considerable information about its character. (1) The O–H defect responsible for the hydrous component is OH⁻, not H₂O; the diagnostic 5200 cm⁻¹ H₂O band is not seen. (2) The IR spectra depend only on the chemistry of the garnet, not its origin. There is only one type of structural hydrous component. (3) Multiple



Fig. 7. Near-infrared spectrum of sample 78 showing the sharp overtone absorptions of O-H vibrations superimposed upon the extremely broad absorptions of trace Fe^{2+} in the sample, which occur at 7900, 5900 and 4200 cm⁻¹. The overtone O-H absorptions occur at 7000, 6000, and 4600-4000 cm⁻¹. No O-H absorption peaks occur in the 5200 cm⁻¹ region, indicating that there is no molecular water present.

OH⁻ groups occur in close enough proximity to force them all to vibrate simultaneously, resulting in multiple peak patterns in which the relative intensities of the peaks are constant. These facts provide the basis for a structural interpretation of the hydrous component. It would be a mistake to automatically assume that the hydrogarnet substitution is present in natural pyralspites simply because they are hydrous. However, the above facts all fit an interpretation based on hydrogarnet.

In the hydrogarnet substitution, four OH^- groups replace SiO₄⁴⁻ tetrahedra (Cohen-Addad et al., 1967; Foreman, 1968). The four protons are slightly outside the volume of the tetrahedron as defined by the oxygen centers. These four O-H groups can be expected to yield a total of 3N - 6 = 18 vibrations, four of which are stretching vibrations and would occur near 3400 to 3700 cm⁻¹. Not all four must occur, depending on the exact symmetry of the group. The presence of hydrogarnet substitution in an end-member garnet should be evidenced by an infrared spectrum in the 3500 cm⁻¹ region with up to four peaks. If complete garnet symmetry is maintained there will be two peaks.

The spessartine end-member pattern contains six peaks (Fig. 3) in violation of the four peak limit. However, the two lower-wavenumber peaks vary independently of the four high-wavenumber peaks (Fig. 5). They apparently arise from a separate defect. The grossular and almandine end-member patterns do not violate the four peak limit, and are consistent with the possibility of hydrogarnet substitution. Intermediate chemistry pyralspites are more complex, however. This complexity could be caused by multiple, non-hydrogarnet O-H defects. The simple behavior in binary systems suggests, however, that the multiple peaks are a reflection of site occupancy in the dodecahedral sites which contain the divalent ions. Figure 8 shows a portion of the garnet structure, a tetrahedral site and its two dodecahedral neighbors. Not shown are four octahedral neighbors that share corners with the tetrahedral site. The presence of different cations sharing edges with a tetrahedral site "filled" by $H_4O_4^{4-}$ may be expected to significantly alter the spectra of the H_4O_4 group by reducing the symmetry of the site and because of the large differences in cation size and cation oxygen bond lengths in the pyralspite garnets (Novak and Gibbs, 1971). The consistent change in the spectra in accord with the dodecahedral cation chemistries strongly suggests that the hydrous defect is located in the tetrahedral site.

Since the H₂O contents of the garnets studied are extremely low, considerably fewer than one H4O4 substitution would occur per unit cell. Accordingly, there should be no coupling of vibrations between possible H₄O₄ tetrahedra, and each may be considered independently. In a garnet of intermediate chemistry in a binary system, three combinations of dodecahedral neighbors (for instance, Mn-Mn, Mn-Fe, Fe-Fe) are possible and should yield three distinct infrared spectra. The intermediate-chemistry garnets' spectra can be described as composed of three components: one for each end-member, and a new component only seen in the intermediate chemistry samples. This new component appears to be due to mixed-neighbor pairs around tetrahedral sites. The spectral complexity in Figures 5 and 6 may be explained by this. The sites with neighboring Mg-Fe may give rise to absorption at \sim 3580 cm⁻¹ in pyrope-almandines, and the 3585 cm⁻¹ peak in spessartine-almandine may be assigned to sites with Mn-Fe neighbors.

The absence of resolvable fine structure in the intermediate garnets makes the assignment of actual O–H defect structures difficult. This apparent blurring of the fine structure arises as a result of intermediate chemistries, apparently due to the large number of local environments that are slightly different giving rise to a similarly large



Fig. 8. Portion of the garnet structure showing the two dodecahedral sites that share edges with the tetrahedral site that may contain H_4O_4 .

number of O-H defects that are slightly different and whose O-H absorption frequencies are offset by a few wavenumbers. The juxtaposition of many of these in an IR spectrum would result in a blurring of the sharp endmember pattern. This may be most clearly seen in the top three spectra of Figure 5.

The presence of three sets of peaks in intermediatechemistry garnets is consistent with the hydrogarnet substitution, but is not compelling evidence. An example of one problem with this interpretation is seen in the spessartine spectra in Figures 3 and 5. The postulated Mn,Fe band at 3585 cm⁻¹ is seen in the supposedly endmember spectra. This sample is approximately Mn₉₅Fe₅, so some Mn–Fe pairs are expected, but the intensity of the 3585 cm⁻¹ peaks are far too large to be the result of random mixing of Mn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ dodecahedra with H₄O₄ tetrahedra. If the postulated H₄O₄ defects exist, they must heavily favor association with Mn–Fe neighbors. A similar effect is seen for Mg–Fe (Fig. 6) where the Mg²⁺ end-member pattern is never seen alone, and the postulated Mg–Fe pattern is dominant in all pyropes studied.

Occurrence and "water" content

The most hydrous pyralspite garnets encountered were spessartines and spessartine-almandines from igneous pegmatites. Garnets from metamorphic occurrences were much lower in structural H_2O , but frequently contained hydrous inclusions. Garnets of mantle origin were frequently hydrous, and is discussed in detail by Aines and Rossman (1984b). The majority of the garnets studied which were anhydrous were from the mantle, but only two samples of "crustal" origin were rigorously anhydrous. Sample 112 is from a region known for garnets occurring in metamorphosed mafic rocks, and samples 111 is from an alkali-olivine basalt flow. Both samples may have dehydrated as the host rock was cooling. Anhydrous crustal pyralspites are rare.

The garnets used in this study were chosen to represent the range of occurrences of pyralspites, but the range of water contents that we observed is quite limited, from 0.0 to 0.25 wt.% clustering around 0.1 to 0.15 wt.%. This suggests that the solubility of water in pyralspites is limited, and that the garnets we observed with greater than 0.2 wt.% may be saturated with water. The range that we observe, however, suggests that the water content of garnets may be a useful indicator of water fugacity pending experimental calibration of water solubilities.

Limitations to "water" concentrations in pyralspites

The hydrogarnet substitution has not been previously recognized as an important component of natural pyralspite garnets. The garnets studied here indicate that this lack of identification is due to the extremely low level of the substitution, and not to its frequency of occurrence. Two hypotheses have been previously advanced for the apparent lack of hydrogarnet substitution in pyralspite, and may be applied instead to explain the consistently low levels. The first is that the $H_4O_4^{4-} \rightleftharpoons SiO_4^{4-}$ substitution results in a large volume increase (Cohen-Addad, 1967; Meagher, 1980; Martin and Donnay, 1972) and as such is not favored at the high pressures typical of pyralspite formation. The second hypothesis (Zabinski, 1966) is that Mg-O and Fe-O bond lengths in pyralspites are slightly longer than the average lengths for these bonds in silicates, and that the additional increase in length that would occur during unit cell expansion associated with hydrogarnet substitution destabilizes the hydrous pyralspites. Ca-O bond lengths are comparatively short relative to such bond lengths in other silicates, favoring hydrogarnet formation in grossular-andradite garnets.

These theories may serve to explain the lack of pyralspites containing large amounts of water, such as the 2 to 6% H₂O hydrogrossulars described by Zabinski (1966). The relatively constant H₂O contents of the garnets in this study suggest that low solubility limits are indeed the controlling factor in H₄O₄ substitution in pyralspites. Two studies of synthetic, hydrothermal pyralspites have been made in which the solubility was tested. Hsu (1980) synthesized grossular-spessartines at $P_{\rm H_2O} = 2$ kbar, T =420-750°C. The hydrogrossular Hsu generated gave IR spectra identical to those in this study, with peaks at 3660 and 3620 cm⁻¹. The hydrospessartines were identified on the basis of cell volume expansion (Hsu, 1968) analogous to the grossular-hydrogrossular expansion (e.g., Shoji, 1974; Ito and Frondell, 1967) and are reported to be metastable. However, the sharp bands reported by Hsu as related to H_4O_4 in hydrospessartine appear to be due to oil contamination (Ackermann et al., 1983), a conclusion with which we agree. Thus, there is no spectroscopic evidence of the hydrogarnet substitution given by these hydrothermally produced spessartines and their exact nature remains undetermined. This, combined with the metastability of the synthetic hydrospessartines, makes it difficult to determine the expected extent of $H_4O_4^{4-}$ substitution in natural spessartines.

Ackermann et al. (1983) studied hydrothermally produced pyropes. They found a single, sharp IR absorption band at 3600 cm⁻¹, and a broad band centered near 3470 cm⁻¹ due to fluid inclusions. They report that the 3600 cm⁻¹ band is due to hydrogarnet substitution based on the peak location in synthetic hydrogrossular. Based on the evidence presented here, this seems unlikely because of the dependence of peak location on chemistry. While the pyrope end-member pattern is not well defined by this study, it occurs in the 3670 cm⁻¹ region based on the spectra of the Mg-rich garnets we studied. The presence of fluid inclusions leaves open the possibility of hydrous inclusions or alteration products in their pyropes. Fluid inclusion IR absorption dominates the spectra of their pyropes. Ackermann et al. report that the intensity of their 3600 cm⁻¹ band corresponds to a water content of 0.05% based on the muscovite peak-height molar absorptivity (ϵ) of 170 ℓ mole⁻¹H₂O cm⁻¹. That value is based on peak height, not integral absorbance as reported here, but on the same basis the ϵ from this study would be ~5 ℓ mole⁻¹H₂O cm⁻¹ for intermediate chemistries, to ~150 ℓ mole⁻¹H₂O cm⁻¹ for end members, measured using the most intense peak in the spectrum. Peak height molar absorptivities were not used in this study because of the ambiguity introduced by multiple peaks due to a single hydrous species.

Further work is required to determine the extent and nature of the hydrous component in synthetic pyropes, but the hydrous component seen by Ackermann et al. is present at similar concentrations to those in natural garnets. If they were in fact the same defects, this would be strong evidence of solubility being the limiting factor in natural hydrogarnet substitution. However, the infrared spectra observed by Ackermann et al. do not seem to be consistent with those we observed, and it is unlikely that both represent the same hydrous defect.

Conclusions

Natural pyralspite garnets commonly contain a hydrous component at levels of 0.01 to 0.25 wt.%. Anhydrous pyralspites are rare. The hydrous component has the following characteristics:

1. The hydrogen speciation is OH^- , not H_2O .

2. Multiple hydroxides (2 to 4) vibrate together, indicating close proximity in the structure.

3. IR absorption spectra of different chemistry pyralspites are consistent with dodecahedral cation occupancy affecting a hydrogen defect in the tetrahedral site.

These characteristics are suggestive of the hydrogarnet substitution, $H_4O_4^{4-} \rightleftharpoons SiO_4^{4-}$, but other structural forms for the hydrogen defect(s) which have the above characteristics cannot be ruled out. Natural garnets also frequently contain a hydrous component in the form of alteration or included hydrous phases. The presence of a structural hydrous component may be best differentiated from these by infrared spectroscopy. The presence of hydrogarnet substitution in natural garnets may prove to be a useful indicator of water fugacity. However, the effects of alteration and hydrous inclusions must be carefully considered, particularly in metamorphic garnets where these effects are common.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Douglas Smith (U. Texas), Edward S. Grew (UCLA), Georg Amthauer (Marburg), Stein B. Jacobsen (Caltech), R. Larry Edwards (Caltech), L. T. Silver (Caltech), John S. White (Washington, DC) and Mary L. Johnson (Harvard) for generously providing samples used in this study, and Anne M. Hofmeister (Caltech) and Robert E. Criss (USGS) for conducting the hydrogen manometry. This study was in part funded by the National Science Foundation (Grant EAR-7919987).

References

- Ackermann, L., Cemic, L., and Langer, K. (1983) Hydrogarnet substitution in pyrope: a possible location for "water" in the mantle. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 62, 208–214.
- Aines, R. D. and Rossman, G. R. (1984a) Water in minerals? A peak in the infrared. Journal of Geophysical Research, 89, 4059–4071.
- Aines, R. D. and Rossman, G. R. (1984b) The water content of mantle garnets. Geology, in press.
- Albee, A. L. and Ray, L. (1970) Correction factors for electron microprobe analysis of silicates, oxides, carbonates, phosphates, and sulfates. Analytical Chemistry, 42, 1408–1414.
- Aubry, A., Dusausoy, Y., Laffaille, A., and Protas, J. (1969) Détermination et étude de la structure crystalline de l'henritermiérite, hydrogrenat de symétrie quadratique. Bulletin de Minéralogie, 92, 126–133.
- Baumer, A., Gimenez, H., Caruba, R., and Turio, G. (1974) Remplacements de regroupments atomiques dans la structure zunyite. Bulletin de Minéralogie, 97, 271–277.
- Belyankin, D. S. and Petrov, V. P. (1941) The grossularoid group (hibschite, plazolite). American Mineralogist, 26, 450–453.
- Bence, A. E. and Albee, A. L. (1968) Empirical correction factors for electron microanalysis of silicates and oxides. Journal of Geology, 76, 382–403.
- Beran, A. and Putnis, A. (1983) A model of the OH positions in olivine, derived from infrared-spectrosopic investigations. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 9, 57–60.
- Carswell, D. A. (1968) Possible primary upper mantle peridotite in Norwegian basal gneiss. Lithos, 1, 322–355.
- Champion, D. E., Albee, A. L., and Chodos, A. A. (1975) Reproducibility and operator bias in a computer-controlled system for quantitative electron microprobe analysis. Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Conference of the Microbeam Analysis Society, Las Yegas 1975, 55A-55F.
- Cohen-Addad, C. (1968) Etude structurale des hydroxystannates $CaSn(OH)_6$ et $ZnSn(OH)_6$ par diffraction neutronique, absorption infrarouge et résonance magnétique nucléaire. Bulletin de Minéralogie, 91, 315–324.
- Cohen-Addad, C. (1969) Substitution des groupement (OH)₄ par (GeO₄) dans l'hydrogrenat Ca₃Al₂(OH)₁₂. Formation de nouveaux composés. Bulletin de Minéralogie, 92, 308–310.
- Cohen-Addad, C., Ducros, P., and Bertaut, E. F. (1967) Etude de la substitution du groupement SiO₄ par (OH)₄ dans les composés Al₂Ca₃(OH)₁₂ et Al₂Ca₃(SiO₄)_{2,16}(OH)_{3,36} de type Grenat. Acta Crystallographica, 23, 220–230.
- Cohen-Addad, C., Ducros, P., Durif, A., Bertaut, E. F., and Delapalme, A. (1964) Détermination de la position des atomes d'hydrogéne dans l'hydrogrenat Al₂O₃,3CaO,6H₂O par résonance magnétique nucléaire et diffraction neutronique. Le Journal de Physique, 25, 978–483.
- Cornu, F. (1906) Hibschit, ein neues kontaktmineral. Tschermaks Mineralogische und Petrographische Mitteilungen, 26, 457–468.
- Flint, E. P., McMurdie, H. F., and Wells, L. S. (1941) Hydrothermal and x-ray studies of the garnet-hydrogarnet series and the relationship of the series to hydration products of Portland cement. Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards, 26, 13-33.
- Foreman, D. W., Jr. (1968) Neutron and X-ray diffraction study of Ca₃Al₂(O₄D₄)₃, a garnetoid. Journal of Chemical Physics, 48, 3037–3041.

- Foshag, W. F. (1920) Plazolite, a new mineral. American Mineralogist, 5, 183–185.
- Frondel, C. (1972) The Minerals of Franklin and Sterling Hill. Wiley, New York.
- Goldman, D. S., Rossman, G. R., and Dollase, W. A. (1977) Channel constituents in cordierite. American Mineralogist, 62, 1144–1157.
- Grew, Edward S. (1981) Surinamite, taaffeite, and beryllian sapphirine from pegmatites in granulite-facies rocks of Casey Bay, Enderby Land, Antarctica. American Mineralogist, 66, 1022–1033.
- Grew, Edward S. (1982) Sapphirine, kornerupine, and sillimanite plus orthopyroxene in the Charnockite region of southern India. Journal of the Geological Society of India, 23, 469–505.
- Grice, J. D., and Williams, R. (1979) The Jeffrey mine, Asbestos, Quebec. Mineralogical Record, March-April 1979, 69-80.
- Hill, R. I. (1984) Petrology and Petrogenesis of Batholithic Rocks, Mt. San Jacinto, CA. Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology.
- Hunter, W. C., and Smith, D. (1981) Garnet peridotite from Colorado Plateau ultramafic diatremes: hydrates, carbonates, and comparative geothermometry. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 76, 312–320.
- Hsu, L. C. (1968) Selected phase relationships in the system Al-Mn-Fe-Si-O-H, a model for garnet equilibria. Journal of Petrology, 9, 40-83.
- Hsu, L. C. (1980) Hydration and phase relations of grossularspessartine garnets at $P_{\rm H_{2O}}$ = 2Kb. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 71, 407-415.
- Ito, J. and Frondel, C. (1967) New synthetic hydrogarnets. American Mineralogist, 52, 1105–1109.
- Jahns, R. H. and Wright, L. A. (1951) Gem and lithium bearing pegmatites of the Pala district, San Diego Co., California. California Division of Mines Special Report, 7A.
- Levin, S. B. (1950) Genesis of some Adirondack garnet deposits. Bulletin of the Geological Society of America, 61, 519-565.
- Martin, R. F., and Donnay, G. (1972) Hydroxyl in the mantle. American Mineralogist, 57, 554–570.
- McGetchin, T. R., and Silver, L. T. (1972) A crustal-uppermantle model for the Colorado Plateau based on observations of crystalline rock fragments in the Moses Rock dike. Journal of Geophysical Research, 77, 7022–7037.

Meagher, E. P. (1980) Silicate garnets. In P. H. Ribbe, Ed.,

Reviews in Mineralogy Volume 5, Orthosilicates, p. 25-66. Mineralogical Society of America, Washington, D. C.

- Novak, G. A. and Gibbs, G. V. (1971) The crystal chemistry of the silicate garnets. American Mineralogist, 56, 791–825.
- Pabst, A. (1937) The crystal structure of plazolite. American Mineralogist, 22, 861–868.
- Pabst, A. (1942) Re-examination of hibschite. American Mineralogist, 27, 783–792.
- Parker, J. M. (1952) Geology and structure of a part of the Spruce Pine district, North Carolina. North Carolina Department of Conservation and Development Bulletin, 65.
- Sahl, K. (1980) Refinement of the crystal structure of bicchulite, Ca₂[Al₂SiO₆](OH)₂. Zeitschrift für Kristallographie, 152, 13– 21.
- Shoji, T. (1974) Ca₃Al₂(SiO₄)₃-Ca₃Al₂(O₄H₄)₃ series garnet: composition and stability. Journal of the Mineralogical Society of Japan, 11, 359-372.
- Sinkankas, J. (1968) Geology and mineralogy of the Rutherford pegmatites, Amelia, Virginia. American Mineralogist, 53, 373– 405.
- Sinkankas, J. (1976) Gemstones of North America. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.
- Smith, D., and Levy, S. (1976) Petrology of the Green Knobs Diatreme and implications for the uppermantle below the Colorado Plateau. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 29, 107-125.
- Switzer, G. S. (1977) Composition of garnet xenocrysts from three kimberlite pipes in Arizona and New Mexico. Smithsonian Earth Sciences, 19, 1–21.
- Westrum, E. F., Essene, E. J., and Perkins, D. (1979) Thermophysical properties of the garnet, grossular, Ca₃Al₂Si₃O₁₂. Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics, 11, 57–66.
- Wilkins, R. W. T. and Sabine, W. (1973) Water content of some nominally anhydrous silicates. American Mineralogist, 58, 508-516.
- Winchell, A. N. (1933) Elements of Optical Mineralogy, Part II, 3rd edition. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Zabinski, W. (1966) Hydrogarnets. Polska Akademia Nauk, Komisja Nauk Mineralogicznch, Prace Mineralogiczne, 3, 1– 61.

Manuscript received, October 14, 1983; accepted for publication, June 20, 1984.

1126