

Solubility mechanisms of H₂O in silicate melts at high pressures and temperatures: a Raman spectroscopic study: discussion

FRIEDEMANN FREUND

Mineralogisch-Petrographisches Institut, Universität
D-5000 Köln-41, Federal Republic of Germany

In their paper on the solubility mechanism of H₂O in silicate melts at high pressures and high temperatures, Mysen *et al.* (1980) have used Raman spectroscopy to identify the molecular configurations present in quenched melts of compositions NaAlSi₂O₆, jadeite, and NaAlSi₃O₈, albite. They have used both H₂O and D₂O in various concentrations up to ~40 mole %. Any conclusions reached on the basis of these Raman studies strongly depend upon the band assignments made early in this paper. I would like to point out some errors in the assignments that affect the whole discussion that follows.

On page 903 it is stated with reference to a paper by Stolen and Walrafen (1976) that “Si–OH stretch vibrations are expected in the frequency region near 950 cm⁻¹”. Shortly after it is said: “The analogous Si–OD bands are at frequencies that are lower than those of the OH vibrations by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$.” This statement is inaccurate, because use of the factor $\sqrt{2}$ suggests that one simply takes the root of the mass ratio D/H for calculating the isotope shift factor for all normal modes which involve deuterium and hydrogen respectively.

Internal modes of the OH and OD group, where the O–H or O–D vibrate against each other, must be distinguished from other modes where the OH or OD group behave as an entity vibrating against, for instance, Si or Al.

The stretching frequency $\tilde{\nu}_{12}$ of an oscillator composed of two masses m_1 and m_2 depends upon (a) the force constant K_{12} and (b) the reduced mass μ_{12} :

$$\tilde{\nu}_{12} = \frac{1}{2 \pi c} \sqrt{\frac{K_{12}}{\mu_{12}}} \quad (1)$$

where c is the speed of light. The reduced mass is given by

$$\mu_{12} = \frac{m_1 \cdot m_2}{(m_1 + m_2)} \quad (2)$$

If we consider the O–H stretch vibration, *i.e.*, the

internal vibration of the O–H oscillator, equation 2 becomes

$$\mu_{\text{OH}} = \frac{16 \cdot 1}{(16 + 1)} \quad (2a)$$

Correspondingly for the O–D stretch vibration we obtain

$$\mu_{\text{OD}} = \frac{16 \cdot 2}{(16 + 2)} \quad (2b)$$

Assuming that the force constant for the OH bond is equal to that of the OD bond (which is correct within the limits of the zeropoint energy) we can use equation 1 to calculate the frequency shift factor for essentially “free” OH⁻ and OD⁻:

$$\frac{\tilde{\nu}_{\text{OD}}}{\tilde{\nu}_{\text{OH}}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{\text{OD}}}{\mu_{\text{OH}}}} = \sqrt{\frac{16 \cdot 2}{(16 + 2)} \cdot \frac{(16 + 1)}{16 \cdot 1}} = \sqrt{2 \cdot \frac{17}{18}} \approx 1.37 \quad (3)$$

This value is smaller than the root of the mass ratio D/H, $\sqrt{2} \approx 1.41$. The reason is that, when the H or D vibrates, the O is not at rest: it also vibrates and thus lowers the frequency of the internal O–H and O–D mode (Langer and Lattard, 1980).

However, on p. 903, Mysen *et al.* (1980) specifically refer to the Si–OH stretching band near 950 cm⁻¹; this band does *not* represent an internal O–H mode but an external one where the OH-group, mass 17, vibrates *as a whole* against the Si, mass 28. Correspondingly, in the Si–OD stretching mode the OD group vibrates against the Si. In order to indicate that the internal O–H and O–D vibrations are not excited, I propose to put these rigid entities OH⁻ and OD⁻ into parentheses: Si–(OH) and Si–(OD). If we calculate the reduced masses, we obtain: $28 \cdot 17/(28 + 17)$ and $28 \cdot 18/(28 + 18)$ respectively. The theoretical isotope shift factor thus becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nu}_{\text{Si(OD)}}/\bar{\nu}_{\text{Si(OH)}} &= \sqrt{\mu_{\text{Si(OD)}}/\mu_{\text{Si(OH)}}} = \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{28 \cdot 18}{28 + 18} \cdot \frac{(28 + 17)}{28 \cdot 17}} \approx 1.018 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

If the Si–(OH) stretch vibration occurs at about 950 cm⁻¹ which is correct (Stolen and Walrafen, 1976), the Si–(OD) stretch vibration will not occur at a frequency lower than 933 cm⁻¹. Coupling between Si and the other O²⁻ ligands may decrease this value slightly because in its vibrational motion the Si is not independent of the O²⁻ to which it is linked by rigid Si–O bonds. Hence, if the Si and the OH vibrate against each other, the Si partially drags along the other three oxygen. Thus, instead of calling the mass 28 for Si in equation 4, we have to choose an effective mass in order to take such coupling into account. For strong coupling the Si and its three oxygen ligands can be considered as a rigid entity: X–(OH), where X is equal to (–SiO₃). In this case the X–(OH) *versus* X–(OD) isotope shift factor becomes 1.023 which would bring the stretching band to 928 cm⁻¹. If, as an extreme case, the (OH) and (OD) are allowed to vibrate against an infinitely large and rigid –Si–O–Si–frame, the Si(OD)/Si(OH) isotope shift factor becomes $\sqrt{18/17} \approx 1.029$. This is the highest value allowed by theory. To assume for Si–(OD)/Si–(OH) modes an isotope shift factor in the vicinity of $\sqrt{2}$ is a serious error.

By the same argument the statement made by Mysen *et al.* (1980) on p. 904 can be shown to be wrong: "We conclude, therefore, that the 880 cm⁻¹ band cannot be assigned to Si–H, Si–D, Al–H, Al–D, Si–OH, Si–OD, Al–OH or Al–OD vibrations." The isotope shift factors for Al–(OH) *versus* Al–(OD) stretch vibrations fall into the range between 1.017₅ and 1.029. For a band at 880 cm⁻¹ the shifts will therefore be not more than 15–25 cm⁻¹. Considering the broadness of the Raman bands shown in Figure 2 on p. 904 (Mysen *et al.*, 1980), such a small shift cannot be ascertained. Hence, the 880 cm⁻¹ band may well be due to an Al–(OH) vibration.

In order to arrive at a complete assignment of all

vibrational bands, one would have to carry out a factor group analysis of all possible modes and use force fields to calculate the corresponding frequencies. Unfortunately, at present, silicate glasses are still far too complicated for such a rigorous treatment. In favorable cases isotope shift factors of deuteroylated *versus* hydroxylated samples can be useful, if the appropriate isotope shift factors for internal O–D/O–H and external X–(OD)/X–(OH) modes are used correctly.

Finally, I feel that Mysen *et al.* (1980) may be incorrect with regard to absence of molecular H₂. They state, with reference to a paper by Lucovsky *et al.* (1979),: "the presence of molecular H₂ in the quenched Ab + H₂O melt is ruled out" (p. 905). First, the paper by Lucovsky *et al.* (1979) deals with H-doped silicon, the α–Si:H alloy, and does not mention molecular H₂ at all. Second, the use of the expression "is ruled out" implies total absence of H₂. However, Raman bands of H₂ are weak. One generally needs, with large samples, the equivalent of one bar H₂ pressure, $\approx 3 \cdot 10^{19}$ H₂ per cm³, to obtain a detectable $\nu(\text{H–H})$ Raman signal. The small size of the glass chips used by Mysen *et al.* (1980), ≤ 1 mm³, may have prevented the detection of H₂ even in the mole % range.

References

- André, J. M., Derouane, E. G. and Vercauteren, D. P. (1977) Quantum mechanical approach to the chemisorption of molecular hydrogen on defect magnesium oxide surfaces. *Theoretica Chimica Acta*, 43, 239–251.
- Langer, K. and Lattard, D. (1980) Identification of a low-energy OH valence vibration in zoisite. *American Mineralogist*, 65, 779–783.
- Lucovsky, G., Nemanich, R. J. and Knights, J. C. (1975) Structural interpretation of the vibrational spectra of α–Si:H alloys. *Physical Review*, B, 19, 2064–2073.
- Mysen, B. O., Virgo, David, Harrison, W. J. and Scarfe, C. M. (1980) Solubility mechanism of H₂O in silicate melts at high pressures and temperatures: a Raman spectroscopic study. *American Mineralogist*, 65, 900–914.
- Stolen, R. H. and Walrafen, G. E. (1976) Water and its relation to broken bond defects in fused silica. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 64, 2623–2631.

*Manuscript received, March 6, 1981;
accepted for publication, August 19, 1981.*