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#### Abstract

The structure of paramelaconite, ideal composition $\mathrm{Cu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, has been solved utilizing 113 independent reflections, $R=0.035$. The space group is $I 4_{1} / a m d ; a=5.837, c=9.932 \mathrm{~A}$. The structure contains interpenetrating rods of $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{Cu}^{+}\right.$forming two colinear bonds with oxygen) and $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right.$ forming four bonds to oxygen atoms at the corners of a rectangle). The structure is simply related to those of cuprite and tenorite.


## Introduction

Paramelaconite is an oxide of copper intermediate between $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and CuO . It was first described by Koenig (1891), and more completely by Frondel (1941). Frondel's analysis gave the composition $\mathrm{CuO}_{0.884}$. He determined the space group of the crystal to be $I 4_{1} /$ amd but did not resolve the structure. Authentic specimens are rare. ${ }^{2}$ However, of the two magnificent examples (those examined by Frondel) from the Copper Queen mine, Bisbee, Arizona, one is in the Smithsonian Institution and one in the American Museum of Natural History. A fragment from the Smithsonian Institution specimen, \#112878 (shown on the left in Fig. 1 of Frondel's paper), was kindly made available to us by J. S. White, Associate Curator.

## Experimental

A powder pattern of a crushed fragment was taken with a Guinier-Hägg focussing camera, with KCl ( $a$ $=6.2929 \mathrm{~A}$ ) as an internal standard. This revealed the presence of some tenorite in addition to lines ascribed to paramelaconite. The paramelaconite lines could be indexed with a tetragonal cell with $a=5.837$ and $c=9.932 \mathrm{~A}$ (Table 1). We did not observe the 110 reflection (not allowed in $I 4_{1} /$ amd) with $d=$ 4.027 A, reported by Frondel (1941); it is possible

[^0]that this is a transcription error for $d=5.027$ close to $d=5.032 \mathrm{~A}$ calculated for 101.

The chip selected for structure analysis was an irregular tetrahedron bounded approximately by (100), (010), (001), and ( $\overline{113}$ ), and measuring $0.25 \times$ $0.27 \times 0.17 \mathrm{~mm}$ along $a, b$ and $c$ respectively. Data were collected using an automatic four-circle diffractometer, CAD4 using graphite-monochromatized Mo $K \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.70930 \mathrm{~A}$ ) and a take-off angle of $6^{\circ}$. The $\omega-2 \theta$ scan technique was used with $\Delta \omega=$ $1.1^{\circ}+1.0^{\circ} \tan \theta$. A minimum net count of 3000 for each reflection was attained within the maximum measuring time of 5 min . One octant of reciprocal space (including dependent reflections $h k l, k h l$ ) out to $\theta=35^{\circ}$ was examined. After every 40 measurements three standards were checked. For all three standards during the course of the experiment $R\left(=\Sigma \mid I_{0}-\right.$ $\left.I_{m} \mid / \Sigma I_{0}\right) \leq 0.008$ ( $I_{m}$ is the mean of all observations), so no scaling was necessary. Intensities less than three standard deviations (estimated from counting statistics) were rejected as unobserved. The reflections were corrected for polarisation and absorption. The range of transmission factors was $0.16-0.24(\mu=259$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ). The absorption correction was checked by comparing the deviation between dependent reflections ( $h k l$ and $k h l$ ) which fell from 25 percent before correction for absorption to less than 10 percent after correction. 113 independent reflections were used in the subsequent refinement of the structure. The unitcell dimensions were determined from a least squares refinement of twenty $\theta$-values, ranging from $6.4^{\circ}$ to $25^{\circ}$, collected from the single crystal on the automatic diffractometer using MoK $\alpha$ radiation. Crystallographic data are given in Table 2.

Table 1. Powder data for a mixture of paramelaconite and tenorite

| Present work |  | $l\left(\right.$ calc) ${ }^{* * *}$ | $h$ | $k \geqslant$ |  | Frondel (1941) |  | Tenorite** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{I}_{1}}$ | $d$ (obs) |  |  |  |  | I | $d$ (obs) | $I / I_{1}$ | $d$ |
| 8 | 5.014 | 5.032 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  | vw | 4027 |  |  |
| 10 | 3.151 | 3.174 | 1 | 1 | 2 | vw | 3.115 |  |  |
| 20 | 2.929 | 2.912 | 2 | 0 | 0 | w | 2.888 |  |  |
| 15 | 2.875 | 2.880 |  | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 2.757 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 2.751 |
| 51 | 2.528 | 2.525 | 2 | 11 |  |  |  | 49100 | 2.5302.523 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | 2.508 | 2.516 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | vs |  |  | 2.490 |
| 54 | 2.475 | 2.483 | 0 | 0 | 4 | w | 2.464 |  |  |
| 59 | 2.328 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96 | 2.323 |
| 27 | 2.313 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 2.312 |
| 71 | 2.057 | 2.064 | 2 | 2 | 0 | m | 2.050 |  |  |
| 14 | 2.047 | 2.050 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1.900 | 1.909 | 3 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 1.886 | 1.891 | 2 | 0 | 4 | vw | 1.874 |  |  |
| 37 | 1.869 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 1.866 |
| 22 | 1.712 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 1.714 |
| 100 | 1.582 | 1.587 | 2 | 2 | 4 | $s$ | 1.575 |  |  |
| 34 | 1.508 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 1.505 |
| 95 | 1.455 | 1.459 | 4 | 0 | 0 | m | 1.449 |  |  |
| 10 | 1.450 | 1.154 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 1.436 | 1.440 | 2 | 0 | 6 | m | 1.430 |  |  |
| 17 | 1.419 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 1.418 |
| 36 | 1.409 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 1.410 |
| 39 | 1.377 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 1.375 |
| 17 | 1. 305 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 1.304 |
| 24 | 1.267 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 1.265 |
| 85 | 1. 259 | 1.262 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 7 | 1.262 |
| 78 | 1.255 | 1.258 | 4 | 0 | 4 | s | 1. 251 |  |  |
| 22 | 1.238 | 1.241 | 0 | 0 | 8 | w | 1.233 |  |  |

* not allowed in I4 ${ }_{1}$ famd
** Joint Committee of Powder Diffraclion Standards, 5-0661
*** indexed with the tetragonal unit cell: $a=5.837$ and $c=9.932 \mathrm{~A}$


## Solution and refinement of the structure

Systematic absences confirmed the space group $I 4_{1} /$ amd. A three-dimensional Patterson synthesis led directly to placing copper atoms in $8 c$ and $8 d$ and oxygen in $4 a$ and $8 e: 01 / 4 z \mathrm{etc}$. with $z=0.12$. A preliminary full-matrix least-squares refinement with

Table 2. Crystallographic data

| Space group: | I4 1 /amd (no 141) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit cell: | $a=5.837(1) \AA$ |
| Cell volume: | $\begin{aligned} & c=9.932(2) \AA \\ & 338 \AA^{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| Cell content: | $4\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]$ |
| Formula weight: | 302.18 |
| Density, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : $\mu($ MoK $\alpha)$ | $\begin{aligned} & D_{m}^{*}=5.83 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \\ & D_{m}^{* *}=6.04 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \\ & D_{x}=5.93 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \\ & 259 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ |
| * Koenig (1891) |  |
| ** Frondel (1941) |  |

isotropic temperature factors and a scale factor converged to $R=0.06$.

On the basis of chemical analysis and measured density, Frondel (1941) proposed a unit-cell content of $\mathrm{Cu}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{14}$; accordingly, the possibility of partial occupancy by oxygen of positions $4 a, 4 b$, and $8 e$ was investigated. Least-squares refinement led to the $4 b$ sites being empty and the $8 e$ and $4 b$ sites completely occupied, so that unit-cell content is $\mathrm{Cu}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ (i.e. $\mathrm{Cu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ). The difference between this formula and that determined by, analysis must surely be due to the presence of admixed CuO in analyzed samples. The calculated density (Table 2) is bracketed by two experimental values.

A final refinement was carried out with anisotropic thermal vibration factors for the copper atoms, and scattering factors for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cu}^{+}$and $\mathrm{O}^{-}$were taken from the International Tables for $X$-ray Crystallography (vol. IV, 1974). The secondary extinction coefficient (Coppens and Hamilton, 1970) was also refined. The function minimised was $\Sigma w_{i}\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$ with weights $w^{-1}=\delta^{2}\left(\left|F_{0}\right|\right)+\left(0.004 \cdot\left|F_{0}\right|\right)^{2}$. In the last

Table 3. Atom coordinates and thermal vibration parameters $\left(\beta_{i j} \times 10^{4}\right)^{*}$

|  |  | x | $y$ | 2 | $B\left(A^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{\beta} 11$ | ${ }^{\beta} 22$ | ${ }^{\beta} 33$ | ${ }^{\beta} 12$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{B}} 13$ | ${ }^{1} 23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ | 8 c | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 66 (6) | 93 (6) | 21 (2) | 0 | 0 | -23(4) |
| Cu(2) | $8 d$ | 0 | 0 | 1/2 | - | 55 (5) | 38 (5) | 3 (1) |  |  | 1 (3) |
| O(1) | 8 e | 0 | 1/4 | 0.1173 (9) | 0.8 (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O(2) | $4 \alpha$ | 0 | 1/4 | 3/8 | 0.7 (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]cycle all atom parameters changed by less than 0.02 standard deviations. The extinction coefficient was $4.2(6) \cdot 10^{2}$ and the final agreement indices $R_{w}\left(=\left[\Sigma w_{i}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} / \Sigma w_{i}\left|F_{0}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right)=0.039, R=0.035$ and $S$ $=\left(\left[\Sigma w_{i}\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} /(m-n)\right]^{1 / 2}=3.9\right.$. The positional and thermal parameters are given in Table 3, and observed and calculated structure amplitudes
compared in Table 4. Distances and bond angles are presented in Table 5.

## Discussion of the structure

The chemical formula of paramelaconite is $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{Cu}_{2}^{2+} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. It is clear from the interatomic distances and angles (Table 5) that $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ is cuprous copper

Table 4. Observed and calculated structure amplitudes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 5. Interatomic distances (A) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| Distances $^{*}$ | Angles $^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

The $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$-oxygen rod.
$\mathrm{Cu}(1)-O(1)$
(2x)
$O(1)-O(1)$
$1.867(6)$
$3.735(11)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)-O(1) \\
& \mathrm{Cu}(1)-O(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$-oxygen rod.

| $C u(2)-O(2)$ | $(2 x)$ | $1.916(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C u(2)-O(1)$ | $(2 x)$ | $1.966(6)$ |
| $0(1)-O(2)$ | $(2 x)$ | $2.559(9)$ |
| $O(1)-0(2)$ | $(2 x)$ | $2.920(1)$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& o(1)-\operatorname{Cu}(2)-O(1) \\
& o(2)-\operatorname{Cu}(2)-O(2) \\
& o(1)-\operatorname{Cu}(2)-O(2) \\
& O(1)-C u(2)-O(2)
\end{aligned}
$$

180.0
180.0
$97.5(2)$
$82.5(2)$

The $O(1)$ - Cu tetrahedron.

| $0(1)-\operatorname{Cu}(1)$ | $(2 x)$ | $1.867(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0(1)-\operatorname{Cu}(2)$ | $(2 x)$ | $1.966(6)$ |
| $\operatorname{Cu}(1)-\operatorname{Cu}(2)$ | $(2 x)$ | $2.919(1)$ |
| $\operatorname{Cu}(1)-\operatorname{Cu}(2)$ | $(2 x)$ | $3.229(1)$ |

The $O(2)$ - Cu tetrahedron.

| $O(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | $(4 x)$ | $1.916(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ |  | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | $99.2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | $3.919(1)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-0(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ | $914.8(1)$ |
|  |  |  | $99.2(1)$ |

*Standard deviations are given in parentheses.


Fig 1. The $\mathrm{Cu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ structure. Open circles are oxygen atoms and filled circles are copper atoms. See also Fig 3.


Fig 2. Stereo view down the $a$ axis of the structure of paramelaconite, $\mathrm{Cu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$.
and $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ is cupric copper. Thus $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ has two $\mathrm{O}(1)$ nearest neighbors forming colinear bonds of length 1.87 A , as found in cuprite, $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (in which the bond length is 1.85 A ). Likewise $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$, surrounded by four oxygen atoms in a plane (almost at the corners of a square), is clearly $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$-the bond lengths [1.92 $\mathrm{A}(2 \times), 1.97 \mathrm{~A}(2 \times)]$ and angles being very close to those observed in tenorite, CuO [bond lengths 1.95 $\mathrm{A}(2 \times), 1.96 \mathrm{~A}(2 \times)$, Åsbrink and Norrby, 1970]. In fact, the structure of paramelaconite is simply and elegantly related to the structures both of cuprite and of tenorite.

The structure is illustrated in Figures 1 and 2. It is


Fig 3. (a) The $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}-\mathrm{O}$ rod. Filled circles $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$, open circles $\mathrm{O}(1)$. The broken circles are the $4 b$ positions of $I 4_{1} /$ amd. (b) The $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}-\mathrm{O}$ rod. Filled circles $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$, open circles $\mathrm{O}(1)$, circled cross $\mathrm{O}(2)$.
composed of two kinds of rod ${ }^{3}$ of atoms running through the structure parallel to [100] and [010]. The two kinds of rod are illustrated in Figure 3, and are (a) zig-zag strings of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cu}^{+}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$, and (b) strings of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ rectangles joined by sharing opposite edges. The packing of each kind of rod is as in the body-centered tetragonal cylinder packing shown in Figure 4, which also has symmetry $I 4_{1} /$ amd ( $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Keeffe and Andersson, 1977).

[^2]

Fig 4. Body-centered tetragonal cylinder packing with symmetry $I 4_{1} /$ amd.

Table 6. The structures of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Cu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and " CuO " ( PdO ) referred to a common tetragonal cell


> * symmetry $\operatorname{Pn} 3 m$
> ** with structure of $P d O$, symmetry $P 4_{2} / m m c$

Reference to Figure 3(a) shows that addition of oxygen atoms at sites shown by broken circles (corresponding to the $4 b$ positions) converts a rod of $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$ and oxygen into a rod identical to the rod of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and oxygen. The structure would then be that of PdO or, with a small topological distortion (Wells, 1976), that of CuO. Conversely, removing the $\mathrm{O}(2)$ atoms (in position 4a) from the rods of Figure 3(b) would convert them into the $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$-oxygen rods of Figure 3(a), and the resulting structure is topologically the same as that of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ [to make it exactly that of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ would require changing $c / a$ from 1.70 to $\sqrt{2}$ and changing the $z$ parameter of $\mathrm{O}(1)$ from 0.117 to $1 / 8$ ]. Thus the paramelaconite structure can be described either as derived from the $\mathrm{CuO}(\mathrm{PdO})$ structure by ordered removal of oxygen atoms or as derived from the $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ structure by ordered insertion of oxygen atoms. These relationships are summarized in Table 6 by referring all three structures to a common tetragonal cell.

The paramelaconite structure is closely related to that of $\mathrm{SrCu}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Teske and Müller-Buschbaum, 1970), which has the same symmetry and similar cell dimensions. The $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}-\mathrm{O}$ rods are identical in the two compounds, and Sr replaces the $\mathrm{O}(2)$ of paramelaconite.

An alternative and complementary way of describing the structures of the copper oxides is to note that
the copper arrangement approximates that of cubic close packing (it is exactly that in the cuprite) and oxygen is in the tetrahedral interstices of that packing. The observed structures represent ways in which oxygen can be inserted so that $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$has two colinear bonds and $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ forms four directed bonds to the corners of a rectangle. It is an intriguing topological problem to determine whether other ways of doing this are possible-we think not. This description allows us to understand the magnitude of the axial ratio in paramelaconite ( $c / a=1.702$ ) as a compromise between that for tetrahedral bonds from oxygen $(c / a=2)$ and square coordination for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(c / a$ $=\sqrt{2}$ ).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Permanent address: Chemistry Department, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85281
    ${ }^{2}$ We have examined a number of samples purported to be paramelaconite, all of which proved to be aggregates of cuprite and tenorite. However specimens have been reported from Algomah mine, Ontonagon County, Michigan by Williams (1962).

[^1]:    *Estimated standard eprors in parentheses refer to the last digit. The atoms designated are followed by the wykoff notation for the equipoint of $I_{1} /$ amd. The form of the anisotropic temperature factor is exp $\left(-\beta_{11} h^{2}-\beta_{22} k^{2}-\beta_{33} i^{2}-2 \beta_{12} h k-2 \beta_{13} h 2-2 \beta_{23} k Z\right)$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ We use the term rod in the general sense of a figure with a singular axis but without singular points or planes (Shubnikov and Kopstik, 1974).

