

Stereochemical systematics of ordered $C2/c$ silicate pyroxenes

PAUL H. RIBBE AND ARTHUR R. PRUNIER, JR.

Department of Geological Sciences
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

Abstract

Multiple regression analysis has been used to determine the relationships among the formal charges and Shannon-Prewitt radii of the non-tetrahedral $M1$ and $M2$ cations in thirteen $C2/c$ (or $C2$) pyroxenes and their lattice parameters, their mean M -O and Si-O bond lengths, and their O3-O3-O3 chain angles. Crystal-structure data from three groups of silicate pyroxenes (both natural and synthetic) were used in the regression analyses: Li pyroxenes with trivalent Al, Fe, and Sc in the $M1$ sites, Na pyroxenes with trivalent Al, Cr, Fe, Sc, and In in the $M1$ sites, and Ca pyroxenes with divalent Ni, Mg, Co, Fe, and Mn in the $M1$ sites.

Using only first-order linear regression equations in terms of the radii of the $M1$ and $M2$ cations (r_{M1} and r_{M2}) and the charge q on the $M2$ cation, it was found statistically that more than 98.5 percent of the variation in the a cell dimension can be attributed to the variation in r_{M1} alone, and 97.5 percent in b can be attributed to variations in both r_{M1} and r_{M2} . The parameters $c \sin \beta$ and unit-cell volume require a third term, q , to produce the most highly significant regression equations: $c \sin \beta$ has a standard error of estimate (SEE) of 0.011 Å and volume 2.2 Å³. The mean $M1$ -O distance is linearly related only to r_{M1} (SEE = 0.007 Å) and the mean $M2$ -O distance to both r_{M2} and r_{M1} (SEE = 0.013 Å) or, more significantly, to r_{M1} , r_{M2} , and q (SEE = 0.009 Å). Both the mean Si-O bridge bond lengths (range: 1.624–1.688 Å) and the grand mean Si-O distances (range: 1.618 to 1.644 Å) may be estimated to better than 0.004 Å, and the tetrahedral chain angle O3-O3-O3 (range: from 163.8° in the O-rotated chain of johannsenite to 189.5° in the S-rotated chain of spodumene) to better than 2.6°, using a regression equation in three terms.

Because it has been shown that the effective radius of the $M2$ cation (or the ($M2$ -O) distance) is not independent of the size of the $M1$ cation, it is possible to rationalize the distinctly non-linear curves of volume *vs.* (r_{M1})³ for the isostructural series NaAl-NaCr-NaFe-NaTi-NaSc-NaIn, and LiAl-LiFe-LiSc, as well as the series CaNi-CaMg-CaCo-CaFe-CaMn, which has an opposite curvature from the pyroxenes with monovalent $M2$ cations.

Introduction

Crystal-chemical studies of the pyroxenes are numerous, but we, in the course of a classroom exercise, have produced yet another which pertains to the ordered clinopyroxenes of $C2/c$ (or $C2$) symmetry, specifically those with Ca, Na, or Li in the $M2$ site and Si in the tetrahedral site(s). At present count thirteen such structures have been refined, some of them more than once. Where there was a choice, we selected data from the more recent study (see Table 1). The preliminary account of our work (Ribbe and Prunier, 1976) has been subjected to considerable revision.

Relationships between cell dimensions and chem-

ical composition have been extensively investigated for naturally occurring orthopyroxenes (Smith *et al.*, 1969) and synthetic orthopyroxenes (Turnock *et al.*, 1973). Turnock *et al.* have also calculated trend surface equations for the clinopyroxenes in the diopside-hedenbergite-ferrosilite-enstatite (CaMg-CaFe-FeFe-MgMg) quadrilateral based on 62 synthetic one-phase samples, many of which must be considered metastable because of their crystallization within the two-phase region or in the stability field of orthopyroxenes. Third-order trend surfaces gave the best fit for a , b , c , and β , while a fourth-order equation was required for volume. The authors (p. 57) "emphasize that the data are not directly appli-

Table 1. Lattice parameters, mean bond lengths, interatomic angles, and effective ionic radii for 13 ordered clinopyroxenes.

Formula	(Ref.)	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	β	Volume	<M1-O>	<M2-O>	<Si-O>	<Si-O> _{br}	03-03-03	<i>r</i> _{M1}	<i>r</i> _{M2}
LiAlSi ₂ O ₆	(1)	9.449Å	8.386Å	5.215Å	110.1°	388.1Å ³	1.919Å	2.211Å	1.618Å	1.624Å	189.5°	0.530Å	0.740Å
LiFeSi ₂ O ₆	(1)	9.666	8.669	5.294	110.2	416.4	2.031	2.249	1.620	1.626	180.0	0.652*	0.742*
LiScSi ₂ O ₆	(2)	9.803	8.958	5.352	110.3	440.8	2.107	2.289	1.624	1.630	175.6	0.745	0.740
NaAlSi ₂ O ₆	(3)	9.423	8.564	5.223	107.6	401.8	1.929	2.469	1.625	1.634	174.6	0.530	1.160
NaCrSi ₂ O ₆	(3)	9.579	8.722	5.267	107.4	420.0	1.998	2.489	1.624	1.643	172.1	0.615	1.160
NaFeSi ₂ O ₆	(1)	9.658	8.795	5.294	107.4	429.1	2.025	2.518	1.628	1.642	174.0	0.645	1.160
NaScSi ₂ O ₆	(4)	9.844	9.044	5.354	107.2	455.3	2.102	2.564	1.632	1.653	173.6	0.745	1.160
NaInSi ₂ O ₆	(5)	9.902	9.131	5.359	107.2	462.9	2.141	2.568	1.632	1.652	170.8	0.800	1.160
CaNiSi ₂ O ₆	(6)	9.737	8.899	5.231	105.9	435.9	2.072	2.494	1.634	1.673	165.1	0.690	1.120
CaMgSi ₂ O ₆	(1)	9.746	8.899	5.251	105.8**	438.2	2.077	2.498	1.635	1.676	166.4	0.720	1.120
CaCoSi ₂ O ₆	(6)	9.797	8.954	5.243	105.4	443.4	2.102	2.506	1.634	1.674	164.8	0.745	1.120
CaFeSi ₂ O ₆	(3)	9.845	9.024	5.245	104.7	450.6	2.130	2.511	1.635	1.676	164.5	0.780	1.120
CaMnSi ₂ O ₆	(7)	9.978	9.156	5.293	105.5	466.0	2.173	2.530	1.644	1.688	163.8	0.830	1.120

(1) Clark *et al.* (1969), (2) Hawthorne and Grundy (1976), (3) Cameron *et al.* (1973), (4) Hawthorne and Grundy (1973), (5) Hawthorne and Grundy (1974), (6) Schlenker *et al.* (1977), (7) Freed and Peacor (1967).

* All radii from Shannon and Prewitt (1969, revised 1970) for the ordered clinopyroxenes; these values are adjusted to account for the slightly Fe²⁺-rich formula reported by Clark *et al.* (1969, p. 33, Table 4) for LiFe pyroxene.

** The β angle of 105.6° reported by Clark *et al.* (1969) disagrees with every other value reported for diopside. See Nolan and Edgar (1963), Finger and Ohashi (1976), Warner and Luth (1974), Rutstein and Yund (1969) and the comments by Schlenker (1976) on whose recommendation we decided to use 105.8° in our regression analysis. Note also that the 105.6° β angle for diopside is the only anomalous value in Figure 5 (p. 597) of Cameron *et al.* (1973).

cable to natural pyroxenes, since other elements in the latter may affect unit-cell parameters." They do not attempt to relate lattice parameters to site occupancy. Their paper is an excellent review of earlier work on pyroxenes in the quadrilateral (*e.g.*, Rutstein and Yund, 1969). Nolan (1969) determined unit-cell dimensions and refractive indices for synthetic clinopyroxenes in the system diopside-hedenbergite-acmite (CaMg-CaFe²⁺-NaFe³⁺), and found nearly linear variations of *a*, *b*, *c*, and β with composition between end members. Variations of refractive indices were not quite as simple, but a ternary plot contoured for *b* and α refractive index *vs.* composition proved to be a reasonable determinative method for natural alkali pyroxenes, provided the substitution of Al, and Fe³⁺ for Si in the tetrahedral chain and Ti (in octahedral coordination?) was very limited.

The early classic work on the crystal-chemical characterization of clinopyroxenes is that of Clark *et al.* (1969), who discussed the structures of eight of the "end-member" ordered pyroxenes (LiAl, LiFe, NaAl, NaCr, NaFe, NaIn, CaMg, and CaMn) that presently concern us. Since then the crystal structures of five other pyroxenes have been published: CaFe (Cameron *et al.*, 1973), CaNi and CaCo (Schlenker *et*

al., 1977; Ghose and Wan, 1975), and NaSc and LiSc (Hawthorne and Grundy, 1973 and 1977 respectively). New refinements of NaAl (Cameron *et al.*, 1973) and NaIn (Hawthorne and Grundy, 1974) pyroxenes complete our data set. A plethora of structural studies of pyroxenes at high temperatures has recently appeared; among them are those involving ordered C2/c end-members (Cameron *et al.*, 1973; Ohashi and Burnham, 1973; Finger and Ohashi, 1976) and others which invert to C2/c symmetry at elevated temperatures (*e.g.*, Brown *et al.*, 1972; Smyth and Burnham, 1972; Smyth, 1974). Our investigation, however, is restricted to the structures of ordered phases at room temperature whose symmetry is C2/c or C2.

The C2 structures are the LiM³⁺ pyroxenes which differ from the C2/c NaM³⁺ and CaM²⁺ structures in two respects: (1) the Li ion (radius *r* = 0.74 Å) is six-coordinated, whereas Na (*r* = 1.16 Å) and Ca (*r* = 1.12 Å) are considered to be eight-coordinated, and (2) the smaller Li causes very slight structural distortions which produce two symmetrically non-equivalent M1 sites and two symmetrically non-equivalent M2 sites in the octahedral layer and two Si sites in the single tetrahedral chain. However, these distortions are so slight and the deviation from C2/c

symmetry so small that "even the temperature factors for the [LiAl and LiFe] spodumenes refined in C2/c are entirely comparable to those found in other ordered clinopyroxenes" (Clark *et al.*, 1969, p. 42). We have therefore taken the average structures of the LiM³⁺ pyroxenes and examined them together with the other ordered C2/c pyroxenes.

Results of regression analyses

There is merit in knowing exactly what the relationships are between the lattice parameters, the bond lengths and angles, and the effective ionic radii of atoms occupying the coordination polyhedra in so important a group as the ordered C2/c silicate pyroxenes, and we propose to explore them. Other investigators have suggested some of these: (1) Clark *et al.* (1968) mentioned that "the *b* cell-dimension of the Na and Ca clinopyroxenes is found empirically to be a linear function of the average M1-O distance." (2) Prewitt *et al.* (1972) and Hawthorne and Grundy (1974) plotted lattice parameters as a function of the radius of the M1 cation, r_{M1} , or $(r_{M1})^3$ for the NaM³⁺ pyroxenes, and the latter authors have in press a comparison of the geometric parameters of the LiM³⁺ and NaM³⁺ pyroxenes which were discussed earlier by Brown (1971). (3) Papike *et al.* (1973, p. 264) have elaborated on the topologies of pyroxene structures, noting "that the ionic charge of the cations occupying M(2) and M(1) cation sites should also be taken into consideration" in the obvious relation "between the mean ionic radius of the M(2) and M(1) cations . . . and the tetrahedral chain angle O(3)-O(3)-O(3)" in C2/c pyroxenes (*cf.* their Fig. 4, p. 260). (4) Ghose and Wan (1975) recognized four distinct groups of C2/c pyroxenes (LiM³⁺Si₂O₆, NaM³⁺Si₂O₆, CaM²⁺Si₂O₆ and CaM³⁺AlSiO₆) "in all of which the average M2-O distances increase linearly with an increase in the average M1-O distance. In each series, an increase in the M1-octahedron results in a simultaneous increase in the kinking of the tetrahedral chain and a slight increase in the T-O (brg.) and O3-O3' (tetrahedral edge) distances."

By contrast with previous investigators, our initial approach was to use the standard techniques of multiple linear regression analysis (Dixon, 1973) to systematize relationships between the Shannon and Prewitt (1969, 1970) effective ionic radii of the M1 and M2 cations and the unit-cell parameters, the mean M1-O, M2-O and Si-O distances, and the O3-O3-O3 chain angle. Later it was found necessary to introduce a term to account for the charge *q* on the M2 cation.

The <M1-O> and <M2-O> distances

As expected, the mean M1-O distances, <M1-O>, are very highly correlated (coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.993$) with the radii of the M1 cations, r_{M1} (Fig. 1 and Table 2). But <M2-O> distances correlate less well with r_{M2} , and a glance at Figure 1 suggests a strong interdependence on r_{M1} as well. For a given M2 cation, <M2-O> increases with size of either the trivalent cations or the divalent cations in M1 (see Ghose and Wan, 1975). Multiple regression produces a significantly improved correlation (Fig. 2) where the regression coefficient for r_{M1} is more than half as great as that for r_{M2} (Table 2). On the graph of calculated *vs.* observed <M2-O> values there are still discrete populations for the Na and Ca pyroxenes. This may be due to inadequate estimates of the effective radii of Na and/or Ca, or, as will appear later, the need to introduce a third term into the regression equation.

The relationship between <M2-O> and the radius of the M1 cation is probably due to the fact that the M2 polyhedron shares three edges with octahedra of the M1 chain. A similar effect was noted in the Ca-garnets, in which the mean Ca-O distance is observed to increase with increasing radius of the trivalent cations (Al, Cr, Fe) in octahedra which share




Fig. 1. Plots of the radii of the M1 cations *vs.* the mean of the M1-O bond lengths (left ordinate) and the radii of the M2 cations *vs.* the mean of the M2-O bond lengths (right ordinate) for 13 ordered clinopyroxenes. Regression equations (with estimated standard errors in brackets) are given for the lines shown. R^2 is the coefficient of determination. Li-pyroxenes are designated by triangles, Na-pyroxenes by circles, and Ca-pyroxenes by squares.

Table 2. Regression coefficients, intercepts, and error and significance statistics for selected lattice parameters and bond distances and interatomic angles in 13 ordered clinopyroxenes. (Degrees of freedom: 11 for equations in one independent variable, 10 for those in two, and 9 for those in three variables. To calculate $|t|$, divide the regression coefficient by its standard error.)

Parameter	Regression coefficient(s)			Intercept	Range of residuals (std. error of est.)		Multiple correl. coef. (coef. of determ. = R^2)		F value*
	r_{M1} (std. error)	r_{M2} (std. error)	q (std. error)						
a	1.728 (0.064)			8.525	0.059 (0.021)		0.993 (0.985)		728
$a \sin \beta$	1.729 (0.087)	0.357 (0.043)	0.090 (0.017)	7.583	0.060 (0.025)		0.995 (0.991)		316
b	2.160 (0.123)	0.265 (0.067)		7.083	0.110 (0.039)		0.987 (0.975)		193
	2.275 (0.120)	0.295 (0.060)	-0.049 (0.023)	7.039	0.085 (0.034)		0.992 (0.983)		174
$a \sin \beta$	0.545 (0.039)	0.198 (0.019)	-0.035 (0.007)	4.499	0.026 (0.011)		0.989 (0.977)		129
Volume	235.32 (7.91)	30.79 (3.94)	-5.92 (1.53)	247.06	6.48 (2.25)		0.996 (0.993)		420
$\langle M1-O \rangle$	0.815 (0.021)			1.496	0.023 (0.007)		0.997 (0.993)		1553
$\langle M2-O \rangle$	0.321 (0.041)	0.614 (0.022)		1.587	0.040 (0.013)		0.995 (0.992)		506
	0.370 (0.033)	0.627 (0.017)	-0.021 (0.006)	1.568	0.027 (0.009)		0.998 (0.995)		652
$\langle Si-O \rangle_{br}$	0.062 (0.014)	0.040 (0.007)	0.029 (0.003)	1.529	0.009 (0.004)		0.988 (0.976)		124
$\langle Si-O \rangle$	0.036 (0.013)	0.016 (0.006)	0.006 (0.002)	1.580	0.007 (0.004)		0.912 (0.832)		15
03-03-03	-26.19 (9.01)	-19.17 (4.49)	-6.61 (1.74)	219.33	8.27 (2.56)		0.954 (0.910)		30

* See Dixon (1973) for definition and usage.

edges with the CaO_8 polyhedron (Novak and Gibbs, 1971; see Fig. 2 in Higgins and Ribbe, 1977).

The a cell dimension

Statistically, the most significant correlation between the a cell dimension and cation radius is represented by the equation $a = 1.728r_{M1} + 8.525$, which for the 13 ordered structures has a standard error of estimate of 0.021 Å (Fig. 3). The a dimension is obviously a measure of the thickness of the octahedral layer, and although $a \sin \beta$ might have been considered a better measure, regression statistics do not bear this out (Table 2). What is interesting to note is that the addition of r_{M2} to the regression analysis does not improve the estimate of a , as though the size of the $M2$ polyhedron does not affect the thickness of the octahedral layer. (The coefficient of determination of a linear regression between r_{M2} and a is only 0.06.) This is explained by the fact that these irregular polyhedra, containing large Na or Ca cations, are free to expand laterally into the rift zone between octahedral bands and are flattened normal to (100), as shown in Figure 3 of Clark *et al.* (1969, p. 38). Thus, it is to be expected that the size of the $M2$ cation influences b , which is a measure of the width of the octahedral band, and c , which is a measure of its unit length.

The b cell dimension

Clark *et al.* (1968) recognized the relation between b and $\langle M1-O \rangle$, and indeed the equation $b = 2.29r_{M1} + 7.27$ produces a standard error of estimate of 0.060 Å

for the 13 pyroxenes (Fig. 3). But this is reduced to 0.039 Å when the r_{M2} term is added (Table 2). Although the regression coefficient for this term is only one-eighth the magnitude of that for r_{M1} , its partial correlation coefficient is 0.78, and it significantly improves the coefficient of determination from 0.935 to 0.975 (*cf.* Figs. 3 and 4).




Fig. 2. Plots of the observed *vs.* calculated mean $M1-O$ (right ordinate, lower abscissa) and mean $M2-O$ distances (left ordinate, upper abscissa). Symbols as in Fig. 1; observed values are listed in Table 1.




Fig. 3. Plots of the a and b cell dimensions vs. the radii of the cations in the $M1$ sites. Symbols as in Fig. 1.

The c cell dimension and β

When the c dimensions are plotted against r_{M1} , those of the Li and Na pyroxenes fall on a straight line, but the Ca pyroxenes are a distinct population with barely a semblance of order (Fig. 5). A regression equation in terms of both r_{M1} and r_{M2} shows no improvement in predicted values over that in terms of r_{M1} for the c dimension.

Li pyroxenes have a β angle of $110.2 \pm 0.1^\circ$ (β increasing with r_{M1}) and Na pyroxenes have $\beta = 107.3 \pm 0.3^\circ$, (β decreasing with r_{M1}), but β values for Ca pyroxenes are scattered around a mean value of 105.5° (Fig. 5). A regression equation for β in terms of r_{M1} and r_{M2} is of no greater value in predicting β than knowing the atomic species in $M2$ and guessing β to be equal to the mean value for that cation.

By contrast it is most surprising to observe in Figure 5 that three distinctly ordered populations with nearly parallel trends emerge when $c\sin\beta$ is plotted vs.

r_{M1} . Although the Shannon-Prewitt radii for 8-coordinated Na and Ca are very nearly equal ($r_{Na} = 1.16 \text{ \AA}$, $r_{Ca} = 1.12 \text{ \AA}$), the equation $c\sin\beta = 0.463 r_{M1} + 0.176 r_{M2} + 4.531$ gives a standard error of estimate of 0.019 \AA and $R^2 = 0.922$. Values of $c\sin\beta$ calculated with this equation are plotted in Figure 6 at the ends of the vertical lines opposite the symbols. Careful examination of these data show that there are still distinct Li, Na, and Ca populations, and that the calculated $c\sin\beta$ values for the Ca pyroxenes lie systematically above the 45° line and those for the Na pyroxenes below it. This same phenomenon was observed for $\langle M2-O \rangle$ in Figure 2 and to lesser degree for b in Figure 4.

In order to compensate for this discrepancy, a third term was added to the regression analysis and tested for all parameters reported in this study. That term is q , the formal charge on the $M2$ cation: when q is specified for $M2$, a like term is implied for $M1$, i.e., either $q_{M2} = 2$ and $q_{M1} = 2$ or $q_{M2} = 1$ and $q_{M1} = 3$. As stated earlier, the q term may in part account for inadequate estimates of the effective radii of Na and Ca in 8-fold coordination, and of course a three-parameter equation is expected to give a better fit than a two-parameter regression equation. There are statistical tests to ascertain whether the addition of an independent variable is significant. The Biomedical Computer Programs (Dixon, 1973) use F ratios and the more familiar $|t|$ tests, among others. For example, when q is added to the equation in r_{M1} and r_{M2} for $\langle M2-O \rangle$ (illustrated in Fig. 2), the F value in-




Fig. 4. Plot of the observed vs. calculated b cell dimensions using an equation in r_{M1} and r_{M2} from Table 2. Symbols as in Fig. 1.

increases from 506 to 652, the standard error of estimate decreases from 0.013 Å to 0.009 Å, and with 9 degrees of freedom $|t| = -3.20$ for the q term, indicating an extremely high degree of significance. For the b cell-edge, the significance of the addition of the q term is less clear because F decreases, but $|t| = -2.1$ with 9 degrees of freedom, suggesting that it is not possible at the 95 percent confidence level to reject the hypothesis that the q term is significant (Draper and Smith, 1966, p. 20). The regression data for $\langle M2-O \rangle$ and b are given in Table 2.

From Figure 6 it is obvious that an equation in r_{M1} , r_{M2} and q (Table 2) gives better estimates of $c \sin \beta$ than one in r_{M1} and r_{M2} : the standard error of estimate is improved from 0.019 to 0.011 Å with an increase in the F ratio from 59 to 128. The computed $|t|$ value for the q term is -4.65 , and with 9 degrees of freedom it is not possible at the 99.9 percent confidence level to reject the q term.

The fact that $c \sin \beta$ is linearly related to r_{M1} and c and β separately are not, indicates that some of the expansion in the pyroxene cell caused by increased size of cations in the octahedral layer is taken up by slight [001] displacements of the tetrahedral chains above and below the octahedral layer, producing




Fig. 5. Plots of β , c , and $c \sin \beta$ vs. the radii of the $M1$ cations.




Fig. 6. Plot of observed vs. calculated values of $c \sin \beta$. The symbols represent values calculated using the equation in r_{M1} , r_{M2} , and q (Table 2), whereas the tails of the vertical lines represent values calculated using an equation in r_{M1} and r_{M2} only. Symbols as in Fig. 1.

concomitant small changes in the β angle (*cf.* Papike *et al.*, 1973, p. 265).

Volume

It is interesting to note that the q term carries over into the regression equation for volume ($= a \times b \times c \sin \beta$), as indicated by the following self-explanatory data:

$$\text{Vol} = 221.3r_{M1} + 27.1r_{M2} + 252.2$$

(Std. error of estimate, 3.5 \AA^3 ; $R^2 = 0.981$; $F = 260$)

$$\text{Vol} = 235.3r_{M1} + 30.8r_{M2} - 5.9q + 247.1$$

(Std. error of estimate, 2.2 \AA^3 ; $R^2 = 0.993$; $F = 420$)

In the latter equation a $|t|$ test indicates that at the 99.5 percent confidence level the q term cannot be rejected.

The tetrahedral chain angle, O3-O3-O3

The $(\text{SiO}_3)_\infty$ chain in pyroxenes extends parallel to c , and adjacent tetrahedra are related by a c glide (Fig. 7). The chain is nearly straight so that the c cell dimension is slightly less than four times the radius of oxygen: $c \sim 5.2$ to $5.3 \text{ \AA} < 4 \times 1.36 \text{ \AA}$. The crenulation of the chain is expressed in terms of the O3-O3-O3 angle, which varies from 189.5° for the S-rotated chain in LiAl pyroxene to 180° for the




Fig. 7. A schematic drawing of two corner-sharing $[\text{SiO}_4]$ tetrahedra in the $[\text{SiO}_3]_\infty$ chain of diopside (data from Clark *et al.*, 1969).

straight chain in LiFe pyroxene to 163.8° for the O-rotated chain in CaMn pyroxene.

In the regression analysis of the O3–O3–O3 angle, a remarkable improvement in predicted values is obtained with the addition of the q term to the regression equation in r_{M1} and r_{M2} (Fig. 8). Perhaps it is not immediately obvious why the O3–O3–O3 angle should be related to *any* of these parameters, so the interested reader is referred to the discussion of Papike *et al.* (1973, p. 264–267) for topologic details. But we would comment further that the O3 atom is the bridging oxygen between adjacent tetrahedral sites and also is bonded to either one 6-coordinated $M2$ cation (in the case of Li^+ pyroxenes) or two 8-coordinated $M2$ cations (in the case of Na^+ and Ca^{++} pyroxenes). Intuitively, then, the charge on the $M2$ cation, the $M2$ –O3 distance(s) and the coordination number of O3 all may be expected to affect the O3 position and thus the O3–O3–O3 angle. Clark *et al.* (1969, p. 35–42) hint at this in their discussion of bonding in the ordered clinopyroxenes. It is impossible to miss the implications of the following tabulation, which shows positive correlations between $\langle \text{Si-O3} \rangle$ and the mean of the sums of the formal Pauling bond strengths S to O3, and negative correlations of $\langle \text{Si-O3} \rangle$ and S with the mean O3–O3–O3 angles.

	Li^+	Na^+	Ca^{++}
Mean Si–O3 (Å)	1.627	1.645	1.677
Mean S to O3	2.167	2.250	2.500
Mean O3–O3–O3 ($^\circ$)	181.7	173.0	164.9

But what of the relation of the magnitude of the

tetrahedral chain angle to r_{M1} ? As we saw earlier, it is the size of the $M1$ cation that largely controls the c dimension for the pyroxenes with monovalent or divalent cations (Fig. 5), but it is also true that $c = 2[\text{O3} \cdots \text{O3}] \times \sin \frac{1}{2}[\text{O3-O3-O3}]$, where $[\text{O3} \cdots \text{O3}]$ is the length of the edge of the tetrahedron most nearly parallel to c (Fig. 7). Thus Figure 8 and the regression equation in Table 2 represent a simplistic rationalization of the variation of the O3–O3–O3 angle in terms of the charges and radii of the non-tetrahedral cations.

The O3–O3–O3 angle is correlated with the β angle ($\beta = 0.23[\text{O3-O3-O3}] + 67.76$; $R^2 = 0.80$) and the mean of the two Si–O3 bridge bonds ($\langle \text{Si-O} \rangle_{\text{br}} = 2.1140 - 0.0027[\text{O3-O3-O3}]$; $R^2 = 0.80$). The former arises from relative displacements of the tetrahedral chains above and below the $M2$ site “which are necessary to accommodate changes in coordination around $M(2)$ ” (Papike *et al.*, 1973, p. 265). The latter is best understood in the terms detailed in the previous paragraphs, keeping in mind that the bridging oxygen atoms O_{br} in the tetrahedral chain are O3 oxygens. Obviously, the greater the Coulombic interaction of O3 with its non-tetrahedral neighbor(s), the longer will be the Si–O3 bond lengths and the greater will be the O rotation of the tetrahedra.

The Si–O bond lengths

We have seen that $\langle \text{Si-O} \rangle_{\text{br}}$ is correlated to the O3–O3–O3 angle for reasons discussed above, and




Fig. 8. Plot of observed *vs.* calculated values for the O3–O3–O3 angle. Same symbol convention as in Fig. 6.

thus it comes as no surprise that $\langle \text{Si-O} \rangle_{\text{br}}$ is highly correlated to r_{M1} , r_{M2} , and q . In the ordered clinopyroxenes the range of observed mean Si-O3 bonds is 1.624–1.688 Å, and as in other regression analyses, there are three distinct populations of data for Li, Na, and Ca pyroxenes when only r_{M1} and r_{M2} are considered in the regression. But when the formal charge on $M2$ is included, the standard error of estimate improves threefold (from 0.013 to 0.004 Å) and R^2 increases from 0.67 to 0.98. This is well-documented in Figure 9, where the tails of the vertical lines represent calculated values of $\langle \text{Si-O} \rangle_{\text{br}}$ using only r_{M1} and r_{M2} , and the symbols represent those calculated using q in addition to r_{M1} and r_{M2} .

By contrast with the bridging Si-O3 bonds, the non-bridging Si-O1 and Si-O2 bond lengths are on the average longest for Li pyroxenes and shortest for Ca pyroxenes. However, as previously noted for $\langle \text{Si-O} \rangle_{\text{br}}$, there is a high correlation of $\langle \text{Si-O} \rangle_{\text{nbr}}$ with the Pauling bond strengths S to O1 and O2. The following table summarizes these observations:

	Li ⁺	Na ⁺	Ca ⁺⁺
Mean Si-O1 (Å)	1.635	1.631	1.607
Mean Si-O2 (Å)	1.593	1.592	1.588
Mean $\langle \text{Si-O} \rangle_{\text{nbr}}$ (Å)	1.614	1.612	1.595
Mean S to O1 & O2	1.834	1.813	1.754

The Si-O2 bonds are relatively constant (see comments by Clark *et al.*, 1969, p. 36), the Si-O1 bonds

are highly correlated to q (although *not* to r_{M1} or r_{M2}), and the means of the two Si-O3 bridge bonds are highly correlated to r_{M1} , r_{M2} , and q (Fig. 9). Thus the grand mean Si-O distances may be calculated with the equation

$$\langle \text{Si-O} \rangle = 0.036r_{M1} + 0.016r_{M2} + 0.006q + 1.580,$$

all of whose terms have nearly equal significance, according to $|t|$ tests, and whose standard error of estimate is <0.004 Å ($R^2 = 0.83$).

Evaluation of other data

Figure 10 has been prepared to summarize the lattice parameters of some synthetic C2/c pyroxenes found in the literature. Data for Li pyroxenes are taken from Brown (1971), for NaTi and NaIn pyroxenes from Prewitt *et al.* (1972), and for CaNi and CaCo pyroxenes from Ghose and Wan (1975). Most of these fall within the range of residuals of the calculated values, although many are outside the range of standard errors of estimate as indicated by the parallel diagonal lines.

Twenty-two pyroxenes synthesized by Nolan (1969) in the system CaMg-CaFe²⁺-NaFe³⁺ are represented as dots in Figure 10: end-member data, labeled Di, Hd, and Ac, respectively, are taken from Table 1. The assumption used in our calculations of a , b , and $c \sin \beta$ is that all of Nolan's pyroxenes are ordered with Na and Ca in $M2$ and the other cations appropriately apportioned in $M1$. The q term is appropriately weighted to give the average charge on $M2$. The extreme consistency of his data with the parameters we used in our regression equations for CaMg (Di), CaFe (Hd), and NaFe (Ac) pyroxenes affirms this assumption, although for this system anything less than ~10 percent disorder would not be detectable within estimated errors.

It is difficult to evaluate the lattice parameters determined by Drysdale (1975) for six Li pyroxenes containing trivalent Al, Cr, Fe, V, Sc, and In, because his estimated standard errors on a , b , and c are exceptionally large, ranging between 0.01 and 0.03 Å. Parameters reported for the LiSc and LiIn pyroxenes are so markedly divergent from values calculated with regression equations (as well as from earlier literature data for LiSc pyroxenes), that we suspect either the stoichiometry of his material or his technique for lattice-parameter determination.

Lattice parameters determined by Abs-Wurmbach and Neuhaus (1976) for 12 compounds in the solid-solution series jadeite (NaAlSi₂O₆)-cosmochlore (NaCrSi₂O₆) present some difficulty of interpretation;





Fig. 9. Plot of observed *vs.* calculated mean Si-O bridge bond lengths: $\langle \text{Si-O} \rangle_{\text{br}} = [(\text{Si-O}3) + (\text{Si-O}3')] \div 2$ (*cf.* Fig. 7). Same symbol convention as in Fig. 6.



which is not made any simpler by the fact that they omitted β angles from their complications and mislabeled the right ordinate of their volume-composition plot. In the synthesis of the NaCr end-member (and perhaps $\text{NaAl}_{0.1}\text{Cr}_{0.9}$ and $\text{NaAl}_{0.2}\text{Cr}_{0.8}$), they may have produced a non-stoichiometric or otherwise off-composition product. Their reported volume for NaCr is 417.8 \AA^3 , whereas that observed by Cameron *et al.* (1973) on a synthetic material whose structure was determined is 420.0 \AA^3 (Table 1). If the 420.0 \AA^3 value is plotted instead of 417.8 \AA^3 , the volume-composition relationship shown by Abs-Wurmbach and Neuhaus (their Fig. 4) becomes linear and no longer requires a third-order equation to fit the data. Furthermore, the 417.8 \AA^3 volume for NaCr falls substantially below the expected value on the NaM^{3+} curve in Figure 11.

Figure 11 is a graph of the relationship between unit-cell volume and the cube of the radius of the $M1$ cation, $(r_{M1})^3$ for the Na, Ca, and Li pyroxenes. Note that the curves for LiM^{3+} and NaM^{3+} are somewhat similar, whereas that for CaM^{2+} has an opposite curvature. There are so few data points that calculation of a higher than first-order curve for Li is impossible, but second-order curves for Na and Ca pyroxenes have coefficients of determination $R^2 > 0.997$. Additional points for NaTi and NaIn pyroxenes are shown as large open circles. They were taken from Prewitt *et al.* (1972), who expressed concern that the NaIn point in particular did not fit what at that time they assumed should be a straight-line plot of volume *vs.* $(r_{M1})^3$. Our evidence indicates that there is no such simple relationship: volume is a function of *three* parameters, r_{M1} , r_{M2} , and q . Even the "size" of the $M2$ cation is not independent of the effective ionic radius of the cation occupying the edge-sharing $M1$ octahedra. Furthermore, when in our regression analyses we used $(r_{M1})^3$ and $(r_{M2})^3$ —with and without q —to calculate equations for unit-cell volume, we discovered that these parameters did not account for variations in volume nearly as well as did r_{M1} and r_{M2} .

All this simply reemphasizes a fact which has been restated frequently: effective ionic radii, like those

Fig. 10. Plots of observed *vs.* calculated a , b , and $c \sin \beta$ cell parameters for data selected from the literature. Values for Ac ($\text{NaFeSi}_2\text{O}_6$), Hd ($\text{CaFeSi}_2\text{O}_6$), and Di ($\text{CaAlSi}_2\text{O}_6$) are from Table 1 (open symbols); values for the 22 pyroxenes in the system Ac-Hd-Di (black dots) are from Nolan (1969); values for NaTi and NaIn pyroxenes from Prewitt *et al.* (1972), for Li-pyroxenes from Brown (1971) and for CaCo and CaNi pyroxenes from Ghose and Wan (1975) (crosses). Values for a , b and $c \sin \beta$ were calculated from equations in Table 2.




Fig. 11. Plots of the relationships of unit-cell volumes and $(r_{M1})^3$ for the NaM^{3+} , CaM^{2+} , and LiM^{3+} pyroxenes. Large open circles are data points from Prewitt *et al.* (1972); tails of vertical lines represent values of volume calculated using the equation in Table 2.

determined by Shannon and Prewitt (1969, 1970), are not "hard" values, even relative to an assumed primary coordination number and oxygen radius. Secondary coordination is important, and these C2/c ordered pyroxenes demonstrate this especially well for silicon in tetrahedral coordination, because even the mean Si-O bond lengths in these isostructural compounds are strongly correlated to the formal charges and effective sizes of the non-tetrahedral M1 and M2 cations.

Summary

The lattice parameters of ordered C2/c (and C2) pyroxenes with Li, Na, or Ca in the M2 site may be expressed in terms of the formal valences and effective ionic radii of the non-tetrahedral cations. The a cell dimension is a function of r_{M1} , b of r_{M1} and r_{M2} , and $c \sin \beta$ and volume are functions of r_{M1} , r_{M2} , and q , the charge on the M2 cation. Multiple regression analyses indicate that the mean M1-O distance is best expressed in terms of r_{M1} only, whereas the mean M2-O distance, the mean Si-O bridge and grand mean Si-O bond lengths, and the O3-O3-O3 angle require three-parameter equations in r_{M1} , r_{M2} and q . The bond lengths and cell parameters are all predicted to approximately 0.5 percent of their values, whereas the chain angles are estimated to within ~5 percent of their values using the regression equations of Table 2.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to the Earth Science Section of the National Science Foundation for financial support of this work under grant number DES75-14912. A.R.P., Jr. is supported by an NSF Graduate Fellowship.

References

- Abs-Wurmbach, I. and A. Neuhaus (1976) Das System $NaAlSi_2O_6$ (Jadeit)- $NaCrSi_2O_6$ (Kosmochlor) in Druckbereich von 1 bar bis 25 kb bei 800°C. *Neues Jahrb. Mineral. Abh.*, 127, 213-241.
- Brown, G. E., C. T. Prewitt, J. J. Papike and S. Sueno (1972) A comparison of the structures of low and high pigeonite. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 77, 5778-5789.
- Brown, W. L. (1971) On lithium and sodium trivalent-metal pyroxenes and crystal-field effects. *Mineral. Mag.*, 38, 43-48.
- Cameron, M., S. Sueno, C. T. Prewitt and J. J. Papike (1973) High-temperature crystal chemistry of acmite, diopside, hedenbergite, jadeite, spodumene, and ureyite. *Am. Mineral.*, 58, 594-618.
- Clark, J. R., D. E. Appleman and J. J. Papike (1968) Bonding in eight ordered clinopyroxenes isostructural with diopside. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 20, 81-85.
- , ——— and ——— (1969) Crystal-chemical characterization of clinopyroxenes based on eight new structure refinements. *Mineral. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap.*, 2, 31-50.
- Dixon, W. J., ed. (1973) *BMD, Biomedical Computer Programs*. University of California Press, Berkeley, 773 p.
- Draper N. R. and H. Smith (1966) *Applied Regression Analysis*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 407 p.
- Drysdale, D. J. (1975) Hydrothermal synthesis of various spodumenes. *Am. Mineral.*, 60, 105-110.
- Finger, L. W. and Y. Ohashi (1976) The thermal expansion of diopside to 800°C, and a refinement of the crystal structure at 700°C. *Am. Mineral.*, 61, 303-310.
- Freed, R. L. and D. R. Peacor (1967) Refinement of the crystal structure of johannsenite. *Am. Mineral.*, 52, 709-720.
- Frondel, C. and C. Klein, Jr. (1965) Ureyite, $NaCrSi_2O_6$, a new meteoritic pyroxene. *Science*, 149, 742-744.
- Ghose, S. and C. Wan (1975) Crystal structures of $CaCoSi_2O_6$ and $CaNiSi_2O_6$: crystal chemical relations in C2/c pyroxenes. *EOS Trans. Am. Geophys. Union*, 56, 1076.
- Hawthorne, F. C. and H. D. Grundy (1973) Refinement of the crystal structure of $NaScSi_2O_6$. *Acta Crystallogr.*, B29, 2615-2616.
- and ——— (1974) Refinement of the crystal structure of $NaInSi_2O_6$. *Acta Crystallogr.*, B30, 1882-1884.
- and ——— (1977) Refinement of the crystal structure of $LiScSi_2O_6$. *Can. Mineral.*, 15, 50-58.
- Higgins, J. B. and P. H. Ribbe (1977) The structure of malayaite, $CaSnOSiO_4$, a tin analog of titanite. *Am. Mineral.*, 62, 801-806.
- Nolan, J. (1969) Physical properties of synthetic and natural pyroxenes in the system diopside-hedenbergite-acmite. *Mineral. Mag.*, 37, 216-229.
- and A. D. Edgar (1963) An X-ray investigation of synthetic pyroxenes in the system acmite-diopside-water at 1000 kg/cm² water-vapour pressure. *Mineral. Mag.*, 33, 625-634.
- Novak, G. A. and G. V. Gibbs (1971) The crystal chemistry of the silicate garnets. *Am. Mineral.*, 56, 791-825.
- Ohashi, Y. and C. W. Burnham (1973) Clinopyroxene lattice deformations: The roles of chemical substitution and temperature. *Am. Mineral.*, 58, 843-849.

- Papike, J. J., C. T. Prewitt, S. Sueno and M. Cameron (1973) Pyroxenes: comparisons of real and ideal structural topologies. *Z. Kristallogr.*, **138**, 254-273.
- Prewitt, C. T., R. D. Shannon and W. B. White (1972) Synthesis of a pyroxene containing trivalent titanium. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrolog.*, **35**, 77-82.
- Ribbe, P. H. and A. R. Prunier, Jr. (1976) Stereochemical systematics of eleven C2/c pyroxenes at room temperature. (abstr.). *Geol. Soc. Am. Abstr. with Programs* **8**, 1066-1067.
- Rutstein, M. S. and R. A. Yund (1969) Unit-cell parameters of synthetic diopside-hedenbergite solid solutions. *Am. Mineral.*, **54**, 238-245.
- Schlenker, J. L. (1976) *Phenomenological Aspects of Thermal Expansion in Crystals of the Lower Symmetry Classes and the Crystal Structures of CaCoSi₂O₆ and CaNiSi₂O₆*. Ph.D. Dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia.
- , R. K. Popp and F. K. Ross (1977) A refinement of the crystal structures of two synthetic clinopyroxenes: CaCoSi₂O₆ and CaNiSi₂O₆. *Z. Kristallogr.*, in press.
- Shannon, R. D. and C. T. Prewitt (1969) Effective ionic radii in oxides and fluorides. *Acta Crystallogr.*, **B25**, 925-946.
- and —— (1970) Revised values of effective ionic radii. *Acta Crystallogr.*, **B26**, 1046-1048.
- Smith, J. V., D. A. Stephenson, R. A. Howie and M. H. Hey (1969) Relations between cell dimensions, chemical composition, and site preference of orthopyroxene. *Mineral. Mag.*, **37**, 90-114.
- Smyth, J. R. (1974) The high-temperature crystal chemistry of clinohypersthene. *Am. Mineral.*, **59**, 1069-1082.
- and C. W. Burnham (1972) The crystal structures of high and low clinohypersthene. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **14**, 183-189.
- Turnock, A. C., D. H. Lindsley and J. E. Grover (1973) Synthesis and unit cell parameters of Ca-Mg-Fe pyroxenes. *Am. Mineral.*, **58**, 50-59.
- Warner, R. D. and W. C. Luth (1974) The diopside-orthoenstatite two-phase region in the system CaMgSi₂O₆-Mg₂Si₂O₆. *Am. Mineral.*, **59**, 98-109.

Manuscript received, November 26, 1976; accepted for publication, February 2, 1977.