# Johachidolite redefined: a calcium aluminum borate

LORENZO F. ARISTARAIN

Universidad Nacional de La Plata Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, La Plata, Argentina

#### AND RICHARD C. ERD

U.S. Geological Survey 345 Middlefield Road, Menlo Park, California 94025

#### Abstract

The occurrence of two similar minerals at Jöhachidö, North Korea, may have been responsible for the various compositions suggested for johachidolite. Physical, optical, and X-ray data are reported for a specimen of johachidolite whose composition, CaAlB₃O₁, was established by crystal structure analysis of the same specimen by Moore and Araki (1972). This specimen is identical with a second specimen recognized as metatypic by one of the original authors.

Johachidolite was originally described by Iwase and Saito (1942). The chemical analysis led them to assign a complicated formula, H<sub>6</sub>Na<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>4</sub>F<sub>5</sub>B<sub>6</sub>O<sub>20</sub>, which actually does not quite balance. Several authors later proposed new formulas on the basis of the same analysis (Hey, 1962; Davies and Machin, 1968; Kostov, 1968; Strunz, 1970; Povarennykh, 1972). Moore and Araki (1972) solved the structure of a crystal from the U.S. National Museum, (NMNH 105479), from the type locality, Jöhachidö District, Kisshu County, Kankyo Hodu Prefecture, North Korea. This specimen is cataloged by the U.S. National Museum as a gift (given in 1947) from Dr. K. Sakurai, Imperial Science Museum, Tokyo. The structural analysis of a crystal from this specimen led Moore and Araki to assign the formula CaAlB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> to johachidolite. Moore and Araki attribute the results of the original chemical analysis to the probable presence of impurities such as nepheline, fluorite, or villiaumite. However, a question remains as to whether there could have been present originally as much as the 25 weight percent of CaF2 or NaF that would be required to produce (in part) their results, which are compared in Table 1 with the weight percent oxides calculated for CaAlB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>.

Johachidolite was described by Iwase and Saito as having "intense blue fluorescence by the stimulus of ultra-violet radiation" and was illustrated in their paper as showing up prominently in high relief in thin

section. It should not, therefore, have been difficult to obtain a relatively pure sample. On the other hand, Iwase and Saito do refer to the presence of a "more opaque, white specimen which is almost similar to johachidolite in appearance, but seems to be lacking in fluorine, and is found in the nephelite block from the same locality." There appears to be a possibility that the two minerals occurred in close association on the original specimen. If that were true, the present CaAlB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> formula would correspond to the designated mineral without fluorine, and johachidolite would be the one with fluorine.

At this point we consulted with Professor Moore who, in turn, asked Dr. Kato, National Science Museum, Tokyo, for help in obtaining a specimen of the type johachidolite. Dr. Kato very kindly made available to Professor Moore a fraction of johachidolite recognized as metatypic with the type material by one of the original authors, Dr. E. Iwase. Dr. Kato reported (written communication, 1973) that a powder pattern of another fraction of the cotypic material could be satisfactorily indexed on the basis of data given by the present authors to Moore and Araki (1972). In the absence of the type specimen (whereabouts unknown), our hypothesis of two minerals cannot be tested, and in the following text we take johachidolite as CaAlB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> with the structure established by Moore and Araki.

We also studied material from NMNH 105479

Table 1. Chemical composition of johachidolite

	1.	2.
	(wt. %)	(wt. %)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.34	
A1 <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub>	28.34	24.10
Fe <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub>	0.09	
Fe0	the bas	
Mn0	0.23	
Ca0	24.77	26.52
Na <sub>2</sub> 0	8.27	
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.03	
B2O3	24.21	49.38
C1		
F	12.21	
H <sub>2</sub> O(+)	6.52	
H <sub>2</sub> O(-)	0.07	
so <sub>3</sub>		
	105.08	
-O = F	5.14	
Total	99.94	100.00

- 1. Reproduced from Iwase and Saito (1942); they suggest the formula  $H_6Na_2Ca_3Al_4F_5B_6O_2o_1$ .
- Data derived from the structural formula CaAl[B<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>] (Moore and Araki, 1972).

and, using this specimen, determined the crystal-lographic data for johachidolite by single-crystal X-ray precession techniques. These data are given in Table 2. The cell constants are slightly different from those reported in Moore and Araki (1972) and result from a further refinement of the powder data that are given in Table 3.

In NMNH 105479, johachidolite is associated with scapolite (dipyre), diopside, albite, nepheline, and phlogopite. The johachidolite occurs as equant anhedral grains up to 1 mm in diameter. Some of the grains have planar surfaces that result from parting

Table 2. Crystallographic data for johachidolite

System ort	horhombic,	class	mmm, space group Cmma
<u>a</u> (Å)		=	7.968(1)*
b		=	11.724(1)
<u>c</u>		=	4.374(1)
a:b:c		=	0.6796:1:0.3731
$\underline{V}$ ( $\mathring{A}^3$ )		=	408.6
Z		=	4 [CaA1(B <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub> )]
Specific gravity	(meas)** 3	3.37(1)	Density (calc) 3.437 gcm <sup>-3</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>USNM specimen no. 105479. Data obtained from refinement of X-ray powder data (Table 3) using a least-squares program of Appleman and Evans (1973). Error in parentheses is one standard deviation.

Table 3. X-ray powder diffraction data for johachidolite

Calculated*		Obser	Observed**	
hkl	dh k ℓ	dh k l (Å)	1270	
			I	
020	5.862	5.88	8	
001	4.374	4.377	11	
200	3.984	3.989	17	
111	3.645	3.643	9	
021	3.506	3.506	18	
220	3.295	3.296	17	
201	2.946	2.944	14	
040	2.931	2.931	11	
131	2.737 2.632	2.622	100	
221	2.032	2.632	100	
041	2.435	2.434	25	
240	2.361	2.361	14	
311	2.229	2,230	16	
002	2.187		-	
241	2.078	200.000.000		
112	2.076	2.075	15	
022	2.049	2.049	6	
151	2.000	2.001	25	
400	1.992		-	
331	1.963	1.964	49	
060	1.954		-	
202	1.917	1.915	4	
420	1.886	1.887	14	
132	1.856	20 mm	an offer	
222	1.822	1.820	27	
401	1.813	1.814	17	
061	1.784			
260 042	1.754 1.753	1.754	21	
342	1./33		1200	
421	1.732	252		
312	1.671		-	
440	1.648	1.647	10	
351	1.631	1 620	1.6	
261	1.628	1.629	14	
242	1.604	222	200	
332	1.550	1.550	6	
441	1.542	1.542	11	
171	1.535	****	(	
511	1.485		10025118	
402	1.473	1,473	16	
080	1.466	***		
003	1.458	1.458	_10	
062 422	1.457 1.428	1 /20	4	
122	1.420	1.428	4	
113	1.424	222	(H44)	
023	1.415	***	(4.44.64	
531	1.398	1.398	5	
460	1.395	1.396	5	
081	1.390			
203	1.369	1.369	6	
223	1.333	1.334	7	
442	1.316	1.316	9	
043	1.306	1.306	6	
520	1.295	1.295	5	
501	1.271		***	
313	1.271	1.271	5	
521	1.242	1.242	9	
333	1.215	1.215	5	
540	1.210	1.210	4	

Plus 17 additional lines all with I > 10

<sup>\*\*</sup>Measured by the immersion method in a Clerici solution. Iwase and Saito (1942) say "slightly smaller than 3.4"; their Table II lists 5.4, presumably a misprint.

<sup>\*</sup> All calculated spacings listed for dhkl > 1,380 Å. Indices from least-squares analysis of X-ray powder data using the digital computer program of Appleman and Evans (1973).

<sup>\*\*</sup> U.S. National Museum Specimen No. 105479. X-ray diffractometer conditions are: Cu/Ni radiation  $\lambda$  CuK $\alpha_1$  = 1.54051 Å; silicon used as internal standard; scanned at  ${}^{1}\!\chi^{\circ}$  per minute from 3-80° 20.

Table 4. Optical properties of johachidolite

	Present study*	Iwase and Saito (1942)**	
	Biaxial positive	Biaxial negative	
α	1.712(2)	1.715	
β	1.717(1)	1.720	
Y	1.726(1)	1.729	
2V <sub>0</sub>	70(2)°	72° (calc)	
Orientation	$\begin{array}{rcl} X & = & \underline{a} \\ Y & = & \underline{c} \\ \overline{Z} & = & \underline{b} \end{array}$		
Dispersion Birefringence Nonpleochroic	$\frac{\overline{r}}{0.014}$ , strong	$\underline{r} > \underline{v}$ , strong	

<sup>\*</sup>USNM specimen no. 105479. Indices measured with Na light and  $2\underline{V}_{\Omega}$  with a spindle stage.

on {201} and/or from growing against the idiomorphic crystals of albite, thus giving the appearance of being subidiomorphic. The mineral has no cleavage, in accordance with the even distribution of bond strengths in three dimensions throughout the structure. There is a subconchoidal fracture. Johachidolite is resistant to crushing, and its hardness is 7-1/2 on Mohs' scale (Iwase and Saito give 6.5-7). It is colorless and transparent; some grains are semitransparent and whitish. The luster is vitreous. It fluoresces under short-wave ultraviolet light a pale (rather than intense) blue and has weak phosphorescence. The specific gravity observed is in good agreement with the calculated density (Table 2). The optical properties are given in Table 4 and compared with those of Iwase and Saito (1942).

Chemically, johachidolite is insoluble or very slightly soluble in strong acids (HF, HCl, aqua regia) or in hot strong alkaline solutions. When heated, it begins to fuse at about yellow heat, but does not give off water, and retains its birefringence at this point. At red heat, it readily fuses to a clear hard glass with  $n = 1.584 \pm 0.002$  and a specific gravity of slightly less than 2.7. The fused glass is very slowly soluble in cold 1:1 HCl and is nonfluorescent.

Electron-microprobe analyses of the metatype specimens (by Dr. Eugene E. Foord) show major Ca and Al only; there is no more than tenths of a percent of Na and a trace of Cl. No fluorine was detected. These results confirm the formula assigned by Moore and Araki. This redefinition of johachidolite as CaAlB<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> has been approved by the I.M.A. Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names.

## Acknowledgments

We are indebted to several colleagues at the U.S. Geological Survey for discussion and criticism: C. L. Christ, Joan R. Clark, M. Fleischer, and G. Donald Eberlein. Professor Kurt Servos, Menlo College, California, also assisted in discussions. Specimens of johachidolite were kindly made available by Dr. John S. White, U.S. National Museum, Washington, D. C., and Dr. A. Kato, National Science Museum, Tokyo, Japan. We are especially indebted to Dr. Eugene E. Foord, Stanford University, for the electron-microprobe analyses of these specimens. Mr. S. Matsubara, at Dr. Kato's request, took powder patterns of the metatypic material. One of us (LFA) was assisted by a grant from the Guggenheim Foundation during this study.

### References

Appleman, D. E. and H. T. Evans, Jr. (1973) Job 9214; indexing and least-squares refinement of powder diffraction data. U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Natl. Tech. Inf. Serv., PB 216188.

Davies, W. O. and M. P. Machin (1968) Johachidolite, a revised chemical formula. Am. Mineral., 53, 2082-2084.

Hey, M. H. (1962) Chemical Index of Minerals. 2nd Ed. British Museum, London, 728 p.

Iwase, E. and N. Saito (1942) Johachidolite—A new mineral of hydrous fluoborate of sodium, calcium and aluminum. Scientific Papers Ins. Physical Chemical Research, Tokyo, 39, 300-304.

Kostov, I. (1968) *Mineralogy*. (English Edition). Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh and London, 587 p.

Moore, P. B. and T. Araki (1972) Johachidolite, CaAl[B<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>], a borate with very dense atomic structure, *Nature Phys. Sci.*, 240, 63–65.

Povarennykh, A. S. (1972) Crystal Chemical Classification of Minerals. (English Edition). Plenum Press, New York.

Strunz, H. (1970) Mineralogische Tabellen. 5th Ed. Akad. Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig.

Manuscript received, November 3, 1975; accepted for publication, November 1, 1976,

<sup>\*\*</sup>Determined by the immersion method. The indices are not consistent with the optic sign given.