Retrieval of Thermodynamic Data from a Study of Inter-Crystalline and Intra-Crystalline Ion-Exchange Equilibrium: A Correction
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On p. 1785 (Saxena, 1972) the equation for equilibrium constant should read

\[ K_e = \frac{x_{Mg}^{sol}(x_{Fe}^{sol}(f_{Mg}^{sol}(f_{Fe}^{sol}))}{x_{Fe}^{sol}(x_{Fe}^{sol})(f_{Fe}^{sol}(f_{Fe}^{sol}))} \]

This is because the chemical potential of Fe\(^{2+}\) (or fayalite) in olivine is given by

\[ \mu_{Fe^{2+}} = \mu_{Fe^{2+}}^{sol} + 2RT \ln a_{Fe^{2+}} \]

The above definition has been discussed by Ramberg (1952) and Saxena (1973), among others. Thus there is no need to raise the \((x_{Fe}^{sol}f_{Fe}^{sol})\) term to the power 1/2 as done in the article. The mistake, which crept in during proof reading, is regretted.
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It was inadvertently stated (Amer. Mineral. 58, 228) that "in the crystal structure of tourmaline boron atoms occupy general positions." In fact, boron atoms occupy special position 9(b) with point symmetry \(m\) in the space group \(R3m\). We are indebted to Professor G. Donnay for pointing out this error. This does not in any way affect the interpretation of the NMR spectra. In addition, we would like to point out that a chemical analysis of the gem quality tourmaline crystal used for NMR measurement (American Museum of Natural History, Specimen No. 12210) could not be performed because the crystal was loaned to us on condition that it will be used for non-destructive experiments only.
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Table 1, the all important atomic and thermal parameters, was inadvertently omitted from the article published in the May-June 1973 issue of The American Mineralogist, page 551.

| Table 1. Atomic and Thermal Parameters of Callaghanite |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \(x\times10^4\) | \(y\times10^4\) | \(z\times10^4\) | \(\beta_{11}\times10^4\) | \(\beta_{12}\times10^4\) | \(\beta_{13}\times10^4\) | \(\beta_{11}\times10^4\) | \(\beta_{12}\times10^4\) | \(\beta_{13}\times10^4\) | \(\beta_{22}\times10^4\) | \(\beta_{33}\times10^4\) |
| Cu | 484.4(2) | 1082.0(2) | 4559.5(2) | 17.1(2) | 9.1(1) | 39.0(3) | -1.5(1) | 8.7(1) | 2.2(1) |
| Mg | 1564.0(6) | 3152.7(5) | 3276.7(7) | 15.6(4) | 11.4(3) | 36.3(7) | -0.3(3) | 5.3(4) | 2.3(4) |
| O | 0 | 5395(2) | 2500 | 23(2) | 11(1) | 40(2) | 7(2) | 0 |
| OH | 1165(1) | 4860(1) | 2787(2) | 25(1) | 13.4(6) | 83(2) | 3.1(6) | 7(1) | 0.4(9) |
| H2O | 3328(1) | 3272(1) | 2481(2) | 28(1) | 21.1(7) | 56(2) | 3.7(7) | 21(1) | 4.9(9) |

\(^{a}\)Coefficients in the temperature factor: \(\exp[-(\beta_{11}h^2+\beta_{12}k^2+\beta_{33}l^2+2\beta_{12}hk+2\beta_{33}hl+2\beta_{12}kl)]\). The number in parentheses is the standard error in terms of the last significant figure.