

2) The thinness of the sample results in improved resolution and higher accuracy. 3) Only the sample and low-absorbing collodion are in the X-ray beam. 4) The sample is homogeneous. Pulling out a fiber of collodion causes some preferred orientation, but less than in rolled collodion mount. It should be noted that the small sample diameter will necessitate longer exposure times.

I would like to thank Drs. Rodney T. Tettenhorst and Henry E. Wenden, of the Department of Mineralogy, Ohio State University, for their review of the manuscript and their helpful suggestions.

THE AMERICAN MINERALOGIST, VOL. 56, SEPTEMBER-OCTOBER, 1971

ORIENTATION OF EXSOLUTION LAMELLAE IN CLINOPYROXENES  
AND CLINOAMPHIBOLES: CONSIDERATION OF OPTIMAL  
PHASE BOUNDARIES: ERRATUM

PETER ROBINSON AND HOWARD W. JAFFE, *Department of Geology,  
University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01002*  
MALCOLM ROSS, *U.S. Geological Survey, Washington, D. C. 20242*  
AND  
CORNELIUS KLEIN, JR., *Department of Geological Sciences,  
Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138*

On page 925 of the article with the above title (*Amer. Mineral.* 56, 909-939 [May-June, 1971]) equation 6 appeared as follows:

$$w = \frac{c_{\text{PIG}} |\cos \beta_{\text{PIG}}| - c_{\text{AUG}} |\cos \beta_{\text{AUG}}| + \frac{(c_{\text{AUG}} \sin \beta_{\text{AUG}} - c_{\text{PIG}} \sin \beta_{\text{PIG}})}{x/z}}{a_{\text{AUG}} - a_{\text{PIG}}}$$

The equation should have read:

$$w = \frac{c_{\text{PIG}} |\cos \beta_{\text{PIG}}| - c_{\text{AUG}} |\cos \beta_{\text{AUG}}| - \frac{(c_{\text{AUG}} \sin \beta_{\text{AUG}} - c_{\text{PIG}} \sin \beta_{\text{PIG}})}{x/z}}{a_{\text{AUG}} - a_{\text{PIG}}}$$

REFERENCES

- ROBINSON, P., H. W. JAFFE, M. ROSS, AND C. KLEIN, JR. (1971) *Amer. Mineral.* 56, 909-939.