References

- ALFORS, J. T., M. C. STINSON, R. A. MATTHEWS, AND A. PABST (1965) Seven new barium minerals from Eastern Fresno County, California. Amer. Mineral. 50, 314-341.
- BROSGE, W. P. (1960) Metasedimentary rocks in the S. C. Brooks Range, Alaska. U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap. 400B, B351.
- CARRON, M. K., M. E. MROSE, AND A. N. REISER (1964) New data on cymrite, a hydrated silicate of barium and aluminum. (abstr.). Geol. Soc. Amer. Spec. Pap. 82, 26.
- CRAWFORD, W. A., AND W. S. FYFE (1965) Lawsonite equilibria. Amer. J. Sci. 263, 262-270.
- RUNNELLS, D. D. (1964) Cymrite in a copper deposit, Brooks Range, Alaska. Amer. Mineral. 49, 158-165.
- SEKI, Y. AND G. C. KENNEDY (1964a) Phase relations between cymrite, BaAlSi₈O₈(OH). and celsian, BaAl₂Si₂O₈. Amer. Mineral. 49, 1407–1426.
- ----- (1964b) The breakdown of potassium feldspar, KAlSi₃O₈, at high temperatures and high pressures. *Amer. Mineral.* **49**, 1688–1706.
- SMITH, J. V. (1965) X-ray emission microanalysis of rock-forming minerals: I. Experimental techniques. J. Geol. 73, 6, 830–864.
- SMITH, W. C., F. A. BANNISTER, AND M. H. HEY (1949) Cymrite, a new barium mineral from the Benallt manganese mine, Rhiw, Carnarvonshire, *Mineral Mag.* 28, 206, 676–681.

THE AMERICAN MINERALOGIST, VOL. 52, NOVEMBER-DECEMBER, 1967

VARIATIONS IN THE UNIT-CELL DIMENSIONS OF TOPAZ AND THEIR SIGNIFICANCE

P. E. ROSENBERG, Department of Geology, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington.

INTRODUCTION

Variations in the optical properties of topaz due to substitution of OH^- for F^- have been known for many years (Penfield and Minor, 1894) and have recently been reviewed by Deer, Howie and Zussman (1962). Although the structure of topaz is well known (Alston and West, 1928; Pauling, 1928) and unit-cell dimensions have been determined for a number of natural samples (Swanson, *et al.*, 1962; Fiedler, 1962), systematic variations in the unit cell dimensions of topaz have not been reported to date. The present investigation concerns variations in the unit-cell dimensions of topaz have not been reported to GMT of topaz and their relation to the extent of substitution of OH⁻ for F⁻.

DATA AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS

The unit-cell dimensions and optic axial angles $(2V_{\gamma})$ of 14 natural samples are given in Table 1.

Unit-cell dimensions were determined from average powder-diffraction data using a Norelco X-ray diffractometer and NaCl as an internal standard. 2θ values for the topaz (320), (031), (211), (220) and (021) X-ray reflections were obtained by averaging four measurements (scan rate $\frac{1}{4}$ °/min.) per reflection. 2θ values of the standard reflections

[NaCl (200) and (220)] were determined for $\text{CuK}\alpha(\lambda=1.5418\text{ Å})$ from the unit-cell dimension (a=5.6412 Å at 26°C) given in the International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Topaz cell dimensions were calculated using a least-squares refinement program at the Computer Center of Washington State University. Significant variations in the unit-cell dimensions of topaz were observed, particularly for b (Table 1). Based on two standard deviations (2σ), the b cell dimensions are believed to be known within 0.002 Å for all samples except Nos. 1, 3, 10, ± 0.001 Å and No. 11, ± 0.003 Å. The precisions of topaz from two localities in Table 1 (Nos. 1 and 2) determined by Swanson *et al.*, (1962) are in close agreement with those obtained in the present study.

No	. Locality	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	Volume (ų)	$2V_{\gamma}$
1.	Durango, Mexico	8.394	8.789	4.652	343.20	68.0°
2.	Topaz Mountain, Thomas Range,					
	Utah	8.394	8.792	4.648	342.99	67.4°
3.	San Luis Potosi, Mexico	8.396	8.794	4.651	343.40	67.0°
4.	Devils Head, Douglas Co., Colorado	8.394	8.801	4.655	343.89	63.6°
5.	Mason County, Texas	8.394	8.803	4.652	343.75	64.0°
6.	Pikes Peak Peg., Lone Lode Claim,					
	Park Co., Colorado	8.397	8.806	4.650	343.84	62.5°
7.	Schneckenstein, Saxony, Germany	8.393	8.807	4.652	343.86	62.5°
8.	China Wall Cupola, Lake George					
	Area, Park Co., Colorado	8.395	8.808	4.648	343.69	63.3°
9.	Brown Derby Mine, Gunnison Co.,					
	Colorado	8.392	8.810	4.655	344.16	61.2°
10.	Belowda Beacon, Roche, Cornwall,					
	England	8.391	8.811	4.654	344.08	61.2°
11.	Trumbull, Connecticut	8.394	8.817	4.660	344.89	57.0°
12.	Minas Gerais, Brazil	8.399	8.826	4.662	345.59	53.8°
13.	Ouro Preto, Minas Gerais, Brazil	8.395	8.833	4.660	345.55	51.8°
14.	Brewer Mine, Chesterfield Co., South					
	Carolina	8.389	8.836	4.666	345.87	48.0°

 TABLE 1. UNIT-CELL DIMENSIONS AND OPTIC AXIAL ANGLES

 OF NATURAL TOPAZ

Optic angle measurements were made in Na-light using procedures described by Emmons (1943). Values given in Table 1 are averages of 15 orthoscopic measurements per sample. Average conosopic measurements for samples 1, 2, and 10 were less than 0.5° greater than those obtained orthoscopically. Optic axial angles (Table 1) are in close agreement with those reported previously for topaz from the same localities (Deer, Howie and Zussman, 1962). The precision of optic angle values is $\pm 1.0^{\circ}$ (No. 11, Table 1, $\pm 2.0^{\circ}$) but in view of recent studies by Munro (1963) and others their accuracy is uncertain. Although the optic axial angles determined in this study may not represent absolute values, the relative change in optic angle is believed to be a valid expression of variations in the OH⁻:F⁻ ratio of topaz.

Inspection of Table 1 reveals that the sample (No. 1) with the largest optic angle and, therefore, the smallest OH⁻:F⁻ ratio (Deer, Howie and Zussman, 1962) has the smallest

MINERALOGICAL NOTES

b cell dimension. With decreasing optic angle the *b* cell dimension increases progressively for all samples. Since the only major variation in the chemical composition of topaz is in the OH⁻:F⁻ ratio (ibid.), the well-defined inverse relationship between optic angle and *b* (Fig. 1) strongly suggests that *b* is also dependent on this ratio. A similar but weaker correlation exists between small variations in *c* and optic angle (Fig. 2) suggesting that substitution of OH⁻ for F⁻ causes a slight increase in *c*; *a* appears to be unaffected by this substitution.

Although chemical analyses were not attempted in the present study, topaz analyses are reported by Deer, Howie and Zussman (1962) for samples from three of the localities in Table 1 (Nos. 2, 10 and 14); optic angle values for these samples are in very close agreement with those obtained in this study. A diagram in which the analytically determined



FIG. 1. Variation of b cell dimensions with optic axial angles $(2V_{\gamma})$ of topaz. Diameters of circles approximate or exceed the precision of $2V_{\gamma}$ and b. Filled circles, $b \pm 0.001$ Å.

 $OH^{-}/(OH^{-}+F^{-})$ ratios are plotted against *b* is shown in Fig. 3. Additional chemical analyses and unit cell determinations are necessary to establish the relationship between *b* and the $OH^{-}/(OH^{-}+F^{-})$ ratio with confidence but it is interesting to note than an extrapolation of the curve (Fig. 3) through the three data points to an $OH^{-}/(OH^{-}+F^{-})$ ratio of zero intersects the *b* axis at 8.789 Å. This value should approximate the *b* cell dimension of fluorotopaz (Al₂SiO₄F₂). On the basis of the *b* cell dimension and optic angle topaz from Durango, Mexico (Table 1, No. 1) is believed to lie close to the F-rich endmember.

DISCUSSION

Topaz structure is based on a combination of cubic and hexagonal close-packing of oxygen and fluorine atoms which have nearly identical ionic raddi; these anions are arranged in layers parallel to a and c (Alston and West, 1928). The structure is composed of independent silica

1892



FIG. 2. Variation of c cell dimensions with optic axial angles $(2V_{\gamma})$ of topaz. Diameters of circles approximate or exceed the precision of $2V_{\gamma}$ and c.



FIG. 3. Variation of b cell dimensions (this study) with mole percent $OH^{-}/(OH^{-}+F^{-})$ of topaz reported by Deer, Howie and Zussman (1962). Diameters of circles approximate the precision of b.

tetrahedra and linked octahedral groups around aluminum (Deer, Howie and Zussman, 1962). As a result of the location of fluorine atoms on adjacent rather than opposite corners of the octahedra, alternate layers along the *b*-axis contain; 1) oxygen atoms exclusively, 2) fluorine atoms and oxygen atoms with fluorine predominant.

Substitution of the larger ($\sim 12.5\%$; Evans, 1964) hydroxyl ion for the fluoride ion should expand the topaz lattice. The presence of alternate oxygen and F-rich layers perpendicular to b accounts for the large increase in b relative to a and c. Appreciable expansion of the lattice takes place perpendicular to the anion layers but little or none parallel to these layers. Substitution of F⁻ for OH⁻ in phlogopite produces an analogous effect; significant decrease takes place in c approximately perpendicular to the mica sheets but little or no change occurs in a or b which are parallel to the sheets (Yoder and Eugster, 1954).

Since a wide range in $OH^-:F^-$ ratios has been observed, the chemical composition of topaz may be a sensitive indicator of environmental conditions during its formation. It is interesting to note that the three samples (Table 1) with the lowest $OH^-:F^-$ ratios are all from cavities in rhyolites while samples with higher $OH^-:F^-$ ratios are from pegmatites and greisens. When quantitative data are available relating topaz composition to temperature, total pressure and partial pressure of SiF₄ (Rosenberg, 1965), the composition of topaz may provide one parameter for delimiting p-T-X conditions in fluids derived directly from late-stage magmatic environments from which topaz-bearing assemblages are believed to have crystallized.

CONCLUSIONS

1) The *b* unit-cell dimension of topaz is approximately 8.789 Å for the F-rich end-member and increases progressively with substitution of OH⁻for F⁻.

2) Expansion of the topaz structure due to substitution of OH^- for F^- takes place primarily in the *b* unit-cell dimension, perpendicular to the oxygen and F-rich anion layers, which alternate along the *b*-axis.

3) The chemical composition of topaz may be a sensitive indicator of environmental conditions during crystallization of topaz-bearing mineral assemblages.

Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to C. C. Hawley and W. N. Sharp of the U. S. Geological Survey and D. T. Secor of the University of South Carolina for several of the mineral samples used in this study. This investigation was supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. GP 4885.

References

- ALSTON, N. A. AND J. WEST (1928) The structure of topaz [Al(F, OH)]₂ SiO₄. Proc. Roy. Soc., A, 121, 358–367.
- DEER, W. A., R. A. HOWIE, AND J. ZUSSMAN (1962) Rock-Forming Minerals. 1, 145-150, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y.
- EMMONS, R. C. (1943) The Universal Stage. Geol. Soc. Amer. Mem. 8.
- EVANS, R. C. (1964) An Introduction to Crystal Chemistry. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- FIEDLER, GUSTAV (1962) Verbesserte d-Werte von Topaz. Ber. Geol. Gesell., Deut. Dem. Rep. 6, 153-157.
- HENRY, N. F. M., AND K. LONSDALE (eds.) (1962) International Tables for X-ray crystallography, 3, 122, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England.
- MUNRO, M. (1963) Errors in the measurement of 2V with the universal stage. Amer. Mineral., 48, 308-323.
- PAULING, L. (1928) The crystal structure of topaz. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., (U. S.) 14, 603–606.
- PENFIELD, S. L., AND J. C. MINOR, JR. (1894) On the chemical composition and related physical properties of topaz. Amer. Jour. Sci., Ser. 3, 137, 387-396.
- ROSENBERG, P. E. (1965), The stability of topaz: a preliminary report (Abstr.). Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union, 46, 180.
- SWANSON, H. E., M. C. MORRIS, R. P. STINCHFIELD AND E. H. EVANS (1962) Standard X-ray diffraction powder patterns. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U. S.) Mon. 25, sec. 1, 4–5.
- YODER, H. S. AND H. P. EUGSTER (1954) Phlogopite synthesis and stability range. *Geochim.* Cosmochim. Acta, 6, 157-185.

THE AMERICAN MINERALOGIST, VOL. 52, NOVEMBER-DECEMBER, 1967

AENIGMATITE FROM THE GROUNDMASS OF A PERALKALINE TRACHYTE

M. J. Abbott,¹ Department of Geology, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.

INTRODUCTION

Previous chemical analyses of aenigmatite (Fleischer, 1936; Carmichael, 1962; Kelsey and McKie, 1964; Mitrofanov and Afanas'eva, 1966; Zies, 1966) are of phenocrysts from the pantellerites of Pantelleria, the Kola Peninsula, and Greenland, and the alkali syenites of the East Sayan Mts. The aenigmatite reported here is an interstitial mineral in the groundmass of a peralkaline trachyte, and it was analyzed to determine whether there are any significant differences between this type of aenigmatite and that occurring as phenocrysts.

¹ (Present address: Department of Geology, Imperial College, London, England.)