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The mineral cymrite, $\text{BaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$, heretofore found only in Wales and Alaska, was discovered by the writer with W. S. Fyfe near the only serpentinite body exposed on the Pacheco Pass Road (San Benito County, Calif.) about $2\frac{1}{4}$ miles west of the summit. It is found at the west end of the serpentinite in a jadeite metagraywacke at the well-exposed contact with the ultramafic rock. The metagraywacke is bleached and desilicated at the contact, and is shot through with calcite, albite, lawsonite, and more rarely cymrite veins. The cymrite is seen in hand specimen as coarse platelets easily confused with the common albite. In thin section the rock is laced with laths of lawsonite and cymrite, and albitized jadeite relics remain. Veinlets of cymrite-lawsonite may be found, although the coarsely disseminated cymrite is often penetrated by fine lawsonite. The lawsonite, albite and cymrite show no signs of reaction. Optical properties of the cymrite closely match those described for material from the type locality (Smith et al., 1949), and its powder pattern is similar to that published by Smith et al., and Seki and Kennedy (1964a). The cymrite is often partially altered to a cloudy brown material, and with the inclusions of lawsonite, the alteration would make it difficult to obtain perfectly pure material for wet chemical analysis. Relatively pure splits were obtained by magnetic separation.

1 Present address, Dept. of Mineralogy and Petrology, Univ. of Cambridge.
2 Two cymrite occurrences have been recorded in Alaska, one at Bonanza Creek by Brosge (1960) and Carron et al., (1964), and one at Ruby Creek by Runnells (1964).
The microprobe analysis of cymrite (Table 1) gives a formula of \( \text{BaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O} \), identical to that proposed by Carron et al., (1964). Earlier formulae for cymrite, \( \text{BaAl}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_8(\text{OH}) \) and \( \text{Ba}_2\text{Al}_5\text{Si}_5\text{O}_{18.4}\cdot3.65\text{H}_2\text{O} \), inferred from analyses of contaminated materials by Smith et al., (1949) and Runnells (1964) respectively, must be questioned in the light of Carron et al., and the writer's analyses. The water content of the writer's
Cymrite was not directly measured, so that Seki and Kennedy’s (1964a) suggestion that cymrite and hexagonal celsian may form a solid solution could not be checked, but the total of the microprobe analysis would be low if less than the assumed stoichiometric water were present (see Table 1). With the new formula, solid solution of cymrite and hexagonal celsian would be equivalent to partial dehydration of cymrite. Carron et al., conclusively showed from infrared studies that the water in their cymrite is present as H₂O and not as OH, and their analysis of water gives close to one water for eight oxygens. The only other element detected in the microprobe analysis of the Pacheco Pass cymrite was 0.03 percent K₂O, possibly substituting for Ba as KAl₂Si₃O₈·H₂O, as suggested by Seki and Kennedy (1964b). Although cymrite (BaAl₂Si₂O₈·H₂O) and lawsonite (CaAl₂Si₂O₆·2H₂O) are closely associated at Pacheco Pass, they show no mutual solid solution, and the small amounts of Ca reported in previously published analyses of cymrite may be contaminants.

Seki and Kennedy’s (1964a) paper dealt with experimental equilibria of cymrite, but unfortunately they used the earlier formula—BaAl₂Si₃O₈(OH) instead of BaAl₂Si₂O₈·2H₂O—and their equilibria must be reexamined. It is difficult to interpret their experiments (see Fig. 1) in the light of the new formula, since any production of sanbornite (BaSi₂O₅) or Ba₂Si₂O₆ from cymrite requires concomitant development of a more aluminous¹ phase than celsian if the new formula is correct.

¹ Though kyanite could have been missed, as its main peaks correspond to sanbornite, cymrite and Ba₂Si₂O₆ peaks.

Fig. 1. Stability of cymrite after Seki and Kennedy (1964a).
Their starting materials had the composition \( \text{BaAlSi}_2\text{O}_8 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \), which is equivalent to \( \text{BaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{BaSi}_2\text{O}_6 + 2\text{SiO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \), and it is difficult to understand how they made cymrite alone from this starting composition. Possibly their synthetic product (and Smith et al.,'s mineral?) have a different composition than Carron et al., and the writer's minerals. There is no evidence that cymrite and hexagonal celsian form a solid solution in natural occurrences, and it seems unlikely in the light of the natural occurrences that pure cymrite is restricted to pressures greater than 18 kb. as suggested by Seki and Kennedy's experiments.

If the new formula is correct, one must consider instead the reaction

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} & = \text{BaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_6 + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \Delta V = -5\text{cc} + V_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} > 0 \\
\text{cymrite} & \text{ celsian} & \text{water} \\
\text{BaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8 \cdot 4\text{SiO}_2 & = \text{BaSi}_2\text{O}_6 + \text{Al}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_10(\text{OH})_2 & \Delta V = -6.1\text{cc} \\
\text{cymrite} & \text{ quartz} & \text{sanbornite} \\
\text{BaSi}_2\text{O}_6 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O} & = \text{BaSi}_2\text{O}_6 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} & \Delta V = -31.8\text{cc} + 3V_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} > 0 \\
\text{krauskopfite} & \text{sanbornite} & \text{water}
\end{align*}
\]

1 Krauskopfite is a new mineral described by Alfors et al., 1965.
Abbreviations for Figs. 2 and 3. Pg = Paragonite, Cels = Celsian, San = Sanbornite, Cym = Cymrite, Qz = Quartz, Ab = Albite, Py = Pyrophyllite, Kr = Krauskopfite, V = Water Vapor.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BaSi}_2\text{O}_7 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Al}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_10(\text{OH})_2 &= \text{BaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} + 4 \text{SiO}_2 + 3 \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{krauskopfite} &\quad \text{pyrophyllite} \quad \text{cymrite} \quad \text{quartz} \quad \text{water} \\
\Delta V &= -25.7\text{cc} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} > 0
\end{align*}
\]

If a field of stability exists for cymrite-quartz (as suggested by Runnell's observations), a possible geometry for these reactions is schematically shown in Figure 2. For most rocks, alkali ions are present in the fluids if feldspars are available, and pyrophyllite becomes unstable with respect to micas. In this case equilibria for the system cymrite-feldspar must be considered instead, for example cymrite-albite as schematically shown in Figure 3. The anhydrous equilibria 2) and 5) may provide pressure-sensitive transitions, but would of course be only rarely applicable to rocks due to the rarity of cymrite. The albite-cymrite assemblage in the silica-deficient high-pressure Franciscan rocks compared to the quartz-cymrite assemblage in the lower-pressure greenschist facies of Alaskan rocks, suggests that the albite-cymrite assemblage is stable to higher pressures than quartz-cymrite.
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VARIATIONS IN THE UNIT-CELL DIMENSIONS OF TOPAZ AND THEIR SIGNIFICANCE

P. E. Rosenberg, Department of Geology, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington.

INTRODUCTION

Variations in the optical properties of topaz due to substitution of OH− for F− have been known for many years (Penfield and Minor, 1894) and have recently been reviewed by Deer, Howie and Zussman (1962). Although the structure of topaz is well known (Alston and West, 1928; Pauling, 1928) and unit-cell dimensions have been determined for a number of natural samples (Swanson, et al., 1962; Fiedler, 1962), systematic variations in the unit cell dimensions of topaz have not been reported to date. The present investigation concerns variations in the unit-cell dimensions of topaz and their relation to the extent of substitution of OH− for F−.

DATA AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS

The unit-cell dimensions and optic axial angles (2V,) of 14 natural samples are given in Table 1. Unit-cell dimensions were determined from average powder-diffraction data using a Norelco X-ray diffractometer and NaCl as an internal standard. 2θ values for the topaz (320), (031), (211), (220) and (021) X-ray reflections were obtained by averaging four measurements (scan rate 1⁰/min.) per reflection. 2θ values of the standard reflections...