

- SAHAMAN, TH. G. (1956), Optical anomalies in arfvedsonite from Greenland. *Am. Mineral.*, **41**, 509-512.
- SEITSAARI, J. (1952), Association of cummingtonite and hornblende. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A, III*, **30**, 1-20.
- TILLEY, C. E. (1957), Paragenesis of anthophyllite and hornblende from the Bancroft area, Ontario. *Am. Mineral.*, **42**, 412-416.
- WHITTAKER, E. J. W. (1960), The crystal chemistry of the amphiboles. *Acta Cryst.*, **13**, 291-298.

THE AMERICAN MINERALOGIST, VOL. 47, JANUARY-FEBRUARY, 1962

ALLUAUDITE AND CARYINITE

D. JEROME FISHER, *University of Chicago*.

That alluaudite and caryinite are isostructural was pointed out by Strunz (1960). Using the less oblique $I\bar{2}1/a$ cell for alluaudite (Fisher, 1956), the powder data (Fisher, 1955) are indexed as shown in Table 1,

TABLE 1. POWDER PHOTOGRAPH DATA

No.	Alluaudite					Carynite		
	d_0	d_m	I_s	I_p	hkl	d	I	hkl
1	8.19	8.39-18	3	2-3	110	8.60	1	110
2	6.27	6.37-26	9	8	020	6.59	1	020
—	5.67	—	0	0	011	6.05	1	011
3	5.47	5.52-45	7	4-6	200			
—	—	4.90-88	—	—	—	5.10	1	—
—	—	4.65-64	—	—	—			
—	—	4.30	4.3-4.2	—	—	4.54	1	121
4a,b	4.18-14	4.16-12	5, 3	1-3	211, 220	4.45	1	211
—	—	3.99	—	0	121	4.19	1	121
—	—	3.91	—	1	0	4.09	1	130
—	—	3.71	—	0	211	3.88	1	211
5	3.49	3.51-48	{7+}	5-7	{310}	3.69	1	031
—	—	—	{5}	—	{031}	3.64	1	310
—	—	3.35-31	—	—	—			
6a	3.17	3.20	1	1-	002	3.39	1	002
—	—	—	—	—	—	3.33	1	—
6b	3.13	3.16-11	6	1	040	3.29	2	{040}
7	3.07	3.09-05	9-	5-8	112			{112}
8	3.05	3.055	7	—	231	3.21	1	231
9	2.964	2.97	5-	—	321	3.14	2	202
10	2.917	2.93	5+	1-	202	3.12	1	321
11a	2.868	2.91-88	7+	1-2	112	3.03	3	112, 022
11b	2.849-36	2.86-85	5, 9	1-3	231, 022	2.964	1	231
11c	2.760	2.82-77	9	1-3	141	2.903	2	141
12a	2.745-34	2.74	6, 9-	7-	330, 400	2.868	10	{330, 240}
12b	2.715	2.74-72	9	10	240	2.849	—	{400}
13	2.696-80	2.71	—, 5	—	321, 141	2.810	1	321
14	2.647	2.67-64	7+	1-2	222	2.790	2	—
15	2.600	2.61	5	1-	202	2.730	2	202

(continued on next page)

Explanation of columns

No. = The number of the line as given in Fisher (1955)

d_0 = Calculated spacing assuming $I\bar{2}1/a$ with $a=11.03$, $b=12.53$, $c=6.40$, $\beta=97^\circ34'$ as given in Fisher (1956)

d_m = Measured spacings from Fisher (1955)

I_s = Uncorrected visual intensities estimated from single crystal photographs of the Buranga alluaudite. Those not observed are indicated by a dash.

I_p = Uncorrected visual intensities from Fisher (1955).

Note: the caryinite figures are taken (with minor modifications) from Boström. The 3.14 spacing is a calculated value (with intensity from a Weissenberg photograph), since it was shadowed by a line from the standard.

TABLE 1.—(continued)

No.	Alluaudite					Caryinite		
	d_o	d_m	I_h	I_p	hkl	d	I	hkl
16	2.583	2.59	3+	1+	411	2.686	5	132
17a	2.525	2.54-53	---	3-6	132	2.659	1	411, 312
17b	2.517-00	2.52-50	5+, 7+	2-6	312, 420			
—	2.443	2.45	1-	1-	150			
18	2.408	2.42-39	---	1-	132	2.536	2	132
19	{ 2.396	2.32-	{ 5, 1-	{ }	{ 222, 411	2.445	1	411
	{ 2.332	2.35	{ 7	{ }	{ 051			
20	{ 2.290	2.25-	{ 1, 5	{ }	{ 341, 431			
	{ 2.225	2.22	{ -, 1-	{ }	{ 042, 402	2.363	1	042
	{ 2.210	2.20-	{ 0, -	{ }	{ 312, 332	2.329	1	332
21	{ 2.183	2.18	{ 0	{ }	{ 251			
22	2.160-51	2.17-15	1-, 7	1-3	341, 510	2.258	1	---
23	{ 2.135	2.097	{ -, 0	{ }	{ 242, 251			
	{ 2.096	2.10-	{ 1+	{ }	{ 422			
	{ 2.089	2.10-	{ 7, 9	{ }	{ 060, 013			
24a	2.078	2.08	1+	2-4	431			
	2.064	2.08-	7, 3	{ }	{ 350, 440			
24b	{ 2.033	2.05	1-, 1+	1-3	{ 521, 213			
—	{ 2.015	---	{ 1, -	{ 0	{ 123, 242			
	{ 1.998	1.98	{ 9	{ }	{ 332	2.080	1	152
—	{ 1.966	1.98	{ -, 1-	{ }	{ 152, 161	2.072	1	332
25a	1.951-44	1.96-94	7, 5	1-3	260, 402			
	{ 1.937	1.94-	{ 0, 7+	{ }	{ 161, 530			
25b	{ 1.929	1.92	{ 3	{ }	{ 123			
26	1.908-02	1.92-90	3-, 1+	1-	152, 512	2.010	1	152
—	1.886	---	1-, 3	0	521, 033			
	1.869	1.87-	1, 1+	{ }	{ 213, 323			
27	{ 1.853	1.85	5+	{ }	{ 422			
	{ 1.848	1.84-	0, 0	{ }	{ 233, 600			
28	{ 1.817	1.83	9	{ }	{ 451			
—	1.813	1.818	---	2-	442	1.925	1	442
29	1.795-93	1.81-79	7+, --	2-	611, 352	1.900	1	---
—	{ 1.775	---	{ 1, 0	{ 0	{ 413, 541			
	{ 1.773	---	{ 0	{ }	{ 361			
30a	1.767-61	1.78-76	1-, --	1-	170, 143	1.877	1	---
30b	1.748	1.76-	7, 1-	2±	532, 620	1.849	1	532
	1.746	1.74-	---	---	062			
31	1.730	1.74-	0, 7	1±	451, 071	1.841	1	---
	1.722	1.72	---	---	233			
—	1.711	--	1	0	361			
—	1.700	--	---	---	143, 262			
—	1.680	1.678	{ 1, 1	½-	602, 512	1.801	1	602?
	{ 1.674	---	{ 0	{ }	{ 611			
	1.674	1.66-	5, 3	2-	{ 541, 352			
32	{ 1.660	1.65	1--	{ }	{ 323, 631	1.748	1	323?
—	1.653	--	--	0	{ 271	1.730	1	---
—	{ 1.649	--	{ 3, 9	0	{ 343, 442			
	{ 1.647	---	{ 1-	{ }	{ 460			
33	{ 1.646	1.63-	5, 0	1	{ 550, 262	1.714	1	550
	{ 1.622	1.62	0, 5	{ }	{ 271, 622			
34a	1.616-07	1.60-59	-, 7	1±	053, 370			
34b	{ 1.593	1.593	3, -	1-	114, 253	1.699	1	004
	{ 1.592	---	{ 5	{ }	{ 1666	1.641	1	1666
35	{ 1.586	1.58	9, 5-, }	3-5	{ 204, 004, 523	{ 532, 172		
	{ 1.567	1.57	5+9, 9}	{ }	{ 640, 080	{ 080, 224		
36	1.549-45	---	---	1-2	1.610?	1	172	
37	1.533-26	---	---	2	1.558	1	471	
38	1.517-09	---	---	1-2	1.551	1	602	
39	1.498-83	---	---	1-3	1.540	1	334	
40	1.471-68	---	---	2	1.536	1	---	
	1.459	---	---	404	1.522	1	224, 730	
41	1.450-32	---	---	½-2	1.507	1	471	
42	1.418-09	---	---	1-2	1.491	1	---	
—	1.384	---	---	---	1.435	1	{ 570, 372	
							{ 381, 642	
							(Six more lines)	

which also gives intensities observed on single crystal photographs. These correspond very well with the spacing and indexing for caryinite as given by Boström (1958). These are added to the last three columns of the table for comparison.

REFERENCES

- BOSTRÖM, K. (1958), Chemical composition and symmetry of caryinite. *Ark. Min.*, 2, 333-336.
FISHER, D. J., (1955), Alluaudite. *Am. Mineral.*, 40, 1100-1109.
——— (1956), Hagendorfite unit cell. *Bull. Geol. Soc. Amer.*, 67, 1694-1695. Also see *Am. Mineral.*, 42, (1957) 663.
STRUNZ, H. (1960) Karyinit, ein Arsenat vom Strukturtypus der Phosphate Hagendorfit und Alluaudite: *N. Jb. Mineral.*, Mh. (1), 7-15.

THE AMERICAN MINERALOGIST, VOL. 47, JANUARY-FEBRUARY, 1962

ADSORPTION OF DYES BY CLAY MINERALS

V. S. RAMACHANDRAN, K. P. KACKER AND N. K. PATWARDHAN
Central Building Research Institute, Roorkee, India.

INTRODUCTION

Recent investigations on clay-organic complexes have led to the discovery of several commercial clay products (Grim, 1960). Adsorption study of organic compounds provides a rapid method of studying cation exchange, surface area and mineralogical composition of clay minerals (Faust, 1940; Grim, 1953; Hauser, 1955; MacEwan, 1948; Mackenzie, 1948; Bradley, 1945; Emodi, 1949; Bossazza, 1944; Ramachandran, Kacker and Patwardhan, 1961; Ramachandran, Garg and Kacker, 1961).

Much of the work on clay-organic complexes pertains to reactions between montmorillonite and halloysite and various organic compounds. Hendricks (1941) and Grim *et al.* (1947) found that small organic molecules replace the exchangeable cations on montmorillonite quantitatively, but with larger molecules the exchange is incomplete due to the "cover up effect."

In general the cation exchange capacity (CEC) values of clay minerals obtained by methylene blue adsorption are far less than those obtained by other standard methods (Worall, 1958; White and Cowan, 1960; Robertson and Ward, 1951). Much discrepancy is reported between surface areas calculated by methylene blue adsorption and B.E.T. methods (Kipling and Wilson, 1960).

In spite of a large amount of work there is still confusion about the exact mechanism involved in the adsorption of dyes by clay minerals. This note discusses the studies on adsorption of three basic organic dyes, *viz.*, malachite green (MG), methylene blue (MB) and methyl violet B