Attention has been called to two drafting errors in Figure 2, p. 509, *Am. Mineral.*, Vol. 35. (1) The wire coming from $S_4$ should not connect to the 250V line—if the $S_4$ were turned down to the ground end it would short out the power supply. (2) The grid on tube $V_3$ is grounded—this is corrected by adding a 510K grid leak. Both of these errors have been corrected on the wiring diagram below.

**Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of amplifier.**

B. C. = Brown Converter
$S_3$ = Calibration Switch
$S_4$ = Sensitivity Switch
$S_5$ = Temperature Indicator Switch
$S_6$ = Centering Switch
$V_1, V_2$ = 6SL7

$V_3 = \frac{1}{2} 6SN7$
$V_4, V_5$ = 6SN7

$T_1, T_2$ = Thordarson T-72A59 Transceiver

Transformer

$T_2$ = Acme B-4 Transformer, 110 V to 270-0-270

Attention is called to an omission in the *Am. Mineral.*, 35, 579–589, (1950), "X-Ray Diffraction Patterns of Asbestos." To the bottom of page 587 should be added: "Analysis of the $d$ values and intensities of this latter group of minerals indicates that these patterns are actually characteristic of the amphiboles rather than chrysotile." This includes the samples from Corsica, Easton, Pa., Labrador, and Chester, Pa.

I am indebted to Mr. Donald Bailey of the Saranac Laboratory of the Trudeau Foundation for bringing this to my attention.

Suzanne van Dyke Beatty