Laser Raman microspectrometry of metamorphic quartz: A simple method for comparison of metamorphic pressures—Corrigendum

WILLIAM D. CARLSON,^{1,*} EMILY A. MCDOWELL,¹ MASAKI ENAMI,² TADAO NISHIYAMA,³ AND TAKASHI MOURI²

¹Department of Geological Sciences, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, U.S.A. ²Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan ³Department of Earth and Environment, Kumamoto University, 2-39-1 Kurokami, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan

ABSTRACT

A minor error in calculation of geobarometric estimates from laser Raman microspectrometry of quartz inclusions in garnet appears in Enami et al. (2007). Correction of this error eliminates anomalous results for grossular-rich garnet, inspiring greater confidence in the validity and applicability of this geobarometric technique.

Keywords: Geobarometry, Raman spectrometry, quartz, garnet, elastic model

BACKGROUND

Enami et al. (2007) presented a novel and promising technique for estimation and comparison of metamorphic pressures based upon measurements of Raman spectra of quartz inclusions in garnet. Frequency shifts in the Raman spectrum of quartz are quantitative indicators of residual pressure on the inclusion imposed by the enclosing garnet. For quartz grains completely enclosed in garnet and not affected by fracturing or other modes of stress release, the residual pressure on the inclusion can be related to the original pressure of entrapment by means of elastic models that account for partial relaxation in response to cooling from the temperature of entrapment. The elastic model of Van der Molen (1981) is employed; it calculates normal stresses on a spherical isotropic inclusion in an infinite isotropic medium subjected to an external stress applied at infinite distance from the inclusion. Using this model, the relationship between the residual pressure P_{Otz} and the entrapment pressure P_{Grt} is given by the following equation, which appears (unnumbered) on p. 1311 of Enami et al. (2007):

$$P_{\text{Qtz}} = \frac{\kappa_{\text{Qtz}}}{\kappa_{\text{Grt}} \left(3\kappa_{\text{Qtz}} + 4\mu_{\text{Grt}}\right)} \quad \left\{ P_{\text{Grt}} \left(3\kappa_{\text{Grt}} + 4\mu_{\text{Grt}}\right) - 4\kappa_{\text{Grt}} \mu_{\text{Grt}} \Delta T \Delta A \right\}.$$
(1)

In this expression, κ is bulk modulus (GPa), μ is shear modulus (GPa), ΔT is the difference between the temperature $T_{\rm M}$ at which the Raman spectrum is measured and the temperature $T_{\rm E}$ of entrapment (K), and ΔA is the difference in volumetric thermalexpansion coefficients between garnet and quartz (K⁻¹), which is

0003-004X/09/0809-1291\$05.00/DOI: 10.2138/am.2009.549

defined by $\Delta A \equiv A_{\text{Grt}} - A_{\text{Qtz}}$. In discussions among the authors, an ambiguity in the definition of ΔT came to light that we wish to clarify here: when Equation 1 is applied to Raman barometry of quartz inclusions in garnet, ΔT is correctly computed as $T_{\text{M}} - T_{\text{E}}$, and thus both ΔA and ΔT are negative quantities.

DISCUSSION

Enami et al. (2007, their Table 3, p. 1312) compared observed residual pressures to those calculated, on the basis of the elastic model embodied in Equation 1, for five samples for which pressures and temperatures of entrapment were constrained independently within small ranges. For each sample, expected residual pressures were computed for two limiting entrapment pressures at each of two limiting entrapment temperatures, using in each case four different sets of elastic parameters and thermalexpansion coefficients, corresponding to values for each of the four principal garnet end-members, namely almandine, pyrope, grossular, and spessartine.

In Table 3 of Enami et al. (2007), residual pressures computed for the grossular end-member stand out as anomalous: they are roughly half of the values computed for other end-members, despite much less pronounced differences between grossular and other end-members for values of elastic and thermal-expansion parameters. This was found to be the result of a minor error in the calculations, in which the factor of 3 that appears in the term " P_{Grt} (3 κ_{Grt} + 4 μ_{Grt})" was omitted. Recalculated values are shown here in Table 1; they demonstrate that the laser Raman geobarometric technique is significantly less sensitive to the composition of the garnet host than previously thought. Previously, a large effect had been ascribed to composition: in analysis of results for the epidote-amphibolite-facies metapelite and metabasite,

^{*}E-mail: wcarlson@mail.utexas.edu

Sanbagawa		Qtz-rich eclogite				Qtz-poor eclogite			
T _{peak} (°C)	665 640		710 685		660 -635				
ΔT (°C)									
P _{peak} (GPa)	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.2	
P _{Qtz} (GPa)									
in Alm	0.81	0.85	0.80	0.84	0.69	0.73	0.68	0.72	
in Prp	0.90	0.95	0.90	0.94	0.78	0.82	0.78	0.82	
in Grs	0.82	0.86	0.81	0.85	0.70	0.74	0.69	0.73	
in Sps	0.84	0.88	0.83	0.87	0.72	0.76	0.71	0.75	
Sanbagawa epido	ote-amphibolite	facies rocks							
	Olig-biotite				Ab-biotite z				
T _{peak} (°C)	585		635		470		590		
Δ <i>T</i> (°C)			610		445		-565		
P _{peak} (GPa)	0.9	1.1	0.9	1.1	0.8	1.0	0.8	1.0	
P _{Qtz} (GPa)									
in Alm	0.23	0.31	0.22	0.30	0.22	0.30	0.19	0.27	
in Prp	0.30	0.38	0.30	0.38	0.27	0.36	0.26	0.34	
in Grs	0.24	0.32	0.23	0.31	0.22	0.30	0.20	0.28	
in Sps	0.25	0.33	0.24	0.32	0.23	0.31	0.21	0.29	
Altai amphibolite	facies rocks								
T _{peak} (°C)	470		570						
ΔT (°C)	445		-545						
P _{peak} (GPa)	0.3	0.55	0.3	0.55					
P _{Qtz} (GPa)									
in Alm	0.02	0.12	-0.01	0.09					
in Prp	0.07	0.17	0.06	0.16					
in Grs	0.02	0.12	0.00	0.10					
in Sps	0.03	0.13	0.01	0.11					

TABLE 1. Recalculation of residual pressures appearing in Table 3 of Enami et al. (2007); only values "in Grs" are changed

Notes: T_{peak} and P_{peak} = deduced peak metamorphic conditions; P_{Qtz} = estimated internal pressure at standard condition; Alm = almandine; Prp = pyrope; Grs = grossular; Sps = spessartine; Olig = oligoclase; Ab = albite.

Enami et al. (2007, p. 1312) stated that "incorporation of the grossular component will decrease the [computed] internal pressure drastically," and for all rocks, interpretations of computed pressures (p. 1311–1312) were influenced by allowances for large potential imprecision due to the grossular content of host garnets. Recalculation shows that compositional effects are actually relatively minor in comparison to other factors affecting the precision of geobarometric estimates by this technique, which should encourage its application across the full range of garnet compositions.

REFERENCES CITED

Enami, M., Nishiyama, T., and Mouri, T. (2007) Laser Raman microspectrometry of metamorphic quartz: A simple method for comparison of metamorphic pressures. American Mineralogist, 92, 1303–1315.

Van der Molen, I. (1981) The shift of the α - β transition temperature of quartz associated with the thermal expansion of granite at high pressure. Tectonophysics, 73, 323–342.

MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED JUNE 10, 2009 MANUSCRIPT HANDLED BY DANA GRIFFEN