1 Revision 2

2 Apatite as an archive of pegmatite-forming processes: an example

3 from the Berry-Havey pegmatite (Maine, USA)

4 Word count: 9221 (without references) 5 6 Encarnación Roda-Robles¹, Alfonso Pesquera¹, Pedro Pablo Gil-Crespo¹, William 7 Simmons², Karen Webber², Alexander Falster², Jon Errandonea-Martin¹, Idoia Garate-Olave¹ 8 9 10 ¹Department of Geology, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Barrio Sarriena s/n, 48940-Leioa, Bizkaia, Spain 11 ²Maine Mineral and Gem Museum, PO Box 500, 99 Main Street, Bethel, Maine 04217 12 13 14 ABSTRACT 15 Apatite is an accessory phase in all the units of the internally zoned Berry-Havey 16 complex pegmatite. This body presents a highly fractionated core zone, enriched in Li, F, B, 17 Be and P, which hosts three different types of pockets, some of them often containing tens to 18 hundreds of gemmy euhedral Li-rich tourmaline crystals, together with other mineral phases 19 such as lepidolite. Processes involved in the complex internal evolution of pegmatitic melts 20 that give rise to zoned bodies containing pockets are not completely understood. In order to 21 shed light on these processes, apatite from all the different units of the Berry-Havey 22 pegmatite (wall zone, intermediate zone, core margin and core zone pods) and from the three pocket types (Li-poor, Li-rich and apatite seams) has been characterized 23 petrographically and later analyzed for major (electronic microprobe) and trace elements (LA-24 25 ICP-MS). Results indicate that apatite chemistry changed significantly during the 26 crystallization of the Berry-Havey pegmatite, reflecting the conditions at each stage and 27 mainly depending on the fractionation degree, fO_2 , and paragenetic association.

28 Fluorapatite is found in all the units except the core margin, the Li-poor pockets and the 29 seams, where Mn-bearing fluorapatite is present. A gradual increase of the Mn content in 30 apatite from the pegmatite border (wall zone) inwards, up to the formation of subrounded 31 masses of Mn-Fe phosphate in the core zone pods, parallels the increasing fractionation of 32 the melt. Phosphate crystallization would deplete the residual melt in Mn, probably causing 33 the significant Mn-decrease observed in apatite from the core zone pods and Li-rich pockets. 34 The late depletion of Mn could also be related to an increase of fO_2 in the melt during the 35 later stages of its evolution.

36 Main trace element variations in apatite at both, pegmatite and crystal scales, 37 correspond to REE, Y and Sr. Yttrium and REE behave in a very similar way, decreasing 38 inwards, i.e. with fractionation of the pegmatitic melt (ΣREE from 1796 ppm in the apatite 39 from the wall zone to 0 ppm in the core zone; and Y from 1503 ppm in the apatite from the 40 wall zone to 0 ppm in the core zone); which could be due to early crystallization of REE-41 bearing phosphates such as monazite and xenotime. Strontium shows a more complex 42 trend, with an initial depletion in apatite from the wall zone (52 ppm) to the intermediate zone 43 (3 ppm) and a pronounced increase from the core margin (23-87 ppm) up to the core zone 44 and pockets (up to 2.87 wt%). This increase of Sr at the latest fractionation stages of the pegmatite is interpreted to be associated with a late incompatible character of this element in 45 highly fractionated melts, related to the composition of feldspars from the core margin 46 47 (mainly pure albite). The lack of Ca in feldspars would decrease affinity for Sr incorporation into their structure and, consequently, Sr would go preferentially to apatite in the core zone 48 49 pods and, more markedly, in the pockets.

Apatite also records changes in the redox conditions during crystallization, with the highest fO_2 at the end of the crystallization, mainly reflected in the Eu and Ce anomalies. The chemistry of apatite also reflects the evolution of the pegmatitic melt during crystallization regarding the fluids saturation and pockets generation. Accordingly, at least two exsolution events took place during the Berry-Havey crystallization history: (i) at the beginning of the core zone crystallization, giving rise to the Li-poor pockets; and (ii) after the crystallization of the Li-rich pods of the core zone, resulting in the Li-rich pockets. The apatite-rich seams may

57 have crystallized between these two exsolution events, or later, at a subsolidus stage, after a

58 Na-autometasomatism episode.

This study shows how a detailed petrographic and chemical characterization of apatite associated with different units of a highly fractionated, internally zoned pegmatite may help understand the crystallization history of pegmatitic melts. It is also evidenced that during the internal evolution of pegmatites, apatite chemistry records variations in the fO_2 , elemental fractionation, interaction with competing mineral phases, fluids activity and exsolution events. In addition, it is shown how apatite chemistry may be useful as an exploration tool for pegmatites.

66

67 Keywords: Berry-Havey pegmatite, Maine, apatite, internal fractionation, pockets 68 formation

- 69
- 70

INTRODUCTION

71 The Oxford pegmatite field is characterized by the occurrence of some complexly zoned, 72 highly evolved granitic pegmatites. The Berry-Havey is one of the best examples of these 73 bodies that are enriched in incompatible elements (namely Li, F, B, Be and P) in their most 74 fractionated units. This pegmatite presents a well-developed internal zoning, with textural 75 and chemical changes from the contact with the country rocks inward. In addition, numerous 76 miarolitic cavities (pockets) that contain abundant euhedral crystals of gemmy tourmaline, 77 among other phases, are common in the inner zones of this pegmatite. The crystallization 78 history of the Berry-Havey is, therefore, presumed to be complex. Actually, the internal 79 evolution of pegmatitic melts mainly in heterogeneous zoned bodies is not completely 80 understood. Numerous studies on pegmatite fields have been carried out worldwide, as well 81 as experimental work (e.g. Jahns and Burnham, 1969; Veksler et al. 2002; London, 2005), to 82 understand and decipher the characteristics and formation mechanisms of complex 83 pegmatites. In this regard, micas, feldspars, tourmaline and, to a lesser extent, garnet, Fe-84 Mn-phosphates, Nb-Ta oxides and guartz have been used as petrogenetic indicators to unravel the internal evolution of pegmatitic bodies (e.g., Černý, 1982; London, 2008; Roda-85

86 Robles et al. 2012, 2015a, b; Hernández-Filiberto et al. 2021; Müller et al. 2021). In the 87 Berry-Havey pegmatite, textural and chemical variations observed in tourmaline and mica 88 occurring in all the zones of the body are indicative of the crystallization of a strongly fractionated melt from the borders inward (Roda-Robles et al. 2015a, b). Chemical data from 89 apatite presented in this study are consistent with this model. However, chemical variations 90 91 in this phosphate are more complex and difficult to interpret in comparison to those of 92 tourmaline and mica, probably due to the stronger influence of parameters such as fO_2 or 93 melt polymerization on apatite composition. Thus, a detailed study of the apatite chemistry may be key to understanding the complex crystallization history of this pegmatite. In this 94 95 paper we present the results of the petrographic and chemical study of apatite associated with the different zones of the Berry-Havey pegmatite and discuss their implications for its 96 petrogenesis. Likewise, the usefulness of apatite as an archive of pegmatite-forming 97 processes and as an exploration tool for fractionated pegmatites is highlighted. 98

99

100

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

101 The Berry-Havey pegmatite belongs to the Oxford pegmatite field, which is located SW of the state of Maine (USA). This field outcrops in the middle-south area of the "Central 102 103 Maine Belt" (CMB), a large NE–SW trending synclinorium (Reusch and Staal, 2011) that 104 constitutes the main tectonostratigraphic unit of the northern Appalachians. The CMB is bordered by the Norumbega Shear Zone System to the SE, which puts the CMB in contact 105 106 with the Neoproterozoic to Silurian rocks of the Avalon Composite Terrain; whereas to the 107 NW this belt is bordered by the Ordovician rocks of the Bronson Hill Belt (Guidotti, 1989; 108 Solar and Tomascak, 2001) (Fig. 1). The CMB is composed of a Lower Paleozoic 109 sedimentary succession together with igneous rocks (e. g. Osberg 1978; Solar and Brown 110 2001). During the Acadian orogeny the CMB was deformed and metamorphosed 111 (greenschist in the NE to upper amphibolite facies and migmatite to the SW, Guidotti, 1989; 112 1993) (Fig. 1), and also intruded by Devonian to Permian plutons (e.g. Moench et al. 1995; 113 Bradley et al. 1998; Solar and Brown, 2001, Solar and Tomascak, 2016).

114 Most pegmatites from the Oxford field concentrate on the northern and eastern margins 115 of the so-called "Migmatite-Granite complex" (MGC) (previously known as Sebago Migmatite 116 Domain (Solar and Tomascak, 2016)). The MGC, located in the core of the CMB, presents a 117 high metamorphic set of facies (amphibolite and migmatite). The main rocks of the MGC are 118 metapelitic or metapsammitic migmatite and diatexite with subordinate centimeter- to meter-119 scale bodies of granite (medium-grained two-mica granite to pegmatite) (Tomascak et al. 120 1996a, b). Migmatitic rocks commonly present well-distributed penetrative fabrics, interpreted 121 as deformation, which continued after migmatite formation and at subsolidus conditions 122 (Solar and Tomascak, 2016). An age of 376 ± 14 Ma has been obtained for migmatites from 123 the MGC (Solar and Tomascak, 2016). The MGC acts as country rock to the Sebago pluton, 124 located to the south. This pluton is quite homogeneous texturally and chemically, mainly 125 corresponding to a fine- to medium-grained, two-mica granite (Solar and Tomascak, 2016). It 126 is younger than the surrounding migmatites, with ages of 293 Ma (U-Pb TIMS in monazite, 127 Tomascak et al. 1996b). This important age difference between migmatites from the MGD 128 and the Sebago pluton rules out a direct relationship between both lithologies. According to 129 Solar and Tomascak (2009, 2016) migmatite-forming processes in this area had finished 130 before the formation of the Sebago pluton.

131 Pegmatites from the Oxford field present different degrees of evolution, from barren 132 bodies to highly evolved ones that show well-developed internal zoning (Roda-Robles et al. 2015b). They may intrude in migmatites, amphibolites and mica schists, either concordant to 133 134 the host rock foliation or as irregular and discordant bodies (Simmons et al. 2017). There is 135 not a general consensus on the origin of these pegmatites. According to Wise and Brown 136 (2010), they are related to the Sebago pluton by fractional crystallization processes. 137 However, pegmatites from this field have been dated in a range of ≈ 250–270 Ma (Bradley et 138 al. 2016), which means that they are >20 Ma younger than the Sebago pluton. This important 139 difference in the age of the two lithologies makes it difficult to link the origin of the pegmatites 140 to the Sebago pluton. More recent studies (Simmons et al. 2016; Webber et al. 2019) 141 propose a direct anatectic origin for these pegmatites, with the hosting migmatites and 142 metasedimentary rocks as the source for the pegmatitic melts.

143

```
144
```

GENERAL GEOLOGY OF THE BERRY-HAVEY PEGMATITE

145 The Berry-Havey pegmatite is a tabular to irregular body, hosted by a hornblende-rich 146 amphibolite, with minor diopside or biotite in places (Roda-Robles et al. 2015b). The lower 147 contact with the country rock is not observed, whereas the upper contact only outcrops to the SW of the guarry. There, the pegmatite is mainly conformable to the country rock, dipping \sim 148 149 40° SSE, whereas it gets more horizontal to the north (Fig. 2). Locally, the pegmatite 150 presents some thin (<50 cm thick) dike-like branches that intrude discordantly into the 151 country rock. No metasomatism effects have been observed in the hosting amphibolite close 152 to the contact with the pegmatite. According to the present level of exposure, the thickness of 153 the Berry-Havey pegmatitic body is estimated to be over 30 m. Nevertheless, the lack of 154 exposure of the footwall makes this estimation difficult. The pegmatite is cross-cut by three 155 sub-vertical mafic dykes with a NNE-SSW strike (Fig. 2). The Berry-Havey presents a well-156 developed complex internal structure, where four different zones may be distinguished 157 according to their spatial distribution, textural features, paragenesis, and chemical 158 composition (Roda-Robles et al. 2015b) (Fig. 2; Table 1). These include a wall zone, intermediate zone, core margin, and core zone. These zones are subparallel and may show 159 irregular limits among them, especially in the transition from the core margin to the core 160 161 zone. From the contact inward, the following units may be distinguished (Roda-Robles et al. 2015b) (Fig. 2; Table 1): 162

(1) The Wall Zone only outcrops at the SW area of the quarry, in direct contact with the hosting amphibolite in the hanging wall. The wall zone most commonly presents a fine to medium-sized pegmatitic texture. A gneissic texture may be locally observed. Main minerals include quartz, plagioclase, K-feldspar, biotite, and muscovite, with tourmaline and garnet as common minor phases, and accessory apatite.

(2) The Intermediate Zone volumetrically is the most important zone, as it corresponds to
 ≈ 58 % of the outcrop. Its minerals include quartz and feldspars (K-feldspar and plagioclase)
 which appear graphically intergrown in > 85 % of this zone. Other common phases are

biotite, muscovite, garnet, and black tourmaline, which occur scattered as fine- to medium-171 172 sized crystals. Apatite occurs as an accessory phase. The intermediate zone appears over 173 and under the core zone/core margin. The upper intermediate zone is tabular, with a 174 thickness of ~5 m; whereas the lower intermediate zone, more important volumetrically, is 175 more irregular in shape and thickness (Fig. 2). To the N of the quarry the mineralogy and 176 texture of the lower intermediate zone change locally (Fig. 2). There mineralogy is simpler, 177 with quartz, K-feldspar and black tourmaline; whereas texturally the graphic intergrowth is not 178 observed and the grain size is coarser, locally with blocky K-feldspar and quartz, and with 179 black tourmaline prismatic crystals up to 40 cm in length.

180 (3) The Core Margin corresponds to $\approx 32\%$ of the pegmatite outcrop, occurring between 181 the upper and lower intermediate zone units, closer to the hanging wall than to the footwall (Fig. 2). It is mainly composed of "vuggy" albite, quartz, muscovite, schorl, and accessory 182 183 green tourmaline and apatite. The lower limit between the core margin and the intermediate 184 zone is commonly marked by a discontinuous garnet-rich layer. This layer is used as a guide 185 for the miners in this region, as pockets are not usually found below this level. Over the 186 garnet layer, a guite continuous layer constituted by tourmaline prisms occurs in the core 187 margin (Fig. 2). The core margin zone envelops the different pods that constitute the core 188 zone of the pegmatite.

189 (4) The Core Zone is the innermost and most complex zone of the pegmatite. It is not a 190 continuous unit, but it is formed by a number of more or less irregular pods, sometimes 191 interconnected, of different sizes (from ≈ 2 m up to 10 m across) that are enclosed in the 192 core margin (Fig. 2). Main mineral phases of the core zone pods include blocky feldspars 193 and quartz, that host irregular masses of fine-grained, usually purple, lepidolite, book 194 muscovite ± light pinkish lepidolite, albite, greenish and pinkish tourmaline, and sub-rounded 195 nodules of phosphates (with primary lithiophilite, triplite, dickinsonite, and/or montebrasite); 196 with minor Cs-rich beryl and accessory cassiterite, Nb-Ta oxides and apatite.

197 (5) Pockets: the pods of the core zone may contain isolated or interconnected miarolitic 198 cavities (pockets), with very variable sizes, from a few cm³ to ≈ 0.7 m³. Two different mineral

199 associations may be observed inside these pockets. Some of them are Li-poor and may 200 include euhedral quartz crystals (often smoky), dark "etched" tourmaline, and zoned apatite 201 lenses. Most commonly, pockets are Li-rich, main minerals including quartz, blocky K-202 feldspar, bladed albite, lepidolite, cassiterite, Cs-rich beryl, green and "watermelon" gemmy 203 tourmaline, and purple or bluish apatite. In addition, in both the core margin and the core 204 zone, locally some elongated "seams" of \leq 50 cm long and \leq 20cm wide, which may be filled 205 with apatite, can be observed. These seams are hosted by blocky feldspar in the core zone 206 and/or by platy albite in the core margin.

207

Textural features of the apatite

208 Apatite is ubiguitous in all the units distinguished in the Berry-Havey pegmatite although 209 in different proportions. In the wall zone and intermediate zone, apatite is an accessory 210 phase, appearing as gravish, fine-grained anhedral to euhedral crystals (< 5 mm) (Table 1, 211 Fig. 3a). It is more abundant in the core margin, occurring as fine to medium-sized (< 3 cm), 212 bluish prismatic to anhedral crystals. In addition, some anhedral crystals occur intergrown 213 with garnet in the garnet layer, between the intermediate zone and the core margin (Fig. 3b). 214 In the core zone apatite is very scarce in the pods, where it occurs as subhedral to euhedral 215 fine-grained prisms (< 3 mm) showing concentric zoning under the petrographic microscope 216 (Fig. 3c). In contrast, apatite is abundant in many of the pockets, where it can even be the 217 main phase in the seams, which can be filled up with strongly colored apatite (Fig. 3d). In 218 general, apatite from the pockets is subhedral to euhedral, often occurring as short 219 hexagonal prisms or lenses (< 1 cm Ø) with different colors in hand sample. In the Li-poor 220 pockets euhedral apatite crystals coat or grow over euhedral guartz crystals (Fig. 3e), also 221 coexisting with etched dark tourmaline. These euhedral crystals correspond to hexagonal 222 lenses that show concentric sharp zoning, with a grayish to clear blue core and a narrow 223 pure whitish rim (Fig. 3e). In Li-rich pockets, apatite short prisms show a deep purple or 224 bluish color without concentric chromatic zoning (Fig. 3f). The anhedral to prismatic apatite 225 that fills the elongated "seams" also presents a common deep purple color (Fig. 3d). 226 Occasionally, it is also possible to observe in those cavities some euhedral short hexagonal 227 apatite prisms that present a purple core and a deep green rim.

228

229

SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

Apatite samples were taken from all the zones of the pegmatite. Those from the core zone, where apatite is especially abundant in some of the pockets and in some elongated "seams", are the best-represented samples. Characterization of apatite was carried out via the study of its texture in hand sample and thin section, and of its chemical composition by electron-microprobe and LA-ICP-MS techniques.

235 Electron-microprobe quantitative analyses were performed at the University of Paul 236 Sabatier (Toulouse, France) using a Cameca SXFive (Raimond Castaing Center). The 237 operating conditions included an accelerating voltage of 15 kV; a beam current of 10 nA, and 238 a beam diameter of 2 μ m. A low current was used due to the sensitivity of apatite under the 239 beam. Analyzed surfaces were chosen in the same way to reduce damage. The time of analyses was 10s for each element and 5s on the background; except for F, with 20s and 240 241 10s on the background. The following standards were used: albite (Na), periclase (Mg), 242 corundum (AI), wollastonite (Ca, Si), hematite (Fe), MnTiO₃ (Mn), tugtupite (CI), Durango apatite (F, P), LaPO₄ (La), CePO₄ (Ce), and celestine (Sr). Data were reduced using the 243 244 procedure of Pouchou and Pichoir (1985). Analytical errors are estimated to be on the order 245 of ±1-2%. Measuring of F and CI by EMPA may involve difficulties that may be solved by doing the analyses having crystals oriented with their c-axes perpendicular to the incident 246 247 electron beam (Goldoff et al. 2012). In the present study only analyses made on the apatite crystals from the Li-poor, Li-rich pockets and seams could be oriented this way. 248

Trace element analyses in apatite were performed by laser-ablation inductively-coupled plasma mass-spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) at the Geochronology and Isotope Geochemistry-SGIker facility of the University of the Basque Country (Spain). More than 100 analyses were performed by the ablation of apatite crystals in ca. 100 µm thick petrographic sections or on crystals mounted in epoxy using a UP213 Nd:YAG laser ablation system (New Wave) coupled to a Thermo Fisher Scientific X Series 2 quadrupole ICP-MS instrument with sensitivity enhanced through a dual pumping system. Spot diameters of ca. 100 µm were

256 used for analyses, associated with repetition rates of 10 Hz and laser fluence at the target of ca. 5.5 J/cm². The ablated material was carried in He and then mixed with Ar. The NIST SRM 257 258 612 reference glass was used to perform tuning and mass calibration, by inspecting the 259 signal of 238 U to obtain ca. 14,000,000 cps/ppm, and by minimizing the ThO⁺/Th⁺ ratio to 260 ca. 1%. Raw data were processed using lolite 2.3 (Paton et al. 2011; Paul et al. 2012) and 261 Ca as an internal standard (data obtained by electron microprobe). Apatite from Cerro 262 Mercado (Durango, Mexico) was used to optimize the procedure and as a secondary 263 standard to control the quality of the results in each analytical session.

264

265

APATITE MINERAL CHEMISTRY

266 Major elements

267 Apatite from the Berry-Havey pegmatite presents chemical differences for some major 268 elements depending on the unit where it occurs (Table 2, Fig. 4). In addition, some crystals 269 show marked concentric zoning for certain elements. All the analyzed apatite crystals are 270 fluorapatite. The highest F contents correspond to apatite from the pockets, with values 271 ranging from 5 to 6.14 wt% for the purple prisms, 4.99 to 5.91 wt% for the zoned gray-white 272 lenses, and 2.96 to 3.81 wt% for the zoned purple-green crystals of the seams (Table 2, Fig. 273 4a). The F content of apatite from the intermediate zone is as well > 4 wt%. Wall zone apatite 274 has 2.84 to 3.10 wt% F. The lowest F values correspond to apatite from the core margin, in 275 the range 1.28 – 2.98 wt% F (Table 2, Fig. 4a). The OH content in the halogen site is low in 276 general, ranging from 0 apfu in the apatite from the intermediate zone, Li-poor and Li-rich 277 pockets; up to > 0.5 apfu in the apatite from the core margin; with intermediate values in apatite from the wall zone, seams, and core zone pods (Table 2). All the analyzed apatite 278 crystals have extremely low CI contents, the highest value (0.13 wt%) corresponding to a 279 zoned gray-white crystal from a pocket (Table 2). 280

The most significant differences for the major elements on the pegmatite and single crystal scales correspond to Mn (Table 2, Figs. 4b, 5a,b, 6a). The lowest Mn values are found in apatite from the wall zone (0.07-0.20 wt% MnO), from the pods of the core zone

284 (0.06-0.55 wt% MnO), and in the purple crystals from the Li-rich pockets (0.08-0.48 wt% 285 MnO). In contrast, apatite crystals from the Intermediate zone and core margin show higher 286 Mn values in the range of 3.10-9.97 wt% MnO. Zoned gray-white apatite crystals from the Li-287 poor pockets present marked Mn variations across the crystals, from 4.65 wt% MnO in the 288 gravish cores to 0.21 wt% MnO in the white rims (Table 2, Fig. 6a). In the case of the purple-289 green crystals from the seams, the variation trend is not so clear but, overall, Mn values 290 increase from the purple core (0.93-3.26 wt% MnO) to the greenish rim (3.67-4.23 wt% MnO) 291 (Fig. 6a).

Iron contents are low in all the analyzed apatite, i.e. always ≤ 0.32 wt% FeO (Table 2, Figs. 5b, c). A clear relation between the pegmatite unit and the Fe content has not been determined. However, a certain positive correlation between the Mn and Fe contents is observed (Fig. 5b). In the case of the zoned crystals, in the gray-white lenses there is a slight Fe decrease from core (0.31 wt% FeO) to rim (0.00 wt% FeO) (Fig. 6b). In contrast, like Mn, in the purple-green zoned crystals the Fe tends to increase from the purple core (0.07-0.15 wt% FeO) to the greenish rim (0.17-0.18 wt% FeO) (Fig. 6b).

Calcium values also show some differences. In general, as expected, there is a good negative correlation between Ca and Mn (Figs. 4c, 5a, 6a, c), with the lowest contents (41.72-43.39 wt% CaO) in the Mn-richest crystals from the core margin, and the highest Ca contents (55.00 to 55.99 wt% CaO) in the Mn-poorest apatite from the wall zone and the core zone. Although it may display a clear chromatic concentric zoning under the microscope (Fig. 3c), no chemical zoning of major elements has been observed in apatite crystals from the core zone pods.

306

307 Trace elements

Like major elements, some trace elements in apatite show differences depending on the pegmatitic unit and across zoned crystals. Main variations correspond to REE, Y, and Sr (Fig. 7a-e). Yttrium and REE show similar trends, with a continuous decrease from the wall zone to the core zone (Table 3, Figs. 7a-d, 8a). Values for Y and Σ REE for apatite from the wall zone are in the ranges 1155 to 1503 ppm and 1796 to 2178 ppm, respectively. In the

313 intermediate zone, these values decrease to 225 to 261 ppm Y and 1219 to 1280 ppm Σ REE. Apatite from the core margin is even poorer, with values of 22.7 to 26.3 ppm Y and 314 309 to 355 ppm Σ REE. In the core zone, there are significant differences in the Y and Σ REE 315 316 contents when comparing apatite from the pods and that of the different types of pockets. 317 Apatite from the pods is the poorest in these elements, with Y contents below the detection 318 limit and ΣREE values < 0.14 ppm (Table 3, Figs. 7, 8a). Purple apatite of the Li-rich pockets also shows extremely low contents of Y (0.14-0.54 ppm) and ΣREE (0.11-0.37 ppm). Zoned 319 320 gray-white apatite crystals from the Li-poor pockets are richer in these elements, with Y and 321 Σ REE values varying respectively in the range of 979 to 31.7 ppm, and 921 to 41.3 ppm from 322 grayish core to white rim (Table 3, Figs. 7b, c, d, 8a, b, 9a-d). The zoned purple-green apatite from the seams has intermediate Y and ΣREE values, with Y and ΣREE contents that 323 324 vary respectively in the ranges of 2 to 23 ppm and 0 to 5 ppm, and 53.2 to 210 ppm and 0.0 325 to 42.2, for the purple cores and greenish rims, respectively (Table 3, Figs. 7a-d, 8a, b, c, 9a-326 d).

327 Overall, Sr presents a more complex trend, with a rough negative correlation with ΣREE 328 and Y (Figs. 7a, b, e, 8b, c; 9e). On the pegmatite scale, there is a Sr decrease in apatite 329 from the wall zone to the intermediate zone, and a final marked increase in the pods of the 330 core zone and pockets (wall zone: 50-52.5 ppm; intermediate zone: 2.9-16.1; core margin: 331 2.6-23.4 ppm; core zone pods: 18-1614: Li-poor pockets: 507-28710 ppm; Li-rich pockets: 332 5910-19800 ppm; seams: 17-3640 ppm) (Table 3, Figs. 7e, 8b, c). Apatite from the pods of the core zone, as well as the zoned crystals from the Li-poor pockets and seams (gray-white 333 334 and purple-green respectively), show significant Sr variations on the crystal scale (Table 3, 335 Fig. 9e). The greatest variations correspond to the gray-white apatite crystals, where the Sr 336 content changes in the range from 759 ppm in the core to 28710 ppm in the rim, with a 337 continuous increase outwards (Fig. 9e). The Sr content of the purple-green zoned crystals 338 from the seams also increases outwards, from 17 to 207 ppm Sr in the purple core to 93 to 339 3640 ppm in the green rim (Table 3, Fig. 9e). Some of the small euhedral apatite crystals 340 from the core zone pods (Fig. 3c) also present an increasing Sr-trend from the core (27 ppm) 341 to rim (662 ppm) (Table 3).

342 Uranium, Th, and Pb concentrations also show differences on the pegmatite and mineral 343 scales (Table 3, Figs. 7f, g, h, 8d, e, f). Uranium values are higher than the Pb contents in 344 apatite from the wall zone, intermediate zone, and core margin. Thorium is only higher than Pb in apatite from the intermediate zone and core margin (Fig. 8d, e). Likewise, the rims of 345 346 gray-white zoned crystals from the Li-poor pockets and those of zoned crystals from the core 347 zone pods are richer in U than in Pb. The highest U and Th contents correspond to apatite 348 from the core margin (153-590 ppm U and 154-190 ppm Th), whereas the lowest contents 349 are those from the purple crystals from the Li-rich pockets (0.04-0.82 ppm U and Th below detection limits) and from the pods of the core zone (0.01-49.2 ppm U and <0.2 ppm Th) 350 (Table 3, Figs. 7f, g, h, 8d, e, f). On the crystal scale, U, Pb, and Th elements behave 351 352 similarly in the purple-green crystals, with an initial increase and a later decrease from core to rim (Fig. 9f, g, h); whereas in the gray-white crystals, Pb and Th behave similarly, with a 353 354 decrease from the core to the rim, whereas U presents the opposite trend (Fig. 9f, g, h).

355 The pairs of elements Y-Ho and, to a lesser extent, Zr-Hf show good positive 356 correlations (Fig. 8g, h). There is a continuous decrease in the Y and Ho contents from the 357 wall zone, through the intermediate zone, followed by the core margin and the core zone. On the crystal scale, the gray-white and purple-green zoned crystals show a clear depletion in Y 358 359 and Ho from the core to the rim (Fig. 9a, b, d), whereas in apatite from the core zone pods 360 their content is below the LA-ICP-MS detection limit. In contrast to Y-Ho, a clear relationship 361 between pegmatite units and Zr-Hf contents has not been identified. The highest contents in 362 both elements correspond to the zoned apatite crystals from the core zone pods and to the 363 rims of the purple-green prisms from the seams (Fig. 8h).

364

365 **REE patterns**

366 Chondrite-normalized REE patterns for apatite from different zones of the pegmatite 367 show significant differences, with a continuous decrease inwards. The wall zone apatite 368 crystals present nearly sub-horizontal well-defined patterns, a marked negative Eu anomaly 369 (0.08-0.09) and slight tetrad effects (Figs. 10a, 11). Apatite from the intermediate zone is 370 poorer in REE and shows a guite different pattern, with a pronounced negative slope for the

HREE, very marked Eu negative anomaly (0.01-0.02) and strong tetrad effects (Figs. 10a, 11). The lanthanide pattern for apatite from the core margin is similar to that of the intermediate zone, but with lower concentrations. It also presents a marked negative slope for the HREE, strong but less marked Eu negative anomaly (0.12-0.15), and important tetrad effects (Figs. 10a, 11). Apatite of pods in the core zone is extremely impoverished in REE, with no or incomplete/erratic patterns. This is also the case for the purple apatite crystals from the Li-rich pockets (Figs. 10a, 11).

The zoned apatite crystals from the Li-poor pockets and seams show significant variations in their lanthanide patterns with an overall decrease from core to rim. The patterns for the gray-white crystals are well-defined. The core and intermediate areas of the crystals show sub-horizontal patterns, whereas in the rims the patterns slope upwards from LREE to HREE (Fig. 10b). The Eu negative anomaly decreases from core to rim (Figs. 10b, 11). In the whole crystals, the tetrad effects are equally important, but less pronounced than in apatite from the intermediate zone and core margin (Figs. 10b, 11).

The purple-green zoned crystals in the seams also show conspicuous lanthanide zoning. As in the gray-white lenses, the REE contents decrease outwards, in tandem with a decrease in the Eu anomaly. However, in contrast with the gray-white lenses, the Eu anomaly becomes positive in many rim analyses (0.52-2.97). The patterns tend to slope downwards from LREE to HREE and the tetrad effects are less pronounced (Figs. 10c, d, 11).

391

392

DISCUSSION

393 Variations in Mn

The analyzed apatite crystals from the Berry-Havey pegmatite show a negative Ca-Mn correlation (Fig. 5a), with the highest Mn values in apatite from the core margin and intermediate zone, and the lowest in the wall zone and core zone (Fig. 4). According to some authors (e.g. Miles et al. 2013; Belousova et al. 2002), reducing conditions could favor the incorporation of Mn in apatite as the size (0.66Å) and charge of Mn²⁺ are more similar to those of Ca²⁺ (1.00Å) than those of Mn³⁺ (0.58Å) and Mn⁴⁺ (0.39Å). The evolution of the *fO*₂

400 during pegmatitic crystallization is usually difficult to determine. The composition of biotite 401 from the wall zone and intermediate zone (unpublished data) is consistent with the NNO 402 buffer (calculated according to the diagram of Wones and Eugster, 1965). Also, the presence of $(Fe+Mn)^{2+}$ -rich primary phosphates such as lithiophilite (LiMn²⁺PO₄), triplite (Mn²⁺₂(PO₄)F) 403 and dickinsonite ((KNa)($Mn^{2+}\Box$)Ca(Na_2Na) $Mn^{2+}{}_{13}Al(PO_4){}_{11}(PO_4)(OH){}_{2}$) in the pods of the core 404 zone indicates that the fO_2 was still reduced to predominantly Fe²⁺ near NNO (London, pers. 405 406 comm) at least until the beginning of the crystallization of the core zone. In contrast, the 407 chemical composition of Li-tourmaline (elbaite-darrellhenryite) coexisting with purple apatite in the Li-rich pockets from the core zone reveals a final increase in the fO₂ that enables the 408 elbaite-darrellhenryite exchange vector (^YAl^WO₂^YLi₋₁^W(OH)₋₂) to operate during the 409 crystallization of the gemmy tourmaline found in the Li-rich pockets (Roda-Robles et al. 410 411 2015b). Moreover, the Eu and Ce anomalies observed in apatite from the pockets mainly 412 correspond to oxidizing conditions (see below). Accordingly, redox conditions during the wall 413 zone, intermediate zone, and core margin crystallization were likely reduced, shifting toward 414 more oxidizing conditions during the crystallization of the core zone pods. Finally, the 415 pockets most probably crystallized under oxidizing conditions (Fig. 12a). Thus, the 416 incorporation of Mn into apatite of the intermediate zone and core margin could have been 417 favored by the relatively low fO_2 of the pegmatitic melt, near the NNO buffer. However, factors other than redox conditions should have contributed to the high Mn content in apatite 418 419 of some pockets, as well as to the low Mn content in apatite of the wall zone. Even though 420 crystallization of the wall zone most probably proceeded under low fO_2 conditions, the Mn 421 content in apatite from this zone is very low. The most feasible reason may be related to low 422 availability of Mn in the pegmatitic melt at the beginning of crystallization. This would be supported by the garnet chemistry in the wall zone, which corresponds to almandine 423 424 (Alm_{76.2}Sp_{19.5}Pyr_{4.3}, Roda-Robles et al. 2017). The spessartine component increases from 425 core to rims in single garnet crystals and from the wall zone up to the core margin as a result 426 of fractionation (Roda-Robles et al. 2017), a behavior commonly observed in many pegmatites (e.g. Baldwin and von Knorring, 1983; Černý et al. 1985; Sokolov and Khlestov, 427 1990; Hernández-Filiberto et al. 2021). Therefore, at the beginning of pegmatite 428

429 crystallization, Mn concentration in the melt would be relatively low, which is reflected not

430 only in the garnet but also in the apatite composition of the wall zone.

431 In contrast, the highest Mn values in apatite are found in the core margin. Garnet 432 coexisting with this apatite corresponds to spessartine (Alm_{42.6}Sp_{57.3}Pyr_{0.1}, Roda-Robles, et 433 al. 2017), which means a higher availability of Mn in the melt during the formation of core 434 margin than during the formation of wall zone. The previous crystallization in the wall zone 435 and intermediate zone of tourmaline (schorl/foitite) and, to a lesser extent, biotite would allow 436 the MnO/FeO ratio of the pegmatitic melt to increase, as Mn is incompatible or presents a 437 low compatibility with these two minerals (London et al. 2001a; García-Serrano et al. 2017; 438 Maner et al. 2019).

The Mn content of apatite in pods of the core zone becomes low again (Figs. 4a, 5a), even though the fO_2 was still reduced during their crystallization (as supported by the presence of nodules of Mn²⁺-rich primary phosphates). An explanation for this Mn depletion in apatite may be found in the consumption of most of the Mn by these Mn-rich phosphate nodules in the core zone pods, which would drastically reduce the concentration of Mn in the remaining melt.

445 Finally, apatite from the pockets and seams shows important differences in the Mn 446 contents, which may account for different time/conditions in the formation of miarolitic 447 cavities, even if they are found in relatively close proximity to other cavities, mainly in the 448 core zone. Like the apatite from core zone pods, the purple apatite of Li-rich pockets inside 449 those pods is Mn-poor. Miarolitic cavities usually are found in the innermost part of zoned 450 pegmatites and are considered to represent the transition from an igneous to a hydrothermal 451 stage, hosting minerals that first formed from a silicate melt and, later, others that crystallized 452 from an aqueous solution (Jahns, 1982; London et al. 2020). Actually, the temperatures 453 estimated for pocket formation are relatively low, in the range of 435-355°C (London et al. 454 2020). In the case of the Berry-Havey pegmatites, the pegmatite crystallized from the 455 borders inwards and, according to the mineralogical association, mineral chemistry, and 456 spatial distribution, the Li-rich pockets were formed during the latest crystallization stages, at 457 the lowest temperatures and most oxidizing conditions (Roda-Robles et al. 2015b). There are

458 several lines of evidences to support this. First, these pockets are usually hosted by the most 459 fractionated pods of the core zone, with significant amounts of Li-F±B±P-rich phases (e.g. 460 lepidolite, F-elbaite, montebrasite (LiAl(PO₄)(OH, F)) and lithiophilite). The K/Rb ratio in K-461 feldspar and mica, which has been often used as an indicator of the fractionation degree of 462 granitic pegmatites (e.g. Roda-Robles et al. 2006; London et al. 2012; Brown et al. 2017; 463 Garate-Olave et al. 2018), decreases progressively from the wall zone (308-425 in K-feldspar 464 and 116-125 in Al-mica), through the intermediate zone (98-131 in K-feldspar and 41-101 in 465 Al-mica) and the core margin (10-44 in Al-mica), to the core zone, where this ratio is as low 466 as 15 to 20 for K-feldspar and 6 to 18 for Al-mica (unpublished data). Moreover, the Li 467 content in tourmaline (Roda-Robles et al. 2015b) and in mica increases along the same path. The inward increase in the F content from the beginning of crystallization is evidenced by the 468 469 Fluorine Intercept Value in biotite, calculated according to Munoz (1984), which decreases 470 from 4.22 in the wall zone, to 3.91 in the intermediate zone below the core margin, and to 3.5 471 in the intermediate zone over the core margin. An even higher F-content in the melt at the 472 final crystallization stages is attested by the common occurrence of the F-rich tourmaline in 473 the core margin and core zone (Roda-Robles et al. 2015b) as well as by the presence of 474 subrounded montebrasite pods in the core zone with F values in the range 3.1-5.6 wt% 475 (unpublished data). According to the correlation between F in montebrasite and F in the melt 476 determined by London et al. (2001b), the [F] in the melt during the core zone crystallization 477 would be in the range 0.83-1.51 wt%. Therefore, the Li-rich pockets would have formed at 478 the latest stages of crystallization of the Berry-Havey pegmatite and, by then, most of the Mn 479 could have been consumed. This, in conjunction with the high fO_2 and high concentration of 480 fluxes, arguably contributed to the low Mn content in the purple apatite of the Li-rich pockets.

481

482 Strontium and Y variations

Opposite to Mn and some REE (see below), the Sr and Y contents in apatite are believed to be independent of the fO_2 (Yu et al. 2021). Feldspars can accommodate Sr substituting for Ca and/or K (Smith, 1974), and its compatible behavior causes its concentration to typically decrease in apatite with fractionation (e.g., Belousova et al. 2002;

487 Chu et al. 2009; Cao et al. 2012; 2013; Pan et al. 2016; Azadbakht et al. 2018). 488 Consequently, apatite associated with fractionated granites and pegmatites often has the 489 lowest Sr values, in some cases < 100 ppm (Belousova et al, 2002). In the Berry-Havey 490 pegmatite, in the first stages of crystallization Sr behaves accordingly, its content in apatite 491 decreasing from the wall zone to the intermediate zone. However, the Sr trend exhibits an 492 inflection point in the intermediate zone, and apatite becomes richer from the intermediate 493 zone, through the core margin, to the core zone, with the highest Sr-contents in apatite from 494 pockets and seams, sometimes close to 3 wt% (Figs. 7e, 8b, c; Table 3). Similar behavior 495 has been recently described and discussed in apatite occurring in the most fractionated 496 pegmatites and leucogranites from the Central Iberian Zone (Spain and Portugal) (Roda-497 Robles et al. 2022). In the Berry-Havey pegmatite, plagioclase composition changes 498 progressively from the contact with the country rock inward, with a dramatic decrease in the 499 CaO and Sr contents from the wall zone (up to 13 wt% and 1044 ppm, respectively) to the 500 intermediate zone (< 1.14 wt% and < 4 ppm, respectively), and even lower in plagioclase 501 from the core margin and the core zone (< 0.1 wt% and < 2 ppm, respectively) (unpublished 502 data). According to the experimental works of Icenhower and London (1996), and the data 503 compiled by Smith (1974), plagioclase with an Na content corresponding to the andesine 504 composition may be richer in Sr than other more anorthitic feldspars. However, chemical 505 variations observed in plagioclase from the Berry-Havey pegmatite clearly indicate that the 506 most albitic plagioclase is the poorest in Sr, as may also be seen in the data compiled by 507 Smith (1974) for pegmatitic feldspars. Accordingly, we propose that the extremely Na-rich 508 composition of plagioclase from the high levels of magmatic fractionation could have made it 509 difficult for Sr to enter into the structure of the very pure albite. Consequently, Sr could have 510 started behaving as an incompatible element from the crystallization of the intermediate 511 zone, with the concomitant Sr increase in apatite from the inner zones of the pegmatite, 512 which at that point would have been the only sink for Sr in that highly evolved paragenesis. 513 This increasing trend for Sr is also observed in the individual zoned crystals, with Sr poorer 514 cores and richer rims (Fig. 9e). This is especially observed in gray-white lenses, whose Sr-

values in the rims (19,800-28,710 ppm) are nearly 40 times higher than those of the cores

516 (507-759 ppm).

517 Yttrium behavior in apatite is commonly opposite to that of Sr. The Y-richest apatite (>1 wt%) is associated with granitic pegmatites (Belousova et al. 2002), as this element usually 518 519 behaves as incompatible, increasing with magmatic fractionation (e.g., Belousova et al. 520 2002; Chu et al. 2009; Cao et al. 2012; Bromiley, 2021). However, like the REE, Y tends to 521 decrease in LCT granitic pegmatites (those enriched in Li, Cs and Ta) with fractionation. This 522 could be related to the relatively high availability of P in these pegmatitic melts, as attested to by the common occurrence of phosphates in these rocks. Under such conditions, early 523 524 crystallization of Y(+REE)-bearing phosphates, such as xenotime-Y and/or monazite-Ce, even in very low proportions, may lead Y and REE to behave as compatible, hence depleting 525 their concentration in melts during fractionation (Jolliff et al. 1989). In the Berry-Havey 526 527 pegmatite, Fe-Mn- and Al-phosphates are common in the inner zone, which indicates that P 528 concentration in the melt was high enough to attain phosphate saturation. Monazite-Ce and 529 xenotime-Y are accessory minerals that are found in the intermediate zone and in the garnet layer between the intermediate zone and the core margin. Therefore, it would be possible 530 531 that early crystallization of these phases could be responsible for the Y and REE depletion in 532 apatite during fractionation of the Berry-Havey pegmatite, with a decrease for apatite from 533 the wall zone, through the intermediate zone and the core margin; up to the core zone. 534 Zoned apatite crystals also show a decreasing Y-content from core to rim (Fig. 9d).

535

536 **REE patterns**

At the Berry-Havey pegmatite, the REE content in apatite decreases from the wall zone inwards, i.e., with magmatic evolution, as it has been previously reported in other localities (e.g., Jolliff et al. 1989; Bromiley, 2021; Roda-Robles et al. 2022). Incorporation of REE into apatite occurs via two main substitution mechanisms that mainly involve Ca and, to a lesser extent, P, Si and Na: $REE^{3+}_{1}Na^{+}_{1}Ca^{2+}_{-2}$ and $REE^{3+}_{1}Si^{4+}_{1}P^{5+}_{-1}Ca^{2+}_{-1}$ (Sha and Chapell, 1999; Pan and Fleet, 2002; Webster and Piccoli, 2015; Harlov, 2015). The low REE content in the

543 studied apatite impedes the determination of the influence of the two exchange vectors in the

544 incorporation of REE in the studied apatites.

545 Nearly all the studied apatite crystals show more or less pronounced tetrad effects, with 546 values of TE_{1.3} >1.1 (calculated according to Irber, 1999). Except for apatite from the wall 547 zone that presents intermediate TE_{1.3} values, the tetrad effects become less marked in 548 apatite from intermediate zone to core zone, parallel to a decrease in the Y content. (Fig. 549 11b). According to some authors, strong tetrad effects in apatite may be related to high fluid 550 activity in the melt (Kawabe, 1995; Bau, 1996; Irber, 1999; Monecke et al. 2002; Zhao et al. 551 2002). However, aqueous fluid activity in the pegmatitic melt during the crystallization of the 552 inner zones of the Berry-Havey pegmatite (core margin, core zone) would probably be much 553 higher than during the crystallization of the intermediate zone. Even more so, apatite crystals 554 associated with the pockets, which are likely related to the activity of aqueous fluids exsolved from the pegmatitic melt, have much lower $TE_{1,3}$ values than apatite from the intermediate 555 556 zone, the core margin and, in some cases, the wall zone. Consequently, tetrad effects in the 557 apatite from the Berry-Havey pegmatite appear to be unrelated to the aqueous fluid activity 558 during their crystallization.

559 The abundance of aqueous fluids has also been proposed to be associated with non-560 CHARAC (CHArge-and-Radius-Controled) Y/Ho ratios (Bau, 1996; Peretyazhko and Savina, 561 2010; Cao et al. 2013). Most of the studied apatite crystals from the Berry-Havey pegmatite 562 plot out of the Y/Ho CHARAC range (24-34) (Fig. 12b). Only Y/Ho ratios from apatite from 563 the wall zone and some values corresponding to the purple cores of zoned crystals from the 564 seams plot in or close to those values. It should be noted that Y/Ho values increase 565 progressively for apatite from the wall zone, the intermediate zone, and the core margin. 566 Similarly, in the zoned crystals from the pockets and seams, the Y/Ho value increases 567 outwards, i.e. during crystallization. Purple apatite from the Li-bearing pockets also shows 568 some of the highest Y/Ho values. In short, the apatite of the Berry-Havey pegmatite shows a 569 non-CHARAC behavior that is characteristic of magmatic-pegmatitic systems with high SiO_2 contents enriched in H₂O, Li, B, F, P, and/or CI, as suggested by Bau, (1996); Peretyazhko 570 571 and Savina, (2010) and Cao et al. (2013).

572

573 Europium and Ce anomalies

574 Most of the REE present just a single trivalent valence state and are readily incorporated 575 into apatite via the two REE coupled substitutions noted above. In contrast, Eu and Ce may occur with two different oxidation states (Eu²⁺ - Eu³⁺ and Ce³⁺ - Ce⁴⁺, respectively) (e.g., 576 577 Burnham and Berry, 2014). In both cases, the trivalent Eu and Ce cations are more easily 578 incorporated into the apatite structure thanks to the higher similarity of their ionic size to that of Ca²⁺ (Cao et al. 2013). As a result, Eu and Ce can present anomalous contents in apatite 579 compared to the other trivalent REE (Bromiley, 2021). Such anomalies have been often 580 581 related to fO₂ conditions during the crystallization of melts, with strong Eu-negative anomalies (high Eu²⁺/Eu³⁺ ratios) in apatite formed under low fO₂, and no or positive Eu 582 anomalies under relatively oxidizing conditions (e.g. Sha and Chappell, 1999; Pan and Fleet, 583 2002; Belousova et al. 2002; Chu et al. 2009; Cao et al. 2012; Miles et al. 2014; Azadbakht 584 585 et al. 2018; Bromiley, 2021). In addition, the Eu anomaly may be conditioned by the crystallization of other mineral phases that compete for this element, namely feldspars, 586 where Eu²⁺ is highly compatible (especially in K-feldspar) (Kontak and Martin, 1997; 587 588 Belousova et al. 2002). Consequently, if apatite crystallizes after or during the formation of 589 feldspar, it would show a negative Eu anomaly (Drake and Weill 1975; Sha and Chappell, 590 1999; Belousova et al. 2002; Cao et al. 2013; Miles et al. 2014; Abdullin et al. 2016). The Ce 591 anomaly is usually less pronounced than the Eu anomaly (Sha and Chappell, 1999; 592 Belousova et al. 2002; Chu et al. 2009; Ding et al. 2015), and it does not seem to be affected 593 by the presence of other minerals except for the REE-bearing phases such as zircon, 594 monazite, or xenotime. (Bromiley, 2021). Compared to the Eu anomaly, the Ce anomaly is 595 considered to be less appropriate to assess the redox conditions during apatite crystallization 596 (Cao et al. 2012), but a combination of both anomalies seems to be more useful for that 597 purpose (Cao et al. 2012; Pan et al. 2016; Azadbakht et al. 2018).

598 Apatite from the Berry-Havey pegmatite presents significant differences in Eu and Ce 599 anomalies regarding its position inside the body, with a negative correlation for both values 600 (Fig. 12b). The strongest Eu negative and Ce positive anomalies correspond to apatite of the

601 intermediate zone, which suggests reducing conditions and would be in agreement with the 602 high Mn contents of apatite from this zone. However, the abundance of feldspars in the 603 intermediate zone (> 85 vol%) could also have influenced the availability of Eu for the 604 coexisting apatite, enhancing its negative Eu anomaly in the intermediate zone. On the other 605 hand, the less marked Eu and Ce anomalies in the wall zone apatite compared to the 606 intermediate zone (Fig. 12a) could be related to the early character of this phosphate in the 607 wall zone, inferred from textural characteristics (Fig. 3a). In the core margin the apatite 608 presents a less marked Eu anomaly, plotting in the "moderate oxidized" field (Fig. 12b), 609 which is in agreement with an increase of fO_2 inwards during pegmatite crystallization. 610 Moreover, except for garnet, no other phases competing for Eu coexist with apatite in this 611 zone. The garnet intergrown with bluish apatite in the core margin (Fig. 3b) is extremely 612 depleted in REE, which shows an erratic pattern (unpublished data). This indicates that 613 apatite controls the lanthanide distribution in the core margin. The extremely low REE 614 concentration in apatite from the core zone pods and the Li-rich pockets prohibits evaluation 615 of their Eu or Ce anomalies.

616 The zoned apatite crystals from the Li-poor pockets and seams show significant 617 differences from core to rim. In the first case, all the values plot in the "moderate oxidized" 618 field (Fig. 12a). The decrease in Eu and Ce anomalies from the gray core to the whitish rim 619 could well correspond to an increasing fO_2 during crystallization of the Li-poor pockets, as it 620 is also supported by the progressive decrease in Mn from core to rim in those lenses. Zoned 621 apatite crystals from the seams present a much broader range for the Eu anomaly than that 622 of Ce. While the purple cores of these crystals plot mainly in the "moderate oxidized" field, 623 many of the greenish rims show a positive Eu anomaly falling in the "oxidized" field (Fig. 624 12a). Accordingly, the fO_2 could have increased dramatically during crystallization of the 625 seams. Nevertheless, lower or positive Eu anomalies in apatite from the pockets and the 626 seams could also be related to the higher solubility of Eu in F- and Cl-rich H₂O-fluids 627 exsolved from the melt, in comparison with the other REEs (Abramov, 2001).

628

629 Chemistry of apatite as a recorder of the crystallization history of the Berry-Havey

630 pegmatite

631 Evidence for the exsolution of H₂O-rich fluids during the last stages of crystallization of 632 the Berry-Havey pegmatite is supported by the occurrence of pockets in the innermost parts 633 of the body. Based on the apatite composition and the mineral association of the different 634 types of pockets (Li-rich, Li-poor, and seams), the exsolution of fluids presumably proceeded 635 during different crystallization stages with, at least, three generations of pockets. The earliest 636 one would correspond to the Li-poor pockets where gray-white zoned apatite lenses occur. 637 Exsolution of the fluids would take place at the end of the crystallization of the core margin, 638 when the availability of Fe, Mn, and REE was still high enough for the crystallization of Fe-639 rich tourmaline (schorl) and Mn+REE-rich apatite. Exsolution processes could be related to a 640 second boiling prompted by massive crystallization of tourmaline from the tourmaline layer in 641 the boundary between the core margin and the core zone. The sudden depletion in B 642 experienced by the melt could decrease its capability to keep H_2O dissolved (London, 1990) 643 with part of it exsolving in discrete H_2O -rich batches related to these pockets that present an 644 intermediate paragenesis with no Li-bearing minerals.

645 As indicated above, the Li-rich pockets including purple apatite crystals are interpreted to 646 correspond to the latest pocket generation. These pockets are hosted by the most 647 fractionated pods of the core zone, which are constituted by Li-F±B±P-rich phases is he dramatic depletion of B, Li, F, and P fluxes in the residual melt could again induce the 648 649 exsolution of H_2O -rich fluids, which would be quite enriched in Li and other incompatible 650 elements, and strongly depleted in Mn and REE at that stage of crystallization. In these 651 pockets, the only sink for Sr would be apatite, which shows some of the highest 652 concentrations (Figs. 7e, 8b, c).

Finally, since apatite is the only mineral phase identified in the seams, this makes it more difficult to determine their timing. In this case, apatite presents intermediate Fe, Mn, and REE values between those of the apatite from the Li-poor and Li-rich pockets. Taking into account that REE decrease with fractionation, these seams could have formed at some point between the formation of the Li-poor and Li-rich pockets. However, the presence of

658 apatite as their only phase would involve the exsolution of a fluid phase just containing P and 659 Ca as main elements, which seems difficult to explain for such relatively high degrees of 660 fractionation. Another possibility could be that the formation of these seams was related to the activity of late hydrothermal fluids that autometasomatized some portions of the 661 662 pegmatite, mainly the core margin and the core zone, causing albitization and greisenization. During albitization, Ca and P (+Sr, Y and REE) would have been leached from plagioclase. 663 664 Those fluids could have then precipitated apatite in fractures and vugs, giving rise to the 665 apatite-rich seams, which always occur hosted by vuggy albite from the core margin or 666 blocky feldspar from the core zone. Nevertheless, the origin of the seams is not completely 667 understood at present.

The chemistry of apatite may also be a good indicator of the fractionation attained by the pegmatites. This has been previously described for apatite associated with pegmatites and leucogranites from the Central Iberian Zone (Spain and Portugal) showing different evolution degrees (Roda-Robles et al. 2022). In the U-Th-P and Y-REE-Sr ternary plots (Fig. 13) apatite from the most fractionated units plots significantly closer to the Pb and Sr vertex.

673

674

IMPLICATIONS

This study shows how a detailed petrographic and chemical characterization of apatite associated with the different units of a highly fractionated, internally zoned pegmatite, may help understand the crystallization history of pegmatitc melts. It is evidenced that during the internal evolution of pegmatites, the apatite chemistry records variations in the fO_2 , elemental fractionation, interaction with competing mineral phases, fluids activity, and exsolution events. The main chemical elements in apatite that provide petrogenetic information in pegmatitic rocks include Mn, Sr, the REE, and Y.

In this work it is also shown how the chemistry of apatite may be useful in determining the degree of fractionation attained by a pegmatite. Therefore, the usefulness of this phosphate as an exploration tool for pegmatites is obvious. In this sense, the two ternary diagrams Y-ΣREE-Sr and U-Th-Pb may help to discriminate between apatite crystals

associated with pegmatitic facies showing different fractionation degrees, with apatite from

the most evolved facies plotting closer to the Sr and Pb vertex.

688

689

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

690 Authors are greatly indebted to Jeff Morrison, the owner of the Havey Quarry, who has always facilitated the access to the pegmatite. Moreover, he and Ray Sprague, miner and 691 692 previous organizer of the Maine Pegmatite Workshop, helped us during the collecting of the 693 samples, and kept us informed about all details in the pegmatite as they were mining it, even 694 providing clarifying pictures when necessary. This manuscript highly benefitted from 695 constructive review of David London, an anonimous reviewer and the Editor Daniel Harlov. This research has been financially supported by the Grant RTI2018-094097-B-100 funded 696 by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033 and by "ERDF A way of making Europe", by the 697 "European Union"; and by the University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU (grant 698 699 GIU18/084).

- 700
- 701

REFERENCES CITED

- Abdullin, F., Solé, J., Solari, L., Shchepetilnikova, V., Meneses-Rocha, J.J., Pavlinova, N., and Rodríguez Trejo, A. (2016) Single-grain apatite geochemistry of Permian–Triassic granitoids and Mesozoic
 and Eocene sandstones from Chiapas, southeast Mexico: implications for sediment provenance.
 International Geology Review, 58, 1132-1157, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00206814.2016.1150212</u>
- Abramov, S.S. (2001) Modeling of REE fractionation in the acid melt-fluoride-chloride fluid system.
 Doklady Earth Sciences, 377, 198-200,
- Azadbakht, Z., Lentz, D., and McFarlane, C. (2018) Apatite Chemical Compositions from Acadian-Related
 Granitoids of New Brunswick, Canada: Implications for Petrogenesis and Metallogenesis.
 Minerals, 8, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/min8120598</u>
- Baldwin, J.R., and von Knorring, O. (1983) Compositional range of Mn-garnet in zoned granitic
 pegmatites. Canadian Mineralogist, 21, 683-688,
- Bau, M. (1996) Controls on the fractionation of isovalent trace elements in magmatic and aqueous systems:
 evidence from Y/Ho, Zr/Hf, and lanthanide tetrad effect. Contributions to Mineralogy and
 Petrology, 123, 323-333, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s004100050159</u>
- Belousova, E.A., Griffin, W.L., O'Reilly, S.Y., and Fisher, N.I. (2002) Apatite as an indicator mineral for
 mineral exploration: Trace-element compositions and their relationship to host rock type. Journal
 of Geochemical Exploration, 76, 45-69, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-6742(02)00204-2</u>
- Bradley, D., Shea, E., Buchwaldt, R., Bowring, S., Benowitz, J., O'Sullivan, P., and McCauley, A. (2016)
 Geochronology and tectonic context of lithium-cesium-tantalum pegmatites in the Appalachians.
 Canadian Mineralogist, 54, 945-969, <u>https://doi.org/10.3749/canmin.1600035</u>
- Bradley, D.C., Tucker, R.D., Lux, D.R., Harris, A.G., and McGregor, D.C. (1998) Migration of the Acadian
 orogen and foreland basin across the northern Appalachians:. In O.-F.R. U.S. Geological Survey,
 Ed, 98-770, p. 79.

- Bromiley, G.D. (2021) Do concentrations of Mn, Eu and Ce in apatite reliably record oxygen fugacity in
 magmas? Lithos, 384-385, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2020.105900
- Brown, J.A., Martins, T., and Černý, P. (2017) The Tanco Pegmatite At Bernic Lake, Manitoba. Xvii.
 Mineralogy and Geochemistry of Alkali Feldspars. Canadian Mineralogist, 55, 483-500, https://doi.org/10.3749/canmin.1700008
- Brown, M., and Solar, G.S. (1998) Shear-zone systems and melts: feedback relations and self-organization
 in orogenic belts. Journal of Structural Geology, 28, 211-227,
- Burnham, A.D., and Berry, A.J. (2014) The effect of oxygen fugacity, melt composition, temperature and pressure on the oxidation state of cerium in silicate melts. Chemical Geology, 366, 52-60,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.12.015</u>
- Cao, M., Li, G., Qin, K., Seitmuratova, E.Y., and Liu, Y. (2012) Major and trace element characteristics of apatites in granitoids from central Kazakhstan: Implications for petrogenesis and mineralization.
 Resource Geology, 62, 63-83, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-3928.2011.00180.x</u>
- Cao, M.-J., Zhou, Q.-F., Qin, K.-Z., Tang, D.-M., and Evans, N.J. (2013) The tetrad effect and
 geochemistry of apatite from the Altay Koktokay No. 3 pegmatite, Xinjiang, China: implications
 for pegmatite petrogenesis. Mineralogy and Petrology, 107, 985-1005,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00710-013-0270-x</u>
- Černý, P., Ed,. (1982) Granitic pegmatites in science and industry. Short Course Handbook, 8. Mineral.
 Assoc. Canada, Winnipeg, 555p, -,
- Černý, P., Meintzer, R.E., and Anderson, A.J. (1985) Extreme fractionation in rare-element granitic
 pegmatites; selected examples of data and mechanisms. Canadian Mineralogist, 23, 381-421,
- Chu, M.-F., Wang, K.-L., Griffin, W.L., Chung, S.-L., O'Reilly, S.Y., Pearson, N.J., and Iizuka, Y. (2009)
 Apatite Composition: Tracing Petrogenetic Processes in Transhimalayan Granitoids. Journal of
 Petrology, 50, 1829-1855, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egp054</u>
- Ding, T., Ma, D., Lu, J., and Zhang, R. (2015) Apatite in granitoids related to polymetallic mineral deposits in southeastern Hunan Province, Shi–Hang zone, China: Implications for petrogenesis and metallogenesis. Ore Geology Reviews, 69, 104-117, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2015.02.004
- Drake, M.J., and Weill, D.F. (1975) Partition of Sr, Ba, Ca, Y, Eu2+, Eu3+, and other REE between
 plagioclase feldspar and magmatic liquid: an experimental study. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
 Acta, 39, 689-712, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(75)90011-3</u>
- Garate-Olave, I., Roda-Robles, E., Gil-Crespo, P.P., and Pesquera, A. (2018) Mica and feldspar as
 indicators of the evolution of a highly evolved granite-pegmatite system in the Tres Arroyos area
 (Central Iberian Zone, Spain). Journal of Iberian Geology, 44, 375-403,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s41513-018-0077-z</u>
- García Serrano, J., Roda-Robles, E., Villaseca, C., and Simmons, W. (2017) Fe-Mn-(Mg) distribution in
 primary phosphates and silicates, and implications for the internal evolution of the Emmons rare element pegmatite (Maine, USA). PEG2017, Abstracts and Proceedings of the Geological Society
 of Norway, 2, p. 37-40, Kristiansand, Norway.
- Goldoff, B., Webster, J.D., and Harlov, D.E. (2012) Characterization of fluor-chlorapatites by electron
 probe microanalysis with a focus on time-dependent intensity variation of halogens. American
 Mineralogist, 97, 1103-1115, <u>https://doi.org/10.2138/am.2012.3812</u>
- Guidotti, C.V. (1989) Metamorphism in Maine: an overview. In R.D. Tucker, and R.G. Marvinney, Eds.
 Studies in Maine Geology. 3, p. 1-19. Maine Geological Survey, Augusta, Maine.
- -. (1993) H₂O solubility in haplogranitic melts; compositional, pressure, and temperature dependence.
 Geological Society of America, Northeastern Secction Meeting, Abstracts with Programs, 25, A21,
- Harlov, D.E. (2015) Apatite: A Fingerprint for Metasomatic Processes. Elements, 11, 171-176, https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.11.3.171
- Hernández-Filiberto, L., Roda-Robles, E., Simmons, W.B., and Webber, K.L. (2021) Garnet as Indicator of
 Pegmatite Evolution: The Case Study of Pegmatites from the Oxford Pegmatite Field (Maine,
 USA). Minerals, 11, <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.3390/min11080802

- Icenhower, J., and London, D. (1996) Experimental partitioning of Rb, Cs, Sr, and Ba between alkali
 feldspar and peraluminous melt. American Mineralogist, 81, 719-734, <u>https://doi.org/10.2138/am-1996-5-619</u>
- Irber, W. (1999) The lanthanide tetrad effect and its correlation with K/Rb, Eu/Eu*, Sr/Eu, Y/Ho, and Zr/Hf
 of evolving peraluminous granite suites. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 63, 489-508,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00027-7
- Jahns, R. (1982) Internal Evolution of Pegmatite Bodies. In P. Černý, Ed. Granitic Pegmatites in Science
 and Industry, v8, p. 293-327. Mineralogical Association of Canada, Ottawa.
- Jahns, R.H., and Burnham, C.W. (1969) Experimental Studies of Pegmatite Genesis .1. A Model for
 Derivation and Crystallization of Granitic Pegmatites. Economic Geology, 64, 843-864,
 https://doi.org/10.2113/gsecongeo.64.8.843
- Jolliff, B.L., Papike, J.J., Shearer, C.K., and Shimizu, N. (1989) Inter- and intra-crystal REE variations in apatite from the Bob Ingersoll pegmatite, Black Hills, South Dakota. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 53, 429-441, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(89)90394-3</u>
- Kawabe, I. (1995) Tetrad effects and fine structures of REE abundance patterns of granitic and rhyolitic
 rocks: ICP-AES determinations of REE and Y in eight GSJ reference rocks. Geochemical Journal,
 29, 213-230, <u>https://doi.org/10.2343/geochemj.29.213</u>
- Kontak, D.J., and Martin, R.F. (1997) Alkali feldspar in the peraluminous South Mountain Batholith, Nova
 Scotia: Trace-element data. Canadian Mineralogist, 35, 959-977,
- London, D. (1990) Internal differentiation of rare-element pegmatites: a synthesis of recent research. In
 Stein, H.J., Hannah, J.L. (Eds.), Ore-Bearing Granite Systems; Petrogenesis and Mineralizing
 Processes. Geological Society of America Special Paper, 246, 35-50,
- -. (2005) Granitic pegmatites: an assessment of current concepts and directions for the future. Lithos, 80, 281-303, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2004.02.009</u>
- -. (2008) Pegmatites. 347 p. The Canadian Mineralogist, Special Publication nº 10, https://doi.org/10.2138/am.2009.546
- London, D., Evensen, J.M., Fritz, E., Icenhower, J.P., Morgan VI, G.B., and Wolf, M.B. (2001a)
 Enrichment and accommodation of manganese in granite-pegmatite systems. Geochimica Et
 Cosmochimica Acta, Eleventh Annual V. M. Goldschmidt Conference, May 20-24, 2001, Hot
 Springs, Virginia. Abstract nº 3369,
- London, D., Hunt, L.E., and Duval, C.L. (2020) Temperatures and duration of crystallization within gembearing cavities of granitic pegmatites. Lithos, 360-361, 105417,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2020.105417
- London, D., Morgan, G.B., Paul, K.A., and Guttery, B.M. (2012) Internal Evolution of Miarolitic Granitic
 Pegmatites at the Little Three Mine, Ramona, California, USA. Canadian Mineralogist, 50, 1025 1054, <u>https://doi.org/10.3749/canmin.50.4.1025</u>
- London, D., Morgan Vi, G.B., and Wolf, M.B. (2001b) Amblygonite-montebrasite solid solutions as
 monitors of fluorine in evolved granitic and pegmatitic melts. American Mineralogist, 86, 225-233,
 https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2001-2-303
- Maner, J.L., London, D., and Icenhower, J.P. (2019) Enrichment of manganese to spessartine saturation in granite-pegmatite systems. American Mineralogist, 104, 1625-1637, <u>https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2019-6938</u>
- 818 McDonough, W., and Sun, S.S. (1995) The composition of the Earth. Chemical Geology, 67, 1050-1056,
 819 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(94)00140-4</u>
- Miles, A.J., Graham, C.M., Hawkesworth, C.J., Gillespie, M.R., and Hinton, R.W. (2013) Evidence for
 distinct stages of magma history recorded by the compositions of accessory apatite and zircon.
 Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 166, 1-19, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-013-0862-9</u>
- Miles, A.J., Graham, C.M., Hawkesworth, C.J., Gillespie, M.R., Hinton, R.W., and Bromiley, G.D. (2014)
 Apatite: A new redox proxy for silicic magmas? Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 132, 101-119, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.01.040

- Moench, R.H., Boone, G.M., Bothner, W.A., Boudette, E.L., Hatch Jr, N.L., Hussey Iii, A.M., and
 Marvinney, R.G. (1995) Geologic map of the Sherbrooke-Lewiston area, Maine, New Hampshire,
 and Vermont, United States, and Quebec, Canada. IMAP.
- Monecke, T., Kempe, U., Monecke, J., Sala, M., and Wolf, D. (2002) Tetrad effect in rare earth element
 distribution patterns: A method of quantification with application to rock and mineral samples from
 granite-related rare metal deposits. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 66, 1185-1196,
- Müller, A., Keyser, W., Simmons, W.B., Webber, K., Wise, M., Beurlen, H., Garate-Olave, I., Roda-Robles,
 E., and Galliski, M.Á. (2021) Quartz chemistry of granitic pegmatites: Implications for
 classification, genesis and exploration. Chemical Geology, 584, <u>https://doi.org/</u>
 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2021.120507
- Munoz, J.L. (1984) F-OH and Cl-OH exchange in micas with applications to hydrothermal ore deposits. In
 S.W. Bailey, Ed. Micas, p. 469-493. Reviews in Mineralogy & Geochemistry, vol. 13.
 Mineralogical Society of America.
- Nicholson, S.W., Dicken, C.L., Horton, J.D., Foose, M.P., Mueller, J.A.L., and Hon, R. (2006) Preliminary
 integrated geologic map databases for the United States: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New
 Hampshire, New Jersey, Rhode Island and Vermont. USGS Open-File Report: 2006-1272,
- Osberg, P.H. (1978) Synthesis of the geology of the northeast Appalachians, U.S.A. In P.E. Schenk, and
 E.T. Tosier, Eds. Appalachian-Caledonide orogen. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 78-13, p.
 137-167.
- 845 Osberg, P.H., Hussey, A.M.I., and Boone, G.H. (1985) Bedrock Geologic Map of Maine. Maine Geol Surv,
 846 1:500,000 scale map,
- Pan, L.-C., Hu, R.-Z., Wang, X.-S., Bi, X.-W., Zhu, J.-J., and Li, C. (2016) Apatite trace element and
 halogen compositions as petrogenetic-metallogenic indicators: Examples from four granite plutons
 in the Sanjiang region, SW China. Lithos, 254-255, 118-130,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2016.03.010
- Pan, Y., and Fleet, M.E. (2002) Compositions of the Apatite-Group Minerals: Substitution Mechanisms and Controlling Factors. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 48, 13-49,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2002.48.2</u>
- Paton, C., Hellstrom, J., Paul, B., Woodhead, J., and Hergt, J. (2011) Iolite: Freeware for the visualisation and processing of mass spectrometric data. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 26, <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c1ja10172b</u>
- Paul, B., Paton, C., Norris, A., Woodhead, J., Hellstrom, J., Hergt, J., and Greig, A. (2012) CellSpace: A
 module for creating spatially registered laser ablation images within the Iolite freeware
 environment. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 27, https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ja10383d
- Peretyazhko, I.S., and Savina, E.A. (2010) Tetrad effects in the rare earth element patterns of granitoid
 rocks as an indicator of fluoride-silicate liquid immiscibility in magmatic systems. Petrology, 18,
 514-543, <u>https://doi.org/10.1134/s086959111005005x</u>
- Pouchou, J.L., and Pichoir, F. (1985) "PAP" φ(ρZ) procedure for improved quantitative microanalysis. In
 J.T. Armstrong, Ed. Microbean Analysis, p. 104-106. San Francisco Press.
- Reusch, D.N., and van Staal, C.R. (2011) The Dog Bay Liberty Line and its significance for Silurian
 tectonics of the northern Appalachian orogen. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 49, 239-258,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/e11-024</u>
- Roda-Robles, E., Gil-Crespo, P.P., Pesquera, A., Lima, A., Garate-Olave, I., Merino-Martínez, E., Cardoso Fernandes, J., and Errandonea-Martin, J. (2022) Compositional Variations in Apatite and
 Petrogenetic Significance: Examples from Peraluminous Granites and Related Pegmatites and
 Hydrothermal Veins from the Central Iberian Zone (Spain and Portugal). Minerals, 12, 1401,
 https://doi.org/10.3390/min12111401
- Roda-Robles, E., Pesquera, A., Gil-Crespo, P., and Torres-Ruiz, J. (2012) From granite to highly evolved
 pegmatite: A case study of the Pinilla de Fermoselle granite–pegmatite system (Zamora, Spain).
 Lithos, 153, 192-207, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2012.04.027</u>
- Roda-Robles, E., Pesquera, A., Gil-Crespo, P.P., Simmons, W., and Falster, A. (2017) Textural and chemical
 variations of garnet and apatite recording the internal evolution of the Berry-Havey rare-element

	This is the peer-reviewed, final accepted version for American Mineralogist, published by the Mineralogical Society of America. The published version is subject to change. Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2023-9097. http://www.minsocam.org/
878 879	pegmatite (Maine, USA): preliminary results. Abstracts and Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Granitic Pegmatites (Kristiansand, Norway), 107-110,
880 881 882	Roda-Robles, E., Pesquera, A., Gil-Crespo, P.P., Torres-Ruiz, J., and De Parseval, P. (2006) Mineralogy and geochemistry of micas from the Pinilla de Fermoselle pegmatite (Zamora, Spain). European Journal of Mineralogy, 18, 369-377,
883	Roda-Robles, E., Simmons, W., Pesquera, A., Gil-Crespo, P.P., Nizamoff, J., and Torres-Ruiz, J. (2015a)
884	Micas associated with the Berry-Havey pegmatite (Maine, USA): chemical and textural variation,
885	and significance for the pegmatite internal evolution. 7th International Symposium on Granitic
886	Pegmatites-PEG2015, p. 79-80, Ksiaz,Poand.
887	Roda-Robles, E., Simmons, W., Pesquera, A., Gil-Crespo, P.P., Nizamoff, J., and Torres-Ruiz, J. (2015b)
888	Tourmaline as a petrogenetic monitor of the origin and evolution of the Berry-Havey pegmatite
889	(Maine, U.S.A.). American Mineralogist, 100, 95-109, <u>https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2015-4829</u>
890	Sha, L.K., and Chappell, B.W. (1999) Apatite chemical composition, determined by electron microprobe
891	and laser-ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, as a probe into granite
892	petrogenesis. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 63, 3861-3881, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-</u>
893	7037(99)00210-0
894 895 896	Simmons, W., Falster, A., Webber, K., Felch, M., and Bradley, D. (2017) Lithium-Boron-Beryllium Gem Pegmatites, Oxford Co., Maine: Havey and Mount Mica Pegmatites. New England Intercollegiate Geological Conference 2017, p. 1-34.
897	Simmons, W., Falster, A., Webber, K., Roda-Robles, E., Boudreaux, A.P., Grassi, L.R., and Freeman, G.
898	(2016) Bulk Composition of Mt. Mica Pegmatite, Maine, USA: Implications For the Origin of An
899	LCT Type Pegmatite By Anatexis. Canadian Mineralogist, 54, 1053-1070,
900	<u>https://doi.org/10.3749/canmin.1600017</u>
901	Smith, J.V. (1974) Feldspar Minerals, v2 Chemical and Textural Properties. 692 p. Springer Berlin,
902	Heidelberg, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-65743-6</u>
903 904 905	Sokolov, Y.M., and Khlestov, V.V. (1990) Garnets as indicators of the physicochemical conditions of pegmatite formation. International Geology Review, 32, 1095-1107, <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1080/00206819009465842
906	Solar, G.S., and Brown, M. (2001) Deformation partitioning during transpression in response to Early
907	Devonian oblique convergence, northern Appalachian orogen, USA. Journal of Structural Geology,
908	23, 1043-1065, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(00)00175-9</u>
909 910	Solar, G.S., and Tomascak, P.B. (2001) Is there a relation between transpressive deformation and pluton emplacement in southern Maine? Geological Society of America, Abstracts with Programs, 33.
911	(2009) The Sebago Pluton and the Sebago Migmatite Domain, southern Maine; results from new studies.
912	2009 Annual Meeting of Northeastern Section, Geol Soc Amer, Field Trip 2, 1-24,
913	 (2016) The Migmatite-Granite Complex of Southern Maine: Its Structure, Petrology, Geochemistry,
914	Geochronology, and Relation to the Sebago Pluton. In H.N. Berry, IV, and D.P. West, Jr., Eds.
915	Guidebook for field trips along the Maine coast from Maquoit Bay to Muscongus Bay: New
916	England Intercollegiate Geological Conference, p. 19-42.
917 918 919	Tomascak, P.B., Krogstad, E.J., and Walker, R.J. (1996a) Nature of the crust in Maine, USA: evidence from the Sebago batholith. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 125, 45-59, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s004100050205</u>
920	Tomascak, P.B., Krogstad, E.J., and Walker, R.J. (1996b) U-Pb Monazite Geochronology of Granitic Rocks
921	from Maine: Implications for Late Paleozoic Tectonics in the Northern Appalachians. The Journal
922	of Geology, 104, 185-195,
923 924	Veksler, I.V., and Thomas, R. (2002) An experimental study of B-, P- and F-rich synthetic granite pegmatite at 0.1 and 0.2 GPa. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 143, 673-683,
925	Warr, L.N. (2021) IMA–CNMNC approved mineral symbols. Mineralogical Magazine, 85, 291-320,
926	<u>https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2021.43</u>
927	Webber, K.L., Simmons, W.B., Falster, A.U., and Hanson, S.L. (2019) Anatectic pegmatites of the Oxford
928	County pegmatite field, Maine, USA. Canadian Mineralogist, 57, 811-815,
929	<u>https://doi.org/10.3749/canmin.AB00028</u>

- Webster, J.D., and Piccoli, P.M. (2015) Magmatic Apatite: A Powerful, Yet Deceptive, Mineral. Elements,
 11, 177-182, https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.11.3.177
- Wise, M.A., and Brown, C.D. (2010) Mineral chemistry, petrology and geochemistry of the Sebago granite pegmatite system, Southern Maine, USA. Journal of Geosciences, 55, 3-26, <u>https://doi.org/</u>
 10.3190/jgeosci.061
- Wones, D.R., and Eugster, H.P. (1965) Stability of biotite: Experiment, Theory, and Application. The
 American mineralogist, 50, 1228-1272,
- Yu, M., Xia, Q.-X., Zheng, Y.-F., Zhao, Z.-F., Chen, Y.-X., Chen, R.-X., Luo, X., Li, W.-C., and Xu, H.
 (2021) The composition of garnet in granite and pegmatite from the Gangdese orogen in southeastern Tibet: Constraints on pegmatite petrogenesis. American Mineralogist, 106, 265-281, https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2020-7388
- P41 Zhao, Z., Xiong, X., Han, X., Wang, Y., Wang, Q., Bao, Z., and Borming, J. (2002) Controls on the REE
 P42 tetrad effect in granites: Evidence from the Qianlishan and Baerzhe Granites, China. Geochemical
 P43 Journal, 36, 527-543, <u>https://doi.org/10.2343/geochemj.36.527</u>
- 944
- 945

946 **FIGURE CAPTIONS:**

Fig. 1. (a) Map showing the location of the Migmatite-Granite-Complex in New England
and (b) the principal geological features of the area and location of the Berry-Havey
pegmatite.

950

951 **Fig. 2.** Schematic map with two cross sections of the Berry-Havey pegmatite.

952

953 Fig. 3. (a) Microphotograph of a subhedral apatite crystal from the Wall Zone (under 954 plane-polarized light). (b) Dark bluish apatite crystals intergrowth with garnet from the garnet 955 layer, in the limit between the Intermediate Zone and the Core Margin. (c) Microphotograph 956 of some subhedral apatite crystals from a pod of the Core Zone (under crossed-polarized 957 light). (d) Seam filled by purple apatite hosted by blocky feldspar in the Core Zone. (e) 958 Strongly zoned gravish-white apatite euhedral lenses over guartz from a Li-poor pocket. (f) 959 Euhedral purple prism of apatite over a mass of albite and pinkish lepidolite from a Li-rich 960 pocket.

961

Fig. 4. Major element variations in apatite from the different units distinguished in the
Berry-Havey pegmatite: (a) F; (b) Mn²⁺; and (c) Ca. (All values in a.p.f.u.).

965 Fig. 5. Binary plots for apatite from the different units of the Berry-Havey pegmatite (all the values are in a.p.f.u.): (a) Ca versus Mn²⁺; (b) Fe²⁺ versus Mn²⁺; and (c) Ca versus Fe²⁺. 966 967 Fig. 6. Representative chemical zoning patterns for the major elements in zoned apatite 968 969 crystals (left: grayish-white crystal from a Li-poor pocket; and right: purple-green crystal from a seam). (a) Mn^{2+} , (b) Fe^{2+} , and (c) Ca. (All values are in a.p.f.u.). 970 971 972 Fig. 7. Variations in the main trace elements in apatite from the different units 973 distinguished in the Berry-Havey pegmatite. (All values in ppm) (Legend as in Fig. 4).

974

975 **Fig. 8.** Binary plots of main trace elements in apatite (all values in ppm): (a) ΣREE 976 versus Y; (b) Sr versus ΣREE ; (c) Sr versus Y; (d) Pb versus Th; (e) Th versus U; (f) Pb 977 versus U; (g) Ho versus Y; and (h) Hf versus Zr.

978

Fig. 9. Representative chemical zoning patterns for main trace elements in zoned 979 980 apatite crystals (left: gravish-white crystal from a Li-poor pocket; and right: purple-green 981 crystal from a seam). (All values in ppm).

982

983 Fig. 10. Chondrite-normalized (McDonough and Sun, 1995) lanthanide patterns for: (a) 984 apatite from the wall zone, the intermediate zone, the core margin, and the purple apatite 985 from the Li-rich pockets in the core zone; (b) zoned gravish-white apatite lenses from Li-poor 986 pockets in the core zone; and, (c) and (d) zoned purple-green apatite crystals from the 987 seams. (Legend as in Fig. 4).

988

Fig. 11. Binary plots of (a) the Eu anomaly, (defined as $Eu/Eu^* = Eu_n/(Sm_n \times Gd_n)0.5)$ 989 990 and the TE_{1.3} value for the tetrad effects calculated according to the procedure of Irber (1999); and (b) Y (ppm) versus TE_{13} . (Legend as in Fig. 4). 991

993	Fig. 12. Binary plots of (a) the Ce anomaly (defined as Ce/Ce [*] = $Eu_n/(La_n \times Pr_n)0.5$)
994	versus the Eu anomaly (defined as Eu/Eu* = $Eu_n/(Sm_n \times Gd_n)0.5)$, and (b) Y/Ho versus Y (in
995	ppm). The grayish area represents the region where the Y/Ho ratios correspond to CHARAC
996	values. (Legend as in Fig. 4).
997	
998	Fig. 13. Triangular plots for apatite: (a) Pb-U-Th; and, (b) Sr-Y- Σ REE, for the different
999	units distinguished in the Berry-Havey pegmatite. (Legend as in Fig. 4).
1000	

1001 **TABLE CAPTIONS:**

Table 1. Main characteristics of the Berry-Havey pegmatite zones and the associatedapatite.

Table 2. Representative microprobe analyses with major element composition (wt%)

and unit formula (a.p.f.u.) calculated on the basis of 26 (O,F,Cl,OH), H_2O^* estimated, for

apatite associated with the different units distinguished in the Berry-Havey pegmatite.

Table 3. Representative trace element analyses (values in ppm) for apatite associated
with the different units distinguished in the Berry-Havey pegmatite.

ZONE		MINERALS*	GENERAL TEXTURES	APATITE TEXTURE	APATITE CHEMISTRY (ppm)	
WALL ZON	NE	Qz, Kfs, Pl, Bt, Ms ± Grt ± Srl ± Ap	Homogeneous, very fine to medium grained facies. Locally gneissic facies	Fine grained whitish subhedral crystals < 5 mm	Fluorapatite ΣREE: 1796-2178 Υ: 1155-1503 Sr: 49.9-52.5	
INTERMED	DIATE ZONE	Qz, Kfs, PI ± Grt ± Bt ± SrI ± Ap	Qz-Kfs graphic intergrowths (> 85% volume)	Fine grained whitish anhedral crystals < 5 mm	Fluorapatite ΣREE: 1219-1286 Υ: 225-261 Sr: 2.9-16.1	
CORE MARGIN		Ab, Qz, Srl ± green-Elb ± Grt ± Ap	Matrix of tabular crystals of clevelandite ("vuggy" Ab), where coarse tourmaline tapered prismatic crystals occur. Grt layer	Medium sized bluish-greenish prismatic or anhedral crystals < 5 cm Intergrowth with Grt in the Grt layer	Mn-rich fluorapatite ΣREE: 309-355 Υ: 22.7-26.3 Sr: 87-23.4	
CORE ZONE pods		Qz, Kfs, Ab, Lpd, Ms, Li-Ms, Mbs, Brl, Fe-Mn Phosphates, Ross-Elb, ± Cst ± Clb-Ttl ± Ap	Blocky Qz, Kfs, and Ab. Purple fine-grained or book Lpd. Coarse book Ms rimmed by Lpd. Multicoloured tourmaline prisms. Fe- Mn-phosphates or Mbs sub-rounded pods	Fine-sized prismatic crystals with a concentric optical zoning	Fluorapatite ΣREE: 0-0.14 Υ: 0 Sr: 27-1614	
	Li-poor pockets	Qz, Kfs, Ab, Srl, Ap	Blocky Qz and Kfs, "vuggy" Ab. Sub to euhedral smoky Qz, Etched dark tourmaline	Hexagonal zoned lenses with a greyish-light blue core and a white rim (≈1 cm Ø)	Mn-rich fluorapatite ΣREE: 9.55-983 Υ: 31.7-979 Sr: 759-28710	
CORE ZONE Pockets	Li-rich pockets	Qz, Kfs, Ab , Lpd, Li-Ms, Mbs, Brl, Fe-Mn Phosphates, Rsm- Elb ± Ap	Blocky Qz and Kfs, "vuggy" Ab. Multicoloured tourmaline, Lpd "pencils"	Deep purple or bluish short hexagonal prisms (≈1 cm Ø)	Fluorapatite SREE: 0.09-0.37 Y: 0.14-0.54 Sr: 5910-19800	
	Seams	Ар	Elongated cavities (≤ 50 cm long and ≤ 20 cm wide) completely or partially filled with Ap	Anhedral purple masses or hexagonal prisms. Zoned prisms with purple core and greenish rims	Mn-rich fluorapatite ΣREE: 0.629-210 Y: 0-23 Sr: 17-3640	

*Mineral symbols according to Warr (2021): Ap=apatite, Qz=quartz, Kfs= K-feldspar, PI=plagioclase, Ms=muscovite, Grt= garnet, SrI=Schorl, Bt=biotite, Elb= elbaite, Lpd= lepidolite, Mbs= Montebrasite, BrI= beryl, Rsm= rossmanite, Cst= cassiterite, Clb-Ttl= columbite-tantalite. Grain size: very fine = <6 mm; fine = 6 mm to 2.5 cm; medium = 2.5 cm to 10 cm.

Table 1

Nº anal.	1	2	2 3 4 5 6 7		8	9	10	11	12	13				
Zone	Wall 2	Zone	Intermedia	te Zone	Core Ma	argin	Core Zone (pods)		Core Zone (Li-poor Pocket)		Core Zone (Li-rich Pocket)	Core Zone (seam)		
weight(%)									grayish core	withish rim	purple	purple core gr	reenish rim	
P ₂ O ₅	43.29	42.08	41.15	41.57	42.34	42.13	41.95	41.61	42.25	40.43	41.42	40.96	41.76	
SiO ₂	0.03	0.05	-	-	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.02	-	-	-	b.d.l.	-	
Al ₂ O ₃	0.02	b.d.l.	0.01	0.01	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.01	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	
La ₂ O ₃	0.07	0.05	-	-	0.03	0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ce ₂ O ₃	0.01	0.22	-	-	0.05	0.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MgO	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.03	0.04	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.02	0.03	0.03	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	
CaO	55.00	55.86	48.84	48.86	42.34	42.13	55.72	55.11	50.62	53.69	54.36	53.46	56.25	
MnO	0.07	0.38	6.38	6.43	9.70	9.55	0.21	0.28	4.65	0.21	0.10	3.91	0.93	
FeO	0.03	0.01	0.32	0.31	0.21	0.15	b.d.l.	0.03	0.31	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.17	0.10	
SrO	0.07	b.d.l.	-	-	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	-	-	0.09	3.41	2.35	-	-	
Na ₂ O	0.02	0.13	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.02	0.04	0.04	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.01	0.00	
F	3.10	3.24	4.28	4.34	2.62	2.66	3.51	3.34	5.91	5.34	5.00	3.17	3.17	
CI	b.d.l.	0.01	0.01	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.01	b.d.l.	0.08	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.08	0.03	
H ₂ O*	0.34	0.26	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.48	0.45	0.12	0.19	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.25	0.29	
O=F	1.31	1.37	1.80	1.83	1.10	1.12	1.48	1.41	2.50	2.25	2.11	1.35	1.34	
TOTAL	100.74	100.92	99.20	99.74	96.73	96.11	100.08	99.18	101.43	100.86	101.17	100.66	101.18	
Structural for	mulae on the	basis of 26	6 (O,F,CI,OH)											
(a.p.f.u.)														
Р	6.074	5.953	5.962	5.981	6.244	6.250	5.971	5.974	5.910	5.789	5.870	5.880	5.911	
Si	0.005	0.008	-	-	0.007	0.005	0.002	0.003	-	-	-	b.d.l.	-	
AI	0.005	b.d.l.	0.001	0.001	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.002	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	
La	0.004	0.003	-	-	0.002	0.002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ce ³⁺	0.001	0.013	-	-	0.003	0.004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mg	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.008	0.011	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.006	0.008	0.008	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	
Ca	9.768	10.000	8.955	8.897	7.902	7.910	10.035	10.013	8.962	9.728	9.749	9.712	10.076	
Mn ²⁺	0.010	0.054	0.924	0.926	1.430	1.417	0.029	0.041	0.650	0.030	0.014	0.561	0.132	
Fe ²⁺	0.004	0.001	0.046	0.044	0.030	0.021	b.d.l.	0.005	0.043	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.025	0.014	
Sr	0.006	b.d.l.	-	-	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	-	-	0.009	0.334	0.228	-	-	
Na	0.005	0.042	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.007	0.014	0.012	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.003	0.000	
F	1.625	1.712	2.315	2.334	1.445	1.474	1.864	1.789	3.087	2.854	2.648	1.700	1.673	
CI	b.d.l.	0.003	0.003	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	0.002	b.d.l.	0.021	b.d.l.	0.000	0.022	0.008	
OH*	0.375	0.285	0.000	0.000	0.555	0.525	0.134	0.211	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.278	0.319	
(F+CI+OH)	2.000	2.000	2.317	2.335	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	3.108	2.854	2.649	2.000	2.000	

Zone Wall Zone Intermediate Zone Core Margin Core Zone (p(x) Core Zone (Lipop CoreX) Durple purple core greenist Br - 0.033 6.2 6.1 2.3 -	nº anal.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Current relations Current relations Constraint (pods) (Lippor Pocket) (Lippor Pocket)	Zono	Wall 7	lone	Intermedia	te Zone	Core M	argin	(Core Zone		Core Z	one	Core Z	one	Core Zone	
Latt values ppm) purple core gravials-core gravia	20116	waii 2	Une	interneula		Core M	argin		(pods)		(Li-poor F	Pocket)	(Li-rich F	ocket)	(Sea	am)
Internation 11.10 16.88 11.2 16.6 21.3 28.1 0 0.6 9.9 - - 1.8 1.74 Si . 280 . . 1010 1370 1480 1030 .	(All values ppm)										grayish-core	whitish-rim	purp	le	purple core	greenish rim
Be . 0.003 0.33 6.2 6.1 2.39 . 0.85 1.7 . . . 0.86 . . 0.85 1.71 . . . 0.86 1.12 0.86 1.14 0.86 0.85 1.12 1.09 1.27 1.35 1.36 0.77 1.49 0 1.4 . 2250 3.3 V .	Li	11.19	15.88	11.2	15.6	21.3	28.1	0	0	0	8.9	-	-	1.8	1.74	54
Si - 280 - - 1010 1370 1480 1030 0 - - - 2250 3 Sc 0.65 1.12 1.09 1.27 1.35 1.336 0.77 1.49 0 - - 1.44 - - TI 62.9 77 80.3 101 46.2 53.9 179.3 180.2 188.8 180 191 104 91 177.4 - W 0.077 0.086 0.04 0.161 0.24 1.52 0.0126 0.255 0.0076 0.022 0.0076 0.022 0.077 0.086 0.0477 0.061 Ga - 0.43 0.44 0.531 0.557 0 0.191 0 0.34 - - 0.61 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.048 8 0.078 0.14 0.140 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.16 4.3 </td <td>Be</td> <td></td> <td>0.003</td> <td>0.33</td> <td>6.2</td> <td>6.1</td> <td>2.39</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.85</td> <td>1.71</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.86</td> <td>-</td> <td>6.6</td>	Be		0.003	0.33	6.2	6.1	2.39	-	0.85	1.71	-	-	-	0.86	-	6.6
K - 194 10 25 7.9 179 0 0 0 - - - 1.4 - TI 62.9 177 80.3 101 46.2 63.9 179.3 180.2 186.8 180 191 104 91 177.4 V - - - 0.099 0 0 0 - 0.076 0.022 0.076 0.024 0.026 - - - 0.0477 0.086 0.027 0.044 0.022 0.044 0.87 - - 0.0477 0.082 0.014 0.22 16.1 0.754 0 0.046 0.34 - - - - - 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.076 0.085 0.049 0.374 0.034 0.034 0.022 0.024 <th< td=""><td>Si</td><td></td><td>280</td><td></td><td></td><td>1010</td><td>1370</td><td>1480</td><td>1030</td><td>0</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>2250</td><td>3550</td></th<>	Si		280			1010	1370	1480	1030	0	-	-	-		2250	3550
Sc 0.65 1.12 1.09 1.27 1.36 0.77 1.40 0 - 1.23 - - - T 62.9 77 80.3 101 46.2 53.9 179.3 180.2 186.8 180 191 104 91 177.4 W 0.077 0.066 0.044 0.161 0.24 1.52 0.0126 1.25 0.0245 0.0027 - 0.0076 0.0222 0.0076 Ni 0.265 - - 0.206 - 0.76 0.64 0.87 - - - 0.61 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.374 - - - - - - 0.078 0.074 0.25 227 0.0164 0.0 0.079 0.371 0.335 0.22 0.074 0.09 0.371 0.351 0.5 0.27 0.233 0.014 0 0.025 0.055 0.065	ĸ	-	1.94	10	25	7.9	179	0	0	0	-	-	-	1.4	_	26
TI 62.9 77 80.3 101 46.2 53.9 179.3 180.2 186.8 180 191 104 91 177.4 V - - - 0.099 0 0.02 0.027 - 0.0076 0.022 0.0076 0.027 0.0076 0.022 0.0077 Ga - - 0.18 0.25 - 1.29 0.342 0.286 0.044 0.877 - - 0.078 0.0078 Ni 0.265 - - 0.026 0.076 0.044 0.844 0.851 - - - 0.078 0.078 Ca - - 0.44 0.25 1.57 7.54 0 0 0.94 5.2 2.24 1.61 0.82 2.77 0 0.16 8.4 0.165 62 7.35 3.22 0.076 0.058 0.46 8 0.27 - - - - - - - - - - - - 0.05 0.051 0.051	Sc	0.65	1.12	1.09	1.27	1.35	1.336	0.77	1.49	0	-	1.23	-	-	-	-
V - - - 0.099 0 0 0 - - - - - - W 0.077 0.086 0.04 0.161 0.24 1.52 0.0126 1.25 0.0245 0.027 - 0.076 0.027 N 0.0776 0.0076 0.027 - 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.0477 0.047 0.047 0.047 0.047 0.047 0.047 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.034 - - - 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.078 0.024 0.094 0.32 2.2 2.34 - 0.078 0.021 0.016 0.016 0.016 0.016	Ti	62.9	77	80.3	101	46.2	53.9	179.3	180.2	186.8	180	191	104	91	177.4	178
W 0.077 0.086 0.04 0.161 0.24 1.52 0.0126 1.25 0.027 - 0.0076 0.022 Ga - - 0.18 0.25 - 1.29 0.342 0.268 0.351 - 0.156 - 0.0477 0.0477 Mo - - 0.43 0.485 0.831 0.557 0 0.191 0 0.34 - - - 0.078 0.078 Mo - - 0.43 0.485 0.531 0.557 0 0 0.94 5.2 2.34 - 0.078 0.021 0	v	· · ·	-	-	· · ·	-	0.099	0	0	0	-	-	· · ·	· · ·	-	-
Ga - - 0.18 0.25 - 129 0.342 0.268 0.351 - 0.156 - - 0.477 0 Ni 0.265 - - 0.206 - 0.76 0.64 0.87 - - - 0.611 0.611 Mo - 0.43 0.486 0.531 0.557 0 0.99 0.94 5.2 - 2.34 - - - - 0.611 0.61 Sr 50.8 52.5 2.94 16.1 0.34 8.7 34.3 1614 662 7.59 28710 19800 9470 32.5 22 Y 1155 1503 2.25 2.30 23.69 25.27 0 0.104 0 979 31.7 0.58 0.46 8 0 Sr 50.6 0.616 4.4 0.105 0.62 7.35 32.2 0.075 0.021 0.774 0.21 0.77 0.29 0.51 - - - - - - <td>w</td> <td>0.077</td> <td>0.086</td> <td></td> <td>0.04</td> <td>0.161</td> <td>0.24</td> <td>1.52</td> <td>0.0126</td> <td>1.25</td> <td>0.0245</td> <td>0.0027</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.0076</td> <td>0.022</td> <td>0.01</td>	w	0.077	0.086		0.04	0.161	0.24	1.52	0.0126	1.25	0.0245	0.0027	-	0.0076	0.022	0.01
Ni 0.265 - - 0.06 0.64 0.87 - - - 0.61 0 Mo - - 0.43 0.485 0.531 0.557 0 0.191 0 0.34 - - - 0.078 0 Zn 1.13 0.82 2.71 2.35 15.7 7.54 0 0 0 0.44 2.234 - 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05	Ga		-	0.18	0.25		1.29	0.342	0.268	0.351	-	0.156	-	-	0.477	0.66
Mo - 0.43 0.485 0.531 0.567 0 0.191 0 0.34 - - - 0.078 0.078 Zn 1.13 0.82 2.71 2.35 15.7 7.54 0 0 0.94 5.2 - 2.34 - 0.016 0.031 0.031 0.033 0.031 0.033 0.031 0.033 0.031 0.033 0.062 1.28 <th< td=""><td>Ni</td><td>0.265</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>· · ·</td><td>0.206</td><td>_</td><td>0.76</td><td>0.64</td><td>0.87</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.61</td><td>0.83</td></th<>	Ni	0.265	-	-	· · ·	0.206	_	0.76	0.64	0.87	-		-	-	0.61	0.83
Zn 1.13 0.82 2.71 2.35 15.7 7.54 0 0 0.94 5.2 - 2.34 - - - Rb 0.144 0.07 - 0.14 0.22 19.5 0 0 0 - 0 0 0.05 0.065 0.089 1.88 0.111 - 0 0 0.065 0.59 1.88 0.111 - 0 0 0.065 0.59 1.88 0.111 - 0 0.	Мо	-	-	0.43	0.485	0.531	0.557	0	0.191	0	0.34	-	-	-	0.078	0.092
Rb 0.144 0.107 - 0.14 0.22 19.5 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Zn	1.13	0.82	2.71	2.35	15.7	7.54	0	0	0.94	5.2	-	2.34	-	_	1.15
Sr 50.8 52.5 2.94 16.1 22.4 8.7 34.3 1614 662 759 28710 19800 9470 32.5 2 Y 1155 1503 225 2300 25.27 0 0.014 0 979 31.7 0.536 0.46 8 0. Nb - - 0.05 0.16 4.4 0.105 0.155 62 7.35 32.2 0.076 0.065 0.139 0.374 - - - - - - - - - 0.057 0.062 0.039 0.0308 - 0.102 0.271 2.94 0.014 0 0 0.056 0.59 1.88 0.111 - 0.0 La 134 173 277 289 153.4 168 - 0.0016 0.284 0.041 0.062 24.1 0.0 La 134 168.5 50.1 6.23 6.94 </td <td>Rb</td> <td>0.144</td> <td>0.107</td> <td></td> <td>0.14</td> <td>0.22</td> <td>19.5</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>1.08</td>	Rb	0.144	0.107		0.14	0.22	19.5	0	0	0		-		-	-	1.08
Y 1155 1503 225 230 23.69 25.27 0 0.104 0 979 31.7 0.536 0.46 8 0 Zr - 0.05 0.16 4.4 0.105 62.735 32.2 0.076 0.065 0.139 0.374 - - - - - 0 0.002 0.062 0.062 0.003 Sn 0.21 0.14 7.54 8.1 2.15 4.53 0.063 0 2.47 0.204 0.09 0.092 0.062 0.062 0.062 Ba 0.33 0.068 0.2 3.4 0.53 0.23 0.11 0 0.055 268 0.462 0.011 0.0617 24.1 0.061 La 134 173 277 289 153.4 168.2 - 0.0055 268 0.462 0.011 0.021 72.2 0.066 0.033 5.57 0.05 Sm 96.3 113.4 658 58.9 2.91 3.1 - - 1.815 <	Sr	50.8	52.5	2.94	16.1	23.4	8.7	34.3	1614	662	759	28710	19800	9470	32.5	2094
Zr 0.05 0.16 4.4 0.105 0.155 62 7.35 32.2 0.076 0.065 0.139 0.374 - Nb - - - 1.15 0.9 1.37 0.231 0 0.51 - 0.02 0.024 0.033 0.038 0.032 0.032 0.062 12.8 0.0 Ba 0.33 0.068 0.2 3.4 0.53 0.23 0.011 0.007 - 94.3 0.28 0.0161 0.27 72.2 0.106 0.0033 5.57 0.0 0.033 5.57 0.0 0.033 5.57 0.0 0.033 5.57 0.0 0.016 <td< td=""><td>Ŷ</td><td>1155</td><td>1503</td><td>225</td><td>230</td><td>23.69</td><td>25.27</td><td>0</td><td>0.104</td><td>0</td><td>979</td><td>31.7</td><td>0.536</td><td>0.46</td><td>8</td><td>0.023</td></td<>	Ŷ	1155	1503	225	230	23.69	25.27	0	0.104	0	979	31.7	0.536	0.46	8	0.023
Nb - - - 1.15 0.9 1.37 0.23 1.0 1.01 <th1.01< th=""> <th1.01< th=""></th1.01<></th1.01<>	Zr	-	0.05	0.16	4.4	0.105	0.155	62	7.35	32.2	0.076	0.065	0.139	0.374	-	37
Sn 0.21 0.14 7.54 8.1 2.15 4.53 0.063 0 2.47 0.204 0.09 0.092 0.062 0.062 Cs 0.0331 0.0308 - 0.102 0.271 2.94 0.014 0 0 - 0.016 0.056 0.055 0.68 0.061 0.011 0.061 0.011 0.061 0.011 0.061 0.011 0.061 0.033 5.57 0.0 Md 279 313 77.6 5.88 6.48 - 0.0011 0.022 47.4 0.123 0.0073 0.0204 2.67 0.0 0.053 0.0033 5.57 0.0 0.011 0.0283 -	Nb	-	-	-	1.15	0.9	1.37	0.231	0	0.51	-		-	-	-	0.027
Cs 0.0331 0.0308 - 0.102 0.271 2.94 0.014 0 0 -	Sn	0.21	0.14	7.54	8.1	2.15	4.53	0.063	0	0.01	2.47	0.204	0.09	0.092	0.062	0.222
Ba 0.33 0.068 0.2 3.4 0.23 0.11 0 0 0.056 0.59 1.88 0.111 - 0 La 134 173 277 289 153.4 168 - 0.0087 - 94.3 0.28 0 0.062 12.8 0 Ce 413 486 628 655 138.7 162.2 - 0.055 268 0.462 0.011 0.0617 24.1 0 Nd 279 313 77.2 79.6 5.88 6.48 - 0.0011 0.027 72.2 0.106 0 0.033 5.57 0 Sm 96.3 113.4 05.68 5.89 2.91 3.1 - - 0.022 47.4 0.123 0.0073 0.0204 2.67 0.023 5.57 0.0 Gd 128.5 160 52.7 53.8 2.48 2.7 - 0.021 71.9	Cs	0.0331	0.0308	-	0.102	0.271	2.94	0.014	0	0			-	-	-	0.39
La 136 177 289 153.4 168 - 0.003 - 04.3 0.28 0.011 0.0617 12.8 0.0057 Ce 413 486 628 655 138.7 162.2 - - 0.055 268 0.462 0.011 0.0617 24.1 0.0 Pr 65.1 73.3 47.6 50.1 6.23 6.94 - - 0.0116 28.4 0.044 0 0.0088 2.2 0.0 Sm 96.3 113.4 56.8 58.9 2.91 3.1 - - 0.022 47.4 0.123 0.0073 0.024 2.67 0.0 Eu 3.263 3.414 0.301 0.307 0.115 0.138 - - - 1.815 0.0283 - 0 0.53 Gd 128.5 2.43 0.53 3.52 3.79 - 0.024 - 177.9 1.649 0.0167	Ba	0.33	0.068	0.2	3.4	0.53	0.23	0.11	0	0	0.056	0.59	1 88	0 111	-	0.283
La Ho Ho<	la	134	173	277	289	153.4	168	-	0 0087	-	94.3	0.28	0	0.062	12.8	0.105
Pr 65. 73.3 47.6 50.1 6.23 6.94 - - 0.0116 28.4 0.044 0 0.0088 2.2 0.0 Nd 279 313 77.2 79.6 5.88 6.48 - 0.0116 0.227 72.2 0.106 0 0.033 5.57 0 Sm 96.3 113.4 65.8 58.9 2.91 3.1 - - 0.022 47.4 0.123 0.0073 0.024 2.67 0 Gd 128.5 160 52.7 53.8 2.48 2.7 - - 0.021 71.9 0.252 0.006 0.024 1.63 0.00 Gb 3.4.2 42.1 15.63 16.21 0.782 0.842 - - 2.43 0.1452 0.0041 0.0055 0.338 0.0 Dy 273 340 58.3 59.3 3.52 3.79 0.0024 - 177.9 <th< td=""><td>Ce</td><td>413</td><td>486</td><td>628</td><td>655</td><td>138 7</td><td>162.2</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>0.055</td><td>268</td><td>0.462</td><td>0.011</td><td>0.0617</td><td>24.1</td><td>0.192</td></th<>	Ce	413	486	628	655	138 7	162.2		-	0.055	268	0.462	0.011	0.0617	24.1	0.192
Nd 273 313 77.2 79.6 5.88 6.48 - 0.001 0.027 72.2 0.106 0 0.033 5.57 0.0 Sm 96.3 113.4 56.8 58.9 2.91 3.1 - - 0.022 47.4 0.123 0.0073 0.0204 2.67 0.0 Eu 3.263 3.414 0.301 0.307 0.115 0.138 - - 1.815 0.0233 - 0 0.553 Gd 128.5 160 52.7 53.8 2.48 2.7 - - 0.021 71.9 0.252 0.004 0.0045 0.338 0.0 Dy 273 340 58.3 59.3 3.52 3.79 - 0.0024 - 177.9 1.649 0.0167 0.046 1.76 0.0 Ho 51.9 65 2.67 2.7 0.181 0.194 - - 2.35 0.298	Pr	65.1	73.3	47.6	50.1	6.23	6.94	-	-	0.0116	28.4	0.044	0.011	0.0088	22	0.0186
Sm 96.3 113.4 56.8 58.9 2.91 3.1 - - 0.022 47.4 0.123 0.0073 0.024 2.67 0.053 Eu 3.263 3.414 0.301 0.307 0.115 0.138 - - - 1.815 0.0283 - 0 0.553 Gd 128.5 160 52.7 53.8 2.48 2.7 - - 0.021 71.9 0.252 0.006 0.024 1.63 0.001 0.0065 0.338 0.00 Dy 273 340 58.3 59.3 3.52 3.79 - 0.0024 - 177.9 1.649 0.0167 0.046 1.76 0.0 Ho 51.9 65 2.67 2.7 0.181 0.1948 - - - 23.5 0.298 0.0037 0.0088 0.459 0.0 Tm 21.53 27.81 0.191 0.175 0.0314 0.0341 </td <td>Nd</td> <td>279</td> <td>313</td> <td>77.2</td> <td>79.6</td> <td>5.88</td> <td>6.48</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.0011</td> <td>0.027</td> <td>72.2</td> <td>0.106</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.033</td> <td>5.57</td> <td>0.044</td>	Nd	279	313	77.2	79.6	5.88	6.48	-	0.0011	0.027	72.2	0.106	0	0.033	5.57	0.044
But But <td>Sm</td> <td>96.3</td> <td>113.4</td> <td>56.8</td> <td>58.9</td> <td>2.91</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.022</td> <td>47.4</td> <td>0 123</td> <td>0.0073</td> <td>0.0204</td> <td>2.67</td> <td>0.016</td>	Sm	96.3	113.4	56.8	58.9	2.91	3.1	-	-	0.022	47.4	0 123	0.0073	0.0204	2.67	0.016
Gd 126.5 160 52.7 53.8 2.48 2.7 - - 0.021 71.9 0.252 0.006 0.024 1.63 0.006 0.024 1.63 0.006 0.024 1.63 0.006 0.024 1.63 0.006 0.024 1.63 0.006 0.024 1.63 0.006 0.024 1.63 0.006 0.024 1.63 0.006 0.024 1.63 0.006 0.024 1.63 0.024 - 177.9 1.649 0.0017 0.0066 0.338 0.007 0.0083 0.191 Er 143 183 2.28 2.29 0.243 0.271 - 0.0038 - 46.7 0.99 0.0169 0.0288 0.459 Tm 21.53 27.81 0.191 0.175 0.0314 0.0314 - - - 8.3 0.55 0.093 0.0169 0.0288 0.459 Tm 21.53 27.81 0.191 0.175 0.314	Fu	3 263	3 4 1 4	0.301	0.307	0.115	0 138	-	-		1 815	0.0283	-	0.0201	0.553	-
Tb 34.2 42.1 15.63 16.21 0.782 0.842 - - - 24.3 0.1452 0.0041 0.0065 0.038 0.005 Dy 273 340 58.3 59.3 3.52 3.79 - 0.0024 - 177.9 1.649 0.0167 0.046 1.76 0.338 0.0 Ho 51.9 65 2.67 2.7 0.181 0.1948 - - - 23.5 0.298 0.0037 0.0088 0.459 Er 143 183 2.28 2.29 0.243 0.271 - 0.0038 - 46.7 0.99 0.0169 0.0288 0.459 Tm 21.53 27.81 0.191 0.175 0.0314 0.0341 - - 8.3 0.351 0.0038 0.469 Vb 137 177 0.685 0.748 0.186 0.248 - 0.0023 50.9 4.24 0.033 <	Gd	128.5	160	52.7	53.8	2 48	27		-	0 021	71.9	0 252	0.006	0 024	1.63	0 0047
Dy 273 340 55.3 59.3 3.52 3.79 - 0.0024 - 177.9 1.649 0.0167 0.0048 0.176 Ho 51.9 65 2.67 2.7 0.181 0.1948 - - - 23.5 0.298 0.0037 0.0083 0.191 Er 143 183 2.28 2.29 0.234 0.271 - 0.0038 - 46.7 0.99 0.0167 0.0046 0.176 Tm 21.53 27.81 0.191 0.175 0.0314 0.0341 - - 8.3 0.351 0.0388 0.469 Yb 137 177 0.685 0.748 0.186 0.248 - 0.0023 50.9 4.24 0.033 0.055 0.728 Lu 16.6 21.63 0.0631 0.0597 0.02 0.0261 - 52.6 0.582 0.0077 0.0097 0.114 Hf 0.086	Th	34.2	42.1	15.63	16 21	0.782	0.842	-	-		24.3	0 1452	0.0041	0.0065	0.338	0.002
Ho 51.9 65 2.67 2.7 0.181 0.1948 - - - 2.35 0.298 0.0037 0.0083 0.191 Er 143 183 2.28 2.29 0.243 0.271 - 0.0038 - 46.7 0.99 0.0169 0.0288 0.459 Tm 21.53 27.81 0.191 0.175 0.0314 0.0341 - - - 8.3 0.351 0.0038 0.0055 0.098 Yb 137 177 0.685 0.748 0.186 0.248 - 0.0023 50.9 4.24 0.033 0.055 0.728 Lu 16.6 21.63 0.0631 0.0597 0.02 0.0261 - 5.26 0.582 0.0077 0.0097 0.114 Hf 0.086 0.111 0.0222 0.29 0.0144 0.126 0.0111 0.0085 0.0077 0.0097 0.114 If 0.0204 <	Dv	273	340	58.3	59.3	3.52	3.79	-	0.0024	-	177.9	1.649	0.0167	0.046	1.76	0.004
First 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.000 0.000 0.00000	Ho	51.9	65	2.67	27	0 181	0 1948	-	-	-	23.5	0.298	0.0037	0.0083	0 191	-
Tm 21.53 27.81 0.191 0.175 0.0314 0.0341 - - 8.3 0.351 0.0038 0.0055 0.095 Yb 137 177 0.685 0.748 0.186 0.248 - 0.0023 50.9 4.24 0.033 0.055 0.728 Lu 16.6 21.63 0.0631 0.0597 0.02 0.0261 - 5.26 0.582 0.0077 0.0097 0.114 Hf 0.086 0.111 0.0222 0.29 0.0034 0.0115 2.72 0.725 1.31 0.058 0.0077 0.0099 - 0.0021 0.0 Ta 0.0204 0.0274 0.0013 0.0102 0.0422 0.137 0.0125 0.0111 0.0085 - - - 0.0021 0.0 TI - - - 0.0148 0.126 - - - - 0.0021 0.0 Pb 4.66 5.57 </td <td>Fr</td> <td>143</td> <td>183</td> <td>2.28</td> <td>2 29</td> <td>0.243</td> <td>0 271</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.0038</td> <td>-</td> <td>46.7</td> <td>0.99</td> <td>0.0169</td> <td>0.0288</td> <td>0.459</td> <td>-</td>	Fr	143	183	2.28	2 29	0.243	0 271	-	0.0038	-	46.7	0.99	0.0169	0.0288	0.459	-
Yb 137 177 0.685 0.748 0.186 0.248 - 0.0023 50.9 4.24 0.033 0.055 0.728 Lu 16.6 21.63 0.0631 0.0597 0.02 0.0261 - 52.6 0.582 0.0077 0.0097 0.114 Hf 0.086 0.111 0.0222 0.29 0.0034 0.0115 2.72 0.725 1.31 0.058 0.0077 0.0097 0.114 Ta 0.0204 0.0212 0.422 0.137 0.0125 0.0111 0.0085 - - 0.0021 0.02 TI - - 0.0148 0.126 - - - 0.0021 0.0 Pb 4.66 5.57 38.3 36.6 25.55 23.42 4.65 12.17 0.941 193 4.93 341 9.33 6.4 - Th 0.3 0.073 52.6 56.8 166.7 190 0.0079<	Tm	21.53	27.81	0 191	0 175	0.0314	0.0341		0.0000	-	8.3	0.351	0.0038	0.0055	0.095	-
Lu 16.6 21.63 0.0631 0.0597 0.020 0.0261 - 5.26 0.582 0.0077 0.0097 0.114 Hf 0.086 0.111 0.0222 0.29 0.0034 0.0115 2.72 0.725 1.31 0.058 0.0077 0.0097 0.0099 - 0 0 Ta 0.0204 0.0274 0.0013 0.0102 0.4422 0.137 0.0125 0.0016 0.0111 0.0085 - - - 0.0021 0 TI - - - 0.0148 0.126 - - - - 0.0021 0 Pb 4.66 5.57 38.3 36.6 25.55 23.42 4.65 12.17 0.941 193 4.93 341 9.33 6.4 0.044 Th 0.3 0.073 52.6 58.8 166.7 190 0.0079 - 13.5 0.0208 - 0.94 0.94	Yh	137	177	0.685	0 748	0 186	0 248	-	0.0023		50.9	4 24	0.033	0.055	0.000	-
Hf 0.066 0.103 0.004 0.015 0.272 0.725 1.31 0.058 0.0076 0.0107 0.0099 - 0 Ta 0.0204 0.0274 0.0013 0.0102 0.0422 0.137 0.0125 0.0016 0.0111 0.058 0.0076 0.0107 0.0099 - 0 Ta 0.0204 0.0274 0.0013 0.0102 0.0422 0.137 0.0125 0.0016 0.0111 0.058 0.0076 0.0107 0.0029 - 0 0.021 0.0 Th - - - 0.0148 0.126 - - - - 0.0021 0.0 Pb 4.66 5.57 38.3 36.6 25.55 23.42 4.65 12.17 0.941 193 4.93 341 9.33 6.4 0.0 Th 0.3 0.073 52.6 56.8 166.7 190 0.0079 - 13.5 0.0208 <td< td=""><td>1.0</td><td>16.6</td><td>21.63</td><td>0.0631</td><td>0.0597</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.0261</td><td>-</td><td>0.0020</td><td></td><td>5 26</td><td>0.582</td><td>0.0077</td><td>0.0097</td><td>0.114</td><td>-</td></td<>	1.0	16.6	21.63	0.0631	0.0597	0.02	0.0261	-	0.0020		5 26	0.582	0.0077	0.0097	0.114	-
Ta 0.000 0.0171 0.0102 0.0422 0.137 0.0125 0.0101 0.0005 - - - 0.0021 0.0 TI - - - 0.0124 0.0137 0.0125 0.0016 0.0111 0.0085 - - - 0.0021 0.0 TI - - - 0.0148 0.126 - - - - 0.0021 0.0 Pb 4.66 5.57 38.3 36.6 25.55 23.42 4.65 12.17 0.941 193 4.93 341 9.33 6.4 - Th 0.3 0.073 52.6 56.8 166.7 190 0.0079 - 13.5 0.0208 - 0.94 0 U 6.07 11.15 152.5 162.5 590 153.6 0.129 0.16 49.2 26.4 108.4 3.31 0.51 0.93 33	Hf	0.086	0 111	0.0222	0.0001	0.0034	0.0115	2 72	0 725	1.31	0.058	0.0076	0.0107	0.0099	-	6.62
TI - - - - 0.0148 0.126 - - - 0.01 0.012 0.014 0.012 0.014 0.012 0.014 0.011 0.013 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 </td <td>Та</td> <td>0.0204</td> <td>0.0274</td> <td>0.0013</td> <td>0.0102</td> <td>0.0422</td> <td>0 137</td> <td>0.0125</td> <td>0.0016</td> <td>0.0111</td> <td>0.0085</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.0021</td> <td>0.066</td>	Та	0.0204	0.0274	0.0013	0.0102	0.0422	0 137	0.0125	0.0016	0.0111	0.0085	-	-	-	0.0021	0.066
Pb 4.66 5.57 38.3 36.6 25.55 23.42 4.65 12.17 0.941 193 4.93 341 9.33 6.4 Th 0.3 0.073 52.6 56.8 166.7 190 0.0079 - - 13.5 0.0208 - - 0.94 0 U 6.07 11.15 152.5 162.5 590 153.6 0.129 0.16 49.2 26.4 108.4 3.31 0.51 0.93 3	TI			-	-	0.0148	0.126		-	-	5.0000	-	-	-	0.0021	0.0034
Th 0.3 0.07 52.5 162.5 190 0.079 - - 13.5 0.0208 - - 0.94 0 U 6.07 11.15 152.5 162.5 590 153.6 0.129 0.16 49.2 26.4 108.4 3.31 0.51 0.93 3	Pb	4 66	5 57	38 3	36.6	25 55	23.42	4 65	12 17	0.941	193	4 93	341	9.33	6.4	17 5
U 6.07 11.15 152.5 162.5 590 153.6 0.129 0.16 49.2 26.4 108.4 3.31 0.51 0.93	Th		0.073	52.6	56.8	166.7	190	0 0079		0.341	13.5	0.0208		5.55	0.4	0.07
		6.07	11 15	152.0	162.5	500.7	153.6	0.0079	0.16	49.2	26.4	108 /	3 21	0.51	0.03	3 31
ΣIRFE 987 1159 1086 1133 307.00 346.72 0.00 0.01 0.12 510.00 1.02 0.02 0.19 47.34 4		0.07	1150	102.5	1133	307.00	346 72	0.129	0.10	49.2	510.00	1 02	0.02	0.01	47 34	0.35
EXERCISE 800 1020 1328 1356 7.56 8.24 0.00 0.01 0.12 01000 1.02 0.02 0.19 47.34 0	THREE	809	1020	132.8	135.6	7.56	8 24	0.00	0.01	0.12	411.00	8.54	0.02	0.19	5.87	0.38
	-Below detection	n limit	1020	102.0	100.0	7.00	0.24	0.00	0.01	0.02	411.00	0.04	0.00	0.10	0.07	0.01











Always consult and cite the final, published document. See http://www.minsocam.org or GeoscienceWorld





















Fig. 12