1	Passive carbon sequestration associated with wollastonite mining, Adirondack Mountains,
2	New York
3	
4	Revision 1
5	
6	Word Count: 5252
7	
8	William H. Peck, Dianne Keller, Victoria S. Arnold, Faith McDonald, Lily C. Kuentz, and Paul
9	M. Nugent
10	Department of Geology, Colgate University, Hamilton NY 13346
11	
12	Abstract
13	Crushed ore in Adirondack wollastonite mines (New York) shows textural evidence for
14	wollastonite dissolution and cementation by calcite and opal. The reaction $CaSiO_3 + CO_2 =$
15	$CaCO_3 + SiO_2$ is a model reaction for silicate weathering and carbonation that has not been
16	characterized in the field until now (outside of controlled experiments). Cemented samples from
17	the Lewis and Fox Knoll mines contain up to 3% and 6% calcite respectively, and contain
18	modern ¹⁴ C. Carbon isotope ratios have an organic signature at both mines but more strongly at
19	Lewis (δ^{13} C from -9‰ to -29‰ VPDB) which, along with observed filamentous biofilms,
20	supports a microbial role in mineralization.
21	Differences are seen between wollastonite weathering in these mines versus wollastonite
22	weathering in lab experiments and field studies of carbonate formation in other rock types.
23	Grains surrounded by reaction products reach complete dissolution here, indicating that

24	passivation by jacketing is not important at the field sites. Also, dissolved ions do not all form in-
25	situ reaction products, suggesting that solutes are leaving the system. A key finding of this study
26	is the strong organic δ^{13} C signature of calcite cements at the Lewis mine, which also show higher
27	calcite content per years of exposure compared to cements at the Fox Knoll mine. Although
28	microbial fractionation complicates isotopic assessment of atmospheric CO ₂ sequestration, our
29	findings suggest sequestration rates are enhanced by geomicrobiological activity.
30	
31	Keywords: Wollastonite weathering, Silicate carbonation, Carbon sequestration, Carbon
32	isotopes, Geomicrobiology, Acid precipitation
33	
34	Introduction
35	Silicate weathering and the subsequent formation of carbonate minerals has long been
36	known to have a first-order control on Earth's carbon cycle (Urey, 1952), and more recently
37	weathering reactions have been looked to as a method for engineered carbon sequestration
38	(Oelkers and Cole, 2008). For the carbonate-silicate geochemical cycle, silicate weathering is
39	often described by using the simplified "Urey reaction" for wollastonite weathering and
40	carbonation, as a proxy for all silicates:
41	wollastonite + carbon dioxide = calcite + quartz. (1)
42	$CaSiO_3 + CO_2 = CaCO_3 + SiO_2$
43	Wollastonite dissolution and carbonation has been investigated in the laboratory (e.g.,
44	Huijgen et al., 2006; Daval et al., 2009a,b; Schott et al., 2012; Di Lorenzo et al., 2018), and in
45	field studies on wollastonite 'liming' of watersheds and in agriculture (Shao et al., 2015; Haque
46	et al., 2020). Although this reaction is reported in the metamorphic petrology literature,

wollastonite weathering and carbonation in the field (outside of controlled experiments) has not
previously been characterized.

49 During research on wollastonite deposits in the Adirondack region of New York 50 (Barcello et al., 2018), we observed crusts of calcite-cemented crushed ore at the Lewis and Fox 51 Knoll mines, often having the aspect of concrete, and surmised that they formed via wollastonite 52 weathering according to the above reaction. Crushing enhances reaction rates and therefore, the 53 potential for C capture, which may help offset CO_2 emissions from mines (Power et al., 2013). 54 Here we show that microbially-mediated wollastonite dissolution and formation of opal and 55 calcite from atmospheric CO₂ is well developed in these, and likely other wollastonite mines. For 56 example, we also observe similar cemented mine wastes at the currently-operating Oak Hill mine 57 2.5 km east of Lewis, and the Valentine wollastonite mine in northwestern New York (Kasten et 58 al., 2022). Our results give new insights on approaches for C sequestration and the value of 59 using isotopes to enhance our understanding mineral weathering processes in natural systems. 60 61 **Geologic Setting** 62 Wollastonite ore in the Willsboro-Lewis wollastonite district occurs as coarse 63 wollastonite-garnet-pyroxene skarns found along the contact between anorthosite and country 64 rocks in the Adirondack Highlands (Whitney and Olmsted, 1998). The skarns and anorthosite are 65 thought to have formed 1155 Ma. Both were deformed and recrystallized at high grade 1090-1020 Ma (Seman et al., 2017; Peck et al., 2018b) and show low δ^{18} O indicative of meteoric 66 67 water metasomatism (Valley and O'Neil, 1981; Barcello et al., 2018). 68 The mines in this district have accounted for the majority of US wollastonite production 69 throughout the history of the industry (Robinson et al., 2006; Peck and Bailey, 2008). If the

70	existing reserve were used for carbon sequestration, these deposits represent a storage potential
71	of 2-5 Mt of CO ₂ (Lackner et al., 2012). Mining at Fox Knoll (near Willsboro) began as an open
72	pit, first intermittently from 1938–1953 and then consistently until 1960, when it moved
73	underground until 1982. The Lewis open-pit mine operated from 1980 until 2016, when
74	operations moved to Oak Hill. Although active mining has ended at both Lewis and Fox Knoll,
75	site maintenance is ongoing at both sites, and is more active at Lewis. The period of mining here
76	encompasses the peak of anthropogenic acid precipitation in northeastern America in the early
77	1970s and 1980s (often with pH $<$ 4), through a return to more natural pH levels due to US Clean
78	Air legislation in the 1990s (Likens et al., 2021). The Willsboro-Lewis region has a warm-
79	summer humid continental climate, with mean rainfall between 3.0 and 11.4 cm/month and
80	average temperatures ranging between -6.3 and 21.3°C (ncei.noaa.gov).
81	
81 82	Methods and Results
81 82 83	Methods and Results The two mines investigated show different amounts and styles of wollastonite weathering
81 82 83 84	Methods and Results The two mines investigated show different amounts and styles of wollastonite weathering and cementation. At Lewis, cemented crushed ore is mainly observed as 2–20 cm thick, hard
81 82 83 84 85	Methods and Results The two mines investigated show different amounts and styles of wollastonite weathering and cementation. At Lewis, cemented crushed ore is mainly observed as 2–20 cm thick, hard slabs in berms and on roadways, and berm-capping crusts around the ore stockpile and
81 82 83 84 85 86	Methods and Results The two mines investigated show different amounts and styles of wollastonite weathering and cementation. At Lewis, cemented crushed ore is mainly observed as 2–20 cm thick, hard slabs in berms and on roadways, and berm-capping crusts around the ore stockpile and maintenance building parking area (Figs. 1A+B). Cementation of crushed ore is more extensive
81 82 83 84 85 86 87	Methods and Results The two mines investigated show different amounts and styles of wollastonite weathering and cementation. At Lewis, cemented crushed ore is mainly observed as 2–20 cm thick, hard slabs in berms and on roadways, and berm-capping crusts around the ore stockpile and maintenance building parking area (Figs. 1A+B). Cementation of crushed ore is more extensive at Fox Knoll, with cemented berm caps up to 30 cm thick, consisting of a crusted mixture of
81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88	Methods and Results The two mines investigated show different amounts and styles of wollastonite weathering and cementation. At Lewis, cemented crushed ore is mainly observed as 2–20 cm thick, hard slabs in berms and on roadways, and berm-capping crusts around the ore stockpile and maintenance building parking area (Figs. 1A+B). Cementation of crushed ore is more extensive at Fox Knoll, with cemented berm caps up to 30 cm thick, consisting of a crusted mixture of crushed ore with some clasts of Proterozoic bedrock (Fig. 1C). In addition, distinct irregular
 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 	Methods and Results The two mines investigated show different amounts and styles of wollastonite weathering and cementation. At Lewis, cemented crushed ore is mainly observed as 2–20 cm thick, hard slabs in berms and on roadways, and berm-capping crusts around the ore stockpile and maintenance building parking area (Figs. 1A+B). Cementation of crushed ore is more extensive at Fox Knoll, with cemented berm caps up to 30 cm thick, consisting of a crusted mixture of crushed ore with some clasts of Proterozoic bedrock (Fig. 1C). In addition, distinct irregular cemented lumps of aggregate are present on the Fox Knoll quarry floor (Fig. 1D). 1–1.5 m deep
 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 	Methods and Results The two mines investigated show different amounts and styles of wollastonite weathering and cementation. At Lewis, cemented crushed ore is mainly observed as 2–20 cm thick, hard slabs in berms and on roadways, and berm-capping crusts around the ore stockpile and maintenance building parking area (Figs. 1A+B). Cementation of crushed ore is more extensive at Fox Knoll, with cemented berm caps up to 30 cm thick, consisting of a crusted mixture of crushed ore with some clasts of Proterozoic bedrock (Fig. 1C). In addition, distinct irregular cemented lumps of aggregate are present on the Fox Knoll quarry floor (Fig. 1D). 1–1.5 m deep pits dug into berms at both sites showed that the bulk of the ore stockpile material is loose and

92	Quantitative mineralogy, reported as weight %, was determined by RockJock analysis of
93	X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns after Eberl (2003) (See Supplementary Materials ¹). Except for
94	one sample with 18% wollastonite, uncemented ore stockpile samples at Lewis contain 29-51%
95	wollastonite (Table 1), significantly more than cemented samples, which range from 7–21%.
96	Cemented ore calcite (0.7–2.8%) and opal (0.2–0.7%) contents at Lewis are higher than in
97	uncemented ore, where they are present in only trace amounts (both average $\leq 0.5\%$).
98	Uncemented ore at Fox Knoll has 23-43% wollastonite, 1.6-1.9% calcite, and 0.1-0.4% opal
99	(Table 1). As at Lewis, Fox Knoll cemented ore contains more calcite (1.8–6.1%) and opal (0.3–
100	1.7%) than uncemented samples. Calcite and opal contents in cemented Fox Knoll samples are
101	highly correlated to each other ($r^2=0.96$, $n=6$), and show a weak negative correlation to
102	wollastonite content ($r^2=0.60$ for calcite and 0.66 for opal). Plotted together, calcite and opal
103	contents for all samples from both sites also show a strong positive correlation ($r^2=0.82$, $n=36$;
104	Fig. 3).
105	Crushed ore at both sites consists mostly of sub-cm-sized angular clasts of wollastonite,

106 garnet, and pyroxene mixed with finer gravel through mud-size materials. Calcite and opal 107 precipitates coat mineral fragments and spread into pore spaces in cemented samples (Figs. 108 2A+B). Wollastonite commonly shows textural evidence for dissolution, partially controlled by 109 cleavage, leaving behind ribcage-like precipitated opal and/or calcite stockworks (Figs. 2B+C). 110 Pore spaces are decorated by ridged skins and lepidospheres (ie. spherical aggregates) of opal 111 and calcite precipitates (Fig. 2D), and a euhedral platy phase not detected by XRD (Figs. 2D+E). 112 Preliminary Raman spectroscopy indicates this platy phase may be the biomineral brushite. 113 Alternatively, an additional calcium hydroxide or calcium oxalate may be present. In many 114 samples, fixed with glutaraldehyde for imaging, minerals are coated with what appear to be

115	biofilms with associated filamentous material (Fig. 2F). Calcium-silicate-hydrate (CSH) gel
116	alteration products that have been described in carbonation of other calc-silicate minerals (e.g.
117	Milodowski et al., 2011), were not observed.
118	Stable isotopes of calcite were measured using dual-inlet gas mass spectrometry, and
119	accelerator mass spectrometry provided ¹⁴ C contents for select samples. Oxygen isotope ratios
120	for both mines span from 15.3 to 23.8‰ VSMOW, and do not correlate with δ^{13} C or mineralogy.
121	Carbon isotopes vary by deposit, ranging from -6.3 to -11.7‰ at Fox Knoll and -9.3 to -29.5‰
122	VPDB at Lewis (Fig. 3). Fox Knoll δ^{13} C values are relatively homogenous (1 σ =±1.4‰), and do
123	not correlate with mineralogy. At Lewis, $\delta^{13}C$ correlates with calcite and opal content, and
124	samples with the lowest δ^{13} C have the most modern C (F ¹⁴ C).
125	
126	Discussion
127	Evidence From Mineralogy and Textures
128	Wollastonite dissolution in crushed ores from Lewis is most strongly evident in the
129	cemented samples, which average 12 wt. % less wollastonite than uncemented samples.
130	However, this trend is not seen for Fox Knoll. For both sites, wollastonite contents vary widely
131	in uncemented samples but are more consistent in cemented samples, which average $17.7\pm5.8\%$
132	(1 σ) at Lewis and 39.2±5.1% at Fox Knoll. These averages represent losses of 65% and 40%
133	respectively, relative to average wollastonite contents of the original ore bodies, (\approx 50% at Lewis,
134	\approx 65% at Fox Knoll; Fig. 3A). Less calcite and opal are present than is predicted from this
135	amount of wollastonite dissolution (Fig. 3A), but the strong correlation of calcite and opal
136	contents along with their observed textural associations with wollastonite support that they
137	formed via reaction (1). The ca. 20-25% calcite 'missing' from stoichiometric wollastonite

weathering presumably left the system as aqueous Ca^{2+} and HCO_3^{-} to potentially precipitate as soil carbonates, be biomineralized by terrestrial and freshwater biota, or eventually form marine carbonate.

141 On average, cemented samples from both Fox Knoll and Lewis contain more calcite 142 (3.4% at Fox Knoll; 1.5% at Lewis) than opal (0.7% at Fox Knoll; 0.4% at Lewis). Although our 143 study did not include solution chemistry, calcite's greater abundance over opal in these samples 144 suggests more Si is leaving the system than Ca. This mineralogic finding parallels Ca and Si 145 fluxes observed in forested watersheds limed with wollastonite, where 11 years after liming, 146 4.8% of the Ca and 17% of the Si had left the watershed (Shao et al., 2015). Mean Ca:Si ratios 147 from that study of 0.1–0.3 for soil solutions and 0.3 in stream water coincide with the 148 opal:calcite ratio of 0.1 for uncemented samples and 0.3 for crusts in this study, suggesting that 149 the fluxes and mineralization related to wollastonite weathering in these two distinct natural 150 settings seem to be similar.

151 It is difficult to quantify mineralization rates, especially at Lewis, because ongoing work 152 at the site make it difficult to know the age of different cemented features. For example, the in-153 situ cemented roadway sampled in 2018 (Fig. 1b) was buried by grading at the mine during the 154 next year; however, many of the berms did not appear to have been disturbed. Satellite imagery 155 does offer evidence that some of the stockpiles may have been in place at Lewis in 2006, but 156 satellite imagery does not offer similar insights for Fox Knoll. Although some of the cement 157 probably formed previous to each mine's respective closing, for consistency's sake, 158 mineralization rates were estimated using the end of the mining at each site (37 years prior to 159 sampling at Fox Knoll, and 2 years prior to sampling at Lewis). These calculations yield upper 160 limits of 0.09%/yr calcite and 0.02%/yr opal formation rates at Fox Knoll and 0.75%/yr calcite

161 and 0.22%/yr opal at Lewis. Note that these calculations are for cemented samples only and are 162 not representative of the unconsolidated material constituting the bulk of the two deposits. These 163 rates are comparable to preliminary results from a 19.5 month rooftop weathering experiment 164 using Lewis stockpile materials which yielded calcite production rates from pure wollastonite 165 ranging from 0.33–0.72%/yr for a fine sand fraction, and from 0.03–0.29%/yr in the medium-166 coarse sand fraction (Kasten et al., 2022). 167 Textural evidence shows thin calcite and opal bands that coat wollastonite in samples 168 from both sites, often in multiple cycles of alternating layers (Fig. 2A), resembling those seen in 169 experiments (e.g. Daval et al., 2009b). However, preferential dissolution or secondary calcite + 170 silica formation on particular crystallographic faces (ie. Di Lorenzo et al., 2018) were not 171 observed. In lab experiments using aqueous solutions (Daval et al., 2009a; Di Lorenzo et al., 172 2018), it was determined that dissolution is a rate-limiting step, and passivation of wollastonite 173 by reaction products (jacketing) can largely halt the reaction and carbonation. The level of 174 passivation in our field samples is hard to assess from textural observations, but it likely is not 175 strong here because discontinuous rims of calcite and opal are more commonly observed, and 176 fully-jacketed grains with little to no remaining wollastonite are also seen (Fig. 2C). This 177 suggests that a passivation control on wollastonite dissolution kinetics as seen in experiments is 178 not a feature of wollastonite weathering in the field. 179 Another feature seen in low-pH wollastonite dissolution experiments (e.g., Schott et al., 180 2012) that was not observed in our field samples is the formation of leached layers due to 181 incongruent dissolution. Although dissolution features are evident in our samples (Figs. 2A–C),

182 they do not include the crazing or spallation that accompany leached-layer formation in

183 experiments at pH <4 (Schott et al., 2012), and leached zones are not seen in X-ray element

maps. Observed features are more consistent with congruent wollastonite dissolution, which
Schott et al. (2012) found to occur at pH >4. Soil pH measurements performed on berm pit
samples from Lewis, range from 7.0-8.0, supporting the presence of circumneutral conditions in
the stockpiles.

188

189 Evidence from Isotopes

Stable isotope and ¹⁴C measurements of calcite from these sites are consistent with recent 190 191 cementation in the crushed ores and are not related to the orebody lithologies. Oxygen isotopes are distinctly heavier than the low δ^{18} O values of silicates in these deposits (3.5 to -2.1%); Valley 192 193 and O'Neil 1982; Barcello et al., 2018). Instead, oxygen isotope ratios more closely resemble 194 values found in soil carbonate in New York and elsewhere (e.g., Quade and Cerling 1993), and 195 are also consistent with calcite formation from local rainwater at ambient temperatures. 196 Calculations of calcite in equilibrium with monthly regional precipitation (Bowen, 2022) at a 197 range of average monthly temperatures (1981–2010 from ncei.noaa.gov, calculated using Kim and O'Neil, 1997) yield δ^{18} O values that range from 17.0 to 25.3‰, which compares well with 198 the measured $\delta^{18}O(\text{calcite})$ values of 15.3 to 23.8‰ for these sites. 199 200 Carbon isotope ratios at both mines are distinctly lighter from the minor lithologic sources of C in mine rocks (Fig 3D). Rare calcite veins at Lewis have $\delta^{13}C = -3.1$ to -2.7%201 (unpub. data), and marble from Lewis and Willsboro drill cores has $\delta^{13}C = -3.6$ to -0.4% (Fig 3; 202 203 Valley and O'Neil 1982, and unpublished data for Lewis), which is typical for Adirondack 204 marbles (Kitchen and Valley, 1995). 205 The main differences in isotopic signatures at Lewis and Fox Knoll are related to their

206 carbon isotopes. At Lewis, δ^{13} C ratios are the lightest reported for calcite in the Adirondacks,

207	and they correlate with % calcite and % opal, with the most-cemented samples having the lowest
208	$\delta^{13}C$ (Fig 3C). These lowest $\delta^{13}C$ values also correspond with the highest fraction of modern C
209	(F ¹⁴ C; Fig 3E), suggesting there is an older C source with δ^{13} C \approx -9‰ dominating the less
210	cemented samples, and a more recent source with $\delta^{13}C\!\!\approx$ -26‰ that is most prominent in well-
211	cemented samples. Fox Knoll samples have a more homogenous $\delta^{13}C \approx$ -7.5‰. These distinct
212	δ^{13} C signatures likely reflect differences in mineralization at the two mines.
213	The very low δ^{13} C of calcite at Lewis is notable. Values <-20‰ are rare in analogous
214	settings, such as soil carbonate, speleothems or secondary copper carbonates that typically accrue
215	low δ^{13} C from organic C (e.g., Quade and Cerling 1993; Melchiorre et al., 1999). The lack of
216	soil development and scant vegetation on stockpiles and within the pits (Fig. 1) suggest that soil

218 microbial activity and, along with the filamentous biofilms observed in cemented samples, point

C is not abundant in mine samples. However, δ^{13} C values <-20% are commonly associated with

to a potentially important microbial role in wollastonite dissolution and calcite formation at

220 Lewis (e.g., Xiao et al., 2015).

217

If these low δ^{13} C values are microbial in origin, the δ^{13} C of the two sites suggest that 221 222 microbes played an active role in weathering and calcite formation at Lewis but to a lesser extent 223 at Fox Knoll. This is plausible given the timing of mining at each site relative to the height of 224 acid precipitation and its well-documented toxic impacts on lake and forest ecosystems in the 225 Adirondacks. Microfauna studies have shown that low pH conditions and their associated S and 226 N compounds decrease microbial productivity (e.g., Baker et al., 1982; Shah et al., 2019). Other 227 studies have shown that SO₂ inhibits the activity of carbonic anhydrase (CA) (e.g., Rowlett et 228 al.,1991), a biotic enzyme that regulates carbonic acid production and carbonate mineralization (e.g., Xiao et al., 2015). 229

230 The heyday of mining at Fox Knoll spanned the peak of strong acid precipitation, which 231 could have suppressed both microbial productivity and the activity of microbial CA. If so, at 232 least some wollastonite weathering at Fox Knoll perhaps would have occurred abiotically under acidic conditions, resulting in higher δ^{13} C values. Conversely, most mining at Lewis took place 233 234 after Clean Air legislation was in place, potentially allowing microbial populations and their role 235 in wollastonite dissolution and carbonation to rebuild. Such a recovery is supported by Lui et al. 236 (2020), who saw a recovery of microbial populations over time after simulated acid rain 237 treatments were stopped. Microbial communities and their associated CA have been seen to 238 enhance wollastonite dissolution (Xiao, 2015). CA accelerates CO₂ hydration rates, and when 239 calcium is present in solutions with $pH \ge 7$ (as seen here), it readily combines with hydrated CO₂ 240 to precipitate as $CaCO_3$ (Kim et al., 2012).

An alternate interpretation of very low δ^{13} C is important to evaluate. Similar values have 241 been reported in carbonate minerals from highly alkaline waters, especially in anthropogenic 242 243 settings such as weathering concrete, slag, and incinerator ash (see Fléhoc et al., 2006). Water in 244 equilibrium with wollastonite at ambient conditions has pH =10.7 (Huijgen et al., 2006), so pore 245 water in crushed wollastonite ore could potentially be quite alkaline. However, calcite formed from highly alkaline waters is characterized by strongly correlated δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O depletions 246 247 $(R^2>0.9$ in many studies; Fig. 4A) caused primarily by kinetic isotope effects related to 248 hydroxylation reactions (Dietzel et al., 1992). Although some overlap in isotope ratios occurs between some of these studies and our data, our δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O values are not correlated and 249 250 therefore, are likely not caused by these kinetic effects. 251 Carbonate precipitation from moderately alkaline waters (pH= 8 to 11) has also been

252 observed to result in low δ^{13} C values for carbonate minerals, while oxygen isotope ratios show

253 equilibrium with the supernatant under these conditions (e.g. O'Neil and Barnes, 1971). In these 254 settings, carbon isotope fractionation is attributed to kinetic effects related to atmospheric CO₂ 255 diffusion into solution (Dietzel et al., 1992; Wilson et al., 2010). Although this isotope effect 256 could explain some of the carbon isotope depletions we observe at our field sites, there are important differences. Field examples of this style of carbonation yield δ^{13} C values of 257 258 carbonates (typically > -15‰; O'Neil and Barnes, 1971; Dietzel et al., 1992) that are not as low 259 as those we observe at Lewis. Additionally, experiments showing these isotope effects at a moderately alkaline pH yield a negative trend between δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O for carbonate (in this case 260 261 dypingite formation; Wilson et al., 2010), a relationship which is not observed in our data. 262 Finally, if this were the mechanism controlling the carbon isotope fractionation at our sites, it is unclear why Fox Knoll calcite (with less negative and more constrained δ^{13} C values of -6 to -263 12‰) would show such different kinetic isotope effects from Lewis calcite (with δ^{13} C values 264 265 ranging from -9 to -30‰), considering both mines are proximately located and contain nearly 266 identical wollastonite ore. Several case studies of weathering and C capture over the past decade have examined 267 268 deposits in ultramafic rocks (Fig. 4B). Secondary carbonates in most of these studies have high δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O in equilibrium with surface waters and atmospheric CO₂, sometimes kinetically 269 270 modified or mixed with lithologic O and C. However, one ultramafic deposit, the Diavik diamond mine, does show very low δ^{13} C in secondary carbonates associated with sewage water 271 disposal ($\delta^{13}C = -26.3$ to -13.0%; Wilson et al., 2011). The authors note that this ¹³C depletion 272 273 may be caused by 1) an organic source of the carbon (ie., the sewage) or 2) kinetic effects in a pH>11 solution, as further supported by a correlation between δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O there (e.g., compare 274 Figs. 4A and 4B). Although the very low δ^{13} C in Diavik samples may point to possible 275

276 microbial C isotope fractionation, similar to what we are proposing for Lewis, carbon isotope
277 ratios this low are not observed at other studied (see Fig 4B) ultramafic-hosted deposits.

278

279

Implications

The magnitude of anthropogenic CO_2 emissions and its impact on climate suggests that a variety of sequestration strategies are likely to be used over coming decades (Oelkers and Cole, 2008). Carbonation of mine wastes as a strategy for CO_2 capture mainly has been studied in ultramafic lithologies. Power et al. (2013) estimate that with engineered C capture, mine wastes have the potential to sequester ca. 600 Mt CO_2 /yr worldwide, a possibility that is largely unrealized. Based on this 'accidental' C capture experiment, our wollastonite mine data may inform engineering approaches to carbonation of a variety of rock types.

287 Weathering under the climatic conditions of northeastern North America causes extensive 288 wollastonite dissolution, and stable isotopes can be used to fingerprint C capture. Textural association of calcite with wollastonite, modern F¹⁴C values, and calcite's rarity in deposit rocks 289 allows the $\delta^{13}C$ of sequestered C to be assessed directly at Lewis and Fox Knoll. Carbon isotopes 290 291 point towards the importance of microbial C isotope fractionation at Lewis. Our findings of the very low δ^{13} C associated with biofilms and other organic features in these ore stockpiles help to 292 293 support the potential for biologically enhancing C sequestration during silicate weathering, and 294 the potential role of acid precipitation in influencing sequestration pathways.

- 295
- 296

Acknowledgments

This paper is in memory of Bruce Selleck, who first noticed cemented ore at Lewis. We
thank Dave Marek, Lin Xia, and Kaley Basile of NYCO Minerals for mine access and hosting us

299	during visits. Mineral modes were recalculated using RockJockML by Mateo Inoa and Sadie
300	Kasten. Rebecca Metzler is thanked for measurement of Raman spectra. The Douglas W.
301	Rankin '53 Endowment supported Arnold, McDonald, Kuentz, and Nugent. Project support
302	came from the Malcolm '54 and Sylvia Boyce Fund for Geology Research at Colgate University.
303	We thank Sean Brennan and an anonymous reviewer for detailed comments on this manuscript,
304	and Sasha Wilson for helpful discussion.
305	
306	References cited
307	Baker, M.D., Inniss, W.E., Mayfield, C.I., and Wong, P.T.S. (1982) Effect of pH on the growth
308	and activity of heterotrophic sediment microorganisms. Chemosphere, 11, 973-983.
309	
310	Barcello, J.C., Daggett, L.H., Peck, W.H., and Valley, J.W. (2018) Low oxygen isotope ratios in
311	garnet from the Lewis deposit, Adirondack Highlands. Geological Society of America Abstracts
312	with Programs, 50(2), 10.1130/abs/2018NE-311021.
313	
314	Bowen, G.J. (2017) The Online Isotopes in Precipitation Calculator, version 3.1.
315	http://www.waterisotopes.org.
316	
317	Cerling, T.E., and Quade, J. (1993) Stable carbon and oxygen Isotopes in soil carbonates. In P.K.
318	Swart, K.C. Lohmann, K. McKenzie, and S. Savin, Eds., Climate Change in Continental Isotopic
319	Records, American Geophysical Union Geophysical Monograph Series Vol. 78, pp. 217–231.
320	

- 321 Daval, D., Martinez, I., Corvisier, J., Findling, N., Goffé, B., and Guyot, F. (2009a) Carbonation
- 322 of Ca-bearing silicates, the case of wollastonite: Experimental investigations and kinetic
- 323 modeling. Chemical Geology, 265, 63–78.
- 324
- 325 Daval, D., Martinez, I., Guigner, J.-M., Hellmann, R., Corvisier, J., Findling, N., Dominici, C.,
- 326 Goffe, B., and Guyot, F. (2009b) Mechanism of wollastonite carbonation deduced from micro- to
- 327 nanometer length scale observations. American Mineralogist, 94, 1707–1726.
- 328
- 329 Di Lorenzo, F., Ruiz-Agudo, C., Ibañez-Velasco, A., Gil-San Millán, R., Navarro, J., Ruiz-
- 330 Agudo, E., and Rodriguez-Navarro, C. (2018) The carbonation of wollastonite: A model reaction
- to test natural and biomimetic catalysts for enhanced CO₂ sequestration. Minerals, 8, 209.
- 332
- Eberl, D.D. (2003) User's Guide to RockJock A Program for Determining Quantitative
- Mineralogy from Powder X-Ray Diffraction Data, US Geological Survey Open-File Report
 2003–78., 47 p.
- 336
- Fléhoc, C., Girard, J.-P., Piantone, P., and Bodénan, F. (2006) Stable isotope evidence for the
 atmospheric origin of CO₂ involved in carbonation of MSWI bottom ash. Applied Geochemistry,
- 33921, 2037–2048.
- 340
- 341 Gras, A., Beaudoin, G., Molson, J., and Plante, B. (2020) Atmospheric carbon sequestration in
- 342 ultramafic mining residues and impacts on leachate water chemistry at the Dumont Nickel
- 343 Project, Quebec, Canada. Chemical Geology, 546, 119661.

344

345	Haque, F., Santos, R.M., and Chiang, Y.W. (2020) CO ₂ sequestration by wollastonite-amended
346	agricultural soils – An Ontario field study. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 97,
347	103017.
348	
349	Huijgen, W.J.J., Witkamp, GJ., and Comans, R.N.J. (2006) Mechanisms of aqueous
350	wollastonite carbonation as a possible CO ₂ sequestration process. Chemical Engineering Science,
351	61, 4242–4251.
352	
353	Kasten, S., Inoa, M., Keller, D., and Peck, W. (2022) Weathering and carbon sequestration at
354	New York wollastonite mines. Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, 54(3),
355	10.1130/abs/2022NE-374686.
356	
357	Kim, ST., and O'Neil, J.R. (1997) Equilibrium and nonequilibrium oxygen isotope effects in
358	synthetic carbonates. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 61, 3461-3475.
359	
360	Kitchen, N.E., and Valley, J.W. (1995) Carbon isotope thermometry in marbles of the
361	Adirondack Mountains, New York. Journal of Metamorphic Geology, 13, 577-594.
362	
363	Lackner, K.S., Park, AH.A., and Zhao, H. (2012) Disposing of Greenhouse Gases through
364	Mineralization Using the Wollastonite Deposits of New York State, New York State Energy
365	Research and Development Authority Final Report 12-14., 28 p.
366	

367	Likens, G.E., Butler, T.J., Claybrooke, R., Vermeylen, F., and Larson, R. (2021) Long-term
368	monitoring of precipitation chemistry in the U.S.: Insights into changes and condition.
369	Atmospheric Environment, 245, 118031.
370	
371	Melchiorre, E.B., Criss, R.E., and Rose, T.P. (2000) Oxygen and carbon isotope study of natural
372	and synthetic azurite. Economic Geology, 95, 621–628.
373	
374	Milodowski, A.E., Rochelle, C.A., Lacinska, A., and Wagner, D. (2011) A natural analogue
375	study of CO ₂ -cement interaction: Carbonation of calcium silicate hydrate-bearing rocks from
376	Northern Ireland. Energy Procedia, 4, 5235–5242.
377	
378	Oelkers, E.H., and Cole, D.R. (2008) Carbon dioxide sequestration a solution to a global
379	problem. Elements, 4, 305–310.
380	
381	O'Neil, J.R., and Barnes, I. (1971). C ¹³ and O ¹⁸ compositions in some fresh-water carbonates
382	associated with ultramafic rocks and serpentinites: Western United States. Geochimica et
383	Cosmochimica Acta, 35, 687–697.
384	
385	Oskierski, H.C., Dlugogorski, B.Z., and Jacobsen, G. (2013) Sequestration of atmospheric CO ₂
386	in chrysotile mine tailings of the Woodsreef Asbestos Mine, Australia: Quantitative mineralogy,
387	isotopic fingerprinting and carbonation rates. Chemical Geology, 358, 156-169.
388	

389	Peck, W.H., and Bailey, E. (2008) Origin of the Lewis wollastonite deposit. In B.W. Selleck,
390	Ed., Field Trip Guidebook for 80th annual meeting of the New York State Geological
391	Association, Lake George, New York, Colgate University pp. 130-135.
392	
393	Peck, W.H., DeAngelis, M.T., Meredith, M.T., and Morin, E. (2005) Polymetamorphism of
394	marbles in the Morin terrane, Grenville Province, Quebec. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences,
395	42, 1949–1965.
396	
397	Peck, W.H., Selleck, B.W., Regan, S.P., Howard, G.E., and Kozel, O.O. (2018) In-situ dating of
398	metamorphism in Adirondack anorthosite. American Mineralogist, 103, 1523-1529.
399	
400	Power, I.M., Harrison, A.L., Dipple, G.M., Wilson, S.A., Kelemen, P.B., Hitch, M., and
401	Southam, G. (2013) Carbon mineralization: From natural analogues to engineered systems.
402	Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 77, 305–360.
403	
404	Robinson, S., Santini, K., and Moroney, J. (2006) Wollastonite. In J.E. Kogel, N.C. Trivedi, J.M.
405	Barker, and S.T. Krukowski, Eds., Industrial Minerals & Rocks: Commodities, Markets, and
406	Uses, Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration pp. 1027–1037. Littleton, Colo.
407	
408	Rowlett, R.S., Gargiulo, N.J., Santoli, F.A., Jackson, J.M., and Corbett, A.H. (1991) Activation
409	and inhibition of bovine carbonic anhydrase III by dianions. Journal of Biological Chemistry,
410	266, 933–941.
411	

$\exists 12$ Denote, J_{11} Denote, J_{11} Denote, J_{11} Denote, I_{11} , and reference and I_{11}

- 413 Formation, growth and transformation of leached layers during silicate minerals dissolution: The
- 414 example of wollastonite. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 98, 259–281.
- 415
- Seman, S., Stockli, D.F., and McLean, N.M. (2017) U-Pb geochronology of grossular-andradite
 garnet. Chemical Geology, 460, 106–116.
- 418
- 419 Shah, T., Shah, Z., Shah, S.A.A., and Ahmad, N. (1970) Nitrogen mineralization and microbial
- 420 activity as influenced by sulfur sources in an alkaline calcareous soil. Journal of Scientific
- 421 Agriculture, 14–18.
- 422
- 423 Shao, S., Driscoll, C.T., Johnson, C.E., Fahey, T.J., Battles, J.J., and Blum, J.D. (2016) Long-
- 424 term responses in soil solution and stream-water chemistry at Hubbard Brook after experimental

425 addition of wollastonite. Environmental Chemistry, 13, 528.

426

- 429
- 430 Valley, J.W., and O'Neil, J.R. (1982) Oxygen isotope evidence for shallow emplacement of
- 431 Adirondack anorthosite. Nature, 300, 497–500.
- 432

⁴²⁷ Urey, H. C. (1952) The Planets: Their Origin and Development. Yale Univ. Press, New Haven,
428 Conn.

433	Whitney, P.R., and Olmsted, J.F. (1998) Rare earth element metasomatism in hydrothermal
434	systems: the Willsboro-Lewis wollastonite ores, New York, USA. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
435	Acta, 62, 2965–2977.

436

- 437 Wilson, S.A., Barker, S.L., Dipple, G.M., and Atudorei, V. (2010). Isotopic disequilibrium
- 438 during uptake of atmospheric CO₂ into mine process waters: Implications for CO₂ sequestration.
- 439 Environmental Science & Technology, 44, 9522-9529.

440

- 441 Wilson, S.A., Dipple, G.M., Power, I.M., Thom, J.M., Anderson, R.G., Raudsepp, M., Gabites,
- 442 J.E., and Southam, G. (2009) Carbon dioxide fixation within mine wastes of ultramafic-hosted
- 443 ore feposits: Examples from the Clinton Creek and Cassiar chrysotile deposits, Canada.
- 444 Economic Geology, 104, 95–112.
- 445
- 446 Wilson, S.A., Dipple, G.M., Power, I.M., Barker, S.L.L., Fallon, S.J., and Southam, G. (2011)
- 447 Subarctic Weathering of Mineral Wastes Provides a Sink for Atmospheric CO₂. Environmental
- 448 Science & Technology, 45, 7727–7736.

449

- 450 Wilson, S.A., Harrison, A.L., Dipple, G.M., Power, I.M., Barker, S.L.L., Ulrich Mayer, K.,
- 451 Fallon, S.J., Raudsepp, M., and Southam, G. (2014) Offsetting of CO₂ emissions by air capture
- 452 in mine tailings at the Mount Keith Nickel Mine, Western Australia: Rates, controls and
- 453 prospects for carbon neutral mining. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 25, 121–

454 140.

455

456	Xiao, L., Lian, B., Hao, J., Liu, C., and Wang, S. (2015) Effect of carbonic anhydrase on silicate
457	weathering and carbonate formation at present day CO2 concentrations compared to primordial
458	values. Scientific Reports, 5, 7733.
459	
460	Figure captions
461	Figure 1. Field photos of cemented crushed wollastonite ore, showing % calcite, δ^{13} C (VPDB),
462	and % modern carbon (F ¹⁴ C). (A) Cemented hardpan at Lewis. (B) Berm cap crust at Lewis. (C)
463	Berm cap crust at Fox Knoll. Scale bar = 10 cm. (D) Cemented boulder in Fox Knoll open pit.
464	Note the scant vegetation in all photos.
465	
466	Figure 2. Representative textures in cemented wollastonite ores. (A) Backscattered electron
467	(BSE) image of calcite (C) & opal (S) rinds on wollastonite (W). (B) BSE image of cleavage and
468	pore-filling calcite and opal cements. (C) BSE image of opal & calcite stockworks on highly
469	dissolved wollastonite. (D & E) Secondary electron (SE) images of ridged calcite & opal
470	lepidospheres & coatings, (E) also shows the platy mineral. (F) SE image of biofilms and organic
471	filaments.
472	
473	Figure 3. Mineralogy, stable isotope ratios, and ¹⁴ C of crushed ore samples from the Lewis and
474	Fox Knoll mines. Arrows show the stoichiometry of reaction (1).
475	
476	Figure 4. Stable isotopes of calcite cements in crushed wollastonite ores compared to other
477	secondary carbonates and carbonate reservoirs (A) Adirondack marbles and isotope effects
478	commonly observed in secondary and anthropogenic calcites (after Kitchen and Valley, 1995;

- 479 Melchiorre et al., 1999; Fléhoc et al., 2006). (B) Secondary carbonates at select ultramafic-
- 480 hosted mineral deposits (Wilson et al., 2009; 2011; 2014; Oskierski et al., 2013; Gras et al.,
- 481 2017).
- 482
- 483

Table 1. Mineralogy and stable isotopes of cemented wollastonite from the Lewis and Fox Knoll wollastonite mines.

N N	Sample	Calcite	Opal	Wollastonite	Garnet	Pyroxene	Quartz	Plagioclase	Kspar	δ ¹⁸ 0	δ ¹³ C	F(¹⁴ C)	
Cemented samples from the Levix Mine. Let 44 3031, Long -73.6139, WGS84 PB183 C 1.67 0.58 0.68 0.78 15.51 2.02.5 PB183 C 1.67 0.50 17.7 0.50 17.7 0.50 17.7 0.50 17.7 0.5 0.5 17.7 0.5 0.5 17.7 0.5 0.5 17.7 0.5 0.5 17.7 0.5 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 17.7 0.5 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.7 0 0.5 0.7 0 0.5 0 0 0 0		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	(VSMOW)	(VPDB)		
PEB3 C0.160.300.340.420.210.250.380.780.151.5.342.72.3PEB3 C1.570.561.7.20.7.40.481.690.573.561.5.41.5.342.5.3PEB3 C2.820.561.7.20.7.40.481.0.20.000.241.7.592.1.44PEB3 C1.470.257.1.09.381.0.90.000.261.7.872.2.541.0.023PEB3 C1.470.230.004.507.51.5.41.7.870.7.851.5.41.7.870.7.85Lewis More or solvell-terr transect Lat4.3.49.3.80.7.80.000.260.1.5.41.1.850.7.45 0.7.45LeP18 D-100.30.103.4.40.203.70.000.160.001.5.41.3.51.5.51.3.6LEP18 D-100.40.103.4.40.203.70.000.160.001.5.41.3.61.5.5LEP18 D-100.40.044.2.51.5.50.000.210.020.1.61.5.61.3.61.5.5LEP18 D-100.40.041.4.51.5.51.5.51.5.61.5.51.5.61.5.5 <td< td=""><td colspan="13">Cemented samples from the Lewis Mine. Lat 44.3031, Long -73.6139, WGS84</td></td<>	Cemented samples from the Lewis Mine. Lat 44.3031, Long -73.6139, WGS84												
PEB18 2 C 1.6 0.50 20.63 7.4.7 2.50 0.02 0.00 1.9 1.5.4 2.5.3 PEB18 3 C 1.5.8 0.56 10.66 2.5.7 8.0.8 1.2.8 0.44 4.1.8 20.44 2.5.6 1.0.9.3 -2.4.4 PEB18 5 C 0.67 0.7.4 7.9.5.8 1.0.9.8 0.01 0.02 0.24 1.7.57 2.8.6 1.0.25 2 -/- 0.0.038 Use: Mine oras technique here transmass 7.4.4 7.9.5 1.0.7 2.3.0 0.3.0 1.5.4 1.1.8 0.7.44.5 +/- 0.0.028 LEP18 10-10 0.3.6 0.7.4 4.5.0 2.5.7 0.00 0.2.7 0.3.0 1.7.4 1.3.0 LEP18 10-10 0.4 0.4 6.6.6 5.7.6 3.0.2 0.00 0.2 0.2 1.7.7 1.7.4 1.3.0 LEP18 10-10 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4	PB18 1 C	0.83	0.16	9.03	82.36	6.21	0.25	0.38	0.78	15.51	-20.25		
Pits 2 Pits 4 Pits	PB18 2 C	1.67	0.50	20.63	74.47	2.50	0.02	0.00	0.19	15.34	-25.33		
PH18 4 C 0.6 0	PB18 3 C	1.58	0.56	17.72	67.84	6.48	1.69	0.57	3.56	21.91	-19.30		
Pi18 5 C0.767.1080.461.020.240.000.2417.59-11.44LEW 101.470.557.147.980.980.000.2617.87-28.500.052 <	PB18 4 C	2.82	0.65	19.68	62.87	8.08	1.28	0.44	4.18	20.84	-25.54	1.0381 +/- 0.0039	
IALE WIG Lew Kinder or Stroke0.749.780.980.010.000.761.7.87-0.8501.0.003Like Kinder or Stroke7-3.147-3.147-3.147-3.151.1.850.7.445 +/- 0.0028LIP18 1-00.360.103.446.513.570.000.230.1215.54-1.1.850.7.445 +/- 0.0028LIP18 1-5.00.340.103.446.503.750.260.190.31LIP18 3-5.00.040.052.7.66.603.750.260.190.31LIP18 3-5.00.052.7.66.603.750.260.390.21LIP18 3-5.00.040.052.7.67.6.144.330.020.31LIP18 3-0.050.321.7.77.6.14X.300.020.31 </td <td>PB18 5 C</td> <td>0.67</td> <td>0.26</td> <td>7.10</td> <td>80.46</td> <td>11.02</td> <td>0.24</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.24</td> <td>17.59</td> <td>-21.44</td> <td></td>	PB18 5 C	0.67	0.26	7.10	80.46	11.02	0.24	0.00	0.24	17.59	-21.44		
Levix Number 7 ar Lisk 3 WOSK UNDE 7 ar Lisk 3. WOSK UNDE 7 ar	18LEW1 C	1.47	0.56	7.14	79.58	10.98	0.01	0.00	0.26	17.87	-28.50	1.0252 +/- 0.0038	
LiP18 b00.390.230.200.3015.54-11.850.7445 +/- 0.0028LiP18 b1-00.360.103.446.573.020.000.160.0017.41-13.05LiP18 b2-00.440.404.545.462.150.000.370.3017.41-13.05LiP18 b2-300.400.403.446.903.750.260.190.31-17.28-17.34LiP18 b2-300.600.622.377.61-13.30.62-17.34-17.34-17.34LiP18 b3-600.220.334.625.072.150.000.180.4219.31-13.31LiP18 b3-610.420.420.430.450.422.97-16.680.8907 +/- 0.033LiP18 b3-650.420.430.430.450.422.97-16.680.8907 +/- 0.033LiP18 b10-650.430.430.440.490.430.45-14.62-14.62LiP18 b10-650.430.441.300.270.480.240.29-16.680.8907 +/- 0.033LiP18 b2-650.770.920.430.470.240.29-16.680.8907 +/- 0.033LiP18 b2-650.780.741.447.3017.700.220.870.242.97-16.82LiP18 b2-650.770.920.460.750.31-14.62-14.62-14.62LiP18 b2-760.780.780.76	Lewis Mine ore stockpile berm transect. Lat 44.3030, Long -73.6143, WGS84												
LiP18 b1-00.360.103.3.446.2.113.570.000.290.1218.379.25LiP18 b5-200.140.044.2.455.4.642.150.000.300.301.4.1.3.5LiP18 b5-300.040.003.4.46.6.903.750.260.020.301.6.641.0.45LiP18 b5-300.020.330.220.330.201.7.787.8.143.300.201.7.81.7.34LiP18 b5-300.220.320.320.320.220.330.221.7.87.8.143.300.211.7.81.7.81.7.34LiP18 b5-300.220.320.320.220.330.221.7.80.9.971.8.151.6.680.990 */.0.03LiP18 b5-300.270.320.320.141.9.111.3.11.5.11.5.680.890 */.0.03LiP18 b5-300.740.307.430.320.430.451.8.151.6.680.890 */.0.03C181 0-50.801.47.0.70.91.4.347.5.70.420.430.451.8.151.6.680.890 */.0.03C181 0-50.430.50.50.220.641.3.61.4.621.4.621.4.62C181 1-50.430.50.50.70.300.441.9.11.5.311.5.6C181 1-50.430.50.50.50.50.51.5.61.5.61.5.61.5.6	LBP18 0-5	0.39	0.23	42.00	46.92	7.86	0.00	2.30	0.30	15.54	-11.85	0.7445 +/- 0.0028	
LiP18 10-150.190.190.0645.763.020.000.160.0017.411.20LiP18 15-300.040.0624.7666.603.750.260.190.311.281.28LiP18 35-400.090.0628.7666.603.750.260.190.311.281.28LiP18 45-50	LBP18 5-10	0.36	0.10	33.44	62.11	3.57	0.00	0.29	0.12	18.37	-9.25		
LiP18 15-200.140.440.42,4554,642,150.000.270.30LiP18 35-300.040.1028,7666,603.920.120.020.4116,64-1.0,45LiP18 35-301.170.2927,757,6414.330.020.020.020.1119.31-13.31LiP18 35-901.170.291.7,757,6414.330.020.020.020.020.030.02LiP18 100 1050.690.281.80,550.172,120.020.0870.4219.31-13.31LiP18 100 1050.690.241.7,477,305,730.270.450.4515.15-16.620.8907 +/ .0.033C1818 0.50.690.241.5,107,535,630.270.450.35-16.620.8907 +/ .0.033C1818 0.50.640.241.5,107,535,600.270.450.35-16.62-16.62C1818 0.100.640.520.5,106,690.240.070.30-16.22-16.62C1818 1.00.640.50.5,106,690.240.070.30-16.22-16.62C1818 1.01.640.50.5,100.6,100.70.30-16.22-16.22C1818 1.01.650.5,100.3,100.6,100.5-16.62-16.62C1818 1.01.50.5,100.3,100.6,100.5,10-16.62-16.62	LBP18 10-15	0.19	0.19	50.66	45.76	3.02	0.00	0.16	0.00	17.41	-13.05		
Lep18 35-300.640.643.446.0903.750.260.160.31Lep18 35-400.000.6628.766.603.920.120.020.4116.64-10.45*LBP18 30-1050.270.2317.7576.44.330.020.140.1413.113.1*Levis Mine road Side0.330.150.1717.576.40.320.140.1413.1*1.4Levis Mine road Side0.300.2317.557.40.320.450.242.3716.620.8907 +/ 0.003CB18 10C0.690.2817.457.437.017.070.450.240.240.240.29716.620.8907 +/ 0.003CB18 10C 100.430.430.450.450.240.8907 +/ 0.0030.8977 +/ 0.0030.8977 +/ 0.0030.8977 +/ 0.0030.8977 +/ 0.003CB18 10C 100.430.430.430.450.450.480.8977 +/ 0.0030.8977 +/ 0.0030.8977 +/ 0.0030.8977 +/ 0.003CB18 10C 100.430.430.450.430.450.430.450.480.450.8977 +/ 0.003CB18 10C 100.430.530.570.	LBP18 15-20	0.14	0.04	42.45	54.64	2.15	0.00	0.27	0.30				
LeP18 35-90 LeP18 45-500.990.9028.7666.603.920.120.020.4116.64-1.0.45LeP18 45-50 LeP18 45-501.170.297.757.64.14.330.020.030.0212.31LeP18 100-105 LeW1 Microadside Exerr Transet.1.43.32Viscorr 7.35.42Viscorr 7.35.42V	LBP18 25-30	0.04	0.10	34.44	60.90	3.75	0.26	0.19	0.31				
Lip P18 P17.8 P17.9	LBP18 35-40	0.09	0.06	28.76	66.60	3.92	0.12	0.02	0.41	16.64	-10.45		
Lip 18 8-90 1.17 0.29 7.7 7.64.1 4.33 0.02 0.03 0.02 Lig 18 100-05 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.83 0.42 0.43 0.45 0.24 2.9 0.89 1.6.16 0.8907 /-0.033 C181 0 - 5 0.64 0.24 1.7.4 7.50 0.64 0.22 0.64 0.24 2.1.6 0.4 0.5	LBP18 45-50									17.28	-17.34		
LeP18 100-105 0.82 0.83 46.20 50.7 2.15 0.00 0.18 0.14 19.31 -13.31 Lewis Mine roadside torm transect transect transect transect Mine roadside torm tra	LBP18 85-90	1.17	0.29	17.75	76.41	4.33	0.02	0.03	0.02				
Lewis Mine roadside bern transet. Lat 43.022. Log 73.6142. ViecSal 4 CB18 Haf C 0.69 0.28 18.0 7 73.01 7.0 0.32 0.87 0.24 2.29 1.6.8 CB18 0-5 0.77 0.09 1.4.4 78.27 5.60 0.27 0.45 0.23 CB18 0-5 0.77 0.09 1.4.4 78.27 5.60 0.27 0.45 0.23 CB18 10-15 0.64 0.28 15.83 7.5.8 6.09 0.20 0.92 0.46 2.1.36 1.4.62 CB18 15-20 0.64 0.20 2.5.0 6.6.9 6.64 0.24 0.07 0.30 CB18 15-20 0.64 0.05 2.5.0 6.5.9 6.64 0.24 0.07 0.30 CB18 15-20 0.64 0.35 2.5.0 6.5.9 0.20 0.92 0.46 2.1.36 1.4.62 PB18 2.Cf 2.28 0.68 3.0.95 2.5.1 6.5.9 74.33 4.46 0.92 1.30 0.76 1.8.89 1.9.26 PB18 2.Cf 2.28 0.68 3.0.95 6.2.3 0.14 0.5.4 0.19 1.8.58 2.4.87 PB18 2.Cf 2.28 0.68 3.0.95 6.2.3 0.14 0.5.4 0.19 1.8.58 2.4.87 PB18 2.Cf 2.28 0.8 3.0.95 74.33 4.46 0.92 1.30 0.76 1.8.89 1.9.26 PB18 2.Cf 2.28 0.83 3.0.95 6.2.93 2.3.0 0.14 0.5.4 0.19 1.8.58 2.4.87 PB18 2.Cf 2.28 0.83 3.0.95 6.2.93 2.3.0 0.14 0.5.4 0.19 1.8.58 2.4.87 PB18 2.Cf 2.28 0.83 3.0.95 6.3.93 1.5.5 0.3.4 0.0.7 0.44 0.44 CB18 Hat Cf 1.17 0.35 2.3.64 7.0.56 2.49 0.47 0.56 0.57 F1.81 0.5C 1.52 0.42 1.8.48 7.0.56 5.3.8 0.39 1.1.5 0.34 0.0.7 0 1.7.48 CB18 0.5C 1.52 0.42 1.8.48 7.0.56 5.3.8 0.39 1.1.5 0.34 0.00 0.63 1.9.09 1.2.31 Fox Knoll Mine camerite agglorer at 4.4.348 .tore 7.3 4.34 2.0.9 0.21 0.00 0.40 2.0.40 8.55 F1.19-3 C 2.94 0.3 3.9.8 5.3.8 2.09 0.21 0.00 0.40 2.0.40 8.55 F1.19-3 C 2.94 0.33 1.9.9 3.5.8 4.7.9 3.9 0.11 0.00 0.40 2.0.40 8.55 F1.19-3 C 2.94 0.43 3.9.8 5.1.2 3.12 0.3.9 0.11 0.00 0.40 2.0.40 8.55 F1.19-3 C 2.94 0.43 3.9.8 5.1.2 3.1.2 0.30 0.0.3 0.00 2.1.4 2.7.08 F1.19-1 C 2.92 0.43 3.9.8 5.1.2 3.1.2 0.30 0.0.3 0.00 2.1.4 7.0.9 F1.19-1 C 2.92 0.43 3.9.8 5.1.2 3.1.2 0.30 0.0.3 0.00 2.1.4 7.0.8 0.9645 +/- 0.0.26 F1.19-6 1.9.0 1.6 3.6.1 5.1.2 3.1.2 0.30 0.0.3 0.00 2.1.4 7.0.8 0.9645 +/- 0.0.26 F1.19-6 1.9.0 1.1 3.4.0 5.3.1.9 3.5.8 4.0.7 2.6.6 2.1.9 0.5.4 0.92 7.1 6.5.7 F1.19-5 7.40 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4	LBP18 100-105	0.82	0.33	46.20	50.17	2.15	0.00	0.18	0.14	19.31	-13.31		
CB18 PAC 0.69 0.28 18.05 74.35 5.43 0.30 0.43 0.45 18.15 -16.68 0.8907 +/- 0.0033 CB18 0.57 0.80 0.24 17.44 78.27 5.60 0.27 0.46 0.23 CB18 0.43 0.16 20.30 70.33 7.93 0.12 0.086 0.15 CB18 0.16 0.23 7.58 6.09 0.22 0.46 0.15	Lewis Mine roadside	berm transect.	Lat 44.30	25, Long -73.614	2, WGS84								
CB18 0-5 C 0.80 0.24 17.44 73.01 7.07 0.32 0.87 0.24 22.97 -16.82 CB18 0-5 0.77 0.09 14.34 78.27 5.60 0.27 0.45 0.23 CB18 5-10 0.64 0.28 15.83 75.88 6.09 0.20 0.92 0.46 21.36 -14.62 CB18 10-15 0.64 0.05 25.10 66.96 6.64 0.24 0.07 0.30 CB18 15-20 0.64 0.63 15.55 74.33 4.46 0.92 0.36 0.44 0.19 18.58 -24.87 LBP18 35-42 Cf 1.85 0.39 23.31 69.51 3.40 0.27 0.84 0.44 0.19 18.58 -24.87 LBP18 35-42 Cf 1.85 0.39 2.33 0.44 0.59 0.57	CB18 Hat C	0.69	0.28	18.05	74.35	5.43	0.30	0.43	0.45	18.15	-16.68	0.8907 +/- 0.0033	
CB18 0-5 0.7 0.99 14.34 78.27 5.60 0.27 0.45 0.23 CB18 10-15 0.64 0.16 23.00 70.83 7.93 0.12 0.08 0.15 CB18 10-15 0.64 0.28 15.33 75.58 6.09 0.20 0.22 0.64 21.36 74.62 CB18 10-15 0.64 0.05 25.10 66.96 6.64 0.24 0.07 0.30 Lewis Mine fine-graines/site V V V 0.52 1.30 0.76 18.89 19.26 PB18 2 Cf 2.28 0.68 30.95 6.293 2.30 0.44 0.54 0.19 18.58 -24.87 LBP18 35-42 Cf 1.85 0.39 2.31 69.51 3.40 0.27 0.84 0.44 0.19 18.58 -24.87 LBP18 35-42 Cf 1.87 0.32 2.44 70.36 2.49 0.47 0.36 0.44 0.44 0.47 0.55 0.57 CB18 Hot Cf 1.52 0.32 3.39 2.99 0.11	CB18 0-5 C	0.80	0.24	17.44	73.01	7.07	0.32	0.87	0.24	22.97	-16.82		
CB18 5-10 0.43 0.46 20.30 70.83 7.93 0.12 0.08 0.15 CB18 10-15 0.64 0.28 15.83 75.86 6.09 0.20 0.92 0.46 21.36 -14.62 CB18 15-20 0.64 0.05 25.10 66.56 6.64 0.24 0.07 0.30 Lewis Mine fine-grained 5 7.433 4.46 0.92 1.30 0.76 18.89 -19.26 PB18 2 Cf 1.85 0.63 30.59 6.2.93 2.30 0.14 0.54 0.19 18.89 -24.87 LBP18 35.42 Cf 1.85 0.39 2.31 69.51 3.40 0.27 0.84 0.44 0.44 CB18 hot Cf 1.52 0.42 19.84 70.56 5.80 0.39 1.15 0.34 20.70 -17.48 CB18 hot Cf 1.52 0.42 19.84 70.56 5.80 0.39 1.10 0.00 20.40 8.55 FK 19-1C 2.92 0.43 39.98 53.98 2.09 0.21 0.00 <td>CB18 0-5</td> <td>0.77</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>14.34</td> <td>78.27</td> <td>5.60</td> <td>0.27</td> <td>0.45</td> <td>0.23</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	CB18 0-5	0.77	0.09	14.34	78.27	5.60	0.27	0.45	0.23				
CB18 10-15 0.64 0.28 15.83 75.58 6.09 0.20 0.92 0.46 21.36 -14.62 CB18 15-20 0.64 0.05 25.10 66.96 6.64 0.20 0.92 0.46 21.36 -14.62 Lewis Mine fine-grainet spirate U Non-spirate Spirate Non-spirate Spirate Non-spirate Spirate Non-spirate Spirate Non-spirate Non-spirat Non-spirate Non-spirat	CB18 5-10	0.43	0.16	20.30	70.83	7.93	0.12	0.08	0.15				
CB18 15-20 0.64 0.65 2.5.0 6.6.9 6.64 0.24 0.07 0.30 Lewis Mine fine-grained suffic vice vi	CB18 10-15	0.64	0.28	15.83	75.58	6.09	0.20	0.92	0.46	21.36	-14.62		
Lewis Mine fine-grait U 0.63 15.55 74.33 4.46 0.92 1.30 0.76 18.89 -1.26 PB18 2 Cf 2.28 0.68 30.95 62.93 2.30 0.14 0.54 0.19 18.58 -24.87 PB18 2 Cf 1.85 0.39 2.31 69.51 3.40 0.27 0.84 0.44 CB18 Hat Cf 1.17 0.35 2.36 70.66 2.49 0.47 0.95 0.57 CB18 0-5 Cf 1.52 0.42 19.84 70.56 5.80 0.39 1.15 0.34 20.07 -17.48 CB18 10-5 Cf 1.52 0.42 19.84 70.56 5.80 0.39 0.15 0.34 20.07 -17.48 CB18 10-5 Cf 1.52 0.43 39.98 53.98 2.09 0.11 0.00 20.40 -8.55 FK 19-1 C 2.92 0.43 39.98 53.98 2.09 0.11 0.00 20.71 -6.97 FK 19-1 C 2.92 0.43 3.61 55.12 3.20 0.30	CB18 15-20	0.64	0.05	25.10	66.96	6.64	0.24	0.07	0.30				
PB18 1 Cf 2.04 0.63 15.55 74.33 4.46 0.92 1.30 0.76 18.89 -19.26 PB18 2 Cf 2.28 0.68 30.95 62.93 2.30 0.14 0.54 0.19 18.58 -24.87 LBP18 35-42 Cf 1.85 0.39 2.31 69.51 3.40 0.27 0.84 0.44 CB18 Hat Cf 1.17 0.35 23.64 70.36 2.49 0.47 0.95 0.57 CB18 bo C f 1.52 0.42 19.84 70.56 5.80 0.39 1.15 0.34 20.70 -17.48 CB18 10-15 f 0.75 0.22 13.37 78.44 5.19 0.38 1.00 0.63 19.09 -12.31 Fox Knoll Mine cemented agglomerates, L44.3486, Long -73.4342, WCS34	Lewis Mine fine-grain	ed splits											
PB18 2 Cf 2.28 0.68 30.95 62.93 2.30 0.14 0.54 0.19 18.58 -24.87 LBP18 35-42 Cf 1.85 0.39 23.31 69.51 3.40 0.27 0.84 0.44 0.44 CB18 415 Cf 1.17 0.35 23.64 70.36 2.49 0.47 0.95 0.57 CB18 05 Cf 1.52 0.42 19.84 70.56 5.80 0.39 1.15 0.34 20.70 -17.48 CB18 05 Cf 0.75 0.22 13.37 78.44 5.19 0.38 1.00 0.63 19.09 -12.31 Fox Knoll Mine cementer zegorementer tarrest tatt 43.43.43.43.43.43.43.43.43.43.43.43.43.4	PB18 1 Cf	2.04	0.63	15.55	74.33	4.46	0.92	1.30	0.76	18.89	-19.26		
LBP18 35-42 cf 1.85 0.39 2.3.1 69.51 3.40 0.27 0.84 0.44 CB18 Hat Cf 1.17 0.35 2.3.64 70.36 2.49 0.47 0.95 0.57 CB18 0.5 cf 1.52 0.42 19.84 70.56 5.80 0.39 1.15 0.34 20.70 -17.48 CB18 10.15 f 0.7 0.2 13.37 78.44 5.19 0.38 1.00 0.63 19.09 -12.31 Fox Knoll Mine cementetee aglomerates, Lat 44.3486, Long -73.4342, WGS84 FK 19-1 C 2.92 0.43 39.98 53.98 2.09 0.21 0.00 0.40 20.40 -8.55 FK 19-1 C 2.92 0.43 39.98 53.98 2.09 0.21 0.00 0.40 20.56 -7.88 0.9645 +/ 0.0036 FK 19-1 C 4.30 1.24 32.72 57.17 4.23 0.30 0.03 0.00 21.62 -7.88 0.9645 +/ 0.0036 FK 19-1 C 4.30 1.24 32.72 57.17 4.23 0.30 0.03 0.00 21.62 -7.88 0.9645 +/ 0.0036 FK 19-1 C 4.30 1.24 32.72 57.17 4.23 0.30 0.03 0.00 21.42 -7.08 FK 19-5 C 1.8 0.25 41.24 52.05 3.8 0.39 0.04 0.43 20.23 -6.60 FK 19-6 15-20 C 2.19 0.29 42.18 48.37 2.66 2.49 1.27 0.54 19.25 -7.10 0.6639 +/ 0.0055 FK 19-7 35-40 FK 19-7 35-40 FK 19-7 35-40 FK 19-7 35-60 1.92 0.11 34.05 53.19 3.68 4.07 2.36 0.62 FK 19-7 35-70 1.70 0.26 22.82 67.29 2.55 2.02 0.63 2.71 19.95 -7.42 FK 19-10 85-90 FK 19-10 5.5 1.7 1.18 1.13 0.62 1.37.8 FK 19-10 5.7 1.2 19.43 -7.36 FK 19-11 95-100 FK 19-11 95-100 FK 19-11 35-100 1.64 0.39 2.47 6.8.57 1.77 1.18 1.13 0.62 1.37.8 FK 19-11 95-100 FK 19-15 1.35-140 1.64 0.26 4.3.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09 DEVECTION 1.64 0.26 4.3.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09 DEVECTION 1.64 0.26 4.3.19 50.98 5.10 are details in transectif. (in cm).	PB18 2 Cf	2.28	0.68	30.95	62.93	2.30	0.14	0.54	0.19	18.58	-24.87		
CB18 Hat Cf 1.17 0.35 23.64 70.36 2.49 0.47 0.95 0.57 CB18 0-5 Cf 1.52 0.42 19.84 70.56 5.80 0.39 1.15 0.34 20.70 17.48 CB18 10-15 f 0.75 0.22 13.37 78.44 5.19 0.38 1.00 0.63 19.09 -12.31 CB18 10-15 f 0.75 0.22 13.37 78.44 5.19 0.81 1.00 0.63 19.09 -12.31 CB18 10-15 f 0.75 0.22 0.43 39.98 53.98 2.09 0.21 0.00 0.40 20.40 -8.55 FK 19-3 C 2.94 0.60 45.72 47.87 2.43 0.06 0.37 0.00 20.71 -5.97 FK 19-4 C 6.09 1.66 33.61 5.51 3.12 0.30 0.03 0.00 20.42 -7.08 -9.665 +/- 0.036 FK 19-16 C 1.30 1.24 32.72 57.17 4.23 0.30 0.39 0.44 0.43 20.23 -6.60 <	LBP18 35-42 Cf	1.85	0.39	23.31	69.51	3.40	0.27	0.84	0.44				
CB18 0-5 Cf 1.52 0.42 19.84 70.56 5.80 0.39 1.15 0.34 20.70 -17.48 CB18 10-15 f 0.75 0.22 13.37 78.44 5.19 0.38 1.00 0.63 19.09 -12.31 Fox Knoll Mine cemental agglomerates, Lat 44.3486, Long -73.4342, WG584 <	CB18 Hat Cf	1.17	0.35	23.64	70.36	2.49	0.47	0.95	0.57				
CB18 10-15 f 0.75 0.22 13.37 78.44 5.19 0.38 1.00 0.63 19.09 -12.31 Fox Knoll Mine cemented agglomerates, Lat 44.3486, Long -73.4342, WGS84 Image: Comparison of the comparison of	CB18 0-5 Cf	1.52	0.42	19.84	70.56	5.80	0.39	1.15	0.34	20.70	-17.48		
For Knoll Mine cemented agglomerates, Lat 44.3486, Long -73.4342, WGS84 FK 19-1 C 2.92 0.43 39.98 53.98 2.09 0.21 0.00 0.40 20.40 -8.55 FK 19-3 C 2.94 0.60 45.72 47.87 2.43 0.06 0.37 0.00 20.71 -6.97 FK 19-4 C 6.09 1.66 33.61 55.12 3.12 0.39 0.11 0.00 20.56 -7.88 0.9645 +/- 0.0036 FK 19-4 C 6.09 1.66 33.61 55.12 3.12 0.39 0.11 0.00 20.56 -7.88 0.9645 +/- 0.0036 FK 19-4 C 4.30 1.24 32.72 57.17 4.23 0.30 0.03 0.00 21.42 -7.08 Fox Knoll Mine bern trasect. Lat 44.3484, Long -73.4337, WGS84 <	CB18 10-15 f	0.75	0.22	13.37	78.44	5.19	0.38	1.00	0.63	19.09	-12.31		
FK 19-1 C 2.92 0.43 39.98 53.98 2.09 0.21 0.00 0.40 20.40 -8.55 FK 19-3 C 2.94 0.60 45.72 47.87 2.43 0.06 0.37 0.00 20.71 -6.97 FK 19-4 C 6.09 1.66 33.61 55.12 3.12 0.39 0.11 0.00 20.56 -7.88 0.9645 +/- 0.0036 FK 19-4 C 6.09 1.66 33.61 55.12 3.12 0.39 0.11 0.00 20.56 -7.88 0.9645 +/- 0.0036 FK 19-6 C 4.30 1.24 32.72 57.17 4.23 0.30 0.03 0.00 21.42 -7.08 Fox Knoll Mine bern transect. Lat 44.3484, Long -73.4337, WGS84	Fox Knoll Mine ceme	nted agglomer	ates, Lat 4	4.3486, Long -73	3.4342, WG	S84							
FK 19-3 C 2.94 0.60 45.72 47.87 2.43 0.06 0.37 0.00 20.71 -6.97 FK 19-4 C 6.09 1.66 33.61 55.12 3.12 0.39 0.11 0.00 20.56 -7.88 0.9645 +/- 0.0036 FK 19-16 C 4.30 1.24 32.72 57.17 4.23 0.30 0.03 0.00 21.42 -7.08 Fox Knoll Mine bern transect. Lat 44.3484, Long-73.4337, WGS84 50.55 3.8 0.39 0.04 0.43 20.23 -6.60 FK 19-6 15-20 C 2.19 0.29 42.18 48.37 2.66 2.49 1.27 0.54 19.25 -7.10 0.6639 +/- 0.0025 FK 19-7 35-40	FK 19-1 C	2.92	0.43	39.98	53.98	2.09	0.21	0.00	0.40	20.40	-8.55		
FK 19-4 C 6.09 1.66 33.61 55.12 3.12 0.39 0.11 0.00 20.56 -7.88 0.9645 +/- 0.0036 FK 19-16 C 4.30 1.24 32.72 57.17 4.23 0.30 0.03 0.00 21.42 -7.08 Fox Knoll Mine berr transect. Lat 44.3484, Long-73.4337, WGS4 - 0.9645 +/- 0.0036 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 3.63 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.04 0.43 20.23 - - 0.6639 +/- 0.0025 - - - - - 0.6639 +/- 0.0025 - - - - - 0.6639 +/- 0.0025 - - - - - - 0.6639 +/- 0.0025 - - - - - - - - - - <	FK 19-3 C	2.94	0.60	45.72	47.87	2.43	0.06	0.37	0.00	20.71	-6.97		
FK 19-16 C 4.30 1.24 32.72 57.17 4.23 0.30 0.03 0.00 21.42 -7.08 Fox Knoll Mine bern transect. Lat 44.3484, Long -73.4337, WGS4 - <td>FK 19-4 C</td> <td>6.09</td> <td>1.66</td> <td>33.61</td> <td>55.12</td> <td>3.12</td> <td>0.39</td> <td>0.11</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>20.56</td> <td>-7.88</td> <td>0.9645 +/- 0.0036</td>	FK 19-4 C	6.09	1.66	33.61	55.12	3.12	0.39	0.11	0.00	20.56	-7.88	0.9645 +/- 0.0036	
Fox Knoll Mine bern transect. Lat 44.3484, Long-73.4337, WGS44 FK 19-5 0-5 C 1.8 0.25 41.24 52.05 3.8 0.39 0.04 0.43 20.23 -6.60 FK 19-6 15-20 C 2.19 0.29 42.18 48.37 2.66 2.49 1.27 0.54 19.25 -7.10 0.6639 +/- 0.0025 FK 19-7 35-40 5.7.9 0.54 19.25 -7.10 0.6639 +/- 0.0025 FK 19-7 35-40 5.7.9 0.662 22.01 -6.29 FK 19-9 75-80 1.70 0.26 22.82 67.29 2.55 2.02 0.63 2.71 19.95 -7.42 FK 19-9 75-80 1.70 0.26 22.82 67.29 2.55 2.02 0.63 2.71 19.95 -7.42 FK 19-108-90 8.72 19.89 -7.42 FK 19-12 105-110 1.64 0.39 24.7 68.57 1.77 1.18 1.13 0.62 23.78 -7.56 FK 19-13 115-120 <t< td=""><td>FK 19-16 C</td><td>4.30</td><td>1.24</td><td>32.72</td><td>57.17</td><td>4.23</td><td>0.30</td><td>0.03</td><td>0.00</td><td>21.42</td><td>-7.08</td><td></td></t<>	FK 19-16 C	4.30	1.24	32.72	57.17	4.23	0.30	0.03	0.00	21.42	-7.08		
FK 19-5 0-5 C 1.8 0.25 41.24 52.05 3.8 0.39 0.04 0.43 20.23 -6.60 FK 19-6 15-20 C 2.19 0.29 42.18 48.37 2.66 2.49 1.27 0.54 19.25 -7.10 0.6639 +/- 0.0025 FK 19-7 35-40	Fox Knoll Mine berm	transect. Lat 4	4.3484, Lo	ng -73.4337, WG	S84								
FK 19-6 15-20 C 2.19 0.29 42.18 48.37 2.66 2.49 1.27 0.54 19.25 -7.10 0.6639 +/- 0.0025 FK 19-7 35-40 -7.19 53.19 3.68 4.07 2.36 0.62 22.01 6-2.9 FK 19-9 75-80 1.70 0.26 22.82 67.29 2.55 2.02 0.63 2.71 19.95 -7.42 FK 19-10 85-90 - - - 19.44 - 8.72 FK 19-10 85-90 - - - 19.89 -7.42 FK 19-11 95-100 1.64 0.39 24.7 68.57 1.77 1.18 1.13 0.62 23.78 -7.56 FK 19-13 115-120 - - - 18.42 -11.67 - FK 19-15 135-140 1.64 0.26 43.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09 FK 19-15 135-140 1.64 0.26 43.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09 Votes: C denotes commented samples. Samples with f are fine-grained splits. Numbers (e.g. 5-10) are depth in transects (in	FK 19-5 0-5 C	1.8	0.25	41.24	52.05	3.8	0.39	0.04	0.43	20.23	-6.60		
FK 19-7 35-40 20.80 -7.29 FK 19-7 35-60 1.92 0.11 34.05 53.19 3.68 4.07 2.36 0.62 22.01 -6.29 FK 19-8 55-60 1.70 0.26 22.82 67.29 2.55 2.02 0.63 2.71 19.95 -7.42 FK 19-10 85-90 1.70 1.64 0.39 24.7 68.57 1.77 1.18 1.13 0.62 23.78 -7.56 FK 19-13 115-120 1.64 0.26 43.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09 18.42 -11.67 FK 19-15 135-1400 1.64 0.26 43.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09 0.9 FK 19-15 135-1400 1.64 0.26 43.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09 0.9 0.9	FK 19-6 15-20 C	2.19	0.29	42.18	48.37	2.66	2.49	1.27	0.54	19.25	-7.10	0.6639 +/- 0.0025	
FK 19-8 55-60 1.92 0.11 34.05 53.19 3.68 4.07 2.36 0.62 22.01 -6.29 FK 19-9 75-80 1.70 0.26 22.82 67.29 2.55 2.02 0.63 2.71 19.95 -7.42 FK 19-10 85-90	FK 19-7 35-40									20.80	-7.29		
FK 19-9 75-80 1.70 0.26 22.82 67.29 2.55 2.02 0.63 2.71 19.95 -7.42 FK 19-10 85-90	FK 19-8 55-60	1.92	0.11	34.05	53.19	3.68	4.07	2.36	0.62	22.01	-6.29		
FK 19-10 85-90 19.44 -8.72 FK 19-11 95-100 19.89 -7.42 FK 19-12 105-110 1.64 0.39 24.7 68.57 1.77 1.18 1.13 0.62 23.78 -7.56 FK 19-13 115-120 1.64 0.26 43.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09 FK 19-15 135-140 1.64 0.26 43.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09	FK 19-9 75-80	1.70	0.26	22.82	67.29	2.55	2.02	0.63	2.71	19.95	-7.42		
FK 19-11 95-100 1.64 0.39 24.7 68.57 1.77 1.18 1.13 0.62 23.78 -7.42 FK 19-12 105-110 1.64 0.39 24.7 68.57 1.77 1.18 1.13 0.62 23.78 -7.56 FK 19-13 115-120 1.64 0.26 43.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09 FK 19-15 135-140 1.64 0.26 43.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09	FK 19-10 85-90									19.44	-8.72		
FK 19-12 105-110 1.64 0.39 24.7 68.57 1.77 1.18 1.13 0.62 23.78 -7.56 FK 19-13 115-120 FK 19-13 115-120 FK 19-15 135-140 1.64 0.26 43.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09 Notes: C denotes cemented samples. Samples with f are fine-grained splits. Numbers (e.g. 5-10) are depth in transects (in cm).	FK 19-11 95-100									19.89	-7.42		
FK 19-13 115-120 FK FK <th< td=""><td>FK 19-12 105-110</td><td>1.64</td><td>0.39</td><td>24.7</td><td>68.57</td><td>1.77</td><td>1.18</td><td>1.13</td><td>0.62</td><td>23.78</td><td>-7.56</td><td></td></th<>	FK 19-12 105-110	1.64	0.39	24.7	68.57	1.77	1.18	1.13	0.62	23.78	-7.56		
FK 19-15 135-140 1.64 0.26 43.19 50.98 3.13 0.63 0.09 0.09 Notes: C denotes cemented samples. Samples with f are fine-grained splits. Numbers (e.g. 5-10) are depth in transects (in cm). 50.12 50.12 50.12	FK 19-13 115-120	2.0.	0.00		50.07	±,	1.10	1.10	0.02	18.42	-11.67		
Notes: C denotes cemented samples. Samples with f are fine-grained splits. Numbers (e.g. 5-10) are depth in transects (in cm).	FK 19-15 135-140	1.64	0.26	43.19	50.98	3.13	0.63	0.09	0.09				
	Notes: C denotes cer	nented sample	s. Sample	s with f are fine-	grained split	ts. Numbers (e	.g. 5-10) are	depth in transe	cts (in cm).				

Peck et al. Figure 1



Peck et al. Figure 2



Peck et al. Figure 3



Always consult and cite the final, published document. See http://www.minsocam.org or GeoscienceWorld

Peck et al. Figure 4

