Uranotungstite, the only natural uranyl tungstate: crystal structure revealed from 3D electron diffraction
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ABSTRACT

Uranotungstite is an uranyl-tungstate mineral that was until recently only partially characterized with a formula originally given as (Fe²⁺⁺Ba,Pb)(UO₂)₂(WO₄)(OH)₄·12H₂O and an unknown crystal structure. This mineral has been reinvestigated by electron microprobe analysis coupled with 3D electron diffraction. According to the electron microprobe data, the holotype material from the Menzenschwand uranium deposit (Black Forest, Germany) has the empirical formula (Ba₀.₃₅Pb₀.₂₇)Σ₀.₆₂[(U⁶⁺O₂)₂(W⁶⁺₀.₉₈Fe³⁺₀.₂₆□₀.₇₅)O₄.₇(OH)₂.₅(H₂O)₁.₇₅](H₂O)₁.₆₇ (average of 8 points
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calculated on the basis of 2 U apfu; the H₂O content derived from the structure). According to the precession-assisted 3D ED data, holotype uranotungstite from Menzenschwand is monoclinic, P2₁/m, with a = 6.318(5) Å, b = 7.388(9) Å, c = 13.71(4) Å, β = 99.04(13)° and V = 632(2) Å³ (Z = 2). The structure refinement of the 3D ED data using the dynamical approach (R_{obs} = 0.0846 for 3287 independent observed reflections) provided a structure model composed of heteropolyhedral sheets. A β-U₃O₈-type sheet of idealized composition [(UO₂)₂W⁶⁺Fe₀.₂₅³⁺□₀.₇₅O₄.₇₅(OH)₁.₅(H₂O)₁.₇₅]₀.₂₅⁻ is composed of UO₇ polyhedra linked by (W,Fe)O₅ polyhedra in which the W:Fe ratio is variable as well as the bulk occupancy of this site; the W site may also host a minor proportion of Cu, Mg, or V. In uranotungstite, the interlayer spaces between adjacent U-W-O sheets host water on one side and, on the other side, a partially occupied cation site mostly occupied by Ba and, to a lesser extent, Pb, as well as a partially occupied H₂O site. This work is the first structural description of a natural uranyl-tungstate mineral and confirms the great structural and chemical flexibility of β-U₃O₈ type of sheets.
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INTRODUCTION

Uranotungstite is the only uranyl tungstate known in nature and is a scarce alteration product of oxidation-hydration weathering of uraninite. Its rarity mostly arises from a rather unusual association of uranium and tungsten in the most common types of uranium deposits worldwide. It was described for the first time by Walenta (1985) from the Menzenschwand (also referred to as Krunkelbach) uranium deposit in the Black Forest, Baden-Württemberg, Germany. The type
material forms spherulitic aggregates of 0.3 mm in diameter and is associated with meta-
uranocircite, meta-heinrichite, and other secondary uranium minerals. Apart from the commonly
observed yellow, brown-yellow to orange colors, the mineral may also be olive-green, greenish,
or blackish. Electron microprobe analysis (EMPA) of the type material led to the reported
empirical formula (Fe<sub>0.38</sub>Ba<sub>0.37</sub>Pb<sub>0.36</sub>)<sub>Σ1.11</sub>U<sub>1.82</sub>W<sub>0.99</sub>H<sub>28.94</sub>O<sub>24</sub>, where Fe was considered divalent.
This formula was then idealized to (Fe, Ba, Pb)(UO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(WO<sub>4</sub>)(OH)<sub>4</sub>·12H<sub>2</sub>O by Walenta (1985).
Later on, more uranotungstite was found by Walenta (1985) from the Clara barite-fluorite mine in
the Black Forest, Germany, but in the Clara mine material, only traces of Ba and no Pb were
semiquantitatively detected.

Based on the morphology of the lath-like crystals of the type material, the mineral was first
assumed to be orthorhombic and the unit-cell parameters <i>a</i> = 9.22, <i>b</i> = 13.81, <i>c</i> = 7.17 Å (Z = 2)
were proposed on the basis of a tentatively indexed X-ray powder diffraction pattern. The lath-
like crystals show (010) as a flattening plane and they exhibit perfect cleavage. Unlike the type
material from Menzenschwand, the Clara mine samples rather show thin platy, subparallel
crystals with a diamond-shaped outline. Nevertheless, the crystal structure of the type material
could not be determined by X-ray diffraction (powder or single crystal) due to the very small size
and subparallel intergrowth of the crystals. More generally, except for its original description, the
mineral has remained poorly studied; the crystal structure was still unknown before our present
study and there is not much available information about the crystal chemistry and the paragenetic
position in the weathering process. Furthermore, some aspects of the original description
appeared to be doubtful: (i) the presence of Fe<sup>2+</sup> in a mineral formed under oxidizing conditions;
(ii) the co-assignment of Fe, Ba, and Pb in the chemical formula. We point out that previous
studies show that Fe<sup>3+</sup> and W<sup>6+</sup> replace each other in the crystal structures of oxide minerals
because of their very similar ionic radii (Kolitsch 1998; Atencio et al. 2010). Moreover, as demonstrated by microchemical tests of a Clara mine sample using the reagents Merckoquant 10.004 (Fa. Merck) and KSCN, the iron in the mineral is trivalent, not divalent as it was suggested in the early studies. Among the large cations, either Ba$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, K$^+$, or Bi$^{3+}$ may be dominant (the latter two are not present in the type material) and minor or trace contents of Cu are very common. In some samples associated with lenoblite, V$_2$$^{4+}$O$_4$·2H$_2$O, notable amounts of V, up to ~4 at. %, were found, as well as trace amounts of Mg. Another example of the chemical variety existing in uranotungstite is illustrated by an uranotungstite sample from Les Montmins, Allier, France, for which Cuchet et al. (2000) reported Pb, U, W, and Fe as well as trace amounts of Ba, P, Cu, and As.

All the above-mentioned facts motivated us to reinvestigate uranotungstite from the type locality (Menzenschwand) by EMPA and the entire suite of uranotungstite-like phases from elsewhere. The attempted structural study of specimens from Menzenschwand was severely hampered by the fact that uranotungstite does not form crystals large enough to be used by conventional X-ray diffraction methods. Nevertheless, in the light of the recent success and developments of 3D electron diffraction techniques (3D ED) (Gemmi and Lanza 2019; Gemmi et al. 2019) such a study is now possible and was undertaken on uranotungstite from the type locality. Here we present the first crystal-structure determination of this unique natural uranyl tungstate mineral.

**SPECIMENS AND OCCURRENCES**

The two samples used in this study originate from the Menzenschwand uranium deposit, Black Forest (Schwarzwald), Germany, which is the type locality for uranotungstite (Walenta 1985).
We used the holotype specimen of uranotungstite deposited in the collection of the Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde in Stuttgart (Germany). Due to a minimal volume available for the analysis, the sample from the holotype specimen was prepared for the polished-section mount directly in the museum and used for subsequent EMPA (sample 1). The holotype specimen consists of a quartz-barite gangue with disseminated to massive supergene uranyl minerals. Besides uranotungstite, they comprise uranocircite/metauranocircite and most probably metaheinrichite, mentioned in the original description. Visual inspection suggests that there are at least two types of tabular crystals belonging probably to different minerals of the so-called uranium micas. Uranotungstite forms small orange spherules, consisting of fine tabular crystals; these spherules reach up to 0.3–0.4 mm across.

Additionally, a rich specimen of uranotungstite from Menzenschwand obtained via Carsten Slotta (Mintreasure.com, Hausach, Germany) has been used for the 3D ED characterization (sample 2) (Fig. 1). Uranotungstite forms globular to massive aggregates of orange color in vugs of a quartz gangue with relics of uraninite (scarce). Along with rare uranotungstite, the vugs host uranophane, studtite, phosphuranylite, billietite, and ubiquitous hematite. Surprisingly, later on, during the transmission electron microscopy experiments, it was found that the orange crystalline aggregates studied consist of uranotungstite, but the prevailing phase is francevillite, which has not been reported from Menzenschwand so far.

Apart from the specimens mentioned above, we also investigated several uranotungstite-like phases from the Clara mine (Germany) and the Medvědí uranium deposit (Czech Republic), but only the latter will be addressed here.

**Chemical composition**
The chemical composition of the very small sample extracted from the holotype specimen (sample 1) was determined quantitatively using a Cameca SX100 electron microprobe operating in wavelength-dispersive mode (WDS) and operated with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a beam current of 4 nA, and a 5 µm beam diameter. All samples were stable under the beam. The following X-ray lines and standards and lines were used: Ca Kα (wollastonite), K Kα (sanidine), Fe Kα (andradite), W Lα (ZnWO$_4$), Ba Lβ (BaSO$_4$), Pb Mα (vanadinite), and U Mα (parsonsite).

The elements F, Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, Ti, V, As, Se, Sr, and Bi were also sought, but their contents were below the respective detection limits. The peak counting times were 10–20 s, and the counting time for each background point was 50% of the time for the peak. The measured intensities were converted to elemental concentrations using the PAP program (Pouchou and Pichoir 1985). The type material from Menzenschwand (Table 1) has the following empirical formula (average of eight points, calculations based upon 2 U atoms per formula unit, $apfu$):

$$(\text{Ba}_{0.35}\text{Pb}_{0.27})^{\Sigma0.62}[(\text{U}^{6+}\text{O}_2)_{2}(\text{W}^{6+}_{0.98}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.26}\loom{0.75})\text{O}_{4.7}(\text{OH})_{2.5}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{1.75}]\text{(H}_2\text{O})_{1.67}$$

The proportions of O and OH were obtained from the stoichiometry derived from the structure refinement, see section Discussion for details). The cation stoichiometry of this formula is, except for H and O associated with OH/H$_2$O, reasonably close to the one determined by Walenta (1985),

$$(\text{Fe}_{0.38}\text{Ba}_{0.37}\text{Pb}_{0.36})^{\Sigma1.11}\text{U}_{1.82}\text{W}_{0.99}\text{H}_{28.94}\text{O}_{24}.$$
3D ED data collection

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) has been chosen for the structural characterization of uranotungstite due to the limited amount of available material, the minute size of uranotungstite crystals, and the concomitant presence of francevillite (Fig. 3). A few pieces of the mineral were scratched from the Menzenschwand sample 2 and gently crushed in ethanol. A drop of the uranotungstite-containing ethanol was then deposited on a Cu-grid covered with a thin film of holey amorphous carbon. To preserve the hydrated nature of uranotungstite under the high TEM vacuum, the cryo-plunging conditions were used to transfer the sample in the TEM: the grid was plunged into liquid nitrogen and then transferred to an FEI Tecnai 02 TEM (acceleration voltage of 200 kV, LaB$_6$) using a Gatan cryo-transfer holder and equipped with a side-mounted CCD camera Olympus Veleta with a 14-bit dynamic range. This method, initially used for organic and biological samples, was recently applied to hydrated inorganic samples (Mugnaioli et al. 2020; Steciuk et al. 2019, 2021) and is now used routinely in our group to analyze new minerals by 3D ED. Nowadays, single-crystal electron diffraction data can be collected using one of the 3D ED techniques that are extensively described in Gemmi and Lanza (2019) and Gemmi and Mugnaioli (2019). In this study, the precession-assisted electron diffraction tomography (PEDT) method was used (Vincent and Midgley 1994; Kolb et al. 2007, 2008; Mugnaioli et al. 2009) wherein the precession of the electron beam aims to reduce the dynamic effects. The precession angle of the beam was kept at 1° during the experiment using the precession device Nanomegas Digistar. Finding a good candidate to collect 3D ED data on uranotungstite was a challenging task as the majority of crystals barely diffract. A lower crystallinity of the supergene minerals, to which uranotungstite belongs, is commonly observed, and diffraction data often suffer from disorder features and low resolution (Steciuk et al. 2020). Small diffracting crystal fragments were
fortunately found at the nanoscale, partially covered by francevillite (Fig. 3), from which PEDT data could be recorded at 100 K. For each selected crystal area, a series of non-oriented patterns are sequentially collected by step of 1° on the accessible tilt range of the goniometer automated by the in-house software, including the tracking of the crystal following the procedure described by Plana-Ruiz et al. (2020) and Kolb et al. (2019). The data reduction was performed using the computer programs PETS2 (Palatinus et al. 2019).

Data processing and structure solution

Based on two merged data sets, uranotungstite is monoclinic, \( a = 6.318(5) \) Å, \( b = 7.388(9) \) Å, \( c = 13.71(4) \) Å, \( \beta = 99.04(13)^\circ \) and \( V = 632(2) \) Å\(^3\). The reciprocal-space sections are consistent with the space group \( P2_1/m \) (with the extinction condition \( k = 2n \) for \( 0k0 \) reflections) (Fig. 3). This unit cell and space group provide very satisfactory indexing of the X-ray powder diffraction pattern reported by Walenta (1985). New features in PETS2 allow a better data reduction of 3D ED data by optimizing the orientation and the scale of each frame and fitting the intensity profile for the integration (for detailed information, check Palatinus et al. 2019). This procedure leads to two \( hkl \)-type files: one for the structure solution and the kinematical refinement (where the two data sets are combined), and another file (one for each data set) dedicated to the dynamical refinement where each ED frame is considered independent (Palatinus et al. 2015a, b). The completeness reached 83.6% for a \( \sin\theta/\lambda = 0.7 \) Å\(^{-1}\) resolution shell (Tab. 4). The structure was solved with the Superflip program (Palatinus and Chapuis 2007; Palatinus 2013) and refined from 3D ED data using Jana2020 (Petříček et al. 2014).

The initial structure model showed a layered structure where the electrostatic potential map defined a rather sharp and fully occupied uranyl-MO\(_5\) layer (\( M = W^{6+} \) and Fe\(^{3+}\)) and two types of
interlayers: one containing a partially occupied Ba/Pb position surrounded by water molecules, and another one with a water molecule only. The single $M$ site is shared by $W^{VI}$ and $Fe^{III}$. To stabilize the refinement of the partially occupied Ba/Pb site, the Ba:Pb ratio was set to 80:20 according to the ratio obtained by energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) coupled to the TEM. The EDS analysis also shows a Fe:$W$ ratio around 53:47 but this value is possibly inaccurate and the proper ratio is better determined from the refinement of the fully occupied Fe/$W$ site.

Restraints were applied in the refinement of 3D ED data because the lack of coverage along $c$ is responsible for a lower accuracy of the $z/c$ coordinates. The bond distances among the U sites and the apical oxygen atoms constituting the $UO_2^{2+}$ ion were restrained to a value of 1.800(2) Å (Evans 1963; Burns et al. 1997a; Lussier et al. 2016). Additionally, a soft restraint of 1.920(3) Å was applied to the bond length between W1 and its apical oxygen ligand (O5). Moreover, the overall occupancy of Ba and Pb must, at least, compensate for the additional negative charge occurring when Fe$^{3+}$ substitutes W$^{6+}$ and was thus restricted accordingly (conformation C in Fig. 4). Note that the free refinement gives a slightly lower value for the cumulative occupancy of Ba and Pb, showing that the conformation labeled B in Figure 4 is improbable in the two studied crystal fragments. Two hydrogen positions around O3 were weakly revealed by the difference potential map after few refinement steps (Palatinus et al. 2017). The small proportion of hydrogen linked to O5 ($H_2O$) when Fe is located in the octahedrally coordinated site is not visible from our data. The O–H distances were restrained to 1.000(2) Å with the isotropic displacement parameters of the hydrogen atoms set as riding with an extension factor of 1.5. The final refinement converged to $R_{obs}/wR_{obs} = 0.0846/0.0589$ for 3287 independent observed reflections, with $I > 3\sigma(I)$. With a refined occupancy of $W_{1.20(1)}Fe_{0.80(1)}$ the structural formula is

$$(Ba_{0.32}Pb_{0.08})_{\Sigma 0.40}[(U^{6+}O_2)_2W^{6+}_{1.2}Fe^{3+}_{0.8}O_{7.2}(H_2O)_{3.2}]$$

for $Z = 2$. The complete CIF file, also
containing a block with the full reflection data, is deposited as Supplemental material. Selected interatomic distances are reported in Table 2, the full bond-valence analysis (following general rules given by Brown 1981, 2002, and using bond-valence parameters from Gagné and Hawthorne 2015) is provided as Supplementary Table S1.

**DESCRIPTION OF THE STRUCTURE**

The structure of uranotungstite contains fifteen independent atom sites (non-hydrogen) in the asymmetric unit. There are two unique U sites; one unique mixed (W,Fe) site; one partially occupied, interlayer (Ba,Pb) mixed site, and eleven O sites of which two (labeled O3 and O10) correspond to interlayer H2O/OH groups (Fig. 4). The U sites are coordinated by seven O atoms; two of them (further designated as O_{Ur}) represent strongly bonded atoms in the UO$_2^{2+}$ ion at a distance of ~1.8 Å from the central U atom (Evans 1963; Burns et al. 1997a; Lussier et al. 2016). The other five atoms of the UO$_7$ polyhedra are localized at the horizontal apices of the pentagonal bipyramid. The mixed (W,Fe) site is coordinated by five ligands up to 2 Å in the form of a tetragonal pyramid. The (Ba,Pb) site is coordinated to eight ligands (up to 3 Å), including two O_{Ur} atoms (O6 and O8), the apical O atom of the (W,Fe)-polyhedra (symmetrically related O5), and H$_2$O groups (O10 in the (010) plane) (Tables 2, S1).

The UO$_7$ polyhedra share edges to form infinite chains of polyhedra extending along [010] that are interconnected via (W,Fe)O$_5$ polyhedra (Fig. 5). The uranyl-anion topology of the uranotungstite sheet is shown in Fig. 4b. This sheet is built from pentagons, squares, and triangles; pentagons and squares are centered by U$^{VI}$ and (W,Fe), while the centers of triangles are not occupied in uranotungstite. The sheet topologically belongs to that of the β-U$_3$O$_8$ type, which is characterized by the sequence …DRU… (Fig. 5c) (Miller et al. 1996; Burns 2005).
Additionally, apart from the structure of \( \beta\)-U\(_3\)O\(_8\) (Loopstra 1970), such a sheet was also found in the structures of ianthinite (Burns et al. 1997), billietite (Finch et al. 2006), spriggite (Brugger et al. 2006), rameauite (Plášil et al. 2016), shinkolobweite (Olds et al. 2017), and nollmotzite (Plášil et al. 2018). The stacking of the U-(W,Fe)-O sheets parallel to (001) is based on the alternation of U-(W,Fe)-O sheets of 3.94 Å thickness with, on one side, a layer occupied only by water molecules (O3) (layer thickness 2.14 Å) and, on the other side, a layer containing a (Ba,Pb) site and an H\(_2\)O (O10) molecule with a layer thickness of 3.49 Å (Fig. 6). In this way, the unit cell has a general stacking sequence built from four layers: U-(W,Fe)-O sheet | H\(_2\)O/OH | U-(W,Fe)-O sheet | (Ba,Pb)–H\(_2\)O. The layered character of the uranotungstite structure explains the perfect cleavage of the mineral parallel to (001) and its platy crystal habit.

**DISCUSSION**

The chemical formula of uranotungstite

The chemical formula of uranotungstite is interesting in many ways as all the reported analyzed specimens of uranotungstite exhibit a wide compositional diversity. This is evident in the type material found in the Menzenschwand deposit with a high amount of W Pb, Ba, and Fe, to specimens with only traces of Pb and Ba from the Clara mine and others, containing additional traces of several other elements like V, Mg, or Cu. Another example comes from the aforementioned uranotungstite from Les Montmions in France that is Pb-dominant, with only traces of Ba, Cu, P, and As (Cuchet et al. 2000). From 3D ED data collected at the nanoscale from Menzenschwand sample 2, the (W,Fe) site (W\(_{1.2}\)Fe\(_{0.8}\))\(_{\Sigma2}\) appears fully occupied, unlike what is shown by the EPMA data (sample 1) at a larger scale with (W\(_{0.98}\)Fe\(_{0.26}\))\(_{\Sigma1.24}\). In uranotungstite, the cationic disorder and the vacancies in the Ba/Pb and W/Fe sites offer four theoretically
possible local conformations labeled A, B, C, and D where the amount of water molecules and/or hydroxyl groups is variable (Fig. 4); in the following discussion, $x$ is the number of OH+H$_2$O visible in the refined model, and $n$ is the theoretical number of additional water molecules in the interlayer. While those conformations are only representing local ordering schemes and end-members, they allow a better understanding of the significant chemical variability observed between the two studied samples first, and, to a larger extent, in uranotungstite samples from different localities. The two theoretical conformations A and D are defined as the two end-members with formulas (UO$_2$)$_2$W$_2$O$_8$(H$_2$O)$_2$ and (UO$_2$)$_2$(OH)$_4$(H$_2$O)$_6$. They are considered as end-members as they both exhibit an empty interlayer in the absence of (Ba, Pb) and its associated H$_2$O (O10). In conformation B, the presence of Ba and W gives a formula (Ba,Pb)(UO$_2$)$_2$W$_2$O$_8$(OH)$_2$(H$_2$O) that promotes a hydroxyl group allowing three H$_2$O/OH ($x = 3$). On the other hand, the presence of Fe$^{III}$ in the W/Fe (conformation C) site brings three additional negative charges, and the concomitant presence of Ba/Pb is a necessary condition to enable charge neutrality, leading to an ideal hypothetical W-free composition of (Ba,Pb)(UO$_2$)$_2$Fe$_2$O$_6$(H$_2$O)$_5$ ($x = 5$). In conformations B and C the stacking of the layers is stabilized by covalent and strong hydrogen bonds and there is no obvious spare space to host additional interlayer water molecules ($n$) (Fig. 4a). However, from our structural model, in the two end-members A ($x = 2$) and D ($x = 10$), the stacking of the U-W/Fe layers is ensured by strong hydrogen bonds on one side whereas, on the other side, the empty interlayer (Ba,Pb,H$_2$O) has to be filled by additional interlayer H$_2$O molecules to allow the layers to be connected. In theory, for each conformation A and D (for $Z = 2$), the interlayer can host at least $n = 2$ additional H$_2$O (Fig. 4b). Results of the 3D ED data show 60% and 40% of the conformations A and C, respectively. The refinement shows clearly that the B conformation is not significantly present as
the amount of (Ba,Pb), when freely refined, barely compensates the negative charge brought by
the presence of Fe$^{III}$ (conformation C) on the (W,Fe) site and was later restricted to ensure charge
balance. It means that there is not enough (Ba,Pb) to allow conformation B to occur in the two
crystals used for the structural analysis. On the other hand, the EMPA data of sample 1, which
were measured at a larger scale, document the presence of 49% of conformation B, 13% of C,
and 38% of D (Fig. 4). Therefore, two uranotungstite compositions are determined: EMPA of
sample 1 gives a formula (Ba$_{0.35}$Pb$_{0.27}$)$_{0.62}$[(U$^{VI}$O$_2$)$_2$(W$^{VI}$$_{0.98}$Fe$^{III}$$_{0.26}$□$_{0.75}$)O$_{4.75}$(OH)$_{1.75}$(H$_2$O)$_{1.67}$, whereas 3D ED and TEM-EDS of sample 2, distinctly poorer in Pb, but richer in Fe,
leads to (Ba$_{0.32}$Pb$_{0.08}$)$_{0.40}$[(U$^{VI}$O$_2$)$_2$W$^{VI}$$_{1.2}$Fe$^{III}$$_{0.8}$O$_{7.2}$](H$_2$O)$_{1.75}$(H$_2$O)$_{1.45}$. Considering the possible
additional water molecules allowed by the conformations A and D, the 3D ED model contains
from $x = 3.2$ to $x + n \approx 4.4$ water molecules while the EMPA data correspond to a range from $x =
5.9$ to $x + n \approx 6.7$ molecules.

Using the same structural model, the compositions differ by their proportions of Ba/Pb and
W/Fe as well as for the bulk occupancies of these two cationic sites. However, Ba and W always
remain dominant over Pb and Fe, and no other elements are detected. In that regard, the cation
proportions are in line with the compositional characteristics of the type material. The difference
between the formula derived by EPMA and the refinement of 3D ED data is easily explained by
the possible local variation of the samples’ compositions. Our 3D ED study is based on the two
best-crystallized areas found during the experiment and might not represent uranotungstite's bulk
composition. It demonstrates that the structure of uranotungstite allows and is characterized by a
significant chemical variability. Because the EPMA data are more representative of the bulk
composition, the ideal formula of uranotungstite is set accordingly as

(Ba$_{0.35}$Pb$_{0.27}$)$_{0.62}$[(U$^{VI}$O$_2$)$_2$(W$^{VI}$$_{0.98}$Fe$^{III}$$_{0.26}$□$_{0.75}$)O$_{4.75}$(OH)$_{1.5}$(H$_2$O)$_{1.75}$(H$_2$O)$_{1.67}$. From this formula,
the ideal composition of the U-W/Fe-O sheet shown in Fig. 6 becomes 

\[(\text{UO}_2)_2(\text{WFe}_{0.25\text{□}0.75})\text{O}_{4.75}\text{(OH)}_{1.75})^{0.25-}\text{, with two interlayers }[(\text{Ba}_{0.35}\text{Pb}_{0.27})\Sigma_{0.625-}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{0.625}]^{1.25+}\text{ and }[(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{OH})]^{-}.\]

The chemical formula obtained from the results of the crystal-structure refinement and EPMA is not consistent with the formula given by Walenta (1985) in the original description. First, it is unambiguous that Fe\text{III} partially occupies the W\text{VI} site and does not exist as isolated cations in the interlayer space; only Ba\text{II}/Pb\text{II} cations and \text{H}_2\text{O} molecules are localized there. Furthermore, it is clear that the simplified formula given by Walenta (1985), (\text{Fe}_{\text{II}},\text{Ba},\text{Pb})(\text{UO}_2)_2(\text{WO}_4)(\text{OH})_4\cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}, as well as the current IMA-accepted formula, \text{Fe}((\text{UO}_2)_2(\text{WO}_4)(\text{OH})_4\cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}, both differ from the presently obtained formula, namely in the overall \text{H}_2\text{O} content (considered as \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{OH}). While these formulae contain 14 \text{H}_2\text{O} in total, our results indicate a much lower content, up to about 6 \text{H}_2\text{O} molecules per formula unit (OH + \text{H}_2\text{O}). Adding the theoretical \(n\) \text{H}_2\text{O} to the formula brings the number up to 7, still far from the 14 \text{H}_2\text{O} of Walenta (1985). The \text{H}_2\text{O} content given by Walenta (1985) was determined by calculations of the weight loss after heating a sample up to 350 °C, however, without any details given. According to Walenta (1985), the electron microprobe analyses were then recalculated, including the corresponding weight (in wt. %) of \text{H}_2\text{O} obtained from the heating. Since there are no details in the paper by Walenta (1985) we cannot exclude that the sample was contaminated and we should consider these results with caution.

The newly undertaken chemical analysis of uranotungstite holotype material nonetheless documented that the cation content of the formula given initially by Walenta (1985) is not so far from that determined here. The redetermined composition of the holotype uranotungstite from Menzenschwand by EPMA, (\text{Ba}_{0.35}\text{Pb}_{0.27})\Sigma_{0.625}((\text{UVI})_2(\text{WVI}_{0.99}\text{Fe}_{\text{III}0.26\text{□}0.75})\text{O}_{4.75}\text{(OH)}_{1.5-}
(H₂O)₁.₇₅(H₂O)₁.₆₇ (H₂O from the stoichiometry derived by the structure determination),
demonstrates that it is Ba-dominant, with some variations in the Ba:Pb ratio. The studied
aggregates from the type specimen contain neither K nor Bi. The IMA formula, which considers
the mineral as Fe²⁺-dominant, should therefore be revised entirely.

**IMPLICATIONS**

In contrast to schoepite-related minerals and phases formed during the initial stages of
uraninite alteration, uranotungstite belongs to the group of supergene phases derived from the
long-term alteration of uraninite, similarly to, e.g., the uranyl-oxide mineral uranosphaerite,
Bi(UO₂)O₂(OH) (Hughes et al. 2003; Plášil 2018; Colmenero et al. 2020). Those uranyl-oxide
minerals that belong to the long-term alteration products are characteristic concerning their high
molar proportion of incorporated metal elements by comparison to the content of molecular H₂O
in their crystal structures (Finch and Ewing 1992; Plášil 2018). These metal elements are usually
released from the gradually weathering uraninite (such as radiogenic Pb) and host rocks (Na, K,
Ca). In the case of uranotungstite, the molar proportion of metal cations to molecular H₂O is
0.31:0.43 (from crystal-structure data) and the molar Me:U ratio is 0.31:0.26, thus matching the
region of “relic” phases (cf. Plášil 2018).

Among others, we have investigated “uranotungstite” specimens from the Medvědín uranium
deposit that enabled a more detailed look at the mineral association at the micro-scale. A
uranotungstite-like mineral (containing Bi dominating over Pb) from Medvědín belongs
undoubtedly to the “relic” phases. This conclusion is supported by the mineral assemblage (Fig.
7a) comprising uranophane-α (forming pseudomorphs after pitchblende and tiny veins), tabular
crystals of salééite and torbernite, and their relics, U-bearing to U-rich bismutoferrite, and,
additionally, the Bi-dominant uranotungstite-like mineral. The latter forms relatively compact aggregates, to 10 µm across, embedded in the relics of torbernite and saléeite (Fig. 7b). The high chemical variability and potentially close structural relationship of a large number of related Ba/Pb/Fe/W/Bi-substituted "uranotungstite-like" structures, which remain unclear at the moment, prompted further research into the mineralogy of weathered U(Bi/W)-deposits. We are convinced that such research can be important due to implications for nuclear waste management as Bi was a very common element used during the production of Pu since the 1940s.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIGURE 1 Uranotungstite (orange spherules) from Menzenschwand uranium deposit used for PEDT experiment (sample 2). Associated are uranophane-α (as very thin prismatic crystals) in a vug of quartz-dominant gangue (smoky quartz to dark amethyst). Field of view 2 mm, photographed by Pavel Škácha.

FIGURE 2 EDS spectrum of one of the uranotungstite fragments used for the structure determination by 3D electron diffraction techniques. Major Cu peak is due to copper-grid used for sample deposition.

FIGURE 3. Left: Uranotungstite crystals selected for the 3D ED data among the accompanying francevillite crystals. Right: Two sections, $h0l$ and $hk0$, of the reciprocal space reconstructed in PETS2 (Palatinus et al. 2019).

FIGURE 4. a) Possible local conformations A, B, C, and D of the uranotungstite structure due to the cationic disorder and b) a corresponding number of $x$ (blue) and $n$ theoretical (grey) hydroxyl and water molecules allowed by each local conformation. $x$ is the number of OH+H$_2$O visible in the refined model, $n$ is the theoretical number of additional water molecules in the interlayer.

FIGURE 5. Structural sheets in uranotungstite of the $\beta$-$U_3O_8$ type: a) uranyl-tungstate sheet perpendicular to $c$ (color scheme same as in the previous figure); unit-cell edges are outlined in black solid lines; b) graph representation of the topology; c) corresponding chains: $D$ – pentagons with triangles oriented down, $R$ – rectangles; $U$ – pentagons with triangles oriented up.

FIGURE 6. Crystal structure of uranotungstite viewed down the monoclinic $b$-axis. Color scheme: UO$_7$ polyhedra yellow, (W,Fe)O$_5$ polyhedra green, Ba/Pb-O polyhedra light green, O atoms red, H atoms light grey; unit-cell edges outlined in black solid lines.

FIGURE 7. Uranotungstite from Medvědín BSE images of a polished sample). a) Entire mineral assemblage including uranophane (Urp-α) replacing pitchblende, U-bearing to U-rich bismutoferrite (Bif). The rest of the matrix comprises relics of probable uranyl micas: saléeite and torbernite. Utgs – uranotungstite. b) Detailed view of the area marked with a red square in a). Spherules of uranotungstite (Utgs) are embedded in torbernite (Tor) and saléeite (Slé) relics. The rim of a veinlet formed by saléeite is formed by U-bearing bismutoferrite (Bif).
Table 1. Chemical composition (in wt.% oxides, from EPMA) of the holotype specimen of uranotungstite from Menzenschwand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Stand. Dev.</th>
<th>Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>5.30–5.80</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>vanadinite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.54–5.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>baryte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.55–1.73</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>andradite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO₃</td>
<td>20.65</td>
<td>19.87–22.04</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>syn. ZnWO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UO₃</td>
<td>51.35</td>
<td>50.63–53.65</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>parsonsite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>83.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Selected bond distances (Å) for uranotungstite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U–O</th>
<th>W1/Fe1–O</th>
<th>O–H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U1–O2</td>
<td>1.797(12)</td>
<td>W1/Fe1–O3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1–O7</td>
<td>2.404(7)</td>
<td>W1/Fe1–O4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1–O7</td>
<td>2.404(7)</td>
<td>W1/Fe1–O5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1–O8</td>
<td>1.793(12)</td>
<td>W1/Fe1–O7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1–O9</td>
<td>2.287(6)</td>
<td>W1/Fe1–O9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1–O9</td>
<td>2.287(6)</td>
<td>W1/Fe1–O11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1–O11</td>
<td>2.387(9)</td>
<td>Ba1/Pb1–O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2–O1</td>
<td>1.802(12)</td>
<td>Ba1/Pb1–O5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2–O4</td>
<td>2.446(9)</td>
<td>Ba1/Pb1–O5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2–O6</td>
<td>1.790(12)</td>
<td>Ba1/Pb1–O5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2–O7</td>
<td>2.197(6)</td>
<td>Ba1/Pb1–O5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2–O7</td>
<td>2.197(6)</td>
<td>Ba1/Pb1–O6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2–O9</td>
<td>2.343(7)</td>
<td>Ba1/Pb1–O8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2–O9</td>
<td>2.343(7)</td>
<td>Ba1/Pb1–O10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ba1/Pb1–O10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>