1	Experimental constraints on mantle sulfide melting up to 8 GPa (Revision 2)
2	ZHOU ZHANG ^{1,*} AND MARC M. HIRSCHMANN ¹
3	¹ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414, U.S.A
4	* E-mail: zhan1721@umn.edu

5

ABSTRACT

6 We present high pressure experiments up to 8 GPa that constrain the solidus and liquidus of a composition, Fe_{0.69}Ni_{0.23}Cu_{0.01}S_{1.00}, typical of upper mantle sulfide. Solidus and liquids brackets 7 8 of this monosulfide are parameterized according to a relation similar to the Simon-Glatzel $T(^{\circ}C)=1015.1[P(GPa)/1.88+1]^{0.206}$ 9 equation, vielding, respectively, and $T(^{\circ}C)=1067.3[P(\text{GPa})/1.19+1]^{0.149}$ (1 $\leq P \leq 8$). The solidus fit is accurate within ± 15 °C over the 10 pressure intervals 1-3.5 GPa and within \pm 30 °C over the pressure intervals 3.5-8.0 GPa. The 11 solidus of the material examined is cooler than the geotherm for convecting mantle, but hotter 12 than typical continental geotherms, suggesting that sulfide is molten or partially molten through 13 much of the convecting upper mantle, but potentially solid in the continental mantle. However, 14 the material examined is one of the more refractory among the spectrum of natural mantle sulfide 15 16 compositions. This, together with the solidus-lowering effects of O and C not constrained by the present experiments, indicates that the experimentally-derived melting curves are upper bounds 17 on sulfide melting in the Earth's upper mantle and that the regions where sulfide is molten are 18 19 likely extensive in both the convecting upper mantle and, potentially, the deeper parts of the oceanic and continental lithosphere, including common source regions of many diamonds. 20

21

Keywords: Sulfide, mantle, solidus, melting, experimental constraint, calibration

22

INTRODUCTION

23 Molten sulfides are important geochemical and geophysical agents in Earth's interior. Sulfide mineral and melt are the chief carriers of siderophile and chalcophile elements in the upper 24 mantle (Pearson et al. 2003) and mobilization of sulfide melts may produce fractionated 25 chalcophile and platinum group element (PGE) patterns (Alard et al. 2000, 2002; Ballhaus et al. 26 2006; Bockrath et al. 2004; Delpech et al. 2012; Hart and Gaetani 2006; Li and Audétat 2012; 27 28 Powell and O'Reilly 2007). Furthermore, sulfides are key hosts of Os, Pb, and potentially He and therefore play an important role in evolution of important isotopic systems (Hart and Gaetani 29 2006; Huang et al. 2014; Pearson et al. 2002; Roy-Barman et al. 1998). Consequently, they are 30 31 widely-used targets for Re-Os and Pb-Pb geochronologic studies (Pearson et al. 1998, 2003) but interpretation of resulting ages depends on sulfide parageneses. Sulfide melts are also potentially 32 responsible for mantle geophysical anomalies, as their physical properties differ greatly from 33 those of silicates. These include higher density, surface tension, electrical conductivity and lower 34 melting points (Bockrath et al. 2004; Helffrich et al. 2011; Mungall and Su 2005). For example, 35 36 it has been speculated that sulfide melts are responsible for seismic anomalies at ~ 100 km in 37 continental cratons (Helffrich et al. 2011).

A key feature of natural sulfide is that it may be molten in large parts of the mantle (e.g., Bockrath et al. 2004; Hart and Gaetani 2006) and so constraining its geochemical and geophysical role requires defining the conditions of sulfide melt stability. Although detailed one atmosphere studies have explored the Fe-Ni-S phase diagrams at 900 °C-1350 °C (e.g., Hseih et al. 1987; Waldner and Pelton 2004 and references therein), the majority of high pressures studies on sulfide melting to date have been performed on simple stoichiometric or eutectic compositions (Boehler 1992, 1996; Ryzhenko and Kennedy 1979; Sharp 1969; Usselman 1975). Many of these have focused on the influence of sulfide on core formation, and so have examined relations in metal-rich compositions, including studies to very high pressures (Boehler 1992; Fei et al. 1997; Morard et al. 2011; Stewart et al. 2007). But fewer studies have considered melting relations of compositions appropriate for the modern upper mantle, and these have been limited to relatively low (\leq 3.5 GPa) pressures (Ballhaus et al. 2006; Bockrath et al. 2004).

Comparison of experimental studies of stoichiometric sulfides to those conducted in metal-rich 50 51 sulfide-metal eutectics shows that melting temperatures are strongly variable depending on metal/sulfide ratios (Fig. 1). Further, substitution of Ni and Cu for Fe also influences melting 52 temperatures (Hseih et al. 1987; Urakawa et al. 1987). Consequently, understanding melting of 53 upper mantle sulfides requires perspective on the range of compositions likely to be present. 54 Compositions of natural mantle sulfides are quite variable, in part owing to their tendency to 55 exsolve on cooling (Pearson and Wittig 2014; Richardson et al. 2001). The most reliable records 56 derive from reintegrated compositions from inclusions in olivine or diamond, studies of which 57 (Aulbach et al. 2009; Westerlund et al. 2006) indicate that most upper mantle sulfides have 58 compositions close to monosulfide stoichiometry with metal/sulfide (M/S) ratios typically 59 between 0.9-1.2 (Fig. 2). 60

Previous experimental constraints on the high pressure melting of monosulfide similar to natural mantle composition derive chiefly from the studies of Bockrath et al. (2004) and Ballhaus et al. (2006). Bockrath et al. (2004) documented the melting interval of a bulk composition 2 with an M/S ratio of 0.93, which is at the low end of the range present in natural mantle compositions (Fig. 2a; Table 1). Ballhaus et al. (2006) reported further compositional details about the phases produced by melting at 2 and extended this work to include two more metal-rich compositions, with M/S of 1.06 and 2 with M/S of 1.11. All three compositions had Ni contents (15.5 wt.%)

8/5

68 appropriate for mantle sulfides as calculated Fe-Ni exchange equilibrium with mantle olivine, 69 and small amounts of Cu. The solidus of the low M/S 2 is near 1075°C at 0.1 MPa and 1275 °C 70 near 3 GPa, placing it below the convecting mantle adiabat (Katsura et al. 2010), above typical continental geotherms (Pollack and Chapman 1977), and similar to temperatures for 71 intermediate-age oceanic lithosphere (Turcotte and Schubert 2002). More metal rich 72 compositions 2 and 2 have markedly lower solidi: that of 2 is 75-100 °C lower than for 2. The 73 solidus of 2 appears to be as low as 800 °C and with very little pressure dependence, perhaps 74 because its composition falls outside of the field for mss (Fig. 2b). It likely has more than one 75 phase below the solidus and so its melting behavior is similar to that at the Fe-FeS or (Fe,Ni)S-76 77 (Fe,Ni)O eutectics (Fig. 1).

Together, these data indicate that mantle sulfide is partially molten in the hotter parts of the 78 upper mantle, but significant questions remain. The experiments on natural monosulfide (mss) 79 compositions extend only to 3.5 GPa and so do not pertain to the deeper parts of continental 80 lithosphere or the oceanic low velocity zone. Also, constraints on the liquidus are absent for 🛛 81 and \square and produce curious results for \square , indicating a concave upward trend that is contrary to 82 expected melting behavior (e.g., Hart and Gaetani 2006). Here we present partial melting 83 84 experiments on a bulk composition similar to I up to 8 GPa. Our purpose is to verify the solidus and liquidus determined by Bockrath et al. (2004), and to determine the phase relations of this 85 relatively refractory composition to higher pressure. An additional motivation is to refine 86 pressure and temperature calibrations in the piston cylinder and multi-anvil devices, to enhance 87 88 the reliability of the sulfide melting results.

89

90

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

91 Starting materials and preparation of sample capsules

Experimental starting materials were prepared by mixing Alfa-Aesar reagents including Fe (99.9% powder), FeS₂ (99.9% powder), Ni (99.8% powder) and Cu (99.9% powder). The mixture was homogenized by grinding under ethanol in an agate mortar for 1 hour. After mixing, samples were dried at 110 °C in a vacuum oven for 5 minutes. Subsequently, starting mixes were stored in a sealed glass container in a sealed glass desiccator to avoid oxidation. Subsequent electron microprobe analysis of post-experiment samples indicate <0.6 wt.% oxygen, suggesting minimal oxidation of the starting material.

99 Starting mixtures were loaded into silica glass capsules, which consisted of a tube closed with a 100 cap on both ends. For piston cylinder experiments, tubes were 2 mm long with inner and outer 101 diameters of 2 and 3 mm and the caps were each 1 mm long. For multi-anvil experiments, the 102 tubes were 1 mm long, with inner and outer diameters of 1 and 2 mm, and caps were 1 mm long.

103 High-pressure experiments

Experiments were performed using an end-loaded piston cylinder (PC) device and a 1000-ton Walker-style multi-anvil (MA) apparatus using procedures described in detail by Xirouchakis et al. (2001) and Dasgupta et al. (2004). The PC experiments were conducted in 1.27 cm diameter pressure vessels from 0.8-3.3 GPa using a hot piston in technique, with hydraulic pressure maintained constant during the run period and with temperature controlled by a type B ($Pt_{70}Rh_{30}/$ $Pt_{94}Rh_6$) thermocouple located 1 mm above the top of the capsule. Assemblies consisted of BaCO₃ cells with MgO spacers and a graphite heater. MgO assemblies were dried at 1000 °C for 8-15 hours and stored in a drying oven at 110 °C. The MA experiments were conducted at 2.7-8.0 GPa using 18/12 OEL/TEL assemblies, including cast MgO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-Cr₂O₃ octahedra and integrated gasket fins. Straight-walled graphite furnaces and type C ($W_{74}Re_{26}/W_{95}Re_5$) thermocouples were used for all MA experiments and are located ~1 mm from the sulfide charges. Samples were compressed to target pressures, dwelling for 5 hours to allow assembly stabilization and then heated to the target temperature. Both PC and MA experiments were quenched by power termination. Individual experimental run conditions are detailed in Table 3.

118 Pressure and temperature calibration experiments

To ensure that experimental temperatures and pressures were accurate for the conditions of the sulfide melting experiments, we conducted several new calibrations to refine previous documentation of PC and MA performance in the UMN experimental petrology laboratory by Xirouchakis et al. (2001), Dasgupta et al. (2004), Withers et al. (2011) and Tenner et al. (2012). Pressure calibrations were conducted against the quartz-coesite transition (Bohlen and Boettcher 1982; Bose and Ganguly 1995). Temperature calibration was conducted against the melting temperature of Au (Mirwald and Kennedy 1979).

For quartz-coesite experiments in the PC device, a homogeneous mixture of the two phases (50:50) was sealed in Pt capsules. The quartz was taken from a crushed natural gem-quality crystal. The coesite was presynthesized from Corning silica glass in a graphite capsule at 900 °C and 4 GPa, based on previous syntheses conducted by Luo et al. 2002, and verified by XRD. Each experiment lasted 2 to 12 hours at the target temperature and pressure and then the Pt capsule was cut and the portion of the post-run product that had been closest to the thermocouple was collected for XRD analysis. Because reaction was not always complete, the intensities of the

133 XRD peaks of experimental samples were compared to those of the starting mixture. In the MA 134 apparatus, the silica glass capsule sample lids from sulfide melting experiments were examined 135 post-experiment. The top lid of the silica capsule was recovered and analyzed by Raman 136 Spectroscopy and ground to a powder for XRD.

For the Au melting experiments, a 2-mm diameter and 2-mm length hole was drilled into the 137 MgO spacers in the same geometry as the capsule would residue during a normal experiment, 138 139 and the hole was filled with NaCl powder into which an Au wire was placed. The sample was heated to 30 °C below the target temperature and held for 2 hours to ensure pressure stabilization. 140 After that, temperature increased by 10 °C/min to the target temperature. The experiment was 141 142 held at the target temperature and pressure for 6 minutes and was then quenched to room temperature. The post-run sample was placed in a beaker filled with water, thereby dissolving the 143 NaCl. Au spheroids indicated melting whereas relict wires remained in subsolidus melting 144 145 experiments (Fig. 3).

146 Analytical methods

Following sulfide melting experiments, the assembly was gradually decompressed to minimize 147 sample fracturing and the recovered capsules were mounted in epoxy and ground to expose the 148 medial section of the charges. Owing to the low hardness of sulfide, the sample was impregnated 149 with epoxy prior to grinding. Polishing was conducted with diamond polishing pads, starting 150 from 9.0-micrometer grit down to 0.5-micrometer. Run products and standards were carbon 151 152 coated and analyzed using a JEOL JXA8900R electron microprobe by WDS analyses with an 153 accelerating voltage of 15 kV and a probe current of 20 nA. Counting times were 20 s on peak centroid and 10 s on each background for all elements. Oxygen was measured using a multilayer 154

155 crystal (LDE2 with 2d = 9.7nm). Primary standards used for major elements analysis were pyrite 156 (FeS₂) for Fe and S, Ni metal for (Ni), chalcocite (Cu₂S) for Cu and S, and magnetite (Fe₃O₄) for 157 O. Troilite was used as a secondary standard for Fe and S. Pyrite was used as a blank for oxygen. 158 We employed a focused beam on crystalline sulfides and a defocused beam (1-20 micron 159 diameter) on quenched melts.

160

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

161 **Pressure and temperature calibration**

Comparison of the quartz-coesite reaction at 1100 °C bracketed by the PC with the reaction 162 163 determined by Bohlen and Boettcher (1982) and Bose and Ganguly (1995) suggests a pressure 164 correction of -0.4 GPa (Table 2). For the MA, the coesite-quartz bracket 1230-1250 °C in this 165 study falls between the previously-determined force-pressure curves determined at low 166 temperature (1000-1200 °C, Dasgupta et al. 2004), and high temperature (1440-1700 °C, unpublished data) (Fig. 4a) and suggests a similar force-pressure relationship to that from 167 enstatite-pyrope experiments in the same assembly at 1300-1400 °C (Tenner et al. 2012). 168 Because the high temperature and low temperature F-P curves converge at high pressure, the 169 170 intermediate temperature quartz-coesite and enstatite-pyrope brackets can be used to construct a 171 force-pressure calibration curve valid from 3 to 8 GPa (Fig. 4a).

Comparison of gold melting experiments to the Au fusion curve of Mirwald et al. (1975) and Mirwald and Kennedy (1979) indicate that sample temperatures are 10-15 and 15-20 °C hotter than thermocouple readings for the PC and MA assemblies, respectively. The former is in good agreement with the 12 °C offset determined in the PC from multiple thermocouple measurements (Xirouchakis et al. 2001) and the latter is similar to that estimated by previous studies in the MA

(Dasgupta et al. 2004; Withers and Hirschmann, 2007; Tenner et al. 2012). All sulfide melting
temperatures and pressures reported in Table 1 have been adjusted for the pressure and
temperature calibrations reported here.

The pressure calibration determined for the BaCO₃ assemblies in the PC at 1100 °C suggest a 180 181 friction correction of -12%, which is nearly twice to that determined at comparable pressures in the same assembly in the UMN laboratory at 1250 °C based on the anorthite breakdown reaction 182 183 (Xirouchakis et al. 2001). The difference is presumably owing to greater friction at lower temperature. Previous studies for BaCO₃ assemblies at comparable pressures have found similar 184 friction corrections (15% Fram and Longhi 1992; 9% McDade et al. 2002). In contrast to results 185 186 in the UMN laboratory, McDade et al. (2002) found friction in the BaCO₃ pressure assembly to be independent of temperature from 1000-1600 °C. It remains unclear whether differences in 187 188 calibration are owing to subtle differences in assemblies or piston cylinder performance, but comparison between different calibrations suggest that interlaboratory pressure accuracies for PC 189 190 experiments are, in best cases, ± 0.1 GPa.

191 Phase relations, solidus, and liquidus determinations

Phase relations were determined chiefly from textural and compositional observations based on optical backscattered electron (BSE) images (Fig. 5) and electron microprobe analyses (Table 3) Combining both textures and compositions, phase relations are determined on melt and mss. On the one hand, textures of mss and melt are different based on the observation of optical images and backscattered electron (BSE) images. Mss in the post-run charge is homogenous granular grains in texture; melt was quenched into crystals with a wormlike intergrowth texture. On the other hand, Cu, Ni, Fe and S are fractionated between melt and mss if both phases coexist and

8/5

199 equilibrate at high temperature before quenching, with Cu and Ni being incompatible in the Fe-200 Ni-Cu-S monosulfide system (discussion in the next section below). In addition, mss phases are 201 homogenous by composition at micron scale while quenched melts are highly heterogeneous by 202 composition at micron scale. This is the reason for the employment of a focused beam on 203 crystalline sulfides and a defocused beam (1-20 micron diameter) on quenched melts. From the 204 perspective of polishing, due to the hardness contrast between quartz and sulfide, post-run 205 products were polished with prevalent cracks on the surface. During polishing, subsolidus 206 aggregates tend to disintegrate whereas superliquidus and partially melted experiments do not 207 tend to disintegrate.

208 Exposed charges were unavoidably pervasively cracked during polishing, owing to the hardness 209 contrast between sulfide charges and surrounding silica minerals. In some cases, this prevented high quality polishes across the entire charge, but sufficient material was always exposed to 210 allow textural and compositional analysis. Selected BSE images are shown in Fig. 5 and electron 211 212 microprobe analyses are reported in Table 3. The subsolidus samples consist of a homogeneous 213 single monosulfide (mss) phase with granular texture and grain diameters typically 100-300 214 microns (Fig. 5a) with a composition that in all cases is within analytical uncertainty of the 215 starting material (Fig. 5a). Experiments that underwent partial melting produced two phases (Fig. 216 5b): a homogenous Fe-rich mss phase granular mss crystals typically > 100 microns and a Ni-Cu 217 rich heterogeneous phase material that, following quench, consists of smaller (50 micron) grains 218 interspersed with darker (on BSE) with wormlike intergrowths between grains textures. Crystals 219 and quenched melt are well-segregated by gravity. Electron microprobe analyses indicate 220 compositions that are more heterogeneous than subsolidus mss, but the bulk compositions are 221 comparable to that of the starting composition (Fig. 5b). Experiments inferred to have been superliquidus quench to textures consisting of two sulfide phases in a wormlike intergrowth,
similar to those produce by partially molten samples with the same composition as the starting
material within starting powder mixing uncertainty and analytical uncertainty (Fig. 5c).
Additional textural evidence that aided the interpretation of solidus location was the behavior
during polishing: subsolidus aggregates tended to disintegrate, whereas superliquidus and
partially melted experiments were more cohesive.

228 Crystalline mss produced in subsolidus experiments are compositionally homogeneous within 229 analytical uncertainty and are not distinguishable from the bulk composition (Table 3). When 230 melt and crystals coexist at high temperature, granular sulfides are compositionally 231 homogeneous and enriched in Fe and S and poor in Ni and Cu relative to the bulk composition. 232 In comparison, the quenched melt phase is heterogeneous on a micron scale, necessitating analysis with an unfocussed electron beam. The quenched melt phase is depleted in Fe and S and 233 234 enriched in Ni and Cu relative to the crystalline solids or the bulk composition. In one case (Experiment M794, 6.9 GPa, 1400 °C), quenched melt was observed but coexisting granular 235 236 crystals were not evident. However, sub-liquidus conditions were inferred because the melt 237 composition was enriched in Cu and Ni relative to the bulk composition. Melts interpreted to be quenched from superliquidus conditions based on textural features are also heterogeneous, but 238 239 have compositions within analytical uncertainty of the starting composition.

Experimental determinations of the mss solidus and liquidus up to 8 GPa can be fit with an empirical relation that minimizes the disagreement between observations and the computed curve according to a penalty function as described by Hirschmann (2000), for which an objective variable, *Y*, given by $Y=\Sigma(T_i)^2$, is minimized, where T_i is given by

$$= (T_i - T_{model})^2;$$
 if the assemblage observed at T_i disagrees with T_{model}
 T_i
=0; if the assemblage observed at T_i agrees with T_{model}

and T_{model} is the temperature calculated from the solidus or liquidus curve. We examined several types of functions to fit to the solidus and liquidus brackets, including polynomial and logarithmic forms, and though the differences among these fits are small, ultimately chose to employ an equation similar to that proposed by Simon and Glatzel (1929),

248
$$T = T_{ref} \left(\frac{P}{a} + 1\right)^c$$

where *T* is temperature (°C) and *P* is pressure (GPa). For the solidus up to 8 GPa, we find T_{ref} =1015.1°C, *a*=1.88, and *c*=0.206 (0≤P≤8) (Y=768), and, for the liquidus, T_{ref} =1067.3 °C, *a*=1.19 and c=0.149 (Fig. 6).

In this study, the solidus fit $T(^{\circ}C)=1015.1[P(GPa)/1.88+1]^{0.206}$, is thought to be accurate within ± 15 °C over the pressure interval from 1 to 3.5 GPa and within ± 30 °C from 3.5 to 8.0 GPa, owing to different temperature uncertainties in PC and MA devices and different *P-T* densities of experimental brackets (typically 25 °C for PC and 50 °C for MA experiments). The fit from 0.1 MPa to 0.8 GPa might be less accurate because brackets constraining solidus at 0.1 MPa were taken from previous studies and the present study included no experiments between 0.1 MPa-0.8 GPa. Therefore, this solidus is constrained chiefly to mantle depths of 30 km to 250 km.

At high pressures, the solidus and liquidus curves tend to converge, producing a narrowed melting interval. This may be an artifact of imperfections in the regressed curves, with the Simons equation predicting solidus temperatures that are near the high limit of the experimental brackets, and the liquidus curve poorly constrained owing to few high pressure observations.

263 The melting interval for mss can be compared to that determined by Bockrath et al. 2004 for an 264 apparently identical composition in similar capsules up to 3.5 GPa (Fig. 7). Solidus temperatures 265 are consistent, but the liquidus found by Bockrath et al. 2004 extends to much higher 266 temperature - e.g., at 3 GPa Bockrath et al. (2004) observed mss coexisting with melt up to 267 1400 °C, but the new results place the liquidus near 1275 °C. As noted by Hart and Gaetani (2006), the high melting temperatures indicated by Bockrath et al.'s experiments suggest a 268 269 concave upward liquidus slope and, at pressures near 3 GPa, liquidus temperatures hotter than 270 the melting of pure $Fe_{1-x}S$ (Ryzhenko and Kennedy 1973) (Fig. 1), both of which are unlikely. These inconsistencies are absent from the new liquidus curve. 271

The melting interval for mss determined in this study is intermediate between the high temperature fusion curves of pure troilite, FeS, or pyrrhotite, $Fe_{1-x}S$, and eutectic melting in the system FeS-Fe (Fig. 1). The pyrrhotite studied by Ryzhenko and Kennedy (1973) had similar metal/sulfide stoichiometry to the mss studied here (Table 1), meaning that the lower melting temperature of the mss is owing chiefly to the effects of Fe-Ni solid solution. This is consistent with many previous studies that have found that Ni reduces the melting temperature of monosulfide (Hsieh et al. 1987; Urakawa 1987).

279 Partial melting and fractionation of elements between melt/mss

For experiments that produce coexisting melt and monosulfide crystals, melt fractions calculated independently from Fe and Ni mass balance (Table 2) agree well with one another (Fig. 8). Melt fractions calculated from Ni are considered most accurate because of the strong mineral/melt partitioning and high concentrations (compared to Cu) produce phase compositions that are most strongly separated compared to analytical uncertainties. Therefore, Ni concentration in melts is

chosen to represent melt evolution. In all samples, the melts have slightly higher metal/sulfur 285 286 ratio than their coexisting residue mss (Table 3). Ni and Cu preferentially partition into melts and Fe is concentrated in residue mss (Fig. 9). The detection limit for oxygen established by 287 analyzing pyrite is ~ 0.05 wt.%, with the analytical uncertainty of ± 0.1 wt.%. Oxygen is detected 288 289 in small amounts in both mss and melt. Oxygen concentrations in the post-run products vary from 0.2-0.6 wt.%, with slightly greater concentrations in guenched melts compared to crystals 290 291 (Table 3). The concentrations detected in our study are comparable to those (~0.37 wt.%) found previously in pyrrhotite annealed at 700 °C (Graham et al. 1987; Graham and McKenzie 1987). 292

Partial melt compositions have higher M/S ratios than residual mss solids (Table 3), consistent 293 294 with relations established previously for melting of mss (Kullerud 1963; Bockrath et al. 2004; Ballhaus et al. 2006) and in simple systems (Ryzhenko and Kennedy 1973). MSS compositions 295 change comparatively little, being restricted chiefly to M/S ratios between 0.90-0.93 owing 296 chiefly to the constraints of M/S stoichiometry in mss solid solutions (Ballhaus et al. 2006), 297 whereas melt compositions are more variable (M/S=0.94-1.02). Partial melts are also enriched in 298 299 Ni and especially Cu compared to coexisting mss, whilst Fe concentrations are similar in the two 300 phases. This is as expected owing to the respective radii of the cations (Cu>Ni>Fe). Mineral/melt partition coefficients for Cu and Ni become more extreme at low melt fractions, likely owing to 301 302 the increased Ni/(Fe+Ni) of the melts (Fig. 9). Based on the enrichment or depletion of Cu and 303 Ni, sulfides from mantle xenoliths or diamond inclusions has been interpreted as either trapped 304 melts or residual mss crystals (Bulanova et al. 1996; Guo et al. 1999; Lorand and Alard 2001). 305 Such interpretations are broadly consistent with the experimental compositions observed here.

306 The variations in metal/silicate and Cu-Ni-Fe fractionations with melt fraction and temperature 307 are linked to the constraints on mss stoichiometry and the influence of Ni on partitioning

14

behavior. These relationships vary only weakly with pressure, as has been previously documented for M/S partitioning (Ballhaus et al. 2006) and Ni partitioning (Jones and Walker 1991). The lack of pressure influence on partitioning suggests that the melting interval between solidus and liquidus curves should not become significantly wider or narrower with pressure, and reinforces the assertion above that the liquidus, which is under-constrained at pressures above 3.5 GPa, likely is slightly hotter at high pressure than indicated by the parameterization.

314 Sulfide composition in the mantle

The sulfide solidus is affected by composition, which in the mantle, is controlled by reactions between sulfides and coexisting silicate minerals (Eggler and Lorand, 1993; Gaetani and Grove, 1997). Solidus temperatures are lower when sulfide has higher M/S ratios (e.g. Ballhaus et al. 2006), and when additional components (such as C or O) are soluble (Ballhaus et al. 2006; Chi et al. 2014; Dasgupta et al 2009; Gunn and Luth 2006; Urakawa et al 1987). The sulfide M/S (0.93) investigated in this study is on the low end of natural mss (0.9-1.1) (Fig. 2), with low content of oxygen and nominally carbon-free.

In the shallow oxidized mantle, oxygen dissolved in sulfide might be high (Gataeni and Grove 1999) and drive the sulfide solidus downward (Gunn and Luth 2006; Urakawa et al 1987). As the mantle becomes more reduced with increasing depth (Frost and McCammon 2008), the oxygen content should diminish, but this can be countered by increases in the M/S ratio owing to an increase in metal activity, as the reactions

327
$$\operatorname{Fe_2SiO_4}(\operatorname{ol}) = \operatorname{FeSiO_3}(\operatorname{opx}) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{O_2} + \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{sulfide})$$

328 Ni_2SiO_4 (ol) = $NiSiO_3$ (opx) + $\frac{1}{2}O_2$ + Ni (sulfide)

329	shift to the right. Equivalently, Eggler and Lorand (1993) suggest that oxygen fugacity (f_{O2}) and
330	sulfur fugacity (f_{s_2}) are positively correlated for peridotite-sulfide systems. Reactions similar to:
331	$Fe_2SiO_4 (ol) + \frac{1}{2}S_2 = FeSiO_3 (opx) + FeS (sulfide) + \frac{1}{2}O_2$

also produce increases in M/S ratio of the sulfide as oxygen fugacity decreases. Furthermore, as
mantle enters the graphite/diamond stability field (>4 GPa) (Frost and McCammon, 2008),
dissolved carbon will likely further depress sulfide solidus temperatures.

All of these considerations together suggest that the sulfide solidus constrained in this study is near an upper bound. At low pressures and more oxidized conditions, the solidus would be lowered by greater dissolved oxygen, and at higher pressures and more reduced conditions, it would be diminished by higher M/S ratio and dissolved C.

339 Sulfide melts in the mantle

The solidus and liquidus of monosulfide constructed from this study is below the calculated mantle adiabat (Katsura et al. 2010) up to the limit of the experimental data at 8 GPa (Fig. 10), meaning that sulfide is molten in the convecting mantle at least to depths of 250 km. We note that the composition investigated has comparatively low M/S ratio, and that sulfides with higher ratios melt at lower temperature (e.g. Ballhaus et al. 2006), so that melting is expected for most or all mantle sulfide compositions. The steeper temperature/pressure slope of the melting curves compared to the adiabat may indicate that sulfide becomes crystalline deeper in the mantle.

Increased M/S should in turn decrease the sulfide crystallization temperature (e.g. Ballhaus et al.
2006). If conditions at depth become sufficiently reducing such that a metal alloy phase is
stabilized (Frost et al. 2004; Rohrbach et al. 2007, 2011), then sulfide melting is controlled by

the (Fe,Ni)S-(Fe,Ni) eutectic, which remains below the adiabat at least until pressures reached deep in the lower mantle (>60 GPa; Campbell et al. 2007; Morard et al. 2011; Stewart et al. 2007). Thus, sulfide melt may in fact be stable throughout the convecting upper mantle and perhaps into the transition zone.

The sulfide melting curves intersects geotherms for typical oceanic lithosphere shallower than the intersection with the adiabat, meaning sulfide could be partially molten in the thermal boundary layer and deeper portions of the oceanic lithosphere (Fig. 10). In the continental lithosphere, sulfides are less likely molten in the colder settings such as the root of cratons. Of course, sulfides with higher M/S ratios and dissolved carbon could become molten at lower temperatures.

360 Sulfide inclusions in diamond

361 Sulfide inclusions are abundant in diamond, and are typically Ni-rich (22 wt.%-36 wt.%) in peridotitic parageneses (age >3 Ga) and Ni-poor (0-12 wt.%) in eclogitic diamonds (age <3 Ga), 362 363 which corresponds to high bulk Ni contents (~3000 ppm) in peridotite or and low bulk Ni (~300 ppm) concentrations typically found in eclogite (Bulanova et al. 1996; Pearson et al. 2003; 364 365 Shirey and Richardson 2011; Stachel and Harris 2008). The overabundance of sulfide in 366 diamond suggests that sulfide acts either as a reducing reagent or as a co-precipitating product during diamond formation, more than likely as a liquid phase (Bulanova 1995; Stachel and 367 Harris 2008; Westerlund et al. 2006). Although the solidus of monosulfide investigated here is 368 369 hotter than typical diamond P-T, molten sulfide could be trapped in diamond if it originates from 370 compositions with comparatively high M/S ratios, or if additional components (such as C or O) 371 lower the solidus (Ballhaus et al. 2006; Gunn and Luth 2006). In addition, for sulfides that were trapped as inclusions in large melt extraction process from peridotite, sulfides might be molten

8/5

due to this high temperature process in the earlier geological history. This is indicated by the mantle sulfides Re-Os modal age peaks of 1.2, 1.9, and 2.7 billion years which match similar periods of high tectonic activity recorded in zircon populations (Pearson et al. 2007). If sulfides are trapped as liquids, then they are syngenetic with their hosts and geochronologic interpretations of their Re-Os or Pb-Pb isotope systematics can be related to the timing of the formation of the enclosing minerals.

379 Mobility of sulfide melts

372

380 Having established that sulfide is molten or partially molten in significant portions of the mantle, 381 a natural question is whether such melts are mobile. Sulfide melt mobility is affected by oxygen fugacity and pressure in the mantle (Gaetani and Grove, 1999; Shannon and Agee 1998; Shi et al. 382 383 2013). At conditions similar to the fayalite-magnetite-quartz oxygen buffer, molten sulfide may 384 potentially dissolve up to 9 wt.% oxygen (Gaetani and Grove, 1999) and sulfide melt can 385 potentially form an interconnected network along silicate grain edges, as the olivine-melt 386 dihedral angle is 52° (Gaetani and Grove, 1999). As conditions become more reduced and 387 dissolved oxygen diminishes, the dihedral angle increases (e.g., 90° at near the iron-wüstite buffer, Gaetani and Grove 1999) and interconnectivity is less likely. At lower mantle conditions, 388 389 where the principle silicate is perovskite rather than olivine, high dihedral angles for Fe-Ni-S melts prevail (Shannon and Agee 1998; Shi et al. 2013), inhibiting connectivity. Therefore, in the 390 391 shallow mantle (e.g. 30-60 km), sulfide melts, if stable, potentially form an interconnected 392 network in olivine-rich rocks. In the deep lithosphere (e.g. >120 km), percolation may be limited 393 as conditions become more reduced (Frost and McCammon, 2008). Additionally, sulfide melt 394 transport may be coupled to movement of associated silicate or carbonate melts (Delpech et al.

2012; Lorand et al. 2004). In some cases, natural peridotite textures indicate sulfide wetting
silicate grain boundaries (Lorand et al. 2013). Furthermore, geochemical variations observed in
xenoliths may not be easily explicable without sulfide mass transport (Lorand 1989; Lorand et al.
2013).

399 Sulfide melt mobility may be a key factor determining their geophysical and geochemical influence. For example, despite molten sulfide's extreme physical properties relative to silicate 400 401 rock, it may not impart significant geophysical anomalies simply by melting *in situ*, as the melt 402 fraction for rocks with typical mantle S concentrations (200 ppm, McDonough and Sun 1995) 403 would be merely 0.05-0.10 vol.%. If sulfide melts can migrate and concentrate in isolated areas, 404 they may feasibly produce noticeable effects on shear wave velocities (e.g., Helffrich et al. 2011) 405 and other properties. Likewise, sulfide melts are most likely to be responsible for geochemical variations in chalcophile or PGE elements (e.g., Alard et al. 2002; Powell and O'Reilly 2007) if 406 407 they are mobile.

408

IMPLICATIONS

We emphasize that we have investigated only a single composition of sulfide Fe_{0.69}Ni_{0.23}Cu_{0.01}S_{1.00} (M/S=0.93), which is calculated as in equilibrium with mantle olivine at FMQ, with trace amounts of oxygen (and likely carbon). As the upper mantle becomes more reduced with depth (Frost and McCammon, 2008), the M/S ratio of sulfide should increase, leading to further depression of the sulfide solidus (Ballhaus et al. 2006; Rohrbach et al. 2007). Also, in the oxidized shallow mantle (e.g. < 3 GPa), sulfides melts should have higher content of oxygen and in the graphite/diamond stability field (e.g. > 4 GPa), dissolved carbon should increase, and both of these should affect melting temperatures. Therefore, the solidus constrainedin this work is likely an upper bound on sulfide melting in the Earth's upper mantle.

418

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful for the constructive reviews from James Brenan and Glenn Gaetani. We appreciate the aid and advice of UMN colleagues: Anthony Withers in the experimental petrology laboratory, Anette von der Handt in the electron microprobe laboratory, and Chris Crosby in the geobiology laboratory. We acknowledge the support of grants NSF EAR1119295 and EAR1426772.

424

425 **REFERENCES CITED**

426 Alard, O., Griffin, W. L., Lorand, J. P., Jackson, S. E., and O'Reilly S. Y. (2000) Non-chondritic

distribution of the highly siderophile elements in mantle sulfides. Nature, 407, 891-894.

428 Alard, O., Griffin, W. L., Pearson, N. G., Lorand, J. P., and O'Reilly S. Y. (2002) New insights

- 429 into the Re/Os systematics of sub-continental lithospheric mantle from in-situ analysis of sulfides.
- 430 Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 203, 651-663.
- 431 Ballhaus, C., Bockrath, C., Wohlgemuth-Ueberwasser, C., Laurenz, V., and Berndt J. (2006)
- Fractionation of the noble metals by physical processes. Contributions to Mineralogy andPetrology, 152, 667–684.
- 434 Bockrath, C., Ballhaus, C., and Holzheid A. (2004) Fractionation of the platinum group elements
- during mantle melting. Science, 305, 1951-1954.

20

- 436 Boehler, R. (1992) Melting of the Fe-FeO and the Fe-FeS systems at high pressure: Constraints
- 437 on core temperatures. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 111, 217-227.
- Boehler, R. (1996) Melting and element partitioning Fe-FeS temperatures to 620 kbars. Physics
 of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 96, 181-186.
- Bohlen, S., and Boettcher, A. L. (1982) The quartz-coesite transformation: a precise
 determination and the effects of other components. Journal of Geophysical Research, 87, 70737078.
- Bose, K., and Ganguly, J. (1995) Quartz-coesite transition revisited: reversed experimental
 determination at 500-1200 °C and retrieved thermochemical properties, American Mineralogist,
 80, 231-238.
- Bulanova, G.P. (1995) The formation of diamond. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 53, 1-23,
 doi:10.1016/0375-6742(94)00016-5.
- Bulanova, G. P., Griffin, W. L., Ryan, C. G., Shestakova, O. Y., and Barnes S. J. (1996) Trace
 elements in sulfide inclusions from Yakutian diamonds, Contribution to Mineralogy and
 Petrology, 124, 111–125.
- 451 Campbell, A. J., Seagleb, C. T., Heinzb, D. L., Shend, C. G., and Prakapenkae, V. B. (2007)
- 452 Partial melting in the iron–sulfur system at high pressure: A synchrotron X-ray diffraction study.
- 453 Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 162, 119–128.

8/5

- 454 Chi, H., Dasgupta, R., Duncan, M. S., Shimizu, N. (2014) Partitioning of carbon between Fe-rich
- 455 alloy melt and silicate melt in a magma ocean implications for the abundance and origin of
- volatiles in Earth, Mars, and the Moon. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 139, 447-471.
- 457 Dasgupta, R., M. M. Hirschmann, and C. W. Withers (2004) Deep global cycling of carbon
- 458 constrained by the solidus of anhydrous, carbonated eclogite under upper mantle conditions.
- 459 Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 227, 73-85.
- 460 Delpech, G., J. P. Lorand, M. Grégoirec, J. Y. Cottind, and S. Y. O'Reilly (2012) In-situ
- 461 geochemistry of sulfides in highly metasomatized mantle xenoliths from Kerguelen, southern
- 462 Indian Ocean. Lithos, 154, 296-314.
- Eggler, D. H., Lorand, J. P. (1993) Mantle sulfide geobarometry. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
 Acta, 57, 2213-2222.
- Fei, Y. W., Bertka, C. M., and Finger, L. W. (1997) High-pressure iron-sulfur compound, Fe₃S₂,
 and melting relations in the Fe-FeS system. Science, 275, 1621-1623.
- Fram, M., and J. Longhi (1992), Phase equilibria of dikes associated with Proterozoic anorthosite
 complexes. American Mineralogist, 77, 605-616.
- 469 Frost, D. J., Liebske, C., Langenhorst, F., McCammon, C. A., Trønnes, R. G., and Rubie D. C.
- 470 (2004) Experimental evidence for the existence of iron-rich metal in the Earth's lower mantle.
- 471 Nature, 428, 409-412.
- Frost, D. J., and McCammon C. A. (2008) The redox state of Earth's mantle, Annual Review of
 Earth and Planetary Sciences, 36, 389-420.

- Gaetani, G.A., and Grove, T.L. (1997) Partitioning of moderately siderophile elements among
 olivine, silicate melt, and sulfide melt: Constraints on core formation in the Earth and Mars.
 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 61, 1829-1846.
- Gaetani, G. A., and Grove T. L. (1999) Wetting of mantle olivine by sulfide melt: implications
 for Re/Os ratios in mantle peridotite and late-stage core formation. Earth and Planetary Science
 Letters, 169, 147-163.
- Graham, J., Bennett, C. E. G., and Riessen A. (1987) Oxygen in pyrrhotite: 1. Thermomagnetic
 behavior and annealing of pyrrhotites containing small quantities of oxygen. American
 Mineralogist, 72, 599-605.
- Graham, J., and McKenzie, C.D. (1987) Oxygen in pyrrhotite: 2. Determination of oxygen in
 natural pyrrhotite. American Mineralogist, 72, 605-609.
- Gunn, S. C., and Luth, R. W. (2006) Carbonate reduction by Fe-S-O melts at high pressure and
 high temperature. American Mineralogist, 91, 1110-1116.
- Guo, J. F., Griffin, W. L., and O'Reilly, S. Y. (1999) Geochemistry and origin of sulphide
 minerals in mantle xenoliths: Qilin, Southeastern China. Journal of Petrology, 40, 1125–1149.
- Hart, S. R., and Gaetani, G. A. (2006) Mantle Pb paradoxes: the sulfide solution. Contributions
 to Mineralogy and Petrology, 152, 295-308.
- 491 Helffrich, G., Kendall, M., Hammond, J. O. S., and Carroll, M. R. (2011) Sulfide melts and long-
- 492 term low seismic wavespeeds in lithospheric and asthenospheric mantle. Journal of Geophysical
- 493 Research, 38, 11301-11305, doi:10.1029/2011GL047126.

23

- 494 Hirschmann, M. M. (2000) Mantle solidus: Experimental constraints and the effects of peridotite
- 495 composition. Geochemistry, Geophyics, Geosystems., 1, 2000GC000070.
- Hsieh, K. C., Schmid, R., and Chang, Y. A. (1987) The Fe-Ni-S system II. A Thermodynamic
 model for the ternary monosulfide phase with the nickel arsenide structure. High Temperature
 Science, 23, 39-52.
- Huang, S., Lee, C. T., and Yin, Q. Z. (2014) Missing lead and high ³He/⁴He in ancient sulfides
 associated with continental crust formation. Nature Scientific Reports, 4, 5314,
 doi:10.1038/srep05314.
- Jones, J. H., and Walker, D. (1991) Partitioning of siderophile elements in the Fe-Ni-S system: 1
- bar to 80 kbar. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 105, 127-133.
- Katsura, T., Yoneda, A., Yamazaki, D., Yoshino, T., and Ito, E. (2010) Adiabatic temperature
 profile in the mantle. Physics of Earth and Planetary Interiors, 183, 212-218.
- 506 Katz, R. F., Spiegelman, M., and Langmuir, C. H. (2003) A new parameterization of hydrous
- 507 mantle melting. Geochemistry, Geophyics, Geosystems., 4 (9), 1073.
- 508 Kullerud, G. (1963) Thermal stability of pentlandite. Canadian Mineralogist, 7, 353-366.
- Li, Y. and Audétat, A. (2012) Partitioning of V, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Mo, Ag, Sn, Sb, W, Au,
- 510 Pb, and Bi between sulfide phases and hydrous basanite melt at upper mantle conditions.
- 511 Lorand, J. P. (1989) Abundance and distribution of Cu-Fe-Ni sulfides, sulfur, copper and
- 512 platinum-group elements in orogenic-type spinel lherzolite massifs of Arirge (northeastern
- 513 Pyrenees, France). Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 93, 50-64.

- 8/5
- Lorand, J. P., and Alard, O. (2001) Platinum-group element abundances in the upper mantle:
 New constraints from in situ and whole-rock analyses of Massif Central xenoliths (France).
 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 65, 2789–2806.
- Lorand, J. P., Delpech, Guillaume., Gre'goire, M., Moine, B., O'Reilly, S. Y., and Cottin, J-Y.
- 518 (2004) Platinum-group elements and the multistage metasomatic history of Kerguelen
- 519 lithospheric mantle (South Indian Ocean). Chemical Geology, 208, 195–215.
- Lorand, J. P., Luguet, A., and Alard, O. (2013) Platinum-group element systematics and
 petrogenetic processing of the continental upper mantle: A review. Lithos, 164–167, 2–21.
- 522 Luo, S. N., Mosenfelder, J. L., Asimow, P.D., and Ahrens, T. J. (2002) Direct shock wave loading of Stishovite to 235 GPa: Implications for perovskite stability relative to an oxide 523 conditions. 524 assemblage at lower mantle Journal of Geophysical Research, 29. doi:10.1029/2002GL015627. 525
- McDade, P., Wood, B.J., Van Westrenen, W., Brooker, R., Gudmundsson, G., Soulard, H.,
 Najorka, J., and Blundy, J. (2002) Pressure corrections for a selection of piston-cylinder cell
 assemblies. Mineralogical Magazine, 66, 1021-1028.
- McDonough, W. F., and Sun, S. S. (1995) The composition of the Earth. Chemical Geology, 120,
 223-253.
- 531 Mirwald, P. W., Getting, I. C., and Kennedym, G. C. (1975) Low-friction cell for piston-cylinder
- high-pressure apparatus. Journal of Geophysical Research, 80, 1519-1525.

- 533 Mirwald, P. W., and Kennedy, G. C. (1979) The melting curve of gold, silver, and copper to 60
- 534 Kbar pressure: a reinvestigation. Journal of Geophysical Research, 84, 6750-6756.
- 535 Morard, G., Andrault, D., Guignot, N., Siebert, J., Garbarino, G., and Antonangeli, D. (2011)
- 536 Melting of Fe–Ni–Si and Fe–Ni–S alloys at megabar pressures: implications for the core–mantle
- 537 boundary temperature. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 38, 767-776.
- 538 Mungall, J. E., and Su, S. G. (2005) Interfacial tension between magmatic sulfide and silicate
- 539 liquids: Constraints on kinetics of sulfide liquation and sulfide migration through silicate rocks.
- Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 234, 135-149.
- 541 Pearson, D. G., Shirey, S. B., Harris, J. W., and Carlson, R. W. (1998) Sulphide inclusions in
- 542 diamonds from the Koffiefontein kimberlite, S Africa: constraints on diamond ages and mantle

543 Re–Os systematics. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 160, 311-326.

- Pearson, D. G., Canil, D., and Shirey, S. B. (2003) Mantle samples included in volcanic rocks:
 xenoliths and diamonds. Treatise on Geochemistry, 2, 171-275.
- 546 Pearson, D. G., Parman, S. W. and Nowell, G. M. (2007) A link between large mantle melting
- events and continent growth seen in osmium isotopes. Nature, 449, 202-205.
- 548 Pearson, D.G., and Wittig, N. (2014) The formation and evolution of cratonic mantle lithosphere:
- 549 Evidence from mantle xenoliths, in Treatise on Geochemistry, 2nd ed., edited by H. D. Holland
- and K. K. Turekian, pp. 255–292, Elsevier, Oxford.
- 551 Pearson, N. J., Alard, O., Griffin, W. L., Jackson, S. E., and O'Reilly, S. Y. (2002) In situ
- 552 measurement of Re-Os isotopes in mantle sulfides by laser ablation multicollector-inductively

- 553 coupled plasma mass spectrometry: analytical methods and preliminary results. Geochimica et
- 554 Cosmochimica Acta, 66, 1037-1050.
- Pollack, H. N., and Chapman, D. S. (1977) On the regional variation of heat flow, geotherms,
 and lithospheric thickness. Tectonophysics, 38, 279-296.
- Powell, W., and O'Reilly, S. Y. (2007) Metasomatism and sulfide mobility in lithospheric
 mantle beneath eastern Australia: Implications for mantle Re–Os chronology. Lithos, 94, 132147.
- 560 Richardson, S. H., Shirey, S. B., Harris, J. W., and Carlson, R. W. (2001) Archean subduction
- recorded by Re-Os isotopes in eclogitic sulfide inclusions in Kimberley diamonds. Earth and
- 562 Planetary Science Letters, 191, 257-266.
- Rohrbach, A., Ballhaus, C., Gola-Schindler, U., Ulmer, P., Kamnetsky, V.S., and Kuzmin, D.V.
 (2007) Metal saturation in the upper mantle. Nature, 449, 456-460.
- Rohrbach, A., Ballhaus, C., Ulmer, P., Kamnetsky, V.S., and Gola-Schindler, U. (2011)
 Experimental evidence for a reduced metal-saturated upper mantle. Journal of Petrology, 52,
 717-731.
- Roy-Barman, M., Wasserburga, G.J., Papanastassioua, D.A., and Chaussidon, M. (1998)
 Osmium isotopic compositions and Re–Os concentrations in sulfide globules from basaltic
 glasses. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 154, 331 -347.
- 571 Ryzhenko, B., and Kennedy, G. C. (1973) The effect of pressure on the eutectic in the system
 572 Fe-FeS. American Journal of Science, 273, 803-810.

- 573 Shannon, M. C., and Agee, C. B. (1998) Percolation of core melts at Lower Mantle Conditions.
- 574 Science, 280, 1059-1061.
- 575 Sharp, W. E. (1969) Melting curves of sphalerite, galena, and pyrrhotite and the decomposition
- 576 curve of pyrite between 30 and 65 kilobars. Journal of Geophysical Research, 74, 1645-1652.
- 577 Shi, C. Y., Zhang, L., Yang., W, Liu, Y., Wang, J., Meng, Y., Andrews, J. C., and Mao, W.L.
- 578 (2013) Formation of an interconnected network of iron melt at Earth's lower mantle conditions.
- 579 Nature Geoscience, 6, 971–975.
- 580 Shirey, S. B., and Richardson, S. H. (2011) Start of the Wilson cycle at 3 Ga shown by diamonds
- from subcontinental mantle. Science, 333, 434-436.
- 582 Shirey S. B., Cartigny, P., Frost, D. J., Keshav, S., Paolo Nimis, F. N., Pearson, D. G., Sobolev,
- 583 N. V., and Walter, M. J. (2013) Diamonds and the geology of mantle carbon. Reviews in
- 584 Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 75, 355-421.
- 585 Simons, F., and Glatzel, G. (1929) Bemerkungen zur Schmelzdruckkurve. Zeitschrift für 586 anorganische und allgemeine Chemie, 178, 309-316.
- Stewart, A. J., Schmidt, M. W., Westrenen, W. V., and Liebske, C. (2007) Mars: A new corecrystallization regime. Science, 316, 1323-1325.
- 589 Stachel, T., and Harris, J.W. (2008) The origin of cratonic diamonds constraints from mineral
- 590 inclusions. Ore Geology Reviews, 34, 5-32.

28

- 591 Tenner, T. J., Hirschmann, M. M., Withers, C. W., and Hervig, R. L. (2012) H₂O storage
- 592 capacity of olivine and low-Ca pyroxene from 10 to 13 GPa: consequences for dehydration
- melting above the transition zone. Contribution to Mineralogy and Petrology, 163, 297-316.
- Turcotte, D. L., and Schubert, G. (2002) Geodynamics, 2nd ed., pp. 456, Cambridge University
 Press, Cambridge.
- 596 Urakawa, S., Kato, M., and Kumazawa, M. (1987) Experimental study on the phase relations in
- the system Fe-Ni-O-S up to 15 GPa, in High-Pressure Research in Mineral Physics, edited by M.
- H. Manghnani and Y. Syono, pp. 95–111, Terrapub, Tokyo.
- 599 Usselman, T. (1975) Experimental approach to the state of the core: Part I. The liquidus relations
- of the Fe-rich portion of the Fe-Ni-S system from 30 to 100 kbars. American Journal of Science,
 275, 278-290.
- Waldner, P., and Pelton A. (2004) Critical thermodynamic assessment and modeling of the Fe-
- Ni-S system. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions B, 35, 897-907.
- Westerlund, K. J., Shirey, S. B., Richardson, S. H., Carlson, R. W., Gurney, J. J., and Harris, J.
 W. (2006) A subduction wedge origin from Paleoarchaean peridotitic diamonds and harzburgites
 from the Panda kimberlite, Slave craton: evidence from Re-Os isotope systematics.
 Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 152, 275-294.
- 608 Withers, A. C., and Hirschmann, M. M. (2007) H₂O storage capacity of MgSiO₃ clinoenstatite at
- 609 8–13 GPa, 1,100–1,400 °C. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 154, 663-674.

Kirouchakis, D., Hirschmann, M. M., and Simpson, J. A. (2001) The effect of titanium on the
silica content and on mineral–liquid partitioning of mantle-equilibrated melts. Geochimica et
Cosmochimica Acta, 65, 2201–2217.

613

614 FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIGURE 1. Melting relations from selected previous sulfide melting experiments up to 15 GPa.
Compositions and data sources are given in Table 1.

617 FIGURE 2. (a) Sulfide compositions projected into the metal-sulfur-oxygen atomic ternary. Metal is the sum of Fe. Ni and Cu. Natural sulfide compositions are taken from bulk composition 618 619 estimates of sulfides trapped in diamonds and olivine as inclusions (Aulbach et al. 2009; Bulanova et al. 1996; Westerlund et al. 2006;); (b) Sulfide compositions projected into the Fe-S-620 Ni ternary. Minor amounts of Cu and O have been projected to the Ni and S apices, respectively. 621 622 The vellow and pink areas correspond to the fields of stability of mss and mss+melt at 0.1 MPa. 1000 °C (Hsieh et al. 1987). Numbered circles refer to sulfide compositions that have been 623 624 investigated experimentally, as listed in Table 1. Natural sulfide compositions are plotted as grey circles from the same references as (a). 625

FIGURE 3. Optical images of Au recovered from pressure and temperature calibration experiments. Gold spheroids are produced from experiments that exceeded the melting temperature of Au, whereas relict wires are observed from experiments that remained below the Au fusion curve. 630 FIGURE 4. Revised pressure and temperature calibrations of multi-anvil device at UMN using the 18/12 TEL/OEL assembly. (a) Pressure-force relations of the best fit functions are P = -1.15631 x $10^{-5}F^2$ + 2.44 x $10^{-2}F$ + 4.56 x 10^{-3} for 1000-1200 °C (Dasgupta et al. 2004), $P = -1.29 \times 10^{-5}$ 632 F^{2} + 2.61 x 10⁻² F - 5.17 x 10⁻³ for 1200-1400 °C (this study), and P = -1.65 x 10⁻⁵ F^{2}+3.03 10⁻² 633 F-1.76 x 10⁻³ for 1400-1700 °C (unpublished data), where P is pressure (GPa) and F is force 634 (tons). The blue square corresponds to 170 tons and 3.49-3.53 GPa (Tenner et al. 2009) and the 635 purple circles represent coesite and red diamonds represent quartz; (b) Temperature calibration 636 637 results on PC and MA. Thermocouple temperatures and corrected sample pressures are plotted together with gold melting curve calibrated with previous calibration work by Mirwald and 638 Kennedy (1979). Lower temperatures read by thermocouples compared to the fusion curve 639 reflect the offset in temperature between the thermocouple location and the sample hotspot. 640

FIGURE 5. Backscattered electron images of typical textures from quenched experiments
exemplifying (a) super-liquidus conditions (B536: 1.8 GPa, 1300 °C), (b) partially molten
(A1075: 1.8 GPa, 1200 °C), and (c) subsolidus (B534: 1.8 Ga, 1100 °C).

644 **FIGURE 6.** Experimentally determined melting relations of $Fe_{0.69}Ni_{0.23}Cu_{0.02}S_{1.00}$. Blue 645 diamonds are sub-solidus mss, with filled diamonds from piston cylinder (PC) experiments and 646 empty diamonds from those conducted in the multi-anvil (MA), respectively. Red and orange 647 circles are melt-mss pairs by PC and MA, respectively. Green and black triangles are superliquidus runs by PC and MA, respectively. Solid curve is the parameterization of the 648 solidus, given by $T(^{\circ}C)=1015.1[P(GPa)/1.88+1]^{0.206}$ and the dashed curve is liquidus, given by 649 $T(^{\circ}C)=1067.3[P(\text{GPa})/1.19+1]^{0.149}$. Melting relations at 0.1 MPa are taken from Bockrath et al. 650 651 (2004).

652 **FIGURE 7.** Comparison of mss melting relations calibrated from experiments in this study (Fig. 653 6) with experiments done by Bockrath et al. (2004). Diamonds are sub-solidus mss; circles are 654 melt-mss pairs; triangles are superliquidus runs. The square bracket with a red filling and green triangle is the "problem bracket", which is labeled as "melt + solid" by Bockrath et al. (2004), 655 656 but is listed as "solid only" in their supplementary material. Our results suggest that the experiment should have been completely melted at the stated run conditions. The solidus and 657 liquidus derived from the parameterization of the present study (Fig. 6) are shown as solid and 658 dashed curves, respectively. 659

FIGURE 8. Comparison of melt fractions calculated by Fe and Ni mass balance. Calculations are in close agreement, but those from Ni are believed to be more accurate because concentrations of Ni are more distinct in mss and partial melts, giving more leverage to calculated mass balances.

FIGURE 9. Variations in mss/melt partition coefficient of Cu, Ni and Fe as a function of Ni content of the melt. Partitioning of Cu and Ni between crystalline and solid sulfide is more extreme for Ni-rich smaller-degree partial melts, and less so for higher-degree Fe-rich melts.

FIGURE 10. Comparison of mss solidus (solid black curve) and liquidus (dashed black curve) with mantle geotherms and domains of potential silicate melting. The mantle adiabat is given in the solid red line, with the shaded area representing the temperature uncertainty (Katsura et al. 2010). A geotherm applicable to oceanic lithosphere of plate ages ranging from 20 Ma and 80 Ma is calculated from a cooling half-space model (Turcotte and Schubert 2002) and representative continental geotherms are shown in the shaded dark areas, bounded by calculations for heat flows of 40 mW/m² and 50 mW/m² (Pollack and Chapman 1977). Regions

- This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am-2016-5308
- of diamond formation as inferred from inclusion thermobarometry are from Stachel and Harris
- 675 (2008) in green loop and Shirey et al (2013) in pink loop. The solidus of nominally anhydrous
- peridotite is shown as the green solid curve (Hirschmann 2000); hydrous peridotite (0.1 wt.%
- bulk water) is the blue solid curve (Katz et al. 2003).







Always consult and cite the final, published document. See http://www.minsocam.org or GeoscienceWorld









Figure. 8



8/5





TABLE 1. Summary of high pressures studies on sulfide melting up to 15 GPa

щ	Description*	Chemical Formula (atomic)		Chemical Composition (wt.%)					Commute	A	Defenseer	
#	Description*			Fe	Ni	Cu	0	S	Capsule	Apparatus	References	
	MSS (0.93)	Fe0.69Ni0.23Cu0.01	$S_{1.00}$	45.3	15.8	1.0		37.9	silica glass	PC + MA	This study	
1a	MSS (0.93) solidus	Fe _{0.69} Ni _{0.23} Cu _{0.01}	$\mathbf{S}_{1.00}$	45.3	15.8	1.0		37.9	silica glass	PC	Bockrath et.al, 2004	
1b	MSS (0.93) liquidus	Fe _{0.69} Ni _{0.23} Cu _{0.01}	$\mathbf{S}_{1.00}$	45.3	15.8	1.0		37.9	silica glass	PC	Bockrath et.al, 2004	
2	MSS (1.06) solidus	Fe _{0.79} Ni _{0.25} Cu _{0.03}	$\mathbf{S}_{1.00}$	47.9	15.6	1.9		34.7	silica glass	PC	Ballhaus et.al, 2006	
3	MSS (1.11) solidus	Fe _{0.83} Ni _{0.25} Cu _{0.03}	$\mathbf{S}_{1.00}$	48.9	15.5	1.9		33.7	silica glass	PC	Ballhaus et.al, 2006	
4a	Pyrrhotite (0.92)	Fe _{0.92}	$\mathbf{S}_{1.00}$	62.0				38.0	alumina	PC	Ryzhenko and Kennedy, 1979	
4b	Pyrrhotite (0.92)	Fe _{0.92}	$\mathbf{S}_{1.00}$	62.0				38.0	soda glass	BA	Sharp, 1969	
5	Troilite	Fe _{1.00}	$\mathbf{S}_{1.00}$	63.5				36.5		DAC	Boehler, 1992	
6a	Fe-FeS Eutectic [†]	Fe _{1.18}	$\mathbf{S}_{1.00}$	69.0				31.0	alumina	PC	Ryzhenko and Kennedy, 1979	
6b	Fe-FeS Eutectic [†]	Fe _{1.18}	$\mathbf{S}_{1.00}$	69.0				31.0		DAC	Boehler, 1996	
6c	Fe-FeS Eutectic [†]	Fe _{1.18}	$\mathbf{S}_{1.00}$	69.0				31.0	boron nitride	BA	Usselman, 1975	
7a	FeS-FeO	Fe _{5.28} O _{1.08}	$\mathbf{S}_{1.00}$	85.7			5.0	9.3	MgO	MA	Urakawa, 1987	
7b	(Fe,Ni)S-FeO	Fe _{4.41} Ni _{0.49} O _{0.50}	$S_{1.00}$	78.2	9.1		2.5	10.2	MgO	MA	Urakawa, 1987	
Note	Notes: # refers to the curves labeled in Fig. 2. * Number in parentheses represents metal/sulfur atomic ratio. † The eutectic composition at 1											

atmosphere. ‡ PC: piston cylinder; MA: multianvil; DAC: diamond anvil cell; BA: belt apparatus

TABLE 2. Pressure and temperature calibration experiments using the quartz-coesite transition and gold fusion

Quartz - coesite transition experiments											
PC Run #	Uncorrected Pressure (GPa)	T (°C)	t (hrs)	Run product							
A1199	3.50	1100	6	coesite							
A1204	3.70	1100	6	coesite							
A1209	3.30	1100	6	quartz							
A1210	3.10	1100	6	quartz							
A1218	3.45	1100	6	quartz							
A1219	3.40	1100	6	quartz							
MA Run #	Force (metric tons)	T (°C)	t (hrs)	Run product							
M767	165	1250	2	coesite							
M770	160	1220	2	coesite							
M771	155	1230	2	coesite							
M749	145	1250	3	quartz							
M743	131	1250	3	quartz							
M762	155	1250	2	quartz							
Gold melting	experiments										
PC Run #	Corrected Pressure (GPa)	Tc reading (°C)	t (hrs)	Run product							
A1141	0.80	1086	0.1	gold wire							
A1137	1.80	1145	0.1	gold wire							
A1144	2.80	1200	0.1	gold wire							
A1135	1.80	1155	0.1	gold ball							
A1142	1.80	1150	0.1	gold ball							
A1139	0.80	1099	0.1	gold ball							
A1156	2.80	1220	0.1	gold ball							
A1147	2.80	1210	0.1	gold ball							
MA Run #	Corrected Pressure (GPa)	Tc reading (°C)	t (hrs)	Run product							
M762	3.23	1230	0.1	gold ball							
M767	3.43	1232	0.1	gold ball							
M770	3.33	1200	0.1	gold wire							
M771	3.23	1210	0.1	gold wire							

TABLE 3. Experimental results and sulfide compositions by electron microprobe analysis.

	$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{D}_{2})$	T (⁰ C)	t (l)	a	Dereiter	Cu	0	S	Fe	Ni	Total	Mcb	ъ¢	Б¢
	P (GPa)	$\Gamma(\mathbf{C})$	t (nrs)	n	Results		Cher	mical Con	position (wt.%)		M/S	Γ _{Fe}	г _{Ni}
Subsolidus runs: solid only														
A1078	0.8	1050	15	22	mss	0.9	0.4	37.3	45.8	15.7	100.1	0.93	-	-
A1080	1.3	1100	13	24	mss	1.1	0.5	37.1	45.6	16.1	100.4	0.93	-	-
B107	1.8	1100	24	12	mss	0.9	0.4	37.4	45.5	16.1	100.2	0.92	-	-
A1081	1.8	1125	20	18	mss	1.1	0.5	36.6	45.7	16.1	99.9	0.95	-	-
A1076	1.8	1150	14	19	mss	0.9	0.3	36.6	46.1	16.3	100.2	0.96	-	-
B554	2.3	1200	18	18	mss	1.1	0.3	37.2	45.0	16.2	99.8	0.94	-	-
B641	2.8	1200	12	12	mss	1.0	0.4	36.4	45.5	16.4	99.6	0.96	-	-
A1231	2.8	1225	12	20	mss	0.9	0.4	36.7	45.5	16.2	99.7	0.94	-	-
M749	3.0	1250	4	14	mss	0.9	0.3	37.1	45.6	15.8	99.7	0.94	-	-
B556	3.3	1250	18	14	mss	1.0	0.3	36.9	45.6	16.6	100.5	0.95	-	-
M721	3.75	1250	8	10	mss	1.0	0.4	37.6	45.2	16.1	100.3	0.92	-	-
M742	4.8	1300	4	25	mss	1.0	0.3	36.5	45.6	16.4	99.8	0.96	-	-
M734	5.85	1300	8	16	mss	1.1	0.3	37.3	45.2	16.2	100.0	0.93	-	-
M744	6.9	1350	1	36	mss	1.1	0.3	36.4	45.1	16.7	99.7	0.96	-	-
M746	7.95	1400	1	8	mss	1.0	0.3	36.9	45.7	16.5	100.4	0.95	-	-
Between	coliduc and li	auidus: mel	t-mee naire											
A 1070		1075	14	14	melt	2.2	03	36.1	30.0	22.4	100.9	0 00	31	33
111075	0.0	1075	14	18	mes	0.6	0.3	38.1	48.0	13.2	100.9	0.91	51	55
A1077	0.8	1100	14	12	melt	17	0.3	36.4	42.5	18.3	99.3	0.91	55	60
1110//	0.0	1100		8	mss	0.8	0.2	37.9	49.1	13.1	101.1	0.93	55	00
B633	0.8	1150	8	26	melt	1.2	0.5	36.1	44.4	17.1	99.3	0.95	62	62
D 055	0.0	1150	0	15	mss	0.6	0.3	37.8	47.3	14.7	100.8	0.92	02	02
A1087	13	1125	17	25	melt	27	0.3	36.6	41.3	19.6	100.0	0.96	32	40
111007	1.5	1125	17	31	mss	0.7	0.5	37.9	47.5	13.9	100.1	0.91	52	10
B617	13	1150	6	22	melt	12	0.1	36.5	44.2	17.7	99.9	0.96	58	63
2017	110	1100	Ū	14	mss	0.7	0.3	37.4	47.3	13.7	99.5	0.92	20	00
B618	13	1175	12	17	melt	13	0.4	37.1	44.9	17.1	100.8	0.94	73	70
DOTO	1.5	1175	12	10	mss	0.7	0.1	38.1	47.1	14.1	100.2	0.91	15	70
A1128	18	1175	6	15	melt	19	03	34.9	43.7	18.9	99.8	1.02	67	53
	1.0	1110	5	14	mss	0.6	0.2	37.9	49.2	13.1	100.9	0.93	07	55
A1075	1.8	1200	16	16	melt	1.6	0.2	35.8	43.9	17.9	99.4	0.99	61	64
		1200	••	16	mss	0.6	0.3	38.1	48.0	13.2	100.1	0.91	· ·	•••
				-0		0.0	0.5	55.1	.5.0	10.2	1 3 0.1	0.71		

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		D (CDa)	T (⁰ C)	t (hra)	na	Dogulta	Cu	0	S	Fe	Ni	Total	M/S ^b	ъс	ъс
B607 1.8 122 6 18 melt 1.2 0.3 36.4 45.0 16.8 99.7 0.96 81 79 A1114 2.30 1225 18 12 melt 2.4 0.3 35.8 39.2 21.8 99.5 0.98 39 42 A1177 2.3 1250 12 17 melt 4.4 0.3 35.6 44.1 17.4 100.92 56 63 M743 2.7 1250 3 24 melt 2.4 0.4 36.6 44.1 17.4 100.2 0.97 20 30 76 16 mss 0.6 0.3 37.7 47.3 14.2 100.1 0.92 22 26 8564 2.8 1250 18 24 melt 1.1 0.3 37.0 44.4 17.3 100.2 0.97 55 63 716 3.75 1300 8 12 melt 1.4 0.3 36.4 44.2 17.8 99.1 0.91		r (Gra)	1(0)	t (ms)	п	Results		Che	mical Com	position (wt.%)		IVI/S	г _{Fe}	r _{Ni}
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	B607	1.8	1225	6	18	melt	1.2	0.3	36.4	45.0	16.8	99.7	0.96	81	79
A1114 2.30 122 18 12 melt 2.4 0.3 35.8 39.2 2.18 99.5 0.98 39 42 A1177 2.3 1250 12 17 melt 1.4 0.3 36.6 44.1 17.4 99.8 0.95 56 63 M743 2.7 1250 3 2.4 melt 2.4 0.4 36.0 38.9 22.5 100.2 0.97 20 30 B556 2.8 1250 18 24 melt 2.4 0.3 35.8 39.2 21.8 99.5 0.98 22 26 B564 2.8 1275 12 16 melt 1.4 0.3 37.0 44.4 17.3 100.2 0.95 59 69 A1157 3.3 1275 0.5 24 melt 1.2 0.4 36.0 44.2 17.8 99.7 0.97 55 63 M716 3.75 1300 8 12 melt 1.3 0.2 37.6 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>20</td> <td>mss</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>37.7</td> <td>47.6</td> <td>13.9</td> <td>100.4</td> <td>0.91</td> <td></td> <td></td>					20	mss	0.7	0.5	37.7	47.6	13.9	100.4	0.91		
A1177 2.3 1250 12 17 melt 1.4 mass 0.7 0.2 37.6 49.5 12.1 100.1 0.92 M743 2.7 1250 3 24 melt 2.4 0.4 36.6 38.9 22.5 100.2 0.97 20 30 B556 2.8 1250 18 24 melt 2.4 0.3 37.5 47.1 13.5 99.1 0.91 B564 2.8 1275 12 16 melt 1.1 0.3 37.0 44.4 17.3 100.2 0.95 59 69 A1157 3.3 1275 0.5 2.4 melt 1.2 0.4 38.1 47.1 13.5 99.1 0.91 A1157 3.3 1275 0.5 2.4 melt 1.2 3.66 0.3 37.5 47.1 13.5 99.1 0.91	A1114	2.30	1225	18	12	melt	2.4	0.3	35.8	39.2	21.8	99.5	0.98	39	42
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					10	mss	0.7	0.2	37.6	49.5	12.1	100.1	0.92		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	A1177	2.3	1250	12	17	melt	1.4	0.3	36.6	44.1	17.4	99.8	0.95	56	63
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					14	mss	0.7	0.3	37.8	47.3	14.2	100.3	0.92		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	M743	2.7	1250	3	24	melt	2.4	0.4	36.0	38.9	22.5	100.2	0.97	20	30
B556 2.8 1250 18 24 melt 2.4 0.3 35.8 39.2 21.8 99.5 0.98 22 26 B564 2.8 1275 12 16 melt 1.1 0.3 37.7 47.3 14.2 100.1 0.92 95 59 69 A1157 3.3 1275 0.5 24 melt 1.2 0.4 36.0 44.2 17.8 99.7 0.97 55 63 M716 3.75 1300 8 12 melt 1.3 0.2 37.5 47.1 13.5 99.1 0.91 91					16	mss	0.6	0.3	37.5	47.1	13.5	99.1	0.91		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	B556	2.8	1250	18	24	melt	2.4	0.3	35.8	39.2	21.8	99.5	0.98	22	26
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					31	mss	0.6	0.3	37.7	47.3	14.2	100.1	0.92		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	B564	2.8	1275	12	16	melt	1.1	0.3	37.0	44.4	17.3	100.2	0.95	59	69
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					12	mss	0.7	0.4	38.1	47.1	13.8	100.0	0.90		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A1157	3.3	1275	0.5	24	melt	1.2	0.4	36.0	44.2	17.8	99.7	0.97	55	63
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					33	mss	0.6	0.3	37.5	47.1	13.5	99.1	0.91		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	M716	3.75	1300	8	12	melt	1.3	0.2	36.2	44.2	18.4	100.3	0.99	62	59
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					12	mss	0.8	0.2	37.8	47.6	13.0	99.4	0.91		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	M724	5.85	1350	8	24	melt	1.6	0.3	36.4	43.5	18.3	100.2	0.97	64	62
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					8	mss	0.8	0.2	37.9	49.1	12.8	100.8	0.93		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	M794	6.9	1400	1	12	melt	1.1	0.3	36.6	43.6	17.8	99.5	0.95		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	M798	7.95	1425	1	10	melt	1.3	0.5	36.1	43.2	18.5	99.7	0.96	36	44
Superliquidus runs: melt only B636 0.8 1175 8 18 melt 1.0 0.4 36.4 45.2 16.4 99.3 0.95 - - B600 0.8 1375 0.5 18 melt 1.0 0.4 36.4 45.2 16.4 99.3 0.95 - - A1074 0.8 1200 22 22 melt 1.0 0.4 37.3 45.8 15.7 100.2 0.93 - - B596 0.8 1350 4 47 melt 1.0 0.4 37.1 45.6 16.2 100.3 0.94 - - B636 0.8 1175 8 18 melt 1.0 0.4 36.4 45.2 16.4 99.3 0.95 - - B636 0.8 1375 0.5 18 melt 1.0 0.4 36.4 45.2 16.4 99.3 0.95 - - B600 0.8 1375 0.5 18 melt<					12	mss	0.6	0.2	37.6	46.8	14.4	99.6	0.92		
Superliquidus runs: melt only B636 0.8 1175 8 18 melt 1.0 0.4 36.4 45.2 16.4 99.3 0.95 - - B600 0.8 1375 0.5 18 melt 1.0 0.5 37.1 45.6 16.0 100.2 0.93 - - A1074 0.8 1200 22 22 melt 1.0 0.4 37.3 45.8 15.7 100.2 0.93 - - B596 0.8 1350 4 47 melt 1.0 0.4 37.3 45.8 15.7 100.2 0.93 - - B636 0.8 1175 8 18 melt 1.0 0.4 36.4 45.2 16.4 99.3 0.95 - - B636 0.8 1375 0.5 18 melt 1.0 0.4 36.4 45.2 16.4 99.3 0.95 - - B600 0.8 1375 0.5 18 melt															
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Superliqu	idus runs: me	elt only												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	B636	0.8	1175	8	18	melt	1.0	0.4	36.4	45.2	16.4	99.3	0.95	-	-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	B600	0.8	1375	0.5	18	melt	1.0	0.5	37.1	45.6	16.0	100.2	0.93	-	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A1074	0.8	1200	22	22	melt	1.0	0.4	37.3	45.8	15.7	100.2	0.93	-	-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	B596	0.8	1350	4	47	melt	1.0	0.4	37.1	45.6	16.2	100.3	0.94	-	-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A1171	1.3	1200	8	20	melt	1.1	0.5	36.6	45.7	16.1	99.9	0.95	-	-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	B636	0.8	1175	8	18	melt	1.0	0.4	36.4	45.2	16.4	99.3	0.95	-	-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	B600	0.8	1375	0.5	18	melt	1.0	0.5	37.1	45.6	16.0	100.2	0.93	-	-
B596 0.8 1350 4 47 melt 1.0 0.4 37.1 45.6 16.2 100.3 0.94 - - A1171 1.3 1200 8 20 melt 1.1 0.5 36.6 45.7 16.1 99.9 0.95 - - B589 1.3 1350 6 14 melt 1.0 0.3 36.9 45.6 16.6 100.5 0.95 - - B590 1.3 1375 12 16 melt 1.1 0.3 37.3 45.2 16.2 100.0 0.93 - - B591 1.3 1400 4 18 melt 1.1 0.5 37.4 45.8 15.8 100.6 0.92 - - B536 1.8 1300 24 9 melt 1.0 0.4 36.8 45.6 15.9 99.6 0.94 - A1127 1.8 1450 12 17 melt 0.8 0.2 37.7 45.4 16	A1074	0.8	1200	22	22	melt	1.0	0.4	37.3	45.8	15.7	100.2	0.93	-	-
A1171 1.3 1200 8 20 melt 1.1 0.5 36.6 45.7 16.1 99.9 0.95 - - B589 1.3 1350 6 14 melt 1.0 0.3 36.9 45.6 16.6 100.5 0.95 - - B590 1.3 1375 12 16 melt 1.1 0.3 37.3 45.2 16.2 100.0 0.93 - B591 1.3 1400 4 18 melt 1.1 0.5 37.4 45.8 15.8 100.6 0.92 - B536 1.8 1300 24 9 melt 1.0 0.4 36.8 45.6 15.9 99.6 0.94 - A1127 1.8 1450 12 17 melt 0.8 0.2 37.7 45.4 16.5 100.6 0.93 - -	B596	0.8	1350	4	47	melt	1.0	0.4	37.1	45.6	16.2	100.3	0.94	-	-
B589 1.3 1350 6 14 melt 1.0 0.3 36.9 45.6 16.6 100.5 0.95 - - B590 1.3 1375 12 16 melt 1.1 0.3 37.3 45.2 16.2 100.0 0.93 - - B591 1.3 1400 4 18 melt 1.1 0.5 37.4 45.8 15.8 100.6 0.92 - - B536 1.8 1300 24 9 melt 1.0 0.4 36.8 45.6 15.9 99.6 0.94 - - A1127 1.8 1450 12 17 melt 0.8 0.2 37.7 45.4 16.5 100.6 0.93 - -	A1171	1.3	1200	8	20	melt	1.1	0.5	36.6	45.7	16.1	99.9	0.95	-	-
B590 1.3 1375 12 16 melt 1.1 0.3 37.3 45.2 16.2 100.0 0.93 - - B591 1.3 1400 4 18 melt 1.1 0.5 37.4 45.8 15.8 100.6 0.92 - - B536 1.8 1300 24 9 melt 1.0 0.4 36.8 45.6 15.9 99.6 0.94 - - A1127 1.8 1450 12 17 melt 0.8 0.2 37.7 45.4 16.5 100.6 0.93 - -	B589	1.3	1350	6	14	melt	1.0	0.3	36.9	45.6	16.6	100.5	0.95	-	-
B591 1.3 1400 4 18 melt 1.1 0.5 37.4 45.8 15.8 100.6 0.92 - - B536 1.8 1300 24 9 melt 1.0 0.4 36.8 45.6 15.9 99.6 0.94 - - A1127 1.8 1450 12 17 melt 0.8 0.2 37.7 45.4 16.5 100.6 0.93 - -	B590	1.3	1375	12	16	melt	1.1	0.3	37.3	45.2	16.2	100.0	0.93	-	-
B536 1.8 1300 24 9 melt 1.0 0.4 36.8 45.6 15.9 99.6 0.94 - - A1127 1.8 1450 12 17 melt 0.8 0.2 37.7 45.4 16.5 100.6 0.93 - -	B591	1.3	1400	4	18	melt	1.1	0.5	37.4	45.8	15.8	100.6	0.92	-	-
A1127 1.8 1450 12 17 melt 0.8 0.2 37.7 45.4 16.5 100.6 0.93	B536	1.8	1300	24	9	melt	1.0	0.4	36.8	45.6	15.9	99.6	0.94	-	-
	A1127	1.8	1450	12	17	melt	0.8	0.2	37.7	45.4	16.5	100.6	0.93	-	-

	$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{D}_{2})$	T (⁰ C)	t (has)	a	Desults	Cu	0	S	Fe	Ni	Total	MCb	ъ¢	Б¢
	P (GPa)	Chemical Composition (wt.%)									IVI/ 5	г _{Fe}	г _{Ni}	
B585	1.8	1425	4	21	melt	0.8	0.3	36.9	45.5	15.9	99.5	0.94	-	-
A1063	1.8	1400	4	49	melt	0.9	0.2	37.3	45.0	16.3	99.7	0.93	-	-
A1067	1.8	1350	18	8	melt	1.0	0.3	36.8	45.5	16.3	99.8	0.95	-	-
B601	1.8	1250	12	34	melt	1.0	0.4	37.9	45.4	15.8	100.3	0.91	-	-
A1162	1.8	1275	12	16	melt	1.0	0.3	36.9	45.6	16.6	100.5	0.95	-	-
B609	2.3	1275	2	12	melt	1.1	0.4	37.0	45.3	16.4	100.2	0.94	-	-
B594	2.3	1425	4	28	melt	1.0	0.3	37.5	45.1	16.0	99.8	0.92	-	-
B599	2.3	1400	4	19	melt	1.0	0.3	38.4	45.0	15.9	100.7	0.90	-	-
A1167	2.3	1300	6	30	melt	0.8	0.3	37.4	46.0	15.5	99.9	0.93	-	-
A1151	2.8	1450	4	10	melt	0.9	0.5	37.1	45.3	15.8	99.6	0.92	-	-
B615	2.8	1325	6	14	melt	0.9	0.5	36.4	45.0	16.4	99.2	0.94	-	-
A1122	2.8	1300	12	12	melt	1.0	0.4	36.0	45.3	16.7	99.4	0.97	-	-
A1153	2.8	1425	4	40	melt	1.2	0.4	36.9	45.0	15.9	99.5	0.93	-	-
A1163	2.8	1350	12	38	melt	1.0	0.6	36.7	45.1	16.2	99.7	0.93	-	-
A1160	3.3	1425	6	28	melt	1.0	0.3	38.0	45.3	16.2	100.8	0.91	-	-
A1152	3.3	1450	4	16	melt	1.0	0.4	37.6	45.2	16.3	100.5	0.92	-	-
A1161	3.3	1350	12	53	melt	1.0	0.5	37.0	45.2	16.2	100.0	0.93	-	-
A1159	3.3	1300	12	18	melt	1.1	0.3	37.3	45.2	16.2	100.0	0.93	-	-
A1180	3.3	1325	12	8	melt	0.9	0.6	37.4	44.6	16.1	99.7	0.90	-	-
M797	6.9	1425	2	10	melt	1.1	0.4	37.4	44.2	16.6	99.6	0.92	-	-
M796	7.95	1425	2	10	melt	1.0	0.4	36.4	45.8	16.4	100.0	0.96	-	-

Notes: a. Number of electron probe spot analyses averaged to obtain the reported elemental concentrations. b. Atomic metal/sulfur ratio, oxygen is regarded as replacing sulfur in mss or melts. c. Melt fractions (*F*) are calculated by mass balance of Fe and Ni according to $F = (C_{bulk} - C_{mss})/(C_{melt} - C_{mss})$, where C_{bulk} is the starting composition ($C_{bulk} = 45.5$ wt.% Fe or 16.2 wt.% Ni); C_{melt} is the concentration of Ni or Fe in the melt, and C_{mss} is the respective concentration in the crystalline phase.