An empirical model for the solubility of H₂O in magmas to 3 kilobars

GORDON MOORE,^{1,*} TORSTEN VENNEMANN,² AND I.S.E. CARMICHAEL¹

¹ Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, U.S.A. ² Institut Geochemie, Wilhelmstrasse 56, D-72076, Tübingen, Germany

Abstract

We present 16 new manometric determinations of H₂O solubility for a range of natural silicate liquid compositions equilibrated up to 3 kbar of H₂O pressure. As the threshold temperature of dehydration of the quenched glasses during measurements of the H₂O content becomes lower as a function both of bulk silicate composition and the dissolved H₂O content, we measured the H_2O released on heating over a range of temperature intervals. For example, alkali-rich samples having a dissolved H₂O content greater than ~ 6 wt% start to evolve H₂O at temperatures less than 150 °C, whereas more mafic samples and silicic samples with less than 6 wt% H_2O begin to dehydrate at temperatures greater than 200 °C. This behavior is consistent with the concept that alkali-rich liquids can have their glass transition temperatures lowered substantially by dissolved H₂O and that H₂O is released only significantly on heating in the supercooled liquid region, rather than in the glass region. Using these new data, in conjunction with previous data from the literature, we refined and extended the empirical H_2O solubility model of Moore et al. (1995b). The new model works well ($2\sigma = \pm 0.5$ wt%) between 700–1200 °C and 1–3000 bar and can be applied to any natural silicate liquid in that range. The model may also be used for systems where $X_{\rm H,0} < 1$ in the vapor phase.

INTRODUCTION

Dissolved H_2O in the silicate liquid portion of magmas is one of the most influential components of igneous systems. It affects the density, viscosity, and the phase equilibria of these systems (Burnham 1981; Schulze et al. 1997; Johnson et al. 1994; Lange 1994; Ochs and Lange 1997; Paillat et al. 1992), thereby determining their chemical and transport history as well as their eruptive behavior at the surface. As it is the exsolution of high H_2O contents (4–6 wt%; Sisson and Layne 1992; Anderson et al. 1989) that drives most explosive volcanic activity and controls the formation of magmatic ore fluids (e.g., Lowenstern 1994), it is clear that an understanding of the H_2O solubility in natural silicate liquids as a function of pressure, temperature, and melt composition is of paramount importance.

Much work has been done toward this end but past solubility measurements tended to focus on natural and synthetic granitic and feldspar liquid compositions at 2 to 8 kbars (Burnham and Davis 1971; Burnham and Jahns 1962; Dingwell et al. 1984; Holtz et al. 1992; Oxtoby and Hamilton 1978) or on single natural compositions at low pressures relevant to magmatic degassing processes (Blank et al. 1993; Dixon et al. 1995; Silver et al. 1990). None of these studies provided the means to predict the effect of bulk composition on H_2O solubility in natural

model the available data. These studies were hampered however by either the lack of data (Nicholls 1980) or by the significant amount of scatter that is found in the literature (Papale 1997). For example, the data of Hamilton et al. (1964) provided the first information on the H₂O solubilities for a range of natural compositions (basalt to andesite) at varying P-T conditions. These early measurements are problematic however as they used a weight-loss method to determine H₂O content, and this method is subject to error because of H₂O trapped in vesicles. Furthermore, the liquid may have lost H₂O on quench because they used a relatively slow quenching method. Despite these problems their study stands as the benchmark for any estimate of H₂O solubility in naturally occurring silicate liquids, but it is also representative of the types of experimental error present in the earlier solubility studies.

silicate liquids, though there were several attempts to

More recent H_2O solubility measurements on a range of natural melt compositions to 2 kbars were conducted by Moore et al. (1995b) using a vacuum extraction method to measure H_2O content, as well as a rapid quench synthesis technique, in an effort to eliminate the problems encountered by Hamilton et al. (1964). These experiments were conducted over a wide range of *P*-*T* conditions and on many lava compositions to constrain an empirical model for H_2O solubility as a function of melt composition, temperature, and pressure. Although the Moore et al. (1995b) model worked well for most lava composi-

^{*} Present address: Department of Geology, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, U.S.A.

TABLE 1. Starting material compositions

| | Mas-49 augite minette | Mas-22 basaltic andesite | Mas-12 andesite | TC-19 phonolite | 442 biotite trachyte | 20421 leucitite | NZC-4 peral- kaline rhyolite | 87S35 basalt | TT152- 21-35 tholeiite | DC-1 rhyolite | KS rhyolite | 203, 205 basalt | A9, A14 andesite | CAM-73 rhyolite |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| SiO ₂ | 53.6 | 55.3 | 62.6 | 59.3 | 56.3 | 46.9 | 71.8 | 50.6 | 50.8 | 76.6 | 77.5 | 50.71 | 58.41 | 75.0 |
| TiO ₂ | 1.76 | 0.74 | 0.63 | 0.69 | 1.70 | 1.10 | 0.24 | 1.27 | 1.84 | 0.10 | — | 1.70 | 1.15 | 0.07 |
| AI_2O_3 | 13.8 | 17.4 | 17.3 | 19.2 | 18.1 | 20.91 | 9.7 | 19.1 | 13.7 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 14.48 | 18.25 | 12.29 |
| Fe ₂ O ₃ | 4.80 | 1.96 | 2.01 | 1.01 | 2.02 | 2.99 | 1.80 | 3.74 | | 0.58 | _ | 4.89 | 1.50 | 0.33 |
| FeO | 1.62 | 4.22 | 2.01 | 2.37 | 3.20 | 3.26 | 3.97 | 5.33 | 12.4* | 0.56 | 1.0* | 9.07 | 4.96 | 0.71 |
| MnO | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.22 | _ | _ | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.05 |
| MgO | 5.34 | 6.68 | 2.65 | 0.44 | 1.68 | 1.28 | 0.01 | 4.32 | 6.67 | 0.02 | _ | 4.68 | 3.39 | 0.04 |
| CaO | 6.85 | 7.28 | 5.64 | 0.86 | 4.10 | 4.33 | 0.20 | 8.85 | 11.5 | 0.31 | 0.5 | 8.83 | 6.70 | 0.58 |
| Na₂O | 3.33 | 3.97 | 4.05 | 9.80 | 5.42 | 6.90 | 5.30 | 4.23 | 2.68 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.16 | 4.35 | 4.03 |
| K₂Ō | 6.27 | 1.18 | 1.61 | 5.84 | 5.94 | 9.15 | 4.47 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 0.77 | 0.82 | 4.66 |
| P_2O_5 | 1.37 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.58 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.37 | 0.22 | — | _ | 0.36 | 0.26 | _ |
| Total | 98.8 | 99.1 | 98.8 | 99.8 | 99.2 | 97.4 | 97.6 | 98.9 | 100.2 | 99.6 | 99.9 | 98.9 | 99.9 | 97.8 |

Notes: Mas-49, Mas-22, Mas-12, TC-19, 442, and 20421, are wet chemical analyses by I.S.E. Carmichael; NZC-4 is probe analysis from Moore et al. (1995a); 87S35 is from Sisson and Grove (1993); TT152-21-35 is from Dixon et al. (1995); DC-1 is from Shaw (1963); KS is from Silver et al. (1990); 203, 205, and A9, A14 are from Hamilton et al. (1964); TC-19 is same phonolite composition used by Carroll and Blank (1997). * Total iron as FeO.

tions, particularly the calc-alkaline series, the present study represents a significant refinement because it presents new solubility data to 3 kbars of pressure (H₂O contents up to ~ 10 wt%) and documents the degassing behavior of silicate glass samples with high H₂O contents (>6 wt%) that is significant to the manometric method used in determining the H₂O solubility. Solubility data for several new liquid compositions (e.g., phonolite and highalumina basalt) are also presented that extend the compositional range covered by the experiments. These new observations and data are used in conjunction with data from the literature to regress new coefficients for the same model equation as Moore et al. (1995b), resulting in a simple empirical expression for dissolved H₂O content that can be used on any lava composition up to 3 kbars.

EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE

Samples were equilibrated for 48 h under H₂O-saturated conditions (Table 1) in an internally heated pressure vessel with a rapid quench assembly (Holloway et al. 1992). The pressure medium was a 0.1 vol% hydrogen and 99.9 vol% argon gas mixture that maintains an f_{0} of about two log units above the nickel-nickel oxide buffer within the vessel (Moore et al. 1995a). Approximately 100 mg of rock powder, plus the minimum amount of H₂O needed to saturate the liquid and maintain a vapor phase, were loaded into a Ag₇₀Pd₃₀ capsule to minimize Fe loss. After quenching and verifying that a fluid phase was present, fragments of the quenched glass were used for H₂O content determination and for electron microprobe analysis to verify that the composition of the liquid had not changed during the experiment or that quench crystals had formed. Microprobe analyses were done at University of California, Berkeley, using operating conditions to minimize volatile loss (10 nA beam current, 20 µm beam diameter). If quench crystals were found or the capsule leaked the experiment was thrown out and not analyzed. The samples often contained vesicles, particularly the silicic compositions, and were rarely bubblefree. The vesicles were always oblong in appearance, suggesting they were convecting during equilibration and are not due to exsolution during quench.

Samples for analysis of their H₂O content were gently crushed under ethanol to ~350 μ m to aid in devolatilization of the glass, minimize adsorbed H₂O (Newman et al. 1986), and to eliminate free H₂O trapped in vesicles. They were then loaded onto an extraction line and evacuated to <10⁻⁸ bar at room temperature for 12 h. H₂O was then extracted at various temperatures according to a method modified after Vennemann and O'Neil (1993). A small resistance furnace was used for temperatures up to 820 °C with the temperatures monitored with a calibrated K-type thermocouple in contact with the 7 mm o.d. silica tube containing the sample. For temperatures in excess of 1400 °C the samples were heated in the silica tube with an oxygen-propane torch.

To better understand the dehydration behavior of our samples, H₂O release spectra were obtained for several select samples by holding the samples at a fixed temperature in the vacuum line until the sample no longer dehydrated (about 2 h at the 50 and 150 °C steps, 3 to 4 h at the 300 and 420 °C steps, 2 h at the 800 °C step, and about 10 min at the >1400 °C step). H₂O was removed continuously from the sample and extracted before the next step. These data are given in Table 3. Thermal gravimetric data were also collected for a H₂O rich andesite sample (PEM12-4) on a Shimadzu thermal gravimetric analyzer (TGA) using 50 °C steps with a 15 min holding and ramping interval.

RESULTS

Low-temperature dehydration of silicate glasses with high H_.O contents

Newman et al. (1986) established that a significant amount of adsorbed water is found in rhyolite glass 2000

TABLE 2. Experimental data used in regression

| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | |
|--|----------|
| SAT-M12-2* 1865 1100 5.03 0.155 1813 SAT-M12-4† 2985 1050 6.76 0.198 2900 PE-M12-20† 2830 1000 6.82 0.199 2683 SAT-M22-1* 1930 1100 5.06 0.153 1883 SAT-M22-3* 1113 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-M22-3† 2896 1100 6.59 0.190 2922 SAT-M22-5† 2896 1100 6.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-3* 655 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-8† 1944 900 7.30 0.213 1673 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.169 193 SAT-20421-2* 1075 1180 2.68 0.096 1063 SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 5.23 0.159 1363 <t< td=""><td>o or)</td></t<> | o or) |
| SAT-M12-2* 1865 1100 5.03 0.155 1813 SAT-M12-4† 2885 1050 6.76 0.198 2900 PE-M12-20† 2830 1000 6.82 0.199 2683 SAT-M22-1* 1930 1100 5.06 0.153 1883 SAT-M22-3* 1113 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-M22-4† 3110 1100 6.37 0.185 317 SAT-M22-5† 2896 1100 6.59 0.190 2922 SAT-TC19-3* 655 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-3* 3027 900 8.70 0.244 2665 SAT-TC19-8† 1944 900 7.30 0.213 1673 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R105‡ 3013 1050 6.43 0.195 297 SAT-42-4† 3013 1050 6.43 0.169 193 S | 35 |
| SAT-M12-4† 2985 1050 6.76 0.198 2905 PE-M12-20† 2830 1000 6.82 0.199 2683 SAT-M22-1* 1930 1100 5.06 0.153 188 SAT-M22-3* 1113 1100 6.37 0.082 633 SAT-M22-4† 3110 1100 6.37 0.185 317 SAT-M22-4† 3110 1100 6.59 0.190 2922 SAT-TC19-3* 655 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-3* 655 1100 2.37 0.024 2653 SAT-TC19-8‡ 1944 900 7.30 0.213 1677 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.169 193 SAT-42-4† 3013 1050 6.43 0.195 297 SAT-42-4† 1032 100 5.40 0.169 193 | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | |
| SAT-M22-1* 1930 1100 5.06 0.153 188 SAT-M22-3* 1113 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-M22-4† 3110 1100 6.37 0.185 317 SAT-M22-4† 3110 1100 6.59 0.190 2923 SAT-M22-5† 2896 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-3* 655 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-7† 3027 900 8.70 0.244 2653 SAT-TC19-8† 1944 900 7.30 0.213 1677 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R105‡ 310 1050 6.43 0.195 297 SAT-442-5† 1982 1100 5.40 0.169 193 SAT-NZ | |
| SAT-M22-3* 1113 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-M22-4† 3110 1100 6.37 0.185 317 SAT-M22-5† 2896 1100 6.59 0.190 292 SAT-TC19-3* 655 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-3* 655 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-3* 655 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-3* 3027 900 8.70 0.244 265 SAT-TC19-8† 1944 900 7.30 0.213 1673 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R116‡ 560 875 3.00 0.101 560 SAT-442-5† 1982 1100 5.40 0.169 193 SAT-20421-2* 1075 1180 2.68 0.096 1063 SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 5.23 0.159 1363 | |
| SAT-M22-4† 3110 1100 6.37 0.185 317 SAT-M22-5† 2896 1100 6.59 0.190 292 SAT-TC19-3* 655 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-3* 655 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-3* 1057 900 8.70 0.244 2655 SAT-TC19-8† 1944 900 7.30 0.213 1673 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R116‡ 560 875 3.00 0.101 566 SAT-42-4† 3013 1050 6.43 0.195 297 SAT-42-5† 1882 1100 5.40 0.169 193 SAT-20421-2* 1075 1180 2.68 0.096 1063 SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 5.23 0.159 1363 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 6.34 0.187 1711 | |
| SAT-M22-5† 2896 1100 6.59 0.190 292: SAT-TC19-3* 655 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-7† 3027 900 8.70 0.244 2653 SAT-TC19-8† 1944 900 7.30 0.213 1667 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R116‡ 560 875 3.00 0.101 566 SAT-442-4† 3013 1050 6.43 0.195 297 SAT-42-4† 3013 1050 6.43 0.195 297 SAT-42-4† 1075 1180 2.68 0.096 1063 SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 5.23 0.159 1363 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 8.00 0.225 2473 SAT-NZC4-11† 1986 900 6.34 0.187 171* SAT-M49-2* 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 125* S | |
| SAT-TC19-3* 655 1100 2.37 0.082 633 SAT-TC19-7† 3027 900 8.70 0.244 2655 SAT-TC19-8† 1944 900 7.30 0.213 1673 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R116‡ 560 875 3.00 0.101 566 SAT-442-4† 3013 1050 6.43 0.195 297 SAT-442-5† 1982 1100 5.40 0.169 193 SAT-20421-2* 1075 1180 2.68 0.096 1066 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 8.00 0.225 247 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 6.34 0.187 171 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 6.34 0.187 171 SAT-M2C4-9‡ 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 125 SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.111 797 | |
| SAT-TC19-7† 3027 900 8.70 0.244 2653 SAT-TC19-8† 1944 900 7.30 0.213 1677 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R116‡ 560 875 3.00 0.101 560 SAT-442-4† 3013 1050 6.43 0.195 2977 SAT-442-5† 1982 1100 5.40 0.169 1934 SAT-20421-2* 1075 1180 2.68 0.096 1066 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 8.00 0.225 2475 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 6.34 0.187 1711 SAT-M2C4-9† 2841 900 6.34 0.187 1717 SAT-M49-2* 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 1255 SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.1111 797 | |
| SAT-TC19-8† 1944 900 7.30 0.213 1673 R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 344 R116‡ 560 875 3.00 0.101 560 SAT-442-4† 3013 1050 6.43 0.195 297 SAT-442-5† 1982 1100 5.40 0.169 193 SAT-20421-2* 1075 1180 2.68 0.096 1063 SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 5.23 0.159 1363 SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 5.23 0.127 2473 SAT-NZC4-11† 1986 900 6.34 0.187 1711 SAT-M49-2* 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 1255 SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.111 797 17H§ 717 1200 2.56 0.082 711 20H§ 310 1200 2.42 0.078 507 | |
| R105‡ 360 900 2.40 0.080 34 R116‡ 560 875 3.00 0.101 560 SAT-442-4† 3013 1050 6.43 0.195 297 SAT-442-5† 1982 1100 5.40 0.169 193 SAT-20421-2* 1075 1180 2.68 0.096 1063 SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 5.23 0.159 1365 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 8.00 0.225 2473 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 6.34 0.187 1715 SAT-NZC4-11† 1986 900 6.34 0.187 1717 SAT-M49-2* 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 1255 SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.111 797 17H§ 717 1200 2.56 0.082 711 20H§ 310 1200 1.74 0.057 300 21 | |
| R116‡ 560 875 3.00 0.101 560 SAT-442-4† 3013 1050 6.43 0.195 297 SAT-442-5† 1982 1100 5.40 0.169 193 SAT-20421-2* 1075 1180 2.68 0.096 1063 SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 5.23 0.159 1363 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 8.00 0.225 2474 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 6.34 0.187 1717 SAT-MZC4-91 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 1255 SAT-M49-2* 1280 1130 3.41 0.111 791 SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.111 791 TH§ 717 1200 1.74 0.057 304 20H§ 310 1200 1.74 0.057 304 21H§ 507 1200 2.42 0.078 507 SAT | |
| SAT-442-4† 3013 1050 6.43 0.195 297 SAT-442-5† 1982 1100 5.40 0.169 193 SAT-20421-2* 1075 1180 2.68 0.096 106 SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 5.23 0.159 136 SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 8.00 0.225 247 SAT-NZC4-11† 1986 900 6.34 0.187 171 SAT-NZC4-11† 1986 900 6.34 0.187 171 SAT-MA9-2* 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 125 SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.111 79 17H§ 717 1200 2.56 0.082 71 20H§ 310 1200 1.74 0.057 304 21H§ 507 1200 2.42 0.078 507 SAT-87S35-1† 2117 1100 4.51 0.140 209 | |
| SAT-442-5† 1982 1100 5.40 0.169 1933 SAT-20421-2* 1075 1180 2.68 0.096 1066 SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 5.23 0.159 1363 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 8.00 0.225 2473 SAT-NZC4-11† 1886 900 6.34 0.187 1711 SAT-NZC4-11† 1886 900 6.34 0.187 1711 SAT-M49-2* 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 1255 SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.111 791 17H§ 717 1200 2.56 0.082 711 20H§ 310 1200 1.74 0.057 302 21H§ 507 1200 2.42 0.078 503 SAT-87S35-1† 2117 1100 4.51 0.140 209 | |
| SAT-20421-2* 1075 1180 2.68 0.096 1063 SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 5.23 0.159 1363 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 8.00 0.225 2473 SAT-NZC4-11† 1986 900 6.34 0.187 1711 SAT-M29-2* 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 1255 SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.111 791 17H§ 717 1200 2.56 0.082 711 20H§ 310 1200 1.74 0.057 302 21H§ 507 1200 2.42 0.078 503 SAT-87S35-1† 2117 1100 4.51 0.140 209 | |
| SAT-NZC4-4* 1470 1000 5.23 0.159 1363 SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 8.00 0.225 2473 SAT-NZC4-11† 1986 900 6.34 0.187 1711 SAT-M49-2* 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 1255 SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.111 791 17H§ 717 1200 2.56 0.082 711 20H§ 310 1200 1.74 0.057 300 21H§ 507 1200 2.42 0.078 507 SAT-87S35-1† 2117 1100 4.51 0.140 209 | |
| SAT-NZC4-9† 2841 900 8.00 0.225 2479 SAT-NZC4-11† 1986 900 6.34 0.187 1711 SAT-M49-2* 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 1255 SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.111 793 17H§ 717 1200 2.56 0.082 711 20H§ 310 1200 1.74 0.057 300 21H§ 507 1200 2.42 0.078 507 SAT-87S35-1† 2117 1100 4.51 0.140 209 | |
| SAT-NZC4-11† 1986 900 6.34 0.187 171 SAT-M49-2* 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 125 SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.111 79 17H§ 717 1200 2.56 0.082 71 20H§ 310 1200 1.74 0.057 300 21H§ 507 1200 2.42 0.078 507 SAT-87S35-1† 2117 1100 4.51 0.140 209 | |
| SAT-M49-2* 1280 1130 4.55 0.143 125 SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.111 79 17H§ 717 1200 2.56 0.082 71 20H§ 310 1200 1.74 0.057 300 21H§ 507 1200 2.42 0.078 500 SAT-87S35-1† 2117 1100 4.51 0.140 209 | |
| SAT-M49-3* 814 1130 3.41 0.111 793 17H§ 717 1200 2.56 0.082 711 20H§ 310 1200 1.74 0.057 302 21H§ 507 1200 2.42 0.078 500 SAT-87S35-1† 2117 1100 4.51 0.140 209 | |
| 17H§ 717 1200 2.56 0.082 717 20H§ 310 1200 1.74 0.057 300 21H§ 507 1200 2.42 0.078 500 SAT-87S35-1† 2117 1100 4.51 0.140 209 | |
| 20HŠ 310 1200 1.74 0.057 300 21HS 507 1200 2.42 0.078 500 SAT-87S35-1† 2117 1100 4.51 0.140 209 | |
| 21H§ 507 1200 2.42 0.078 503 SAT-87S35-1† 2117 1100 4.51 0.140 209 | |
| SAT-87S35-1† 2117 1100 4.51 0.140 209 | |
| | |
| CATOLOGICAL 2103 1030 4.03 0.143 211 | |
| SAT-87S35-4† 2206 1050 5.43 0.164 2074 | |
| SAT-87S35-5† 2916 1050 6.40 0.186 286 | |
| PDIKS110# 190 850 1.46 0.050 184 | |
| PDIKS102# 500 850 3.15 0.102 463 | |
| PDIKS115# 980 850 3.94 0.124 85 | |
| PDIKS111# 1470 850 5.06 0.154 123 | |
| PDIKS111# 1470 850 5.06 0.154 1250 PDIKS113# 1260 850 4.43 0.137 1075 | |
| Shaw, 1kb 1000 900 4.3 0.137 1073 | |
| Shaw, 1kbij 1000 900 4.5 0.154 890 Shaw, 2kbij 2000 800 6.2 0.183 1565 | |
| Silaw, 2kbij 2000 800 8.2 0.185 156 SAT-CAM73-6† 2827 900 8.36 0.233 2462 | |
| SAT-CAM73-01 2027 900 6.30 0.233 240. SAT-CAM73-7† 1848 900 6.34 0.194 1594 | |
| 205** 6067 1100 9.4 0.255 768 | |
| | |
| | |
| 203** 3000 1100 5.93 0.117 304 A9** 3000 1100 7.4 0.211 304 | |
| A9 3000 1100 7.4 0.211 304 | 1 |
| * Moore et al. 1995a. † This study. | |
| ‡ Carroll and Blank 1997. § Diven et al. 1995 | |
| § Dixon et al. 1995. | |
| # Silver et al. 1990. | |

[∥] Shaw 1963. ** Hamilton et al. 1964.

samples with low dissolved H_2O content (<2 wt%), and therefore steps must be taken during the manometric procedure to rid the sample of this extraneous water (see above). Their solution, which became stan-

1500 42 P_{HeO}(bars) 1000 500 0 0 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 6 wt% H_O

FIGURE 1. Comparison of Moore et al. (1995b) data for phonolite (solid triangles) with the data of Carroll and Blank (1997) (IR = upside-down open triangles; manometry = solid circles, 850–900 °C) and the new data from this study (solid squares = 900 °C). The dashed line is the predicted H₂O solubility from Moore et al. (1995b), and the solid line is from this study calculated for 900 °C. Note the severe underestimation of the Moore et al. (1995b) data and its subsequent effect on their model curve.

dard practice, was to heat the samples at about 150–200 °C under vacuum for 12 h using an inductance furnace, then raise the temperature and commence the manometry measurement. Moore et al. (1995b) used a similar technique for their samples, which contained up to ~6 wt% H₂O, except where the samples were heated with a resistance furnace as described above. Comparison of the Moore et al. (1995b) data for a phonolite (TC-19) at 2 kbar water pressure with the IR solubility data of Carroll and Blank (1997) on the identical lava shows that the Moore et al. (1995b) data and subsequent model greatly underestimate the H₂O solubility of this composition (Fig. 1).

To further investigate the low manometry results on the phonolite and to better understand the dehydration behavior of natural silicate glasses, several H_2O release ma-

TABLE 3. Manometric water release data (wt% H₂O)

| Sample no. | 50 °C | 150 °C | 200 °C | 300 °C | 420 °C | 800 °C | 820 °C | 1400 °C |
|-------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| SAT-CAM73-6 | 0.60 | 2.25 | | 3.08 | 1.50 | 0.97 | | 0.10 |
| SAT-CAM73-7 | 0.11 | 1.10 | | 2.92 | 1.46 | 1.09 | | 0.11 |
| SAT-87S35-1 | | | 0.19 | | | | 4.44 | 0.12 |
| SAT-87S35-5 | 0.03 | 0.09 | | 1.18 | 1.78 | 3.42 | | 0.05 |
| SAT-TC19-7 | | | 5.09 | | | | 3.59 | 0.26 |
| SAT-TC19-8 | 0.08 | 2.04 | | 2.83 | 1.57 | 0.85 | | 0.07 |
| SAT-NZC4-9 | 0.65 | 3.40 | | 2.01 | 1.50 | 0.73 | | 0.07 |
| SAT-NZC4-11 | 0.06 | 1.27 | | 2.98 | 1.54 | 0.68 | | 0.06 |
| SAT-442-4 | 0.05 | 0.63 | | 1.83 | 1.07 | 0.97 | | 0.03 |
| SAT-442-5 | 0.04 | 0.50 | | 1.82 | 1.81 | 1.43 | | 0.05 |



FIGURE 2. (a) H_2O release spectra for phonolite (TC-19). Note the significant amount of H_2O released below 200 °C. (b) H_2O release spectra for rhyolite (CAM-73) that shows similar behavior to the phonolite.

nometry experiments were conducted on selected samples (Table 3; Figs. 2 and 3). As illustrated in Figure 2, significant amounts of primary dissolved H2O are released from the sample before 200 °C for the silica and alkalirich samples with at least ~ 6 wt% dissolved H₂O. In contrast, Figure 3 shows the release spectra for a high alumina basalt, as well as thermal gravimetric data for an andesite, and establishes that dehydration does not begin until about 300 °C and peaks at about 800 °C for these compositions. This behavior suggests that the commencement of dehydration in silicate liquids is a function of both the bulk composition and the melt H₂O content. This is consistent with the work of Ochs and Lange (1997) who showed that albite glass does not dehydrate until it has gone through the glass transition because of kinetic effects. Therefore, as the H₂O content or the silica or alkali content of the glass increases, the glass transition temperature becomes lower as does the initial temperature of dehydration. Preliminary heat capacity measurements of silicic, H₂O-rich samples done on a scanning calorimeter show that these samples pass through their glass transition at temperatures less than 100 °C (Jean Tangemann,



FIGURE 3. (a) H_2O release spectra for high alumina basalt (87S35A). Note that dehydration does not begin until temperatures are greater than 200 °C and that the H_2O release does not peak until ~800 °C. (b) Thermal gravimetry curve for andesite (Mas-12). Note that the sample does not lose significant weight (devolatilizes) until ~300 °C.

personal communication), which is consistent with the H_2O release data.

Subsequent to the discovery of this low-temperature dehydration behavior in H_2O -rich glasses, certain experiments of Moore et al. (1995a) became suspect, particularly the high- H_2O content experiments, as well as the alkali-rich and silica-poor compositions (e.g., 442, 20421, TC-19, and NZC-4). For this study, the suspect experiments were either redone or were excluded from the database (Table 2). Because of the high trace element concentrations (particularly Li_2O) in the pegmatitic composition of Burnham and Jahns (1962), which are not accounted for in our regression, we have also eliminated those data from the database. New experiments were also conducted at 3 kbar on a range of compositions and their H_2O solubilities were measured (Table 2) using the H_2O release spectra method, which eliminated the preliminary

TABLE 4. Model coefficients

| Coefficient | Value | Standard error |
|-----------------------------|---------|----------------|
| а | 2565 | 362 |
| $b_{Al_2O_2}$ | -1.997 | 0.706 |
| $b_{Al_2O_3}$ b_{FeOt} | -0.9275 | 0.394 |
| $b_{\text{Na}_2\text{O}}$ | 2.736 | 0.871 |
| С | 1.171 | 0.069 |
| d | -14.21 | 0.54 |

bake-out at 150–200 °C and the ensuing loss of dissolved H_2O that occured. Because adsorbed water is a function of surface area of the crushed glass samples, we assumed that each sample has 0.20 wt% adsorbed water, which is a maximum value derived from the H_2O release data below 300 °C on the high alumina basalt samples (87S35; Table 3).

Form of the model equation

The ultimate goal of this study is to develop a simple empirical expression that describes the dependence of H_2O solubility on temperature, pressure, and composition of the liquid. Therefore, for the reaction: H_2O (vapor) = H_2O (melt) we used the modified database from Moore et al. (1995b) in conjunction with the new data at 3 kbar (Table 2), to calibrate an equation of the form:

$$2 \ln X_{\rm H_2O}^{\rm melt} = \frac{a}{T} + \sum_i b_i X_i \left(\frac{P}{T}\right) + c \ln f_{\rm H_2O}^{\rm fluid} + d \quad (1)$$

where $X_{H_2O}^{\text{melt}}$ = mole fraction of H₂O dissolved in the melt, $f_{\rm H_2O}^{\rm fluid}$ = fugacity of H₂O in the fluid, = temperature (Kelvin), = pressure (bars), = anhydrous mole fractions of the oxide components, and are the fit parameters (values in Table 4). The fugacity of H_2O in the fluid is calculated by using a modified Redlich-Kwong equation of state (Appendix of Holloway and Blank 1994). The mole fractions of the oxide components are calculated from the initial compositions (Table 1). As was discussed in Moore et al. (1995b), each term in Equation 1 can be identified with certain thermodynamic variables. Thus the a coefficient is related to the enthalpy of reaction for H₂O dissolved in the melt. No compositional dependence was found for this term, and it is in agreement with the enthalpies calculated by Silver et al. (1990). The b_i term is related to the molar volume of H₂O within the melt, and a significant compositional dependence was found for this variable, making it necessary to modify it with the statistically significant compositional terms. This compositional dependence is consistent with calculated values for basalt (Dixon et al. 1995), rhyolite, and albite (Lange 1994; Silver et al. 1990). The coefficient c reflects the observation that although $\ln X_{\rm H_2O}^{\rm melt}$ is linear with $\ln f_{\rm H_2O}^{\rm fluid}$, it has a slightly different slope for each liquid. The constant d can be related to the entropy of reaction and is significantly negative for the reaction as written.

Using an unweighted multiple linear regression for the data (initial compositions listed in Table 1; experiment



FIGURE 4. Measured vs. calculated H_2O solubility using Equation 1. Solid symbols include data from the literature and this study. Open symbols are the high-pressure data from Hamilton et al. (1964). Dashed lines represent the $\pm 2\sigma$ of the fit.

conditions and H₂O contents are given in Table 2) the overall fit to the data is good (the coefficients and their standard errors are given in Table 4). Figure 4 shows the measured solubility values of the experiments vs. the calculated values. Two times the standard error of the regression is equal to ± 0.5 wt% H₂O (dashed lines, Fig. 4), and this recalls that the error in a replicate determination is ± 0.2 wt% H₂O (Moore et al. 1995b). Comparison of the model parameters of this regression with those of Moore et al. (1995b) show that the *a*, *c*, and *d* coefficients (which account for 90% of the variation in the data set) are the same within error. The most significant difference is that the compositional terms for the oxides have changed, reflecting the higher contents of H₂O in the alkali-rich samples. The b_i term of Moore et al. (1995b) was modified by the mole fraction of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, FeO₁, and CaO. With the new regression, SiO₂ became insignificant and CaO was replaced by Na2O. Also, Moore et al. (1995b) found it necessary to weigh the high-pressure data of Hamilton et al. (1964) and Burnham and Jahns (1962) to acheive a more balanced distribution of the data. The new high pressure data of this study eliminates the need for this weighing, and the new model recovers the results of Hamilton et al. (1964) quite well (Fig. 4). An Excel-based spreadsheet that uses Equation 1 and the new coefficients is available on request from the first author.

Applications of the model equation for water solubility in natural silicate melts

The solubility curves for basaltic and rhyolitic composition, calculated using Equation 1 (Fig. 5) and solu-





FIGURE 5. (a) Comparison of H_2O solubility models for MORB at 1200 °C. Data are from Dixon et al. (1995), as is the dashed curve. The solid line is from Equation 1. (b) Comparison of H_2O solubility models for rhyolite at 850 °C. Data are from Silver et al. (1990) and Shaw (1963). Dashed line is predicted curve from Silver et al. (1990). Solid line is from Equation 1.

bility models presented by Dixon et al. (1995) and Silver et al. (1990), respectively, are indistinguishable. Both of these data sets are in our regression, however, so a better test is a composition not used in the calibration of our model and for which the equilibrium conditions and fluid composition are well known.

The H_2O solubility data for a basanitic composition (Holloway and Blank 1994) and the curve calculated using Equation 1 are shown in Figure 6a; our model appears

FIGURE 6. (a) Comparison of basanite H_2O solubility data at 1200 °C of Cocheo and Holloway (1994) (solid symbols) and Equation 1. Note that the basanite composition is not in the regressed database. (b) A comparison with the experimentally determined equilibrium conditions for the Mount St. Helens dacite (solid symbol) from Rutherford et al. (1985) and the predicted H_2O contents using Equation 1.

to extrapolate well with changes in composition. Because Equation 1 contains a term involving the fugacity of H_2O vapor, it is also possible to calculate H_2O contents for liquids in equilibrium with a fluid phase that is not pure H_2O . For example, volcanic systems commonly have a significant fraction of CO_2 present in the fluid phase, thereby lowering the fugacity of H_2O (Anderson et al.

1989). So another test of our model is to predict H_2O contents of magmas for which temperature, pressure, and H_2O content are known either by direct measurement of melt inclusions or by experimental phase equilibria. This type of information is known only for a few cases, a good example being the dacite from the 1980 eruption of Mount St. Helens (Fig. 6b), where feldspar equilibria indicate $X_{H_2O}^{\text{fmid}}$ (Rutherford et al. 1985; Rutherford and Devine 1988). Using the residual glass composition to calculate the H_2O content at the appropriate conditions, it is apparent that our model is in good agreement with this estimate for the erupted dacite.

As the model appears to work for most natural igneous systems, it can also be applied to estimate the H_2O content in any liquid of known composition in experimental phase equilibria experiments. Moore and Carmichael (1998) did this for a series of andesite and basaltic andesite experiments conducted at H_2O -saturated conditions and documented the evolution of H_2O solubility in the liquid with increasing crystallization for both compositions.

Because this model results from an empirical regression, we caution against extrapolating it beyond the range of the data (up to \sim 3 kbar for any composition). Prediction to higher pressures is also problematic because of the complexities of critical behavior, as illustrated for albite liquids by the experiments of Paillat et al. (1992).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by National Science Foundation (grant EAR-9418105) to I.S.E. Carmichael. We thank Rebecca Lange for helpful comments and Mike Carroll and Malcolm Rutherford for thorough and constructive reviews. We especially thank Mike Carroll and Jen Blank for access to previously unpublished data and Jean Tangemann for measuring $T_{\rm e}s$ for several H₂O-rich glasses.

REFERENCES CITED

- Anderson, A.T. Jr., Newman, S., Williams, S.N., Druitt, T.H., Skirius, C., and Stolper, E. (1989) H₂O, CO₂, Cl, and gas in plinian and ash-flow bishop rhyolite. Geology, 17, 221–225.
- Blank, J.G., Stolper, E.M., and Carroll, M.R. (1993) Solubilities of carbon dioxide and water in rhyolitic melt at 850 °C and 750 bars. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 119, 27–36.
- Burnham, C.W. (1981) The nature of multicomponent aluminosilicate melts. In D.T. Rickard and F.E. Wickman, Eds., Chemistry and geochemistry of solutions at high temperatures and pressures, p. 197–229. Pergamon Press, New York.
- Burnham, C.W. and Davis, N.F. (1971) The role of H₂O in silicate melts: 1. P-V-T relations in the system NaAlSi₃O₈-H₂O to 10 kilobars and 1000 °C. American Journal of Science, 270, 54–79.
- Burnham, C.W. and Jahns, R.H. (1962) A method for determining the solubility of water in silicate melts. American Journal of Science, 260, 721– 745.
- Carroll, M.R. and Blank, J.G. (1997) The solubility of H₂O in phonolitic melts. American Mineralogist, 82, 549–556.
- Dingwell, D.B., Harris, D.M., and Scarfe, C.M. (1984) The solubility of H₂O in melts in the system SiO₂-Al₂O3-Na₂O-K₂O at 1 to 2 kbar. Journal of Geology, 92, 387–395.
- Dixon, J.E., Stolper, E.M., and Holloway, J.R. (1995) Experimental study of water and carbon dioxide solubilities in mid-ocean ridge basaltic liquids. Part I: Calibration and solubility models. Journal of Petrology, 36, 1607–1631.
- Hamilton, D.L., Burnham, C.W., and Osborn, E.F. (1964) The solubility of

water and effects of oxygen fugacity and water content on crystallization in mafic magmas. Journal of Petrology, 5, 21–39.

- Holloway, J.R. and Blank, J.G. (1994) Application of experimental results to C-O-H species in natural melts. In Mineralogical Society of America Reviews in Mineralogy, 30, 187–230.
- Holloway, J.R., Dixon, J.E., and Pawley, A.R. (1992) An internally heated, rapid-quench, high-pressure vessel. American Mineralogist, 77, 643–646.
- Holtz, F., Behrens, H., Dingwell, D.B., and Taylor, R.P. (1992) Water solubility in aluminosilicate melts of haplogranite composition at 2 kbar. Chemical Geology, 96, 289–302.
- Johnson, M.C., Anderson, A.T., and Rutherford, M.J. (1994) Pre-eruptive volatile contents of magmas. In Mineralogical Society of America Reviews in Mineralogy, 30, 281–330.
- Lange, R.A. (1994) The effect of H_2O , CO_2 and F on the density and viscosity of silicate melts. In Mineralogical Society of America Reviews in Mineralogy, 30, 331–369.
- Lowenstern, J.B. (1994) Dissolved water concentrations in an ore-forming magma. Geology, 22, 893–896.
- Moore, G. and Carmichael, I.S.E. (1998) The hydrous phase equilibria (to 3 kbar) of an andesite and basaltic andesite from Western Mexico: Constraints on water content and conditions of phenocryst growth. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, in press.
- Moore, G., Righter, K., and Carmichael, I.S.E. (1995a) The effect of dissolved water on the oxidation state of iron in natural silicate liquids. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 120, 170–179.
- Moore, G., Vennemann, T., and Carmichael, I.S.E. (1995b) The solubility of water in magmas to 2 kbar. Geology, 23, 1099–1102.
- Newman, S., Stolper, E.M., and Epstein, S. (1986) Measurement of water in rhyolitic glasses: Calibration of an infrared spectroscopic technique. American Mineralogist, 71, 1527–1541.
- Nicholls, J. (1980) A simple thermodynamic model for estimating the solubility of H₂O in Magmas. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 74, 211–220.
- Ochs, F. and Lange, R. (1997) The partial molar volume, thermal expansivity, and compressibility of H₂O in NaAlSi₃O₈ liquid: New measurements and an internally consistent model. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, in press.
- Oxtoby, S. and Hamilton, D.L. (1978) Solubility of water in melts of the Na₂O-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ and K₂O-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ systems. Progress in experimental petrology. National Environmental Research council, 4, 33–36.
- Paillat, O., Elphick, S.C., and Brown, W.L. (1992) The solubility of water in NaAlSi₃O₈ melts: A re-examination of Ab-H₂O phase relationships and critical behavior at high pressures. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 112, 490–500.
- Papale, P. (1997) Thermodynamic modeling of the solubility of H₂O and CO₂ in silicate liquids. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 126, 237–251.
- Rutherford, M.J. and Devine, J.D. (1988) The May 18, 1980, eruption of Mount St. Helens: 3. Stability and chemistry of amphibole in the magma chamber. Journal of Geophysical Research, 93, 11949–11959.
- Rutherford, M.J., Sigurdsson, H., Carey, S., and Davis, A. (1985) The May 18, 1980, eruption of Mount St. Helens: Melt composition and experimental phase equilibria. Journal of Geophysical Research, 90, 2929–2947.
- Schulze, F., Behrens, H., Holz, F., Ruox, J., and Johannes, W. (1997) The influence of H₂O on the viscosity of a haplogranitic melt. American Mineralogist, 81, 1155–1165.
- Shaw, H.R. (1963) Obsidian-H₂O viscosities at 1000 and 2000 bars in the temperature range 700 to 900 °C. Journal of Geophysical Research, 68, 6337–6343.
- Silver, L.A., Ihinger, P.D., and Stolper, E. (1990) The influence of bulk composition on the speciation of water in silicate glasses. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 104, 142–162.
- Sisson, T.W. and Layne, G.D. (1992) H₂O in basalt and basaltic andesite glass inclusions from four subduction-related volcanoes. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 117, 619–635.
- Vennemann, T.W. and O'Neil, J.R. (1993) A simple and inexpensive method of hydrogen isotope and water analyses of minerals and rocks based on zinc reagent. Chemical Geology, 103, 227–234.

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED FEBRUARY 10, 1997 MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED AUGUST 26, 1997