Redhammer et al. Deposit Material AM-12-051 American Mineralogist July 2012

Test for possible twinning

For LiAlGe₂O₆ crystals, used in this study, Pseudo-precession image simulation was used to exclude possible twinning of crystals. Images were calculated using the APEX2 Software (Bruker - Nonius 2007), for a maximum resolution of 0.7 Å and layer thicknesses of 0.05 to 0.3, with no difference between the different thicknesses.





These images display the (h 0 l) plane, without and with an overlay of possible Bragg reflections in the monoclinic unit cell. No suspicious doubling of reflections is visible, thus crystals appear to be untwinned as expected also from optical inspection under the polarization mikroskope.



Crystal LAG_2A

(data not shown in Tables 1 -3)



Also this crystals shows no twinning and has sharp reflections in the simulations of precession photographs (limit d = 0.8 Å. layer thickness 0.05)

To see how a twinned crystal of LiAlGe₂O6 can look like, we here add two precession sections of a crystal which shows intermediate twinning.



Especially within the a -c (h 0 l) section these is a distinct doubling of reflections, which is as prominent that it cannon be overseen. Also the (h k 0) section shows such doubling of reflections which is not seen in the images above. All in all it may be noted that data integration of this twinned crystal yield a primitive unit cell with symmetry $P2_1/n$.

If our samples would have been twinned, this could have caused an erroneous determination of the space group from $P2_1/n$ to C2/c. A typical twinning law within the C2/c clinopyroxenes in (1 0 0), which causes the presence of h + k = 2n+1 reflections, typical for a primitive Bravais lattice. Such a twinning law - however, should show split reflections with indices (h 0 l) for h + l = 2n reflections. Therefore we used a point detector with very long sample - detector distance to analyse such reflections up to high resolution (e.g. 60° in 20). Results are shown in the images below. We have measured, in detail, the (2 0 2), (2 0 4), (2 0 6), (4 0 2), (4 0 4) and the (4 0 6) reflections. The unit cell setting is the conventional for $P2_1/c$ or C2/c with a ~ 9.8 Å, b ~ 8.4 Å, c ~ 5.3 Å and the monoclinic angle ~110°. As expected the reflections only show a clear K α_1 - K α_2 split, which is noticeable even at low angles as a distinct shoulder with the two peaks having equal peak broadening and the K α_2 peak half intensity, but - most important - no indication of any split due to a twinning is evident. Moreover, the reflections all show fine peak profiles with a very small line width of 0.06° in omega.

