‘Kamchatite’ diamond aggregate from northern Kamchatka, Russia:

New find of diamond formed by gas phase condensation or chemical vapor deposition

Felix V. Kaminsky a,*, Richard Wirth b, Leonid P. Anikin c, Anya Schreiber b

a KM Diamond Exploration Ltd., West Vancouver, BC, V7S 3J1, Canada
b Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Center for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, C120, D-14473 Potsdam, Germany
c Institute of Volcanology and Seismology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Petropavlovsk-Kamchatskii, 683006, Russia

Highlights

• Polycrystalline diamond identified in northern Kamchatka;
• Diamond microcrystals are cemented with tilleyite, SiC, Fe-Ni-Mn silicides, native Si, graphite, calcite, and amorphous material;
• The assemblage was formed via gas phase condensation or CVD.
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A series of polycrystalline diamond grains were found within the Valizhgen Peninsula in Koryakia, northern Kamchatka, Russia. A grain from the Aynyn River area is studied in detail with TEM. Diamond crystallites, 2-40 μm in size are twinned and have high dislocation density. They are cemented with tilleyite Ca₅(Si₂O₇)(CO₃)₂, SiC, Fe-Ni-Mn-Cr silicides, native silicon, graphite, calcite, and amorphous material. Among SiC grains, three polymorphs were discriminated: hexagonal 4H and 6H and cubic C3 (β-SiC). Silicides have variable stoichiometry with (Fe,Ni,Mn,Cr)/Si = 0.505-1.925. Native silicon is an open-framework allotrope of silicon S₂₄, which has been observed, to date, as a synthetic phase only; this is a new natural mineral phase. Three types of amorphous material were distinguished: a Ca-Si-C-O material, similar in composition to tilleyite; amorphous carbon in contact with diamond, which includes particles of crystalline graphite; and amorphous SiO₂. No regularity in the distribution of the amorphous material was observed. In the studied aggregate, diamond crystallites and moissanite are intensively twinned, which is characteristic for these minerals formed by gas phase condensation or chemical vapor deposition (CVD) processes. The synthetic analogs of all other cementing compounds (β-SiC, silicides and native silicon) are typical products of CVD processes. This confirms the earlier suggested CVD mechanism for the formation of Avacha diamond aggregates. Both Avacha and Aynyn diamond aggregates are related not to ‘classic’ diamond locations within stable cratons, but to areas of active and Holocene volcanic belts. The studied diamond aggregates from Aynyn and Avacha, by their mineralogical features, and by their origin during the course of volcanic eruptions via a gas phase condensation or CVD mechanism may be considered as a new variety of polycrystalline diamond and may be called ‘kamchatite’. Kamchatite extends the number of unusual diamond localities. It increases the potential sources of diamond and indicates the polygenetic character of diamond.
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1. Introduction

In recent decades the number of finds of diamond in uncommon tectonic settings and of unusual appearance has increased (Kaminsky, 2007; Golovko and Kaminsky, 2010). Of particular interest, in this respect, is the territory of Kamchatka, Russia, where monocrystalline diamond and polycrystalline diamond aggregates were identified in various volcanic and ultramafic rocks (Kutyev and Kutyeva, 1975; Shilo et al., 1979; Baikov et al., 1995; Gorshkov et al., 1995; Seliverstov, 2009). A summary of these finds was presented recently by Kaminsky et al. (2016) along with the results of a study of uncommon, ‘carbonado-like’ diamond aggregates from the lavas of the active Avacha volcano.

These finds demonstrate that diamond is a mineral, which may originate not only at static or dynamic high pressure-temperature (P-T) conditions, but also during the course of near-atmospheric processes of gas phase condensation or chemical vapor deposition (CVD) (Kaminsky et al., 2016). The number of such finds, confirming the idea of the polygenesis of diamond, increases. In this work we continue studying unusual diamond aggregates from Kamchatka and describe another find of a diamond aggregate in northern Kamchatka, formed, most likely, like the Avacha diamond aggregates, during the course of near-atmospheric CVD processes.

2. Geological setting of the diamond microcrystalline aggregate

In the 1980s a series of carbonado-like diamond grains were found within the Valizhgen Peninsula in Koryakia, northern Kamchatka, Russia (Fig. 1). The area is composed of Paleozoic silicic-tuffaceous rocks, slightly deformed Upper Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous terrigenous units, and ultramafic rocks, which belong to the Talovo-Pekul'nei ophiolite belt comprising ophiolites of various ages, peridotites and various gabbroic rocks. More than a hundred isolated ultramafic rock bodies, scattered over the Valizhgen rise, are suggested to be fragments of a large, low-angle plate-shaped body composed of several sheets. The ultramafic bodies always have tectonic contacts with host arkose sandstones and siltstones; at the
flanks of the Valizhgen rise they are overlain by terrigenous Upper Cretaceous deposits. Chromite ore
mineralization is associated with the dunite lenses (Osipenko et al., 2002). F.Sh. Kutyev (pers. comm.),
during the course of his sampling the Valizhgen area, indentified several fresh (3-7 thousand year old)
basaltic cones within the Aynyn River valley.

More than 40 grains of diamond aggregate were found in 1983-1988 in eight 20-litre panning
samples, collected from recent alluvium from the following rivers: Ilistaya (15 grains in two samples),
Gorelaya (20 grains in two samples), Dlinnaya (two grains in one sample) and Smyatii Creek (eight
grains in two samples). Later, one of the authors (LPA) identified a carbonado-like grain, Ayn-3, in a
sample from the Aynyn River, the left tributary of the Talovka River (Fig. 1).

3. Sample characteristics and methods

The studied microcrystalline diamond aggregate Ayn-3 is of elongated-rounded shape, ~1 mm in size.
Diamond crystallites occupy 52-55 vol.% of the aggregate; they are cemented with a nanocrystalline
aggregate of various minerals and amorphous material (Fig. 2).

Five electron-transparent foils (##4975, 4976, 5312, 5316B and 5317C) with typical dimensions of 15
× 8 × 0.15 µm have been prepared applying focused ion beam (FIB) sample preparation. Details of foil
preparation are given in Wirth (2004, 2009). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed
using a Tecnai F20 X-Twin microscope, operated at 200 kV with a Schottky emitter as electron source
(FEG). The TEM is equipped with an EDAX X-Ray analyzer with ultra-thin window, a Fishione high-
angle annular dark-field (HAADF) detector and a Gatan imaging filter (Tridiem) for electron energy-loss
spectroscopy and energy filtered imaging. HAADF images were collected with a camera length of
330mm displaying diffraction contrast plus Z-contrast or with a camera length of 75 mm which shows Z-
contrast only. Usually, nanophases were identified acquiring high-resolution lattice fringe images with a
very short acquisition time (0.6 seconds) to avoid decomposition during exposure to the electron beam.
The calculated diffraction patterns from high-resolution images were used to measure $d$-spacing and
angles between the adjacent planes. A comparison of the observed data with calculated data from known
structures (literature data) allows for the identification of phases present. The chemical composition of the
phases was always measured in the scanning transmission mode (STEM) thus avoiding significant mass
loss during data acquisition. Acquisition time was 60 or 120 seconds. Data evaluation occurred using the
TIA software package of the microscope.

4. Results

All foils show diamond crystallites, cemented with tilleyite, SiC, Fe-Ni-Mn silicides, native silicon,
graphite, calcite, and amorphous material. The peculiar features of this sample, compared with other
known diamond aggregates (boart, framesite, carbonado) is that diamond crystallites are not sintered
together but are cemented by other phases and/or amorphous material, and that they comprise only a half
of the volume of the aggregate (52-55 vol.%).

4.1. Diamond crystallites

Crystallites of diamond are octahedral and, sometimes, irregular grains 2-40 µm in size (Fig. 2b). They
are usually intensively twinned after (111) as twin planes (Fig. 3a). Some crystallites show a very high
dislocation density so that individual lines cannot be distinguished (Fig. 3b); the others show low or very
low dislocation density.

4.2. Cementing matrix

The matrix, cementing the diamond aggregate, comprises almost a half of its volume. It consists of
crystalline and amorphous tilleyite, SiC, Fe-Ni-Mn silicides, native silicon, graphite, calcite, and
amorphous material (Fig. 4).
Tilleyite \( \text{Ca}_5(\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7)(\text{CO}_3)_2 \) is the major component of the matrix (Fig. 4). In addition to nanocrystals, 40-50 nm in size, amorphous material with a similar composition to tilleyite, occupies the significant part of the matrix (Supplementary Fig. 1). Tilleyite was confirmed by diffraction data from high-resolution images (Supplementary Table 1).

\( \text{SiC} \) is the second major phase in the groundmass cementing diamond crystallites. It forms small irregular grains, 5-50 nm in size, intergrown with tilleyite (Fig. 4). Three polymorphs were discriminated among them: hexagonal 4H and 6H (usually intensively twinned) and cubic (not twinned in the studied grain). These have been identified from diffraction patterns (FFT) calculated from high-resolution images. The structural data of hexagonal SiC are presented in Supplementary Table 2, and the cubic crystal symmetry of SiC, determined on the base of three diffraction patterns, calculated from high-resolution images (FFT) with three different zone axis orientations, is shown in Supplementary Fig. 2.

Silicides. Irregular and hexagonal crystals of Fe-Ni-Mn-Cr silicides, approximately 100-400 nm in size, are commonly embedded in the tilleyite-SiC matrix or located between diamond crystallites (Fig. 5). Chemical compositions of Fe-Ni-Mn-Cr silicide grains from different foils show great variability with \((\text{Fe}+\text{Mn}+\text{Ni}+\text{Cr})/\text{Si} \) atomic ratios from 0.505 to 1.925 (Table 1). Minor admixtures of Ti and Cr also exist. The variations occur not only among different grains but also within a single grain (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 3). The compositional variations of the silicides are summarized in Fig. 6.

Several compositional and structural groups of silicides may be distinguished (Fig. 6a). Group 1 comprises the grains with \((\text{Fe},\text{Ni},\text{Mn},\text{Cr})/(\text{Si}+\text{Ti}) = 1.888-1.925 \), which includes grain \#HREM02. This grain, according to its structural characteristics (Supplementary Table 3), has a hexagonal structure with an average stoichiometry of \((\text{Fe},\text{Ni},\text{Mn})_3\text{Si}_3 \) (Bińczyska et al., 1973) or orthorhombic structure with a stoichiometry, \( \text{FeNiSi} \) (Landrum et al., 1998); the same structure may be suggested for another grain with similar chemical composition. Group 2 includes the grains with \((\text{Fe},\text{Ni},\text{Mn},\text{Cr})/(\text{Si}+\text{Ti}) = 0.961-1.085 \), including grain \#HREM04. This grain, most likely, has a cubic structure with an average stoichiometry of \((\text{Fe},\text{Mn})\text{Si} \) (Aronsson 1958); the same structure is suggested for other grains from the same chemical group. Group 3 includes grains with \((\text{Fe},\text{Ni},\text{Mn},\text{Cr})/(\text{Si}+\text{Ti}) = 0.505-0.605 \); their supposed stoichiometry...
is (Fe,Ni,Mn,Cr)(Si,Ti)$_2$, which may be orthorhombic (Kojima et al., 1990) (Supplementary Table 3).

There are also silicides with chemical compositions intermediate between the three groups, such as

(Fe,Ni,Mn,Cr)/(Si+Ti) = 1.2 (sample #4976-5), (Fe,Ni,Mn,Cr)/(Si+Ti) = 1.5 (sample #4976-6) and

(Fe,Ni,Mn,Cr)/(Si+Ti) = 0.66 (samples ##5317-31, 32). Variable stoichiometries and other structures may
be suggested to exist in such silicides. The variations in major cation compositions are more random,
occupying almost the entire field in the Fe-Ni-Mn plot (Fig. 6b). This confirms the variable stoichiometry
of the investigated, Aynyn Fe-Ni-Mn-Cr silicides.

Native silicon Si$_{24}$. Several grains of pure native Si$^0$, 300-600 nm in size, were identified in foil
#5312. They occur in a touching association with diamond and silicide (Fig. 7a). The grains are
polycrystalline, representing a mosaic of almost equally-oriented nanocrystals, 5-20 nm in size (Fig. 7b).
Some crystallites are twinned. The slight misorientation between the individual nanocrystals is
documented in smeared-out diffraction spots in the diffraction pattern that cover a larger volume of the
crystal including many individual nanocrystals, while singular nanocrystals show sharp diffraction spots
(Fig. 7c). The dark-field image, acquired using a (141) reflection of Si$^0$, shows the entire crystal in a
brighter contrast, demonstrating very bright nanocrystals with perfect orientation along the identified zone
axis (Fig. 7d). High-resolution HAADF images show individual crystals with a grain size range of only
5–20 nm and with nanoporosity in between (Fig. 7b). The identification of native silicon as Si$_{24}$ is based
on diffraction data from high-resolution imaging (FFT) comparing the observed $d$-spacings and angles
between adjacent planes with the values calculated based on the structural data given by Kim et al.,
(2015) (Supplementary Table 4).

Graphite. At a contact of tilleyite-composed Ca-Si-C-O amorphous mass with diamond grains, thin
(less than 25 nm) rims of amorphous carbon material with graphite flakes occur (Fig. 4b). High-resolution
imagery demonstrates the gradual transformation of amorphous carbon into graphite (Fig. 8).

Calcite associates with amorphous carbon and amorphous SiO$_2$ within the matrix. It forms angular
grains, which are strongly porous (Fig. 9).
**Amorphous matrix.** In addition to mineral phases in the matrix, which cements diamond crystallites, such as tilleyite, SiC, silicides, native silicon, graphite and calcite, the bulk of the matrix is composed of amorphous material. This amorphous material is of variable composition. Three types of amorphous materials were distinguished: a Ca-Si-C-O material, similar in composition to tilleyite \( \text{Ca}_5(\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7)(\text{CO}_3)_2 \) (Fig. 4a); amorphous carbon at a contact with diamond, including particles of crystalline graphite (Figs. 4b and 8); and amorphous SiO\(_2\) (Fig. 9). No regularity in the distribution of the amorphous materials was observed.

5. Discussion

In our previous publication we described carbonado-like polycrystalline diamond aggregates from the active Avacha volcano in Kamchatka, that were formed via low-\( PT \) gas phase condensation (chemical vapor deposition, or CVD mechanisms) during the course or shortly after one of the volcanic eruption pulses of the volcano (Kaminsky et al., 2016). Evidence for that conclusion was the intensive twinning in diamond crystallites, the unique set of mineral inclusions in the diamond, and the cementing of diamond crystallites by interstitial amorphous silica with tridymite or \( \beta \)-SiC together with native Si\(^{0}\), rather than sintering characteristic for carbonado. Similar features were observed for the studied diamond aggregate. Like in the Avacha diamond aggregate and unlike in carbonado, diamond crystallites in the studied Aynyn diamond aggregate are not sintered but cemented with the polymineral aggregate, which includes various amorphous materials. This implies a non-traditional, specific kind of origin for this aggregate. The diamond crystallites in the studied aggregate, like in the Avacha aggregate, along with high dislocation density, demonstrate intense twinning by \{111\} twins (Fig. 3a). The twinning mechanism in diamond is characteristic of diamonds grown via the mechanism of CVD (Shechtman et al., 1993; Dischler and Wild, 1998; Butler and Oleynik, 2008).

The other mineral phases, cementing diamond, are also low-pressure compounds. **Tilleyite** \( \text{Ca}_5(\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7)(\text{CO}_3)_2 \), since its discovery in a contact zone of limestones with granodiorite and quartz
monzonite in California (Larsen and Dunham, 1933), was also identified in other calcitic skarns worldwide (e.g., Nockolds and Vincent, 1947; Rubenach and Cuff, 1985; Beard and Drake, 2016) and in Oldoinyo Lengai (Tanzania) natrocarbonatites (Mitchell, 1997). The stability of tilleyite was experimentally determined between 930-970 °C at ~35 MPa of CO$_2$ and between 870-930 °C at ~20 MPa of CO$_2$. In the P$_{CO2}$–T diagram its stability field forms a narrow band lying between the more carbonated calcite + wollastonite assemblage and the less carbonated spurrite (Harker 1959).

Natural cubic β-SiC was also identified first in shales of the Green River formation, Wyoming, USA, where it was formed as the product of hot volcanic fluids (Regis and Sand, 1958). Later, β-SiC was found in suevites from the Ries meteorite crater, where it is intergrown with diamond and hexagonal H4 moissanite, formed via the CVD mechanism from vaporized carbon-bearing rocks (Hough et al., 1995), and recently (in situ, and also in association with 4H moissanite) in recycled aposedimentary carbonatite xenoliths from Neogene basalts in the Dalihu area, Inner Mongolia, China (He et al., 2017). In the diamond aggregate from Avacha, β-SiC is twinned and has a CVD origin (Kaminsky et al., 2016). This allows us to suggest also a relatively low-pressure, CVD mechanism for the β-SiC formation in the studied Aynyn sample.

Hexagonal SiC (moissanite) was considered usually to be a high-pressure mineral (e.g., Kaminsky et al., 1968; Di Pierro et al., 2003). However, Shiryaev and Gaillard (2014) created a thermodynamic model, according to which moissanite may originate not necessarily at high pressures. The association of moissanite with highly reduced phases, such as native Si, various carbides and silicides, may be formed under low pressures at low oxygen fugitivity ($fO_2$ ≤ IW-6). Later Golubkova et al. (2016), analyzing the stability of SiC, demonstrated that it forms, in association with silicides, alloys and native metals, under variable (including low) pressures and low to moderate temperatures from ultra-reduced fluids ($fO_2$ = IW-6.5 to -7.5). Recently, this hypothesis was confirmed by finds of in situ moissanite in low-pressure formations of Neogene and Miocene basalts in China and Israel (Dobrzhinetskaya et al., 2018). In Inner Mongolia, China, hexagonal moissanite associate with cubic β-SiC (He et al., 2017); in Miocene alkali-
basaltic tuffs in Israel moissanite has inclusions of native silicon and metal silicides, where these minerals were supposedly formed from highly reduced fluids (H₂O-CH₄-H₂-C₂H₆) within a shallow magma reservoir (Dobrzhinetskaya et al., 2018). The moissanite grains studied in this work are strongly twinned, implying their low-pressure origin, most likely via the CVD mechanism. SiC was recently described as a vapor condensation product after a lightning strike (Ballhaus et al., 2017).

**Metal (Fe-Ni-Mn-Cr) silicides** identified in this work are similar in their compositions to Group 2 Mn-Ni-Si-Fe alloys from inclusions in diamond aggregate from the Avacha active volcano, reported in our previous work (Kaminsky et al., 2016); both are silicides. Silicides are very rare natural minerals. Among them, various iron (as well as Fe-Ni and Fe-Ti) silicides predominate, such as gupeite Fe₃Si, suessite (Fe,Ni)₃Si, hapkeite Fe₅Si, naquite FeSi, linzhiite FeSi₂, luobusaite Fe₅Si₂, zangboite TiFeSi₂ and xifengite Fe₅Si₃ (Mindat: http://www.mindat.org). Their stoichiometry varies widely, from Me/Si = 3 to 0.42. Most of them are identified in meteorites and cosmic dust, such as suessite and hapkeite (Anand et al., 2004; Rietmeijer et al., 2008), as well as the manganese silicide, brownleeite MnSi (Nakamura-Messenger et al., 2010); some are known from terrestrial formations, frequently as inclusions in moissanite along with native Si⁰ (Shiryaev et al., 2011).

Most finds of terrestrial Fe-silicides are made in chromitites from the Luobasa ultramafic massif, Tibet, China. These are luobusaite Fe₅Si₂, zangboite TiFeSi₂, naquite FeSi and linzhiite FeSi₂ (Hu, 1999; Bai et al., 2000, 2006; Li et al., 2009, 2012; Shi et al., 2012). Prior to the latter two Fe-silicides being identified in the Luobusa chromitites, they were initially discovered in the 1960s in Miocene sandstones of the Poltavskaya series and placer deposits in the northern Azov area, Donetsk Republic, and named ‘fersilicate’ and ‘ferdisilicate’, respectively (Gevorkyan, 1969; Gevorkyan et al., 1969; Fleishner, 1969); and zangboite was met in glassy fulgurites from Michigan, USA (Essene and Fisher 1986). In the 1980s, the Mn-Fe silicides, mavlyanovite Mn₃Si₃ and unnamed (Mn,Fe)₅Si₂ were found in association with moissanite and graphite as inclusions in volcanic glass near Volnovakha, Priazovye, Donetsk Republic (Tatarintsev et al., 1990). Such volcanic material may be the source of the silicides found in that area earlier. Silicides were also reported from carbonate rocks (Novoselova and Bagdasarov, 1979; Tishchenko...
et al., 2016) and as inclusions in moissanite from Yakutian kimberlites (Marshintsev et al., 1967; Pankov and Spetsius, 1989) and other rocks (Di Pierro et al., 2003). Recently, gupeiite, FeTiSi and FeTi(Si,P), in association with khamrabaevite TiC, FeTi and other highly reduced mineral phases, were identified in Cretaceous basaltic pyroclastic rocks exposed on Mount Carmel near Haifa, northern Israel (Griffin et al., 2016).

Three Fe-silicides, possibly corresponding in composition to suessite, hapkeite and xifengite, were found within Co-Mn crust dredged at the depth of 2486 m from a guyot in the central Pacific, at 19N and 175W (Mindat: http://www.mindat.org). A new, palladium silicide (palladosilicide) Pd$_2$Si was identified in chromitites of the Bushveld complex in the North West Province, South Africa and of the Kapalagulu intrusion, western Tanzania (Cabri et al., 2015). Recently Dobrzhinetskaya et al. (2018) found Fe-Ni and V-Ti silicides included in moissanite from Miocene tuff deposits located within Yizre’el Valley of Qishon River, Israel. They have compositions: (Fe,Ni)$_3$Si$_2$ and (Fe,Ni,V,Zr)$_3$Ti$_2$Si$_4$ (both orthorhombic) and V$_2$TiSi$_5$ (cubic).

The conditions of the formation of terrestrial silicides are debatable. The origin of silicides from the Luobusa chromitites was attributed to the deep mantle (Li et al., 2009, 2012). In contrast, Cabri et al. (2015) consider palladosilicide formation as a result of magmatic crystallization. In any case, the formation of silicides, particularly in association with moissanite and native metals, requires an extremely low oxygen fugacity, up to $f_{O_2} = IW-10$ to -12 (Griffin et al., 2016). The silicides from the studied diamond aggregate have very variable compositions even within a single grain (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 3). This implies unstable, disequilibrium conditions during the course of their origin and disagrees with stable, high-$PT$ model of their formation (Li et al., 2009, 2012). The formation of the studied Fe-Ni-Mn-Cr silicides in the diamond aggregate most likely is similar to common low-$PT$ synthesis of artificial silicides via vacuum evaporation, sputtering, and chemical vapor deposition (e.g., Nicolet and Lau, 1983).

Native silicon Si$^0$ is also a very rare natural mineral. In the terrestrial environment, it was identified in serpentinites after ultramafic rocks (Bird and Weathers, 1975; Novgorodova et al., 1989) and chromitites
of Tibet, China (Hu, 1999; Bai et al., 2000; Trumbull et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2016) and the Polar Urals, Russia (Yang et al., 2015), in kimberlites of Siberia and China (Marshintsev et al., 1967; Pankov and Spetsius, 1989; Leung et al., 1990), in fulgirites (Essene and Fisher, 1986) and fumaroles of Kamchatka and Kuril Islands, Russia (Korzhinskii et al., 1996), and in other rocks (Di Pierro et al., 2003). In all cases, native silicon is associated with silicides, moissanite, native iron, graphite, i.e., in highly reduced conditions. In the Avacha active volcano rocks it is cementing diamond aggregate in association with cubic $\beta$-SiC, amorphous $\text{SiO}_2$ and tridymite, formed as a result of CVD processes during the course or shortly after the volcanic eruption (Kaminsky et al., 2016). Earlier we identified natural silicon $\text{Si}^0$ within the matrix of the carbonado-like polycrystalline diamond from the Avacha volcano, Kamchatka (Kaminsky et al., 2016). Here, we identified this phase as an open-framework allotrope of silicon $\text{S}_{24}$, which has been observed, to date, as a synthetic phase only (Kim et al., 2015), suggested to be a superconductor (Sung et al., 2018). According to Kim et al. (2015), low-pressure methods such as chemical vapor deposition (CVD) are the most efficient ways for its industrial production.

Amorphous masses comprise a significant part of the diamond aggregate matrix. In different parts of the sample they have various compositions: ‘tilleyite—like’ Ca-Si-C-O material (Fig. 4a), $\text{SiO}_2$ (Fig. 9) and amorphous carbon (Figs. 4b and 8), forming a transition to graphite. Silicides are heterogeneous in composition even within nanocrystals. Such heterogeneity is caused by very unstable, varying conditions during the course of the formation of the studied diamond aggregate.

Such features suggest the formation of the studied diamond aggregate, like the earlier studied Avacha aggregates (Kaminsky et al., 2016), at unstable near-atmospheric pressure conditions via gas phase condensation (chemical vapor deposition, CVD) during the course or shortly after the volcanic eruption, in a very reduced environment. The CVD mechanism of diamond formation is well known and is being widely used in the manufacturing of man-made diamonds (Dischler and Wild, 1998; Eaton-Magana and Shigley, 2016). In this mechanism, a material containing methane ($\text{CH}_4$) and hydrogen ($\text{H}_2$) is deposited from a gas onto a substrate. The following reactions take place:

$$2\text{CH}_4 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 4\text{H}_2 + 2\text{CO};$$
\[4H_2 + 2CO \rightarrow C \text{ (diamond)} + H_2O.\]

The main requirements of diamond-producing CVD are: to deposit carbon onto the substrate and simultaneously suppress the formation of graphitic sp\(^2\)-bonds. This is realized by establishing high concentrations of non-diamond carbon etchants, such as atomic hydrogen (H\(_2\)) to the sourcing gas, and by activating the gas either thermally or by plasma (http://www.cvd-diamond.com/geninfo_en.htm). The typical growth temperatures are 700-900 °C, - similar to those established for the formation of the studied aggregates. The most characteristic feature of CVD-grown diamonds is micro-twinning, observed in both plasma (Shechtman et al., 1993; Dischler and Wild, 1998; Butler and Oleynik, 2008) and thermally (Huang et al., 2011) activated products. Such a mechanism in diamond originates from the formation of a hydrogen-terminated four-carbon atom cluster on a local \{111\} surface morphology, which also serves as a nucleus for the next layer of growth. Subsequent growth proceeds by a reaction at the step edges with one- and two-carbon-atom-containing species. The CVD mechanism of diamond formation with small amounts of nitrogen added to the plasma assisted the growth environments, stimulating the dramatic enhancement in polycrystalline diamond growth rates at temperatures in the range of 700–850 °C (Butler and Oleynik, 2008).

No active volcanos exist now in the Valizhgen Peninsula, but Holocene (3-7 ka) volcanic cones are known in the Aynyn River valley. They may be supposed as the sources of the studied diamond aggregate sample.

The studied aggregates, both from Avacha and from Koryakia cannot be grown in the laboratory because none of the identified mineral inclusions in diamond crystallites have been synthesized in CVD diamonds, with the exception of tungsten carbide used as a substrate (Schwandler and Partes, 2011). Moreover, some of newly found mineral species, such as an open-framework allotrope of silicon S\(_{24}\) was synthesized only a few years ago (Kim et al., 2015), while the natural specimen Ayn-3 with S\(_{24}\) described in this paper was found in the 1980s, when the CVD mechanism for the production of diamond only started being developed.
6. Implications

The studied diamond aggregate from the Aynyn area in northern Kamchatka is similar, by its structure, composition and geological setting, to the earlier studied aggregates from the active Avacha volcano in southern Kamchatka (Kaminsky et al., 2016). In addition to earlier found unusual inclusions in diamond from the Avacha volcano, such as Mn–Ni–Si–Fe alloys (silicides), native Si⁰, β-Mn, β-SiC, tungsten carbide W₂C, and boron carbide B₄C, which are uncommon for both carbonado and monocrystalline diamond, the Aynyn aggregate has in its composition tilleyite, three polymorphs of SiC (including cubic β-SiC), Fe-Ni-Mn-Cr silicides, graphite, calcite, native silicon and – for the first time in the natural environment – an open-framework allotrope of silicon Si₂₄, which has never been observed in nature before. Both the Avacha and Aynyn diamond aggregates are similar to carbonado, but have some differences. (1) They are related not to ‘classic’ diamond locations carbonado and of monocrystalline diamond within stable cratons, but to areas of active and Holocene volcanic belts. (2) Diamond crystallites in the studied aggregates are well-formed, sometimes idiomorphic, in contrast to usually irregular crystallites in carbonado. (3) Pores in the aggregates comprise 20-50 % of the volume, while in carbonado they do not exceed 20 vol.%. (4) Syngenetic mineral inclusions in the studied aggregates (Fe-Ni-Mn-Cr silicides, native β-Mn, tungsten carbide W₂C, and boron carbide B₄C) were never observed in carbonado and monocrystalline diamond. (5) Diamond crystallites are not sintered, like in carbonado, but cemented with amorphous SiO₂, tridymite, α- and β-SiC, native Si⁰, calcite, tilleyite and graphite, which are not characteristic for carbonado. These features allow for the suggestion that diamond aggregates found in two areas within Kamchatka, in the Avacha volcano and in Koryakia, are a new diamond variety and may be called ‘kamchatite’.

The most important feature of diamond crystallites is their micro- and nanotwinning, characteristic for a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process. The synthetic analogs of all other, cementing minerals
(β-SiC, silicides and native silicon) formed by the CVD mechanism as well. This likely confirms the mechanism of CVD for the origin of ‘kamchatite’.

Kamchatite extends the number of diamond varieties. In addition to monocrystalline diamond from kimberlites, lamproites and other magmatic rocks, formed at static high pressure-temperature conditions within the mantle; impact diamonds from meteoritic craters and meteorites, containing an admixture of lonsdaleite (yakutite) and formed as the result of solid-phase graphite transformation; diamonds from chromitites and metamorphic rocks, the genesis of which is still debatable; enigmatic carbonado; kamchatite represents diamond formed by gas phase condensation or chemical vapor deposition. Such variety of diamond, formed under various physic-chemical conditions, increases the potential sources of diamond and indicates the polygenetic character of diamond.
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Captions to Figures

Fig. 1. Scheme of the finds of carbonado-like diamond aggregate grains within the Valizhgen Peninsula, northern Kamchatka, Russia, shown in stars. Blue – ultramafic massifs.
Fig. 2. Secondary electron image (SEI) of microcrystalline diamond aggregate from the Valizhgen Peninsula, Koryakia, Kamchatka. Diamond crystallites (dark) cemented by nanocrystalline-amorphous aggregate (white). Scale bar is 20 μm.

Fig. 3. Structure of diamond crystallites. a – Intense twinning and dislocations in foil #4975. b – High dislocation density in foil #4976. HAADF overview images.

Fig. 4. Matrix of the diamond aggregate. a – Tilleyitic amorphous matrix with embedded nanograins of SiC and Fe-Ni-Mn silicide. TEM bright-field image. Foil #4975. b – Amorphous tilleyitic-graphitic mass cementing diamond crystallites. Thin graphite-containing layers are located between diamond and the tilleyitic amorphous material. Diamond shows local very high dislocation density areas and intensive twinning. TEM bright-field image. Foil #4976.

Fig. 5. Hexagonal crystal of Fe-Ni-Mn silicide between diamond grains. a – General view. TEM bright-field image. Foil # 4976. b - Indexed diffraction pattern (FFT) of Fe-Ni-Mn silicide based on hexagonal crystal structure.

Fig. 6. Chemical composition of the Fe-Ni-Mn-Cr silicides in diamond aggregate. a – General composition. b – Composition of major cations. Group 1 (red squares) – (Fe,Ni,Mn,Cr)\textsubscript{3}Si\textsubscript{3} hexagonal; Group 2 (blue circles) - (Fe,Ni,Mn,Cr)Si\textsubscript{2}; Group 3 (green stars) - (Fe,Ni,Mn,Cr)Si\textsubscript{2}. Comments in the text.

Fig. 7. Open-framework allotrope of silicon Si\textsubscript{24} from foil #5312. a - Mosaic grain composed of nanocrystals of Si\textsubscript{24}. Bright-field image. b - HAADF image of the mosaic crystal of Si\textsubscript{24} showing the individual nanocrystals. The inset image in the upper-right corner displays a higher magnification. Some of the nanocrystals show nano-twin lamellae. c - High-resolution lattice fringe image of an individual
nanocrystal of Si$_{24}$ from the mosaic crystal. Indexed diffraction pattern is inserted in the upper right corner. d - TEM dark-field image using the (141) reflection in diffraction pattern. The brighter patches in the mosaic crystal are individual nanocrystals of Si$_{24}$ with a perfect zone axis orientation with respect to the electron beam. The alternating bright and dark contrasts at the crystal interfaces are thickness fringes due to an inclined interface.

**Fig. 8.** High-resolution lattice fringe image of graphite flake associated with amorphous carbon. The inserted diffraction pattern shows the (0002) reflections of graphite. The smeared-out appearance of the diffraction spots displays the distortion of the basal planes in graphite. Foil #4976.

**Fig. 9.** TEM bright-field image of porous calcite together with amorphous SiO$_2$ and carbon plus graphite. Foil #4976.

**Supplementary data**

**Supplementary Table 1.** Structural data of tilleyite

**Supplementary Table 2.** Structural data on hexagonal silicon carbides

**Supplementary Table 3.** Structural data of Fe-Ni-Mn-Cr silicides

**Supplementary Table 4.** Structural data of native silicon

**Supplementary Fig. 1.** Structure and composition of tilleyite. a - High-resolution lattice fringe image of grain HREM02 from foil #4975. The inset shows the indexed diffraction pattern according to tilleyite.
The observed $d$-spacings are presented in Supplementary Table 1. b and c – EDX spectra of crystalline (b) and amorphous (c) tilleyite, showing their similar chemical compositions. In these and other EDX spectra, the Cu–Kα X-ray intensity is due to the copper grid on which the sample rests, and the Ga X-ray intensity represents gallium implanted during the FIB sputtering.

**Supplementary Fig. 2.** High-resolution lattice fringe image of SiC indexed based on a cubic structure. Indexed diffraction pattern in the upper right corner. Foil #5317C.

**Supplementary Fig. 3.** Fe-Ni-Mn-Cr silicide in foil #4975 with variable chemical composition. a - TEM bright-field image. Numbers 3 and 4 indicate the locations of the analyses given in Supplementary Figs. 3b and 3c. b and c – EDX spectra collected at locations 3 and 4, respectively. While Fe peak intensities are almost similar in both spectra, the Si peak is significantly more intense and Mn and Ni peaks are significantly less intense in spectrum location 4 (spectrum “c”), while the Ti peak occurs only in spectrum location 3 (spectrum “b”); this reflects the variable chemical compositions observed in locations 3 and 4 (see Table 1).
Table 1. The chemical compositions of Fe-Ni-Mn silicide grains (at.%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foil #</th>
<th>Grain</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Total (Fe+Mn+Ni+Cr) / (Si+Ti)</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4975</td>
<td>3*</td>
<td>46.52</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>19.76</td>
<td>22.17</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4*</td>
<td>64.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HREM04</td>
<td>50.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.86</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>26.71</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4976</td>
<td>HREM02</td>
<td>34.63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23.68</td>
<td>19.52</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hexagonal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63.87</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>15.94</td>
<td>14.01</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.31</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>49.03</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>24.22</td>
<td>19.13</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>47.97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>25.04</td>
<td>22.72</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.56</td>
<td>20.71</td>
<td>17.54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39.78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.81</td>
<td>20.29</td>
<td>14.66</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>34.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25.95</td>
<td>22.16</td>
<td>16.74</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5312</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>66.38</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>15.82</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>66.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>12.52</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5316B</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>62.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>26.98</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5317C</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>60.85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.76</td>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>60.60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23.03</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *) Analyses #4975(3) and #4975(4) are from the same crystal (see Fig. 7a).
Figure 1
Figure 2
Figure 6b
Figure 9: A micrograph showing a section labeled Carbon with Graphite, Calcite, and SiO2 amorphous. The scale bar indicates 200 nm.