Electronic transitions of iron in almandine-composition glass to 91 GPa
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Abstract

Valence and spin states of Fe were investigated in a glass of almandine (Fe₃Al₂Si₃O₁₂) composition to 91 GPa by X-ray emission spectroscopy and energy- and time-domain synchrotron Mössbauer spectroscopy in the diamond anvil cell. Changes in optical properties, total spin moment and Mössbauer parameters all occur predominantly between 1 bar and ~30 GPa. Over this pressure range, the glass changes from translucent brown to opaque and black. The total spin moment of the glass derived from X-ray emission spectroscopy decreases by ~20%. The complementary Mössbauer spectroscopy approaches reveal consistent changes in sites corresponding to 80-90% Fe²⁺ and 10-20% Fe³⁺. The high-spin Fe²⁺ doublet exhibits a
continuous decrease in isomer shift and increase in line width and asymmetry. A high-spin Fe$^{3+}$
doublet with quadrupole splitting of $\sim$1.2 mm/s is replaced by a doublet with quadrupole splitting
of $\sim$1.9 mm/s, a value higher than all previous measurements of high-spin Fe$^{3+}$ and consistent
with low-spin Fe$^{3+}$. These observations suggest that Fe$^{3+}$ in the glass undergoes a continual
transition from a high-spin to a low-spin state between 1 bar and $\sim$30 GPa. Almandine glass is
not expected to undergo any abrupt transitions in electronic state at deep mantle pressures.
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1. Introduction

The high-pressure behavior of Fe-rich silicate liquids is key to the differentiation of the
mantle from a primordial magma ocean (Labrosse et al. 2007; Stixrude et al. 2009; Lee et al.
2010) and to understanding possible dense melt-rich regions near the core-mantle boundary
(Williams and Garnero 1996). The dynamics of melt in the deep mantle is largely controlled by
the behavior of Fe, Earth’s densest major elemental component. A density crossover in the deep
mantle, with negatively-buoyant Fe-rich silicate melt relative to solid mantle silicates, has been
suggested based on the compressibility of melt (Stixrude et al. 2009; Thomas et al. 2012; Muñoz
Ramo and Stixrude 2014) and partitioning of Fe into the liquid phase (Nomura et al. 2011;
Andrault et al. 2012). However, detailed characterization of mantle melt is challenging due to
experimental difficulties at deep mantle pressures. As low-temperature analogues of melts,
silicate glasses have been explored in recent high-pressure experiments (e.g. Nomura et al. 2011)
and computational studies (e.g. Stixrude and Karki 2005). In both silicate liquids and glasses a
range of coordination environments may evolve continuously with composition and pressure (Stixrude and Karki 2005), but a surprising sharp transition was recently reported at ~70 GPa in Fe-bearing melt and glass and attributed to an iron spin transition (Nomura et al. 2011).

Spin transitions in crystalline mantle phases and their implications for seismic properties and chemical partitioning have been the focus of much recent study, particularly of ferropericlase (Badro et al. 2003) and silicate perovskite (Badro et al. 2004). In ferropericlase, a spin transition occurs in 6-fold-coordinated Fe$^{2+}$ at pressures between 50 and 90 GPa, depending on Fe content (Speziale et al. 2005; Fei et al. 2007). In silicate perovskite (now known as bridgmanite), the presence of multiple sites and valence states makes characterizing the spin state more complex, but both experiments and density functional theory results indicate that a spin transition occurs in 6-fold-coordinated Fe$^{3+}$ at 15-50 GPa (Catalli et al. 2010; Hsu et al. 2011; Lin et al. 2012). At high temperatures relevant to Earth’s mantle, the effects of Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics and kinetics have been predicted (Sturhahn et al. 2005; Tsuchiya et al. 2006; Lin and Tsuchiya 2008) and observed (Lin et al. 2007; Kantor et al. 2009) to broaden each of these spin transitions to occur over a pressure interval extending for ~30-50 GPa.

Liquids and glasses are characterized by continuous structural evolution under compression that may also contribute to the pressure-broadening of spin transitions. At mantle temperatures, the spin transition in liquid Fe$_2$SiO$_4$ has been predicted to take place over a >200 GPa pressure range (Muñoz Ramo and Stixrude 2014). While this transition might affect the depth of a solid-liquid density crossover in the mantle, it would not be observable as a discontinuity. In contrast, Nomura et al. (2011) reported a sharp change in Fe partitioning in partially-melted (Mg$_{0.89}$Fe$_{0.11}$)$_2$SiO$_4$ between 73 and 76 GPa. At similar pressures, between 59...
and 77 GPa, they also observed that X-ray emission spectra of (Mg_{0.95}Fe_{0.05})SiO_3 glass indicated a complete spin transition. Other studies are not consistent with abrupt spin transitions in liquids and melts. No sharp change in partitioning was observed in chondritic melt at pressures up to 120 GPa (Andrault et al. 2012). Studies of several different Fe-bearing silicate glass compositions—10-50% FeSiO_3, Fe^{3+}/ΣFe from 0-78%, with and without Al—showed either no change in spin state (Mao et al. 2014; Prescher et al. 2014) or a gradual, partial change (Gu et al. 2012; Murakami et al. 2014) at high pressures. Gradual increases in cation coordination rather than spin transitions were inferred to be primarily responsible for pressure-induced changes in these glasses (Mao et al. 2014; Prescher et al. 2014).

Studies of Fe spin state at extreme pressures rely on two complementary synchrotron techniques: X-ray emission spectroscopy and Mössbauer spectroscopy. X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES) measures energies of electron exchange between 3p and 3d orbitals, which depend on bonding, coordination, valence and spin states (Peng et al. 1994; Rueff et al. 1999; Mattila et al. 2007). This technique was the first used to identify pressure-induced spin transitions in major lower mantle minerals (Badro et al. 2003, 2004). However, XES only provides a constraint on the total spin moment of the bulk material, not of individual states or sites. Mössbauer spectroscopy provides a complementary constraint on coordination, site occupancy, valence, and spin states of Fe via the energies of recoil-free nuclear resonance in solid materials. The technique can be applied to glasses but not silicate liquids; for insights on melt structures, a wide variety of silicate glass compositions have been examined at ambient pressure by Mössbauer spectroscopy (MS) with a conventional radioactive source (e.g. Mao et al. 1973; Virgo and Mysen 1985; Jayasuriya et al. 2004; Dunlap and McGraw 2007; Rossano et al. 2007;
57Fe nuclei of each site, species and spin state resonate at energies with isomer shift (IS), quadrupole splitting (QS) and hyperfine splitting that reflect the local electric and magnetic fields. The relative intensities of each site indicate the abundance of Fe\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{3+}\) in each spin state and coordination environment. For Mössbauer spectroscopy of materials at high pressures, synchrotron radiation can provide a more brilliant, highly-focused source. Energy-domain synchrotron Mössbauer spectroscopy (E-SMS) is identical to MS with the exception of a more brilliant source obtained by monochromatizing a synchrotron beam to ~meV linewidth (Smirnov et al. 1997; Mitsui et al. 2009; Potapkin et al. 2012). Mössbauer parameters IS and QS can also be derived from nuclear forward scattering (also known as time-domain synchrotron Mössbauer spectroscopy, T-SMS), in which nuclear resonance is observed in ~few hundred nanosecond time windows between pulses of synchrotron radiation (Jackson et al. 2005; Sturhahn et al. 2005). The combination of XES, E-SMS and T-SMS techniques offers an optimal approach for separation of competing effects and thus understanding complex Fe-bearing materials such as silicates at lower mantle conditions.

Fe,Al-bearing silicate glass compositions examined in previous studies are rich in ferric iron; glass of almandine garnet composition provides a useful contrast as it is rich in both aluminum and ferrous iron. Almandine is also of particular interest among silicate minerals for its unusual electronic structure, with high quadrupole splitting at ambient conditions and at high pressures (Dyar et al. 2006; Mao et al. 2013). At ~80 GPa and 2500 K, almandine end-member Fe\(_3\)Al\(_2\)Si\(_3\)O\(_{12}\) has been observed to transform to a single-phase orthorhombic perovskite consistent with stoichiometry \((\text{Fe}_{0.75}\text{Al}_{0.25})(\text{Al}_{0.25}\text{Si}_{0.75})\text{O}_3\) (Dorfman et al. 2012). Structural
refinement of X-ray diffraction data for this phase shows that nearly all Fe resides in the larger A-site and there are no discontinuities in its equation of state up to 150 GPa.

In this work, we apply multiple techniques to characterize the pressure-dependence of valence and spin states in almandine glass at pressures up to 91 GPa. Total spin moment is determined by XES. Valence, spin, and coordination states are constrained by E-SMS and T-SMS.

2. Material and methods

$^{57}$Fe-enriched almandine glass was prepared from a stoichiometric mixture of $^{57}$Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc., $^{57}$Fe 94.3%), Al$_2$O$_3$ (Alfa Aesar 99.9%) and SiO$_2$ (Johnson Matthey, 99.995%) after the method described by Geiger et al. (1987). Approximately 300 mg of reagents were placed in a covered graphite crucible and heated from 1000°C to 1200°C at a rate of 4°C/min. The sample was held at 1200°C for 6 minutes before being quenched in air. To ensure a homogeneous product the resultant material was subsequently arc melted under an argon atmosphere. The composition and homogeneity of the glass were confirmed by microprobe analysis (Supplementary Table 1). The normalized formula, Fe$_{2.69}$Al$_{2.14}$Si$_{2.93}$O$_{12}$, is slightly depleted in Fe and enriched in Al relative to pure almandine.

The valence state of Fe in the starting material was determined by Mössbauer spectroscopy. MS was performed with a $^{57}$Co radioactive source at the Advanced Photon Source (APS) sector 3 offline Mössbauer laboratory. The spectrum was fit to a two-site model (Figure 1, Table 1) using MossA software (Prescher et al. 2012). The dominant site, 82(3) percent of the iron, has QS of 2.08(3) mm/s and IS relative to an iron standard of 0.982(14) mm/s. These
parameters are typical of Fe$^{2+}$ in silicates (Dyar et al. 2006) and glasses (Dyar 1985; Virgo and Mysen 1985; Burkhard 2000; Jayasuriya et al. 2004; Mysen 2006; Rossano et al. 2007; Gu et al. 2012; Mao et al. 2014; Murakami et al. 2014; Prescher et al. 2014). The QS of Fe$^{2+}$ in almandine glass is considerably lower than the 3.53 mm/s observed in crystalline almandine (Woodland and Ross 1994; Dyar et al. 2006). A second site was observed with QS=1.23(11) mm/s and IS=0.39(5) mm/s, consistent with Fe$^{3+}$ in silicate glasses but with higher QS than Fe$^{3+}$ in silicate garnets. Our analysis yields Fe$^{3+}$/ΣFe of 18(3)%.

High-pressure experiments were performed using symmetric diamond anvil cells (DAC). Glass samples were loaded with a ~8 µm ruby ball in NaCl, cryogenically-cooled Ar or pressurized Ne (Rivers et al. 2008) (Figure 2). A 50- to 80-µm diameter hole was drilled through a Re or Be gasket to serve as a sample chamber. Diamond anvils with 150-, 200- and 300-µm culet size were used in separate experiments to reach maximum pressures of 91, 86, and 66 GPa, respectively. Pressure was calibrated by ruby fluorescence (Mao et al. 1986) or Raman spectroscopy of the tip of the diamond anvil (Akahama and Kawamura 2006).

XES was performed at pressures up to 66 GPa at beamline GALAXIES of Synchrotron SOLEIL (Rueff et al. 2015). At GALAXIES, the beam size was 30x80 microns, incident energy was 10 keV and typical count rates were 70-150 counts/s. The emission signal was maximized by directing incident radiation through the diamond and collecting spectra at an angle of approximately 10° minimizing the path through the beryllium gasket.

T-SMS experiments were conducted at pressures up to 85 GPa using beamline 16-ID-D of the APS. Kirkpatrick-Baez mirrors were used to focus the X-ray beam to 30x40 µm. The storage
ring was operated in standard-mode with 24 bunches separated by 153 ns. Data were collected
between 14 and 130 ns after excitation. Measurements were taken both with and without a 0.5-
µm thick $^{57}$Fe-enriched stainless steel foil as a reference. Sample count rates at high pressure
were 30-150 counts/s and spectra were typically collected for 30-60 minutes. At ambient
pressure, both T-SMS and MS give consistent results (Figure 1, Tables 1-2).

E-SMS experiments were conducted at pressures up to 91 GPa at beamline ID18 of the
European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) (Rüffer and Chumakov 1996; Potapkin et al.
2012). The synchrotron beam was focused to 9x14 µm and monochromatized to a bandwidth of
~5.5 neV at the $^{57}$Fe resonant energy by a $^{57}$FeBO$_3$ single crystal. The crystal monochromator
was oscillated in a sinusoidal mode over +/- 5 mm/s to provide a range of energies for absorption
measurements via the Doppler effect. Source velocity was calibrated with an $\alpha$-Fe foil. The
linewidth and center shift of the source were verified before and after each measurement with
K$_2$Mg$^{57}$Fe(CN)$_6$ standard.

3. Results

Glass samples were compressed without heating to maximum pressures up to 91 GPa. At
ambient conditions, the glass is honey-brown and translucent, but darkens with pressure,
becoming black and opaque by 34 GPa (Figure 2). Pressure-induced color change of
(Mg$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$)SiO$_3$ enstatite-composition glass was determined by optical absorption spectroscopy
to occur from ~15-50 GPa (Murakami et al. 2014). An analogous color-change in crystalline
samples is known to be associated with the garnet-perovskite transition (O’Neill and Jeanloz
1994; Kesson et al. 1995; Dorfman et al. 2012) but is not observed in cold-compressed almandine
to pressures above 1 Mbar (Dorfman et al. 2012). This change in optical properties decreases radiative thermal conductivity of Fe-bearing silicates in the mantle and may be associated with changes in electronic configuration (Murakami et al. 2014).

3.1. X-ray emission spectroscopy

The observed Fe Kβ X-ray emission spectrum at ambient conditions is composed of a main Kβ\(_{1,3}\) peak and a well-resolved lower-energy satellite Kβ’ peak and is consistent with iron entirely in the high spin state (Figure 3). Up to 66 GPa in almandine glass, the Kβ’ peak intensity continuously decreases and its breadth increases (Figure 3). These changes may be ascribed to either a gradual spin-pairing transition or pressure-induced broadening effects (Gu et al. 2012; Mao et al. 2014; Murakami et al. 2014).

Separating the effects of broadening and a spin transition requires quantitative comparison with appropriate reference spectra. Several methods have been proposed for quantifying spin crossovers (Kantor et al. 2006; Vankó et al. 2006; Mao et al. 2014) and each may lead to a different interpretation of the data. Simple peak-fitting techniques do not adequately model the Kβ spectrum due to the complexity of the energy multiplets from which the broader features are composed (Vankó et al. 2006). Instead, the spin state is derived from an integrated difference between spectra and reference high- and low-spin standard spectra. Identifying relevant standards are keys to this method. Spectral differences can be due to differences in instrument resolution, crystallographic environment, and stress conditions as well as spin state, so these confounding factors must be minimized between the standards and experimental data (De Groot 2001; Vankó et al. 2006; Mao et al. 2014). A modification of the integrated difference technique was recently
proposed to minimize the effects of pressure-induced broadening (Mao et al. 2014). Difference spectra are summed such that negative differences at $K\beta'$ are offset by positive differences in the shoulder between $K\beta'$ and $K\beta_{1,3}$. This integrated relative difference (IRD) method was suggested to better model pressure-induced spin transitions both in (Mg,Fe)O ferropericlase and in Fe-bearing silicate glass (Mao et al. 2014).

The IRD analysis was applied to the almandine glass XES using as references (Mg,Fe)O from Lin et al. (2010) and the ambient-pressure glass spectrum. No significant difference is observed between the glass at 1 bar and (Mg,Fe)O at 1 bar. IRD at high pressure relative to either high-spin standard is identical within symbol size (Figure 3). Between 1 bar and the first high-pressure measurement at 9 GPa, a decrease in total spin moment begins. Total spin moment decreases gradually to ~80% of the high-spin standard by 27 GPa (Figure 3). At higher pressures up to 66 GPa there is no significant further change in spin state. The further gradual changes in the spectra above 27 GPa therefore reflect only broadening due to pressure gradients and/or differential stress.

In previous work on silicate glass at high pressure, analysis by the integrated absolute difference (IAD) method of Vankó et al. (2006) showed a gradual spin transition (Gu et al. 2012) while the IRD method showed only broadening (Mao et al. 2014). Analysis of our spectra with the IAD method also produces an apparent gradual loss of spin moment over the entire pressure range (Supplementary Figure 1). We thus confirm that the IRD analysis removes pressure-induced broadening and reduces the apparent change in spin relative to the IAD analysis, but we still observe partial loss of spin moment. The spin change can be linked to a valence state and site using Mössbauer spectroscopy.
3. Synchrotron Mössbauer spectroscopy

Synchrotron Mössbauer spectroscopy was performed on almandine glass at high pressures in both energy- and time-domain modes. E-SMS (Figure 4) and T-SMS spectra (Supplementary Figure 2) evolve continuously with pressure. The major feature in E-SMS spectra is the asymmetrical doublet identified as Fe$^{2+}$. With compression the Fe$^{2+}$ doublet broadens and becomes increasingly asymmetrical. Pressure-induced changes in the Fe$^{2+}$ doublet are consistent with previous E-SMS measurements of Fe$^{2+}$-rich glasses with compositions (Mg$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$)SiO$_3$ and (Mg$_{0.823}$Fe$_{0.135}$)(Al$_{0.057}$Si$_{0.982}$)O$_3$ (Murakami et al. 2014; Prescher et al. 2014). The small shoulder observed between the Fe$^{2+}$ lines (Figure 4) is identified as one leg of the Fe$^{3+}$ doublet. At higher pressures, a shoulder appears at higher velocity on the Fe$^{2+}$ doublet.

T-SMS spectra (Supplementary Figure 2) at low pressure have a sharp beat at ~60 ns and a broad decay after ~100 ns. At higher pressures, the beat shifts with pressure to faster times and becomes less distinct. Above 26 GPa, another small beat appears at ~80 ns. Despite differences in Fe$^{3+}$/ΣFe and total iron content, qualitatively similar spectra were observed at high pressure in (Mg$_{0.80}$Fe$_{0.20}$)SiO$_3$ (~10% Fe$^{3+}$) and (Mg$_{0.75}$Fe$_{0.20}$Al$_{0.10}$Si$_{0.95}$)O$_3$ (~35% Fe$^{3+}$) glasses by Gu et al. (2012) and (Mg$_{0.70}$Fe$_{0.10}$Al$_{0.10}$Si$_{0.96}$)O$_3$ (78% Fe$^{3+}$) glass by Mao et al. (2014). However, resonant intensity is also observed here at ~110 ns starting from 17 GPa which was not reported in the earlier studies, possibly due to differences in composition, electronic states, or effective sample thickness.

Modeling Mössbauer spectra of glasses is complicated by the range of coordination environments and site geometries in the disordered structure. A simple two-site model with a distribution over IS or QS cannot fit the asymmetry observed in E-SMS and the complex...
structure in T-SMS. Due to the range of coordination environments, an asymmetrical Fe$^{2+}$ doublet is observed in silicate glasses (Mao et al. 1973; Alberto et al. 1996). At both ambient conditions (Alberto et al. 1996; Lagarec and Rancourt 1997) and high pressures (Prescher et al. 2014), a correlated distribution over both IS and QS can fit the asymmetrical Fe$^{2+}$ doublet (Supplementary Figure 3). E-SMS data in this study were analyzed using the correlated distribution model of Lagarec and Rancourt (1997) implemented for fitting E-SMS spectra in MossA software (Prescher et al. 2012). The correlated distribution for Fe$^{2+}$ can also be modeled with a pair of doublets of approximately equal intensity, one representing low-IS, low-QS and the other high-IS, high-QS (Supplementary Figure 3) (Virgo and Mysen 1985; Jayasuriya et al. 2004). T-SMS data in this study were analyzed using a paired Fe$^{2+}$ doublet model with fixed weighting in the CONUSS software package (Sturhahn 2000). T-SMS fits with a pair of doublets for Fe$^{2+}$ are consistent with observed E-SMS spectra (Supplementary Figure 2). Fe$^{3+}$ doublets are not fully resolved but assumed to be symmetric as previously observed at ambient pressure (Virgo and Mysen 1985) and high pressure (Prescher et al. 2014).

Our results demonstrate consistent pressure-dependence of Mössbauer parameters in three separate compression experiments with two SMS techniques (Figure 5, Tables 1-2). Observed Mössbauer parameters for the Fe$^{2+}$ doublet(s) at all conditions studied are consistent with previous observations of high-spin Fe$^{2+}$ in glasses (Dyar 1985; Virgo and Mysen 1985; Burkhard 2000), with average QS of 2.0-2.3 mm/s and IS of 0.8-1.1 mm/s. The QS of high-spin Fe$^{2+}$ increases slightly from 1 bar to 30 GPa and then slightly decreases up to the maximum pressure of 91 GPa. The IS of high-spin Fe$^{2+}$ decreases with pressure. The most significant change in the Fe$^{2+}$ component is an increase in the correlated broadening related to the covariance of QS and IS.
particularly between 1 bar and ~40 GPa (Table 1). In T-SMS fits, the increase in correlated broadening is modeled as a divergence of both QS and IS of two high-spin Fe\textsuperscript{2+} doublets. The weight of the high-spin Fe\textsuperscript{2+} component does not change significantly. If the change in spin state indicated by XES corresponds to a high-to-low spin transition in Fe\textsuperscript{2+}, we would expect a decrease in QS of the Fe\textsuperscript{2+} site, as for the high-to-low spin transition in Fe\textsuperscript{2+} in bridgmanite (Hsu et al. 2010), but this is not observed. Our data also do not support pressure-induced growth of an intermediate spin Fe\textsuperscript{2+} component with parameters as reported by Murakami et al. (2014). The broadening of the Fe\textsuperscript{2+} doublet could be interpreted as a gradual transition of half of the Fe\textsuperscript{2+} component to intermediate spin below ~40 GPa. This is consistent with the total spin moment measured by XES, but unlikely as there is no obvious reason why the spin transition would stop, incomplete, at ~40 GPa.

Observations of the Fe\textsuperscript{3+} component(s) are consistent with a high-to-low spin transition. At pressures >30 GPa, the values of QS for the Fe\textsuperscript{3+} site are 1.7-2.0 mm/s. These values are outside the range of previous observations for high-spin Fe\textsuperscript{3+} at ambient conditions (Figures 5-6) (Dyar 1985; Virgo and Mysen 1985; Burkhard 2000) and similar to previous observations of low-spin Fe\textsuperscript{3+}-bearing compounds (Greenwood and Gibb 1971; Pasternak et al. 2002). Moreover, both the weight of the Fe\textsuperscript{3+} component, ~20% of the total Fe, and the pressure at which the Fe\textsuperscript{3+} shoulder completes its shift, ~30 GPa, match the decrease in spin moment observed in XES data (Figure 3). A high-to-low spin transition in Fe\textsuperscript{3+} should be observed as a decrease in the intensity of the high-spin doublet and corresponding growth of the low-spin doublet with lower IS and higher QS (Pasternak et al. 2002). We have thus chosen a model with two Fe\textsuperscript{3+} doublets, although these two doublets are not fully resolved in our MS data. We are also unable to resolve
the minimum pressure at which the low-spin Fe$^{3+}$ appears, but at 15 GPa ~30% of the Fe$^{3+}$ is in
the low-spin state. The high- and low-spin doublets coexist over a wider pressure range in the
glass sample loaded in NaCl vs. Ne medium. This suggests that differential stress may result in a
broader spin transition, as reported in (Mg,Fe)O (Lin et al. 2009).

Previous Mössbauer studies of silicate glasses found generally similar QS and IS values
and changes with pressure as in our study (Figure 6). QS and IS for all sites in the almandine
glass are similar to those constrained by previous E-SMS studies on Fe-bearing silicate glasses at
high pressures (Murakami et al. 2014; Prescher et al. 2014). Studies using both E-SMS and T-SMS
techniques on a wide range of compositions have found an increase in QS of Fe$^{2+}$ at
pressures up to 20-40 GPa (Gu et al. 2012; Mao et al. 2014; Murakami et al. 2014; Prescher et al.
2014). A similar QS of high-pressure Fe$^{3+}$ was observed in Fe$^{3+}$-rich glass studied by Prescher et
al. (2014) and Gu et al. (2012), but not by Mao et al. (2014). IS of Fe$^{2+}$ was observed to decrease
with pressure in both previous E-SMS studies (Murakami et al. 2014; Prescher et al. 2014).
However, previous studies have offered different interpretations of these observations: no spin
transition (Mao et al. 2014; Prescher et al. 2014), a gradual high-to-low spin transition in
Fe$^{3+}$ (Gu et al. 2012) or a high-to-intermediate spin transition in Fe$^{2+}$ (Murakami et al. 2014). In
addition to differences in composition between these studies, differences in interpretation of
Mössbauer parameters are due to details of E-SMS and T-SMS fitting and availability and
interpretation of XES data.

4. Discussion
The multiple techniques used in this study enable us to provide a strong constraint on the pressure-dependence of spin states of Fe in almandine glass. Optical properties, XES, and Mössbauer parameters of Fe$^{3+}$ all exhibit changes between 1 bar and ~30 GPa. These results support a gradual, complete transition of Fe$^{3+}$ in almandine glass from high to low spin and no detectable change in spin state of Fe$^{2+}$.

This transition in Fe$^{3+}$ could not be reliably identified in previous studies that did not use multiple experimental techniques. Fitting T-SMS spectra alone suffers from non-unique constraints on Mössbauer parameters. Gu et al. (2012) model spectra using a pair of Fe$^{2+}$ doublets similar to those in this study, but their strong change in intensity with pressure is inconsistent with other studies of silicate glasses and may be an artifact of non-unique fitting. Mao et al. (2014) restrict their model to two sites of constant intensity, one each for high spin Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$, but their modeled energy-domain spectra exhibit varying degrees of asymmetry which is not explained. The previous E-SMS study of Fe$^{3+}$-rich silicate glass (Prescher et al. 2014) finds a similar increase in QS as in our observations of almandine glass (Figure 6). The doublet identified at pressures above 20 GPa as intermediate spin Fe$^{2+}$ by Murakami et al. (2014) is consistent with Fe$^{3+}$ at high pressures in this study and Prescher et al. (2014) (Figure 6). However, previous studies using the E-SMS technique were not combined with XES measurements and thus were unable to relate changes in Mössbauer parameters to changes in total spin moment.

While our results and previous studies cover a wide range of compositions, all time- or energy-domain Mössbauer studies of glass to date consistently find that there is no sharp transition in spin or structure with pressure up to 126 GPa (Gu et al. 2012; Mao et al. 2014;
Murakami et al. 2014; Prescher et al. 2014). Almandine glass is much richer in Fe than the compositions studied in other work; this may promote a spin transition at relatively lower pressures (Prescher et al. 2014). The sharp spin transition observed in XES data by Nomura et al. (2011) in \((\text{Mg}_{0.95}\text{Fe}_{0.05})\text{SiO}_3\) glass at 76 GPa is inconsistent with this study and others. Similar experimental methods were used by Nomura et al. (2011) and more recent studies, and thus the different results remain unexplained and unreplicated.

A spin transition in Fe\(^{3+}\) in silicate glasses is analogous to transitions in crystalline Fe-bearing silicates. In bridgmanite, Fe\(^{3+}\) in the 6-fold-coordinated B-site is predicted theoretically to undergo a spin transition at 40-70 GPa, but both Fe\(^{3+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) in the 8-fold-coordinated A-site remain high-spin throughout the lower mantle pressure range (Hsu et al. 2010, 2011). Spin transitions in Fe\(^{3+}\) have been observed at various pressures in the range of 13-70 GPa by several experimental studies of bridgmanite (e.g. Catalli et al. 2010, 2011; Mao et al. 2011; Lin et al. 2012) and other Fe\(^{3+}\)-bearing perovskites (Xu et al. 2001; Pasternak et al. 2002; Rozenberg et al. 2005; Stan et al. 2015). In addition, a discontinuity in volumetric compression was attributed to a spin transition in 6-fold-coordinated Fe\(^{3+}\) in andradite garnet at 60-70 GPa (Friedrich et al. 2014). No spin transition has been observed in 8-fold-coordinated Fe\(^{2+}\) in pyrope-almandine garnets, but studies of the equation of state and electronic configuration of these garnets have only reached \(~30\) GPa (e.g. Mao et al. 2013).

In silicate glass, Fe\(^{3+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) both occupy a range of coordination environments. In MgSiO\(_3\) glasses, the average coordination number is 6 in the Mg-site and 4 in the Si-site, and these averages increase with pressure (Funamori et al. 2004). The average coordination of Si in SiO\(_2\) glass has been suggested by experiments and simulations to increase stepwise or
continuously with compression (e.g. Meade et al. 1992). While compression alone generally
increases QS while decreasing IS (e.g. Pasternak et al. 2002; Kantor et al. 2006), increasing
coordination of Fe increases both QS and IS (Dyar et al. 2006). Observed increases in QS of Fe\(^{2+}\)
with pressure in silicate glasses have been interpreted to show densification by increasing
coordination number to 8-12 (Mao et al. 2014). However, in the almandine glass we observe not
only a slight increase in QS but an increase in broadening of the Fe\(^{2+}\) site. This doublet represents
a superposition of multiple sites with different coordination which cannot be resolved in the
glass. Pressure-induced changes in Mössbauer parameters of Fe\(^{2+}\) do not depend on pressure
medium and are thus unlikely to be due to non-hydrostatic stress. The increase in correlated
broadening of the Fe\(^{2+}\) doublet with compression (Figure 7) suggests development of a wider
range of coordination environments. The population of Fe\(^{2+}\) with highest QS and IS, in higher
coordination, would thus increase with pressure without completely replacing Fe\(^{2+}\) with lowest
QS and IS, in lower coordination.

5. Implications

A sharp spin transition in iron in silicate glasses would suggest a discontinuity in the
properties of melt in the lower mantle or deep in a magma ocean; conversely, a continuous spin
transition supports a smooth variation of the properties of silicate melts with depth. This study
represents the first high-pressure examination of almandine glass and the first combination of
energy- and time-domain synchrotron Mössbauer spectra and X-ray emission spectra techniques
at high pressure for any silicate glass. All methods consistently support a broad spin transition in
Fe\(^{3+}\) between 1 bar and \(~30\) GPa without an abrupt discontinuity. Our observations differ from
the previous observation of a sharp transition in Fe-bearing glass and accompanying changes in solid-melt iron partitioning. We would expect that in a melt of almandine composition, effects of temperature may further broaden the spin transition to a greater range than the ~30-GPa interval observed in the glass. Our study supports the expectation that spin transitions in iron-bearing silicate melts in Earth’s mantle should be broad with respect to transitions in their crystalline counterparts. No discontinuities are expected to occur under compression in the density or transport properties of Fe-rich mantle melts.

In addition, the bonding of Fe$^{2+}$ shifts to a broader range of coordination numbers over 1 bar to ~60 GPa. These changes are accompanied by a change in color from transparent to black, suggesting a pressure-induced reduction in radiative thermal conductivity in Fe-rich silicate liquids. This increasing diversity of bonding styles may have important implications for transport properties of the glass or melt network in the Earth’s deep mantle or early magma ocean.
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Table 1: Mössbauer parameters observed by energy-domain Mössbauer spectroscopy for glass compressed in Ne or NaCl medium. QS=quadrupole splitting, IS=isomer shift, ρ=covariance of distributions of QS and IS, FWHM=line full width at half maximum.

Table 2: Mössbauer parameters observed by time-domain Mössbauer spectroscopy for glass compressed in Ne medium. QS=quadrupole splitting, ΔIS=difference in isomer shift between Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ doublets, FWHM=full width at half maximum. ΔIS is given due to limited absolute reference constraint on IS. Starred parameters were fixed in fits. Fitting uncertainties for QS and IS are ~0.01 mm/s.

Figure 1: a) Conventional (energy-domain) and b) synchrotron Mössbauer (time-domain) spectra of almandine glass at 1 bar and 2-site fit (solid line). Residual for energy-domain fit is shown by gray dots in the upper part of the figure. Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ doublets are shown in dark and light gray, respectively.

Figure 2: Photomicrograph of opaque, black glass sample at 34 GPa in Ne medium with ruby ball.

Figure 3: XES spectra for almandine glass normalized to area and shifted to position of the main peak (Mao et al. 2014). Difference spectra below are relative to the low-spin (Mg,Fe)O reference (Lin et al. 2010). Inset: total spin moment calculated from XES spectra (black) and from weight of low-spin Fe$^{3+}$ component in E-SMS spectra (red). TSM for XES was determined from the
integrated relative difference (IRD) between spectra and high- and low-spin (Mg,Fe)O references (Lin et al. 2010).

Figure 4: Energy-domain synchrotron Mössbauer spectra for almandine glass in a neon pressure medium. Data are displayed as open circles. Curves are high-spin Fe$^{2+}$ doublet fit (blue), high-spin Fe$^{3+}$ doublet fit (pink), low-spin Fe$^{3+}$ doublet fit (red), baseline (gray) and total fit (black).

Figure 5: Observed Mössbauer parameters from almandine glass. Fe$^{2+}$ component is represented by two doublets in time-domain measurements (open symbols) and a single doublet with correlated quadrupole splitting and isomer shift in energy-domain measurements (filled symbols). Separate high- and low-spin Fe$^{3+}$ components are modeled in energy-domain measurements. a) Quadrupole splitting of each doublet. Triangles joined by lines represent the two Fe$^{2+}$ sites used to model the range of Fe$^{2+}$ environments in time-domain fits. b) Weight of each doublet. Two Fe$^{2+}$ doublets of equal weight are used for time-domain fits.

Figure 6: Quadrupole splitting vs. isomer shift for silicate and inorganic glasses derived from energy-domain Mössbauer spectroscopy. Literature values for glasses at ambient conditions are shown in small open black squares (Dyar 1985; Virgo and Mysen 1985; Burkhard 2000). Colors indicate Fe species identified at high pressure: dark blue=high-spin Fe$^{2+}$, light blue = intermediate spin Fe$^{2+}$, pink=high-spin Fe$^{3+}$, red= low-spin Fe$^{3+}$. Glasses at high pressures are shown with filled symbols (triangles=Prescher et al. (2014), diamonds=Murakami et al. (2014), circles= this study). Arrows indicate change observed with increasing pressure.

Figure 7: Correlation parameter of distribution of QS and IS in fits of Fe$^{2+}$ doublet. Solid symbols: compression in Ne medium. Open symbols: compression in NaCl medium.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High spin Fe$^{2+}$</th>
<th>Low spin Fe$^{3+}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pressure (GPa)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Weight (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>82(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ne medium</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>81(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>87(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>87(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>88(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>89(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NaCl medium</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>87.6(1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>84(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>85(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>84(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>86(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>84(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>86(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High spin Fe$^{3+}$</strong></td>
<td><strong>Low spin Fe$^{3+}$</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>18(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ne medium</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>19(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>9(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NaCl medium</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.4(1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.1(1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>5(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>4(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>4(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (GPa)</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
<th>QS (mm/s)</th>
<th>ΔIS (mm/s)</th>
<th>FWHM (mm/s)</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
<th>QS (mm/s)</th>
<th>ΔIS (mm/s)</th>
<th>FWHM (mm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.69*</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.78*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.69*</td>
<td>40*</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.78*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fe^{3+}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (GPa)</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
<th>QS (mm/s)</th>
<th>FWHM (mm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.48*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.48*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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