Revision 3

1

2	
3	Apexite, NaMg(PO ₄)·9H ₂ O, a new struvite-type phase with a heteropolyhedral cluster.
4	
5	ANTHONY R. KAMPF ¹ *, STUART J. MILLS ² , BARBARA P. NASH ³ , MARTIN JENSEN ⁴ , AND TONY
6	NIKISCHER ⁵
7	
8	¹ Mineral Sciences Department, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, 900 Exposition
9	Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90007, USA
10	² Geosciences, Museum Victoria, GPO Box 666, Melbourne 3001, Victoria, Australia
11	³ Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA
12	⁴ 8720 Rainbow Trout Court, Reno, NV 89523, USA
13	⁵ 1885 Seminole Trail, Charlottesville, VA 22901, USA
14	*Email: akampf@nhm.org
15	
16	Abstract
17	Apexite (IMA2015-002), NaMg(PO ₄)·9H ₂ O, is a new mineral from the Apex mine, Lander
18	County, Nevada, USA, where it occurs as a low-temperature secondary mineral on massive
19	quartz matrix in association with andersonite, calcite, čejkaite, gaylussite, and goethite. Apexite
20	forms colorless needles up to 0.5 mm in length. The streak is white. Crystals are transparent and
21	have vitreous to satiny luster. The Mohs hardness is about 2, the tenacity is brittle, the fracture is
22	curved, and crystals exhibit one perfect cleavage on $\{100\}$. The measured density is 1.74(1)
23	g/cm ³ and the calculated density is 1.741 g/cm ³ . Electron microprobe analyses provided: Na ₂ O

24	9.26, MgO 14.42, P ₂ O ₅ 23.31, H ₂ O 53.01 (structure), total 100.00 wt% (normalized). The
25	empirical formula (based on 13 O $apfu$) is: Na _{0.91} Mg _{1.09} P _{1.00} O _{13.00} H _{17.91} . Apexite is triclinic, <i>P</i> -1,
26	$a = 6.9296(7), b = 11.9767(13), c = 14.9436(19)$ Å, $\alpha = 92.109(6), \beta = 102.884(7), \gamma = 100.000000000000000000000000000000000$
27	105.171(7)°, $V = 1160.9(2)$ Å ³ , and $Z = 4$. The eight strongest lines in the X-ray powder
28	diffraction pattern are $[d_{obs} \text{ in } Å(I)(hkl)]$: 14.63(35)(001); 5.11(61)(021,-1-11,110,-120);
29	4.68(75)(0-22,-1-12,1-21,0-13); 4.301(96)(102,013,022,-113); 4.008(44)(-1-13,1-22);
30	2.876(46)(040); 2.762(100)(-2-13,2-31,0-34,-204,015); and 2.507(30)(212,025,-2-23). Apexite is
31	a new struvite-type phase with a unique structure ($R_1 = 4.44\%$ for 1401 $F_0 > 4\sigma F$) consisting of
32	four components: (1) a $[Na_2Mg_4(H_2O)_{14}]^{10+}$ heteropolyhedral cation cluster; (2) a <i>trans</i> edge-
33	sharing chain of $Na(H_2O)_6$ octahedra; (3) an isolated PO_4 group; and (4) an isolated H_2O group.
34	The structural components are linked to one another only via hydrogen bonds. Its structure is
35	related to that of hazenite.
36	
37	Keywords: apexite; new mineral; crystal structure; struvite-type; heteropolyhedral cluster;
38	hazenite; Apex mine, Nevada.
39	
40	Introduction

The process by which minerals form efflorescent crusts on the walls of mine tunnels is generally similar to that by which minerals are deposited in saline lakes and playas. In both cases, minerals form as the result of the evaporation of ion-rich water, typically under oxidizing conditions and at ambient temperatures. The minerals formed are dependent on a variety of factors, but most importantly on the ion content and pH of the solution. Not surprisingly, some of the same minerals form in these topographically different environments, e.g. blödite, epsomite,

47 gaylussite, glauberite, etc. One important difference between mineral formation in mine tunnels 48 and that in saline lakes is that organisms, specifically bacteria, are more likely to play a role in 49 the latter rather than the former. The new mineral described herein was found as an efflorescent 50 product on a tunnel wall in a uranium mine. Although associated with secondary uranium 51 mineralization, it is a hydrated Na-Mg phosphate, and might be expected to occur, as well, in 52 evaporative saline lake deposits and perhaps as the product of a biologically related process, such 53 as is the case for the recently described mineral hazenite, KNaMg₂(PO₄)₂·14H₂O (Yang et al., 54 2011).

The new mineral is named apexite, for the locality, the Apex mine. The new mineral and name have been approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature, and Classification of the International Mineralogical Association (IMA2015-002). The description is

58 based on three cotype specimens. Two cotypes are deposited in the collections of the Natural

59 History Museum of Los Angeles County, 900 Exposition Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90007,

60 USA, catalogue numbers 65563 and 65564. One cotype specimen is housed in the collections of

61 the Museum Victoria, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, catalogue number M53381.

62

63 Occurrence and paragenesis

Apexite was found by one of the authors (MJ) at the Apex mine, about 4.5 km SSW of Austin, Lander Co., Nevada, USA (39°27'30"N 117°05'56"W). Much of the foregoing is taken from Jensen and Herrmann (2012). Historically, the Apex mine has been the largest producer of uranium in the state of Nevada. The deposit was discovered in 1953 and was originally named the Rundberg mine. The name was changed to Apex in 1955. The mine was most productive during the uranium boom of the mid 1950s and was active until 1966. Since then, several

70	different companies have conducted exploratory drilling, most recently in 2010, but there has
71	been no additional mining. The extensive workings are all underground and consist of several
72	adits; currently, access to all workings is blocked by iron gratings or backfill.
73	The deposit occurs within brecciated zones of leucocratic dikes at the contact between a
74	Jurassic-age quartz monzonite intrusion and metamorphosed shales and quartzites of Cambrian
75	age. The source of the uranium is thought to be Tertiary volcanism, which produced the
76	leucocratic dikes. Sulfides (mostly pyrite) and carbonaceous matter in the metasediments
77	provided the reducing conditions that caused the precipitation of the primary uranium minerals
78	uraninite and coffinite from the uranium-enriched fluids. Phosphorous to form abundant
79	secondary uranyl phosphates probably derived from the shales/phyllites.
80	Oxidative weathering of the deposit by meteoric water in recent times has yielded an
81	extensive array of secondary uranyl phosphates, sulfates and carbonates, along with a variety of
82	associated minerals. Apexite is a rare mineral in the secondary assemblage, formed as an
83	efflorescence on mine walls. It occurs on massive quartz matrix in association with andersonite,
84	calcite, čejkaite, gaylussite, and goethite. Crystals of apexite commonly contain inclusions of
85	čejkaite. Čejkaite is known to form at relatively high pH – between 6.5 and 11.5 (Ondruš et al.,
86	2003) – and it can be surmised that apexite also formed at high pH. Other minerals reported in the
87	secondary assemblage include aragonite, autunite, baryte, chabazite-Ca, epsomite, graphite,
88	gypsum, hydroglauberite, jarosite, magnesiozippeite, meta-autunite, metatorbernite,
89	metauranocircite, natrozippeite, opal, pyrolusite, rutherfordine, sulfur, torbernite, uranocircite,
90	uranopilite, and zippeite.

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am-2015-5412

92 **Physical and optical properties**

93	Apexite occurs as colorless needles and blades up to 0.5 mm long in subparallel
94	intergrowths (Fig. 1). Crystals are elongated on [100] and flattened on {001}, and exhibit the
95	crystal forms {100}, {001}, {1-10}, {011}, and {0-12} (Fig. 2). Observations under crossed
96	polars suggest that crystals commonly exhibit polysynthetic twinning on {010}; however, XRD
97	study of apparently twinned crystals did not reveal a rational twin law. The mineral has white
98	streak. Crystals are transparent and have vitreous to satiny luster. Apexite does not fluoresce in
99	long or short wave ultraviolet light. The Mohs hardness is about 2, the tenacity is brittle, the
100	fracture is curved, and crystals exhibit one perfect cleavage on {100}. The density measured by
101	floatation in a mixture of methylene iodide and toluene is $1.74(1)$ g/cm ³ . The calculated density
102	based on the empirical formula and the unit cell refined from the single-crystal data is 1.741
103	g/cm ³ . Apexite is slowly (minutes) soluble in water at room temperature and rapidly soluble in
104	dilute HCl.
105	The mineral is biaxial, but because the $2V$ is close to 90°, the optic sign cannot be stated
106	with certainty. The indices of refraction measured in white light are $\alpha = 1.475(1)$, $\beta = 1.481(1)$,
107	and $\gamma = 1.487(1)$. The 2V _x determined using extinction data with EXCALIBR (Gunter <i>et al.</i> ,
108	2004) is 88.5(3) °; the calculated $2V$ is 90°. No dispersion or pleochroism was observed. The
109	partially determined optical orientation is $Z \wedge \mathbf{a} = 40^{\circ}$. The Gladstone-Dale compatibility, 1 –
110	(K_p/K_c) is -0.026 (excellent) based on the empirical formula and -0.025 (excellent) based on the
111	ideal formula. (Mandarino 1981).
112	

7/22

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am-2015-5412

113 Chemical composition

114	Chemical analyses (6) were performed at the University of Utah using a Cameca SX-50
115	electron microprobe with four wavelength-dispersive spectrometers and utilizing Probe for
116	EPMA software. Analytical conditions were 15 kV accelerating voltage, 10 nA beam current, a
117	beam diameter of 10 μ m, and counting times of 15 seconds. In the analytical routine, Na, Mg,
118	and P were counted simultaneously, <i>i.e.</i> , first on their respective spectrometers. There was a small
119	but measurable loss of Na under the electron beam that was monitored during each spot analysis,
120	and the Na intensity was calculated by extrapolating back to a zero time value. No other elements
121	were detected by EDS. Raw X-ray intensities were corrected for matrix effects with a $\phi(\rho z)$
122	algorithm (Pouchou and Pichoir, 1991). There was minimal beam damage; however, as is typical
123	of highly hydrated phases with weakly held H ₂ O (in this instance, over 50% by weight), apexite
124	partially dehydrates under vacuum either during carbon coating or in the microprobe chamber.
125	This H ₂ O loss results in higher concentrations for the remaining constituents than are to be
126	expected for the fully hydrated phase. Because insufficient material is available for a direct
127	determination of H ₂ O, it has been calculated based upon the structure determination. The
128	analyzed constituents have then been normalized to provide a total of 100% when combined with
129	the calculated H ₂ O. Analytical data are given in Table 1.
130	The empirical formula (based on 13 O apfu) is $Na_{0.91}Mg_{1.09}P_{1.00}O_{13.00}H_{17.91}$. The ideal
131	formula is NaMg(PO ₄)·9H ₂ O, which requires Na ₂ O 10.18, MgO 13.24, P ₂ O ₅ 23.32, and H ₂ O
132	53.26, total 100.00 wt%.
133	It is perhaps worth noting that amount of H ₂ O loss noted above provides analytical results
134	approximately fitting the 7-hydrate, NaMg(PO ₄)·7H ₂ O, whose structure was reported by Mathew
135	et al. (1982). While it may be tempting to conjecture that apexite converts to the 7-hydrate upon

6

H₂O loss, this is very unlikely to be the case because such a transformation would require a very
significant rearrangement of the structural components (see the discussion of the structure

- 138 below).
- 139

140 X-ray crystallography and structure refinement

141 Both powder and single-crystal X-ray studies were carried out using a Rigaku R-Axis 142 Rapid II curved imaging plate microdiffractometer, with monochromatized MoK α radiation. For 143 the powder-diffraction study, a Gandolfi-like motion on the φ and ω axes was used to randomize 144 the sample and observed *d*-spacings and intensities were derived by profile fitting using JADE 145 2010 software (Materials Data, Inc.). The powder data are presented in Table 2. Note that čejkaite 146 inclusions are common in apexite crystals and even a small amount of čejkaite leads to additional 147 peaks in the PXRD because čejkaite diffracts much more strongly than apexite. While care was 148 taken in selecting apexite crystals for PXRD, some contamination was unavoidable. Unit-cell 149 parameters refined from the powder data using JADE 2010 with whole pattern fitting are: a =150 6.918(6), b = 11.990(6), c = 14.976(5) Å, $\alpha = 91.75(2)$, $\beta = 102.71(3)$, $\gamma = 105.36(3)^{\circ}$, and $V = 105.36(3)^{\circ}$ 1163.3(12) Å³. 151

The Rigaku CrystalClear software package was used for processing structure data, including the application of an empirical multi-scan absorption correction using ABSCOR (Higashi, 2001). The structure was solved by direct methods using SIR2004 (Burla *et al.*, 2005). SHELXL-2013 (Sheldrick, 2008) was used for the refinement of the structure. Difference Fourier syntheses located all H atom positions, which were then refined with soft restraints of 0.90(3) Å on the O–H distances and 1.42(3) Å on the H–H distances and with the *U*_{eq} of each H set to 1.5 times that of the donor O atom. A very thin needle was used for the data collection because This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am-2015-5412

159	polysynthetic twinning is pervasive in thicker prisms. The small size and weak diffracting ability
160	of the crystal provided a relatively small data set and a less than optimal data-to-parameter ratio.
161	Data collection and refinement details are given in Table 3, atom coordinates and displacement
162	parameters in Table 4, polyhedral bond distances in Table 5, hydrogen-bond distances, angles,
163	and BV contributions in Table 6, and a bond valence analysis in Table 7.
164	
165	Discussion
166	The structure of apexite (Fig. 3) contains four structural components: (1) a
167	$[Na_2Mg_4(H_2O)_{14}]^{10+}$ heteropolyhedral cation cluster (Fig. 4); (2) a <i>trans</i> edge-sharing chain of
168	$Na(H_2O)_6$ octahedra along [100]; (3) an isolated PO ₄ group; and (4) an isolated H ₂ O group. The
169	cation cluster has an edge-sharing dimer of Na(H ₂ O) ₆ trigonal prisms at its center and is
170	decorated with four Mg(H ₂ O) ₆ octahedra that share edges with the Na(H ₂ O) ₆ trigonal prisms. The
171	structural components are linked to one another only via hydrogen bonds.
172	Minerals with structures containing isolated PO ₄ or AsO ₄ groups are quite rare. They are
173	limited to the arsenates rösslerite, Mg(AsO ₃ OH)·7H ₂ O, and joteite,
174	Ca ₂ CuAl[AsO ₄][AsO ₃ (OH)] ₂ (OH) ₂ ·5H ₂ O, and the phosphates phosphorrösslerite,
175	Mg(PO ₃ OH)·7H ₂ O, struvite, NH ₄ Mg(PO ₄)·6H ₂ O, struvite-(K), KMg(PO ₄)·6H ₂ O, and hazenite,
176	KNaMg ₂ (PO ₄) ₂ ·14H ₂ O. Struvite, struvite-(K), hazenite, and similar synthetic compounds are
177	referred to as struvite-type phases (see Yang et al., 2011, and references therein). "Struvite-type"
178	in this context does not imply isostructural compounds; rather it indicates phases whose
179	structures exhibit a number of similar features: (1) the general chemical formula is
180	$M^{+}X^{2+}(YO_{4})\cdot nH_{2}O$, where M is a large cation, X is a medium-sized octahedrally coordinated
181	cation, <i>Y</i> is P or As, and $n = 6$ to 8; (2) the <i>M</i> and <i>X</i> cations are completely surrounded by H ₂ O

182 groups; (3) no H₂O groups are shared between $X(H_2O)_6$ octahedra; (4) the PO₄ or AsO₄ groups 183 are unconnected and normal (non-acid); and (5) the structural components are held together by 184 an extensive system of hydrogen bonds, including strong triple bonds between adjacent faces of 185 $X(H_2O)_6$ octahedra and YO_4 tetrahedra. 186 Appexite has all of the features of a struvite-type phase noted above and clearly qualifies as 187 such. It does have a higher H₂O content (n = 9) than has previously been noted for struvite-type 188 phases; however, it also has an isolated H_2O group, which is atypical for these phases. Two of the 189 other structural components in the apexite structure also are not found in any of the other struvite-190 type phases: the *trans* edge-sharing chains of $Na(H_2O)_6$ octahedra and the edge-sharing clusters 191 of Mg(H₂O)₆ octahedra and Na(H₂O)₆ trigonal prisms. The structure of NaMg(PO₄) $7H_2O$ 192 (Mathew *et al.*, 1982) does contain *trans* edge-sharing chains of octahedra, but $Na(H_2O)_6$ and 193 $Mg(H_2O)_6$ octahedra alternate in those chains. The structure of hazenite (Yang *et al.*, 2011) has 194 $Na(H_2O)_6$ trigonal prisms that share edges with one another and with Mg(H_2O)_6 octahedra; 195 however, in the hazenite structure, the trigonal prisms form edge-sharing chains that are 196 decorated with Mg octahedra, while in the apexite structure, the trigonal prisms form dimers that 197 are decorated with $Mg(H_2O)_6$ octahedra (Fig. 4). 198 199 Implications

Apexite is a new struvite-type phase with a number of interesting structural features not found in other known struvite-type phases. Struvite and other phosphates in this class of compounds are noted for commonly having biologically related modes of formation. There is no indication that the formation of apexite at the Apex mine was in any way biologically related; however, the general conditions of formation of apexite, i.e. ambient temperature and relatively

205	high pH, are consistent with those for other struvite-type phases, such as struvite and hazenite.
206	Therefore, it seems plausible that apexite could be found elsewhere as a biologically formed
207	phase. Considering the high level of interest in struvite and struvite-type phases in biological and
208	environmental systems, the unique structural features found in apexite, and in particular its
209	$[Na_2Mg_4(H_2O)_{14}]^{10+}$ cation cluster, deserve careful scrutiny. The conditions necessary for this
210	cation cluster to exist in solution are worth investigating, as are the implications that this may
211	have on systems in which struvite-type phases play a part.
212	
213	Acknowledgements
214	Daniel Atencio and an anonymous reviewer are thanked for their constructive comments on the
215	manuscript. Giovanni Ferraris is thanked for helpful comments on the twinning. This study was
216	funded, in part, by the John Jago Trelawney Endowment to the Mineral Sciences Department of
217	the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County.
218	
219	References
220	Brese, N.E. and O'Keeffe, M. (1991) Bond-valence parameters for solids. Acta
221	Crystallographica, B47 , 192–197.
222	Brown, I.D. and Altermatt, D. (1985) Bond-valence parameters from a systematic analysis of the
223	inorganic crystal structure database. Acta Crystallographica, B41, 244–247.
224	Burla, M.C., Caliandro, R., Camalli, M., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G.L., De Caro, L.,
225	Giacovazzo, C., Polidori, G. and Spagna, R. (2005) SIR2004: an improved tool for crystal
226	structure determination and refinement. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 38, 381-388.

- 227 Gunter, M.E., Weaver, R., Bandli, B.R., Bloss, F.D., Evans, S.H., and Su, S.C. (2004) Results
- from a McCrone spindle stage short course, a new version of EXCALIBR, and how to build a
- spindle stage. *The Microscope*, **52**, 1, 23–39.
- 230 Higashi, T. (2001) ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo.
- Jensen, M. and Herrmann, J. (2012) Uranium mineralization at the Apex Mine, Lander County,
- 232 Nevada. *Rocks and Minerals*, **87**, 270–276.
- 233 Mathew, M., Kingbury, P., Takagi, S. and Brown, W.E. (1982) A new struvite-type compound,
- magnesium sodium phosphate heptahydrate. Acta Crystallographica, **B38**, 40–44.
- 235 Ondruš, P., Skála, R., Veselovský, F. Sejkora, J., and Vitti, C. (2003) Čejkaite, the triclinic
- 236 polymorph of Na₄(UO₂)(CO₃)₃ a new mineral from Jáchymov, Czech Republic. American
- 237 *Mineralogist*, **88**, 686–693.
- 238 Pouchou, J. L., & Pichoir, F. (1991). Quantitative analysis of homogeneous or stratified
- 239 microvolumes applying the model "PAP". In: Heinrich, K.F.J. and Newbury, D.E. *Electron*
- 240 *Probe Quantitation* (pp. 31-75). Plenum Press, N.Y.
- 241 Sheldrick, G.M. (2008) A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallographica, A64, 112–122.
- 242 Wood, R.M. and Palenik, G.J. (1999) Bond valence sums in coordination chemistry. Sodium-
- 243 oxygen complexes. *Inorganic Chemistry*, **38**, 3926–3930.
- 244 Yang, H. Sun, H.J. and Downs, R.T. (2011) Hazenite, KNaMg₂(PO₄)₂·14H₂O, a new biologically
- related phosphate mineral, from Mono Lake, California, U.S.A. *American Mineralogist*, **96**,
- 246 675–681.

Constituent	wt%	Range	SD	Standard	Normalized
Na ₂ O	10.42	8.02-13.36	1.74	albite	9.26
MgO	16.23	14.66–18.60	1.74	diopside	14.42
P_2O_5	26.24	23.88-30.65	2.87	apatite	23.31
H_2O^*	53.01				53.01
Total	105.90				100.00

248 Table 1. Electron microprobe data for apexite.

Inter data	$d_{\rm cale}$	Icale	hkl	Laka	dobe	d_{calo}	Icala	hkl	I.	bs	dobs	d_{calo}	Icala	hkl
35 14.63	14.5436	48	0.0.1	- 008	005	3.1653	<u>- caic</u>	113		05	008	2.1832	<u>- cale</u>	-244
00 1 1100	9 4712	3	0-11			3 1571	3	0-3 3				2 1770	3	204
	8 6370	3	011			3 1 3 9 5	9	2-11				2 1720	3	-2-2.5
16 7.25	7.2718	29	002	19	3.103	3.1063	24	-213	2	0	2.152	2.1446	3	1-16
8 6.36	6.4279	15	0-12			3.0155	3	201				2.1426	4	0-36
0 0 5 04	5.9011	20	012			3.0088	4	-1-3 1				2.1373	7	052
20 5.84	5.7549	8	020			2.9525	3	-140				2.1123	3	106
	5.5330	6	0-21			2.9150	13	210				1.9977	4	2-1 5
	5.4597	5	101			2.8856	8	0-1 5				(1.9842	4	2-4 4
	5.3443	9	-112	46	2.876	2.8774	46	040	1	0	1.978	1.9722	4	-217
	5.1862	21	021			2.8404	5	-232				1.9670	4	036
(1 5 1 1	5.1712	11	-1-11			2.8091	24	-2-1 3				1.9554	4	045
61 5.11	5.0757	5	110			2.7765	32	2-3 1				(1.9423	3	311
	5.0596	8	-120	100	2.762	2.7731	27	0-3 4	2	1	1.928	1.9252	7	2-3 5
	4.9370	3	-121			2.7662	5	-204				1.9204	5	-2-17
	4.8479	6	003			2.7588	29	015				1.9077	3	-335
	4.7356	23	0-22			2.7361	4	211	1	0	1 802	1.9038	3	0-37
75 169	4.6917	13	-1-1 2			2.7298	8	202	1	9	1.692	1.8996	4	0-62
/3 4.08	4.6348	12	1-2 1			2.7253	3	1-4 2				1.8775	3	3-13
	4.6268	10	0-1 3	27	2 673	∫ 2.7007	9	0-2 5				(1.8475	5	-326
	4.4860	7	111	21	2.075	2.6722	8	-224	2	2	1.845	1.8401	4	-118
	4.4466	4	1-1 2			(2.5936	7	-2-1 4				1.8331	5	-2-3 6
	4.4155	8	-103	5	2.584	2.5856	4	-2-22				1.8182	5	2-5 4
	4.3665	5	-122			2.5838	10	0-4 3				(1.8105	3	3-51
	4.3540	41	102			2.5379	5	220	1	6	1.791	1.7925	3	321
96 4 301	4.3240	4	013			(2.5084	29	212				1.7891	7	2-26
J0 4.501	4.3185	10	022	30	2.507	2.5025	3	025				(1.7748	5	-208
	4.2734	32	-113			2.4928	4	-2-23	1	3	1.779	{ 1.7731	7	046
44 4 008	3.9866	18	-1-1 3			2.4685	4	-242				(1.7701	6	018
11 1.000	3.9684	21	1-22	13	2 4 3 5	2.4443	23	-234				1.7576	5	242
	3.8814	10	-1-2 1	15	2.155	2.4279	5	-1-4 1	1	9	1 723	1.7289	18	-422
14 3 805	3.8089	4	120			2.3892	5	-150	1		1.725	(1.7274	3	055
11 5.005	3.7976	14	-130			2.3678	8	0-4 4				1.7152	5	-264
	3.7156	4	-131			2.3612	4	-135				1.6961	5	-2-5 1
	3.5651	5	0-14	12	2.351	2.3568	7	-2-1 5				1.6810	4	-362
	3.5338	7	0-3 2			2.2719	5	213	1	0	1.672	1.6758	4	0-65
12 3 423	3.4579	6	-211			2.2361	3	-2-3 2				1.6594	5	-271
12 3.123	(3.3766	6	014	16	2.223	{ 2.2180	6	-235						
	3.3352	7	1-23			2.2077	3	-206						
17 3.265	3.2682	17	032			2.1861	3	-250						
*Calculat	ed lines v	vith	intensi	ities less	than 3	are not	t sho	wn.						
					-									-

251	Table 2.	Powder	X-ray	diffraction	data for	apexite
-----	----------	--------	-------	-------------	----------	---------

237		
258	Diffractometer	Rigaku R-Axis Rapid II
259	X-ray radiation/power	MoK α ($\lambda = 0.71075$ Å)/50 kV, 40 mA
260	Temperature	298(2) K
261	Chemical Formula	NaMg(PO ₄)·9H ₂ O
262	Space group	<i>P</i> -1
263	Unit cell dimensions	a = 6.9296(7) Å
264		b = 11.9767(13) Å
265		c = 14.9436(19) Å
266		$\alpha = 92.109(6)^{\circ}.$
267		$\beta = 102.884(7)^{\circ}.$
268		$\gamma = 105.171(7)^{\circ}$
269	V	$1160.9(2) \text{ Å}^3$
270	Ζ	4
271	Density (for above formula)	1.742 g cm^{-3}
272	Absorption coefficient	0.388 mm^{-1}
273	<i>F</i> (000)	640
274	Crystal size	$110 \times 15 \times 8 \ \mu m$
275	θ range	3.11 to 19.94°
276	Index ranges	$-6 \le h \le 6, -11 \le k \le 11, -14 \le l \le 14$
277	Reflections collected/unique	$8861/2113; R_{\rm int} = 0.098$
278	Reflections with $F > 4\sigma(F)$	1401
279	Completeness to $\theta = 19.94^{\circ}$	97.8%
280	Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2
281	Parameters refined	397
282	GoF	1.075
283	Final <i>R</i> indices $[F > 4\sigma(F)]$	$R_1 = 0.0444, wR_2 = 0.0813$
284	<i>R</i> indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0856, wR_2 = 0.1019$
285	Largest diff. peak/hole	$+0.46/-0.37 \text{ e A}^{-3}$
286	$*R_{\text{int}} = \Sigma F_0^2 - F_0^2 (\text{mean}) / \Sigma [F_0^2]$	[]. GoF = $S = \{\Sigma[w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2]/(n-p)\}^{1/2}$. $R_1 = \Sigma F_0 - F_c /\Sigma F_0 $. wR_2
287	$= \{ \sum [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \sum [w(F_o^2)^2] \}$	$1/2$; $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ where <i>a</i> is 0.0263, <i>b</i> is 3.0425 and <i>P</i>
288	is $[2F_c^2 + Max(F_o^2, 0)]/3$.	· · -
289		

Table 3. Data collection and structure refinement details for apexite.

291	10010 11	x/a	$\frac{y/b}{y/b}$	$\frac{z/c}{z/c}$	$\frac{U_{eq}}{U_{eq}}$	U ¹¹	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{23}	U^{13}	U^{12}
292	Na1	0.7538(4)	0.0028(3)	0.9988(2)	0.0390(9)	0.0378(19)	0.036(2)	0.043(2)	0.0026(16)	0.0095(16)	0.0098(16)
293	Na2	0.9896(4)	0.4003(2)	0.5845(2)	0.0338(8)	0.0317(18)	0.0327(19)	0.037(2)	0.0005(15)	0.0070(15)	0.0114(15)
294	Mg1	0.8528(3)	0.1163(2)	0.60609(16)	0.0228(7)	0.0215(15)	0.0238(15)	0.0211(15)	0.0008(12)	0.0039(12)	0.0042(12)
295	Mg2	0.2012(4)	0.5829(2)	0.79178(16)	0.0245(7)	0.0235(15)	0.0247(16)	0.0262(16)	0.0051(13)	0.0079(12)	0.0061(13)
296	P1	0.7051(3)	0.73255(16)	0.66342(13)	0.0187(5)	0.0174(12)	0.0208(13)	0.0190(13)	0.0011(10)	0.0046(10)	0.0069(10)
297	P2	0.4859(3)	0.24050(17)	0.76778(13)	0.0209(6)	0.0174(13)	0.0214(13)	0.0224(14)	0.0010(10)	0.0036(10)	0.0038(10)
298	01	0.5296(7)	0.7863(4)	0.6269(3)	0.0242(13)	0.023(3)	0.026(3)	0.028(3)	0.004(2)	0.005(2)	0.015(3)
299	O2	0.8935(7)	0.7856(4)	0.6244(3)	0.0264(13)	0.026(3)	0.027(3)	0.027(3)	0.003(2)	0.008(2)	0.008(3)
300	03	0.6295(7)	0.5992(4)	0.6370(3)	0.0239(13)	0.030(3)	0.016(3)	0.024(3)	-0.004(2)	0.006(2)	0.005(2)
301	04	0.7735(7)	0.7565(4)	0.7705(3)	0.0291(13)	0.030(3)	0.033(3)	0.024(3)	0.001(3)	0.006(3)	0.007(3)
302	05	0.4329(7)	0.1076(4)	0.7640(3)	0.0272(13)	0.033(3)	0.021(3)	0.025(3)	-0.002(2)	0.007(3)	0.004(3)
303	06	0.3481(7)	0.2850(4)	0.8197(3)	0.0315(14)	0.022(3)	0.036(3)	0.038(3)	-0.006(3)	0.008(3)	0.011(3)
304	07	0.4493(7)	0.2787(4)	0.6695(3)	0.0313(14)	0.029(3)	0.039(3)	0.022(3)	0.009(3)	0.002(2)	0.005(3)
305	08	0.7145(7)	0.2964(4)	0.8181(3)	0.0244(13)	0.019(3)	0.025(3)	0.028(3)	0.004(2)	0.004(2)	0.004(2)
306	OW9	0.9574(8)	0.2219(5)	0.7256(4)	0.0408(16)	0.023(3)	0.055(4)	0.038(4)	-0.025(3)	-0.001(3)	0.011(3)
307	H9A	0.085(5)	0.242(7)	0.761(4)	0.061						
308	H9B	0.877(8)	0.237(7)	0.761(4)	0.061						
309	OW10	0.7478(8)	0.0078(5)	0.4870(3)	0.0341(14)	0.024(3)	0.046(4)	0.029(4)	-0.017(3)	0.000(3)	0.012(3)
310	H10A	0.630(6)	0.001(6)	0.446(4)	0.051						
311	H10B	0.824(8)	-0.021(6)	0.455(4)	0.051						
312	OW11	0.6122(8)	0.0150(4)	0.6518(4)	0.0315(14)	0.036(3)	0.021(3)	0.042(4)	0.000(3)	0.020(3)	0.008(3)
313	H11A	0.584(11)	-0.061(3)	0.651(5)	0.047						
314	H11B	0.558(11)	0.042(5)	0.694(4)	0.047						
315	OW12	0.0462(7)	0.0141(4)	0.6550(4)	0.0317(14)	0.027(3)	0.017(3)	0.045(4)	0.004(3)	0.000(3)	0.001(3)
316	H12A	0.003(9)	-0.062(3)	0.652(5)	0.048						
317	H12B	0.165(7)	0.041(5)	0.692(4)	0.048						
318	OW13	0.0859(7)	0.2236(5)	0.5550(3)	0.0278(13)	0.022(3)	0.039(3)	0.021(3)	0.007(3)	0.007(3)	0.005(3)
319	H13A	0.097(9)	0.213(6)	0.498(2)	0.042						
320	H13B	0.211(6)	0.240(6)	0.592(3)	0.042						
321	OW14	0.6726(7)	0.2273(5)	0.5564(3)	0.0303(14)	0.029(3)	0.039(3)	0.025(3)	0.005(3)	0.004(3)	0.015(3)
322	H14A	0.601(9)	0.224(6)	0.499(2)	0.045						
323	H14B	0.594(9)	0.242(6)	0.593(3)	0.045						
324	OW15	0.2059(8)	0.7014(5)	0.6987(4)	0.0541(18)	0.038(4)	0.065(4)	0.082(5)	0.056(4)	0.035(4)	0.029(4)
325	H15A	0.312(8)	0.728(7)	0.674(5)	0.081						
326	H15B	0.102(8)	0.724(7)	0.667(5)	0.081						

290 Table 4. Atom coordinates and displacement parameters ($Å^2$) for apexite.

327	OW16	0.2102(9)	0.4599(5)	0.8842(3)	0.0366(15)	0.051(4)	0.040(4)	0.026(3)	0.007(3)	0.013(3)	0.021(3)
328	H16A	0.272(11)	0.407(5)	0.877(4)	0.055						
329	H16B	0.206(12)	0.470(6)	0.942(3)	0.055						
330	OW17	0.9405(8)	0.3315(5)	0.1318(4)	0.0370(15)	0.036(4)	0.043(4)	0.030(4)	-0.007(3)	0.001(3)	0.015(3)
331	H17A	0.049(8)	0.312(6)	0.166(4)	0.055						
332	H17B	0.891(10)	0.280(5)	0.082(3)	0.055						
333	OW18	0.3172(7)	0.4855(4)	0.7095(3)	0.0261(13)	0.031(3)	0.017(3)	0.035(4)	0.012(3)	0.010(3)	0.014(3)
334	H18A	0.360(9)	0.422(4)	0.723(5)	0.039						
335	H18B	0.413(8)	0.525(5)	0.680(4)	0.039						
336	OW19	0.5036(9)	0.6650(5)	0.8634(4)	0.0466(16)	0.038(4)	0.053(4)	0.036(4)	-0.015(3)	0.012(3)	-0.008(3)
337	H19A	0.589(11)	0.671(6)	0.825(5)	0.070						
338	H19B	0.515(12)	0.740(3)	0.878(5)	0.070						
339	OW20	0.9006(7)	0.4837(4)	0.7212(3)	0.0275(13)	0.024(3)	0.035(4)	0.025(4)	0.012(3)	0.006(3)	0.010(3)
340	H20A	0.842(9)	0.435(5)	0.756(4)	0.041						
341	H20B	0.805(8)	0.514(5)	0.691(4)	0.041						
342	OW21	0.7199(8)	-0.1940(5)	0.0242(3)	0.0372(15)	0.038(4)	0.044(4)	0.032(4)	0.004(3)	0.010(3)	0.014(3)
343	H21A	0.841(7)	-0.209(7)	0.038(5)	0.056						
344	H21B	0.670(10)	-0.216(6)	0.073(4)	0.056						
345	OW22	0.8693(8)	0.2000(5)	0.9691(4)	0.0343(14)	0.039(3)	0.037(4)	0.027(4)	0.005(3)	0.001(3)	0.016(3)
346	H22A	0.842(9)	0.243(6)	0.923(4)	0.051						
347	H22B	0.000(5)	0.213(7)	0.987(5)	0.051						
348	OW23	0.5766(9)	0.0484(5)	0.1105(3)	0.0403(15)	0.048(4)	0.033(4)	0.037(4)	0.010(3)	0.008(3)	0.008(3)
349	H23A	0.640(11)	0.114(3)	0.148(4)	0.060						
350	H23B	0.562(12)	-0.007(4)	0.149(4)	0.060						
351	OW24	0.9268(9)	-0.0327(5)	0.8772(3)	0.0419(15)	0.049(4)	0.036(4)	0.033(4)	-0.008(3)	0.004(3)	0.005(3)
352	H24A	0.892(12)	-0.101(3)	0.842(4)	0.063						
353	H24B	0.922(12)	0.020(4)	0.836(4)	0.063						
354	OW25	0.7661(7)	0.5004(5)	0.5014(4)	0.0328(14)	0.029(3)	0.041(4)	0.029(4)	-0.006(3)	0.004(3)	0.014(3)
355	H25A	0.646(7)	0.468(6)	0.461(4)	0.049						
356	H25B	0.730(10)	0.540(6)	0.544(4)	0.049						
357	OW26	0.2575(8)	0.4981(5)	0.0730(4)	0.0399(15)	0.050(4)	0.032(4)	0.034(4)	0.001(3)	0.014(3)	0.003(3)
358	H26A	0.291(11)	0.570(3)	0.100(5)	0.060						
359	H26B	0.152(8)	0.457(5)	0.092(5)	0.060						

360	Table 5. Select	ed bond dista	ances (Å) fo	r apexite.					
361	Na1–OW21	2.360(6)	Mg	1–OW9	2.023(5)	P101	1.5	527(5)	
362	Na1–OW22	2.380(6)	Mg	1–OW10	2.036(5)	P1O2	1.5	543(5)	
363	Na1–OW23	2.406(6)	Mg	1–OW12	2.057(5)	P1O3	1.5	550(5)	
364	Na1–OW23	2.411(6)	Mg	1–OW11	2.077(5)	P104	1.5	557(5)	
365	Na1–OW24	2.471(6)	Mg	1-OW13	2.093(5)	<p1-0< td=""><td>> 1.4</td><td>544</td><td></td></p1-0<>	> 1.4	544	
366	Na1–OW24	2 483(6)	Mg	1–0W14	2.099(5)				
367	<na1-o></na1-o>	2 419	< <u>M</u>	$g_{1-0}>$	2 064	P2-05	1.4	533(5)	
368		,		81 0	2.000	P2-06	14	539(5)	
369	Na2–OW25	2,367(6)	Mø	2–OW15	2.022(5)	P2-07	1.	542(5)	
370	Na2-OW13	2.433(6)	Mg	2 - 0W17	2.059(5)	P_{2}^{-08}	1 4	549(5)	
371	Na2-OW25	2.433(0) 2.441(6)	Mg	2 - 0W16	2.069(5)	<p2_0< td=""><td>> 14</td><td>541</td><td></td></p2_0<>	> 14	541	
372	Na2 = OW20	2.111(0) 2.507(6)	Mg	$2 - 0 \le 10$ $2 - 0 \le 10$	2.001(3) 2.084(5)	420	·· 1		
373	Na2 = OW14	2.507(0) 2.538(6)	Mg	2 - 0 W 1	2.001(5) 2.085(6)				
374	Na2 - OW19	2.538(6)	Mg	2 - 0 W 20	2.000(0) 2.120(6)				
375	<na2-0></na2-0>	2.330(0) 2 470	<m< td=""><td>$\sigma^{2} = 0$</td><td>2.120(0)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></m<>	$\sigma^{2} = 0$	2.120(0)				
270	11112 02	2.470	~111	52 02	2.075				
3/0	T 11 (II 1	1 1 1.	(8)	1 1	1 1 / 11	1 1	1	·· · ·	•,
3//	Table 6. Hydro	igen bond dis	stances (A) a	and angles a	and related bo	ond valence c	contribu	tions for	apexite.
378	Donor–H […] Ac	ceptor	D–H	H A	D A	<dha< td=""><td>BV_D</td><td>BV_A</td><td></td></dha<>	BV_D	BV_A	
379	OW9–H9A (06	0.89(3)	1.77(3)	2.652(7)	173(7)	0.78	0.22	
380	OW9–H9B ()8	0.89(3)	1.82(3)	2.696(7)	167(8)	0.79	0.21	
381	OW10-H10A	OW11	0.88(3)	1.93(3)	2.810(7)	174(6)	0.82	0.18	
382	OW10-H10E	3OW12	0.91(3)	2.04(5)	2.849(7)	148(6)	0.84	0.16	
383	OW11–H11A	01	0.88(3)	1.77(3)	2.642(7)	168(6)	0.77	0.23	
384	OW11-H11E	O5	0.89(3)	1.78(3)	2.664(7)	171(7)	0.78	0.22	
385	OW12-H12A	····O2	0.88(3)	1.78(3)	2.644(7)	169(7)	0.78	0.22	
386	OW12-H12E	05	0.86(3)	1.87(3)	2.727(7)	174(7)	0.80	0.20	
387	OW13-H13A	O2	0.88(3)	1.85(3)	2.716(7)	169(7)	0.80	0.20	
388	OW13-H13E	07	0.89(3)	1.73(3)	2.614(7)	176(6)	0.76	0.24	
389	OW14–H14A	. 01	0.88(3)	1.88(3)	2.762(7)	174(6)	0.81	0.19	
390	OW14–H14E	07	0.90(3)	1.79(3)	2.687(7)	177(7)	0.79	0.21	
391	OW15-H15A	. 01	0.89(3)	1.79(3)	2.679(7)	178(9)	0.79	0.21	
392	OW15-H15E	³ O2	0.88(3)	1.79(3)	2.663(7)	169(9)	0.78	0.22	
393	OW16–H16A	O6	0.87(3)	1.92(3)	2.745(7)	160(7)	0.80	0.20	
394	OW16-H16E	3OW26	0.88(3)	1.91(3)	2.773(7)	168(7)	0.81	0.19	
395	OW17–H17A	04	0.90(3)	1.78(4)	2.662(7)	168(7)	0.78	0.22	
396	OW17-H17E	3OW22	0.89(3)	1.87(4)	2.723(7)	160(7)	0.80	0.20	
397	OW18–H18A		0.90(3)	2.23(4)	2.988(7)	142(5)	0.39	0.11	
398	OW18-H18A	07	0.90(3)	2.16(5)	2.942(7)	146(6)	0.37	0.13	
399	OW18-H18E	B O3	0.91(3)	1.80(3)	2.706(6)	171(6)	0.80	0.20	
400	OW19–H19A	04	0.90(3)	1.78(5)	2.612(7)	151(6)	0.76	0.24	
401	OW19-H19E	}				- (-)	1.00	0	
402	OW20-H20A	····O8	0.88(3)	2.02(3)	2.888(6)	168(7)	0.85	0.15	
403	OW20-H20E	B03	0.88(3)	1.86(3)	2.732(6)	171(7)	0.80	0.20	
404	OW21–H21A	OW22	0.88(3)	201(3)	2846(7)	157(6)	0.84	0.16	
405	OW21–H21F	B O6	0.90(3)	1.84(4)	2.694(7)	158(6)	0.79	0.21	
406	OW22–H22A	<u>0</u> 8	0.90(3)	1.84(3)	2709(7)	163(6)	0.80	0.20	
407	OW22-H22F		0.90(3)	1.0 ((5)	2.707(7)	105(0)	1.00	0	
408	OW22-H23A						1.00	ŏ	
409	OW23-H23F	- 	0.90(3)	1.80(3)	2 695(7)	172(7)	0 79	0 21	
410	OW24_H24A	~~ <u>04</u>	0.89(3)	1 87(3)	2.754(7)	170(8)	0.81	0.19	
411	OW22-H22F		0.07(3)	1.57(5)	<u> </u>	1, 5(0)	1.00	0	
412	OW25-H25A		0.89(3)	2.08(3)	2,965(7)	177(7)	0.88	0 12	
413	OW25_H25F	<u>. 03</u>	0.00(3)	1.88(3)	2.969(7)	170(7)	0.80	0.12	
414	OW26_H264	~~ <u>08</u>	0.88(3)	200(4)	2 839(7)	159(6)	0.87	0.19	
415	OW26_H26F	OW17	0.88(3)	2.00(4)	2.055(7)	167(7)	0.82	0.15	
115	0 11 20 11 20 L		0.00(0)	2.01(3)	2.070(0)	10/(/)	0.05	0.15	

	01 (02 03	04	05	06	07	08	OW9	OW10	OW11	OW12	OW13	OW14	OW15	OW16	OW17	OW18	OW19	OW20	OW21	OW22	OW23	OW24	OW25	OW26	6
Nal																				0.20	0.19	0.17 0.17	0.14 0.14			1
Na2												0.16	0.12				0.12		0.13					0.19 0.16		0
Mg1								0.41	0.40	0.37	0.35	0.34	0.33											0.10		2
Ag2	1 0 2 1	10 1 17												0.41	0.37	0.37	0.35	0.35	0.32							2
21 22	1.23 1	.18 1.16	0 1.14	1 21	1 10	1 1 8 1	16																			4
19A				1.21	0.22	1.10 1	.10	0 78																		
19B					0.22	0).21	0.79																		
H10A									0.82	0.18																
H10B									0.84		0.16															
	0.23			0.22						0.77																
	0	22		0.22						0.78	0.78															
H12A	0	.22		0.20							0.78															
HIJA	0	.20		0.20							0.00	0.80														
H13B						0.24						0.76														
H14A	0.19												0.81													
H14B						0.21							0.79													
HI5A	0.21	22												0.79												
H16A	0	.22			0.20									0.78	0.80											
H16R					0.20										0.80										0.19	
H17A			0.22												0.01	0.78									0.17	
H17B																0.80					0.20					
H18A					0.11	0.13											0.76									
HI8B		0.20)														0.80	0.76								
HI9A			0.24															0.76								
H20A						() 15											1.00	0.85							
H20B		0.20)			, c	.15												0.80							
H21A																				0.84	0.16					
H21B					0.21															0.79						
H22A						C).20														0.80					
H22B																					1.00	1.00				
H23A				0.21																		1.00				
H24A			0.19	0.21																		0.77	0.81			
H24B			0.17																				1.00			
H25Ā		0.12	2																					0.88		
H25B		0.19)																					0.81		
H26A						0).18									0.15									0.82	
H26B	1 06 1	02 1 07	1 1 70	104	1.02	1761	00	1.00	2.00	2.10	2.00	2.00	2.05	1.09	1.00	0.15	2.02	2.11	2.10	1.02	2.25	2.12	2.00	2.04	0.85	
2	1.80 1	.02 1.8/	1.79	1.84	1.95	1./0 1	.90	1.98	2.00	2.10	2.09	2.00	2.05	1.98	1.98	2.10	2.03	2.11	2.10	1.85	2.33	2.13	2.09	2.04	1.80	

417 Table 7. Bond valence analysis for apexite. Values are expressed in valence units.*

*Mg²⁺–O bond valence parameters from Brown and Altermatt (1985); P⁵⁺–O from Brese and O'Keeffe (1991); Na⁺–O from Wood
and Palenik (1999); hydrogen-bond strengths based on O–O bond lengths from Brown and Altermatt (1985). Table 6. Bond-valence
analysis for apexite.* Values are expressed in valence units.

422	FIGURE CAPTIONS
423	
424	Figure 1. Apexite blades with čejkaite (light yellow online) and colorless equant crystal of gaylussite (upper left); FOV 0.25 mm
425	across.
426	
427	Figure 2. Crystal drawing of apexite; clinographic projection in standard orientation.
428	
429	Figure 3. The structure of apexite viewed slightly canted down [100], the Na1(H ₂ O) ₆ chain direction. O–H bonds are shown as sticks
430	and hydrogen bonds as thin lines. The unit is cell shown by red lines.
431	

432 Figure 4. The $[Na_2Mg_4(H_2O)_{14}]^{10+}$ cation cluster in apexite (left) and the $[NaMg(H_2O)_8]_{\infty}$ chain in hazenite (right).

Figure 1









