Mineralogical evolution of Fe–Si-rich layers at the olivine-water interface during carbonation reactions
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Abstract

Recent studies investigating carbonation of iron-bearing silicates have shown that the rates of these reactions, although formally not depending on oxygen fugacity, are strongly different at different redox states of the system (Saldi et al. 2013; Sissmann et al. 2013). Here we provide a micro- and nanostructural characterization of the olivine/water interface during the carbonation of forsteritic olivine at 150 °C and $p\text{CO}_2 = 100$ bar. When the reaction starts under oxic conditions, the observed temporal sequence of interfacial layers consists of: a hematite/SiO$_2$(am) assemblage, Fe-rich phyllosilicates with mixed Fe valence and a non-passivating Fe-free amorphous SiO$_2$ layer, which allows the formation of ferroan magnesite. In contrast, starting at micro-oxic conditions, carbonation rates are much faster, with no real evidence of interfacial layers. Separate deposits of goethite/lepidocrocite in the early stages of the reaction and then formation of magnetite are observed at these conditions, while precipitation of siderite/magnesite proceeds unhindered. The evolution of the redox conditions during the reaction progress controls the sequence of the observed reaction products and the passivating properties of Fe–Si-rich interfacial layers. These findings have important implications for modeling the carbonation of ultramafic rocks under different oxygen fugacity conditions as well as for understanding the technological implications of adding accessory gases to CO$_2$ in carbon capture and storage mineralization processes involving ultrabasic rocks.
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INTRODUCTION

The formation of amorphous Si-rich layers and other secondary precipitates at the mineral/water interface is a well-known process that takes place during the chemical weathering of silicate minerals and can have a significant impact on their dissolution behavior (e.g., Velbel et al. 1993; White and Brantley 2003; Schott et al. 2012; Daval et al. 2013). Irrespective of the exact mechanism of their formation, it is acknowledged that Si-rich altered layers form independently of the degree of saturation of the bulk solution with respect to pure silica polymorphs (cf. Casey et al. 1993; Hellmann et al. 2012). The elementary processes that control the formation and properties of silica altered layers are complex and depend on a series of different factors, such as the structure and composition of the parent phase, the incorporation of foreign ions and the degree of hydration of the silica layers, which may decrease their solubility with respect to pure silica phases (cf. Iler 1979; Schott and Berner 1983; Daval et al. 2009; Hellmann et al. 2012; Daval et al. 2013). Because the development of Si-rich surface layers variably inhibits the dissolution reaction, their formation can also affect significantly a wide range of environmental and/or engineering processes, such as geological CO$_2$ sequestration, since the rate of CO$_2$ consumption and the extent of coupled carbonation reactions rely upon the availability of divalent cations released by the dissolution of primary silicates (cf. Oelkers et al. 2008; Guyot et al. 2011). Olivine [(Mg,Fe)$_2$SiO$_4$] is one of the most thermodynamically favorable and fastest dissolving phases (cf. Oelkers 2001; Schott et al. 2009) and for this reason, it has been the object of a relevant number of studies focusing on the elementary processes and the conditions that enhance, or limit, the overall carbonation reaction (Giammar et al. 2005; Andreani et al. 2009; Garcia et al. 2010; Gerdemann et al. 2007; Dufaud et al. 2009; King et al. 2010; Qafoku et al. 2012; Wang and Giammar 2012; Saldi et al. 2013; Sissmann et al. 2013;
Johnson et al. 2014). The formation of Si-rich layers exhibiting some passivating properties on the olivine surface was investigated by several authors (e.g., Béarat et al. 2006; Davis et al. 2009; Daval et al. 2011), whose results suggest that the protective action and evolution of amorphous SiO$_2$ layers do vary as a function of temperature and with the chemical compositions of the aqueous fluid. For instance, Daval et al. (2011) showed that, at 90 °C, in a CO$_2$-saturated pure H$_2$O system, the olivine surface is affected by the formation of a thin and uniform amorphous layer that is stabilized as the bulk aqueous solution approaches the saturation with respect to amorphous SiO$_2$ and is responsible for the dramatic decrease of mineral reactivity. Eventually, the development of an impermeable coating can prevent the aqueous fluid from reaching the saturation with respect to Mg-carbonates, thus inhibiting the carbonation reaction. On the other hand, Béarat et al. (2006), who conducted some experiments at 185 °C, at high ionic strength and under high concentrations of NaHCO$_3$, reported a more porous structure of the Si-rich coating, which favored the intergrowth of magnesite crystals, leading to high extents of carbonation. These authors also observed the recurring cracking and exfoliation of the passivating layer, a process that was explained with the rapid polymerization of silanol groups to form a more condensed structure (see also Olsson et al. 2012).

In a previous study (Saldi et al. 2013), we pointed out the fundamental role of Fe$^{3+}$/Si interaction in controlling the passivating properties of precipitated Si-rich coatings. We suggested that the evolution of the redox conditions during the course of the reaction affects the nature of the secondary phases formed at the fluid/solid interface and thus the rate of the overall reaction. Sissmann et al. (2013) reported similar observations and showed that the formation of passivating layers can be inhibited by the addition of organic ligands such as citrate. This
suggests that the complexation of Fe in solution could retard or impede its incorporation within the SiO2-rich layer, thus preventing its passivating effect.

Despite the lack of any direct evidence of the physico-chemical microstructure of the Fe–Si-rich interfacial layer, Saldi et al. (2013) presented a qualitative model to describe the chemical transformation of the olivine/water interface at 150 °C, which postulated the formation of a primitive Fe-rich amorphous SiO2 layer and its successive breakdown in favor of a more stable mixed Fe(II)-Fe(III) phase as conditions became progressively anoxic.

Here we report the micro- to nano-scale characterization of the olivine/water interface submitted to similar experimental conditions (pCO2=100 bar, T=150 °C). The direct study of the mineral surface and its physico-chemical evolution as a function time was accomplished by the combination of advanced microscopic and spectroscopy techniques (focused ion beam (FIB) milling - transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning transmission X-ray microscopy (STXM)). This approach allowed us to document fine-scale mineralogical transformations and provide new insights to better constrain the elementary processes that underline the model of Saldi et al. (2013).

MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Starting materials and experimental setup

A detailed description of the starting materials and the experimental setup can be found in Saldi et al. (2013). Ultrasonically cleaned olivine crystals (Fo88) from San Carlos (Arizona, USA) with 149–295 µm grain size and specific surface area of 0.015 m²/g (measured by Kr adsorption analysis using the BET method) were used to perform carbonation experiments at 150 °C and pCO2= 100 bar. Batch experiments were conducted in flexible Au bag hydrothermal
system (Seyfried et al. 1979; Knauss and Copenhaver 1995), in which fluids are in contact with pure Au and commercially pure Ti. Before use, the Ti-parts were first acid-cleaned in hot nitric acid for about 30 minutes and then passivated overnight at 450 °C. Pressure was controlled using a high pressure syringe pump (Teledyne Isco 500 D) injecting deionized water into the pressure vessel, outside of the Au bag, contained in a large tube furnace. Injection of liquid CO\textsubscript{2} at 100 bar pressure was achieved by using a second high pressure syringe pump. The furnaces were continuously rotated at 180°/min throughout the experimental runs. The aqueous fluid was sampled from the Au bag by turning the autoclaves upside-down. In this way, supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} migrated upward, while the fluid could be retrieved at the opposite side of the cell via the high pressure sampling valve, avoiding any possible decrease of CO\textsubscript{2} pressure inside the Au-cell. The aqueous samples were filtered using an internal 10 µm Ti frit and a 0.22 µm Millipore filter, prior to acidification using ultrapure concentrated (68%) nitric acid. The 31-day experiment (run Ol-150) described in Saldi et al. (2013) is here renamed Ol-150-1d. Three additional experiments were conducted under the same conditions, using deionized water equilibrated with the atmosphere, and were stopped after time durations of 7, 17 and 19 days (runs Ol-150-1a-c) to recover solid samples before, at, and after the change from oxic to anoxic conditions revealed by the sudden release of Fe into the fluid (see below).

A supplementary batch experiment was conducted under low O\textsubscript{2} fugacity conditions (Ol-150-2) to further assess the influence of redox conditions on the mineralogical nature of the interfacial layers. Before assembling the reaction cell, distilled deionized (DDI) water was purged with a constant flow of methane at 1 bar pressure for about 60 minutes. The fluid was then immediately poured in the Au-cell containing 2 g of solid material and the system was sealed with a Ti-head closure, while keeping the headspace of the cell pressurized with 1 bar of
CH$_4$ to maintain the system under low oxygen fugacity ($f_{O_2}$). Before injecting liquid CO$_2$ into the system, 20 ml of CH$_4$ at a pressure of 7 bars were added to the reaction cell, after having leak-tested the entire assembly (Au-cell + pressure vessel) overnight. Although this procedure could not make the aqueous medium completely anoxic (see discussion below), it was sufficient to decrease significantly the O$_2$(aq) concentration compared to the set of experiments conducted with a fluid equilibrated with the atmosphere. As a consequence, we will refer to those conditions as “micro-oxic”, to distinguish them from the oxic conditions of the other experiments. A comprehensive summary of the experiments conducted in this study is reported in Table 1.

Aqueous solution analyses, thermodynamic calculations and total carbon (TC) measurements

All collected fluid samples were analyzed for Mg, Fe and Si by Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectroscopy, ICP-OES (Varian 720 ES). All speciation and solubility calculations were executed using the geochemical code PHREEQC v. 2.17 (Parkhurst and Appelo 1999) using its LLNL thermodynamic database. Activity coefficients for dissolved species were calculated using the Debye–Hückel equation, whereas the fugacity coefficient for CO$_2$ was computed using the software ThermoSolver 1.0 (Barnes and Koretsky 2004; Barnes 2006). The aqueous Mg, Fe and Si concentrations served as input to PHREEQC for computing the in situ pH and saturation indices with respect to the solid phases of interest at the calculated CO$_2$ fugacity ($f_{CO_2} = 85$ bar).

Measurements of total inorganic carbon concentration were conducted on the powders retrieved after all the experiments. The total carbon content (TC %) was measured by catalytic oxidation at 900 °C using a non-dispersive infrared detector (TOC analyzer Shimadzu SSM)
The TC values were used to calculate the relative extents of carbonation [EC(%)] following Saldi et al. (2013), which express the percentages of initial olivine that were converted to magnesite during the course of the reaction. Apart from experiment Ol-150-1d, for which the TC value was reported by Saldi et al. (2013), the only powder containing measurable amounts of solid carbon was the one retrieved after the run Ol-150-2. For all characterizations, we neglected any possible formation of organic carbon by assuming that the measured TC is entirely representative of the precipitated magnesite crystals. The large uncertainty associated with the corresponding EC(%) results from the heterogeneous distribution of carbonate products within the reacted solid, which may form separate crystals and lamellar aggregates in combination with SiO$_2$(am).

**Electron microscopy and focused ion beam (FIB) milling**

Samples of reacted powders were mounted on adhesive carbon tabs, covered with a Au/Pd coating and analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Zeiss EVO MA10) to get an overview of the dissolved olivine grains and secondary phases at the µm-scale.

For further nanoscale characterization, ultrathin electron-transparent cross-sections were cut from selected locations on various samples using a focused ion beam (FIB) of type FEI Helios 600 Nanolab dual-beam (CP2M, Marseille, France) or a FEI Strata 235 dual-beam (LBNL, Berkeley, USA). The FIB Ga ion milling was carried out at an ion beam voltage of 30 kV and with beam currents from 3 nA to 10 pA for the final steps. Micrometer-thick sections were *in situ* lifted out using an Omniprobe 200 micromanipulator and transferred to a Cu half copper grid for final ion milling to electron transparency (final thickness of about 100 nm). Of note, this procedure maintains textural integrity, even in the case of loosely consolidated materials (Langford 2006; Drobne et al. 2007), and prevents shrinkage and deformation of
microscale to nanoscale pores, even in the case of highly sensitive materials (e.g., Smith et al. 2001; Thompson et al. 2006). Milling at relatively low Ga-ion currents at the final stages of sample preparation minimizes common artifacts like local gallium implantation, mixing of components, creation of vacancies or interstices, creation of amorphous layers, local compositional changes or redeposition of the sputtered material on the sample surface (Rubanov and Munroe 2004; Mayer et al. 2007).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations were performed on FIB foils using a JEOL 2100 (LaB6) TEM (200 kV) or a JEOL 3010 (300 kV). Electron dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectra were acquired to probe the chemical compositions, with a focused electron beam (1 nm). Selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were obtained to identify the observed mineral phases based on their electronic diffraction patterns.

**Scanning Transmission X-ray Microscopy (STXM)**

Scanning Transmission X-ray Microscopy (STXM) is a synchrotron-based spectromicroscopy technique which was used here to measure the redox of iron in the secondary phases. In addition to imaging at the 15-nm scale, this technique allows collecting X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra. XANES spectra were collected for the present study at the Fe-L\textsubscript{2,3} edge to provide information about iron valence. A spectral resolution of 0.15 eV was chosen for the key energy ranges, i.e., between 705 and 712 eV and between 718 and 725 eV. For our investigation, STXM measurements were done using the beamline 10ID-1 located at the Canadian Light Source (CLS, SM beamline - Kaznatcheev et al. 2007). This beamline uses soft X-rays (130-2500 eV) generated with an elliptically polarized undulator (EPU) inserted in the 2.9 GeV synchrotron storage ring (250-100 mA). The microscope chamber was evacuated to 100 mTorr after sample insertion and back-filled with He. Dwell time was one
millisecond per pixel. The absorption images of the stack were aligned using the automated image alignment routine of the aXis2000 software (ver2.1n - available at http://unicorn.mcmaster.ca/aXis2000.html), which was also used to extract XANES spectra from image stack measurements. Peaks positions and intensities were determined using the Athena software package (Ravel and Newville 2005). Quantification of the Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe ratio was obtained using calibrations established on standards of known compositions (Bourdelle et al. 2013; Le Guillou et al. 2015).

RESULTS

Fluid chemistry evolution as a function of O$_2$ levels:

a. starting under oxic conditions

Four experiments were carried out at $T$=150 °C and $f$CO$_2$=85 bar for different durations (7, 17, 19 and 31 days). The changes of Mg and Si concentrations in the fluid (Fig. 1, Table 2) during the first 6 days of reaction substantially reflect the slightly non-stoichiometric dissolution of olivine, the aqueous [Mg]/[Si] ratio being higher (>1.80) than the corresponding ratio in the solid (1.76). However, after this time interval, Mg concentration constantly decreased until the end of the 31-day experiment due to the nucleation and growth of magnesite. The EC(%) calculated from the measured TC content was equal to 15% for this experiment (Saldi et al. 2013), but we could not provide any estimate for the EC(%) of the three shorter duration runs because the TC levels in the reacted powders were below the detection limit. We observed an almost linear increase of Si concentration from day 1.7 to day 19, while Fe remains undetectable (Fig.1a-c). Experiment Ol-150-1d (Fig. 1d) shows that Fe dissolved only after 20 days, concomitantly with a sudden increase of Si concentration. Based on the cumulative aqueous Mg and Si concentrations,
the calculated dissolution rates normalized to the corresponding duration of the considered run are $3.4 \times 10^{-10}$; $1.68 \times 10^{-10}$; $1.63 \times 10^{-10}$; $1.89 \times 10^{-10}$ mol/cm²/min after 7; 17; 19 and 31 days, illustrating that olivine dissolution ultimately resumed after a relative decline of the dissolution rate. The similarities in fluid chemical data for the four experiments (O-l50a-d) pinpoint specific reaction mechanisms controlling the evolution of the mineral/water interface.

**b. starting under micro-oxic conditions**

Results of the 1-month long experiment conducted at initially low-O₂ concentration (O1-150-2, Fig. 2, Table 3) are very different from the run performed under initial oxic conditions (O1-150-1a-d). Fe was rapidly dissolved and became measurable after only one hour of experiment ($T=150$ °C, $f\text{CO}_2=85$ bar), while Si concentration exceeded the amorphous silica saturation after 2 days (keeping the aqueous solution supersaturated for the rest of the experiment) and Mg concentration reached a maximum at the first day and then monotonically decreased until the end of the experiment. Olivine dissolution thus appears to proceed faster than in the initially oxic conditions (O1-150-1a-d). The rapid decrease of the aqueous $[\text{Mg}]/[\text{Si}]$ ratio to values lower than unity indicates a fast dissolution rate and the early formation of Mg-bearing secondary phases. TC values further support this evidence, showing on average an EC(%) of $57\pm17\%$, which is about 4 times higher than the corresponding carbonation yield obtained in the initially oxic experiment (EC(%) of O1-150-1d was 15%). Based on the averaged EC% value, the percentage of olivine consumed during this run was estimated to reach 61 % against the 19% obtained for the corresponding experiment carried out under initially oxic conditions (cf. Saldi et al. 2013).
The measured aqueous [Fe]/[Si] ratio was largely lower than the olivine stoichiometric value (Fe/Si = 0.24), as shown in Table 3. These values are consistent with the formation of both Fe-oxides and (Mg, Fe)-carbonate solid solutions.

Mineralogical, chemical and structural characterization of the olivine/fluid interface by SEM, TEM and STXM:

a. Evolution of the interface in initially oxic conditions experiments (Ol-150-1)

SEM observations allowed documenting the olivine surface evolution as a function of the reaction progress. A heterogeneous and non-uniform layer can be observed at the surface of olivine grains after 7, 17 and 19 days, before the sharp release of Fe (Fig. 3a-c). EDX spot analyses reveal a few percent increase of Fe on the coated portions of the olivine grains (typically 8-13 % by weight) compared to the uncoated areas, indicating the incipient precipitation of Fe-rich phases during the first stage of dissolution (see below). In contrast, after 31 days of experiment, olivine grains appear extensively covered by a thick coating of carbonates and a layer characterized by the presence of SiO$_2$(am) spherules (Fig. 3d). It has to be noted that the occurrence of magnesite crystals, with a diameter of up to 10 µm, was also observed in the solids reacted for 17 and 19 days (runs Ol-150-1b and Ol-150-1c, respectively). These crystals were mostly found not associated with the reacted olivine surface (not shown), but there is occasional evidence for their formation in contact with the olivine grains (Fig. 3b).

TEM observations show that the mineral interface of the 7-day run is characterized by a layer of variable thickness (0.5 to 3 µm), with a heterogeneous structure (Fig.4). It is mostly composed of aggregates of acicular hematite particles and amorphous silica, as indicated by the indexing of SAED patterns (Fig. 4b) and by EDX spot analyses (spectra of Fig. 4c and 4d). In
general, the contact between the pristine olivine surface and the Fe-Si-rich layer is sharp, without any evidence of concentration gradients between the unexposed mineral and the altered layer. Along some sections, particularly in etch pits (Fig.4c), the aggregates appear loosely attached to the olivine surface, while the same material may form a thinner and denser structure in close contact with the mineral in some other areas (Fig. 4d). However, no significant compositional variations are observed among the different analyzed areas of the interfacial layer (EDX spectra of Fig.4c and 4d).

The interface of the 17-day run (Ol-150-1b) exhibits an evident change in the properties of the materials compared to the first 7 days of reaction. This is concomitant with (and likely related to) what we refer to as the ‘oxic/anoxic transition’, which occurred just before the sharp release of Fe to the aqueous solution (Fig.1d). In addition to an inner layer (~ 50 nm-thick) comparable to the one observed after 7 days, i.e., in contact with the olivine surface and mostly consisting of an evolved assemblage of SiO$_2$(am) and Fe-oxides (Fig. 5a, spot 2), TEM reveals the presence of an outer layer primarily composed of Fe-rich phyllosilicates (Fig. 5a, spot 1). Both indexing of SAED patterns (Fig. 5b) and measurements of the inter-reticular spacing on HRTEM images (Fig. 5d) indicate that the structure of this Fe-phyllosilicate is compatible with that of cronstedtite, a mixed Fe(II)-Fe(III) phyllosilicate, whose general formula can be written as (cf. Hybler et al. 2000): $(\text{Fe}^{2+}_{3-X}\text{Fe}^{3+}_X)[\text{Si}_{2-X}\text{Fe}^{3+_X}\text{O}_5](\text{OH})_4$, where $0<X<1$. The corresponding EDX analyses performed on single crystal aggregates are also consistent with this formula, showing a Fe/Si ratio varying between 1.4 and 3.3. In agreement with this suggestion, STXM analyses show that the Fe-phyllosilicate is composed of both ferrous and ferric iron (Fig. 6). Nonetheless, the Fe$^{3+}/\sum$Fe ratio determined from the peak area ratios at the Fe$^{3+}$ L$_{2,3}$-edges of the XANES spectra (L$_2$-L$_3$ average value), based on established calibrations (cf. Bourdelle et al. 2013; Le...
Guillou et al. 2015), leads to values of 83±3 % for the Fe-phyllosilicate. This Fe$^{3+}$ content is too high with respect to the cronstedtite general formula reported in different publications (e.g., Geiger et al. 1983; Smrčok et al. 1994; Hybler et al. 2000) but is consistent with the formation of Fe$^{3+}$-rich serpentine minerals of variable Fe$^{3+}$ content (cf. Evans 2008; Andreani et al. 2013).

Taken together, the results from both TEM and STXM investigations point out that the identity of this Fe-phyllosilicate assemblage may be neither unique, nor unequivocal. Arguably, the assemblage of Fe-phyllosilicates observed in this sample may represent a precursor of a mineral with a univocal identity, for which cronstedtite is a likely candidate. For these reasons we will refer to this Fe-phyllosilicate as a ‘cronstedtite-like’ phase.

As in the 7-day run, the interfacial layer may exhibit either a relatively compact structure, with irregularly stacked and aggregated particles (Fig. 5a), or a loose and porous structure containing individual aggregates characterized by concentric structures of 50-100 nm in diameter (Fig. 5c).

Additional mineralogical changes occur in the final stage of the carbonation reaction (between 17 and 31 days), as illustrated by observations on samples from the experiment Ol-150-1d. Olivine grains are uniformly covered by agglomerates of magnesite crystals of variable thickness (0.5-2.5 μm) with the interposition of an altered layer (Fig. 7a), mostly composed of amorphous silica (Fig. 7b) with remnants of hematite crystals. No clear trace of residual Fe-silicate crystals could be observed.

**b. Fe-oxides formed under initially micro-oxic conditions (Ol-150-2)**

The thick carbonate layer formed on top of the olivine surface after 31 days of reaction and the extensive consumption of the olivine powder by dissolution under anoxic conditions (Fig. 8) prevented the extraction of a FIB foil from the interface between the carbonate and the pristine...
olivine. SEM investigations do not bring any particular evidence of the formation of a thick Fe-
Si-rich altered layer at the olivine/water interface (Fig. 8a-b), but only show the presence of
amorphous silica particles, mostly in contact with the uncovered olivine surface (not shown), as
well as SiO$_2$-free micrometric aggregates of Fe-oxides among the carbonated olivine grains.
These aggregates are found as several single grains, separately from the olivine surface and from
any other reaction product, and are composed of a compact mass of sub-micrometric, ellipsoidal
particles, overlain by micrometric Fe-oxide crystals displaying the typical octahedral habit of
magnetite (Fig. 9) (Cornell and Schwertmann 2003; Hu et al. 2010). The Fe-oxide particles
exhibit three main morphologies, as indicated by TEM analyses performed on FIB foils (Fig.
10). Small rounded particles, with a diameter between 20 and 90 nm, were identified as goethite
by indexing of SAED patterns and are found irregularly dispersed in the inner part of the
aggregate. These particles are in contact with vertically elongated crystals displaying a width of
0.2-0.4 $\mu$m and an average length of about 0.7 $\mu$m (Fig. 10), which were identified as
lepidocrocite according to the SAED pattern. These phases form the substratum of the bigger
sub-octahedral to octahedral crystals observed by SEM, which were identified as magnetite after
indexing of the corresponding SAED pattern (Fig10).

DISCUSSION

In agreement with the predictions of Sissmann et al. (2013) and Saldi et al. (2013), we
evidence here a change in the passivating effect of the interface layer resulting from the
evolution of the redox state of the system during the carbonation reaction. To account for their
macroscopic observations, both studies suggested a similar scenario for initially oxic conditions,
namely: (i) the early formation of a passivating Fe(III)-Si-rich phase/assemblage, progressively
consuming the aqueous \( \text{O}_2 \); (ii) the replacement of this material by a mixed Fe(II)-Fe(III) phase when the conditions become anoxic. Such replacement would be at the origin of the change of physical properties of the interfacial layer and the consequent increase of olivine dissolution. The present study reports the formation of a cronstedtite-like Fe(II)-Fe(III) phyllosilicate at the expense of the initial Fe–Si-rich protective coating, prior to the observed resumption of olivine dissolution, thus showing a substantial agreement between the experimental observations and the proposed reaction model.

The nm-scale characterizations of the alteration layer show that the initial oxygen level of the reacting fluid controls the nature of the alteration products and influences the subsequent evolution of the mineral/fluid interface, which ultimately affects the extent of the overall carbonation reactions.

In the next subsections we discuss the results of the analytical work, describe the elementary reactions involved in the formation of the observed mineral phases and provide some hints on the elementary mechanisms that control nature and properties of the Fe–Si-rich surface layers as a function of the dissolved \( \text{O}_2 \) concentration.

**Reaction sequence in the initially oxic scenario:**

Under initially oxic conditions, the mineralogical evolution of the Fe–Si-rich layer can be schematically summarized by a sequence of reactions characterizing the three different stages of olivine carbonation. In the first stage of the overall reaction, the \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) released by olivine dissolution is rapidly oxidized to \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) and precipitates as hematite (\( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \)) according to:

\[
\text{Mg}_{1.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{SiO}_4 + 4\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 1.8 \text{Mg}^{2+} + 0.2 \text{Fe}^{2+} + 4\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4^0
\]  

(1)

\[
4\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2(aq) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 8\text{H}^+ \]

(2)
During and following hematite formation, aqueous SiO$_2$ is adsorbed at the surface of hematite nanocrystals. This sorption reaction can be described by:

\[
\text{FeOH}{}^0 + \text{Si(OH)}{}^4 \rightarrow \text{FeH}_2\text{SiO}_3 + \text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} ,
\]
(3a)

\[
\text{FeOH}{}^0 + \text{Si(OH)}{}^4 \rightarrow \text{FeH}_3\text{SiO}_4^0 + \text{H}_2\text{O} ,
\]
(3b)

where $\text{FeOH}{}^0$ represents the hematite surface hydroxyl groups (cf. Dzombak and Morel 1990) and $\text{FeH}_2\text{SiO}_3^-$ and $\text{FeH}_3\text{SiO}_4^0$ denote the Fe-Si surface species forming by adsorption of silicic acid on hematite, with reaction (3b) likely dominating over (3a) with increasing Si concentrations (cf. Davis et al. 2002; Rusch et al. 2010). The strong Fe-Si interactions may have favored the nucleation and growth of SiO$_2$(am) associated with hematite, as observed by TEM (e.g., Fig. 4c-d).

This (hematite + SiO$_2$(am)) assemblage corresponds to the layer which exerted the observed inhibiting action on dissolution during the first 7 days of reaction. On the basis of the cumulative Mg and Si aqueous concentrations, ~1.0 mmol of olivine was dissolved after 7 days. If we take into account the stoichiometry of reactions (2) and (3) and consider that the initial O$_2$(aq) concentration is fixed by the equilibrium with the atmosphere ($[\text{O}_2(\text{aq})] = 0.26 \text{ mmol/l}$), nearly all O$_2$(aq) was consumed at the end of the experiment OI-150-1a, leading to an anoxic medium. At this stage we can calculate that approximately 0.045 mmol of hematite formed.

In the second stage of the process (7-17 days), the Fe–Si-rich layer underwent a mineralogical transformation, with the replacement of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and associated SiO$_2$ by Fe(II)-Fe(III)-phyllosilicates (Fig. 5), which are likely precursors of cronstedtite (see above). The formation of these Fe-silicates is driven by the stabilization of Fe$^{2+}$ in solution, which is the consequence of the ‘oxic-to-anoxic’ transition, and can be described by:

\[
\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{SiO}_2(\text{am}) + 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow (\text{Fe}^{2+}_2 \text{Fe}^{3+})[\text{SiFe}^{3+}_5\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4 + 4\text{H}^+ ,
\]
(4)
where the Fe-phyllosilicate in reaction (4) is represented by the formula of the Fe$^{3+}$-rich cronstedtite endmember, for sake of simplicity. The formation of this phase from the (hematite + SiO$_2$(am)) assemblage brings about the consumption of all the SiO$_2$(am) associated with the ferric-oxide. We also suggest that, via reaction (4), hematite is reduced by the catalytic action of the Fe$^{2+}$ ions released by olivine dissolution, which implies an electron transfer from adsorbed Fe(II) to structural Fe(III) of hematite (Williams and Scherer 2004). Such reaction mechanism drives most of the inter-conversion reactions between Fe-oxides (e.g., Jeon et al. 2003; Pedersen et al. 2005; Jones et al. 2009) and may explain the rapid formation of the observed Fe(II)-Fe(III)-phyllosilicate, which occurred within a period of 10 days. In addition, it is worth mentioning that reaction (4) implies that Fe$^{2+}$ is continuously released to the solution. Therefore, because olivine is the only supplier of Fe$^{2+}$, this reaction implicitly indicates that the ‘passivating effect’ of the coating is less pronounced than under lower temperature conditions, as previously acknowledged by Saldi et al. (2013) and Sissmann et al. (2013). Finally, although reaction (4) is intrinsically responsible for a decrease of the pH, one should keep in mind that in these experiments 1) the pH is mainly buffered by the applied CO$_2$ pressure; 2) the contribution of reaction (4) to pH is limited by the changes of pH determined by olivine dissolution and magnesite precipitation. As an example, if all the hematite was converted to cronstedtite between day 7 and 19, the corresponding flux of liberated protons would be approximately 4 times lower than that of consumed protons by olivine dissolution (estimated on Si concentrations) over the same time interval. Conversely, assuming that the decrease of Mg concentration is due to the formation of magnesite, the precipitation of this phase would be responsible for a release of H$^+$ on the same order of magnitude as the H$^+$ uptake by olivine dissolution. Therefore, both Fe-phyllosilicate
formation at the expense of hematite and magnesite precipitation may have contributed to the resulting apparent decrease in pH observed between day 7 and 19 (see Table 2).

At the end of the third stage of the reaction, the alteration layer was composed of an assemblage of Fe-bearing magnesite and Fe-free amorphous silica coating embedding residual Fe-oxide and possibly Fe-silicate particles (Fig.7). This later evolution of the interfacial layer resulted from the saturation state the fluid attained with respect to the newly-formed phases as a consequence of the physico-chemical transformations that occurred at the olivine/water interface after ‘the oxic-to-anoxic’ transition. The rapid increase of Fe concentration after 20 days of reaction promoted the formation of (Mg, Fe)-carbonates, which can be considered as the main container of Fe after the attainment of anoxic conditions.

The formation of the final solid assemblage has to be related to the disappearance of the Fe-phyllosilicate during the last stage of the carbonation reaction (19-31 days), because this phase was no longer observed in the interface layer at the end of the experiments Ol-150-1d.

Two non-exclusive hypotheses could explain the removal of the cronstedtite-like phases from the olivine surface. The first resides in the metastable nature of these phases, which would dissolve in favor of the final assemblage as Mg, Si and Fe concentrations increase with the reaction progress (cf. Saldi et al. 2013). Interestingly, several modelling studies indicated that ideal cronstedtite, which may represent the ultimate phase for the observed precursor, is not expected to be stable at $T > 100-120 \, ^{\circ}C$, irrespective of the H$_2$ fugacity and SiO$_2$ activity (e.g., Zolotov 2014 and references cited therein). However, the actual solubility of cronstedtite has never been experimentally measured at the conditions relevant to our study, so that such possibility has to be considered with caution, taking also into account the large uncertainties
inherent to commonly used thermodynamic databases with respect to complex phyllosilicates (cf. Goddéris et al. 2006; Maher et al. 2009).

Alternatively, we suggest that the Fe-phyllosilicate was stable, and was gradually removed from the surface as it formed consuming the substrate from which it grew (i.e., the Fe–Si-rich interlayer in contact with the olivine surface). This second hypothesis is supported by the observed loss of adhesion of this phase with the olivine surface compared to the previous (hematite+SiO$_2$(am)) assemblage. The continuous rocking of the autoclave and the attrition among the mineral grains may have favored the mechanical removal of the Fe-phyllosilicate from the olivine surface, leading to its complete detachment.

To further explore the reliability of these two possible mechanisms, a tentative model of phase equilibria was calculated (Fig. 11), considering cronstedtite as one of the possible saturating phases, in spite of the inherent uncertainties relative to the exact solubility of complex phyllosilicates recalled above. The results illustrate that, depending on the actual oxygen fugacity reached during the course of the experiments, the aqueous solutions of our experiments could be either strongly supersaturated, at saturation or greatly undersaturated with respect to cronstedtite. These calculations support the formation of a cronstedtite-like phase and show that cronstedtite formation is in principle possible under the studied conditions. Whether or not this phase might form from a Fe$^{3+}$-rich silicate precursor (the identified cronstedtite-like phase) is not known. However, the model also suggests that such a reaction might depend on how the O$_2$ fugacity varied over the course of the overall reaction: if the drop of O$_2$(aq) activity is large and faster than the rate of cronstedtite formation, this phase might not even appear, leading at the same time to the dissolution of its “potential precursor”, the cronstedtite-like phase. The hematite-cronstedtite assemblage is definitely not stable at the conditions where cronstedtite is supposed to form but
the formation of one phase at the expense of the other is likely to be controlled by several factors, such as the O$_2$ fugacity, the solution pH and the amount of SiO$_2$ adsorbed onto hematite. Comparison between the predicted thermodynamic stability and the occurrence of the above mentioned mineral phases show that the discussed reactions are kinetically controlled by various parameters and may result into the appearance of mineral phases that are metastable with respect to the expected reaction products. As a consequence, the exact fate of these phases remains an open question. However, regardless of the specific mechanism (dissolution or physical detachment), the disappearance of the Fe-phylosilicate ultimately resulted in the re-exposure of large portions of the olivine surface to dissolution, which is reflected by the observed increase of Fe and Si release rates to solution and the high extent of carbonations recorded after 20 days of reaction.

**Reaction sequence in the initially micro-oxic scenario:**

In this section, the expected mineralogical evolution during the course of experiment Ol-150-2 is modeled based on the final mineralogical composition of the secondary phases identified after one month of reaction. This work aims at evaluating the extent to which the modeled sequence of secondary minerals could account for the macroscopic observations reported above (e.g., sustained carbonation extent, little to no passivation by any Fe(III)/Si-rich layer and early solubilization of Fe). The thermodynamic model assumes that secondary phases precipitate at equilibrium with the fluid. Moreover, lepidocrocite is not considered because its solubility at high temperature is unknown. The occurrence of lepidocrocite in association with goethite is not uncommon and is most likely favored by slow rates of Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation (Schwertmann and Taylor 1972; Cornell and Schwertmann 2003), which are specific to low-$f$/O$_2$ systems (cf. Morgan and Lahav 2007). However, because the precipitation of lepidocrocite...
affects the redox conditions in the same manner as goethite, neglecting its formation does not impact the modeling of the global redox evolution of the system and the estimate of the amount of Fe-oxides formed.

First, the initial $O_{2(aq)}$ concentration needs to be estimated. Because of the slow $CH_4$ reactivity (cf. Savage et al. 1998; Watanabe et al. 2004; Saldi et al. 2013), it is unlikely that the resulting carbonation rates are representative of the equilibrium between $O_2$ and methane in the aqueous medium, which would establish very reducing conditions. The observation of ferric oxides and magnetite among the reaction products suggest instead that a non-negligible amount of $O_{2(aq)}$ was present in the system at the beginning of the reaction. The non-stoichiometric nature of the dissolution reaction can be used to estimate the initial $O_{2(aq)}$ concentration in this experiment and provide a reaction pathway model that describes the sequence of the secondary phases we identified at the end of the run. The initial aqueous $[Mg]/[Si]$ ratio was close to the olivine stoichiometry (1.88 vs. 1.76 theoretically), while the initial aqueous $[Fe]/[Mg]$ ratio (0.03) significantly diverged from its theoretical value (0.14). Because the solution was not supersaturated with respect to any Fe(II)-bearing phases, such as siderite, we suggest that the non-stoichiometric $[Fe]/[Mg]$ aqueous ratio can be ascribed to the oxidation of Fe(II) and the subsequent precipitation of insoluble Fe(III)-oxides (i.e., goethite), which therefore sets a lower bound for the initial $O_{2(aq)}$ concentration. The aqueous Fe concentration in the first aliquot being ~5 times lower than expected, our speciation calculations indicate that the initial $O_{2(aq)}$ concentration was at least of 22 μM (i.e., ~10 times lower than in the oxic scenario). This value was selected for the following reaction pathway calculation. The results of these thermodynamic calculations are plotted in Fig. 12. In reasonable agreement with the macroscopic observations, the following features can be seen: (1) the early formation of goethite consuming the dissolved
O₂, (2) a spike of Fe\(_{\text{aq}}\) concentration after O₂\(_{\text{aq}}\) depletion (goethite is no longer stable); (3) the appearance of magnetite at low extent of dissolution, which forms at the expense of goethite as the conditions become more reducing, and (4) the precipitation of siderite, which represents the analog of ferroan magnesite and whose nucleation is a prerequisite to the growth of magnesite, as shown by the crystal zonations documented by Saldi et al. (2013) and the high extents of carbonation reached in this experiment.

It is important to retain that this model does not necessarily describe the mineralogical evolution of the olivine/water interface, because the observed sequence of Fe-oxides was found in mineral aggregates not associated with the olivine surface, while ferroan magnesite mainly appears to be in contact with the olivine grains.

The results of the simulation indicate that the mass of goethite produced during the reaction is on the order of 15.4 µmol. If stable, such an amount could cover the olivine surface with a uniform layer of at least 10 nm, which could potentially inhibit the dissolution reaction. However, the comparison with the TEM observations shows the limited coverage of the initial surface by Fe-oxides because they occur as isolated aggregates, and further supports the evidence that little to no inhibition by reaction products occurred during the carbonation reaction.

**Elementary processes controlling the nature and properties of Fe-Si-rich surface layers**

As seen above, the concentration levels of dissolved oxygen exert a fundamental role in determining the formation and properties of Fe–Si-rich layers and their control on the fluid chemistry evolution and the extent of the overall reaction. Under oxic conditions, the initial Fe concentration was controlled by the fast precipitation of hematite whereas in the micro-oxic scenario was likely controlled by the slower oxidation rates of Fe\(^{2+}\), which limited the
precipitation of Fe(III)-oxides (goethite and lepidocrocite) as well as their interaction with the olivine surface and their potential clogging ability with respect to any porous Si-rich layer. In the experiment Ol-150-2, because of the low O$_2$(aq) levels, the formation of these Fe(III)-oxides was probably not fast enough to occur in the vicinity of the olivine/water interface but took place in the bulk aqueous solution. This process led to the formation of Fe-oxides aggregates non-associated with the olivine surface such that we could not observe any clear evidence of passivation of the olivine surface. The protecting properties of the Fe–Si-rich surface layers were instead observed in the presence of the (hematite+SiO$_2$(am)) assemblage, whose stability was linked to the permanence of oxic conditions (experiments Ol-150-a,b). Overall, TEM observations and modeling of the experimental results show that both the protective coating (hematite+SiO$_2$(am)) and the Fe(III)-oxides became unstable when the O$_2$ in the system was extensively consumed. In facts, the transition to anoxic conditions brought about the formation of a ‘cronstedtite-like’ phase and magnetite at the expenses of the passivating layer and the Fe(III)-oxides, respectively.

The preferred formation of magnetite vs. the Fe$^{3+}$-phyllosilicate could result from the fact that, under low O$_2$(aq) concentrations, the saturation with respect to magnetite was reached before equilibrium with respect to the Fe$^{3+}$-rich phyllosilicate observed to form in the oxic scenario. However, other factors are likely to kinetically control the formation of either phase. In particular the hematite-amorphous silica association seems to constitute an ideal precursor for the formation of the Fe$^{3+}$-rich phyllosilicate, whereas the transformation of hematite into magnetite might be inhibited by the presence of chemically adsorbed Si (Carlson and Schwertmann 1981; Doelsch et al. 2000; Pokrovski et al. 2003). This is also in agreement with the evidence that
increasing SiO$_2$ activities generally favor the formation of Fe-rich serpentine minerals instead of magnetite (Frost and Beard 2007; Evans 2008).

These considerations show how the different mechanisms and rates of formation of Fe-oxides between oxic and micro-oxic to anoxic conditions result in a significantly different impact on the reactivity of the olivine surface, by favoring or not the formation of protective Fe–Si-rich surface layers. As reported by previous studies, coatings of Fe(III)-free SiO$_2$(am) are typically non-passivating (Daval et al. 2009, 2010; King et al. 2011; Saldi et al. 2013), and, as such, they likely did not hinder the formation of the secondary ferroan magnesite crystals observed to precipitate on top of the SiO$_2$(am)-layer the during the late stage of the carbonation reaction.

**IMPLICATIONS**

The described trends of fast dissolution rate / dramatic slowdown / resumption may represent a general pathway in coupled dissolution-precipitation processes (e.g., Frugier et al. 2008; Michelin et al. 2013). The sensitivity of geochemical systems to redox conditions, as demonstrated by the nature of the secondary phases, raises new key criteria for the optimization of the carbonation process of ultramafic rocks. Our results indicate, for example, that extent and location of the carbonation process might be controlled by adjusting the flow of injection of fresh oxygenated fluids, because desirable O$_2$ levels can be achieved as a function of the dissolution rates of Fe-bearing silicates, such as olivine, and the mineral surface available for the reaction in the reservoir. We further suggest that, at an industrial scale, the impact of other gases co-injected together with CO$_2$ should be considered in greater details (cf. Knauss et al. 2005), as some of them have the potential to buffer the redox system and ultimately control the rate of olivine carbonation.
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**Table 1.** Summary of the experimental conditions of the batch experiments conducted in this study at 150 °C and at a CO$_2$ partial pressures of 100 bar using the San Carlos olivine. SA stands for the initial BET surface area of olivine. The CO$_2$ fugacity ($f_{CO_2}$) was calculated using the software ThermoSolver 1.0 (Barnes and Koretsky, 2004). Run Ol-150-1d is the experiment Ol-150 of Saldi et al. (2013) renamed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Powder mass [g]</th>
<th>Starting volume [ml]</th>
<th>SA/water [cm$^2$/ml]</th>
<th>$f_{CO_2}$ [bar]</th>
<th>Duration [days]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oxic conditions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1a</td>
<td>1.998</td>
<td>178.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1b</td>
<td>1.992</td>
<td>179.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1c</td>
<td>1.998</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d</td>
<td>1.987</td>
<td>171.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Micro-oxic conditions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2</td>
<td>2.011</td>
<td>177.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Summary of the results obtained from the series of batch experiment (Ol-150-1a to d) performed at \( T = 150 \, ^\circ\text{C} \) and \( p\text{CO}_2 = 100 \, \text{bar} \) on SC olivine within an aqueous medium initially equilibrated with the atmosphere. Aqueous fluid composition, recalculated \( \text{pH} \) (\( \text{pH}_c \), see text) and the saturation states of the fluid \( (\Omega=\text{IAP}/K_{sp}) \) with respect to magnesite \( [\Omega(\text{Mgs})] \) and amorphous silica \( [\Omega(\text{SiO}_2\text{am})] \) are reported. Note that ‘IAP’ designates the ion activity product for the species of interest in the calculation of the saturation state.

Run # Ol-150-1a. 7days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>elapsed time</th>
<th>( \text{pH}_m ) (25°C)</th>
<th>( \text{pH}_c ) (150°C)</th>
<th>Mg [mM]</th>
<th>Si [mM]</th>
<th>Fe [μM]</th>
<th>Mg/Si</th>
<th>( \Omega(\text{Mgs}) )</th>
<th>( \Omega(\text{SiO}_2\text{am}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1a-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1a-2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1a-3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1a-4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1a-5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1a-6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1a-7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1a-8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run # Ol-150-1b. 17days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>elapsed time</th>
<th>( \text{pH}_m ) (25°C)</th>
<th>( \text{pH}_c ) (150°C)</th>
<th>Mg [mM]</th>
<th>Si [mM]</th>
<th>Fe [μM]</th>
<th>Mg/Si</th>
<th>( \Omega(\text{Mgs}) )</th>
<th>( \Omega(\text{SiO}_2\text{am}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1b-1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1b-2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1b-3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1b-4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1b-5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1b-6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1b-7</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1b-8</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1b-9</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1b-10</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

< DL = below the detection limit; nd = not determined.
Run # Ol-150-1c. 19 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>elapsed time (days)</th>
<th>$pH_{m}$ (25°C)</th>
<th>$pH_{c}$ (150°C)</th>
<th>Mg [mM]</th>
<th>Si [mM]</th>
<th>Fe [μM]</th>
<th>Mg/Si Ω(Mgs)</th>
<th>Ω(SiO$_2$(am))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1c-1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1c-2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1c-3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1c-4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>6.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1c-5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1c-6</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>6.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1c-7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1c-8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1c-9</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1c-10</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1c-11</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run # Ol-150-1d. 31 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>elapsed time (days)</th>
<th>$pH_{m}$ (25°C)</th>
<th>$pH_{c}$ (150°C)</th>
<th>Mg [mM]</th>
<th>Si [mM]</th>
<th>Fe [μM]</th>
<th>Mg/Si Ω(Mgs)</th>
<th>Ω(SiO$_2$(am))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>6.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>6.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>7.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>8.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>7.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-10</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-11</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-12</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-13</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>14.52</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-1d-14</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>12.74</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

< DL = below the detection limit; nd = not determined.
Table 3. Summary of the results obtained from the batch experiment conducted at $T=150$ °C and $p\text{CO}_2 =100$ bar on SC olivine. under initially anoxic conditions (run # Ol-150-2). In addition to saturation states of the fluid ($\Omega=\text{IAP}/K_{sp}$) with respect to magnesite ($\Omega(Mgs)$) and amorphous silica ($\Omega(\text{SiO}_2\text{(am)})$), the table includes the values of the saturation ratio of the fluid with respect to siderite ($\Omega(\text{Sid})$). Note that ‘IAP’ designates the ion activity product for the species of interest in the calculation of the saturation state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>elapsed time [days]</th>
<th>pH (25°C)</th>
<th>pH (150°C)</th>
<th>Mg [mM]</th>
<th>Si [mM]</th>
<th>Fe [μM]</th>
<th>Mg/Si</th>
<th>Fe/Si</th>
<th>$\Omega(Mgs)$</th>
<th>$\Omega(\text{Sid})$</th>
<th>$\Omega(\text{SiO}_2\text{(am)})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>25.20</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>5.7E-02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>2.5E-03</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.0E-03</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>3.3E-04</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>14.84</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.9E-04</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>15.78</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.1E-04</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>3.5E-04</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>14.84</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3.1E-04</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>14.69</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>3.3E-04</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-10</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>3.4E-04</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-12</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.5E-04</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-13</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.9E-04</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-14</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>4.4E-04</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol-150-2-15</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>13.55</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>4.0E-04</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. Evolution of Mg, Si and Fe concentrations as a function of time during the experiments Ol-150-1a-d, conducted at $T=150 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ and $p\text{CO}_2=100 \, \text{bar}$, under initially oxic conditions. Each of these runs is a replicate of the same experimental conditions but stopped at different reaction times: a) 7 days; b) 17 days; c) 19 days; d) 31 days. The dashed lines drawn on the plots correspond to the saturation condition with respect to magnesite (Mgs) and amorphous silica (SiO$_2$(am)). The calculated magnesite saturation condition is attained at pH=4.6.

Figure 2. Changes of Mg, Si and Fe concentrations during the batch olivine carbonation experiment conducted at $T=150 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ and $p\text{CO}_2=100 \, \text{bar}$, under initially micro-oxic conditions. The dashed lines drawn on the plot correspond to the saturation conditions (SI=0) with respect to magnesite (Mgs) and amorphous silica (SiO$_2$(am)). The calculated magnesite saturation condition is attained at pH=4.6.

Figure 3. SEM microphotographs of olivine grain surfaces after the carbonation experiments conducted under initially oxic conditions (expt. Ol-150-1a-d) at $T=150 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ and $p\text{CO}_2=100\, \text{bar}$. (a) olivine grain covered by a Fe–Si-rich altered layer after 7 days of reaction (Ol-150-1a); (b) olivine grain reacted for a duration of 17 days and largely covered by the Fe–Si-rich coating (Ol-150-1b); c) detail of dissolution etch pits at 19 days of reaction showing the granular structure of the Fe–Si-rich coating (Ol-150-1c); d) carbonated olivine grain presenting a thick layer of magnesite aggregates above an amorphous silica-rich interlayer (Ol-150-1d; see also Saldi et al., 2013). Note the presence of a small magnesite crystal in contact with the olivine grain surface in (b).

Figure 4. TEM analyses of a FIB-foil extracted from an olivine grain reacted for 7 days at $T=150 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ and $p\text{CO}_2=100 \, \text{bar}$. a) TEM image showing the appearance of the FIB-foil cut across a 10 $\mu\text{m}$ wide etch pit; the thickness of the Fe–Si-rich coating is not uniform but correlated with the morphology of the reacted surface. b) Close-up view of the area highlighted in the right corner of
(a), showing a detail of the Fe-Si-rich coating with the corresponding SAED pattern indicating that the Fe-rich phase observed after 7 days of reaction is hematite. c) Detail of the sharp olivine/Fe–Si-rich coating highlighted in (a), showing the porous structure of the hematite needle-like aggregates. d) Enlarged view of the contact between olivine and the alteration layer indicated by the corresponding box in (a): the Fe-Si-rich altered layer is thinner than in the other sectors but is denser and exhibits a finer texture. Single spot EDX spectra 1 and 2 from the corresponding regions in (c) and (d) indicate the close association between hematite and amorphous silica.

Figure 5. TEM analyses of the FIB foil obtained from an olivine grain reacted for 17 days at $T=150 \, ^\circ\mathrm{C}$ and $p_{\mathrm{CO}_2} = 100$ bar. a) TEM image showing the appearance of the interfacial Fe–Si-rich layer, primarily composed of a Fe-phyllosilicate and a thin inner layer consisting of a SiO$_2$(am)+Fe-oxide assemblage. The EDX spectra relative to the Fe-phyllosilicate (1), the inner layer (2) and the unreacted olivine (3) are provided. The peak at 8 keV on the spectra corresponds to the copper of the TEM grid. b) SAED pattern of the interfacial Fe-phyllosilicate with the results of indexing and the corresponding lattice planes of the identified phase: cronstedtite. c) The particles of the neo-formed Fe-silicate locally consist of individual aggregates displaying a concentric onion-like structure. d) Example of HRTEM image used for the measurements of the inter-reticular distances of the studied Fe-phyllosilicate.

Figure 6. Determination of the Fe(II)/Fe(III) relative proportion in the Fe–Si-rich coating at 17 days of reaction by analysis of the XANES spectra obtained with scanning transmission X-ray spectroscopy (STXM). The quantification of the Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe ratio was accomplished by measuring the area ratios of the L$_2$ and L$_3$ peaks of the XANES spectra (see text for details). a) Image of one of the two FIB-sections studied with a detail of the analyzed Fe–Si-rich coating highlighted in yellow color; b) example of two XANES spectra representative of the unreacted olivine (blue) and the Fe-phyllosilicate formed after 17 days (green), which is assimilated to a cronstedtite precursor. The two vertical dotted lines represent the L$_3$-edge energies fixed to quantify the Fe$^{3+}$ concentration (cf. Bourdelle et al., 2013).
Figure 7. TEM images of the FIB foil extracted from a carbonated olivine grain after 31 days of reaction at $T=150 \, ^\circ C$ and $pCO_2= 100$ bar and under initially oxic conditions. a) FIB section showing a Mg-carbonate assemblage covering an olivine grain with the interposition of the evolved Fe–Si-rich layer. b) Detail of the olivine-carbonate interface showing the occurrence of an essentially Fe-free amorphous silica layer (see EDX spectrum and corresponding SAED pattern at the margin of the picture) containing sparsely disseminated residual hematite and/or Fe-silicate crystals.

Figure 8. SEM images of carbonation products after the reaction initiated under micro-oxic conditions (expt. Ol-150-2). a) Example of extensively dissolved/carbonated olivine crystals; b) detail of an olivine carbonated grain with EDX spectrum showing the presence of Fe in the precipitated magnesite.

Figure 9. Example of a Fe-oxide aggregate of particles of different generation formed during the experiment started at low O$_2$ fugacity (Ol-150-2). These masses of Fe-oxides are primarily composed of goethite, another Fe-oxide phase, identified as lepidocrocite, and magnetite crystals exhibiting their typical octahedral habit (see also Fig.10). These aggregates are not found in association with olivine grain surfaces.

Figure 10. TEM image of a Fe-oxide aggregate showing a sequence of three different generations of particles with different size and morphology, along with the corresponding SAED patterns and an EDX spectrum. The indexing of the SAED patterns here shown allowed to determine the identity of the smaller particles in the bottom part of the picture (goethite) and the big particle on top of the aggregate (magnetite), whereas the elongated particles in between were identified as lepidocrocite crystals.

Figure 11. Activity diagram for the system FeO-SiO$_2$-H$_2$O-CO$_2$ at the CO$_2$ fugacity of our experiments. Here are reported the solubility curves for magnetite (Mag), cronstedtite (Cdt), siderite (Sd), greenalite (Gre), minnesotaite (or Fe-talc) (Mns) and SiO$_2$(am), together with the
datapoints corresponding to the samples of the experiments Ol-150-1d and Ol-150-2 where we could measure Fe in solution. The black solubility lines of magnetite and cronstedtite are relative to an $O_{2(aq)}$ activity of $10^{-5.6}$, which is 100 times lower than the fluid initially equilibrated with the atmosphere. This value was chosen as representative of the transition towards anoxic conditions, where the observed Fe-phyllosilicate might start to form. Two additional cronstedtite solubility lines (blue dashed lines) are reported for increased anoxic conditions ($a(O_{2(aq)})=10^{-25}$ and $10^{-35}$), which may have been reached during the course of the experiments, as revealed by the thermodynamic simulations reported in Saldi et al. (2013). This plot illustrates that the fluids of our experiments can be strongly supersaturated, at saturation or greatly undersaturated with respect to cronstedtite, depending on the assumed $O_2$ fugacity for the system.

**Figure 12.** Thermodynamic model describing the fate of Fe during the experiment conducted under micro-oxic conditions. The simulation was conducted with PHREEQC assuming that the initial $O_{2(aq)}$ concentration was fixed by the equilibrium with goethite for the Fe concentration measured at the beginning of the experiment. Fe(II) and $O_2$ concentrations and the mass of Fe-phases precipitated are plotted as a function of the dissolved amount of SC-olivine on the left and right y-axis, respectively. Because of the low $O_{2(aq)}$ content, the mineralogical changes involving goethite, magnetite and siderite occur in the early stage of the reaction and do not affect appreciably the olivine dissolution.
Figure 1. Evolution of Mg, Si and Fe concentrations as a function of time during the experiments OI-150-1a-d, conducted at $T=150 \, ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ and $p\mathrm{CO}_2=100 \, \text{bar}$, under initially oxic conditions. Each of these runs is a replicate of the same experimental conditions but stopped at different reaction times: a) 7 days; b) 17 days; c) 19 days; d) 31 days. The dashed lines drawn on the plots correspond to the saturation condition with respect to magnesite ($\text{Mgs}$) and amorphous silica ($\text{SiO}_2(\text{am})$). The calculated magnesite saturation condition is attained at $\text{pH}=4.6$. 
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Figure 2. Changes of Mg, Si, and Fe concentrations during the batch olivine carbonation experiment conducted at $T=150$ °C and $p_{\text{CO}_2}=100$ bar, under initially micro-oxic conditions. The dashed lines drawn on the plot correspond to the saturation conditions (SI=0) with respect to magnesite (Mgs) and amorphous silica ($\text{SiO}_2(\text{am})$). The calculated magnesite saturation condition is attained at pH=4.6.
Figure 3. SEM microphotographs of olivine grain surfaces after the carbonation experiments conducted under initially oxic conditions (expt. Ol-150-1a-d) at $T=150$ °C and $p\text{CO}_2=100\text{bar}$. (a) olivine grain covered by a Fe–Si-rich altered layer after 7 days of reaction (Ol-150-1a); (b) olivine grain reacted for a duration of 17 days and largely covered by the Fe–Si-rich coating (Ol-150-1b); c) detail of dissolution etch pits at 19 days of reaction showing the granular structure of the Fe–Si-rich coating (Ol-150-1c); d) carbonated olivine grain presenting a thick layer of magnesite aggregates above an amorphous silica-rich interlayer (Ol-150-1d; see also Saldi et al., 2013). Note the presence of a small magnesite crystal in contact with the olivine grain surface in (b).
Figure 4. TEM analyses of a FIB-foil extracted from an olivine grain reacted for 7 days at $T=150$ °C and $p\text{CO}_2= 100$ bar. a) TEM image showing the appearance of the FIB-foil cut across a 10 µm wide etch pit; the thickness of the Fe–Si-rich coating is not uniform but correlated with the morphology of the reacted surface. b) Close-up view of the area highlighted in the right corner of (a), showing a detail of the Fe-Si-rich coating with the corresponding SAED pattern indicating that the Fe-rich phase observed after 7 days of reaction is hematite. c) Detail of the sharp olivine/Fe–Si-rich coating highlighted in (a), showing the porous structure of the hematite needle-like aggregates. d) Enlarged view of the contact between olivine and the alteration layer indicated by the corresponding box in (a): the Fe-Si-rich altered layer is thinner than in the other sectors but is denser and exhibits a finer texture. Single spot EDX spectra 1 and 2 from the corresponding regions in (c) and (d) indicate the close association between hematite and amorphous silica.
Figure 5. TEM analyses of the FIB foil obtained from an olivine grain reacted for 17 days at $T=150^\circ$C and $p_{CO_2}= 100$ bar. a) TEM image showing the appearance of the interfacial Fe–Si-rich layer, primarily composed of a Fe-phyllosilicate and a thin inner layer consisting of a SiO$_2$(am)+Fe-oxide assemblage. The EDX spectra relative to the Fe-phyllosilicate (1), the inner layer (2) and the unreacted olivine (3) are provided. The peak at 8 keV on the spectra corresponds to the copper of the TEM grid. b) SAED pattern of the interfacial Fe-phyllosilicate with the results of indexing and the corresponding lattice planes of the identified phase: cronstedtite. c) The particles of the neo-formed Fe-silicate locally consist of individual aggregates displaying a concentric onion-like structure. d) Example of HRTEM image used for the measurements of the inter-reticular distances of the studied Fe-phyllosilicate.
Figure 6. Determination of the Fe(II)/Fe(III) relative proportion in the Fe–Si-rich coating at 17 days of reaction by analysis of the XANES spectra obtained with scanning transmission X-ray spectroscopy (STXM). The quantification of the Fe$^{3+}$/ΣFe ratio was accomplished by measuring the area ratios of the L$_2$ and L$_3$ peaks of the XANES spectra (see text for details). a) Image of one of the two FIB-sections studied with a detail of the analyzed Fe–Si-rich coating highlighted in yellow color; b) example of two XANES spectra representative of the unreacted olivine (blue) and the Fe-phylllosilicate formed after 17 days (green), which is assimilated to a cronstedtite precursor. The two vertical dotted lines represent the L$_3$-edge energies fixed to quantify the Fe$^{3+}$ concentration (cf. Bourdelle et al., 2013).
Figure 7. TEM images of the FIB foil extracted from a carbonated olivine grain after 31 days of reaction at $T=150 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ and $p\text{CO}_2=100$ bar and under initially oxic conditions. a) FIB section showing a Mg-carbonate assemblage covering an olivine grain with the interposition of the evolved Fe–Si-rich layer. b) Detail of the olivine-carbonate interface showing the occurrence of an essentially Fe-free amorphous silica layer (see EDX spectrum and corresponding SAED pattern at the margin of the picture) containing sparsely disseminated residual hematite and/or Fe-silicate crystals.
Figure 8. SEM images of carbonation products after the reaction initiated under micro-oxic conditions (expt. OI-150-2). a) Example of extensively dissolved/carbonated olivine crystals; b) detail of an olivine carbonated grain with EDX spectrum showing the presence of Fe in the precipitated magnesite.
Figure 9. Example of a Fe-oxide aggregate of particles of different generation formed during the experiment started at low O$_2$ fugacity (O1-150-2). These masses of Fe-oxides are primarily composed of goethite, another Fe-oxide phase, identified as lepidocrocite, and magnetite crystals exhibiting their typical octahedral habit (see also Fig.10). These aggregates are not found in association with olivine grain surfaces.
Figure 10. TEM image of a Fe-oxide aggregate showing a sequence of three different generations of particles with different size and morphology, along with the corresponding SAED patterns and an EDX spectrum. The indexing of the SAED patterns here shown allowed to determine the identity of the smaller particles in the bottom part of the picture (goethite) and the big particle on top of the aggregate (magnetite), whereas the elongated particles in between were identified as lepidocrocite crystals.
Figure 11. Activity diagram for the system FeO-SiO$_2$-H$_2$O-CO$_2$ at the CO$_2$ fugacity of our experiments. Here are reported the solubility curves for magnetite (Mag), cronstedtite (Cdt), siderite (Sd), greenalite (Gre), minnesotaite (or Fe-talc) (Mns) and SiO$_2$(am), together with the datapoints corresponding to the samples of the experiments Ol-150-1d and Ol-150-2 where we could measure Fe in solution. The black solubility lines of magnetite and cronstedtite are relative to an O$_2$(aq) activity of 10$^{-5.6}$, which is 100 times lower than the fluid initially equilibrated with the atmosphere. This value was chosen as representative of the transition towards anoxic conditions, where the observed Fe-phyllosilicate might start to form. Two additional cronstedtite solubility lines (blue dashed lines) are reported for increased anoxic conditions ($a$O$_2$(aq))=10$^{-25}$ and 10$^{-35}$), which may have been reached during the course of the experiments, as revealed by the thermodynamic simulations reported in Saldi et al. (2013). This plot illustrates that the fluids of our experiments can be strongly supersaturated, at saturation or greatly undersaturated with respect to cronstedtite, depending on the assumed O$_2$ fugacity for the system.
Figure 12. Thermodynamic model describing the fate of Fe during the experiment conducted under micro-oxic conditions. The simulation was conducted with PHREEQC assuming that the initial O$_2$(aq) concentration was fixed by the equilibrium with goethite for the Fe concentration measured at the beginning of the experiment. Fe(II) and O$_2$ concentrations and the mass of Fe-phases precipitated are plotted as a function of the dissolved amount of SC-olivine on the left and right y-axis, respectively. Because of the low O$_2$(aq) content, the mineralogical changes involving goethite, magnetite and siderite occur in the early stage of the reaction and do not affect appreciably the olivine dissolution.