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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

A unique symplectic intergrowth of magnetite + rutile is hosted by ilmenite in the gabbro of the Xinjie Fe-Ti oxide-bearing, mafic-ultramafic layered intrusion. The crystallization of rutile in the symplectite is probably formed by oxidation of ilmenite-hematite solid solution (Ilm-Hem\textsubscript{ss}). Segregation of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} in the Ilm-Hem\textsubscript{ss} at the rutile-host interfaces triggered the crystallization of magnetite along the margin of the growing rutile, and shaped the vermicular morphology of the rutile. The crystallization of magnetite can also release Ti\textsuperscript{4+} in local places to enhance the progressive growth and subsequent nucleation of the rutile in the symplectite. The growth of the symplectite ceased when the temperature decreased to the miscibility...
gap of Ilm-Hemss and Fe\textsuperscript{3+} began to exsolve to form hematite lamellae in the ilmenite.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Both hematite (Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) and ilmenite (FeTiO\textsubscript{3}) are rhombohedral in habit and can form a complete solid solution series (Ilm-Hemss) above \(\sim 650^\circ\text{C}\) (Lindsley 1991). A miscibility gap would separate a hematite-rich phase from an ilmenite-rich phase on cooling. Therefore, hematite lamellae that have thickness ranging from tens of micrometers to nanoscale are commonly observed in the ilmenite of many Fe-Ti oxide-bearing layered intrusions (McEnroe et al. 2002; Robinson et al. 2002; Kasama et al. 2009). Assemblage of magnetite + rutile is supposed to be more stable than or at least thermodynamically equal to assemblage of ilmenite + hematite (Lindsley 1991). However, the assemblage of magnetite + rutile is seldom observed in natural rocks, especially in plutons. It is also difficult to obtain satisfied experimental results on the equilibrium of magnetite + rutile and ilmenite + hematite assemblages due to the slow reaction rates of the Ilm-Hemss system (Frost 1991; Lindsley 1991).

Symplectites of magnetite/ilmenite + pyroxene, clinopyroxene/olivine + plagioclase (± hornblende ± quartz) and quartz + plagioclase are well documented, and several mechanisms have been proposed to explain their genesis (Moseley 1984; Hippertt and Valarelli 1998; Field 2008; Dégi et al. 2010; Elardo et al. 2012). In this study, we observed a symplectite of magnetite + rutile in close intergrowth with an
assemblage of ilmenite host + hematite lamellae in the gabbro of the Xinjie layered intrusion (SW China). This special intergrowth is ideal to investigate the factors that control the solid-transformation of Ilm-Hemss. The unusual occurrence of magnetite + rutile symplectite may also have an important bearing on the variation of physicochemical conditions during the evolution of a layered intrusion.

SAMPLING AND METHODS

The Xinjie intrusion is one of the Fe-Ti oxide-bearing, mafic-ultramafic layered intrusions in the Panxi region in SW China. These intrusions are part of the Emeishan Large Igneous Province, which is believed formed from a mantle plume at ~260 Ma (Chung and Jahn 1995). The Xinjie intrusion comprises, from the base upwards, a marginal zone and three Units (I, II and III) in terms of mineral assemblage (Wang et al. 2008; Dong et al. 2013). The section in which the ilmenite hosts a symplectite of magnetite + rutile (Fig. 1a) occurs at the bottom of Fe-Ti oxide-rich layers in Unit III.

The back-scattered electron (BSE) images and compositions of minerals were obtained on polished thin sections by using a JEOL-JXA8230 electron microprobe analyzer (EMPA). The Micro X-ray diffraction analyses were conducted on a Rigaku D/max Rapis IIR micro XRD system at 40 kV and 250 mA (Cu Kα) and 20–60 minutes X-ray exposure. The X-ray beam is ~100 μm in diameter and was focused on the selected spots on the thin sections. Raman spectra were obtained on a RM2000 laser Raman spectrometer by employing 514.5 nm line of Ar ion laser.
The symplectite of magnetite + rutile is myrmekite-like, and occurs within or in the margin of ilmenite grains (Fig. 1a). The symplectite is composed of vermicular rutile (Min-I) and interstitial magnetite (Min-II) (Fig. 1b). Min-I is rimmed by Min-II and the whole symplectite is serrated in the boundary with ilmenite. Relics of ilmenite occasionally occur within or along the boundary of the symplectite (Fig. 1c).

Min-I exhibits Raman bands indicative of rutile at ca. 238, 445 and 611 cm$^{-1}$ (Glass and Fries 2008), whereas Min-II exhibits those of magnetite at ca. 310, 546 and 671 cm$^{-1}$ (Shebanova and Lazor 2003) (Figs. 2a and 2b). The symplectite exhibits intensive peaks of rutile and magnetite on the micro-XRD patterns (Fig. 3a). The cell parameter of rutile is $a_0 = 4.5904(4)$ Å and $c_0 = 2.9569(5)$ Å, and magnetite has $a_0 = 8.3947(8)$ Å. Min-I (rutile) contains ~2.6 wt% FeO and Min-II (magnetite) contains ~3.9 wt% TiO$_2$ (Table 1).

The host ilmenite of the symplectite contains 6–11 wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Table 1). The host ilmenite exhibits nanoscale lamellae in the high contrast BSE images (Fig. 1c), which cannot be analyzed using EPMA. However, in addition to three Raman bands indicative of ilmenite at ca. 226, 332 and 682 cm$^{-1}$ (Wang et al 2004), the host ilmenite also shows three Raman bands that characterize hematite at ca. 430, 605 and 1370 cm$^{-1}$ (Wang et al. 2004) (Fig. 2c). The host ilmenite also exhibits additional XRD reflections of hematite at ca. 2.70, 1.69, 1.59, 1.31, 1.19, 1.16, 1.14, 1.08 and 1.04 Å in diffraction patterns (Fig. 3b). The cell parameter of the host ilmenite is $a_0 = 5.0882(2)$ Å and $c_0 = 14.0927(7)$ Å, and the hematite has $a_0 = 5.04(1)$ Å and $c_0 = 13.77(2)$ Å.
Å, nearly identical to their stoichiometric values (Blake et al. 1966; Wechsler and Prewitt 1984). Therefore, we consider that the nanoscale lamellae in the host ilmenite are composed of hematite. The large standard deviation of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ contents of the host ilmenite is thus attributed to the uneven distribution of hematite lamellae in the host ilmenite.

DISCUSSION

The Gibbs free energy change ($\Delta G_v$) would provide a driving force to trigger the solid phase-transformation of meta-stable minerals, whereas energy barriers would be generated by the interface energy change ($\Delta G_s$) and the interface strain energy change ($\Delta G_\xi$) (Trivedi 1970). In the case that the assemblage of magnetite + rutile is thermodynamically equal to the assemblage of hematite + ilmenite, the transformation from Ilm-Hem$_{ss}$ to each of the assemblages would have the same $\Delta G_v$ value, but may have quite different energy barrier ($\Delta G_s + \Delta G_\xi$). As both hematite and ilmenite belong to the trigonal system, they tend to form a coherent interface in solid-transformation (Robinson et al. 2002), whereas rutile belongs to the tetragonal system and magnetite belongs to the cubic system, they tend to form incoherent interface in solid-transformation. In theory, a coherent interface has energy barrier ($\Delta G_s + \Delta G_\xi$) lower than an incoherent interface during solid-transformation (Jiang and Lu 2008). Therefore, the assemblage of magnetite + rutile is seldom observed as the solid-transformation product of Ilm-Hem$_{ss}$, in contrast to the assemblage of hematite + ilmenite.

The host ilmenite of magnetite + rutile symplectite in the Xinjie intrusion has no
reactive or replacive textures with adjacent minerals, ruling out the possibility that
the symplectite of magnetite + rutile formed by reaction of the host ilmenite with
interstitial fluids or adjacent minerals. This indicates that the symplectite probably
transformed from a precursor. Note that the host ilmenite is in close coexistence with
titanomagnetite (Fig. 1a), the magnetite + rutile symplectite is, thus, unlikely derived
from a pseudobrookite-ferropseudobrookite solid solution, which cannot coexist
with magnetite-ulvöspinel solid solution in TiO$_2$-FeO-Fe$_2$O$_3$ system (Mullen and
McCallum 2013). The bulk composition of the magnetite + rutile symplectite has ~22
wt% FeO, ~37 wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$ and ~40 wt% TiO$_2$, and ΣFe/Ti ratio of 1.53 (Table 1). The
bulk composition of the symplectite has much higher Fe$^{3+}$ and ΣFe/Ti ratio than the
ilmenite hosting hematite lamellae. We consider that the original Ilm-Hem$_{ss}$ may have
experienced sub-solidus oxidation to produce a more Fe-rich Ilm-Hem$_{ss}$, as shown by
the reaction:

$$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{5Fe}_2\text{TiO}_3 \ (\text{Ti-rich Ilm-Hem}_ {ss}) + \ O_2 = \ 3\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\cdot\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_3 \ (\text{Ti-poor Ilm-Hem}_ {ss}) + 4\text{TiO}_2.$$  

The reaction has been proved by both natural and experimental observation
(Lindsay 1963; Southwick 1968). The oxidation process also leads to heterogeneous
nucleation of rutile within the ilmenite, which is consistent with the appearance of
rutile exsolution in the ilmenite in the Xinjie intrusion (Fig. 1d).

We consider that the nucleation of rutile probably plays a key role in the formation
of the symplectite of magnetite + rutile. The early-exsolved, fine rutile crystals served
as crystal seeds (Fig. 4a), and may keep crystallizing to larger crystals on cooling
(Cacciuto et al. 2004). The growth of rutile would consume Ti$^{4+}$ and generate excess
Fe$^{2+}$ along the Ilm-Hem$_{ss}$ boundary. The rutile exsolution also results in elastic strain
relaxation and additional dislocation along the boundary, so that the Fe\textsuperscript{3+} in the Ilm-Hem\textsubscript{ss} would have a greater chemical potential to diffuse toward the boundary (Hondros and Seah 1977). With the segregation of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} and accumulation of Fe\textsuperscript{2+}, magnetite tends to nucleate and grow up along the rutile-host ilmenite interface (Fig. 4b). Note that the magnetite crystallization around the rutile is observed along the boundary of the symplectite (Figs. 1b and 1c). The parts where the rutile is rimmed by magnetite precipitation would stop growing, whereas the other parts free from magnetite would continue to grow. This may explain the vermicular morphology of the rutile (Fig. 4c). Progressive segregation of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} enhanced the growth of magnetite, which, in turn, consumed Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and released excess Ti\textsuperscript{4+} in the Ilm-Hem\textsubscript{ss}. Magnetite can also act as a "barrier" and hinder the diffusion of Ti\textsuperscript{4+} toward the growing rutile, so that Ti\textsuperscript{4+} would accumulate to form a new generation of rutile along the magnetite-host interface (Fig. 4d), triggering a new growth cycle of the symplectite.

Progressive consumption of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} would weaken the driving force for the cyclic growth of the symplectite so that the late-stage symplectite is smaller and coexists with relics of primary ilmenite (Fig. 1b). The cyclic growth of the symplectite would terminate at temperature of < \sim 650°C and Fe\textsuperscript{3+} would exsolve to form hematite lamellae in host ilmenite.

**IMPLICATIONS**

Ilm-Hem\textsubscript{ss} is sensitive to the changes in temperature, oxygen fugacity and chemical composition of the original melts, so that even subtle component variance in the Ilm-Hem\textsubscript{ss} can be well reflected by the features of its exsolution/decomposition
products. We ascribed the formation of magnetite + rutile symplectite to sub-solidus oxidation of Ilm-Hemss at relatively oxidizing conditions, which is controlled by the composition and proportion of interstitial fluids (Buddington and Lindsley 1964). The layers of Units I and II in the Xinjie intrusion mainly contain Ti-rich ilmenite intergrown with rutile and sphene, whereas the layers of Unit III contain both titanomagnetite and ilmenite with magnetite/hematite lamellae. It seems that the Fe-Ti oxides in Unit III crystallized at higher \( f_O^2 \) than those in Unit I and II. The elevated \( f_O^2 \) may also be related to an increase in the proportion of interstitial fluids. Therefore, the ilmenite hosting the magnetite-rutile symplectite may serve as a typomorphic mineral to partition petrographic layers formed under different \( f_O^2 \).
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIGURE 1. BSE images of symplectite-bearing ilmenite in the Xinjie intrusion. (a) Irregular symplectite (Sym) in host ilmenite (Ilm). (b) Symplectite composed of vermicular Min-I (dark grey, rutile) and interstitial Min-II (white, magnetite). (c) Ilmenite relics in the symplectite and ultrafine hematite (Hem) lamellae in host ilmenite. (d) Ilmenite exsolves irregular rutile (Rt).

FIGURE 2. Raman spectra of Min-I (Rutile) and Min-II (Magnetite) in the symplectite (see Fig. 1b), and the ilmenite host with hematite (Hem) exsolution (see Fig. 1c).

FIGURE 3. XRD patterns adopted in-situ on the symplectite of magnetite (Mgt) + rutile (Rt) and the host ilmenite with hematite (Hem) lamellae. The test area is shown by black circles.

FIGURE 4. Schematic diagram illustrating the formation process of the magnetite (mgt) + rutile (rt) symplectite. (a) Exsolution of fine-grained rutile. (b) A close-up of (a), showing the crystallization of magnetite at the rutile-host ilmenite interface. (c) The random growth of rutile with coarsening of adjacent magnetite. (d) Nucleation of the “new-generation” rutile and onset of a new symplectite growth cycle.
TABLE 1. EMPA of the symplectite and the host mineral (in wt%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element (wt%)</th>
<th>Min-I (rutile)</th>
<th>Min-II (magnetite)</th>
<th>Mass-balance calculation a</th>
<th>Host ilmenite (Ilm-Hem ss precursor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average (n=6)</td>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>Average (n=7)</td>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average (n=3)</td>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average (n=8)</td>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO b</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>34.74</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃ b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61.36</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>97.12</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.81</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>100.15</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% of image area c (n=3)

44.0 2.5 56.0 2.5

Normalized wt% (n=3)

39.2 2.2 60.8 2.2

ΣFe/Ti 1.53 1.14

Notes: "a", the mass-balance calculation of the symplectite using the measured compositions of Min-I and Min-II in relative proportions determined by Model analysis of their areas in the BSE images (listed below the composition data); “b”, redistribution of ΣFeO between Fe₂O₃ and FeO is on the basis of charge balance and stoichiometry of rutile, magnetite and ilmenite respectively; “c”, the image area percents of Min-I and Min-II in the symplectite were taken to be the same as volume percents; “d”, ΣFe/Ti = (Fe²⁺ + Fe³⁺)/Ti⁴⁺.