5/20

# 1 Revision 1

2	The crystal structures of $Mg_2Fe_2C_4O_{13}$ , with tetrahedrally coordinated carbon, and $Fe_{13}O_{19}$ ,
3	synthesized at deep mantle conditions.
4	
5	Authors: Marco Merlini <sup>1</sup> , Michael Hanfland <sup>2</sup> , Ashkan Salamat <sup>3</sup> , Sylvain Petitgirard <sup>4</sup> , Harald
6	Müller <sup>2</sup>
7	Affiliations:
8	<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Botticelli 23, 20133
9	Milano (Italy).
10	<sup>2</sup> ESRF - The European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 71, avenue des Martyrs, 38000 Grenoble,
11	France
12	<sup>3</sup> Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
13	<sup>4</sup> Bayerisches Geoinstitut, Universität Bayreuth, 95440, Germany.
14	Corresponding author:
15	Marco Merlini
16	Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra,
17	Università degli Studi di Milano,
18	via Botticelli, 23
19	I-20133 Milano (Italy)
20	Tel. +39 02 50315622
21	E-mail: marco.merlini@unimi.it

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am-2015-5369

### 22 Abstract

Within the Earth's rich mineral diversity, and specifically in the lower mantle, magnesite, MgCO<sub>3</sub>, 23 is considered the most important carbonate. It is understood that the presence of iron can 24 significantly modify the phase stability of carbonates, and at lower mantle conditions complex red-25 ox reactions can occur. A significant challenge has been to develop methods to determine these 26 ultra-deep redox equilibria as well as knowledge of candidate minerals for carbon storage in order 27 to assess the Earth's deep carbon cycle. However, until now, the exact structural description of the 28 phases involved and quantitative chemical reaction descriptions have remained elusive. We 29 simulated the redox decomposition of magnesium-siderite at pressures and temperatures 30 corresponding to the top of the Earth's D" layer (135 GPa and 2650 K). It transforms into new 31 phases, with unexpected stoichiometry. We report their crystal structure, based on single-crystal 32 synchrotron radiation diffraction on a multi-grain sample, using a charge-flipping algorithm. 33 Mg<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>) is monoclinic, a = 9.822(3), b = 3.9023(13), c = 13.154(5) Å,  $\beta = 108.02(3)^{\circ}$ , V = 34 479.4(3) Å<sup>3</sup> (at 135 GPa). It contains tetrahedrally coordinated carbon units, corner-shared in 35 truncated C<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub> chains. Half of the cations, are divalent, and half trivalent. The carbonate coexists 36 with a new iron oxide, Fe<sub>13</sub>O<sub>19</sub>, monoclinic, a = 19.233(2), b = 2.5820(13), c = 9.550(11) Å,  $\beta =$ 37 118.39(3)°, V = 417.2(5) Å<sup>3</sup> (at 135 GPa). It has a stoichiometry between hematite, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and 38 magnetite, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The formation of these unquenchable phases indicates, indirectly, the formation 39 of reduced-carbon species, possibly diamond. These structures suggest the ideas that the mineralogy 40 of the lower mantle and D" region may be more complex than previously estimated. This is 41 especially significant concerning accessory phases of fundamental geochemical significance and 42 their role in ultra-deep iron-carbon redox coupling processes, as well as the iron-oxygen system, 43 which certainly play an important role in the lower mantle mineral phase equilibria. 44

# 45 Keywords

46 Carbonate, tetrahedrally coordinated carbon, iron oxide, high pressure, single crystal diffraction

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am-2015-5369

## 47 Introduction

Carbonates are important minerals in the Earth's mantle, as revealed by diamond inclusion studies 48 (Berg 1986) and high-pressure experiments (Rohrbach and Schmidt 2011). At the Earth's crust-49 atmosphere-hydrosphere interface, calcium-rich carbonates are the main carbon repository phases. 50 Magnesite,  $MgCO_3$ , is considered an important candidate for the main carbonates in the lower 51 52 mantle, and it remains stable in the calcite-type structure up to 80 GPa and several thousand Kelvin. 53 Above these conditions, it transforms to a high-pressure polymorph (Isshiki et al. 2004; Boulard et 54 al. 2011), with a predicted complex structure (Oganov et al. 2008, 2013) based on tetrahedrally coordinated carbon (Arapan et al. 2007). These features have been eventually confirmed by X-ray 55 powder diffraction patterns experiments at 82 GPa and 2350 K and spectroscopic analysis on 56 57 quenched materials (Boulard et al. 2011), even if no structure determination was achieved. The presence of Fe can significantly modify the phase stability of carbonates (Rohrbach and Schmidt 58 2011, Boulard et al. 2011,2012). The end-member siderite,  $FeCO_3$ , in particular, decomposes into 59 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetite and CO-fluid at low pressures and high temperatures (Tao et al. 2013). Above a 60 few GPa of pressure it is stable up to the melting line, but at much higher pressures and 61 temperatures a disproportionation reaction is observed. The discovery of these new phases with 62 unexpected stoichiometry, e.g. Fe<sub>4</sub>(CO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (Boulard et al. 2012), suggests that the equilibria of 63 carbonates cannot be fully understood only in terms of the very well-known low-pressure phases. 64 Crucially, the  $Fe_4(CO_4)_3$  formula indicates that Fe should be entirely trivalent, a feature unknown in 65 66 the crystal chemistry of the common low-pressure carbonates. Studying the physical and chemical behavior of iron-carbonates in the Earth's mantle is therefore fundamental to our understanding of 67 68 the geochemistry of carbon. This has been elegantly demonstrated (Rohrbach and Schmidt 2011) using red-ox reactions to explain diamond stability and carbonatitic magmas. In considering the 69 high-pressure disproportionation of pure siderite into a mixture of Fe<sub>4</sub>(CO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and diamond, 70 71 the behavior of carbonates with stoichiometry intermediate between magnesite and siderite is still unknown. This is concerning especially when trying to build a sensible model of the lower mantle 72

and is a fundamental issue that must be clarified e.g. magnesite in the lower mantle could shift towards the siderite component, especially in the D'' layer at the base of the lower mantle, where enrichment of Fe may occur, because of reaction with outer-core material (Knittle and Jeanloz 1991; Otsuka and Karato 2012). However, pure siderite in the mantle is unlikely. Here, we studied the stability of Mg-siderite samples at D'' layer conditions. We applied a cutting-edge synchrotron X-ray, single-crystal diffraction technique (Merlini and Hanfland 2013) to monitor structural changes at high pressure and high temperature.

### 80 Materials and methods

Natural siderite samples taken from Puits de Villaret (La Mure, France) were used for the 81 experiment. Elemental analysis confirmed a Mg-siderite composition, with trace amounts of Ca and 82 Mn. The crystal chemistry formula is  $Fe_{0.70}Mg_{0.25}Ca_{0.015}(CO_3)$ , simplified into 83  $Fe_{0.7}Mg_{0.3}(CO_3)$  throughout the manuscript. The Fe content in the sample permitted the 84 investigation of a mixed Mg-Fe sample and assured enough Fe for laser radiation absorption at 85  $\sim$ 1.25 eV, essential for homogeneous single-crystal high-temperature annealing (Salamat et al. 86 2014). High-pressure experiments were performed at the ID09A beamline at the ESRF synchrotron 87 facility (Grenoble, France). A full description of the beamline setup has been reported (Merlini and 88 Hanfland 2013). We used a monochromatic beam ( $\lambda = 0.414314$  Å) with beam size  $15 \times 15 \mu m^2$  on 89 the sample. The detector used was a Mar555 flat panel. A crystal of  $15 \times 15 \times 5 \text{ }\mu\text{m}^3$  was placed in 90 91 the high-pressure chamber of a membrane-type DAC, equipped with Boheler-Almax-designed 92 diamonds, beveled with a lower section of 150 µm diameter. We used a rhenium gasket, preindented to a thickess of 30 um. The sample chamber was loaded with Ne, which acted as 93 pressure-transmitting medium, pressure marker (Fei et al. 2007) and insulating material for high-94 temperature heating. We also monitored the pressure by measuring the fluorescence line of a Sm-95 doped Sr-borate (Datchi et al., 1997). We performed single-crystal data collections by  $\omega$ -step scan 96 rotation, with  $1^{\circ}$  step, in the angular range  $-30/+30^{\circ}$ . Normally, we performed two data collections 97

at different  $\gamma$  angles (Busing and Levy, 1967):  $\gamma = 0$  and  $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ , in order to collect all the 98 diffraction peaks in the accessible reciprocal space, determined by the DAC opening cone. 99 Diffraction data were handled using the Crysalis software (Oxford Diffraction, 2008), with 100 instrumental geometrical parameters (sample-to-detector distance, detector tilt) previously refined 101 102 against a standard quartz single crystal. The integrated single-crystal data were processed with 103 Jana2006 and Superflip software (Petricek et al. 2014; Palatinus and Chapuis 2007). In-situ double-104 sided laser heating was performed at ID27 of the ESRF using two Nd-doped Y-Al-garnet lasers. 105 Temperature determination was carried out using pyrometry on the collected black body emission using Schwarzschild optics (Petitgirard et al. 2014). 106

#### 107 **Results: disproportionation of (Fe,Mg)CO<sub>3</sub>**

The single crystal of Mg-siderite was compressed up to 135 GPa at ambient temperature, and 108 109 structure refinements confirmed its low-pressure rhombohedral symmetry (see also Deposit Items). After a first attempt at annealing at 1800 K and 135 GPa, no structural changes were observed. A 110 second annealing was performed at a higher temperature of 2650 K. During the annealing the 111 pressure increased to 141 GPa. Immediately, we observed that the sample was no longer 112 transparent, indicating the occurrence of a transformation. This was confirmed by the presence of 113 new diffraction spots. The number of Bragg peaks is consistent with the presence of multiple single 114 115 crystal domains, belonging to one or more phases; we observed that these peaks were sharp and 116 with minimum overlap. Single-crystal diffraction data was collected with the conventional procedure for this technique, using a large X-ray beam to irradiate all of the single crystal. With the 117 help of a 3D reciprocal space visualizer, we manually selected the diffraction features belonging to 118 four single-crystal domains, and we were able to index all of them successfully. These resulted in 119 two C-centered monoclinic lattices: a=9.822(3), b=3.9023(13), c=13.154(5) Å,  $\beta=108.02(3)^{\circ}$ , 120 V=479.4(3) Å<sup>3</sup> and the other two in a different C-centred monoclinic cell: a=19.233(2), 121 b=2.5820(13), c=9.550(11) Å,  $\beta=118.39(3)^{\circ}, V=417.2(5)$  Å<sup>3</sup>. There is no apparent geometrical 122

relationship between the two different unit cells. This suggests that the original single crystal 123 decomposed with multiple nucleations of the new phases. Step scans during data collection were 124 performed, with the diamond anvil cell in two different orientations to permit the collection of 125 diffraction data from all of the available reciprocal space. The integrated Bragg peak intensities for 126 the two sets of crystals were merged to have enough data for carrying out structure solutions. A 127 128 successful structure solution was achieved for both phases, using a combined charge-flipping 129 algorithm approach and difference Fourier analysis. The charge-flipping algorithm (Oszlanyi and 130 Suto 2004) does not require a-priori knowledge of the chemical formula and symmetry, and we take great advantage of this feature, since the presence of the two different type of lattices suggests a 131 disproportionation reaction, with unknown chemical formula for the new phases, different from the 132 starting carbonate. Using this powerful method we were able to determine the structures of a novel 133 carbonate and an iron oxide. Furthermore, crystal structure refinements were achieved for both 134 carbonate (Table 1) and iron-oxide (Table 2) phases. Precise atomic position and site occupancy 135 determinations also provide the stoichiometry and fine structural details for these new phases (see 136 also Deposit Items). 137

#### 138 $Mg_2Fe_2(C_4O_{13})$

The nominal stoichiometry for the high pressure carbonate is  $Mg_2Fe_2(C_4O_{13})$ . The unconstrained 139 140 refinement of Mg and Fe occupancy indicates a composition richer in Fe than nominal 141 stoichiometry, and a lower Fe/Mg ratio than the original Mg-siderite. The structure is based on the presence of truncated tetrahedral chains C<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>, with Mg and Fe cations chemically bonded to the 142 oxygen atoms of these chains, with no other free anions (Fig. 1). The experimental C-O distances in 143 the carbon tetrahedra are in the range 1.25-1.35 Å, in strong agreement with predicted values 144 (Oganov et al. 2008, 2013). The two larger cation sites are present in the structure, featuring 145 distorted irregular [7] and [9]-fold coordination geometry. The refinement of the site occupancy 146 indicates a disordered distribution of Mg and Fe in both sites. For stoichiometric and vacancy-free 147

Mg<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>), all the Fe atoms are trivalent. For the actual Mg<sub>1.6</sub>Fe<sub>2.4</sub>(C<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>), a mixed 3+/2+ valence state must be assumed, with a high Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sup>2+</sup> ratio. Single-crystal diffraction data were also collected on decompression, and they provided volume measurements for the pressure interval of 141–40 GPa. These data are fitted with a 3<sup>rd</sup>-order Birch Murnagham equation of state (Deposit Items), and the extrapolation to ambient pressure indicates a bulk modulus K<sub>0</sub> = 173(8) GPa and density  $\rho_0 = 3.92(1)$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>. We observe that Mg<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>) is isostructural to synthetic Ba<sub>2</sub>Gd<sub>2</sub>(Si<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>) silicate (Wierzbicka-Wieczorek et al. 2010).

## 155 Fe<sub>13</sub>O<sub>19</sub>

The iron-oxide structure coexisting with the high-pressure  $Mg_2Fe_2(C_4O_{13})$  carbonate was 156 determined using a similar approach. The stoichiometry derived from the structure solution is 157 Fe<sub>13</sub>O<sub>19</sub>, therefore with one divalent and twelve trivalent iron atoms per formula units. Fe<sub>13</sub>O<sub>19</sub> 158 159 possesses a new structure type, and its topology is not reported in compounds with comparable stoichiometry. Crystal structure and site occupancy refinements indicate a full Fe occupancy in all 160 the cation sites. The  $A^{2+}B^{3+}{}_{12}O_{19}$  stoichiometry is also common in a class of semiconductor 161 materials (Pullar 2012). Fe<sub>13</sub>O<sub>19</sub> is intermediate in composition between hematite Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 162 magnetite  $Fe_3O_4$ , and close to the hematite end-member. The structure (Fig. 2) is almost a single 163 layer structure, with the *b* lattice parameter corresponding to the length of an O-O octahedral edge. 164 In the structure, there are two non-equivalent octahedral sites, formed by edge sharing one single 165 166 and one double octahedral chains. These chains are connected in the structure by five large Fecentered, [7] and [8] coordinated polyhedra. The low agreement factors from the structure 167 refinements (Table 2 and Deposit Items) and sensible ADP's (atomic displacement parameters) 168 values assess the accuracy of its determination. The interatomic distances in the octahedral sites 169 may indicate low spin state cf. reference literature data (Fig. 3) (Shannon 1976; Lavina et al. 2012; 170 Merlini et al. 2012). Decompression data provide experimental volumes for equation of state 171

determination, resulting in a bulk modulus  $K_0 = 202(4)$  GPa and ambient-pressure density  $\rho_0=5.78(2)$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, as derived with a third-order BM EoS fit (Deposit Items).

#### 174 Discussion

The single crystal of Mg-siderite underwent a disproportionation reaction at high pressures and 175 temperatures into an assemblage of Fe<sup>3+</sup>-bearing carbonate, Fe<sup>3+</sup> oxide, and a reduced phase. We 176 177 observed at least four different crystals, indicating a multiple nucleation of the newly formed phases. We could not recover the sample after decompression, since it broke down below 40 GPa, 178 and the very small fragments were dispersed as soon as the diamond anvil cell was opened and Ne, 179 gas, flowed out. From the decompression data these newly observed phases appear to be metastable 180 and, as yet, unquenchable. One possible explanation is that during the pressure release, the low 181 electronic spin Fe transforms back into a high-spin state, resulting in a large volume change and 182 consequent rupture on the interface of the grain boundary. Diamond formation should have been 183 observed, similarly to TEM analysis on samples recovered in lower-pressure experiments (Boulard 184 et al. 2011, 2012). Starting from the measured Mg-siderite composition, we can balance the 185 disproportionation reaction fixing the starting carbonate and pure  $Fe_{13}O_{19}$  formulas : 186

187 93 
$$Fe_{0.7}Mg_{0.3}CO_3 = 20 Mg_{1.395}Fe_{2.605}(C_4O_{13}) + Fe_{13}O_{19} + 13 C$$

It is noted that the computed Fe/Mg ratio in high-pressure carbonate is in close agreement with site 188 occupancy refined from the X-ray single-crystal data (Deposit Items). The experimental conditions 189 and the annealing temperature are comparable to the pressures of the Earth's D'' layer and match 190 191 the lower estimations of the geotherm at these pressures (Anderson 1982). The instability of the 192 rhombohedral (Mg,Fe)CO<sub>3</sub> carbonate, is therefore confirmed for lowermost mantle conditions. The structural and chemical behavior of carbonate minerals appear to be very complex, being especially 193 dependent on the Fe content in the (Mg,Fe)CO<sub>3</sub> solid solution. Pure magnesite very likely 194 undergoes a polymorphic isochemical transition, structure based on polymerized CO<sub>4</sub> group (Isshiki 195

et al. 2004; Oganov et al. 2008, 2013; Arapan et al. 2007). Our experimental data indicate new stoichiometry for mixed (Mg,Fe) carbonates decomposition products, with trivalent iron in a highpressure carbonate phase. This feature requires additional anionic groups in the structure, and in  $Mg_2Fe_2(C_4O_{13})$  this is achieved with the truncation of polymeric chains, resulting in one additional apical oxygen available for chemical bonds with Mg and Fe cations. This feature is an intermediate to the observed  $Fe_4(CO_4)_3$  stoichiometry in the pure iron carbonate system (Boulard et al. 2012).

## 202 Implications

Our observations strongly support a complex crystal chemistry of the carbonates at extreme 203 conditions. The experimentally determined crystallographic features, such as bond distances and C-204 205 O-C bond angle (Table 1 and Fig. 4) fully agree with computational studies (Oganov et al. 2008, 2013) which point out a limited flexibility of polymerized tetrahedral  $CO_4$  units, yet with a very rich 206 207 polymorphism. Furthermore, the presence of trivalent iron suggests that other compositions could be stabilized, in particular aluminium carbonates. Aluminium is the sixth most abundant element in 208 the Earth's mantle (Anderson 1989). As yet, no anhydrous aluminium carbonates are known among 209 mineral species under crustal conditions, however, at higher pressures, crystal chemistry can 210 drastically change (Santoro et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2014). Iron carbonates undergo red-ox 211 reactions also at ambient pressure, but the iron phase normally formed is magnetite or its high 212 pressure form (Boulard et al. 2011, 2012). The discovery of  $Fe_{13}O_{19}$  in this experiment indicates 213 214 that even the Fe-O system is considerably more complex than currently known. This is further 215 confirmed with the recent reported discovery of a new iron oxide  $Fe_4O_5$  (Lavina et al. 2011; Woodland et al. 2012; Guignard and Crichton 2014) whose composition is between magnetite 216 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and wustite, FeO. It is then not surprisingly that at Mbar pressures other stoichiometries can 217 also be stabilized. Although our experimental work reported here does not provide any information 218 about the physical properties of these novel systems, the single-layer  $Fe_{13}O_{19}$  structure, based on a 219 pseudo-hexagonal iron network, may be related to unusual magnetic and electronic behavior. The 220

observation of  $Fe_{13}O_{19}$  may also indicate an instability of magnetite above the 100 GPa, perhaps 221 with a similar mechanism as observed at much lower pressures (Woodland et al. 2012). Moreover, 222 red-ox conditions are pressure-governed, and the results indicate a possible coexistence of diamond 223 and reduced carbon, with carbonates and high  $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}$ -bearing phases at Earth's core/mantle 224 interface conditions. We finally observe that magnesite-rich carbonates are stabilized in chemical 225 226 environments such as basalt subducting slabs. If carbonates in these rocks are stable down to the 227 base of lower mantle, they very likely interact with iron-rich materials, and mixed-Fe/Mg carbonates may form, as well as significant elemental carbon as a result of iron-carbon red-ox 228 coupling reactions. Diamonds may contribute to carbon recycling in upwelling plumes, differently 229 to the denser carbonates and oxides (Fig. 5) that could sink to the base of lower mantle and 230 231 participate further in deeper red-ox processes.

## 232 Acknowledgments

We acknowledge ESRF for provision of beamtime (experiment HS4720). MM acknowledgessupports from the Deep Carbon Observatory (DCO).

## 235 **References:**

- Anderson, D.L. (1989) Theory of the Earth. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Boston, MA.
- Anderson, O.L. (1982) The Earth's core and the phase diagram of iron. Phil Trans R Soc Lond,
  A306, 21–35.
- Arapan, S., De Almeida, J.S., and Ahuia, R. (2007) Formation of sp(3) hybridized bonds and
   stability of CaCO<sub>3</sub> at very high pressure. Physical Review Letters, 98, 268501.
- Berg, G.W. (1986) Evidence for carbonate in the mantle. Nature, 324, 50-51.
- 242 Boulard, E., Gloter, A., Corgne, A., Antonangeli, D., Auzende, A.L., Perrillat, J.P., Guyot, F., and
- 243 Fiquet, G. (2011) New host for carbon in the deep Earth. Proceedings of the National
- Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 108, 5184-5187.
- 245 Boulard, E., Menguy, N., Auzende, A.L., Benzerara, K., Bureau, H., Antonangeli, D., Corgne, A.,

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am-2015-5369

- 246 Morard, G., Siebert, J., Perrillat, J.P., Guyot, F., and Fiquet, G. (2012) Experimental
- investigation of the stability of Fe-rich carbonates in the lower mantle. Journal ofGeophysical Research, 117, B02208.
- Busing, W., and Levy, H.A. (1967) Angle Calculations for 3- and 4- Circle X-ray and Neutron
  Diffractometers. Acta Crystallographica, 22, 457-464.
- Datchi, F., LeToullec, R., and Loubeyre, P. (1997) Improved calibration of the SrB<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: Sm<sup>2+</sup>
   optical pressure gauge: Advantages at very high pressures and high temperatures. Journal of

253 Applied Physics 81, 3333-3339.

- Fei, Y., Ricolleau, A., Frank, M., Mibe, K., Shen, G., and Prakapenka, V. (2007) Toward an
  internally consistent pressure scale. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
  United States of America, 104, 9182–9186.
- Guignard, J., and Crichton, W.A. (2014) Synthesis and recovery of bulk Fe<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> from magnetite,
  Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. A member of a self-similar series of structures for the lower mantle and transition
  zone. Mineralogical Magazine, 78, 361-371.
- Isshiki, M., Irifune, T., Hirose, K., Ono, S., Ohishi, Y., Watanuki, T., Nishibori, E., Takata, M., and
  Sakata, M. (2004) Stability of magnesite and its high-pressure form in the lowermost
  mantle. Nature, 427, 60–63.
- Knittle, E., and Jeanloz, R. (1991) Earth's core-mantle boundary: Results of experiments at high
   pressures and temperatures. Science, 251, 1438-1443.
- Lavina, B., Dera, P., Downs, R.T., Yang, W., Sinogeikin, S., Meng, Y., Shen, G., and Schiferl, D.
- (2010). Structure of siderite FeCO<sub>3</sub> to 56 GPa and hysteresis of its spin-pairing transition.
  Physical Review B, 82, 064110.
- Lavina, B., Dera, P., Kim, E., Meng, Y., Downs, R.T., Weck, P.F., Sutton. S.R., and Zhao, Y.
- (2011) Discovery of the recoverable high-pressure iron oxide Fe<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. Proceedings of the
   National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 42, 17281-17285.
- 271 Merlini, M., and Hanfland, M. (2013) Single-crystal diffraction at megabar conditions by

- synchrotron radiation. High Pressure Research, 33, 511-522.
- Merlini, M., Hanfland, M., Gemmi, M., Huotari, S., Simonelli, L., and Strobel, P. (2010) Fe<sup>3+</sup> spin
   transition in CaFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at high pressure. American Mineralogist, 95, 200-203.
- Oganov, A.R., Hemley, R.J., Hazen, R.M., and Jones, A.P. (2013) Structure, bonding, and
  mineralogy of carbon at extreme conditions. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 75,
  47-77.
- Oganov, A.R., Ono, S., Ma, Y.M., Glass C.W., and Garcia, A. (2008) Novel high-pressure
  structures of MgCO<sub>3</sub>, CaCO<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> and their role in Earth's lower mantle. Earth and
  Planetary Science Letters, 273, 38-47.
- Oszlányi, G., and Suto, A. (2010). Ab initio structure solution by charge flipping. Acta
   Crystallographica Section A: Foundations of Crystallography, 60, 134-141.
- Otsuka, K., and Karato, S.I. (2012) Deep penetration of molten iron into the mantle caused by a
  morphological instability. Nature, 492, 243-246.
- 285 Oxford Diffraction (2008). Crysalis RED, Version 1.171.32.29.
- Palatinus, L., and Chapuis, G. (2007) SUPERFLIP-a computer program for the solution of crystal
  structures by charge flipping in arbitrary dimensions. Journal of Applied Crystallography,
  40, 786-790.
- Petitgirard, S., Salamat, A., Beck, P., Weck, G., and Bouvier, P. (2014) Strategies for in situ laser
  heating in the diamond anvil cell at an X-ray diffraction beamline. Journal of Synchrotron
  Radiation, 21, 89-96.
- Petříček, V., Dušek, M., and Palatinus, L. (2014) Crystallographic Computing System JANA2006:
   General features. Zeitschrift für Kristallographie-Crystalline Materials, 229, 345-352.
- Pullar, R.C. (2012) Hexagonal ferrites: A review of the synthesis, properties and applications of
   hexaferrite ceramics. Progress in Materials Science, 57, 1191-1334.
- 296 Rohrbach, A., and Schmidt, M.W. (2011) Redox freezing and melting in the Earth's deep mantle
- resulting from carbon–iron redox coupling. Nature, 472, 209–212.

5/20

- 298 Salamat, A., Fischer, R.A., Briggs, R., McMahon, M.I., and Petitgirard, S. (2014) In situ
- synchrotron X-ray diffraction in the laser-heated diamond anvil cell: Melting phenomena
  and synthesis of new materials. Coordination Chemistry Reviews, 277-278, 15-30.
- 301 Santoro, M., Gorelli, F.A., Bini, R., Salamat, A., Garbarino, G., Levelut, C., Cambon, O., and
- Haines, J. (2014) Carbon enters silica forming a cristobalite-type  $CO_2$ -SiO<sub>2</sub> solid solution.
- Nature communications, 5, 3761.
- Shannon, R.D. (1976) Revised effective ionic-radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances
   in halides and chalcogenides. Acta Crystallographica A32, 751–767.
- Tao, R., Fei, Y., and Zhang, L. (2013) Experimental determination of siderite stability at high
   pressure. American Mineralogist, 98, 1565-1572.
- Wierzbicka-Wieczorek, M., Kolitsch, U., and Tillmans, E. (2010).  $Ba_2Gd_2(Si_4O_{13})$ : a silicate with finite  $Si_4O_{13}$  chains. Acta Crystallographica, C66, i29-i32.
- Woodland, A.B., Frost, D.J., Trots, D.M., Klimm, K., and Mezouar, M. (2012) In situ observation
  of the breakdown of magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) to Fe<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and hematite at high pressures and
  temperatures. American Mineralogist, 97, 1808-1811.
- Zhang, L., Meng, Y., Yang, W., Wang, L., Mao, W.L., Zeng, Q.S., Jeong, J.S., Wagner, A.J.,
- 314 Mkhoyan, K.A., Liu, W., Xu, R., and Mao, H.K. (2014) Disproportionation of (Mg,Fe)SiO<sub>3</sub>
- perovskite in Earth's deep lower mantle. Science, 344, 877-882.

# 317 Figures and tables



**Fig. 1**. Crystal structure of  $Mg_2Fe_2(C_4O_{13})$ , based on truncated tetrahedral carbonate chains.



Fig. 2. Crystal structure of Fe<sub>13</sub>O<sub>19</sub>



Fig. 3. – Interatomic Fe-O distances in octahedral sites in Fe<sub>13</sub>O<sub>19</sub>, and comparison with literature data for Fe<sup>3+</sup>-O octahedral distances in CaFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (Merlini et al. 2010) and Fe<sup>2+</sup>-O distances in siderite (blue empty circles: Lavina et al., 2010; red empty circles: Merlini et al. 2013).



**Fig. 4.** - C-O-C bond angles determined at various pressures and comparison with predicted values (Oganov et al., 2008; Oganov et al., 2014). The experimental values are in close agreement with prediction, within experimental accuracy and chemical difference between  $H_6C_2O_6$  used in computation and  $Mg_2Fe_2(C_4O_{13})$ .

331

332



**Fig. 5** – Density of  $Mg_2Fe_2(C_3O_{13})$  and  $Fe_{13}O_{19}$  as function of pressure, compared with PREM model

5/20

335

Atom	x	У	Z	<b>U (</b> Å <sup>2</sup> )	Bond distanc	es (Å) and angles (°)
(Mg,Fe) <sub>1</sub>	0.8848(5)	-0.0245(9)	0.4113(3)	0.0237(13)	C <sub>1</sub> -O <sub>4</sub>	1.24(5)
(Mg,Fe) <sub>2</sub>	0.6619(5)	-0.4841(9)	0.3355(3)	0.0186(13)	C <sub>1</sub> -O <sub>5</sub>	1.35(4)
0 <sub>1</sub>	0.808(2)	0.317(3)	0.2843(13)	0.020(4)	C <sub>1</sub> -O <sub>6</sub>	1.31(3)
O <sub>2</sub>	0.5	-0.197(5)	0.25	0.024(6)	C <sub>1</sub> -O <sub>7</sub>	1.31(2)
O <sub>3</sub>	0.9380(18)	0.798(3)	0.3018(12)	0.006(3)	C <sub>2</sub> -O <sub>1</sub>	1.18(3)
O <sub>4</sub>	0.997(2)	-0.376(3)	0.5881(13)	0.013(3)	C <sub>2</sub> -O <sub>2</sub>	1.36(3)
O <sub>5</sub>	0.8149(18)	-0.524(3)	0.6211(13)	0.013(3)	C <sub>2</sub> -O <sub>3</sub>	1.291(19)
O <sub>6</sub>	0.813(2)	-0.424(3)	0.4581(15)	0.012(3)	C <sub>2</sub> -O <sub>4</sub>	1.51(3)
O <sub>7</sub>	0.909(2)	-0.880(3)	0.5420(15)	0.021(4)		
C <sub>1</sub>	0.889(3)	-0.552(5)	0.550(2)	0.015(5)	C <sub>2</sub> -O <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>2</sub>	122.1(17)
C <sub>2</sub>	1.084(2)	-0.472(4)	0.7012(18)	0.005(3)	C <sub>1</sub> -O <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>2</sub>	114.3(19)

336

Table 1. Atomic coordinates, isotropic displacement parameters and selected interatomic distances 337 and bonding angles for Mg<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>), monoclinic, *C*2/*c*, a=9.822(3) b=3.9023(13) c= 13.154(5) Å  $\beta$ =108.02(3)° V=479.4(3) Å<sup>3</sup> at 135 GPa. 338

339

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am-2015-5369

Atom	x	У	z	<b>U (</b> Å <sup>2</sup> )	Bond distances (Å)	
Fe <sub>1</sub>	0.4267(5)	-0.5	0.4606(5)	0.0128(11)	Fe <sub>6</sub> -O <sub>9</sub> (x2)	1.68(3)
Fe <sub>2</sub>	0.2641(4)	0	0.3647(4)	0.0110(10)	Fe <sub>6</sub> -O <sub>10</sub> (x4)	1.706(11)
Fe <sub>3</sub>	0.4645(4)	-1	0.2289(5)	0.0134(11)	Fe <sub>1</sub> -O <sub>1</sub> (x2)	1.814(6)
Fe <sub>4</sub>	0.6273(4)	-1	0.3428(5)	0.0110(10)	Fe <sub>1</sub> -O <sub>2</sub>	1.76(2)
Fe <sub>5</sub>	0.3501(4)	0	0.0691(4)	0.0120(10)	Fe <sub>1</sub> -O <sub>5</sub>	1.76(2)
Fe <sub>6</sub>	0.5	-0.5	0	0.0181(16)	Fe <sub>1</sub> -O <sub>6</sub> (x2)	1.66(2)
Fe <sub>7</sub>	0.3142(4)	0	0.1576(5)	0.0107(10)		
01	0.5	0	0.5	0.022(8)		
02	0.4017(16)	-0.5	0.258(2)	0.017(5)		
O <sub>3</sub>	0.3012(16)	0.5	0.5243(19)	0.006(4)		
O <sub>4</sub>	0.1609(15)	0	0.2278(18)	0.007(4)		
O <sub>5</sub>	0.4525(19)	-0.5	0.664(2)	0.026(5)		
O <sub>6</sub>	0.367(2)	0	0.426(2)	0.018(5)		
07	0.3024(18)	-0.5	-0.003(2)	0.015(4)		
O <sub>8</sub>	0.2469(13)	0	-0.2223(17)	0.000(4)		
O <sub>9</sub>	0.5692(16)	-0.5	-0.059(2)	0.013(4)		
O <sub>10</sub>	0.4566(17)	0	-0.130(2)	0.011(4)		

341

Table 2. Atomic coordinates, isotropic displacement parameters and Fe-O interatomic distances in 342

octahedral sites for Fe<sub>13</sub>O<sub>19</sub>, monoclinic, C2/m, a=19.233(2) b=2.5820(13) c=9.550(11) Å  $\beta$ =118.39(3)° V=417.2(5) Å<sup>3</sup> at 135 GPa. 343

344