

1 **Tweed, Twins and Holes: a link between** 2 **Mineralogy and Materials Science**

3
4 **Wilfried Schranz**^{1,*}

5 ¹ University of Vienna, Faculty of Physics, Boltzmannngasse 5, A-1090 Wien, Austria

6 * E-mail: wilfried.schranz@univie.ac.at
7

8 **Abstract**

9 The paper on “Tweed, Twins, and Holes”, recently published by Ekhard K.H.
10 Salje (2014a) gives a comprehensive overview on experiments, theory and
11 computer simulations of microstructures in ferroelastic and multiferroic
12 minerals and synthetic crystals, with special emphasis on domain wall
13 properties. Such materials are highly interesting for technological applications
14 as well as from a more fundamental point of view, bearing a lot of open
15 questions. Domain boundaries are nanometre sized objects which very often
16 exhibit physical properties quite different from the bulk. This can lead to
17 completely new functionalities as compared to single domain crystals.
18 Ekhard Salje draws a bow from Geophysics to Materials Science. He shows
19 how materials scientists can make use of geo-materials and mineralogists can
20 profit from theoretical understanding proposed by physicists. There is justified
21 hope that both communities will intensify collaboration to the benefit of both.
22

23
24 In materials science there is a long tradition in studying the bulk properties of
25 samples. Structural defects caused by impurities, vacancies, dislocations, and
26 twin walls, etc. were labelled as disruptive to work. Historically, in most cases
27 the intent was to grow, investigate and use single crystals of extremely high
28 purity. This was and is quite different today in mineral science. Nanostructures
29 have been a major research topic in mineralogy for many decades because
30 they are common in minerals and they may be used to reconstruct the thermal
31 history of a sample.

32 The situation changed fundamentally when it became possible to measure the
33 functional properties of micro- and nanostructures by various experimental
34 techniques like AFM, and piezoforce microscopy (PFM), etc. which have
35 opened new research fields in physics and materials science. It turns out that
36 domain walls can host properties that do not exist in the bulk solid (Catalan, et
37 al. 2012). Typical examples are electrical or ionic conductivity, polarity or
38 magnetism of domain walls embedded in a non-conducting paraelectric or
39 paramagnetic matrix. An impressive example concerns the discovery of
40 superconductivity of domain walls in an isolating WO₃ matrix (Aird and Salje
41 1998). Another breakthrough was the observation that ferroelastic domain
42 walls in SrTiO₃ become polar below 80 K (Salje 2013), which was revealed by
43 a clever modification of Resonant Ultrasound Spectroscopy (RUS) technique.
44 “Domain engineering” (Fousek, Litvin and Cross 2001) or “Domain boundary
45 engineering” (Salje and Zhang 2009) is nowadays systematically used to tailor

46 the properties of crystals, ceramics or thin films (Feigl 2014). But it is not just
47 the static properties of a material that can strongly depend on microstructure
48 (Waitz, Schranz and Tröster 2014), also the dynamic properties under
49 external stress, electric- or magnetic fields are an important issue (Salje
50 2014b), and there are many open questions concerning the existence or non-
51 existence of strain glasses (Kustov 2014), the role of polar nanoregions in
52 relaxor ferroelectrics (Kleemann 2014) or the origin of domain freezing as well
53 as its possible relation to glass freezing (Salje, Ding and Aktas 2014) in
54 ferroelastic crystals.

55 It turns out, that the behaviour of inhomogeneous microstructured materials,
56 especially those where long-range interactions are predominant, provides one
57 of the most challenging problems in physics. It is the interplay of various
58 material properties that operate over a range of length scales and a broad
59 range of time scales which makes the problem so difficult; complete
60 understanding can only be reached if experiments, computer simulations and
61 theory go hand in hand.

62 In his recent American Mineralogist paper, Salje (2014a) demonstrates using
63 several examples (including earthquake dynamics in collapsing nanoporous
64 minerals) how minerals can inspire materials scientists and physicists can
65 assist mineralogists to understand natural and synthetic materials in a much
66 better way.

67 I am confident that the paper will contribute in closing the ranks between
68 mineralogists and materials scientists, ultimately generating a long-term
69 impact in the exciting field of nanostructured materials.

70

71

72 **References**

73

74 Aird, A. and Salje, E.K.H. (1998) Sheet superconductivity in twin walls:
75 experimental evidence, *Journal Physics: Condensed Matter*, **10**, L377.

76

77 Catalan, G., Seidel, J., Ramesh, R. and Scott, J. F. (2012) Domain wall
78 nanoelectronics, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 119–156.

79

80 Feigl, L., Stolichnov, I., Sluka, T., Shapovalov, K., Mtebwa, M., Sandu, S.C.,
81 Wei, X.-K., Tagantsev, A.K. and Setter N. (2014) Controlled stripes of ultrafine
82 ferroelectric domains, *Nature Comm.* **5**, 4677.

83

84 Fousek, J., Litvin, D.B. and Cross, L.E. (2001) Domain geometry engineering
85 and domain average engineering in ferroics, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **13**,
86 L33.

87

88 Kleemann, W. (2014) *Springer Series in Materials Science Volume 198*
89 „Mesoscopic Phenomena in Multifunctional Materials“, Springer Verlag, ed. A.
90 Saxena and A. Planes, “Glassy Phenomena in Relaxor Ferroelectrics”, pp.
91 249-269.

92

93 Kustov, S., Salas, D., Cesari, E., Santamarta, R., Mari, D. and Van
94 Humbeeck, J. (2014) Structural anelasticity, elasticity and broken ergodicity in
95 Ni-Ti shape memory alloys, *Acta Materialia* **73**, 275.

- 96
97 Salje, E. K. H., Aktas, O., Carpenter, M. A., and Scott J.F. (2013) Domains
98 within Domains and Walls within Walls: Evidence for Polar Domains in
99 Cryogenic SrTiO₃, Physical Review Letters, **111**, 247603.
100
101 Salje, E. and Zhang, H. (2009) Domain boundary engineering. Phase
102 Transitions, **82**, 452–469.
103
104 Salje, E.K.H. (2014a) “Tweed, Twins, and Holes”, American Mineralogist...
105
106 Salje, E.K.H. (2014b) Springer Series in Materials Science Volume **198**
107 „Mesoscopic Phenomena in Multifunctional Materials“, Springer Verlag, ed. A.
108 Saxena and A. Planes, “Functional Twin Boundaries: Steps Towards Domain
109 Boundary Engineering”, pp. 201-223.
110
111 Salje, E.K.H., Ding, X., and Aktas, O. (2014) Domain Glass, Physica Status
112 Solidi B **251**, No. 10, 2061.
113
114 Waitz, T., Schranz, W. and Tröster, A. (2014) Springer Series in Materials
115 Science Volume **198** „Mesoscopic Phenomena in Multifunctional Materials“,
116 Springer Verlag, ed. A. Saxena and A. Planes, “Nanoscale Phase
117 Transformations in Functional Materials”, pp. 23-56.
118
119