#### Ichnusaite, $Th(MoO_4)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ , the first natural 26 thorium molybdate: occurrence, description, and 27 crystal structure 28 29 PAOLO ORLANDI<sup>1,2</sup>, CRISTIAN BIAGIONI<sup>1,\*</sup>, LUCA BINDI<sup>3</sup>, AND 30 FABRIZIO NESTOLA<sup>4</sup> 31 32 33 34 <sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università di Pisa, Via S. Maria 53, I-56126 Pisa, Italy 35 <sup>2</sup> Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, CNR, Via Moruzzi 1, I-56124 Pisa, Italy 36 <sup>3</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Firenze, Via G. La Pira, 4, I-37 50121 Firenze, Italy 38 <sup>4</sup> Dipartimento di Geoscienze, Università di Padova, Via Gradenigo, 6, I-35131 Padova, Italy 39 40 41 42 43 \*e-mail address: biagioni@dst.unipi.it 44 45

## ABSTRACT

The new mineral species ichnusaite,  $Th(MoO_4)_2$ ·3H<sub>2</sub>O, has been discovered in the Mo-Bi 47 mineralization of Su Seinargiu, Sarroch, Cagliari, Sardinia, Italy. It occurs as colorless thin 48 49  $\{100\}$  tabular crystals, up to 200 µm in length, associated with muscovite, xenotime-(Y), and nuragheite,  $Th(MoO_4)_2$ ·H<sub>2</sub>O. Luster is pearly-adamantine. Ichnusaite is brittle, with a perfect 50 {100} cleavage. Owing to the very small quantity of available material and its intimate 51 association with nuragheite, density and optical properties could not be measured. Electron 52 microprobe analysis gave (wt% - mean of 4 spot analyses): MoO<sub>3</sub> 47.86(1.43), ThO<sub>2</sub> 53 43.40(79), total 91.26(87). On the basis of 8 O atoms per formula unit and assuming 3  $H_2O$ 54 groups, in agreement with the crystal structure data, the chemical formula of ichnusaite is 55  $Th_{0.99}Mo_{2.01}O_8 \cdot 3H_2O$ . Main diffraction lines, corresponding to multiple *hkl* indices, are [d(Å)], 56 relative visual intensity]: 5.66 (m), 3.930 (m), 3.479 (s), 3.257 (s), 3.074 (m). Ichnusaite is 57 monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$ , with a 9.6797(12), b 10.3771(13), c 9.3782(12) Å,  $\beta$ 58 90.00(1)°, V 942.0(2) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4. The crystal structure has been solved and refined to a final  $R_1$ 59 = 0.051 on the basis of 2008 observed reflections [with  $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ ]. It consists of 60 electroneutral [Th(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>0</sup> (100) sheets of polymerized ThO<sub>7</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub> and MoO<sub>4</sub> 61 polyhedra; successive sheets, stacked along [100], are connected through hydrogen bonds. 62 Ichnusaite brings new understanding about the crystal chemistry of actinide molybdates, that 63 may form during the alteration of spent nuclear fuel and influence the release of radionuclides 64 under repository conditions. 65

66

46

*Keywords*: ichnusaite, new mineral species, molybdate, thorium, crystal structure, Su
Seinargiu, Sardinia, Italy.

70

#### Introduction

71 Thorium (Z = 90) is an actinide element found in the bulk silicate Earth with the estimated average concentration of ~ 0.06 ppm (Plant et al. 1999); even if it is about three 72 times more abundant than uranium, only twenty-two mineral species containing Th as an 73 essential component are known (Table 1), compared to more than 200 uranium mineral 74 species. This difference is discussed by Hazen et al. (2009) and related to three main aspects: 75 i) Th occurs only as  $Th^{4+}$  and it does not have an analog of  $(UO_2)^{2+}$  ion, not forming 76 isomorphs of the numerous uranyl compounds; ii) the half-life of <sup>232</sup>Th (the most abundant 77 isotope of Th) is  $\sim 14$  billion years so that thorium minerals do not show extensive degree of 78 radiation damage and chemical alteration; and iii) Th-compounds are relatively insoluble, and 79  $Th^{4+}$  is mobilized under much more restricted chemical-physical conditions than  $U^{4+}$ , not 80 being complexed by chloride or carbonate (as occurs for  $U^{4+}$ ) but only forming F-complexes. 81 Thorium occurs as a minor component in rare-earth element phosphates (e.g., monazite, 82 xenotime) and as a trace element in apatite-group minerals (Luo et al. 2011); owing to its 83 occurrence in these common rock-accessory phases, Th minerals can be used as 84 geochronometers for dating through the U-Th-Pb and (U,Th)/He methods. 85

86 During a routine check of mineral samples from Su Seinargiu (Sardinia, Italy) through qualitative EDS chemical analyses, some crystals were identified containing only Th and Mo. 87 Up to now, natural thorium molybdates were unknown and consequently X-ray diffraction 88 studies were performed in order to completely characterize this new compound. X-ray powder 89 diffraction patterns collected through a Gandolfi camera revealed the presence of two 90 91 different Th-Mo phases. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies showed that these two phases 92 commonly form intimate intergrowths, making difficult their mineralogical study. Through the examination of several crystals, two pure grains of both phases were identified, allowing 93 the intensity data collection and solution of the two crystal structures. The two Th-Mo phases, 94 95 differing in their hydration states, were proposed as new minerals.

In this paper we describe the first of these two natural thorium molybdates, which was named ichnusaite (pronounced *iknusa-ait*). The name is from the old Greek name of Sardinia, *Ixvovo\sigma \alpha, ichnusa*. The mineral and its name have been approved by the CNMNC-IMA under the number 2013-087. The holotype specimen of ichnusaite is deposited in the mineralogical collection of the Museo di Storia Naturale, Università di Pisa, Via Roma 79, Calci, Pisa, Italy, under catalog number 19679. The other new thorium molybdate, nuragheite, will be described in a separate paper (Orlandi et al. in preparation).

4/2

| 1 | 03 |
|---|----|
| 1 | 04 |

## **Geological setting**

The occurrence of Mo minerals in Sardinia has been known since the second half of the  $19^{\text{th}}$  Century (Jervis 1881; Lovisato 1886; Traverso 1898). Numerous Mo mineralizations occur in close association with leucogranites of Variscan age (Ghezzo et al. 1981); Su Seinargiu is one of the smallest prospects. Its molybdenite mineralization has been dated by Boni et al. (2003) at 288.7 ± 0.5 My on the basis of Re-Os dating.

The Su Seinargiu prospect is located on the southern coast of Sardinia, northwest of the small town of Sarroch. Mineralization occurs within three vein systems hosted in leucogranite porphyry and embedded in slightly metamorphosed (greenschist facies) shales of Ordovician-Silurian age; veins are mainly composed of quartz and molybdenite. This latter mineral is also disseminated in the porphyry. A pervasive hydrothermal alteration is common throughout the intrusion, resulting in the widespread occurrence of clay minerals replacing plagioclase and K-feldspar.

In addition to molybdenite, Caboi et al. (1978) reported the occurrence of minor 117 chalcopyrite, pyrite, and traces of "wolframite". Molybdenite is frequently altered in yellow 118 ochres of molybdenum, indicated by Caboi et al. (1978) as molybdite. It is interesting to note 119 that these authors stated that a peculiar feature of this mineralization is related to the small 120 number of mineral species, with the mineral assemblage formed exclusively by quartz and 121 122 molybdenite. On the contrary, Orlandi et al. (2013) described more than 50 mineral species from Su Seinargiu. Most of them are the results of the alteration of the primary Mo-Bi ore, 123 composed of molybdenite, bismuthinite, and bismuth. In addition to ichnusaite, three other 124 new mineral species have their type locality at Su Seinargiu: sardignaite (Orlandi et al. 2010), 125 gelosaite (Orlandi et al. 2011), and tancaite-(Ce) (Bonaccorsi and Orlandi 2010). 126

- 127
- 128

### Occurrence and mineral description

Ichnusaite occurs as aggregates of colorless thin {100} tabular crystals, up to 200 μm
in length, with a pearly-adamantine luster. Streak is white. Ichnusaite is transparent, brittle,
and shows a perfect cleavage parallel to (100).

Owing to the intimate intergrowths with nuragheite,  $Th(MoO_4)_2$ ·H<sub>2</sub>O, and the small amount of homogeneous material available, micro-indentation hardness, density, as well as the optical properties were not measured. The calculated density, based on the empirical formula, is 4.262 g·cm<sup>-3</sup>. The mean refractive index of ichnusaite, obtained from the

4/2

Gladstone-Dale relationship (Mandarino 1979, 1981) using the ideal formula and calculateddensity, is 1.92.

Ichnusaite is intimately intergrown with nuragheite, occurring within vugs of quartz
 veins, in association with muscovite and partially corroded crystals of xenotime-(Y). Its
 crystallization is probably related to the alteration of the molybdenite ore.

- 141
- 142

## Chemical composition

143 One very small crystal of ichnusaite (20 µm for its largest dimension), not intergrown with nuragheite, was available and it was used for electron-microprobe analysis. Preliminary 144 EDS chemical analysis showed Th and Mo as the only elements with Z > 9. Owing to the 145 very small crystal size, only 4 spot analyses were carried out, using a CAMECA SX50 146 electron microprobe operating in WDS (wave-lenght dispersive) mode; operating conditions 147 were as follows: accelerating voltage 20 kV, beam current 5 nA, beam size 1 µm. Standards 148 used were (element, emission line): metallic Mo (Mo  $L\alpha$ ) and synthetic ThO<sub>2</sub> (Th  $M\alpha$ ). 149 Electron microprobe data are given in Table 2. On the basis of 8 oxygen atoms per formula 150 151 unit (apfu) and assuming the presence of three H<sub>2</sub>O groups (as shown by the structural study), the chemical formula of ichnusaite can be written as Th<sub>0.991</sub>Mo<sub>2.006</sub>O<sub>8</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O. The ideal 152 formula is  $Th(MoO_4)_2$ ·3H<sub>2</sub>O, corresponding to (in wt%) ThO<sub>2</sub> 43.57, MoO<sub>3</sub> 47.51, H<sub>2</sub>O 8.92, 153 sum 100.00. 154

155

156

#### X-ray crystallography and structure refinement

157 Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected using a Bruker Smart Breeze diffractometer equipped with an air-cooled CCD area detector. Graphite-monochromatized 158 159 Mo K $\alpha$  radiation was used. The detector-to-crystal distance was 50 mm. 774 frames were collected using  $\phi$  and  $\omega$  scan modes in 0.5° slices, with an exposure time of 5 seconds per 160 frame. Data were integrated and corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, background 161 effects, and absorption, using the software package Apex2 (Bruke Axs Inc. 2004). The 162 analysis of systematic absences unequivocally indicated the space group  $P2_1/c$ . Refined cell 163 parameters are a 9.6797(12), b 10.3771(13), c 9.3782(12),  $\beta$  90.00(1)°, V 942.0(2) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4. 164 The crystal structure was solved through direct methods and refined using Shelx-97 165 166 (Sheldrick 2008). Scattering curves for neutral atoms were taken from the International 167 Tables for X-ray Crystallography (Wilson 1992). Crystal data and details of the intensity data collection and refinement are reported in Table 3. 168

After locating the heavier atoms Th and Mo, some O positions were identified on the basis of difference-Fourier maps. However, at this stage, the analysis of the difference-Fourier map revealed large maxima around Th and Mo atoms and the  $R_1$  was too high (0.27). Assuming a twinning on {100}, the  $R_1$  dramatically decreased to 0.13, thus indicating the possible correctness of the structural model. The twin ratio of the two individuals is 0.49(1). Ichnusaite represents an archetypal example of twinning by metric merohedry according to Nespolo and Ferraris (2000).

Successive difference-Fourier maps allowed the correct location of all the oxygen atoms. After several cycles of isotropic refinements, an anisotropic model for all the atoms (with the exception of O7) was refined, achieving a final  $R_1 = 0.051$  for 2008 observed reflections with  $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$  and 0.060 for all 2223 independent reflections. The highest and deepest residuals are located around the Th atom and may be due to the low diffraction quality of the available crystal, i.e. broad diffraction peaks. Atomic coordinates and displacement parameters are given in Table 4 and Table 5 reports selected bond distances.

The X-ray powder diffraction pattern of ichnusaite was obtained using a 114.6 mm diameter Gandolfi camera, with Ni-filtered Cu *K*α radiation. The observed X-ray powder pattern is compared with the calculated one (obtained using the software Powder Cell; Kraus and Nolze 1996) in Table 6. Unit-cell parameters, refined on the basis of 20 unequivocally indexed reflections using UnitCell (Holland and Redfern 1997), are *a* 9.646(2), *b* 10.471(2), *c* 9.338(2) Å, β 90.34(2)°, *V* 943.2(2) Å<sup>3</sup>.

- 189
- 190

## **Crystal structure description**

The crystal structure of ichnusaite (Fig. 2) shows three independent cation sites, namely Th, Mo1, and Mo2, and eleven independent ligand sites. The cation-centered polyhedra are arranged in (100) layers, forming electroneutral  $[Th(MoO_4)_2(H_2O)_2]^0$  sheets of polymerized ThO<sub>7</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub> and MoO<sub>4</sub> polyhedra. The sheets are linked by H bonding to interlayer H<sub>2</sub>O (Ow11 site) groups and stacked along [100]; the H bonding involves O2, Ow6, Ow10, and Ow11 sites, as suggested by the examination of O…O distances shorter than 3.0 Å which are not polyhedral edges (Table 7) and bond-valence calculation (Table 8).

Thorium atoms are bonded to seven oxygen atoms and two  $H_2O$  groups in a tricapped trigonal prismatic coordination. Average  $\langle Th-O \rangle$  bond distance is 2.459 Å, in agreement with ideal Th-O distance of 2.44 Å, assuming the ionic radii given by Shannon (1976). Every Th-centered polyhedron shares corners with seven Mo-centered tetrahedra. The Mo1 tetrahedron shares corners with four Th-centered polyhedra, whereas the Mo2 tetrahedron

4/2

8

shares corners with three Th polyhedra. The free vertex of the Mo2 tetrahedron (O2 site) is at hydrogen bond distance with  $H_2O$  groups belonging to two distinct Th polyhedra, namely Ow6 and Ow10 sites. Average <Mo-O> bond distances are 1.751 and 1.768 Å for Mo1 and Mo2 sites, respectively.

As reported above, O···O distances shorter than 3.0 Å suggest the presence of hydrogen bonds. Figure 3 shows the proposed hydrogen bond network connecting successive  $[Th(MoO_4)_2(H_2O)_2]^0$  sheets as seen down **c**. The connection is achieved through the bonds Ow10···O2 and Ow6···Ow11···Ow10; the former involves the interlayer H<sub>2</sub>O groups and forms an angle of 111.8(9)°. In addition to hydrogen bonds connecting successive layers, the short O···O distance between Ow6 and O2, which occupies the free vertex of Mo2 tetrahedron in the same sheet, suggests an intrasheet hydrogen bond between the Mo2 and Th polyhedra.

- 214
- 215

### Discussion

The term molybdate indicates a compound containing an oxoanion with molybdenum 216 in its highest oxidation state +6. Molybdenum can form a large range of oxoanions, e.g., 217  $(MoO_4)^{2-}$  and  $(Mo_2O_7)^{2-}$ . Forty-eight minerals contain Mo as an essential component; among 218 them, twelve valid species are characterized by the oxoanion  $(MoO_4)^{2-}$  (Table 9). One 219 potential new mineral species, never submitted to the formal IMA approval, is represented by 220 the phosphate analogue of molybdofornacite described by Nickel and Hitchen (1994). Among 221 the phases reported in Table 9, it should be noted that the crystal structure of ferrimolybdite 222 has not been solved vet, so the presence of the  $(MoO_4)^{2-}$  oxoanion is speculative. Delorvite, 223 Cu<sub>4</sub>(UO<sub>2</sub>)(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>6</sub>, and umohoite, (UO<sub>2</sub>)MoO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, have chemical formulas showing 224 (MoO<sub>4</sub>) groups; however, Mo has five-fold and six-fold coordinations, respectively, in those 225 structures (Pushcharovsky et al. 1998; Krivovichev and Burns 2000). Taking into account the 226 usual five-fold and six-fold Mo coordination in all U molybdates known so far, sedovite, 227 ideally U(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Skvortsova and Sidorenko 1965), is not reported in Table 9, owing to the 228 229 lack of structural data and the consequent uncertainties about the actual Mo coordination in such mineral. 230

Ichnusaite fits the 07.GB group of Strunz and Nickel classification; i.e., molybdates with additional anions and/or  $H_2O$  (Strunz and Nickel 2001). Whereas eight mineral species contain Mo and U, no Th molybdates were known: ichnusaite is the first natural thorium molybdate to be described. Among synthetic compounds, two polymorphic phases of anhydrous Th(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> are known, having orthorhombic and trigonal symmetry, respectively (Cremers et al. 1983; Larson et al. 1989). Ichnusaite shows a new type of layered structure;

the relations between this structure and those of nuragheite and synthetic  $Th(MoO_4)_2$  will be described elsewhere (Orlandi et al., in preparation).

Ichnusaite is likely the product of the alteration of the primary Mo-Bi ore at Su Seinargiu under basic pH conditions. In fact, according to Birch et al. (1998), phases with tetrahedral  $(MoO_4)^{2^-}$  oxoanions could form at pH 7-8, under more basic conditions than do species with octahedrally coordinated Mo. Molybdenite could be the source of Mo at Su Seinargiu, whereas the occurrence of corroded crystals of xenotime-(Y) suggests that this REE phosphate, isostructural with thorite, ThSiO<sub>4</sub>, might the source of Th. Indeed, some authors reported high Th concentrations in xenotime-(Y) (e.g., Förster 2006).

- 246
- 247

## Implications

Thorium, as well as uranium, has a special importance to geoscientists. In fact, the energy released by their radioactive decay has driven the thermal evolution of Earth, resulting in the layering of Earth's internal structure and plate tectonics. As is well known, the radioactive decay of <sup>232</sup>Th, as well as <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>235</sup>U, to stable Pb isotopes provided the basis for geochronological measurements providing absolute ages for the geologic time scale. Moreover, in agreement with Hazen et al. (2009), the mineralogy of uranium and thorium can provide a measure of planets' geotectonic and geobiological history.

In addition to its geological importance, thorium has extensive industrial applications, 255 256 e.g., as an alloying agent in gas tungsten arc welding to increase the melting temperature of tungsten electrodes (Carv and Helzer 2005) or as a catalyst in the conversion of NH<sub>3</sub> to HNO<sub>3</sub> 257 258 and the production of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (Patnaik 2003). Moreover, thorium has been tentatively used in the production of energy in nuclear plants and for the production process of the fissile isotope 259 <sup>233</sup>U. Owing to its natural abundance, attractive physical, chemical, and nuclear properties, 260 there is an increasing interest in the thorium fuel cycle (e.g., Lung and Gremm 1998; Ünak 261 262 2000). Consequently, the disposal of thorium waste is an important environmental issue (e.g., Luo et al. 2011). 263

Owing to the fact that molybdenum is one of the many fission products in a nuclear reactor, the formation of actinide molybdates has been reported during the alteration of spent nuclear fuel (e.g., Buck et al. 1997), under conditions similar to those expected in the onceproposed geological repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, U.S.A. Ichnusaite, being a new structure type among actinide molybdates, brings new data to the understanding of the crystal chemistry of such compounds, potentially useful for understanding the release of radionuclides under repository conditions.

# 271

## 272 Acknowledgements

The first specimen of ichnusaite was provided by Giuseppe Tanca; other specimens were given by Fernando Caboni, Marzio Mamberti, and Antonello Vinci. We are grateful to all these Sardinian mineral collectors for their efforts in the sampling of the Su Seinargiu prospect. Electron microprobe analyses were performed with the help of Raul Carampin; we are grateful to Leonardo Tauro and Elena Masiero for the preparation of the sample for electron microprobe analysis. The paper was handled by Peter Burns and benefited of the comments of the two reviewers Robert Finch and Sergey Krivovichev.

#### 281 **References**

- Aleksandrov, V.B., Gorbatyii, L.V., and Ilyukhin, V.V. (1968) Crystal structure of powellite
   CaMoO<sub>4</sub>. Soviet Physics Crystallography, 13, 414–415.
- Berlepsch, P., Armbruster, T., Brugger, J., Bykova, E.Y., and Kartashov, P.M. (1999) The
  crystal structure of vergasovaite Cu<sub>3</sub>O[(Mo,S)O<sub>4</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>], and its relation to synthetic
  Cu<sub>3</sub>O[MoO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>. European Journal of Mineralogy, 11, 101–110.
- Birch, W.D., Pring, A., McBriar, E.M., Gatehouse, B.M., and McCammon, C.A. (1998)
   Bamfordite, Fe<sup>3+</sup>Mo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, a new hydrated iron molybdenum oxyhydroxide
   from Queensland, Australia: Description and crystal chemistry. American Mineralogist,
   83, 172–177.
- Bonaccorsi, E. and Orlandi, P. (2010) Tancaite-(Ce), a new molybdate from Italy. Acta
   Mineralogica Petrographica Abstract Series. 20<sup>th</sup> General Meeting of the International
   Mineralogical Association, 21<sup>st</sup>-27<sup>th</sup> August, 2010. Budapest, Hungary, 6, 494.
- Boni, M., Stein, H.J., Zimmerman, A., and Villa, I.M. (2003) Re-Os age for molybdenite from
   SW Sardinia (Italy): A comparison with <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar dating of Variscan granitoids.
   Mineral Exploration and Sustainable Development, Eliopoulos et al. (ed), 247–250.
- Brese, N.E. & O'Keeffe, M. (1991): Bond-valence parameters for solids. Acta
  Crystallographica, B47, 192–197.
- Bruker AXS Inc. (2004) APEX 2. Bruker Advanced X-ray Solutions, Madison, Wisconsin,
  USA.
- Buck, E.C., Wronkiewicz, D.J., Finn, P.A., and Bates, J.K. (1997) A new uranyl oxide
  hydrate phase derived from spent fuel alteration. Journal of Nuclear Materials, 249, 70–
  76.
- Burns, P.C. (1998) The crystal structure of szenicsite, Cu<sub>3</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>. Mineralogical
   Magazine, 62, 461–469.
- Caboi, R., Massoli-Novelli, R., and Sanna, G. (1978) La mineralizzazione a molibdenite di
  P.ta de Su Seinargiu (Sarroch Sardegna meridionale). Rendiconti della Società Italiana
  di Mineralogia e Petrologia, 34, 167–186.
- Calvert, L.D. and Barnes, W.H. (1957) The structure of lindgrenite. The Canadian
  Mineralogist, 6, 31–51.
- Cary, H. and Helzer, S. (2005) Modern Welding Technology, Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Cremers, T.L., Eller, P.G., and Penneman, R.A. (1983) Orthorhombic Thorium(IV)
   Molybdate, Th(MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. Acta Crystallographica, C39, 1165–1167.

Ferraris, G. and Ivaldi, G. (1988) Bond valence vs bond length in O…O hydrogen bonds. Acta

Crystallographica, B44, 341–344.

4/2

| 316 | Förster, HJ. (2006) Composition and origin of intermediate solid solutions in the system  |
|-----|---|
| 317 | thorite-xenotime-zircon-coffinite. Lithos, 88, 35-55.   |
| 318 | Ghezzo, C., Guasparri, G., Riccobono, F., Sabatini, G., Pretti, S., and Uras, I. (1981) Le  |
| 319 | mineralizzazioni a molibdeno associate al magmatismo intrusivo ercinico della   |
| 320 | Sardegna. Rendiconti della Società Italiana di Mineralogia e Petrologia, 38, 133–145.   |
| 321 | Hazen, R.M., Ewing, R.C., and Sverjensky, D.A. (2009) Evolution of uranium and thorium  |
| 322 | minerals. American Mineralogist, 94, 1293-1311.   |
| 323 | Holland, T.J.B. and Redfern, S.A.T. (1997) Unit cell refinement from powder diffraction data:   |
| 324 | the use of regression diagnostics. Mineralogical Magazine, 61, 65–77.   |
| 325 | Horn, E., Kurahashi, M., Huang, D., and Wu, C. (1995) Crystal data and X-ray powder-  |
| 326 | diffraction data for ferrimolybdite, Fe <sub>2</sub> (MoO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> 6.8H <sub>2</sub> O. Powder Diffraction, 10, 101- |
| 327 | 103.  |
| 328 | Jervis, G. (1881) I tesori sotterranei d'Italia. Parte Terza: Regione delle isole Sardegna e  |
| 329 | Sicilia e addenda ai precedenti volumi. Ermanno Loescher Ed., Torino, 539 pp.   |
| 330 | Kraus, W. and Nolze, G. (1996) Powder Cell - a program for the representation and   |
| 331 | manipulation of crystal structures and calculation of the resulting X-ray powder  |
| 332 | patterns. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 29, 301-303.  |
| 333 | Krivovichev, S. and Burns, P.C. (2000) Crystal chemistry of uranyl molybdates. I. The   |
| 334 | structure and formula of umohoite. The Canadian Mineralogist, 38, 717–726.  |
| 335 | Larson, E.M., Eller, P.G., Cremers, T.L., Penneman, R.A., and Herrick, C.C. (1989) Structure  |
| 336 | of trigonal thorium molybdate. Acta Crystallographica, C45, 1669–1672.  |
| 337 | Lovisato, D. (1886) Contributo alla mineralogia sarda. Rendiconti dell'Accademia Nazionale  |
| 338 | dei Lincei, 2, 254-259.   |
| 339 | Lung, M. and Gremm, O. (1998) Perspectives of the thorium fuel cycle. Nuclear Engineering   |
| 340 | and Design, 180, 133–146.   |
| 341 | Luo, Y., Rakovan, J., Tang, Y., Lupulescu, M., Hughes, J.M., and Pan, Y. (2011) Crystal   |
| 342 | chemistry of Th in fluorapatite. American Mineralogist, 96, 23-33.  |
| 343 | Mandarino, J.A. (1979) The Gladstone-Dale relationship. Part III. Some general applications.  |
| 344 | The Canadian Mineralogist, 17, 71–76.   |
| 345 | Mandarino, J.A. (1981) The Gladstone-Dale relationship. Part IV. The compatibility concept  |
| 346 | and its application. The Canadian Mineralogist, 19, 441–450.  |
|     |   |
|     |   |

| Mede | nbach, O., Abraham, | K., and Gebo | ert, W. (19 | 983) Moly | bdoforn | acit, ein n | eus | Blei-Kupfer- |
|------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|---------|-------------|-----|--------------|
|      | Arsenat-Molybdat-H  | ydroxid von  | Tsumeb,     | Namibia.  | Neues   | Jahrbuch    | für | Mineralogie, |

349 Monatshefte, 1983, 289–295.

347

348

- Nespolo, M. and Ferraris, G. (2000) Twinning by syngonic and metric merohedry. Analysis,
   classification and effects on the diffraction pattern. Zeitschrift für Kristallographie, 215,
   77–81.
- Nickel, E.H. and Hitchen, G.J. (1994) The phosphate analog of molybdofornacite from Whim
   Creek, Western Australia. Mineralogical Record, 25, 203–204.
- Orlandi, P., Pasero, M., and Bigi, S. (2010) Sardignaite, a new mineral, the second known
   bismuth molybdate: description and crystal structure. Mineralogy and Petrology, 100, 17–
   22.
- Orlandi, P., Demartin, F., Pasero, M., Leverett, P. Williams, P.A., and Hibbs, D.E. (2011) Gelosaite,  $BiMo^{6+}_{(2-5x)}Mo^{5+}_{6x}O_7(OH) \cdot H_2O$  ( $0 \le x \le 0.4$ ), a new mineral from Su Senargiu (CA), Sardinia, Italy, and a second occurrence from Kingsgate, New England, Australia. American Mineralogist, 96, 268–273.
- Orlandi, P., Gelosa, M., Bonacina, E., Caboni, F., Mamberti, M., Tanca, G.A., and Vinci, A.
  (2013) Sardignaite, gelosaite et tancaite-(Ce): trois nouveaux minéraux de Su Seinargiu,
  Sarroch, Sardaigne, Italie. Le Règne Minéral, 112, 39–52.

Patnaik, P. (2003) Handbook of Inorganic Chemicals, 931 p. McGraw-Hill, New York.

- <sup>366</sup> Plant, J.A., Simpson, P.R., Smith, B., and Windley, B.F. (1999) Uranium ore deposits -
- products of the radioactive Echo Bay U-Ni-Ag-Cu deposits, North West Territories,
   Canada. Economic Geology, 68, 635–656.
- Pushcharovsky, D.Yu., Rastsvetaeva, R.K., and Sarp, H. (1998) Crystal structure of deloryite,
   Cu<sub>4</sub>(UO<sub>2</sub>)[Mo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>](OH)<sub>6</sub>. Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 239, 23–26.
- Secco, L., Nestola, F., and Dal Negro, A. (2008) The wulfenite-stolzite series: centric or
   acentric structures? Mineralogical Magazine, 72, 987–990.
- Shannon, R.D. (1976) Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic
  distances in halides and chalcogenides. Acta Crystallographica, A32, 751–767.
- 375 Sheldrick, G.M. (2008) A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallographica, A64, 112–122.
- 376 Skvortsova, K.V. and Sidorenko, G.A. (1965) Sedovite, a new supergene mineral of uranium
- and molybdenum. Zapiski Vsesoyuznogo Mineralogicheskogo Obshchestva, 94, 548–
  554 (in Russian).
- Strunz, H. and Nickel, E.H. (2001) Strunz Mineralogical Tables. 9<sup>th</sup> Edition, E. Schweizerbart
   Verlag, Stuttgart, 870 p.

| 381 | Traverso, G.B. (1898) Sarrabus e i suoi minerali: note descrittive sui minerali del Sarrabus                             |
|-----|--|
| 382 | facienti parte della collezione di minerali italiani presso il Museo civico di Genova.                                   |
| 383 | Tipografia Sansoldi, Alba, Cuneo, 73 p.  |
| 384 | Ünak, T. (2000) What is the potential use of thorium in the future energy production                                     |
| 385 | technology? Progress in Nuclear Energy, 37, 1–4.   |
| 386 | Wilson, A.J.C. (1992) International Tables for X-ray Crystallography Volume C. Kluwer,                                   |
| 387 | Dordrecht.   |
| 388 | Yang, H., Jenkins, R.A., Thompson, R.M., Downs, R.T., Evans, S.H., and Bloch, E.M. (2012)                                |
| 389 | Markascherite, Cu <sub>3</sub> (MoO <sub>4</sub> )(OH) <sub>4</sub> , a new mineral species polymorphic with szenicsite, |
| 390 | from Copper Creek, Pinal County, Arizona, U.S.A. American Mineralogist, 97, 197-   |
| 391 | 202.   |
| 392 | Zelenski, M.E., Zubkova, N.V., Pekov, I.V., Polekhovsky, Y.S., and Pushcharovsky, D.Yu.                                  |
| 393 | (2012) Cupromolybdite, Cu <sub>3</sub> O(MoO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , a new fumarolic mineral from the Tolbachik    |
| 394 | volcano, Kamchatka Peninsula, Russia. European Journal of Mineralogy, 24, 749-757.                                       |
| 395 |  |

4/2

# **Table captions**

- **Table 1.** Mineral species with Th as essential component. Chemical formulae after the IMA
- list (updated to October 2013).
- **Table 2.** Microprobe analyses of ichnusaite (in wt%).
- 400 **Table 3**. X-ray powder diffraction data for ichnusaite.
- 401 **Table 4**. Crystal data and summary of parameters describing data collection and refinement
- 402 for ichnusaite.
- 403 **Table 5**. Atomic positions and displacement parameters (in  $Å^2$ ) for ichnusaite.
- 404 **Table 6**. Selected bond distances (in Å) for ichnusaite.
- 405 **Table 7**. O···O distances (in Å) and corresponding bond-valence values (in valence units, *v.u.*)
- 406 calculated with parameters from Ferraris and Ivaldi (1988).
- 407 **Table 8**. Bond-valence values calculated with parameters from Brese & O'Keeffe (1991).
- 408 **Table 9**. Molybdate minerals containing the oxoanion  $(MoO_4)^{2-}$ .
- 409

# 410 **Figure captions**

- 411 **Fig. 1**. Ichnusaite, tabular crystals on {100}.
- 412 Fig. 2. Ichnusaite, crystal structure as seen down c (a) and a (b). Polyhedra: grey = Th-
- 413 centered polyhedra; dark grey = Mo1 tetrahedra; white = Mo2 tetrahedra. Circles = interlayer
- 414  $H_2O$  groups.  $H_2O$  groups are not shown in (b).
- 415 **Fig. 3**. Hydrogen bond system in ichnusaite as seen down **c**. Polyhedra: grey = Th-centered
- 416 polyhedra; dark grey = Mo1 tetrahedra; white = Mo2 tetrahedra. Circles: black = O2 site; dark
- 417 grey =  $H_2O$  groups bonded to  $Th^{4+}$  cations (Ow6 and Ow10 sites); light grey = interlayer
- 418 H<sub>2</sub>O groups (Ow11 site).
- 419

4/2

#### 420 Table 1. Mineral species with Th as essential component. Chemical formulae after the IMA

| 421 | list (updated to October 2013). |  |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|
| 422 |                                 |  |
| 423 | Mineral species                 | Chemical formula   |
| 424 | Althupite                       | AITh(UO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>7</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> (OH) <sub>5</sub> ·15H <sub>2</sub> O  |
| 425 | Aspedamite                      | $\Box_{12}(Fe^{3+},Fe^{2+})_3Nb_4[Th(Nb,Fe^{3+})_{12}O_{42}](H_2O,OH)_{12}$                                |
| 120 | Cheralite                       | CaTh(PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>  |
| 426 | Ciprianiite                     | Ca <sub>4</sub> (Th,REE) <sub>2</sub> Al(B <sub>4</sub> Si <sub>4</sub> O <sub>22</sub> )(OH) <sub>2</sub> |
| 427 | Coutinhoite                     | $Th_xBa_{1-2x}(UO_2)_2Si_5O_{13}\cdot 3H_2O$   |
| 428 | Ekanite                         | Ca <sub>2</sub> ThSi <sub>8</sub> O <sub>20</sub>  |
| 429 | Eylettersite                    | $Th_{0.75}AI_3(PO_4)_2(OH)_6$  |
| 130 | Grayite                         | (Th,Pb,Ca)PO <sub>4</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O  |
| 430 | Huttonite                       | ThSiO₄   |
| 431 | Ichnusaite                      | $Th(MoO_4)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$  |
| 432 | Nuragheite                      | $Th(MoO_4)_2 H_2O$   |
| 433 | Steacyite                       | $K_{0.3}(Na,Ca)_2$ ThSi <sub>8</sub> O <sub>20</sub>   |
| 434 | Thorbastnäsite                  | ThCa(CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub> ·3H <sub>2</sub> O                                      |
| 135 | Thorianite                      | ThO <sub>2</sub>   |
| 426 | Thorite                         | ThSiO₄   |
| 436 | Thornasite                      | Na <sub>12</sub> Th <sub>3</sub> (Si <sub>8</sub> O <sub>19</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> ·18H <sub>2</sub> O       |
| 437 | Thorogummite                    | (Th,U <sup>6+</sup> )[(SiO <sub>4</sub> ), (OH) <sub>4</sub> ]   |
| 438 | Thorosteenstrupine              | (Ca,Th,Mn) <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>4</sub> O <sub>11</sub> F·6H <sub>2</sub> O                                |
|     |                                 |  |

441 442

439

440

444

445 Table 2. Microprobe analyses of ichnusaite (in wt%).

Thorutite

Tuliokite

Turkestanite

Umbozerite

446

| Oxide            | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | average | e.s.d. | Ideal |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|-------|
| MoO <sub>3</sub> | 49.85 | 47.17 | 46.54 | 47.87 | 47.86   | 1.43   | 47.51 |
| $ThO_2$          | 42.28 | 43.42 | 43.89 | 44.01 | 43.40   | 0.79   | 43.57 |
| Total            | 92.13 | 90.59 | 90.43 | 91.88 | 91.26   | 0.87   | 91.08 |

(Th,U,Ca)Ti<sub>2</sub>(O,OH)<sub>6</sub>

Na<sub>6</sub>BaTh(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O

Na<sub>3</sub>Sr<sub>4</sub>ThSi<sub>8</sub>(O,OH)<sub>24</sub>

(K,□)(Ca,Na)<sub>2</sub>ThSi<sub>8</sub>O<sub>20</sub>·nH<sub>2</sub>O

| 447 |
|-----|
| 448 |

449

- 450 451
- 452

453

454

455

456

457

458 459

<sup>443</sup> 

# **Table 3**. Crystal data and summary of parameters describing data collection and refinement

## 462 for ichnusaite.

| X-ray formula<br>Crystal size (mm³)Th(MOQ,b;3H <sub>2</sub> O<br>0.06 x 0.06 x 0.05Cell setting, space group<br>a (Å)<br>b (Å)<br>c (Å)<br>c (Å)<br>g (°)0.20 x 0.06 x 0.05a (Å)<br>b (Å)<br>c (Å)<br>g (°)9.6797(12)<br>9.03771(13)<br>c (Å)<br>g 9.3782(12)<br>9.3782(12)<br>g (°)b (Å)<br>c (Å)<br>g (°)0.3771(13)<br>9.3782(12)<br>g (°)b (Å)<br>c (Å)<br>g (Å)9.3782(12)<br>9.3782(12)<br>g (°)b (Å)<br>c (Å)<br>g (Å)9.3782(12)<br>9.3782(12)<br>g (°)b (Å)<br>c (Å)<br>g (Å)9.3782(12)<br>9.3782(12)<br>g (°)b (Å)<br>c (Å)<br>g (Å)9.3782(12)<br>9.3782(12)<br>g (°)c (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)9.3782(12)<br>9.3782(12)<br>g (Å)d (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)9.3782(12)<br>9.3782(12)<br>g (Å)d (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)9.3782(12)<br>9.3782(12)<br>g (Å)d (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)9.3782(12)<br>9.3782(12)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)d (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)Mo (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)d (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)Mo (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)d (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)Mo (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)d (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)Mo (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)d (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)Mo (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)d (Å)<br>g (Å)<br>g (Å)<   | l data                                    |   |
|---|---|---|
| Crystal size (mm*) $0.20 \times 0.06 \times 0.05$<br>Monoclinic, $P_{2,lc}$<br>$a (Å)0.3771(13)9.6797(12)b (Å)0.3771(13)9.3782(12)g (*)9.3782(12)9.42.0(2)ZData collection and refinementMo Ka, \lambda = 0.71073Temperature (K)29329_{max}Data collection and refinementMo Ka, \lambda = 0.71073Z = 4Radiation, wavelength (Å)Mo Ka, \lambda = 0.7107329_{max}Deta collection and refinementMo Ka, \lambda = 0.71073293220_{max}Reflections with F_0 > 40(F_0)2008R_{mt}Quadratic optimization of the state optimization optimi$ | X-ray formula                             | Th(MoO₄)₂·3H₂O  |
| Cell setting, space group<br>a (Å)Monoclinic, $P2_i/c$<br>9.6797(12)b (Å)10.3771(13)c (Å)9.3782(12) $\beta$ (*)90.00(1) $V$ (Å*)942.0(2)Z4Data collection and refinementRadiation, wavelength (Å)Temperature (K)293 $2\theta_{max}$ 59.65Measured reflections4073Unique reflections2208Reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ 2008 $R_{nt}$ 0.0279 $R\sigma$ 0.0471-10 $\leq h \leq 13$ ,Range of h, k, l-14 $\leq k \leq 12$ ,<br>-14 $\leq k \leq 12$ ,<br>0.0507 $R$ (all data)0.0603wR (on $F_0$ )0.0401minimum residual peak ( $e$ Å*)-3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[C^2(F_0^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F$<br>$Aax(F_0^2, 0)]/3$ . a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.  | Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )           | 0.20 x 0.06 x 0.05  |
| a (Å)       9.6737(12)         b (Å)       10.3771(13)         c (Å)       9.3782(12) $\beta$ ( <sup>*</sup> )       90.00(1)         V (Å')       942.0(2)         Z       4         Data collection and refinement         Radiation, wavelength (Å)       Mo Ka, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Temperature (K)       293         2 $\theta_{max}$ 59.65         Measured reflections       4073         Unique reflections       2223         Reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ 2008 $R_{nt}$ 0.0279 $R_{of}$ 0.0471 $-10 \le h \le 13$ , $-14 \le k \le 12$ , $R[F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]$ 0.0507 $R$ (all data)       0.0603 $wR (on F_0^-)$ 0.1461         Goof       1.128         Number of least-squares       124         maximum and       6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)         minimum residual peak (e Å^3)       -3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)         vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1(0^{2}(F_0^{-2}) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$ hax( $F_0^{-2}$ , 0)]/3. a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | setting, space group                      | Monoclinic, P2 <sub>1</sub> /c  |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $   | a (Å)                                     | 9.6797(12)  |
| $c(A)$ $9.3782(12)$ $\beta(Y)$ $90.00(1)$ $V(A^3)$ $942.0(2)$ $Z$ $4$ Radiation, wavelength (A)         Relaction, wavelength (A)       Mo Ka, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Temperature (K)       293 $2\theta_{max}$ 59.65         Measured reflections       2223         Reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ 0.0279 $R_{nt}$ 0.0471 $-10 \le h \le 13$ , $-14 \le h \le 12$ , $Rig       0.0471         Rig       0.0471         Rig       0.0507         Rig       0.0507         Rig       0.1461         Goof       1.128         Number of least-squares       124         maximum and       6.91 (at 0.85 A from Th)         minimum residual peak (e A^3)       -3.17 (at 0.93 A from Th)         Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (aP)^2 + bP], with P = [2F Aax(F_0^2, 0)]/3. a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   $   | b (Å)                                     | 10.3771(13)   |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $  | c (Å)                                     | 9.3782(12)  |
| $V(A^3)$ $942.0(2)$ <b>Data collection and refinement</b> Radiation, wavelength (A)Mo Ka, $h = 0.71073$ Radiation, wavelength (A) $293$ $20_{max}$ $59.65$ Measured reflections $4073$ Unique reflections $2223$ Reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ $2008$ $R_{mt}$ $0.0279$ $Ro$ $0.0471$ $-10 \le h \le 13$ ,Range of $h, k, l$ $-12 \le l \le 5$ $R[F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]$ $0.0507$ $R$ (all data) $0.0603$ $wR$ (on $F_0^2$ ) $0.1461$ Goof $1.128$ Number of least-squares $124$ Maximum and $6.91$ (at $0.85$ Å from Th)minimum residual peak ( $e A^3$ ) $-3.17$ (at $0.93$ Å from Th)Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F$ $Aax(F_0^2, 0)/3. a$ and $b$ values are $0.0699$ and $53.6946$ .  | β(°)                                      | 90.00(1)  |
| Z4Data collection and refinementRadiation, wavelength (Å)<br>Temperature (K)<br>$293$<br>$26_{max}$ Mo Ka, $k = 0.71073$<br>$293$<br>$293$<br>$865$ Measured reflections<br>Unique reflections4073<br>$2223$ Reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$<br>$R_{of}$ 2008<br>$0.04711$<br>$-10 \le h \le 13$ ,<br>$-10 \le h \le 13$ ,<br>$-11 \le h \le 12$ ,<br>$-12 \le I \le 5$<br>$R [H_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]$<br>$R (all data)$<br>$WR (on F_0^-7)$<br>$R (all data)$<br>$Wark (or F_0^-7)$<br>$Rarmeters0.06030.1461Goof1.128Number of least-squaresparametersMaximum andMax(F_0^-2,0)/3. a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.144 = 2262.0123$   | $V(\dot{A}^3)$                            | 942 0(2)  |
| Data collection and refinementRadiation, wavelength (Å)<br>Temperature (K)Mo Ka, $\lambda = 0.71073$<br>29320max<br>20max59.65Measured reflections4073<br>Unique reflectionsReflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$<br>Ro2008<br>RimeRime<br>Ro0.0279<br>RoRange of h, k, l-10 ≤ h ≤ 13,<br>-12 ≤ l ≤ 5R[ $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ ]<br>Goof0.0507<br>1.128Number of least-squares<br>parameters124<br>6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)<br>-3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)<br>Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F Max(F_0^2, 0)]/3$ . a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | 7   | 4   |
| Radiation, wavelength (Å)<br>Temperature (K)<br>$29_{max}$ Mo Kα, $\lambda = 0.71073$<br>$293$<br>$29_{max}$ $90_{max}$ 59.65<br>Measured reflectionsWindue reflections4073<br>$2023$<br>RReflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$<br>$R_0$ 2008<br>$0.0279$<br>$R_0$ Range of h, k, l $-10 \le h \le 13$ ,<br>$-10 \le h \le 13$ ,<br>$1.12 \le l \le 5$<br>$R [all data)$<br>$Maximum and$<br>minimum residual peak (e Å^3)Number of least-squares<br>parameters124<br>$-3.17$ (at 0.93 Å from Th)<br>$-3.16946$ .Note: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$<br>$Max(F_0^{-2}, 0)]/3$ . a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | ollection and refinement                  | I   |
| Temperature (K)       293 $20_{max}$ 59.65         Measured reflections       4073         Unique reflections       2223         Reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ 2008 $R_{int}$ 0.0279 $R_{o}$ -10 ≤ h ≤ 13,         Range of h, k, l       -14 ≤ k ≤ 12, $R[F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]$ 0.0603 $W(n \cap F_0^-)$ 0.1461         Goof       1.128         Number of least-squares       124         Maximum and       6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)         minimum residual peak (e Å^3)       -3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)         Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^-2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F$ $Aax(F_0^-2,0)]/3$ . a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.  | iation, wavelength (Å)                    | Μο <i>Κ</i> α, λ = 0.71073  |
| $2\theta_{max}$ 59.65         Measured reflections       4073         Unique reflections       2223         Reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ 2008 $R_{int}$ 0.0279 $R\sigma$ 0.0471 $Ror$ 0.0507 $R(all data)$ 0.0507 $Number of least-squares       1.128         Maximum and       6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)         minimum residual peak (e Å-3)       -3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)         vote: the weighting scheme is defined as w = 11/0^2(F_0^2) + (aP)^2 + bP], with P = [2F] Max(F_0^2, 0)]/3. a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   $  | Temperature (K)                           | 293   |
| Measured reflections       4073         Unique reflections       2223         Reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ 2008 $R_{nt}$ 0.0279 $R\sigma$ 0.0471 $-10 \le h \le 13$ , $-14 \le k \le 12$ , $R[F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]$ $0.0507$ $R$ (all data) $0.0603$ $wR$ (on $F_0^{-2}$ ) $0.1461$ Goof $1.128$ Number of least-squares $124$ Maximum and $6.91$ (at 0.85 Å from Th)         minimum residual peak ( $e A^{-3}$ ) $-3.17$ ( $a 0.93 Å$ from Th)         Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^{-2}) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$ $Max(F_0^{-2}, 0)]/3$ . a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | $2\theta_{max}$                           | 59.65   |
| Unique reflections2223Reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ 2008 $R_{int}$ 0.0279 $R\sigma$ 0.0471Range of h, k, l $-10 \le h \le 13$ , $Rige of h, k, l$ $-12 \le l \le 5$ $R[F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]$ 0.0603 $wR$ (on $F_0^{-2}$ )0.1461Goof1.128Number of least-squares124minimum residual peak (e Å^3) $-3.17$ (at 0.93 Å from Th)Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^{-2}) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$ $Aax(F_0^{-2}, 0)]/3$ . a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.  | easured reflections                       | 4073  |
| Reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ 2008 $R_{int}$ 0.0279 $R\sigma$ 0.0471         Range of h, k, l       -10 ≤ h ≤ 13,         Range of h, k, l       -14 ≤ k ≤ 12, $R[F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]$ 0.0507 $R$ (all data)       0.0603 $wR$ (on $F_0^-$ )       0.1461         Goof       1.128         Number of least-squares       124         maximum and       6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)         -3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)       -3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)         vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^-) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F$ $Aax(F_0^-, 0)]/3$ . a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   |   | 2223  |
| Rint       0.0279 $R_{0}$ 0.0471         Range of h, k, l       -10 ≤ h ≤ 13,         Range of h, k, l       -14 ≤ k ≤ 12, $R[F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]$ 0.0507 $R$ (all data)       0.0603 $wR$ (on $F_0^{-2}$ )       0.1461         Goof       1.128         Number of least-squares       124         Maximum and       6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)         minimum residual peak (e Å^3)       -3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)         Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^{-2}) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F$ Max( $F_0^{-2}$ , 0)]/3. a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | octions with $E > 4\sigma(E)$             | 2008  |
| Nint       0.02471 $R\sigma$ -10 ≤ h ≤ 13,         Range of h, k, l       -14 ≤ k ≤ 12, $R[F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]$ 0.0603 $WR$ (on $F_0^{-2}$ )       0.1461         Goof       1.128         Number of least-squares       124         maximum and       6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)         minimum residual peak ( $e Å^{-3}$ )       -3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)         Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^{-2}) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$ Max( $F_0^2$ , 0)]/3. a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | $D = D^{-1}$                              | 0.0270  |
| Ro       -10 $\le h \le 13$ ,         Range of h, k, l       -14 $\le k \le 12$ ,         R [F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)]       0.0507         R (all data)       0.0603         wR (on F_o^2)       0.1461         Goof       1.128         Number of least-squares parameters       124         Maximum and       6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)         work: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 11[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$ Max( $F_o^2, 0)]/3$ . a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | ∧ <sub>int</sub>                          | 0.0279  |
| Range of h, k, l $-10 \le h \le 13$ ,<br>$-14 \le k \le 12$ ,<br>$-12 \le l \le 5$ R [F_0 > 4 $\sigma$ (F_0)]       0.0507         R (all data)       0.0603         wR (on F_0^2)       0.1461         Goof       1.128         Number of least-squares<br>parameters       124         Maximum and       6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)         minimum residual peak ( $e Å^{-3}$ )       -3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)         Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^{-2}) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$<br>Max( $F_0^{-2}, 0$ )]/3. a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | RU  | 0.0471  |
| Range of h, k, l $-14 \le k \le 12,$ R [F_o > 4 $\sigma$ (F_o)]       0.0507         R (all data)       0.0603         WR (on F_o^2)       0.1461         Goof       1.128         Number of least-squares       124         parameters       124         Maximum and       6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)         minimum residual peak (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )       -3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)         Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F$ $Aax(F_o^2, 0)]/3. a$ and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.  |   | $-10 \le h \le 13,$   |
| $\begin{array}{c c} -12 \le l \le 5 \\ R \left[ F_{0} > 4\sigma(F_{0}) \right] \\ R (all data) \\ wR (on F_{0}^{-2}) \\ Goof \\ Number of least-squares \\ parameters \\ Maximum and \\ minimum residual peak (e Å^{-3}) \\ vote: the weighting scheme is defined as w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{0}^{-2}) + (aP)^{2} + bP], with P = [2F] Aax(F_{0}^{-2}, 0)]/3. a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.$   | Range of <i>h</i> , <i>k</i> , <i>I</i>   | <i>−</i> 14 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 12,  |
| $R[F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)]$ 0.0507 $R$ (all data)       0.0603 $wR$ (on $F_o^2$ )       0.1461         Goof       1.128         Number of least-squares       124         parameters       6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)         minimum residual peak ( $e Å^3$ )       -3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)         Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[o^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$ Max( $F_o^2$ , 0)]/3. $a$ and $b$ values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.  |   | –12 ≤ / ≤ 5   |
| $\hat{R}$ (all data)0.0603 $WR$ (on $F_0^2$ )0.1461Goof1.128Number of least-squares<br>parameters124Maximum and6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)minimum residual peak (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )-3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)Note: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[o^2(F_0^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$ Max( $F_0^2$ ,0)]/3. a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | $R[F_{o} > 4\sigma(F_{o})]$               | 0.0507  |
| $w\dot{R}$ (on $F_0^2$ )<br>Goof0.1461<br>1.128Number of least-squares<br>parameters<br>Maximum and<br>minimum residual peak ( $e\dot{A}^{-3}$ )1240.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)<br>-3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^{-2}) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$<br>Max $(F_0^{-2}, 0)]/3$ . $a$ and $b$ values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.  | R (all data)                              | 0.0603  |
| Goof1.128Number of least-squares<br>parameters124Maximum and6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)minimum residual peak ( $e Å^{-3}$ )-3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[o^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$ Max $(F_o^2, 0)]/3$ . $a$ and $b$ values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | $wR$ (on $E_{0}^{2}$ )                    | 0.1461  |
| Number of least-squares parameters       124         Maximum and       6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)         minimum residual peak ( $e$ Å <sup>-3</sup> )       -3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)         Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[o^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$ Max( $F_o^2$ ,0)]/3. a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | Goof                                      | 1 128   |
| Item parameters124Maximum and6.91 (at 0.85 Å from Th)minimum residual peak ( $e$ Å <sup>-3</sup> )-3.17 (at 0.93 Å from Th)Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[0^2(F_0^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$ Max $(F_0^2, 0)]/3$ . a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | nber of least-squares                     |   |
| Maximum and<br>minimum residual peak $(e A^{-3})$<br>Note: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$<br>Max $(F_o^2, 0)]/3$ . a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | narameters                                | 124   |
| minimum residual peak ( $e \ A^{-3}$ )<br>$3.17 (at 0.93 \ A from Th)$<br>Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F]$<br>$Max(F_o^2, 0)]/3$ . <i>a</i> and <i>b</i> values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | Maximum and                               | 6 01 (at 0 85 Å from Th)  |
| Note: the weighting scheme is defined as $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ , with $P = [2F] Aax(F_0^2, 0)]/3$ . <i>a</i> and <i>b</i> values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.  | $\mu$ m residuel peak ( $\alpha h^{-3}$ ) | 2.17 (at 0.03  A from Th)   |
| Vote: the weighting scheme is defined as $W = 1/[G(F_0) + (aF) + bF]$ , with $P = [2F]$<br>Max $(F_0^2, 0)]/3$ . a and b values are 0.0699 and 53.6946.   | uni residual peak (eA)                    | -3.17 (at 0.95 A from 11)   |
|   | $^{2}$ 0)1/3 a and b values are (         | $\begin{array}{l} \text{Ineu as } w = 1/[0 (F_0) + (aP) + bP], \text{ with } P = [2F_c + 0.0600 \text{ and } 53.6046 \end{array}$ |
|   | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |

## This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4844

| 495 | Table 4. Atom | ic positions and | l displacement | parameters ( | in $Å^2$ | ) for ichnusaite. |
|-----|---------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|
|-----|---------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|

#### 496

| Site | x         | у         | Z          | U <sub>eq</sub> | <i>U</i> <sub>11</sub> | U <sub>22</sub> | U <sub>33</sub> | U <sub>23</sub> | <i>U</i> <sub>13</sub> | <i>U</i> <sub>12</sub> |
|------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Th   | 0.6781(1) | 0.4625(1) | 0.2364(1)  | 0.0127(2)       | 0.0251(3)              | 0.0035(2)       | 0.0095(3)       | -0.0003(2)      | -0.003(3)              | -0.0002(2)             |
| Mo1  | 0.5770(2) | 0.7657(1) | -0.0092(2) | 0.0142(3)       | 0.0278(8)              | 0.0034(7)       | 0.0112(7)       | -0.0005(5)      | 0.0021(8)              | -0.0010(6)             |
| Mo2  | 0.7140(2) | 0.4051(2) | -0.2074(2) | 0.0155(4)       | 0.0282(9)              | 0.0057(6)       | 0.0125(8)       | -0.0008(5)      | 0.0001(7)              | -0.0008(6)             |
| 01   | 0.546(1)  | 0.428(1)  | -0.271(2)  | 0.021(3)        | 0.015(6)               | 0.016(6)        | 0.031(9)        | -0.001(7)       | 0.004(7)               | 0.003(5)               |
| 02   | 0.828(2)  | 0.519(1)  | -0.282(2)  | 0.023(3)        | 0.031(8)               | 0.014(7)        | 0.025(8)        | 0.004(5)        | -0.014(9)              | 0.002(6)               |
| O3   | 0.699(2)  | 0.871(1)  | -0.085(2)  | 0.019(3)        | 0.025(9)               | 0.019(7)        | 0.015(6)        | 0.008(5)        | -0.002(7)              | 0.007(6)               |
| O4   | 0.720(2)  | 0.420(1)  | -0.020(2)  | 0.022(3)        | 0.041(10)              | 0.022(7)        | 0.005(6)        | -0.002(5)       | 0.008(7)               | -0.008(7)              |
| O5   | 0.777(1)  | 0.250(1)  | -0.264(2)  | 0.013(2)        | 0.011(5)               | 0.013(5)        | 0.017(6)        | 0.002(5)        | 0.011(6)               | -0.002(5)              |
| Ow6  | 0.863(2)  | 0.428(2)  | 0.430(2)   | 0.031(4)        | 0.055(13)              | 0.020(8)        | 0.019(8)        | 0.000(6)        | -0.004(8)              | 0.008(8)               |
| 07   | 0.442(2)  | 0.846(2)  | 0.076(2)   | 0.021(3)        |                        |                 |                 |                 |                        |                        |
| 08   | 0.510(2)  | 0.658(1)  | -0.135(2)  | 0.021(3)        | 0.030(9)               | 0.017(7)        | 0.014(7)        | -0.004(6)       | -0.006(7)              | 0.002(7)               |
| O9   | 0.668(2)  | 0.670(1)  | 0.111(2)   | 0.031(4)        | 0.065(13)              | 0.012(7)        | 0.015(7)        | 0.004(5)        | 0.006(9)               | 0.002(9)               |
| Ow10 | 0.916(2)  | 0.539(2)  | 0.175(2)   | 0.033(4)        | 0.013(8)               | 0.049(12)       | 0.039(11)       | 0.016(8)        | 0.007(8)               | 0.000(8)               |
| Ow11 | 0.030(2)  | 0.231(2)  | 0.536(2)   | 0.042(5)        | 0.048(12)              | 0.029(10)       | 0.049(13)       | 0.006(9)        | -0.003(11)             | -0.006(9)              |

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4844

20

4/2

| Th | – O5   | 2.405(13) | Mo1 | - 07 | 1.745(16) |
|----|--------|-----------|-----|------|-----------|
|    | – O8   | 2.407(16) |     | - O9 | 1.746(18) |
|    | – O3   | 2.412(14) |     | - O8 | 1.747(15) |
|    | – O7   | 2.428(16) |     | - O3 | 1.765(16) |
|    | – O9   | 2.450(15) |     |      |           |
|    | – O1   | 2.469(13) | Mo2 | - 01 | 1.750(14) |
|    | - O4   | 2.483(14) |     | - 04 | 1.760(14) |
|    | – Ow10 | 2.505(17) |     | - O2 | 1.763(16) |
|    | – Ow6  | 2.574(19) |     | - O5 | 1.800(13) |

497 **Table 5**. Selected bond distances (in Å) for ichnusaite.

498

499

500

| 501 | Table 6.            | X-rav    | powder  | diffraction | data | for | ichnusaite. |
|-----|---------------------|----------|---------|-------------|------|-----|-------------|
| 201 | <b>A 460 A 0</b> 0. | 11 100 9 | 0000000 | annaotion   | aaca | 101 | ionnabarco. |

502

| I <sub>obs</sub> | d <sub>obs</sub> (Å) | I <sub>calc</sub> | d <sub>calc</sub> (Å) | hkl    | I <sub>obs</sub> | d <sub>obs</sub> (Å) | $I_{\rm calc}$ | d <sub>calc</sub> (Å) | hkl    |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------|
| mw               | 9.7*                 | 100               | 9.68                  | 100    | vw               | 2.500*               | 1              | 2.500                 | 041    |
| w                | 7.03*                | 7                 | 7.08                  | 110    | w                | 2.415*               | 2              | 2.413                 | -232   |
| m                | 5.66                 | 18                | 5.649                 | -111   |                  | 2.358                | 7              | 2.366                 | -322   |
| m                |                      | 15                | 5.649                 | 111    | IIIvv            |                      | 8              | 2.344                 | 004    |
| mw               | 5.19*                | 10                | 5.189                 | 020    | mw               | 2.284*               | 6              | 2.286                 | 411    |
| w                | 4.82*                | 12                | 4.840                 | 200    | mw               | 2.252*               | 5              | 2.255                 | 133    |
| mw               | 4.69*                | 16                | 4.689                 | 002    |                  |                      | 1              | 2.221                 | -2 4 1 |
| vw               | 4.12*                | 2                 | 4.110                 | 121    | vw               | 2.212                | 1              | 2.210                 | -142   |
|                  |                      | 15                | 3.973                 | 211    |                  |                      | 1              | 2.194                 | -313   |
| m                | 3.930                | 13                | 3.909                 | -112   |                  | 0 106                | 1              | 2.137                 | 024    |
|                  |                      | 11                | 3.909                 | 112    | VVV              | 2.130                | 1              | 2.136                 | 421    |
| S                | 3.479*               | 45                | 3.479                 | 022    | w                | 2.097<br>2.055       | 2              | 2.092                 | -233   |
|                  | 2 265                | 12                | 3.367                 | -2 2 1 |                  |                      | 4              | 2.092                 | 233    |
| TTW              | 3.305                | 14                | 3.367                 | 221    |                  |                      | 3              | 2.060                 | 323    |
|                  |                      | 11                | 3.274                 | 122    | vv               |                      | 2              | 2.055                 | -242   |
| S                | 3.257                | 23                | 3.257                 | 130    | w                | 2.024*               | 3              | 2.029                 | 151    |
|                  |                      | 10                | 3.203                 | -212   | mw               | 1.980                |                |                       |        |
| m                | 3.074*               | 9                 | 3.077                 | -131   | w                | 1.937                |                |                       |        |
| w                | 2.981*               | 4                 | 2.993                 | 013    | mw               | 1.903                |                |                       |        |
| w                | 2.866*               | 4                 | 2.860                 | -113   | m                | 1.861                |                |                       |        |
| m                | 2.816*               | 13                | 2.814                 | 230    | w                | 1.813                |                |                       |        |
| VW               | 2.741*               | 8                 | 2.740                 | 320    | w                | 1.765                |                |                       |        |
| mw               | 2.670*               | 4                 | 2.675                 | -132   | vw               | 1.733                |                |                       |        |
| w                | 2.582*               | 1                 | 2.581                 | 123    | w                | 1.700                |                |                       |        |
| W                | 2.540*               | 6                 | 2.546                 | 213    |                  |                      |                |                       |        |

**Notes**: the  $\overline{d_{hkl}}$  values were calculated on the basis of the unit cell refined by using single-crystal data. Intensities were calculated on the basis of the structural model using the software Powder Cell (Kraus and Nolze, 1996). Observed intensities were visually estimated. vs = very strong; s = strong; ms = medium-strong; m = medium; mw = medium-weak; w = weak; vw = very weak. Only reflections with  $I_{calc} > 5$  are listed, if not observed. The strongest reflections are given in bold. Reflections used for the refinement of the unit-cell parameters are indicated by an asterisk.

- 509
- 510
- 511

4/2

# 513 **Table 7**. O···O distances (in Å) and corresponding bond-valence values (in valence units, *v.u.*)

## calculated with parameters from Ferraris and Ivaldi (1988).

515

| 0…0       | d (Å)   | vu   |  |  |
|-----------|---------|------|--|--|
| O2…Ow10   | 2.74(2) | 0.21 |  |  |
| Ow6…Ow11  | 2.79(3) | 0.19 |  |  |
| Ow10…Ow11 | 2.86(3) | 0.16 |  |  |
| O2…Ow6    | 2.88(2) | 0.16 |  |  |

- 516
- 517
- 518

519

520

**Table 8**. Bond-valence values calculated with parameters from Brese & O'Keeffe (1991).

522

| Site   | 01   | 02   | O3   | O4   | O5   | Ow6                                     | 07   | 08   | O9   | Ow10                                   | Ow11                                    | Σ(X – Ο) |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|---|------|------|------|--|---|----------|
| Th   | 0.44 |      | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 0.33                                    | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.47 | 0.40                                   |   | 4.13     |
| Mo1  |      |      | 1.47 |      |      |   | 1.55 | 1.54 | 1.55 |  |   | 6.11     |
| Mo2  | 1.53 | 1.48 |      | 1.49 | 1.34 |   |      |      |      |  |   | 5.84     |
| $\Sigma(O-X)$  | 1.97 | 1.48 | 1.99 | 1.92 | 1.87 | 0.33                                    | 2.04 | 2.06 | 2.02 | 0.40                                   | 0.00                                    |          |
| Σ (O – X)*   | 1.97 | 1.85 | 1.99 | 1.92 | 1.87 | -0.02 <sup>1</sup><br>0.36 <sup>2</sup> | 2.04 | 2.06 | 2.02 | 0.35 <sup>1</sup><br>0.03 <sup>2</sup> | 0.03 <sup>1</sup><br>-0.03 <sup>2</sup> |          |
| Species  | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | $H_2O$                                  | 0    | 0    | 0    | H <sub>2</sub> O                       | H <sub>2</sub> O                        |          |
| *after correction for OO hydrogen bonds. <sup>1</sup> Ow6 as donor and Ow10 as acceptor in the |      |      |      |      |      |   |      |      |      |  |   |          |
| Ow6…Ow11…Ow10 hydrogen bond; <sup>2</sup> Ow6 as acceptor and Ow10 as donor in the             |      |      |      |      |      |   |      |      |      |  |   |          |
| Ow6…Ow11…Ow10 hydrogen bond.   |      |      |      |      |      |   |      |      |      |  |   |          |

- 523
- 524
- 525

526

527 528

**Table 9**. Molybdate minerals containing the oxoanion  $(MoO_4)^{2-}$ .

|                  |  |       |        | ,            |       |       |       |                    |      |
|------------------|--|-------|--------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|------|
| Mineral          | Chemical formula   | a (Å) | b (Å)  | <i>c</i> (Å) | α (°) | β (°) | γ (°) | S.g.               | Ref. |
| Cupromolybdite   | Cu <sub>3</sub> O(MoO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>                            | 7.664 | 6.867  | 14.555       | 90    | 90    | 90    | Pnma               | [1]  |
| Ferrimolybdite   | Fe <sub>2</sub> (MoO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> · <i>n</i> H <sub>2</sub> O | 6.665 | 15.423 | 29.901       | 90    | 90    | 90    | Pmmn<br>Pm2.n      | [2]  |
| Ichnusaite       | Th(MoO₄)·3H₂O  | 9.680 | 10.377 | 9.378        | 90    | 90    | 90    | P2 <sub>1</sub> /c | [3]  |
| Lindgrenite      | $Cu_3(MoO_4)_2(OH)_2$  | 5.61  | 14.03  | 5.40         | 90    | 98.4  | 90    | P2₁/n              | [4]  |
| Markascherite    | Cu <sub>3</sub> (MoO <sub>4</sub> )(OH) <sub>4</sub>                         | 9.990 | 5.993  | 5.526        | 90    | 97.4  | 90    | P2 <sub>1</sub> /m | [5]  |
| Molybdofornacite | CuPb <sub>2</sub> (MoO <sub>4</sub> )(AsO <sub>4</sub> )(OH)                 | 8.100 | 5.946  | 17.65        | 90    | 109.2 | 90    | P21/c              | [6]  |
| Nuragheite       | Th(MoO₄)·H₂O   | 7.358 | 10.544 | 9.489        | 90    | 91.9  | 90    | P21/c              | [7]  |
| Powellite        | Ca(MoO <sub>4</sub> )  | 5.224 | 5.224  | 11.430       | 90    | 90    | 90    | <i>I</i> 4₁/a      | [8]  |
| Szenicsite       | Cu <sub>3</sub> (MoO <sub>4</sub> )(OH) <sub>4</sub>                         | 8.520 | 12.545 | 6.079        | 90    | 90    | 90    | Pnnm               | [9]  |
| Tancaite-(Ce)    | FeCe(MoO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ·3H <sub>2</sub> O                      | 6.80  | 6.80   | 6.80         | 90    | 90    | 90    | Pm3 m              | [10] |
| Vergasovaite     | Cu <sub>3</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> )(MoO <sub>4</sub> ,SO <sub>4</sub> )O      | 7.421 | 6.754  | 13.624       | 90    | 90    | 90    | Pnma               | [11] |
| Wulfenite        | Pb(MoO <sub>4</sub> )  | 5.433 | 5.433  | 12.098       | 90    | 90    | 90    | <i>I</i> 4₁/a      | [12] |
|                  |  |       |        |              |       |       |       |                    |      |

529 [1]Zelenski et al., 2012; [2] Horn et al., 1995; [3] this work; [4] Calvert and Barnes, 1957; [5] Yang et

al., 2012; [6] Medenbach et al., 1983; [7] Orlandi et al., in preparation; [8] Aleksandrov et al., 1968; [9]

531 Burns, 1998; [10] Bonaccorsi and Orlandi, 2010; [11] Berlepsch et al., 1999; [12] Secco et al. 2008.

533 **Fig. 1**. Ichnusaite, tabular crystals on {100}.



- Fig. 2. Ichnusaite, crystal structure as seen down c (a) and a (b). Polyhedra: grey = Th-
- 537 centered polyhedra; dark grey = Mo1 tetrahedra; white = Mo2 tetrahedra. Circles = interlayer
- 538  $H_2O$  groups.  $H_2O$  groups are not shown in (b).



- **Fig. 3**. Hydrogen bond system in ichnusaite as seen down **c**. Polyhedra: grey = Th-centered
- 543 polyhedra; dark grey = Mo1 tetrahedra; white = Mo2 tetrahedra. Circles: black = O2 site; dark
- 544 grey =  $H_2O$  groups bonded to  $Th^{4+}$  cations (Ow6 and Ow10 sites); light grey = interlayer
- 545 H<sub>2</sub>O groups (Ow11 site).
- 546







