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#### Abstract

We have determined the mid-IR optical constants of one alkali feldspar and four pyroxene compositions in the range of $250-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Measured reflectance spectra of oriented single crystals were iteratively fit to modeled spectra derived from classical dispersion analysis. We present the real and imaginary indices of refraction ( $n$ and $k$ ) along with the oscillator parameters with which they were modeled. While materials of orthorhombic symmetry and higher are well-covered by the current literature, optical constants have been derived for only a handful of geologically relevant monoclinic materials, including gypsum and orthoclase. Two input parameters that go into radiative transfer models, the scattering phase function and the single scattering albedo, are functions of a material's optical constants. Pyroxene is a common rock-forming mineral group in terrestrial bodies as well meteorites and is also detected in cosmic dust. Hence, having a set of pyroxene optical constants will provide additional details about the composition of solar system bodies and circumstellar materials. We follow the method of Mayerhofer et al. (2010), which is based on the Berreman $4 \times 4$ matrix formulation. This approach provides a consistent way to calculate the reflectance coefficients in lowsymmetry cases. Additionally, while many models assume normal incidence to simplify the dispersion relations, this more general model applies to reflectance spectra collected at non-normal incidence.


## 1. Introduction

Pyroxenes are common rock-forming minerals in terrestrial planets and asteroids. They are also abundant in meteorites and interplanetary and cosmic dust particles. The pyroxene crystal structure allows for a variety of cations to occupy its M1 and M2 sites, with ordering and preference between the two sites being controlled by temperature, pressure, and cooling rate. As a result, pyroxene composition is a good indicator of the thermal history of the source magma (Kilma et al. 2008) and can be used to compare the evolution of different planetary bodies (Karner et al. 2006). Pyroxene is readily detected in the near-infrared (NIR) via two strong $\mathrm{Fe}^{2}$ crystal field absorption bands near 1 and $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ whose positions and strengths are functions of composition. As the mid-IR (MIR, around 3-15 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, though definitions vary) is sensitive to $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$ vibrational modes (Salisbury 1972), it can be used to provide additional details about composition as well as to estimate abundance relative to more felsic components (Ramsey and Christensen 1998).

The wavelength-dependent complex index of refraction $(\tilde{n}=n+i k)$, where $n$ and $k$ are the optical constants, is an essential input into scattering models of planetary surfaces. Commonly used radiative transfer models of airless bodies include Lumme and Bowell (1981), Hapke (1993a, 2012), Shkuratov et al. (1999) and Mishchenko et al. (1999). Hapke's theory uses optical constants to calculate the single scattering albedo and the phase function. In Skhuratov's model of lunar regolith scattering, the reflectance of the surface $(A)$ is dependent on only four parameters $A(n, k, S, q)$, where $n$ and $k$ are the optical constants, $S$ is the scattering path-length and $q$ is the packing density (Skhuratov et al. 1999). Hence, accurate determination of optical constants for a variety of minerals is necessary for using remote sensing data to make quantitative estimates of mineralogical composition. At MIR wavelengths, materials of orthorhombic symmetry and higher are well-covered by the current literature; these include quartz, calcite, olivine, orthopyroxene, kaolinite, serpentine, palagonite, and iron oxides (Spitzer and Kleinman 1961; Wenrich and Christensen 1996; Lucy 1998; Lane 1999; Suto et al. 2002; Glotch et al. 2006; Sogawa et al. 2006; Dyar et al. 2009; Roush et al.1991; Glotch and Rossman 2009).

Monoclinic and triclinic minerals have largely been ignored in optical constant research, although in some cases orientation-averaged effective optical constants have been calculated and presented (e.g., Roush et al. 1991; Glotch et al. 2007). This is due to the additional complexity of obtaining values for low-symmetry materials, despite the fact that these crystal systems contain important rock-forming mineral groups such as clinopyroxene and feldspar. In this work, we use dispersion analysis to calculate the optical constants of four distinct clinopyroxene compositions in the range of 250-4000 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, following the method of Mayerhofer et al. (2010) and present a procedure that can be applied to derive the optical constants of monoclinic single crystals. We also calculate the optical constants of orthoclase and compare to the previously computed optical constants of Aronson (1986) as a test of our model.

## 2. Background

### 2.1 The Moon

The Clementine mission provided a global lunar map of pyroxene abundance, broadly highlighting that it is a major component of the mare basalts and less prevalent in highlands. Modeled clinopyroxene concentrations are especially high in fresh mare craters due the short exposure ages of these surfaces (Shkuratov et al. 2004). While pyroxene is only a minor component in the lunar highlands it is found in the following highlands rock types: ferroan anorthosites (FAN's), alkali- and Mg-suite rocks (Tompkins and Pieters 1999). Attempting to put these in a global context, Klima et al. (2011) used NIR data from the Moon Mineralogy Mapper $\left(\mathrm{M}^{3}\right)$ to detail the distribution of high- vs. low-Ca pyroxene and estimate Mg \#. Low-Ca pyroxene is limited to regional exposures within the South Pole-Aitken Basin and in the highlands north and south of Mare Frigoris, approximately half of which have Mg \# ( $\mathrm{Mg} /(\mathrm{Mg}+\mathrm{Fe})$ commensurate with FAN rocks $(\sim \mathrm{Mg} \# 55-75)$.

MIR emission data from the Diviner Lunar Radiometer Experiment complements visible nearIR (VNIR) measurements from Clementine and $\mathrm{M}^{3}$, as they are able to detect Fe -poor lithologies in the
presence of mafic materials such as pyroxene and olivine. Three of Diviner's channels are centered near the silicate Christiansen feature (CF) (Paige et al. 2010), which is an emissivity maximum whose position moves to shorter wavelengths with increasing $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ polymerization (Conel 1969; Logan et al. 1973; Salisbury and Walter 1989), allowing Diviner to be used to map silicate compositions across the Moon (Greenhagen et al. 2010; Glotch et al. 2010, 2011; Song et al. 2013; Allen et al. 2011; Arnold et al. 2013). A global map of CF position clearly demonstrates the difference between the relatively pyroxene-rich mare and feldspar-rich highlands (Greenhagen et al. 2010). Although Diviner has only three spectral bands in the $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ range, laboratory measurements in a simulated lunar environment show the estimated CF position combined with spectral shape is adequate to distinguish pyroxene from a mixture of plagioclase and olivine (Donaldson Hanna et al. 2012).

### 2.2 Mars

The low-albedo regions of Mars were initially categorized into two surface compositions based on Mars Global Surveryor Thermal Emission Spectrometer (MGS-TES) data, where Surface Type 1 was determined to be basalt containing $\sim 25 \%$ clinopyroxene (Bandfield et al 2000, Hamilton et al. 2001). Rogers et al. (2007) defined 4 distinct spectral types within low-albedo terrains. Subsequently, Rogers and Christensen (2007) determined the mineralogy of these four groups, with clinopyroxene abundance being one of the major distinguishing features. While low-Ca pyroxenes were mostly detected in Noachian terrain, high-Ca pyroxene was found in a wider age-range of units. Some of the mineralogical differences between the four groups, especially high silica phases, are the result of weathering. However, the differences in pyroxene abundance likely represent differences in the magma from which the crust was derived. These differences were also identified with data from the OMEGA (Observatoire pour la Minéralogie, l'Eau, les Glaces et l'Activité) NIR imaging spectrometer. Using this instrument, Ody et al. (2012) presented global maps of anhydrous minerals, including pyroxene. The highest pyroxene concentration occurs in the Syrtis Major volcanic province, which is coincident with Group 2 of Rogers and Christensen (2007). Glotch and Rogers (2013) suggested that the unusually
high concentration of high-Ca pyroxene at this site was the result of subsurface interactions of basaltic magma and a Ca-carbonate-bearing layer.

### 2.3 Asteroids

Pyroxene has been identified in several S-type asteroid families (Chapman et al. 1975). Sunshine et al. (2004) applied the Modified Gaussian Model (MGM) to VNIR asteroid spectra collected by the NASA Infrared Telescope Facility (IRTF), to determine the relative amounts of lowand high calcium pyroxene. They determined that the Vestoids and well as the S-type asteroid families Merxia and Agnia have a high ratio of high calcium pyroxene to total pyroxene, indicating that they are derived from differentiated bodies.

Pyroxene absorptions are the most common VNIR spectral feature on the surface of the asteroid Vesta, which was first linked to the HED (howardite-eucrite-diogenite) meteorites due to the similarity of their $0.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ band in VNIR spectra (McCord et al. 1970). The findings of Dawn's Visible InfraRed (VIR) mapping spectrometer support this connection. Much of the surface shows a howardite-like spectrum intermixed with smaller-scale regions resembling eucrites and diogenites. The south polar region (Rheasilvia), a large impact basin, is consistent with more Mg-rich pyroxene characteristic of diogenites (De Sanctis et al. 2012). These spectral variations are indicative of a differentiated crust where the deeper diogenitic materials have been exposed through impact.

### 2.4 Interplanetary and Interstellar Dust

The presence of crystalline silicates in interplanetary dust particles (IDPs) was established through micrometeorite samples collected from Earth's stratosphere (Bradley et al. 1983, Mackinnon and Rietmeijer 1987). Additionally, ground-based MIR spectra from three different instruments provided evidence for crystalline silicates in comets (Hanner et al. 1994). Prior to the Infrared Space Observatory (ISO), whose instruments covered a range of $\sim 2.5-240 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, silicates in cosmic dust were thought to be primarily amorphous (Roush et al. 1991; Molster and Kemper 2004). This assumption
guided most previous laboratory studies of pyroxene optical constants (Jäger et al. 1994; Dorschner et al. 1995; Henning and Mutschke 1997). Using ISO, crystalline pyroxene was detected in dust produced by evolved stars (Molster et al. 2002), the circumstellar disks of young stellar objects (YSO's) (Bouwman et al. 2001) and planetary nebula (Beintema 1997). As a result of the detection of crystalline pyroxene around planetary nebula, Jäger et al. (1998) estimated the MIR optical constants for a natural enstatite (low-Ca orthopyroxene) sample along the crystallographic axes using Kramers-Kronig analysis. Better characterization of the materials in circumstellar environments would improve our understanding of dust formation and processing and enable comparison to our own solar system.

## 3. Methods

### 3.1 Sample description and preparation

All samples are large single crystals (roughly $0.5-1 \mathrm{~cm}$ on a side) and their chemical compositions are summarized in Table 1. The major element abundances were determined by scanning electron microscope energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS). To produce the chemical formulas in Table 1, atomic abundances of oxygen were assumed by stoichiometry to be $\mathrm{O}_{6}$, which may introduce error if any non-pyroxene phases are present in the samples. We prepared thin sections of each sample in order to optically examine the samples for exsolution and twinning. One augite (Aug1) sample was loaned from the Stony Brook Geosciences Department mineral collection and originates from Indian Well, AZ. This sample does not show signs of exsolution or twinning. The diopside (Diop1) sample was purchased from Kelly's Rocks and is from Magog, QC, Canada. While twinning is present over $\sim 30-40 \%$ of a section perpendicular to the $a-c$ plane in the diopside sample, the IR spectra match previously published oriented reflectance spectra (Johnson et al. 2002). The hedenbergite (Hed1) and second augite (Aug2) sample were provided by D. Lindsley at Stony Brook University. The hedenbergite sample does not exhibit exsolution or twinning, while Aug2 appears to contain lamellae that remain dark under crossed polar illumination at all orientations. Micro-FTIR
spectra of these lamellae are quite noisy compared to the rest of the augite sample, and show a broad reflectance peak at around $3900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and a peak at $1015 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. These spectral features indicate some type of clay, however the exact composition is difficult to discern. The FITR spectrum of the whole sample does not show a $3900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ peak, indicating this is a relatively minor component. The diopside, hedenbergite, and augite compositions are shown on the ternary diagram in Figure 1. We cut each sample with a diamond saw blade so that one surface is parallel to the $a-c$ plane (010) and the other perpendicular to it -- planes (001) or (100). We polished these surfaces to $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ surface roughness, and confirmed the orientations of the cut surfaces by single crystal XRD. XRD analysis involved collection of the preliminary set of frames (pre-experiment) for the determination of unit cell and the orientation matrix. Using this information, we indexed the faces of the crystal with the CrysAlisPRO software. We collected reflections for determination of the orientation matrix using a four-circle kappa Oxford Gemini diffractometer equipped with an Atlas detector $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$.

### 3.2 Collection of mid and far-IR reflectance spectra

We acquired specular reflectance spectra on the Stony Brook University Vibrational Spectroscopy Laboratory's Nicolet 6700 Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer using a FT-30 specular reflectance accessory with incidence and reflection angles of 30 degrees at a spectral sampling of $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. An InfraSpecs wire grid IR polarizer was placed in the beam path for collection of polarized reflectance spectra. For each sample, we measured reflectance spectra for four orientations of the crystal with respect to the incident polarized beam. Depending on whether the $a-$ or $c$-axis was more readily identifiable, these orientations were $0^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$ and $110^{\circ}$ from the identified crystallographic axis. MIR spectra were collected over a range of $400-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ using a KBr beamsplitter and DTGS detector with a CsI window. Each spectrum is an average of 256 scans. Far-IR (FIR) spectra were acquired from $250-600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ using a Nicolet Solid Substrate beamsplitter and a DTGS detector with a polyethylene window. Each of these spectra is an average of 512 scans. The two
wavelength ranges are joined at $600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ using the overlapping region $\left(600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ to scale the spectral contrast of the FIR spectra. MIR and FIR spectral contrast varies by up to $10 \%$ due to slight differences in measurement conditions and/or a few degree error in crystal orientation. Samples were placed on a holder that acts as a mask, controlling the spot size. We chose the largest spot size that can be completely covered by the polished surface of the sample. The bottom of the sample holders is coated with paraffin soot to reduce stray reflectance off of the holder into the sample chamber. For the smaller spot-sizes ( $<0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ diameter) we subtracted a spectrum of the sample holder without a sample or standard placed on top. While the sample holder does not affect the mid-IR reflectance, there is a steep upward slope starting at around $400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which rapidly increases from 0.01 to several percent reflectance at $\sim 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 3.3 Modeling of optical constants

The optical constants ( $n, k$ ) are related to the wavelength-dependent dielectric function $(\varepsilon(\lambda))$ of the material $\left(\tilde{n}=\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$. Electron states in non-conducting materials can be modeled as damped harmonic oscillators, which exhibit spring-like behavior (Griffiths 1999). The E-field of the incoming beam acts as a driving force on the oscillators, which have resonant frequencies $v_{j}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. The parameters $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-}\right.$ ${ }^{2}$ ), $\gamma_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ are the oscillator strength, damping coefficient (proportional to the oscillator velocity) and infinite frequency dielectric constant respectively. These parameters are explained in more detail in section 5.1.

For minerals of orthorhombic and higher symmetry, we can assume that these oscillations occur parallel to the crystallographic axes. In this case, optical constants can be determined using the approach of Spitzer and Kleinman (1961). Reflectance spectra are acquired for each principal axis with the polarization parallel to each axis. Each spectrum is iteratively fit with estimated values of the oscillator parameters as input.

When a mineral is biaxial and the axes are not orthogonal, the oscillators cannot be assumed to be parallel to the crystallographic axes (Belousov and Pavinich 1978). In a monoclinic material, optical constants with E parallel to the $b$-axis (where the oscillators are parallel to the axis) can be determined in the same manner as the orthorhombic case. In the $a-c$ plane, oscillators are coplanar with, but not parallel to the crystallographic axes. To determine the two remaining principle refractive indices, it is necessary to make measurements at three different angles $(\Omega)$ with respect to the crystallographic axes in the $a-c$ plane (Aronson et al. 1983), with measurements at more angles providing more robust fits. This results in an additional oscillator parameters $\theta_{\mathrm{j}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathrm{j}}$. A useful diagram of such an experimental setup is given in Fig. 1 of Ivanovski et al. (2007). The principle complex indices of refraction are the eigenvalues of the complex dielectric-permittivity tensor, which can be calculated as follows, where N is the number of oscillators:

$$
\begin{gather*}
E=(n+i k)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\varepsilon_{\infty x x} & \varepsilon_{\infty x y} & \varepsilon_{\infty x z} \\
\varepsilon_{\infty y x} & \varepsilon_{\infty y y} & \varepsilon_{\infty y z} \\
\varepsilon_{\infty z x} & \varepsilon_{\infty z y} & \varepsilon_{\infty z z}
\end{array}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{s_{j}}{v_{j}^{2}-\gamma_{j} v-v^{2}} \times \\
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sin ^{2} \theta_{j} \cos ^{2} \varphi_{j} & \sin ^{2} \theta_{j} \sin \varphi_{j} \cos \varphi_{j} & \sin \theta_{j} \cos \theta_{j} \cos \varphi_{j} \\
\sin ^{2} \theta_{j} \sin \varphi_{j} \cos \varphi_{j} & \sin ^{2} \theta_{j} \sin ^{2} \varphi_{j} & \sin \theta_{j} \cos \theta_{j} \sin \varphi_{j} \\
\sin \theta_{j} \cos \theta_{j} \cos \varphi_{j} & \sin \theta_{j} \cos \theta_{j} \sin \varphi_{j} & \cos ^{2} \theta_{j}
\end{array}\right) \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

The reflectance spectra for all $\Omega$ are fit simultaneously. Fixing the $b$-axis as the $z$-axis of the dielectric tensor, $\varepsilon$ needs to be rotated with $\Omega$, according to:

$$
\varepsilon=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \Omega & \sin \Omega  \tag{2}\\
-\sin \Omega & \cos \Omega
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{xx}} & \varepsilon_{\mathrm{xy}} \\
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{xy}} & \varepsilon_{\mathrm{zz}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \Omega & -\sin \Omega \\
\sin \Omega & \cos \Omega
\end{array}\right)
$$

Because of the additional complexity in measurement and calculation, optical constants have been derived from single crystal samples for only a few monoclinic materials, including gypsum and orthoclase (Long et al.1992; Aronson et al. 1983, Aronson 1986). A similar method for triclinic materials is outlined by Aronson et al. (1985). However, substitution of the relevant variables in their equations for the reflection coefficients results in a singularity for the monoclinic case (Mayerhofer et
al. 2010) instead of reducing to the formulas given in Aronson et al. (1983). In addition, Aronson et al.'s formulation applies only to the case of normal incidence. The Berreman 4 x 4 matrix formulation (Berreman 1972) gives a more consistent approach for calculating the reflectance coefficients in lowsymmetry cases (Mayerhofer and Popp 2007).

Mayerhofer and Popp (2007) define a matrix $\widetilde{M}$ that can be used to calculate the reflection coefficients as a function of incidence angle ( $\alpha$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{D}_{\psi}^{-1}(0) D_{\psi}(1)  \tag{3}\\
& \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{xx}}=\frac{\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{21} \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{33}-\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{23} \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{11} \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{33}-\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{13} \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{31}}{\widetilde{x}_{\mathrm{xy}}}=\frac{\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{41} \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{33}-\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{13} \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{31}}{\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{33}-\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{13} \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}_{31}} \tag{4a}
\end{align*}
$$

$D \Psi(0)$ and $D \Psi(1)$ are the dynamical matrices of the incident and refracted waves (Yeh 1979):

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\Psi}^{-1}(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 / \mathrm{n} \cos \alpha & 0 & 0  \tag{5}\\
1 & -1 / \mathrm{n} \cos \alpha & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 / \cos \alpha & 1 / \mathrm{n} \\
0 & 0 & -1 / \cos \alpha & 1 / \mathrm{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where n is the index of refraction of the incident medium, $k_{y}$ is the y -component of the wave-vector (the magnitude and direction of the incoming beam), and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{1}=\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{\mathrm{zz}}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}+\sqrt{\mathrm{K}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2}}\right)}  \tag{7a}\\
& \gamma_{3}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{zz}}}\left(-\mathrm{K}_{1}+\sqrt{\mathrm{K}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2}}\right)}  \tag{7b}\\
& \mathrm{K}_{1}=-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{zz}}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{xx}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{yy}}\right)+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{yy}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{zz}}\right) \tag{8a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{2}=-4\left[\varepsilon_{\mathrm{xy}}^{2}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{yy}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{xx}}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{zz}}\right) \varepsilon_{\mathrm{zz}} . \tag{8b}
\end{equation*}
$$

To perform the required calculations, we use the Matlab non-linear fitting routine lsqcurvefit, which allows us to set lower and upper bounds, ensuring that the final values for the oscillator parameters are positive. To produce the initial parameters for this model, we first fit the spectrum for each $\Omega$ as if it is orthorhombic and at normal incidence. The parameters $\gamma_{j}$ and $\gamma_{j}$ can be estimated reasonably by visual inspection, while $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is estimated as in Pavinich and Belousov (1978). Reflectance spectra for all orientations are then fit simultaneously, using the $\Omega$ where $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is the largest for a given $v_{j}$ as an initial guess for $\varphi_{j}$.

We attempt to fit the reflectance spectrum with the minimum number of oscillators necessary. Starting with the number of main peaks, modes are added until a reasonable fit is obtained for any overlapping peaks or shoulders (a difference between the measured and modeled reflectance of less than $10 \%$ ). Sometimes modes can be at the same frequency or very close in frequency, with different $\theta_{\mathrm{j}}$. In this case, although there is only one peak, the fit will be poor without an additional oscillator.

### 3.4 Oscillator parameter error estimates

The model fit is commonly assessed using the standard error of the oscillator parameters. We report the standard error $(\sigma(v), \sigma(\gamma)$ etc.) for each parameter in Tables 2-6. This does not reflect the impact of the initial guesses of the oscillator parameters on the final reflectance fit. Poor initial estimates of starting values may result in slow convergence, non-convergence or convergence on a local rather than global minimum in our model. For each sample, we used five different sets of starting values centered around those produced by the fit to the orthorhombic model to qualitatively evaluate how much the final $n$ and $k$ values depended on the initial estimates. Those that gave the best reflectance fit were used to calculate the optical constants. As an additional test, we independently varied the sets of $v_{\mathrm{j}}, \gamma_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j}}$, and $\varphi_{\mathrm{j}}$ parameters for a gem-quality orthoclase sample to determine which had the largest impact on the final $n$ and $k$ values. Large $\left( \pm 30 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ for $v_{\mathrm{j}}$ and $\pm 50 \%$ for all others) variations in the other parameters do not appear to have a major effect on the fit (see Figures 2-5).

Figure 6 shows the final fits when all parameters are varied by these amounts (top) as well the $95 \%$ confidence bounds based of the standard error of the parameters (bottom). The two measures of uncertainty appear to diverge from the calculated optical constants at different wavenumbers.

## 4. Results

For each sample we present the measured and modeled reflectance and the plots of $n$ and $k$ as a function of wavelength derived from the reflectance fit. The measured and modeled spectra, as well as the derived optical constants and oscillator parameters are available at http://aram.ess.sunysb.edu/tglotch/optical_constants.html.

### 4.1 Augite 1

Measured reflectance and model are shown in Figure 7, with incident E-field polarization parallel to the $a-c$ plane (top three) and parallel to b (bottom). Derived values of $n$ and $k$ are shown in Figure 8. In Figure $8 \mathrm{n} 1, \mathrm{n} 2$ and $\mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{k} 2$ are the optical constants for the rays whose polarization direction is parallel to the $a-c$ plane, while nb and kb are the optical constants for the rays with polarization direction along the b crystallographic axis. Oscillator parameters for this sample are given in Table 2.

### 4.2 Augite 2

Measured reflectance and model are shown in Figure 9, with incident E-field polarization parallel to the $a-c$ plane (top three) and parallel to b (bottom). Derived values of $n$ and $k$ are given in Figure 10. In Figure $10 \mathrm{n} 1, \mathrm{n} 2$ and $\mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{k} 2$ are the optical constants for the rays whose polarization direction is parallel to the $a-c$ plane, while nb and kb are the optical constants for the rays with polarization direction along the b crystallographic axis. Oscillator parameters for this sample are given in Table 3.

### 4.3 Diopside

Measured reflectance and model are shown in Figure 11, with incident E-field polarization parallel to the $a-c$ plane (top three) and parallel to $b$ (bottom). Derived values of $n$ and $k$ are shown in Figure 12. In Figure $12 \mathrm{n} 1, \mathrm{n} 2$ and k1, k2 are the optical constants for the rays whose polarization direction is parallel to the $a-c$ plane, while nb and kb are the optical constants for the rays with polarization direction along the b crystallographic axis. Oscillator parameters for this sample are given in Table 4.

### 4.4 Hedenbergite

Measured reflectance and model are shown in Figure 13, with incident E-field polarization parallel to the $a-c$ plane (top three) and parallel to b (bottom). Derived values of $n$ and $k$ are shown in Figure 14. In Figure $14 \mathrm{n} 1, \mathrm{n} 2$ and k1, k2 are the optical constants for the rays whose polarization direction is parallel to the $a-c$ plane, while nb and kb are the optical constants for the rays with polarization direction along the b crystallographic axis.Oscillator parameters for this sample are given in Table 5.

### 4.5 Orthoclase

Measured reflectance and model are shown in Figure 15, with incident E-field polarization parallel to the $a-c$ plane (top three) and parallel to $b$ (bottom). Derived values of $n$ and $k$ are shown in Figure 16 as well as those from Aronson et al. (1986). In Figure 16 n1, n2 and k1, k2 are the optical constants for the rays whose polarization direction is parallel to the a-c plane, while nb and kb are the optical constants for the rays with polarization direction along the b crystallographic axis. Oscillator parameters for this sample are given in Table 6.

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1 Interpretation of dispersion parameters

The optical constants n and k are related to the polarizability $(\alpha)$, the dependence of the magnitude of the dipole moment on an applied electric field, and conductivity ( $\sigma$ ), the ability of a material to carry a current, which are both properties of the material. The dependence of the polarizability and conductivity and hence the optical constants on wavelength are given by dispersion relations. To derive these dispersion relations, Lorentz postulated that insulating materials contain electrons that are bound to atoms or molecules by Hooke's law forces (Seitz 1940), so that the binding force is proportional to the charge displacement. The motion of the bound electron is described as a harmonic oscillator subject to a periodic electric field $\left(\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{E}_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \mathrm{zav}}\right)$ and damped by a force proportional to the electron's velocity ( $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}} \gamma$ ( $*$ note to editor the following should be in line*) $\frac{d x}{d t}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ) giving: }(* \text { end line } *) \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{t}}+2 \pi \gamma \frac{\mathrm{dx}}{\mathrm{dt}}+4 \pi^{2} v_{0}^{2} \mathrm{x}=\frac{-\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}} \mathrm{E}_{0} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} v t} \\
\mathrm{x}=\frac{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{e}}}{4 \pi^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}} \frac{\mathrm{E}_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i v t}}{v_{0}^{2}-v^{2}-\mathrm{i} \gamma v}
\end{array} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The resonant frequency of the oscillator is $v_{0}$ and the damping constant is $2 \pi m_{e} \gamma$. As $v$ passes through the value $v_{0}$, there is a peak in the absorption coefficient with a half-width of roughly $\gamma$. The order of magnitude of $\gamma$ can be estimated by the following (Seitz 1940):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \sim \frac{4 \pi v^{2} q_{e}^{2}}{3 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{c}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is in statcoulombs, $v$ is in wavenumbers, $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is in grams and c is in $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}$. Looking at Eq (10) and assuming the dipole moment has a linear response to the electric field $\left(\mathbf{p}=\varepsilon_{0} \alpha \mathbf{E}\right)$, results in:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}}{4 \pi^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}} \varepsilon_{0}} \frac{1}{v_{0}^{2}-v^{2}-\mathrm{i} \gamma v} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using a form of the Clausius-Mosotti relation $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{\infty}+4 \pi \chi=\varepsilon_{\infty}+4 \pi N \alpha$ (Spitzer et al. 1959) gives the dispersion relation for a single resonance.

Of course, for a given material, there will not be just one resonant frequency, but several $v_{j}$ each with a different damping constant $\gamma_{\mathrm{j}}$. The quantum mechanical approach to this problem deals with this and also gives one additional parameter oscillator "strength" ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ), a weighting for each oscillator that relates to the absorption or transition probability (Seitz 1940; Spitzer and Kleinman 1961; Born and Wolf 1970). The parameter $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j}}$ used in Eq (1) can be defined in terms of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}$ as shown in Spitzer and Kleinman (1961).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j}}=4 \pi \rho_{\mathrm{j}} v_{\mathrm{j}}^{2}=\frac{N q_{e}^{2}}{\pi \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

While educated guesses can be made, the oscillator parameters ( $\nu_{\mathrm{j}}, \gamma_{\mathrm{j}}$, and $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) are difficult to derive exactly from first principles, which is why an iterative technique is normally used. The infinite frequency dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ can be estimated from the square of the visible-wavelength index of refraction (Roush et al. 1991).

### 5.2 Comparison with previously derived Orthoclase optical constants

Optical constants for orthoclase have been derived from oriented single crystal reflectance spectra by previous workers (Aronson et al. 1986). Figure 16 shows the values found in this study along with those from Aronson et al. (1986). The optical constants for the E-field polarization parallel to b orientation ( nb and kb ) are very similar, while the optical constants ( $\mathrm{n} 1, \mathrm{n} 2$ and $\mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{k} 2$ ) for the E field parallel to the $a-c$ plane have slight differences. Variations between the two data sets may be due to either compositional differences in the samples or uncertainties in orientation. Our orthoclase sample had a small amount of $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ substituting for $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ (see Table 1). However, without any compositional
information on the sample used in the Aronson et al. (1986) study, it is difficult to make inferences about specific bands.

### 5.3 Dependence of optical constants on crystal structure and chemistry

Isometric minerals will have one set of optical constants for each wavelength. Because most minerals are anisotropic, the polarizability and conductivity will be directionally as well as wavelength dependent and therefore so will the optical constants. Dispersion in uniaxial and biaxial minerals is described by a dielectric tensor rather than just a dielectric constant. The number of oscillator parameters necessary to fit the reflectance spectrum generally increases with decreasing crystal symmetry. Figure 17 shows averaged optical constants in the MIR for several minerals that belong to different crystal systems. It is also important to measure optical constants for several different chemical compositions within the same mineral group. As shown in this work, optical constants vary considerably with chemistry. Simply using one composition of olivine, for example, may produce poor fits for mineralogical abundance as shown in Liu (2012) at VNIR wavelengths.

For silicates, there is a steep absorption edge in the UV-range after which $k$-values drop by orders of magnitude and remain low through the VNIR. As a result, after the UV-edge, dispersion is low until the MIR, where the fundamental vibrational bands occur. There is a steep rise in $k$ between 8 and $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}\left(1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}-1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, with the maximum $k$ occurring where $n=1$ or slightly lower than 1 . This is known as the Christiansen feature and is associated with a minimum in reflectance and a maximum in emission. This feature is the means by which Diviner data are used to identify lunar silicates (Greenhagen et al. 2010). Following the Christiansen feature, there is a steep drop-off in $k$ and rise in $n$, causing a drop-off in emission followed by Reststrahlen features. For pyroxenes, spectral features in the $\sim 8-22 \mu \mathrm{~m}\left(\sim 1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}-450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ range are mainly due to $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching, $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Si}$ bending and modes of the cation- $\mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedra. The positions of absorptions at these wavelengths vary with Mg \# (defined as $\mathrm{Mg} /(\mathrm{Mg}+\mathrm{Fe})$ ) (Jäger et al. 1998; Hamilton 2000; Bowey et al. 2007; Lane et al. 2011), likely due to the effect of the substitution on the octahedral layer, which in turn alters the Si-O vibrations (Hamilton 2000; Klima 2007). To demonstrate these effects, Figure 18 shows the reflectance and optical constants for olivine (from Zeidler et al. 2011) ranging from the UV through the MIR, with
the major spectroscopic features labeled. This figure also demonstrates that $n$ and $k$ values of silicates vary considerably in the mid-IR as compared with other wavelengths.

Amorphous pyroxenes produced by vapor phase condensation and synthetic glasses of pyroxene composition will lack many of the spectroscopic features of a crystalline pyroxene. As a result, the optical constants of these materials will have broader and fewer peaks than crystalline pyroxene. To date, little work has been done on oriented crystalline samples (Jäger et al. 1998), and none has been done to date on clinopyroxene. Figure 19 demonstrates the difference between optical constants of crystalline vs. amorphous phases. Additionally, oriented single crystal spectra are preferable to orientation-averaged data acquired from pellets pressed from powders, as the lower maxima of the specular reflectance peaks of pellets results in lower maxima of derived optical constants when compared to orientation-averaged single crystal optical constants (Roush 1991).

## 6. Implications

Radiative transfer models of planetary surfaces require optical constants for each component that may be present. Applying these models is difficult in the MIR due to the absence of optical constants for many important minerals in the monoclinic and triclinic crystal systems. We have applied the Berreman $4 \times 4$ matrix method to derive the MIR optical constants of 5 different pyroxenes for which oriented single-crystal data were not previously reported in the literature. Four spectra with a polarized incident beam at different orientations were acquired for each sample, resulting in three sets of optical constants that can be used to estimate n and k for a randomly oriented powdered sample. These data are necessary to improve compositional estimates of planetary regolith based on radiative transfer models.

The data presented here, in conjunction with a coupled optical-thermal model, such as that of Milan et al. (2011), can be used to model MIR emissivity spectra in a vacuum environment relevant to airless solar system bodies. Modeled emission spectra can be compared to emissivity of the lunar surface derived from Diviner Lunar Radiometer data. These optical constants are also applicable to any MIR data from Mars or any airless solar system objects including: existing spectra of asteroids from ISO, Spitzer and any future data from James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) or the OSIRIS-REx
mission. Future work will include expanding the current version of the code to include the triclinic case. This code for triclinic minerals will be applied to obtain feldspar optical constants. The Matlab scripts developed for this work will be made publicly available to other researchers at http://aram.ess.sunysb.edu/tglotch/
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## Figure and Table Captions

Figure 1. Ternary of pyroxene compositions included in this study.
Figure 2. Changes in modeled optical constants $(n, k)$ of orthoclase with initial estimates of $v_{\mathrm{j}}$ shifted by $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Figure 3. Changes in modeled optical constants $(n, k)$ of orthoclase with initial estimates of $\gamma_{j}$ shifted by $\pm 50 \%$.

Figure 4. Changes in modeled optical constants $(n, k)$ of orthoclase with initial estimates of $s_{j}$ shifted by $\pm 50 \%$.

Figure 5. Changes in modeled optical constants ( $n, k$ ) of orthoclase with initial estimates of $\varphi_{j}$ shifted by $\pm 50 \%$.

Figure 6. Changes in modeled optical constants $(n, k)$ of orthoclase with initial estimates of $v_{j}$ shifted by $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $v_{\mathrm{j}}, \gamma_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathrm{j}}$ shifted by $\pm 50 \%$ (top).

Figure 7. Measured and modeled reflectance spectra of augite (Aug1) with incident E-field polarization parallel to $a-c$ plane at different polarization angles $\phi$ (top three) and parallel to b (bottom).

Figure 8. Optical constants ( $n, k$ ) of augite (Aug1). In this figure, n1, n 2 and $\mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{k} 2$ are the optical constants for the rays whose polarization direction is parallel to the $a-c$ plane, while nb and kb are the optical constants for the rays with polarization direction along the b crystallographic axis.

Figure 9. Measured and modeled reflectance spectra of augite (Aug2) with incident E-field polarization parallel to $a-c$ plane at different polarization angles $\phi$ (top three) and parallel to b (bottom).

Figure 10. Optical constants ( $n, k$ ) of augite (Aug2). In this figure, $\mathrm{n} 1, \mathrm{n} 2$ and $\mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{k} 2$ are the optical constants for the rays whose polarization direction is parallel to the $a-c$ plane, while nb and kb are the optical constants for the rays with polarization direction along the b crystallographic axis.

Figure 11. Measured and modeled reflectance spectra of diopside (Diop1) with incident E-field polarization parallel to $a-c$ plane at different polarization angles $\phi$ (top three) and parallel to b (bottom).

Figure 12. Optical constants ( $n, k$ ) of diopside (Diop1). In this figure, $\mathrm{n} 1, \mathrm{n} 2$ and $\mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{k} 2$ are the optical constants for the rays whose polarization direction is parallel to the $a-c$ plane, while nb and kb are the optical constants for the rays with polarization direction along the b crystallographic axis.

Figure 13. Measured and modeled reflectance spectra of hedenbergite (Hed1) with incident E-field polarization parallel to $a-c$ plane at different polarization angles $\phi$ (top three) and parallel to b (bottom).

Figure 14. Optical constants $(n, k)$ of hedenbergite (Hed1). In this figure, $n 1, n 2$ and $k 1, k 2$ are the optical constants for the rays whose polarization direction is parallel to the $a-c$ plane, while nb and kb are the optical constants for the rays with polarization direction along the b crystallographic axis.

Figure 15. Measured and modeled reflectance spectra of orthoclase (Orth1) with incident E-field polarization parallel to $a-c$ plane at different polarization angles $\phi$ (top three) and parallel to b (bottom).

Figure 16. Optical constants ( $n, k$ ) of orthoclase (Orth1) calculated in this work are in the solid lines ( $\mathrm{n} 1, \mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{n} 2, \mathrm{k} 2, \mathrm{nb}, \mathrm{kb}$ ), while the optical constants derived in Aronson et al. (1986) are in the dashed lines (na1,ka1,na2,ka2,nab,kab).

Figure 17. Orientation-averaged optical constants in the MIR for several minerals that belong to various crystal systems. Nano-diamonds from a Murchison meteorite (cubic), quartz (hexagonal), olivine (orthorhombic), and diopside (monoclinic).

Figure 18. Orientation-averaged optical constants $(n, k)$ of high- Mg olivine $\left(\mathrm{Mg}_{1.9} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.1} \mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right)$ from UV to FIR wavelengths (Zeidler et al. 2011). Reflectance spectrum calculated from $n, k$ values. Labels: Christiansen feature (CF), Reststrahlen bands (RB), highlighted in grey.

Figure 19. A comparison of single-crystal (enstatite and diopside) vs. amorphous (enstatite and a glass of pyroxene composition) pyroxene optical constants.

Table 1. Information for pyroxenes and orthoclase included in this study.

Table 2. Oscillator parameters for Augite (Aug1). The parameters $v, \gamma, \mathrm{~s}, \phi$ and $\theta$ are those described in section 5.1. The standard error, $\sigma$, is given for each parameter.

Table 3. Oscillator parameters for Augite (Aug 2). The parameters $v, \gamma, s, \phi$ and $\theta$ are those described in section 5.1. The standard error, $\sigma$, is given for each parameter.

Table 4. Oscillator parameters for Diopside (Diop 1). The parameters $v, \gamma, s, \phi$ and $\theta$ are those described in section 5.1. The standard error, $\sigma$, is given for each parameter.

Table 5. Oscillator parameters for Hedenbergite (Hed 1). The parameters $v, \gamma, \mathrm{~s}, \phi$ and $\theta$ are those described in section 5.1. The standard error, $\sigma$, is given for each parameter.

Table 6. Oscillator parameters for Orthoclase (Orth 1). The parameters $v, \gamma, \mathrm{~s}, \phi$ and $\theta$ are those described in section 5.1. The standard error, $\sigma$, is given for each parameter.

## Tables

Table 1.

| Sample | Source (location) | Chemical formula |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| augite(Aug1) | SBU (Indian Well, AZ) | $\mathrm{Na}_{0.11} \mathrm{~K}_{0.10} \mathrm{Mg}_{0.54} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.46} \mathrm{Ti}_{0.13} \mathrm{Ca}_{0.46} \mathrm{Al}_{0.48} \mathrm{Si}_{1.79} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| diopside(Diop1) | Kelly's Rocks (Magog, QC) | $\mathrm{Na}_{0.04} \mathrm{Mg}_{0.64} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.18} \mathrm{Mn}_{0.01} \mathrm{Ca}_{0.92} \mathrm{Al}_{0.03} \mathrm{Si}_{2.09} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| clinopyx(Aug2) | SBU | $\mathrm{Na}_{0.02} \mathrm{Mg}_{0.57} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.40} \mathrm{Ti}_{0.02} \mathrm{Ca}_{0.69} \mathrm{Al}_{0.13} \mathrm{Si}_{2.06} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| hedenbergite(Hed1) | SBU | $\mathrm{Na}_{0.03} \mathrm{Mg}_{0.29} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.51} \mathrm{Mn}_{0.07} \mathrm{Ca}_{0.83} \mathrm{Al}_{0.08} \mathrm{Si}_{2.08} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| orthoclase | GGGems (Itrongay, <br> Madagascar) | $\mathrm{K}_{0.96} \mathrm{Na}_{0.04} \mathrm{Al}_{0.96} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.04} \mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ |
|  |  |  |

Table 2.

| j | $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(v_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | $\sigma\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1106.3575 | 0.4039 | 13.6440 | 1.4160 | 4710.7187 | 519.6075 | 33.7390 | 2.2315 | $\theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| 2 | 1082.0787 | 0.7336 | 22.4311 | 2.8987 | 8993.4843 | 1526.8844 | 57.9436 | 2.2866 | 90 |
| 3 | 1049.0371 | 0.1930 | 34.2430 | 0.6058 | 179324.6400 | 2855.2145 | 71.6003 | 0.2040 | 90 |
| 4 | 1010.1750 | 0.5134 | 20.6827 | 1.4914 | 15743.7790 | 1164.9098 | 33.9720 | 1.4204 | 90 |
| 5 | 973.9422 | 1.2439 | 39.3002 | 2.5816 | 61647.1074 | 7198.6643 | 100.6033 | 1.9936 | 90 |
| 6 | 952.8605 | 0.6238 | 33.8723 | 1.1586 | 115080.9250 | 3657.2602 | 76.5908 | 1.6294 | 90 |


| 7 | 911.9700 | 1.9049 | 60.9354 | 5.4555 | 84647.1159 | 6815.1152 | 69.0827 | 1.9607 | 90 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 944.8770 | 0.6580 | 48.8271 | 2.4135 | 96156.4057 | 6739.0871 | 130.0419 | 2.5202 | 90 |
| 9 | 864.4122 | 0.4977 | 43.8169 | 1.0250 | 236251.8770 | 364.8184 | 1.5422 | 0.5618 | 90 |
| 10 | 855.2310 | 0.9153 | 31.8268 | 2.0963 | 73587.6460 | 1012.5941 | 148.5916 | 2.1071 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.

| j | $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(v_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | $\sigma\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1061.3863 | 1.6775 | 16.7945 | 3.5355 | 24107.2736 | 9108.7181 | 109.9541 | 3.0586 | 90 |  |
| 2 | 1045.6266 | 1.0695 | 17.4601 | 4.5891 | 49146.8143 | 15451.7954 | 118.8724 | 1.4748 | 90 |  |
|  | 1021.4581 | 1.8514 | 25.7043 | 6.2705 | 46430.9850 | 12385.4430 | 125.3247 | 2.1043 | 90 |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 992.3639 | 1.1743 | 36.2713 | 3.4181 | 26819.2312 | 2845.9399 | 4.0808 | 7.8728 | 90 |  |
| 5 | 950.6749 | 1.8544 | 56.3535 | 3.6006 | 350600.2530 | 198.6376 | 92.4733 | 1.0478 | 90 |  |
| 6 | 873.6918 | 3.5412 | 73.3247 | 7.0740 | 198501.9250 | 4841.0644 | 103.9087 | 5.7859 | 90 |  |
| 7 | 852.6279 | 0.9302 | 56.1304 | 2.4576 | 384449.5580 | 21164.2827 | -0.0526 | 2.7969 | 90 |  |
| 8 | 768.7863 | 3.5090 | 100.1498 | 11.8050 | 351227.4630 | 80.4223 | -35.0603 | 1.7465 | 90 |  |
| 9 | 600.0011 | 3.0444 | 103.2869 | 9.5882 | 345195.2700 | 27533.1404 | -49.3688 | 1.6754 | 90 |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 25 | 684.1713 | 5.8182 | 108.5547 | 25.4532 | 66276.4418 | 26720.9365 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 557.1254 | 1047.5670 | 15.2229 | 666.7554 | 3104.1971 | 177321.3230 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 27 | 541.0487 | 3.4361 | 17.7469 | 31.3527 | 9273.8041 | 161727.1810 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 28 | 524.2434 | 1.6431 | 18.0151 | 10.7360 | 19617.9937 | 16815.8158 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 29 | 507.6945 | 0.5276 | 18.0932 | 2.3374 | 67758.0486 | 12997.2329 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 30 | 480.6085 | 0.8391 | 31.0358 | 2.9332 | 173741.2560 | 16787.0322 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 31 | 411.3853 | 5.8307 | 45.1586 | 20.6277 | 61591.7484 | 47708.6593 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 32 | 370.1525 | 19.3001 | 44.4246 | 135.0416 | 23586.7622 | 91495.5373 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 33 | 325.5302 | 12.2621 | 65.4127 | 22.8392 | 72943.9747 | 51540.5930 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 34 | 257.0514 | 0.9801 | 12.4295 | 2.7168 | 16853.4601 | 3824.3130 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{xx}}=3.1888(0.0147)$ |  | ) $\quad \varepsilon_{x y}=0.0$ | 0050(0.016 |  | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{yy}}=2$. | 116 (0.0157) |  | . 60 | 09) |
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Table 4.

| j | $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(v_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | $\sigma\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1053.3492 | 0.2690 | 9.8041 | 0.5202 | 161807.4260 | 8449.4644 | 95.0619 | 0.5386 | $\theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| 2 | 1038.6875 | 0.4434 | 11.9789 | 1.1319 | 102002.5840 | 8297.6460 | 81.8839 | 0.7175 | 90 |
| 3 | 987.1730 | 1.1344 | 22.0697 | 2.3375 | 43276.2855 | 8424.1418 | -58.3177 | 1.7571 | 90 |
| 4 | 961.9418 | 0.5321 | 21.8740 | 1.4044 | 328246.2610 | 13426.1056 | 107.2574 | 0.4212 | 90 |
| 5 | 908.9778 | 1.2771 | 34.1717 | 3.7573 | 112024.9180 | 10847.7536 | 88.2555 | 1.2813 | 90 |
| 6 | 851.9349 | 0.7393 | 52.0897 | 1.8660 | 276075.0410 | 8603.7134 | 40.6706 | 1.1639 | 90 |
| 7 | 799.5717 | 2.9359 | 82.3099 | 8.5987 | 133499.1160 | 11910.3499 | 140.7057 | 2.6738 | 90 |
| 8 | 694.3785 | 2.9357 | 139.0056 | 9.4790 | 184063.9650 | 11455.4558 | 35.9865 | 1.6679 | 90 |
| 9 | 625.9676 | 0.6184 | 20.9614 | 1.1518 | 85562.6394 | 3066.4869 | 111.9229 | 0.8129 | 90 |
| 10 | 526.8297 | 1.7909 | 48.7469 | 3.7816 | 97271.7196 | 11572.2554 | 45.1962 | 1.4457 | 90 |
| 11 | 509.9354 | 0.7947 | 14.1122 | 2.1296 | 16820.8129 | 2318.7730 | -38.9575 | 4.1582 | 90 |
| 12 | 488.7702 | 1.0874 | 25.2207 | 3.2101 | 102617.7860 | 14753.3418 | 51.9415 | 1.9863 | 90 |
| 13 | 462.0420 | 0.8680 | 37.2480 | 2.3266 | 302939.5670 | 16231.8191 | 21.2456 | 0.8955 | 90 |


| 14 | 379.4407 | 0.6114 | 32.5722 | 1.2839 | 117538.4980 | 4685.8259 | 112.1053 | 0.9140 | 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 326.4878 | 0.9753 | 26.4854 | 2.6635 | 108243.6900 | 14930.1059 | -66.8801 | 1.1360 | 90 |
| 16 | 297.4023 | 1.4361 | 27.9642 | 5.2131 | 98661.8029 | 17147.5147 | -59.4064 | 4.2180 | 90 |
| 17 | 293.0303 | 0.7230 | 16.9140 | 1.9692 | 26130.5743 | 3123.2116 | 20.9335 | 12.1318 | 90 |
| 18 | 236.8182 | 2.6280 | 14.3421 | 3.0202 | 49054.5289 | 8115.4718 | 10.1390 | 6.1075 | 90 |
| 19 | 1065.2649 | 61.4940 | 50.5334 | 1.8536 | 136867.0000 | 139.1562 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 20 | 954.2127 | 50.9689 | 35.3480 | 3.5371 | 65646.0001 | 54.6979 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 21 | 913.3331 | 114.3238 | 31.7870 | 6.9236 | 42070.0001 | 24.6549 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 22 | 863.7441 | 367.8407 | 126.8820 | 24.7606 | 195784.2720 | 54551.0765 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 23 | 737.9597 | 2678.3443 | 140.9497 | 56.2231 | 92809.1745 | 52723.1287 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 24 | 636.0029 | 552.8693 | 93.0399 | 9.7740 | 89888.9079 | 17968.0509 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 25 | 504.2384 | 645.0038 | 31.7429 | 1.4460 | 163435.8470 | 13745.7938 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 26 | 472.0973 | 792.9559 | 28.4750 | 1.9606 | 276342.9090 | 15861.7259 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 27 | 413.8197 | 324.9801 | 26.0624 | 3.2501 | 70136.7282 | 7278.4287 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 28 | 249.2397 | 277.7731 | 9.7763 | 1.2649 | 18139.7810 | 2824.9225 | 0 | -- | 0 |
| $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{xx}}=2.2120(0.0092)$ |  | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{xy}}=0.0084(0.0109)$ |  |  | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{yy}}=3$. | 2076(0.0107) | $\varepsilon_{z z}=$ | .3310(0.0 | 11) |

Table 5.

| j | $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(v_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | $\sigma\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1085.5086 | 1.0619 | 53.6032 | 2.0797 | 71057.9148 | 10.0624 | 77.0368 | 1.6560 | $\theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| 2 | 1059.2960 | 0.5518 | 45.6650 | 1.1514 | 159377.0460 | 3792.4402 | -48.5292 | 0.5769 | 90 |
| 3 | 993.1131 | 1.1689 | 26.9090 | 3.5555 | 9922.7969 | 2381.2746 | 38.0265 | 7.7690 | 90 |
| 4 | 967.4469 | 0.8350 | 45.8181 | 2.5602 | 95361.6473 | 4969.2601 | 84.6362 | 1.1185 | 90 |
| 5 | 904.4503 | 1.5764 | 39.7557 | 4.0140 | 17736.2350 | 2895.3918 | 9.7179 | 4.8795 | 90 |
| 6 | 854.4819 | 0.3215 | 34.8501 | 0.7845 | 359324.3050 | 3685.3273 | 8.2315 | 0.2746 | 90 |


| 7 | 627.1273 | 0.4077 | 18.5918 | 0.9474 | 22454.7292 | 809.0312 | 70.7968 | 1.0661 | 90 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 554.0051 | 0.8223 | 20.0375 | 2.2529 | 11125.4731 | 935.6338 | 80.3744 | 1.5719 | 90 |  |
| 9 | 498.4405 | 0.5100 | 36.7979 | 1.1952 | 47045.1989 | 1737.4115 | 31.5953 | 0.6441 | 90 |  |
| 10 | 444.5136 | 0.2360 | 25.4438 | 0.5064 | 259681.5030 | 2531.5769 | 7.0115 | 0.1641 | 90 |  |
| 11 | 365.7561 | 0.4065 | 40.0052 | 1.1436 | 115793.2740 | 2579.3708 | -17.1310 | 0.3263 | 90 |  |
| 12 | 316.5364 | 0.2868 | 17.5481 | 0.7074 | 79478.0308 | 2327.4479 | 146.8989 | 0.4168 | 90 |  |
| 13 | 295.8607 | 0.2829 | 11.2035 | 0.8214 | 38037.8982 | 2341.3446 | -10.7030 | 0.9746 | 90 |  |
| 14 | 269.8787 | 0.2434 | 11.4372 | 0.5673 | 24979.2863 | 1003.2629 | 31.7790 | 0.9470 | 90 |  |
| 15 | 1058.5000 | 0.1466 | 13.5591 | 0.1493 | 298081.4700 | 1586.6960 | 0 |  | - |  |
| 16 | 954.2000 | 0.1756 | 15.9576 | 0.3435 | 155456.8690 | 2858.5771 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 17 | 905.3000 | 0.3818 | 37.5829 | 1.0258 | 283403.9260 | 5357.2963 | 0 | - |  |  |
| 18 | 661.1000 | 1.2062 | 61.1123 | 3.4700 | 68518.4267 | 3042.9618 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 6.

| j | $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(v_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | $\sigma\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\sigma\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1128.3686 | 0.3431 | 31.2995 | 0.7423 | 54556.3167 | 2123.2030 | 144.4339 | 1.2192 | $\theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| 2 | 1108.8571 | 0.5588 | 31.1108 | 0.5308 | 212226.6890 | 3795.8550 | 24.3628 | 0.4137 | 90 |
| 3 | 1027.3708 | 0.3793 | 33.1247 | 0.6044 | 363554.0780 | 18.0570 | 96.9297 | 1.5021 | 90 |
| 4 | 1037.7416 | 0.5378 | 30.7390 | 1.3144 | 141912.7300 | 17.9877 | 4.6421 | 2.7087 | 90 |
| 5 | 1009.9518 | 0.4677 | 22.2564 | 1.0482 | 172752.3130 | 5177.9700 | 175.9980 | 1.8392 | 90 |


| 6 | 991.7254 | 0.5793 | 24.2304 | 2.0259 | 150428.1450 | 11517.6300 | 103.3195 | 1.8847 | 90 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 765.4734 | 3.4041 | 42.0367 | 10.9087 | 15482.5298 | 3241.5640 | 5.9023 | 6.1221 | 90 |  |
| 8 | 718.5118 | 1.2856 | 27.2765 | 3.9460 | 20263.4125 | 2306.1400 | -51.0158 | 3.5496 | 90 |  |
| 9 | 638.1094 | 0.4900 | 17.9200 | 1.1941 | 28666.8966 | 1392.3170 | 145.0234 | 1.2033 | 90 |  |
| 10 | 578.8337 | 1.6291 | 24.5589 | 1.0170 | 42223.7579 | 9406.2510 | 43.3327 | 1.7890 | 90 |  |
| 11 | 570.6447 | 0.5394 | 11.6388 | 0.7779 | 73786.7114 | 488.4382 | 42.3799 | 1.2196 | 90 |  |
| 12 | 563.4729 | 0.7157 | 9.2861 | 1.9959 | 35232.0422 | 9229.9400 | 39.1535 | 2.2532 | 90 |  |
| 13 | 415.7642 | 0.5353 | 34.7887 | 0.7423 | 114579.3000 | 1911.6290 | -41.7658 | 0.4592 | 90 |  |
| 14 | 1135.3801 | 0.8506 | 39.8303 | 2.1129 | 14854.1612 | 1579.1328 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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