1	Manganese carbonate formation from amorphous and nanocrystalline precursors:
2	Thermodynamics and geochemical relevance
3	A.V. Radha and Alexandra Navrotsky *
4	Peter A. Rock Thermochemistry Laboratory and NEAT ORU (Nanomaterials in the Environment,
5	Agriculture, and Technology, Organized Research Unit), University of California Davis, One Shields
6	Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA
7	
8	Revision 1
9	*Corresponding author: <u>anavrotsky@ucdavis.edu</u>
10	
11	ABSTRACT
12	The thermodynamic stabilities of different manganese carbonate phases at ambient conditions
13	were determined by acid solution and water adsorption calorimetry. Amorphous manganese carbonate
14	precursor provides a low energy pathway for MnCO3 crystallization analogous to that observed in (Ca-
15	Mg-Fe)CO <sub>3</sub> systems where crystallization enthalpies appear to be controlled by cation size (become
16	less exothermic with increase in ionic radius). The surface energy of nanophase $MnCO_3$ (0.64 ± 0.08
17	$J/m^2$ for hydrous and 0.94 $\pm$ 0.12 $J/m^2$ for anhydrous surface) is lower than that of nano-calcite and
18	MnCO <sub>3</sub> binds surface water less strongly (-66.6 $\pm$ 2.9 kJ/mol) than calcite (-96.26 $\pm$ 0.96 kJ/mol). This
19	probably reflects the greater basicity of CaO compared to MnO. Substantial particle size driven shifts
20	in the MnCO <sub>3</sub> - manganese oxide Eh - pH and oxygen fugacity-CO <sub>2</sub> fugacity diagrams were calculated
21	using the measured surface energies. These shifts expand the stability field of hausmannite, Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4,</sub> in
22	both aqueous and anhydrous environments. The particle size driven (caused by differences in surface
23	energy) shifts in oxidation potential (Eh, oxygen fugacity) and pH of phase boundaries could affect

stability and electrochemical and catalytic properties and hence influence geochemical and technological processes. Manganese oxides (mainly hausmannite) dominate at the nanoscale in aerated environments, while manganese carbonate is favored in coarse grained materials and reducing environments. In supercritical  $CO_2$ , the expansion of the MnCO<sub>3</sub> stability field leads to significant reduction of the Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> stability field.

29

30 Keywords: MnCO<sub>3</sub> (rhodochrosite) formation, crystallization enthalpy, surface energy, Eh/pE-pH
 31 diagram, CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration,

- 32
- 33

## INTRODUCTION

34 Manganese carbonate minerals play a vital role in manganese geochemistry by regulating Mn(II) mobility and heavy metal transport, and in the carbon cycle by trapping CO<sub>2</sub> through 35 36 mineralization (Bourg and Bertin 1994; Goren et al (2012); Pedersen and Price 1982; Schaef et al. 37 2009, 2010). Mn substituted calcite phases were observed in pilot studies on the reactivity of different 38 basalt samples obtained from sites in the United States, India, and South Africa with aqueous 39 dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>S mixtures under supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> (Schaef et al. 2009, 2010) MnCO<sub>3</sub> is 40 also used as a cost effective and environmentally friendly catalyst support, water treatment agent, and 41 precursor for synthesis of metal oxides for lithium-ion battery materials (Cui et al. 2009; Takahara et 42 al. (2012); Wang and Ao 2007; Yang et al. 2007). Recently, advancements in synthetic strategies have 43 led to the development of multifunctional nano/microporous manganese carbonate composites with porous surfaces having potential in drug delivery, protein encapsulation, biosensing, and as 44 45 antimicrobial agents (Peng et al. 2011; Qi et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2009) as well as

46 promising, less expensive, Li-ion battery anode materials (Aragón et al. 2007, 2011;
47 Mirhashemihaghighi et al. 2012).

48 MnCO<sub>3</sub> minerals are found in anaerobic soils and marine sediments, hydrothermal veins and 49 sporadically in high temperature metasomatic deposits as crystalline MnCO<sub>3</sub> (rhodochrosite) or as 50 solid solution with other carbonate minerals with rhombohedral calcite-type structure (Goren et al. 51 (2012); Pedersen and Price 1982). Rhodochrosite (MnCO<sub>3</sub>) crystal growth kinetic studies suggest that 52 its growth depends on the chemical speciation of the mineral surface (Sternbeck 1997). Surface 53 potential studies using Kelvin probe electron force microscopy indicate surface heterogeneity due to 54 growth of thin films of manganese oxide nanostructures (Kendall et al. 2008; Na et al. 2007; Na and 55 Martin 2009). These thin films alter crystal growth, reactivity, and surface electrical properties of the 56 less reactive rhodochrosite surface by transforming it into a surface with regions of strongly reactive 57 sites. Analogous phase transformations in other M-O (M = Fe, Mn and Co) systems have been shown 58 to have thermodynamic underpinnings due to surface energy driven shifts in redox equilibria at the 59 nanoscale (Birkner and Navrotsky 2012; Navrotsky et al. 2010).

60 There is growing evidence that calcium carbonate nucleation and growth takes place not only by classical nucleation system (De Yoreo and Vekilov 2003; De Yoreo et al. 2009; Hu et al. 2012) but 61 also by non-classical mechanisms through prenucleation clusters (0.2 to 2 nm size), and liquid-like 62 63 metastable amorphous, nanophase and mesocrystal precursors in the early stages (Bewernitz et al. 64 2012; de Yoreo et al. 2007; Fernandez-Martinez et al. 2013; Gebauer and Coelfen 2011; Gebauer et al. 65 2008; Raiteri and Gale 2010; Tribello et al. 2009; Wallace et al. 2013; Wolf et al. 2011). Our previous 66 thermodynamic studies suggest that the early stage amorphous and nanophase precursors provide a 67 low energy pathway for carbonate mineralization in (Ca-Mg-Fe)CO<sub>3</sub> systems (Forbes et al. 2011; Radha et al. 2012; Radha et al. 2010; Sel et al. 2012). To investigate particle size driven energy 68

69 crossovers in CaCO<sub>3</sub> Forbes et al., (2011) recently determined the surface energy of nanophase calcite 70 using acid solution calorimetry. Analogous data are not available for manganese carbonates. However, 71 the structure and phase evolution in the CaCO<sub>3</sub>-MnCO<sub>3</sub> solid solution system have been investigated 72 extensively to understand the mixing thermodynamics and formation of intermediate ordered 73 dolomite-type phases (Ca<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>0.5</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, kutnahorite) (Capobianco and Navrotsky 1987; Goldsmith and 74 Graf 1957; Katsikopoulos et al. 2009; McBeath et al. 1998; Pedersen and Price 1982; Wang et al. 75 2011).

76 In this work, we synthesized and measured the energetics of amorphous and nanophase 77 manganese carbonates to understand their influence on crystal growth, surface reactivity and nanoscale 78 properties of MnCO<sub>3</sub>. First, we synthesized amorphous manganese carbonate and measured its 79 crystallization enthalpy using isothermal acid solution calorimetry. To understand the contribution of 80 molecular level surface interactions during manganese carbonate formation and nanoscale 81 thermodynamic effects on its properties, we measured the energetics of nanophase manganese carbonate and determined its surface energy using acid solution and water adsorption calorimetric 82 83 techniques. Finally, we evaluated the particle size dependent thermodynamic influence on manganese 84 carbonate formation from manganese oxide precursors by constructing Eh(pE)-pH and oxygen-CO<sub>2</sub> 85 fugacity diagrams and analyzed possible MnCO<sub>3</sub> formation during CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration.

- 86
- 87

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 88 Sample Syntheses

89 Reagent grade  $MnSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ ,  $Na_2CO_3$  and  $NaHCO_3$  were used for all syntheses. All solutions 90 were prepared using ultra-pure water (resistivity = 18 Mohm.cm at 25 °C) from a Simplicity water

91 purification system. Nitrogen gas was bubbled through all solutions for at least 30 minutes to remove
92 any dissolved oxygen.

Amorphous manganese carbonate (AMnC): Samples were synthesized by modifying the earlier synthetic approach for amorphous carbonates (Koga et al. 1998). AMnC was precipitated by adding 150 ml 0.02 M MnSO<sub>4</sub> solution to 150 ml of 0.02 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution with constant stirring and nitrogen bubbling. Both solutions were cooled in a refrigerator at 4 °C prior to mixing to minimize the crystallization. The precipitate was immediately filtered under vacuum, washed with acetone several times and vacuum dried overnight at 25 °C.

99 Nanocrystalline manganese carbonate (NMnC): NMnC samples were synthesized by adding 100 200 ml of 0.1 M MnSO<sub>4</sub> solution to 200 ml of sodium carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (pH = 9) solution 101 at 25 °C. The solution was split into two equal parts immediately after mixing and then aged 102 separately at room temperature and 85 °C in an oven. After aging, solutions were filtered separately 103 under vacuum, washed with acetone several times and vacuum dried overnight at 25 °C. The samples 104 were prepared in 4 separate batches and aged at ambient and 85 °C for 0, 1.0, 2.5 and 5.0 h. A 30 ml 105 sample of the above precipitated solution was hydrothermally treated at 160 °C in a 50 ml capacity 106 Teflon-lined autoclave for 18 h to obtain a bulk MnCO<sub>3</sub> sample with BET particle size above 100 nm.

Both amorphous and nanophase manganese carbonate phases were hydrated with composition MnCO<sub>3</sub>·nH<sub>2</sub>O (see Tables 1 and 2). All dried samples were first equilibrated in air for 24 h in the calorimetry laboratory, continually maintained at  $24 \pm 0.5$  °C and  $50 \pm 5$  % relative humidity, and then stored in screw capped vials in a desiccator with drierite desiccant. All sample characterization and calorimetric measurements on amorphous samples were carried out within a week to minimize any changes due to sample aging. The water contents of the samples were determined from the first weight loss step (ambient to 200 °C) in the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) curve.

1/22

114

## 115 Sample characterization

116 Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of all samples were collected using a Bruker AXS D8 117 Advance diffractometer with a zero background sample holder and LynxEye solid state detector (Cu  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation; step size = 0.01 ° and a collection time = 0.5-2 s/step). Phase identification and 118 119 crystallite size analysis by whole pattern fitting were performed using JADE 8.0 software. The 120 crystallite size from the XRD profiles were determined by whole pattern fitting based on the Scherrer 121 equation with spherical particle shape. A Netzsch STA 449 system was used to carry out 122 thermogravimetric analysis and differential scanning calorimetry (TGA/DSC) measurements in a platinum crucible with argon flow from 30 to 1200 °C at 10 °C/min. The gases evolved during thermal 123 124 analysis were examined using a Bruker Equinox 55 FTIR spectrometer (range 400 - 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>) coupled to the Netzsch STA 449 system by a transfer line heated to 150 °C to confirm the loss of H<sub>2</sub>O 125 and CO<sub>2</sub> during TG-DSC runs. The surface area of the samples (degassed at 150 °C for 2 hours) was 126 127 characterized by nitrogen adsorption measurements using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method 128 with a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 instrument. A five point  $N_2$  adsorption isotherm was collected in 129 triplicate for 0.1 g of each sample in the P/P<sub>0</sub> relative pressure range of 0.05 - 0.3, where P<sub>0</sub> is the 130 saturation pressure at -196 °C. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) studies were conducted to 131 confirm particle size on a Philips FEI XL30-SFEG high resolution scanning electron microscope at an 132 accelerating voltage of 5 kV. For analysis by scanning electron microscopy, powder samples were 133 ultrasonicated in ethanol, drop-cast onto copper tape, and sputter coated with gold.

134

## 135 Calorimetry:

1/22

The instrument and methodology used for isothermal acid solution calorimetry for carbonates have been described earlier (Forbes et al. 2011; Radha et al. 2010). A CSC 4400 isothermal microcalorimeter with mechanical stirring was used to measure the enthalpies of solution of samples at 26 °C. Typically a ~5 mg sample pellet was dropped into 5 N HCl solvent (~25 g) and the heat flow ( $\mu$ J/s) as a function of time gives a calorimetric signal. Measured enthalpies of solution are given in Tables 1 and 2.

142 A Micromeritics ASAP 2020 gas adsorption analyzer coupled with a Setaram Sensys Calvet 143 microcalorimeter was used for simultaneous accurate water dosing to the sample and measurement of 144 enthalpy of adsorption for each incremental dose of water (Ushakov and Navrotsky 2005). Each water 145 dose ( $\sim$ 1-2 micromoles) generates a distinct calorimetric peak and the area under the peak gives the 146 differential enthalpy of adsorption. All chemically adsorbed water generates an adsorption peak with 147 differential enthalpy more negative than the condensation enthalpy of water at 25 °C (-44 kJ/mol). The 148 sum of the differential enthalpies of adsorption divided by the total water up to this coverage gives the integral enthalpy ( $\Delta H_{adsH2Ovapor}$ ). At least 3 runs were done on each sample after degassing at 150 °C 149 150 for 2 h between the measurements. A blank with the empty tube was run to correct the above data for 151 water adsorbed on the forked tube wall.

152

153

### RESULTS

## 154 Crystallization energy of amorphous manganese carbonate (AMnC):

The absence of any Bragg reflections in the powder XRD patterns of the AMnC samples (Fig. 1a) confirms the formation of X-ray amorphous samples. The X-ray patterns of the same dry AMnC samples stored for 40 days showed sharp reflections of a calcite-like phase. This indicates that the amorphous MnCO<sub>3</sub> is metastable and slowly crystallizes to rhodochrosite (see Fig 1a). Therefore, we 159 measured the energetics on two freshly prepared AMnC samples (AMnC I and II) to minimize any 160 aging as well as crystallization effects.

161 The thermal decomposition of manganese carbonate is complex due to the formation of various interconvertible manganese oxide phases in different environments (inert or oxidizing) and 162 163 temperatures (Seguin 1972). In our experiments, the single step decomposition with 38.5% TG weight 164 loss (Fig. SI-1a in supporting information) and the XRD pattern of the decomposed TG products of 165 crystalline bulk MnCO<sub>3</sub> confirm the formation of MnO in an Ar atmosphere. At higher temperature, 166 the liberated  $CO_2$  if not flushed out, further reacts with MnO to form  $Mn_3O_4$  and CO (Seguin 1972). 167 The TGA profile of AMnC sample on heating in Ar show that the first weight loss step (30 - 200 °C) 168 corresponds to sample dehydration and they are different for AMnC I and II samples (see Table 1) 169 and The second weight loss is due to decomposition of MnCO<sub>3</sub> to MnO (See Fig SI-1b in supporting 170 information). The FTIR spectra of the gases evolved during TG-DSC measurements further confirm 171 the loss of  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$  at the first and second TGA weight loss steps. The DSC profile of AMnC 172 (Fig. SI-1b in supporting information) shows multiple thermal events similar to those observed in 173 amorphous iron (II) carbonate (Sel et al. 2012). The first endothermic DSC peak (30 - 200 °C) 174 corresponds to loss of water. The second step (200 - 420 °C) shows several thermal events with 175 simultaneous crystallization (exothermic) and decomposition (endothermic) to MnO occurring around ~400 °C. 176

The measured enthalpies of solution in 5 M HCl at 26 °C of two freshly prepared amorphous samples and bulk crystalline  $MnCO_3$  are given in Table 1. Amorphous manganese carbonate readily dissolved in 5M HCl to form a very pale pink solution containing Mn(II) ions. The measured exothermic solution enthalpies are used in thermochemical cycle-I in Table 3 to arrive at the crystallization enthalpies of amorphous carbonate samples. The calculated crystallization enthalpies of

two freshly prepared amorphous samples (AMnC I and II) agree with each other within the 182 183 uncertainties of measurements and correspond to  $-32.44 \pm 0.71$  kJ/mol. Although the SEM image of 184 (Fig SI-2 in supporting information) suggest the nanoparticlulate (25-50 nm) nature of AMnC samples, no correction for the surface energy contribution to the crystallization enthalpy has been 185 186 made because the difference in surface energy between amorphous and crystalline  $MnCO_3$  is not 187 known. The exothermic crystallization of AMnC confirms that amorphous MnCO<sub>3</sub> is a metastable 188 phase. It could provide a low energy pathway for crystalline rhodochrosite mineralization analogous to other carbonate systems with Ca, Mg and Fe cations (Forbes et al. 2011; Radha et al. 2012; Radha 189 190 et al. 2010; Sel et al. 2012).

191

### 192 Surface energy of nanophase manganese carbonate (NMnC)

The phase identification of nanophase and bulk manganese carbonate samples by powder XRD (see Fig. 1b) confirms the formation of rhodochrosite (PDF # 65-1302) with calcite-type structure. A typical TG-DSC curve for NMnC shows just two-step thermal reactions due to dehydration and decomposition of MnCO<sub>3</sub> to MnO and CO<sub>2</sub> (See Fig SI-1c). The water contents per mole of MnCO<sub>3</sub> in NMnC samples (calculated from TGA first weight loss step) were smaller than that of the amorphous phase. Further, the absence of any sharp exothermic crystallization DSC peak confirms the absence of significant residual amorphous phase in NMnC samples.

Table 2 summarizes the particle size analysis of NMnC samples. The crystallite size and the corresponding calculated surface areas from the XRD profiles range from 9.1 to 58.9 nm and 178 to 27.5 m<sup>2</sup>/g, respectively. The BET surface area of the NMnC samples obtained from the nitrogen adsorption isotherm range from  $84.5 \pm 0.24$  to  $7.5 \pm 0.2$  m<sup>2</sup>/mol. The measured BET surface areas are smaller than the values calculated from the XRD particle size (Table 2). The particle sizes estimated 205 from SEM studies (Fig SI-2) show a wide range of particle size distribution from  $32 \pm 2$  to  $144 \pm 10$ nm (corresponding to surface areas of 50.7 to 11.3  $m^2/g$ ) and are much higher than values from the 206 207 XRD and BET measurements. These differences among X-ray, BET and SEM estimates of surface area and particle size are consistent with extensive particle agglomeration. This could occur on 208 209 dehydration during sample preparation using gold sputter coating or during SEM measurement under 210 high vacuum. Such discrepancies were also observed in our earlier study on surface energy of 211 nanophase calcite (Forbes et al. 2011) that concluded that surface area determination is best performed using BET analysis. Since calcite and rhodochrosite are similar in structure, one could argue that 212 213 conclusions deduced for calcite would apply to  $MnCO_3$  as well. Therefore, we used the surface area 214 obtained from BET analysis in calculation of the surface energy of nanophase manganese carbonate.

215 Figure 2 shows the adsorption enthalpies for individual water vapor doses (differential enthalpies) as a function of water coverage on the NMnC surface (H<sub>2</sub>O per nm<sup>2</sup>). The water coverage 216 217 up to the water condensation point corresponds to the chemisorbed water and the average coverage from 2 runs on NMnC surface is  $9 \pm 1$  H<sub>2</sub>O/nm<sup>2</sup>. The remaining water (with a differential enthalpy -44 218 219 kJ/mol) is physically adsorbed water. The calculated integral enthalpy ( $\Delta H_{adsH2O-vapor}$ ) value for NMnC 220 surface is  $-66.6 \pm 2.9$  kJ/mol and corresponds to the average enthalpy of chemisorbed water vapor at 25 °C. The enthalpy of chemisorption for liquid water ( $\Delta H_{chemiads-liquid}$ ) was calculated by removing the 221 contribution of enthalpy of condensation of water vapor at 25 °C (-44 kJ/mol) from the integral 222 223 enthalpy ( $\Delta H_{adsH2O-vapor}$ ). The enthalpies of solution ( $\Delta H_{soln}$ ) for NMnC samples measured by 224 isothermal calorimetry in 5 M HCl at 26 °C range from  $-19.2 \pm 0.4$  to  $-25.5 \pm 0.6$  kJ/mol (Table 2). All NMnC samples are hydrated and the corrected enthalpies ( $\Delta H_{sol-corr; nano}$ ) are obtained by subtracting 225 226 the contribution of adsorbed water from enthalpies of solution ( $\Delta H_{soln}$ ). The surface enthalpy ( $\gamma$  in 227  $J/m^2$ ) of nanophase manganese carbonate for a given surface area (SA in m<sup>2</sup>/mol) is the excess

enthalpy of nanophase with respect to bulk ( $\Delta H_{sol-bulk} = \Delta H_{sol-corr; nano} + SA^*\gamma$ ). Any particle size above 100 nm can be treated as a bulk sample in terms of enthalpy because the product of surface area times surface energy gives an effect within experimental error of calorimetric measurements.

231 Figure 3 shows the water-corrected solution enthalpies plotted against the surface area 232 obtained from BET analysis. The solution enthalpies are corrected for contribution associated with 233 surface water as physically ( $\Delta H_{sol-corr-physi}$ ) and chemically ( $\Delta H_{sol-corr-chemi}$ ) adsorbed water (see 234 thermochemical cycles given in Table 3) and they correspond to hydrous and anhydrous surfaces, as 235 discussed in earlier papers (Birkner and Navrotsky 2012; Forbes et al. 2011; Ma et al. 2011; Navrotsky 236 2009). The data points for both hydrous and anhydrous surfaces each follow linear trends with goodness of fit  $(R^2)$  values 0.87 and 0.91. The negative of the slopes of the linear fits correspond to the 237 238 surface enthalpies. Any substantial contribution of interface enthalpy due to sample agglomeration 239 would lead to non-linear trends and thus this effect seems to be insignificant. The surface enthalpies for hydrous and anhydrous nano-manganese carbonate surfaces are  $0.64 \pm 0.08$  J/m<sup>2</sup> and  $0.94 \pm 0.12$ 240  $J/m^2$  respectively. These surface enthalpy values are also approximations to surface energy and 241 242 surface free energy since the contribution of excess volume (PV term) and surface entropy term (T $\Delta$ S) 243 are small (Smith et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2004). Duckworth et al. (2004) report the only other available calculated surface energy  $(0.442 \text{ J/m}^2)$  using molecular dynamics simulation studies. The measured 244 245 surface energy is larger than that from computational modeling of idealized crystallite surfaces but is 246 within the range of those measured for many oxides (Navrotsky 2009). The surface enthalpy of MnCO<sub>3</sub> (0.9  $\pm$  0.1 J/m<sup>2</sup>) is lower than that of calcite (1.9  $\pm$  0.2 J/m<sup>2</sup>), which suggests the surface 247 interactions of MnCO<sub>3</sub> are weaker than those of the calcite surface. Furthermore, the less exothermic 248 249 water adsorption enthalpy of MnCO<sub>3</sub> (-66.6  $\pm$  2.96 kJ/mol) compared to calcite (-96.26  $\pm$  0.96 kJ/mol) 250 supports weaker interaction on the MnCO<sub>3</sub> surface.

252

## DISCUSSION

## 253 Pattern of crystallization energetics in amorphous carbonates

254 To better understand the driving force behind the crystallization of carbonates, we compare the 255 crystallization energetics of amorphous Ca, Mg, Fe and Mn carbonates as a function of cation ionic 256 radius in Table 1. As noted in our earlier study (Sel et al. 2012), the crystallization enthalpies become 257 less exothermic with an increase in cation radius. Interestingly, isostructural crystalline carbonate 258 minerals also show a similar linear dependence of physical (hardness and density) and spectroscopic 259 (shifts in IR peak positions) properties on cation radius (Railsback 1999). However, geochemical 260 properties such as solubility and distribution coefficients are shown to be controlled by the degree of 261 cation fit in the crystal structure (Railsback 1999). Our current study illustrates the influence of cation 262 radius on the thermodynamics of carbonate crystallization. In order to obtain a fundamental 263 understanding of this trend, there needs to be a detailed structural analysis of amorphous phases in the 264 (Ca-Mg-Fe-Mn)CO<sub>3</sub> system and their M-O bonding environment. This could help link 265 thermodynamics, kinetics, and physical properties.

266

## 267 Surface energy effects on manganese carbonate formation from manganese oxide precursors

To understand nanoscale effects on manganese carbonate formation from manganese oxide in aqueous medium, we constructed Eh-pH diagrams (Figure 4) for bulk and 10 nm particles using surface energies (hydrous) of nano-MnCO<sub>3</sub> (this study) and nano-Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (Birkner and Navrotsky 2012) with aqueous Mn<sup>2+</sup> (10<sup>-6</sup> M) species for a CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure corresponding to sea level air ( $P_{CO2} = 10^{-3.5}$  atm; T = 25 °C) and supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> ( $P_{CO2} = 72.9$  atm; T = 31.25 °C). MnCO<sub>3</sub> exists only as rhodochrosite therefore one cannot expect any particle size driven shifts in surface energy

274 related to polymorphic phase transformation. Also, for many oxides (Navrotsky 2009, 2011; 275 Navrotsky et al. 2010) the excess enthalpy measured directly by calorimetry remains constant within 276 experimental error (data fall on a straight line) down to particle sizes near 5-10 nm. For nanophase Mn minerals, all three phase boundaries show some particle size induced shifts (dashed lines in Fig 4) 277 278 from the corresponding bulk phase boundaries (solid lines in Fig. 4), illustrating the surface energy 279 driven effects on both acid-base and redox equilibria (see Tables SI-1 and SI-2 for thermodynamic data and chemical half reactions). The shifts in acid-base equilibria of the Mn<sup>2+</sup>/nanoMnCO<sub>3</sub> and 280  $Mn^{2+}/nanoMn_3O_4$  phase boundaries toward higher pH confirm that nanophase minerals are metastable 281 282 and therefore more soluble than bulk minerals. The nanophase  $Mn_3O_4/MnCO_3$  phase boundary shifts 283 suggest the expansion of the hausmannite, Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> stability field compared to bulk due to the surface energy contributions of nano  $Mn_3O_4(0.96 \pm 0.08 \text{ J/m}^2)$  and  $MnCO_3(0.64 \pm 0.08 \text{ J/m}^2)$  phases. For the 284 285 Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/MnCO<sub>3</sub> anhydrous system, the oxygen - CO<sub>2</sub> fugacity diagrams at 25 and 100 °C (Fig. 5) 286 indicate that both bulk and nano  $MnCO_3$  (10 nm size) stability fields expand with an increase in  $CO_2$ 287 partial pressure (Reaction 4 in Table SI-2 in supporting information). High temperature favors the 288 oxidation reaction as evidenced by the shifts in Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/MnCO<sub>3</sub> boundaries (expansion of Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> field) 289 for bulk and nano to a lower oxygen fugacity region at 100 °C.

In contrast to the Mn-O system (Birkner and Navrotsky 2012), the size driven shifts in the  $Mn_3O_4/$ MnCO<sub>3</sub> equilibrium in both Eh - pH and oxygen - CO<sub>2</sub> fugacity diagrams favor the formation of Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> over MnCO<sub>3</sub>, even though Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> has the higher surface energy. This is because the surface energies of both phases are fairly similar and the total surface energy contributions of Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and MnCO<sub>3</sub> phases in 1:3 molar ratio for the phase boundary reaction (see reactions in Table SI-2). This drives the formation of high surface energy Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phase over MnCO<sub>3</sub>, which leads to an expansion of the Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> stability field. These results demonstrate that at a redox interface, precipitation and dissolution of Mn phases are controlled not only by redox potential of bulk phases but also by changes in particle size driven surface energy effects, with manganese oxides dominating in nanoparticles in oxidizing environments, but manganese carbonate dominating in bulk samples under reducing conditions.

301 In supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>, both Eh-pH and oxygen fugacity diagrams indicate the expanded stability 302 field for manganese carbonate formation. In supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> conditions ( $P_{CO2} = 72.9$  atm; T = 31.25 °C), the effect of this modest pressure on surface enthalpy would be through a P $\Delta$ V term in 303 304 supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, where  $\Delta V$  is the excess surface volume. There are no data for this but, 305 given the modest pressure used, it can not be a significant effect. In supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O fluid (Fig. 306 4b), both bulk and nano  $Mn_3O_4/MnCO_3$  phase boundaries are pushed to higher Eh (more oxidizing) 307 and lower pH regions. This expansion of the carbonate stability field favors MnCO<sub>3</sub> formation at lower pH (pH  $\sim$  6 for bulk and pH  $\sim$  7 for nano) leading to substantial reduction in the hausmannite 308 309 stability field. A significant expansion of the MnCO<sub>3</sub> field around CO<sub>2</sub> fugacity of 1.86 corresponding 310 to supercritical carbon dioxide (73 atm) in Figure 5 suggests its favorable formation in anhydrous 311 medium as well.

312

313

### **IMPLICATIONS**

The mechanism of manganese carbonate formation is complex as it forms under reducing conditions in anoxic organic rich sediments or by early diagenetic and biogeochemical processes, such as microbial sulfate and  $MnO_2$  reduction that facilitate  $Mn^{2+}$  formation (Frankel and Bazylinski 2003; Gingele and Kasten 1994; Goren et al. (2012); Pedersen and Price 1982). A time-resolved X-ray diffraction analysis of a bio-mediated enzymatic mineral reaction showed the systematic crystal structure evolution from birnessite to hausmannite ( $Mn_3O_4$ ) and finally to nanocrystalline rhodochrosite through intermediate phases with a collapsing interlayer structure (Fischer et al. 2008). Our study provides the thermodynamic basis for such structural evolution by illustrating the existence of low energy pathways involving metastable amorphous and nano precursors for MnCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation. This could be relevant to rhodoschrosite formation during biologically induced mineralization as well as in anoxic organic rich sediments. Furthermore, the shifts in Eh (oxidation potential) with particle size could affect electrochemistry and catalytic properties of these materials and hence influence their geochemistry as well as various industrial applications.

327 Manganese carbonate is usually found as coarse deposits in various geochemical environments. 328 In marine environments, increase in grain size (with associated larger pore spaces) is found to be a 329 prerequisite for authigenic Mn-carbonate formation (Pedersen and Price 1982). Mn oxide minerals 330 typically occur as poorly crystalline fine grained (10 - 100 nm) brown-black masses or coatings and 331 are formed by weathering of Mn-rich carbonates or silicates by in situ oxidation or dissolution-332 reprecipitation (Glasby 2006; Nicholson et al. 1997; Post 1999). In deep sea water, Mn nodules 333 generally appear in oxygenated environments as concentric layers of Mn and Fe oxides around other 334 minerals such as carbonate mineral fragments, pumice shards, animal remains, and coral fragments 335 (Glasby 2000; Von Damm 1990). Our thermodynamic study suggests that, as manganese minerals 336 undergo dissolution and precipitation at the anoxic - oxic interface of aqueous geochemical 337 environments, both low oxygen fugacity and large grain size thermodynamically favor carbonate 338 formation. The surface energy effects described here thus explain the formation of MnCO<sub>3</sub> as coarse 339 grains in the anaerobic geochemical environments (Pedersen and Price 1982) and are relevant to the 340 formation of manganese oxide minerals as fine grained minerals in soils, sediments and Mn nodules in 341 deep sea floor and crusts (Glasby 2006; Glasby 2000; Post 1999). The size driven thermodynamic 342 effects also explain the appearance of thin films of haumannite (Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) as a nanophase on the rhodochrosite surface at an anoxic - oxic interface (Kendall et al. 2008; Na et al. 2007; Na and Martin
2009).

345 Recent evaluations of different geologic environments for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration show relatively 346 faster precipitation of carbonate minerals in basalts compared to other silicate rocks (Sturmer et al. 347 2007). In addition to other common carbonates, manganese carbonate formation seems to contribute 348 to carbonation reactions of basalt with Mn bearing minerals. The phase equilibrium studies in this 349 work predict the expanded stability field for  $MnCO_3$  in supercritical (sc)  $CO_2$  both in hydrous and 350 anhydrous media. This also explains the enhanced carbonation reaction on the addition of  $H_2S$  to 351 scCO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O due to reduction and dissolution of Fe (III) or Mn (III, IV) minerals present in the basaltic 352 rocks (Schaef et al. 2009; Schaef et al. 2010).

353 Earlier thermodynamic studies have shown that low surface energy oxides with weakly bound 354 water are better water oxidation catalysts (Mn oxides, (Birkner et al. 2013), sensors (tin oxide, (Ma et 355 al. 2011) and Li-ion exchange (LiCoO<sub>2</sub>, Maram et al. 2013) than phases with larger surface energies 356 and more strongly bound water. The low surface energy and weaker binding sites of MnCO<sub>3</sub> that allow 357 easier exchange of adsorbed molecules could be the possible reason for the development of MnCO<sub>3</sub> 358 nano/microspheres for drug delivery, biosensing (Peng et al. 2011; Qi et al. 2010; Wang et al., 2009; 359 Zhang et al., 2009) and reversible lithium storage applications (Aragón et al., 2007, 2011; 360 Mirhashemihaghighi et al. 2012). Interestingly, the delithiated microporous manganese carbonate form 361 X-ray amorphous carbonate phase on Li re-intercalation. This phase has not been well characterized 362 and could be a metastable amorphous manganese carbonate phase similar to AMnC in the present 363 study. Thus AMnC could provide a low energy pathway for manganese carbonate formation during 364 the electrochemical process as well. Electrochemical study of AMnC itself as a starting anode material would be interesting and this could also eliminate possible material compatibility issues due to any 365

volume change arising from the nanocrystalline to amorphous phase transformation during MnCO<sub>3</sub>
 electrochemical cycling.

Furthermore, both manganese oxides and manganese carbonate may have catalytic activity in the natural environment, may participate in biogeochemical cycles, and may even have provided catalytic environments in prebiotic organic chemistry and the origin of life. The changes in thermodynamic, surface, and electrochemical properties associated with nanophase and amorphous manganese carbonates may be important in these contexts as well.

- 373
- 374

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported as part of the Center for Nanoscale Control of Geologic CO<sub>2</sub>, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Award Number DE-AC02-05CH11231. The authors thank Tien Tran for help with SEM.

379

382	Table 1. The water content	and calorimetric data	a of amorphous mang	anese carbonate (AN	(nC) samples.

Amorphous MCO <sub>3</sub> · nH <sub>2</sub> O	Water (n) (mol) (TGA)	Enthalpy of Crystallization (\(\Delta\H_{crys}\) (kJ/mol)	383 Ionic/ crystal radius M <sup>2+</sup> (nm) <sup>e</sup> 384
MnCO <sub>3</sub> .nH <sub>2</sub> O (AMnC-I) <sup>a</sup>	$1.2 \pm 0.04$	$-32.44 \pm 0.71$	385
MnCO <sub>3</sub> .nH <sub>2</sub> O (AMnC-II) <sup>a</sup>	$1.78\pm0.19$	$-31.62 \pm 0.82$	0.083 (0.097) 386
CaCO <sub>3</sub> .nH <sub>2</sub> O (ACC) <sup>b</sup>	1.13 - 1.58	$-17 \pm 1$ to $-24 \pm 1$	0.1 (0.114) 387
MgCO <sub>3</sub> .nH <sub>2</sub> O (AMC) <sup>c</sup>	1.28	$-35.8 \pm 1.2$	0.072 (0.086) 388
FeCO <sub>3</sub> .nH <sub>2</sub> O (AFC) <sup>d</sup>	1.75	$-37.8 \pm 9.8$	0.078 (0.092) 389

390

391	
392	The values in () is crystal radius.
393	a This work
394	b (Radha et al., 2010)
395	c (Radha et al., 2012)
396	d (Sel et al., 2012)
397	e http://abulafia.mt.ic.ac.uk/shannon/ptable.php
398	

399

401	Table 2. The water content,	particle size analysis and	l calorimetric data of nano	phase manganese carbonate	(NMnC) sam	ples.
	,					1

Sample II MnCOa	Precipitate	nH <sub>2</sub> O	nH <sub>2</sub> O (mol) Surfa		Surface area	ΔH <sub>corr-H2O</sub> (KJ/mol )		XRD Crystallita	BET Particle size	SEM Particle
nH <sub>2</sub> O	aging condition	Total	chemi	(m <sup>2</sup> /mol)	(KJ/mol)	physi	chemi	size (nm)	(nm)	size (nm)
NMnC-1	5 min at 25 °C	$0.79\pm0.04$	$0.145{\pm}\ 0.04$	$9712 \pm 28$	$-25.5 \pm 0.6$	$-25.2 \pm 0.6$	$-28.4 \pm 1.3$	$9.1 \pm 0.4$	$19.2\pm0.08$	$32 \pm 2$
NMnC-2	5.0 h at 25 °C	$0.51\pm0.01$	$0.121 \pm 0.01$	$8073 \pm 230$	$-22.6 \pm 0.8$	$-22.3 \pm 0.8$	$-24.96 \pm 1.3$	$28 \pm 3$	$23.1\pm0.7$	$92 \pm 8$
NMnC-3	1.0 h at 25 °C	$0.39\pm0.02$	$0.074{\pm}~0.02$	$4927\pm38$	$-22.9\pm0.5$	$-22.8 \pm 0.5$	$-24.4\pm0.9$	$28 \pm 1.7$	$37.8\pm0.3$	$96 \pm 4$
NMnC-4	1.0 h at 85 °C	$0.12\pm0.01$	$0.070 \pm 0.01$	$4698\pm60$	$-21.0 \pm 0.5$	$-20.99 \pm 0.5$	$-22.52\pm0.95$	$38.6 \pm 1.8$	$39.7\pm0.6$	$97\pm8$
NMnC-5	2.5h at 25 °C	$0.35\pm0.02$	$0.047{\pm}~0.02$	$3168 \pm 138$	$-20.3\pm0.9$	$-20.1 \pm 0.9$	$-21.1 \pm 1.1$	$34.0 \pm 1.8$	$58.8 \pm 2.8$	$86 \pm 10$
NMnC-6	2.5 h at 85 °C	$0.09\pm0.02$	$0.063{\pm}~0.02$	$4232\pm253$	$-21.1 \pm 0.9$	$-21.0 \pm 0.9$	$-22.41 \pm 1.1$	$35.9 \pm 1.9$	$44.0 \pm 5.1$	$78\pm9$
NMnC-7	HT at160 °C	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.013 \pm 0.01$	$857 \pm 23$	$-19.2 \pm 0.4$	$-19.2 \pm 0.4$	$-19.5 \pm 0.5$	$58.9 \pm 3$	$217.5 \pm 6.5$	$144 \pm 10$

403

404  $\Delta H_{soln} =$  enthalpy of solution;  $\Delta H_{sol-corr; nano} =$  enthalpy corrected for water as physically (physi) and chemically (chmi) adsorbed

405

406

Table 3. Thermochemical cycles used to calculate of crystallization enthalpy ( $\Delta H_{crys}$ ) of amorphous manganese carbonate (AMnC) and 408 409 surface energies of nanophase MnCO<sub>3</sub> (NMnC). 410 411  $\overline{I-Crystallization enthalpy of AMnC}$  ( $\Delta H_{crys}$ ) 412  $MnCO_3 \cdot nH_2O_{(amr, 26 \circ C)} + 2 HCl_{(soln, 26 \circ C)} \rightarrow Mn^{2+}_{(soln, 26 \circ C)} + CO_{2 (g, 26 \circ C)} + (1+n) H_2O_{(soln, 26 \circ C)} + 2Cl_{(soln, 26 \circ C)} \qquad \Delta H_1 = \Delta H_{soln(amorphous)}$ 413  $H_2O_{(l, 26 °C)} \rightarrow H_2O_{(soln, 26 °C)}$ 414  $\Delta H_2 = -0.4 \text{ kJ/mol}$  (Parker, 1965)  $MnCO_{3 (xl, 26 °C)} + 2 HCl_{(soln, 26 °C)} \rightarrow Mn^{2+}_{(soln, 26 °C)} + CO_{2 (g, 26 °C)} + 2 Cl_{(soln, 26 °C)} H_2O_{(sln, 298 K)}$ 415  $\Delta H_3 = \Delta H_{\text{soln-rhodochrosite}}$  $MnCO_3 \cdot nH_2O_{(amrorphous, 298 K)} = MnCO_3 (rhodochrosite, 26 °C) + nH_2O_{(26 °C)} \Delta H_4 = \Delta H_{trns}$ 416 417 418  $\Delta H_4 = \Delta H_1 - n \Delta H_2 - \Delta H_3$ 419 II - Correction for liquid water (NMnC hydrous surface) ( $\Delta H_{sol-corr-physis}$ ) 420  $MnCO_{3} \bullet n H_{2}O_{(xl, 298 K)} + 2 HCl_{(sln, 298 K)} \rightarrow Mn^{2+}_{(sln, 298 K)} + CO_{2} (g, 298 K) + (1+n) H_{2}O_{(sln, 298 K)} + 2 Cl_{(sln, 298 K)} \Delta H_{1} = \Delta H_{sol}$ 421 422  $H_2O(1, 298 \text{ K}) \rightarrow H_2O(\text{sln}, 298 \text{ K})$  $\Delta H_2 = -0.4 \text{ kJ/mol}$  (Parker, 1965)  $MnCO_{3 (xl, 298 K)} + 2 HCl_{(sln, 298 K)} \rightarrow Mn^{2+}_{(sln, 298 K)} + CO_{2 (g, 298 K)} + 2 Cl^{-}_{(sln, 298 K)} + H_{2}O_{(sln, 298 K)}$ 423  $\Delta H_4 = \Delta H_{sol-corr-physi}$ 424 425  $\Delta H_4 = \Delta H_1 - n \Delta H_2$ 426 427 III - Correction for chemisorbed liquid water (NMnC anhydrous surface)( $\Delta H_{sol-corr-chem}$ )  $MnCO_3 \cdot nH_2O_{(xl, 298 K)} + 2 HCl_{(sln, 298 K)} \rightarrow Mn^{2+}_{(sln, 298 K)} + CO_{2(g, 298 K)} + (1+n) H_2O_{(sln, 298 K)}$ 428  $+ 2 \text{ Cl}_{(\text{sln}, 298 \text{ K})}$  $\Delta H_1 = \Delta H_{sol}$ 429  $H_2O_{(l, 298 K)} \rightarrow H_2O_{(sln, 298 K)}$  $\Delta H_2 = -0.4 \text{ kJ/mol}$  (Parker, 1965) 430  $H_2O_{(chemi-ads, 298 K)} \rightarrow H_2O_{(lig, 298 K)}$  $\Delta H_3 = (\Delta H_{chemads-lig}) = 43.02 \pm 0.79 \text{ kJ/mol}$  $MnCO_{3(xl. 298 K)} + 2 HCl_{(sln. 298 K)} \rightarrow Mn^{2+}_{(sln. 298 K)} + CO_{2(g. 298K)} + 2 Cl^{-}_{(sln. 298 K)} + H_2O_{(sln. 298 K)}$ 431  $\Delta H_4 = \Delta H_{sol-corr-chem}$ 432 433  $\Delta H_4 = \Delta H_1 - n \Delta H_2 - (n-x) \Delta H_3$ 434 IV - Enthalpy of conversion from NMnC to bulk ( $\Delta H_{nano \_bulk}$ ) 435  $\begin{array}{c} \text{MnCO}_{3} (\text{xl, bulk, 298 K}) + 2 \text{ HCl} (\text{sln, 298 K}) \xrightarrow{} \text{Mn}^{2+} (\text{sln, 298 K}) + \text{CO}_{2} (\text{g, 298K}) + 2 \text{ Cl}^{-} (\text{sln, 298 K}) + \text{H}_{2}\text{O} (\text{sln, 298 K}) \\ \text{MnCO}_{3} (\text{xl, nano 298 K}) + 2 \text{ HCl} (\text{sln, 298 K}) \xrightarrow{} \text{Mn}^{2+} (\text{sln, 298 K}) + \text{CO}_{2} (\text{g, 298K}) + 2 \text{ Cl}^{-} (\text{sln, 298 K}) + \text{H}_{2}\text{O} (\text{sln, 298 K}) \\ \xrightarrow{} \text{Mn}^{2+} (\text{sln, 298 K}) + \text{CO}_{2} (\text{g, 298K}) + 2 \text{ Cl}^{-} (\text{sln, 298 K}) + \text{H}_{2}\text{O} (\text{sln, 298 K}) \\ \end{array}$  $\Delta H_5 = \Delta H_{sol-cor, bulk}$ 436 437  $\Delta H_6 = \Delta H_{sol-cor. nano}$ 438  $MnCO_{3 (xl, nano, 298K)} \rightarrow MnCO_{3 (xl, bulk, 298 K)}$  $\Delta H_7 = and \Delta H_{nano, bulk}$ 439  $\Delta H_7 = \Delta H_6 - \Delta H_5$ 440

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4734

## 442 List of Figure Captions

443

444 Figure 1. Powder XRD patterns of (a) amorphous manganese carbonate (AMnC) and (b) nanophase

445 manganese carbonate (NMnC) samples.

446

Figure 2. Differential enthalpies of water adsorption on nanophase manganese carbonate as a function of surface coverage (H<sub>2</sub>O per nm<sup>2</sup>).

449

450 Figure 3. The solution enthalpies for the water-corrected rhodochrosite samples plotted against the

451 surface area obtained by BET analysis for (a) hydrous surface and (b) anhydrous surface.

452

453 Figure 4. The Eh(pE)-pH diagrams of Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/MnCO<sub>3</sub> for bulk and nano (10 nm) phases with aqqeous

454 Mn species ( $[Mn^{2+}] = 10^{-6}$ ). (a) P<sub>CO2</sub> at normal sea level air (P<sub>CO2</sub> =  $10^{-3.5}$ , T = 25 °C) and (b) in

455 supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> ( $P_{CO2} = 72.9$  atm, T = 31.25 °C). Reactions 2 and 3 are given in Table 5.

456

457 Figure 5. The oxygen-CO<sub>2</sub> fugacity diagrams for bulk and nanophase (10 nm) Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MnCO<sub>3</sub> system 458 at 25 and 100 °C for equilibrium reaction 6 MnCO<sub>3(s)</sub> + O<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  2 Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4 (s)</sub> + 6 CO<sub>2(g)</sub>

## 460 **References:**

- 461 Aragón, M.J., León, B., Pérez Vicente, C., and Tirado, J.L. (2011) A new form of manganese
  462 carbonate for the negative electrode of lithium-ion batteries. Journal of Power Sources, 196(5),
  463 2863-2866.
- 464 Aragón, M.J., Pérez-Vicente, C., and Tirado, J.L. (2007) Submicronic particles of manganese
   465 carbonate prepared in reverse micelles: A new electrode material for lithium-ion batteries.
   466 Electrochemistry Communications, 9(7), 1744-1748.
- Bewernitz, M.A., Gebauer, D., Long, J., Colfen, H., and Gower, L.B. (2012) A metastable liquid
   precursor phase of calcium carbonate and its interactions with polyaspartate. Faraday
   Discussions, 159, 291-312.
- Birkner, N., and Navrotsky, A. (2012) Thermodynamics of manganese oxides: effects of particle size
  and hydration on oxidation-reduction equilibria among hausmannite, bixbyite, and pyrolusite.
  American Mineralogist, 97(8-9), 1291-1298.
- Birkner, N., Nayeri, S., Pashaei, B., Najafpour, M.M., Casey, W.H., and Navrotsky, A. (2013)
  Energetic basis of catalytic activity of layered nanophase calcium manganese oxides for water
  oxidation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., Early Ed.(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical
  Society (ACS). All Rights Reserved.), 1-6, 6 pp.
- Bourg, A.C.M., and Bertin, C. (1994) Seasonal and Spatial Trends in Manganese Solubility in an
  Alluvial Aquifer. Environmental Science & Technology, 28(5), 868-876.
- 479 Capobianco, C., and Navrotsky, A. (1987) Solid-solution thermodynamics in CaCO<sub>3</sub>-MnCO3.
   480 American Mineralogist, 72(3-4), 312-8.
- 481 Cui, L., Niu, M., Chen, G., and Wang, Y. (2009) Fabrication and structure characterization of
   482 MnCO3/α-Fe2O3 nanocrystal heterostructures. Materials Letters, 63(28), 2499-2502.
- 483 De Yoreo, J.J., and Vekilov, P.G. (2003) Principles of crystal nucleation and growth. Reviews in
   484 Mineralogy & Geochemistry, 54(Biomineralization), 57-93.
- 485 De Yoreo, J.J., Wierzbicki, A., and Dove, P.M. (2007) New insights into mechanisms of biomolecular
   486 control on growth of inorganic crystals. CrystEngComm, 9(12), 1144-1152.
- 487 De Yoreo, J.J., Zepeda-Ruiz, L.A., Friddle, R.W., Qiu, S.R., Wasylenki, L.E., Chernov, A.A., Gilmer,
  488 G.H., and Dove, P.M. (2009) Rethinking Classical Crystal Growth Models through Molecular
  489 Scale Insights: Consequences of Kink-Limited Kinetics. Crystal Growth & Design, 9(12),
  490 5135-5144.
- 491 Duckworth, O.W., Cygan, R.T., and Martin, S.T. (2004) Linear free energy relationships between
   492 dissolution rates and molecular modeling energies of rhombohedral carbonates. Langmuir,
   493 20(7), 2938-2946.
- Fernandez-Martinez, A., Kalkan, B., Clark, S.M., and Waychunas, G.A. (2013) Pressure-Induced
   Polyamorphism and Formation of 'Aragonitic' Amorphous Calcium Carbonate. Angewandte
   Chemie International Edition, 52(32), 8354-8357.
- Fischer, T.B., Heaney, P.J., Jang, J.-H., Ross, D.E., Brantley, S.L., Post, J.E., and Tien, M. (2008)
  Continuous time-resolved X-ray diffraction of the biocatalyzed reduction of Mn oxide. Am.
  Mineral., 93(11-12), 1929-1932.
- Forbes, T.Z., Radha, A.V., and Navrotsky, A. (2011) The energetics of nanophase calcite. Geochimica
   et Cosmochimica Acta, 75(24), 7893-7905.

1/22

- Frankel, R.B., and Bazylinski, D.A. (2003) Biologically induced mineralization by bacteria. Rev.
   Mineral. Geochem., 54(Biomineralization), 95-114.
- Gebauer, D., and Coelfen, H. (2011) Prenucleation clusters and non-classical nucleation. Nano Today,
   6(6), 564-584.
- Gebauer, D., Voelkel, A., and Coelfen, H. (2008) Stable Prenucleation Calcium Carbonate Clusters.
   Science (Washington, DC, U. S.), 322(5909), 1819-1822.
- 508 Gingele, F.X., and Kasten, S. (1994) Solid-phase manganese in Southeast Atlantic sediments: 509 Implications for the paleoenvironment. Mar. Geol., 121(3/4), 317-32.
- Glasby, G. (2006) Manganese: Predominant Role of Nodules and Crusts. In H. Schulz, and M. Zabel,
   Eds. Marine Geochemistry, p. 371-427. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- 512 Glasby, G.P. (2000) Manganese: predominant role of nodules and crusts, p. 335-372. Springer-Verlag.
- Goldsmith, J.R., and Graf, D.L. (1957) The system CaO-MnO-CO<sub>2</sub>: Solid-solution and decomposition
   relations. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 11(4), 310-334.
- Goren, O., Lazar, B., Burg, A., and Gavrieli, I. (2012) Mobilization and retardation of reduced
   manganese in sandy aquifers: Column experiments, modeling and implications. Geochimica et
   Cosmochimica Acta, 96(0), 259-271.
- Hu, Q., Nielsen, M.H., Freeman, C.L., Hamm, L.M., Tao, J., Lee, J.R.I., Han, T.Y.J., Becker, U.,
  Harding, J.H., Dove, P.M., and De Yoreo, J.J. (2012) The thermodynamics of calcite
  nucleation at organic interfaces: Classical vs. non-classical pathways. Faraday Discussions,
  159, 509-523.
- Katsikopoulos, D., Fernández-González, Ã.N., and Prieto, M. (2009) Precipitation and mixing
   properties of the "disordered" (Mn,Ca)CO<sub>3</sub> solid solution. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta,
   73(20), 6147-6161.
- Kendall, T.A., Na, C., Jun, Y.-S., and Martin, S.T. (2008) Electrical Properties of Mineral Surfaces for
   Increasing Water Sorption. Langmuir, 24(6), 2519-2524.
- Koga, N., Nakagoe, Y., and Tanaka, H. (1998) Crystallization of amorphous calcium carbonate.
   Thermochimica Acta, 318(1-2), 239-244.
- Ma, Y., Castro, R.H.R., Zhou, W., and Navrotsky, A. (2011) Surface enthalpy and enthalpy of water
   adsorption of nanocrystalline tin dioxide: thermodynamic insight on the sensing activity. J.
   Mater. Res., 26(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights Reserved.),
   848-853.
- Maram, P.S., Costa, C.C.G., Navrotsky, A. (2013) Experimental Confirmation of Low Surface Energy
   in LiCoO<sub>2</sub> and Implications for Lithium Battery Electrodes. Angewandte Chemie International
   Edition 52(46), 12139-12142.
- McBeath, M.K., Rock, P.A., Casey, W.H., and Mandell, G.K. (1998) Gibbs energies of formation of
   metal-carbonate solid solutions: part 3. The Ca<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>1-x</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> system at 298 K and 1 bar.
   Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 62(16), 2799-2808.
- Mirhashemihaghighi, S., León, B., Pérez Vicente, C., Tirado, J.L., Stoyanova, R., Yoncheva, M.,
  Zhecheva, E., Sáez Puche, R., Arroyo, E.M., and Romero de Paz, J. (2012) Lithium Storage
  Mechanisms and Effect of Partial Cobalt Substitution in Manganese Carbonate Electrodes.
  Inorganic Chemistry, 51(10), 5554-5560.
- Na, C., Kendall, T.A., and Martin, S.T. (2007) Surface-potential heterogeneity of reacted calcite and
   rhodochrosite. Environmental Science & Technology, 41(18), 6491-6497.
- Na, C., and Martin, S.T. (2009) Growth of Manganese Oxide Nanostructures Alters the Layout of
   Adhesion on a Carbonate Substrate. Environmental Science & Technology, 43(13), 4967-4972.

- Navrotsky, A. (2009) Energetics of oxide nanoparticles. International Journal of Quantum Chemistry,
   109(12), 2647-2657.
- Navrotsky, A. (2011) Nanoscale Effects on Thermodynamics and Phase Equilibria in Oxide Systems.
   Chemphyschem, 12(12), 2207-2215.
- Navrotsky, A., Ma, C., Lilova, K., and Birkner, N. (2010) Nanophase Transition Metal Oxides Show
   Large Thermodynamically Driven Shifts in Oxidation-Reduction Equilibria. Science
   (Washington, DC, United States), 330(6001), 199-201.
- Nicholson, K., Hein, J.R., Buehn, B., Dasgupta, S., and Editors. (1997) Manganese Mineralization:
   Geochemistry and Mineralogy of Terrestrial and Marine Deposits. [In: Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.,
   1997; 119]. 370 pp. p. Geol Soc Publ House.
- Parker, V.B. (1965) Thermal properties of uni-univalent electrolytes. National Standard Reference
   Data Series, 2, p. 66. National Bureau of Standards.
- Pedersen, T.F., and Price, N.B. (1982) The geochemistry of manganese carbonate in Panama Basin
   sediments. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 46(1), 59-68.
- Peng, J., Feng, L.-N., Zhang, K., Li, J.-J., Jiang, L.-P., and Zhu, J.-J. (2011) Multifunctional
   Manganese Carbonate Microspheres with Superparamagnetic and Fluorescent Properties:
   Synthesis and Biological Application. Chemistry A European Journal, 17(39), 10916-10923.
- Post, J.E. (1999) Manganese oxide minerals: Crystal structures and economic and environmental
   significance. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 96(7), 3447-3454.
- Qi, W., Wang, A., Yang, Y., Du, M., Bouchu, M.N., Boullanger, P., and Li, J. (2010) The lectin
   binding and targetable cellular uptake of lipid-coated polysaccharide microcapsules. Journal of
   Materials Chemistry, 20(11), 2121-2127.
- 569Radha, A.V., Fernandez-Martinez, A., Hu, Y., Jun, Y.-S., Waychunas, G.A., and Navrotsky, A. (2012)570Energetic and structural studies of amorphous  $Ca_{1-x}Mg_xCO_3 \cdot nH_2O$  ( $0 \le x \le 1$ ). Geochimica et571Cosmochimica Acta, 90, 83-95.
- Radha, A.V., Forbes, T.Z., Killian, C.E., Gilbert, P.U.P.A., and Navrotsky, A. (2010) Transformation
  and crystallization energetics of synthetic and biogenic amorphous calcium carbonate.
  Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107(38),
  16438-16443.
- Railsback, L.B. (1999) Patterns in the compositions, properties, and geochemistry of carbonate
   minerals. Carbonates and Evaporites, 14(1), 1-20.
- Raiteri, P., and Gale, J.D. (2010) Water is the key to nonclassical nucleation of amorphous calcium
  carbonate. J Am Chem Soc, 132(49), 17623-34.
- Schaef, H.T., McGrail, B.P., and Owen, A.T. (2010) Carbonate mineralization of volcanic province
   basalts. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 4(2), 249-261.
- Schaef, H.T., McGrail, B.P., and Owen, A.T. (2009) Basalt- CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O interactions and variability in carbonate mineralization rates. Energy Procedia, 1(1), 4899-4906.
- Seguin, M.K. (1972) Stability of manganese carbonate in inert atmospheres and in air. Amer. Mineral.,
   57(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights Reserved.), 511-23.
- Sel, O., Radha, A.V., Dideriksen, K., and Navrotsky, A. (2012) Amorphous iron (II) carbonate:
   Crystallization energetics and comparison to other carbonate minerals related to CO2
   sequestration. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 87, 61-68.
- Smith, S.J., Stevens, R., Liu, S., Li, G., Navrotsky, A., Boerio-Goates, J., and Woodfield, B.F. (2009)
  Heat capacities and thermodynamic functions of TiO2 anatase and rutile: analysis of phase
  stability. Am. Mineral., 94(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights
  Reserved.), 236-243.

- Sternbeck, J. (1997) Kinetics of rhodochrosite crystal growth at 25 °C: The role of surface speciation.
   Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 61(4), 785-793.
- Sturmer, D.M., LaPointe, D.D., Price, J.G., Hess, R.H. (2007) Assessment of the potential for carbon
   dioxide sequestration by reactions with rocks in Nevada. Mackay School of Earth Sciences and
   Engineering, College of Science, University of Nevada, Reno, Reno, Nev.
- Takahara, I., Murata, K., Sato, K., Miura, Y., Inaba, M., and Liu, Y. (2012) Activity and deactivation
   nature of Ru/MnCO<sub>3</sub> catalysts for Fischer-Tropsch reaction reaction. Applied Catalysis A:
   General, 450(0), 80-87.
- Tribello, G.A., Bruneval, F., Liew, C.C., and Parrinello, M. (2009) A Molecular Dynamics Study of
   the Early Stages of Calcium Carbonate Growth. Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 113(34),
   11680-11687.
- Von Damm, K.L. (1990) Seafloor Hydrothermal Activity: Black Smoker Chemistry and Chimneys.
   Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 18(1), 173-204.
- Wallace, A.F., Hedges, L.O., Fernandez-Martinez, A., Raiteri, P., Gale, J.D., Waychunas, G.A.,
  Whitelam, S., Banfield, J.F., and De Yoreo, J.J. (2013) Microscopic Evidence for LiquidLiquid Separation in Supersaturated CaCO3 Solutions. Science, 341(6148), 885-889.
- Wang, L., Vu, K., Navrotsky, A., Stevens, R., Woodfield, B.F., and Boerio-Goates, J. (2004)
  Calorimetric Study: Surface Energetics and the Magnetic Transition in Nanocrystalline CoO.
  Chem. Mater., 16(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights
  Reserved.), 5394-5400.
- Wang, Q., Grau-Crespo, R., and de Leeuw, N.H. (2011) Mixing Thermodynamics of the Calcite Structured (Mn,Ca)CO3 Solid Solution: A Computer Simulation Study. The Journal of
   Physical Chemistry B, 115(47), 13854-13861.
- Wang, W., and Ao, L. (2007) Synthesis and Optical Properties of Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanowires by Decomposing
   MnCO3 Nanoparticles in Flux. Crystal Growth & Design, 8(1), 358-362.
- Wang, Y., Price, A.D., and Caruso, F. (2009) Nanoporous colloids: building blocks for a new generation of structured materials. Journal of Materials Chemistry, 19(36), 6451-6464.
- Wolf, S.E., Muller, L., Barrea, R., Kampf, C.J., Leiterer, J., Panne, U., Hoffmann, T., Emmerling, F.,
  and Tremel, W. (2011) Carbonate-coordinated metal complexes precede the formation of
  liquid amorphous mineral emulsions of divalent metal carbonates. Nanoscale, 3(3), 1158-1165.
- Yang, L.-X., Zhu, Y.-J., Tong, H., and Wang, W.-W. (2007) Submicrocubes and highly oriented
   assemblies of MnCO<sub>3</sub> synthesized by ultrasound agitation method and their thermal
   transformation to nanoporous Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, 14(2), 259-265.
- Zhang, R., Lu, D., Lin, Z., Li, L., Jin, W., and Mohwald, H. (2009) Enriched encapsulation and
  fluorescence enhancement of europium complexes in microcapsules. Journal of Materials
  Chemistry, 19(10), 1458-1463.
- 629 630





1/22

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4734



637

633

634

635

636

638

1/22





640

641 Figure 3





643

644

Figure 4





646

647 Figure 5

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4734