Revision 2 1 High pressure behavior of thiospinel CuCr₂S₄ 2 3 MATTEO ALVARO^{1,2}, FABRIZIO NESTOLA¹, NANCY ROSS², M.CHIARA DOMENEGHETTI³ 4 AND LEONID REZNITSKY⁴ 5 ¹Current address: Dipartimento di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Università degli Studi di Padova, Via Gradenigo 6, 6 7 35122 Padova (Italy) 8 ²Dept. of Geosciences, Virginia Tech Cryst. Lab, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, 9 VA 24060 (USA) ³Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra e dell'Ambiente, Università degli studi di Padova, Via A. Ferrata 1, 27100 10 11 Pavia, Italv 12 ⁴Institute of the Earth's Crust, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Science, Lermontova str., 128, 664033 Irkutsk, 13 Russia 14 15 Abstract

This study reports for the first time the lattice parameters and the complete crystal 16 structure evolution with increasing pressure for a thiospinel with composition $CuCr_{1,7}V_{0,3}S_4$ 17 (space group Fd-3m) measured by single-crystal X-ray diffraction as a function of pressure up to 18 7 GPa. The P-V data are adequately described to a 4th order Birch-Murnaghan equation of state 19 with the following coefficients: $V_0 = 947.86(6) \text{ Å}^3$, $K_{T0} = 88(1)$ and K' = 6.3(9), K'' = -1.1(4). 20 This is the first time that an equation of state for a spinel structure has been described by a 4th 21 order Birch-Murnaghan equation of state. The unit-cell volume shows a compression of about 22 6.3% over the entire pressure range investigated. The crystal structure evolution clearly indicates 23 that the main compression mechanism is related to the compression of the CuS₄ tetrahedron 24 which is significantly greater than the CrS_6 octahedron. The tetrahedral volume decreases by 25 7.8% over the pressure range studied while the octahedral volume decreases by 5.5%. The 26 change in the octahedral volume is accompanied by a decrease in the angular distortion of the 27 CrS₆ octahedra. 28

29

30 *KEYWORDS*: thiospinel; high pressure; single-crystal X-ray diffraction;

2/5

32

33 34

Introduction

CuCr₂S₄ belongs to the thiospinel group and crystallizes in the cubic spinel structure 35 (space group Fd-3m). It is a normal spinel with Cu^{2+} occupying the tetrahedrally coordinated 36 sites and the Cr³⁺ occupying the octahedrally coordinated sites. In the last decade, this class of 37 compounds has attracted great interest because of their unusual optical, electrical and magnetic 38 properties (Berry et al. 2007; Dmitrieva et al. 2007; Haacke and Beegle 1968; Ito et al. 2005; Ito 39 et al. 2006; Koroleva et al. 1991; Lotgering 1964; Masrour et al. 2011; Nogues et al. 1979; Saha-40 41 Dasgupta et al. 2007; Samokhvalov et al. 1976a; Siberchicot 1993; Snyder et al. 2001; Tewari et al. 2010; Tressler and Stubican 1968; Zub 1983). Many phases belonging to the thiospinel group, 42 with the same symmetry as $CuCr_2S_4$, (Fd-3m), have shown interesting structure-property 43 changes under extreme high-temperature and high-pressure conditions. For example, it was 44 demonstrated that some thiospinels undergo structural phase transitions at high pressure that are 45 correlated changes in their magnetic properties (i.e. Garg et al. 2007; Ito et al. 2003; Nakamoto 46 et al. 2005). It is therefore crucial to investigate the structural evolution of such important 47 materials which closely correlate with their unusual material properties. 48

In particular $CuCr_2S_4$ has always been considered to be a good candidate as a base material for the production of magneto-optical devices and as cathodes for Li-secondary batteries (Imanishi et al. 1993; Saha-Dasgupta et al. 2007). Because of its unique features involving magnetic phase transitions (i.e. higher ferromagnetic, metal-insulator and semiconductor-metal phase transitions), $CuCr_2S_4$ has been investigated under low and high temperature conditions in order to better understand how resistivity, conductivity, and ferromagnetic properties are affected under non- ambient conditions (Albers and Rooymans 1965; Banus and Lavine 1969; Ito et al. 2003; Samokhvalov et al. 1976a; Samokhvalov et al. 1976b; Tressler et al. 1968). In this study we present results of the elastic properties and crystal structure evolution of $CuCr_2S_4$ as a function of pressure in order to evaluate how chemical composition variations affect the highpressure behavior in the thiospinel group.

60

Experimental methods

The natural crystal investigated in this study was selected from the same crystal batch 61 studied by Reznitsky et al. (2011) who determined the following composition: 62 $(Cu_{0.98}Fe_{0.02}Zn_{0.01})_{1.01}(Cr_{1.65}V_{0.34}As_{0.01})_{2.00}S_{3.99}$ (hereafter abbreviated as CuCr_{1.7}V_{0.3}S₄). The 63 crystal studied under high-pressure conditions (size $0.130 \times 0.090 \times 0.060$ mm) was selected on 64 the basis of its size, absence of twinning, and X-ray diffraction profiles. The crystal was loaded 65 in an ETH-type diamond-anvil cell (DAC, Miletich et al. 2000) using a steel gasket (T301) pre-66 indented to a thickness of 90 µm and with a hole of 250 µm in diameter. A single crystal of 67 quartz was used as an internal diffraction pressure standard (Angel et al. 1997) and a 4:1 mixture 68 of methanol:ethanol was used as pressure medium, which remains hydrostatic throughout the 69 entire pressure range investigated (Angel et al. 2007). The unit-cell parameters were determined 70 by single-crystal X-ray diffraction using a Huber four-circle diffractometer (non-71 monochromatised Mo-Ka radiation) operating at 50 kV and 40 mA, automated by the SINGLE 72 73 software (Angel and Finger 2011). The unit cell parameters were measured at 13 different pressures up to 6.73 GPa by centering not less than 20 reflections in the 2 θ range between 10° 74 and 30° for each high-pressure data point. Typical half-widths of reflections were between 0.05° 75 and 0.08° in ω and no broadening was detected at any pressure. Full details of the instrument and 76

the peak-centering algorithms are provided by Angel et al. (1997). During the centering
procedure the effects of crystal offsets and diffractometer aberrations were eliminated from
refined peak positions by the eight-position centering method of King and Finger (1979).
Unconstrained unit-cell parameters, obtained by vector least-squares (Ralph and Finger 1982,
were found to be similar, within one estimated standard deviation, to the symmetry-constrained
ones which are reported in Table 1 and Figure 1.

Complete intensity data collection devoted to the structural refinements were collected at five different pressures on an Xcalibur-1 Oxford Diffraction diffractometer equipped with a CCD detector (kappa-geometry, graphite-monochromatised Mo- $K\alpha$ radiation). Integrated intensity data were then corrected for absorption effects due to the crystal and the DAC using the ABSORB computer program (Angel 2004; Angel and Gonzalez-Platas 2013) and averaged with the computer program WinAve (by Ross J. Angel).

Anisotropic structure refinements were performed with the SHELX-97 software (Sheldrick 2008) starting from the atomic coordinates reported by Reznitsky et al. (2011) using neutral scattering factors for Cr, Cu and S, fixing the occupancies to those obtained from the chemical analysis (see Reznitsky et al. 2011). Details of the structure refinements, sulphur atomic coordinates, atomic displacement parameters, bond distances and angles are reported in Table 2, 3, (the CIF files relative to the five structure refinements were deposited as supplementary material).

96

97

98

100

Results and discussion

101 *Equation of state and high pressure crystal structure evolution*

The $f_{\rm E}$ - $F_{\rm E}$ plot, for the unit-cell volume, with $F_{\rm E}$ normalized stress defined as 102 $F_{\rm E}=P/3f_{\rm E}(1+2f_{\rm E})^{5/2}$ for the Birch-Murnaghan equation of state (BM-EoS), and the finite Eulerian 103 strain f_E defined as $[(V/V_0)^{2/3}-1]/2$ (see Angel 2000 for details), is shown in Figure 2. The plot 104 clearly indicates that the pressure – volume data should be fit to a 4th order Birch-Murnaghan 105 Equation of State (BM4) due to the clear upward convex trend of the data. For comparison in 106 Table 4 the fitting results (V_0 , K_{T0} , K' and K'') and the significant statistical fit parameters (i.e. 107 ΔP_{max} and χ^2) obtained with the two approaches (BM4 and BM3) are reported. The need of a 108 higher order EoS shown by the f_E - F_E plot is firstly confirmed by the behavior of the calculated 109 110 pressures (used as the dependent variable) as a function of the unit-cell volume. As shown in 111 Figure 3, ΔP (difference between observed and calculated pressure for each volume data point) 112 shows an unreasonable trend with high deviations from zero over the entire dataset in the case of 113 the BM3 approach with values larger than the actual e.s.d.'s in our dataset; on the contrary the 114 ΔP obtained for the BM4 approach is constantly in the order of the dataset standard deviation. The ΔP_{max} (maximum difference between observed and calculated pressure among the whole 115 116 dataset) for the BM3 approach (0.021 GPa) is two times bigger than the largest e.s.d.'s on the measured dataset (0.011 GPa), while the ΔP_{max} calculated for the BM4 approach (0.005 GPa) is 117 as small as the smallest e.s.d.'s in the whole dataset (0.005 GPa). The sensible reduction of the 118 Δ Pmax when the truncation order is increased to the 4th makes clear that the 4th order Birch-119 Murnaghan Equation of State is more representative of the entire dataset. The same evidence is 120 testified by the large reduction of χ^2 from 1.35 (for the BM3 approach) to 0.13 (for the BM4 121 approach). Moreover the BM4 K" differs 2.5 e.s.d.'s from the one implied with the BM3 122

Equation of State with only a slight decrease in the correlation between K_{T0} and K' from 95.92 to 95.69 for the BM3 and the BM4 respectively, providing the last evidence for an increased order in the fitting.

126 Therefore our experimental P-V data were fitted to a BM4-EoS providing the following coefficients: $V_0 = 947.86(6) \text{ Å}^3$, $K_{T0} = 88(1)$ and K' = 6.3(9), K'' = -1.1(4). It should be remarked 127 128 that this is the first time that the equation of state of a spinel structure is described by a BM4-EoS. As mentioned above the 3rd order Birch-Murnaghan Equation of State fit $[V_0 = 947.79(6)]$ 129 Å³, $K_{T0} = 91.6(4)$ and K' = 3.7(1)] has been reported in Table 4 solely for purpose of comparison 130 with our BM4 results and with the literature data. In Table 4 are also reported the results of 131 previous elasticity measurements on other thiospinel compounds (see Table 5). Data fit have 132 133 been performed with EoSFit7c program (Angel et al. 2014).

Cuprokalininite (ideally CuCr₂S₄) has a spinel structure that is described by three symmetry independent sites: (i) the tetrahedrally coordinated site *T* (in our sample occupied by Cu with coordinates: 0.125, 0.125, 0.125); (ii) the octahedrally coordinated site *O* (for our sample occupied by Cr and V with coordinates: 0.5, 0.5, 0.5); (iii) an S atom-bearing site (with coordinates u = x = y = z). Therefore, the cubic spinel structure has only two structural variables: the unit-cell parameter *a* and the anion positional parameter, *u*, with only two unique bond distances, Cr – S and Cu – S.

Our data show that the Cr – S bond distances decrease by about 1.4% (see Fig. 4 and Table 3) while the shorter Cu – S compress by about 2.7% (see Fig. 4 and Table 3). Such behavior is the consequence of the variation of the S atomic coordinates [u = 0.25735(7) at room-P] towards the perfect cubic eutaxy 0.25 (i.e. Nestola et al. 2007, Makovicky and Balić Žunić, 1998). The decrease in the anionic coordinate by 0.0007 from room-P to the maximum pressure 146 reached in this work (see Fig. 5 and Table 2) is in agreement with (i) the faster compression of the tetrahedral volume (7.8%) with respect to the octahedral one (5.5%) and (ii) the 147 regularization of the octahedra with a volume distortion decrease from 0.0054 at room-P to 148 149 0.0044 at 6.7 GPa (see IVTON software for the definition and calculation of the volume distortion of a crystallographic site, Balić Žunić and Vicković 1996). The volume-distortion 150 151 parameter used here quantifies the volume deficit of the polyhedron as compared to an ideal equivalent. 152 The advantage of this parameter is that it measures only distortions due to the arrangement of atoms 153 forming the vertices of the polyhedron alone, and decouples these from distortion due to the relative 154 position of the central atom and surrounding atoms (see Nestola et al. 2013).

The literature data available so far (i.e. Nestola et al. 2007 and Nestola et al. 2010 and references therein) clearly show that the change in the anion atomic coordinate in spinels is generally accompanied by a possible change in the degree of cation order between the octahedral and tetrahedral crystallographic sites. However, an attempt to refine the crystal structure data for our sample refining the site occupancies did not allow us to definitively demonstrate that the degree of order is affected by pressure as reported for spinel s.s. (Nestola et al. 2007).

161 Concerning the octahedral bond angles, they show small but significant changes (i.e. 162 greater than 2 e.s.d.'s) over the entire range of pressure. This is in agreement with the volume 163 decrease of the tetrahedron and regularization of the octahedron. Most of the unit-cell volume 164 compression is mainly accommodated by the compression of the Cu tetrahedra undergoing a 165 volume decrease by about 7.8% with a bulk modulus of 70.5(8) GPa (obtained with a 2^{nd} order 166 Birch-Murnaghan EoS). On the other hand, the volumes of the CrS₆ octahedra decrease only by 167 5.5% with a bulk modulus of about 106.7(5) GPa (obtained with a 2^{nd} order Birch-Murnaghan This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4689

EoS). The relative compression of the polyhedral volumes centered by Cr and Cu are plottedagainst pressure in Figure 6 with nearly linear trends for both cations.

170 *Comparison with previous works*

171 As far as we are aware, our data represent the first and only single-crystal structural 172 datasets available over a range of pressures. Therefore we can only compare our elasticity data 173 with those for selenides and thiospinel available in literature so far (see Table 5). To this aim, the wide chemical variability, typical of thiospinels, and the lack of accuracy in the lattice-174 parameters measurements at high-P makes it quite difficult to build a model to predict the bulk 175 176 modulus given a composition (see Table 5 for available data on selenides and thiospinel 177 available for comparison). Secondly, most of the published data for selenides do not have enough 178 accuracy to be used for comparison. For example, data obtained for the same measurement on CdCr₂Se₄ are reported in three different publications (Waśkowska et al. 2002; Waśkowska et al. 179 180 2004 and Waśkowska et al. 2009) with different values of K_{T0} ranging from 101 to 104 GPa, and 181 K' ranging from 3.3 to 5.2, both with large standard deviations. For $CuCr_2Se_4$ (Waśkowska et al. 2009) and ZnCr₂Se₄ (Wittlinger et al. 1997), the measurements performed by the energy-182 183 dispersive diffraction technique cannot be expected to have the accuracy of crystal lattice 184 parameter comparable to others. Given the lack of precision of the pressure-volume data in these 185 previous studies, is not possible to determine whether the equation of state of these thiospinels are best described by a fourth-order Birch-Murnaghan EoS. We can only conclude that 186 published data for selenides and S-thiospinel show values of bulk modulus of about 100 GPa 187 (comprised between 96 and 104 GPa) and 77 GPa (comprised between 76 and 78 GPa), 188 respectively, with significant data scattering. Thus the bulk modulus of our $CuCr_{17}V_{03}S_4$ is 189 closer to the published bulk moduli of selenides rather than those found for thiospinels. 190

191

192 193

Implications

In the last several decades, thiospinels have attracted great interest because of their 194 peculiar optic, electric and magnetic properties. The peculiar high-pressure unit-cell volume 195 evolution of the thiospinels here studied, $CuCr_{17}V_{03}S_4$, can be described using a 4th-order Birch-196 Murnaghan EoS [$K_{0T} = 88(1)$ GPa, K' = 6.3(9) and K'' = -1.1(4)]. Such a behavior is here 197 198 observed for the first time among the extremely high number of spinels studied so far. It is well known that for any EoS the use of a correct truncation order is crucial in providing the correct 199 value of bulk modulus and its derivatives. In order to give the readers an easy example of the 200 201 discrepancies caused by the use of an improper EoS we calculated for our sample the total volume compression up to 10 GPa using the 4th –order against the 3th-order Birch-Murnaghan 202 EoS (the latter being the most used EoS for describing the spinel high pressure volume 203 evolution). The calculation gave a unit-cell volume $V_{10\text{GPa}}$ of 861.91 Å³ and $V_{10\text{GPa}} = 864.32$ Å³ 204 calculated using the BM4-EoS and the BM3-EoS, respectively. Such difference in volume 205 corresponds to a hypothetical compression of 0.24 GPa, which is about two orders of magnitude 206 greater than the uncertainties on the pressure determination given by our experimental method 207 (see Table 1, where the pressure has an uncertainty between 0.005 and 0.011 GPa). The 208 differences in the above calculated volumes using one or another EoS could have significant 209 implications on the determination of the stability fields in mineralogy as demonstrated for some 210 important high-pressure phases (see antigorite, i.e. Nestola et al. 2010). 211

213	
214	
215	
216	Acknowledgements
217	The authors wish to thank you Tonci Balic-Zunic, Ferdinando Bosi and Davide Lenaz for their
218	fruitful suggestions. FN and MA wish to thank the ERC starting Grant #307322 to FN. MCD
219	wish to thank the Italian PRIN #2010 EARRRZ_005.
220	
221	
221	
222	
223	
224	
225	References cited
226	Albers, W., and Rooymans, C.J.M. (1965) High pressure polymorphism of spinel compounds.
227	Solid state communications, 3(12), 417-419.
228	Angel, R.J. (2000) Equations of state. In R.M. Hazen and R.T. Downs, Eds., Reviews in
229	Mineralogy: High temperature and high pressure crystal chemistry, 41, p. 117-211.
230	Mineralogical Society of America, Washington, D.C.
231	Angel, R.J. (2004) Absorption corrections for diamond-anvil pressure cells implemented in the
232	software package - Absorb6.0. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 37, 486-492.
233	

- Angel, R.J., Allan, D.R., Milletich, R., and Finger, L.W. (1997) The use of quartz as an internal
 pressure standard in high-pressure crystallography. Journal of Applied Crystallography,
 30, 461-466.
- Angel, R.J., Bujak, M., Zhao, J., Gatta, G.D., and Jacobsen, S.D. (2007) Effective hydrostatic
 limits of pressure media for high-pressure crystallographic studies. Journal of Applied
 Crystallography, 40, 26-32.
- Angel, R.J., and Finger, L.W. (2011) SINGLE: a program to control single-crystal
 diffractometers. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 44(1), 247-251.
- Angel, R., and Gonzalez-Platas, J. (2013) ABSORB-7 and ABSORB-GUI for single-crystal
 absorption corrections. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 46(1), 252-254.
- Angel R.J., Gonzalez-Platas J., Alvaro M. (2014) EosFit7c and a Fortran module (library) for
 equation of state calculations. Zeitschrift fuer Kristallographie, in press.
- Balić Žunić, T., and Vicković, I. (1996) IVTON a program for the calculation of geometrical
 aspects of crystal structures and some crystal chemical applications. Journal of Applied
 Crystallography, 29(3), 305-306.
- Banus, M.D., and Lavine, M.C. (1969) Polymorphism in selenospinels—A high pressure phase
 of CdCr₂Se₄. Journal of Solid State Chemistry, 1(1), 109-116.
- Berry, F.J., and et al. (2007) Magnetic order in FeCr₂S₄-type chalcogenide spinels. Journal of
 Physics: Condensed Matter, 19(26), 266204, 1-12.
- 253 Dmitrieva, T., Lyubutin, I., Stepin, A., Dubinskaya, Y., Smirnovskaya, E., Berry, F., and 254 Thomas, M. (2007) Diamagnetic nuclear ¹¹⁹Sn probes in the copper chromites $CuCr_2X_4$ 255 (X = O, S, Se) with a spinel structure. Journal of experimental and theoretical physics, 256 104(4), 554-561.
- Garg, A.B., Vijayakumar, V., Godwal, B., Choudhury, A., and Hochheimer, H.D. (2007)
 Reentrant high-conduction state in CuIr₂S₄ under pressure. Solid state communications,
 142(7), 369-372.
- Haacke, G., and Beegle, L.C. (1968) Electrical Transport in FeCr₂S₄-CuCr₂S₄ Spinels. Journal of
 Applied Physics, 39(2), 656-657.
- Imanishi, N., Inoue, K., Takeda, Y., and Yamamoto, O. (1993) Thiospinels as cathode for
 lithium secondary battery. Journal of Power Sources, 44(1-3), 619-625.

- Ito, M., Hori, J., Kurisaki, H., Okada, H., Kuroki, A.J.P., Ogita, N., Udagawa, M., Fujii, H.,
 Nakamura, F., Fujita, T., and Suzuki, T. (2003) Pressure-induced superconductorinsulator transition in the spinel compound CuRh₂S₄. Physical Review Letters, 91,
 077001, 1-4.
- Ito, M., Yamamoto, H., Nagata, S., and Suzuki, T. (2005) Novel Magnetic and Thermodynamic
 Properties of Thiospinel Compound CuCrZrS₄. Arxiv preprint cond-mat/0507314.
- Ito, M., Yamamoto, H., Nagata, S., and Suzuki, T. (2006) Magnetic and thermodynamic
 properties of the thiospinel compound CuCrZrS₄. Physical Review B, 74(21), 214412.
- King, H.E., and Finger, L.W. (1979) Diffracted Beam Crystal Centering and Its Application to
 High-Pressure Crystallography. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 12(Aug), 374-378.

Koroleva, L.I., Abramovich, A.I., Batorova, S.D., Mikheev, M.G., and Kessler, Y.A. (1991)

- 275 Strong s—d Exchange in the System of $Cu_xMn_{1-x}Cr_2S_4$ Solid Solution. physica status 276 solidi (b), 166(1), K43-K48.
- 277 Lotgering, F.K. (1964) Ferromagnetism in spinels: $CuCr_2S_4$ and $CuCr_2Se_4$. Solid state 278 communications, 2(2), 55-56.
- Makovicky, E., and Balić Žunić, T. (1998) New Measure of Distortion for Coordination
 Polyhedra. Acta Crystallographica Section B, 54, 766-773.
- Masrour, R., Hamedoun, M., and Benyoussef, A. (2011) Magnetic Properties of $CuTi_{2-2x}Cr_{2x}S_4$ Materials. Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism, 24(5), 1729-1734.
- Miletich, R., Allan, D.R., and Kuhs, W.F. (2000) High-pressure single-crystal techniques. HighTemperature and High-Pressure Crystal Chemistry, 41, 445-519.
- Nakamoto, Y., Matsuoka, T., Kagayama, T., Shimizu, K., Tang, J., Kobayashi, N., Nagata, S.,
 and Kikegawa, T. (2005) The phase transition of CuCrZrS₄ at high pressure. Physica B:
 Condensed Matter, 359, 1213-1215.
- Nestola, F., Ballaran, T.B., Balić Žunić, T., Princivalle, F., Secco, L., and Dal Negro, A. (2007)
 Comparative compressibility and structural behavior of spinel MgAl₂O₄ at high
 pressures: The independency on the degree of cation order. American Mineralogist,
 92(11-12), 1838-1843.
- Nestola, F., Boffa Ballaran, T., Koch-Müller, M., Balic-Zunic, T., Taran, M., Olsen, L.,
 Princivalle, F., Secco, L., and Lundegaard, L. (2010) New accurate compression data for
 γ-Fe₂SiO₄. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 183(3), 421-425.

- Nestola, F., Angel, R.J., Zhao, J., Garrido, C.J., Sánchez-Vizcaíno, V.L., Capitani, G., and
 Mellini, M. (2010) Antigorite equation of state and anomalous softening at 6 GPa: an in
 situ single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology,
 160, 33-43.
- F. Nestola, S. J. Mills, B. Periotto and L. Scandolo (2013) The alunite supergroup under high
 pressure: the case of natrojarosite, NaFe₃(SO₄)₂(OH)₆. Mineralogical Magazine, 77,
 300 3007-3017.
- Nogues, M., Mejaï, M., and Goldstein, L. (1979) Phase relationships and magnetic phase
 diagram in the system Mn_{1-x}Cu_xCr₂S₄. Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids, 40(5),
 375-379.
- Ralph, R.L., and Finger, L.W. (1982) A Computer-Program for Refinement of Crystal
 Orientation Matrix and Lattice-Constants from Diffractometer Data with Lattice
 Symmetry Constraints. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 15(Oct), 537-539.
- Reznitsky, L., Sklyarov, E., Ushchapovskaya, Z., Suvorova, L., Polekhovsky, Y., Dzerzanovsky,
 P., and Barash, I. (2011) Cuprokalininite, CuCr₂S₄, a new sulfospinel from metamorphic
 rocks of the Sludyanka Complex, South Baikal region. Geology of Ore Deposits, 53(8),
 758-766.
- Saha-Dasgupta, T., Raychaudhury, M.D., and Sarma, D. (2007) Magnetism-Ferromagnetism in
 metallic chalcospinels CuCr₂S₄ and CuCr₂Se₄ (5 pages). Physical Review-Section B Condensed Matter, 76(5), 54441-54441.
- Samokhvalov, A., Morozov, Y.N., Karpenko, B., and Simonova, M. (1976a) Temperature
 Dependence of the Magnetization of the Ferromagnetic Spinels CdCr₂Se₄ and CuCr₂S₄
 and Its Calculation in the Spin Wave Approximation. physica status solidi (b), 73(2),
 455-459.
- Samokhvalov, A.A., Morozov, Y.N., Karpenko, B.V., and Simonova, M.I. (1976b) Temperature
 Dependence of the Magnetization of the Ferromagnetic Spinels CdCr₂Se₄ and CuCr₂S₄
 and Its Calculation in the Spin-Wave Approximation. physica status solidi (b), 73(2),
 455-459.
- Santamaría-Pérez, D., Amboage, M., Manjón, F.J., Errandonea, D., Muñoz, A., Rodríguez Hernández, P., Mújica, A., Radescu, S., Ursaki, V.V., and Tiginyanu, I.M. (2012) Crystal

- Chemistry of CdIn2S4, MgIn2S4, and MnIn2S4 Thiospinels under High Pressure. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 116(26), 14078-14087.
- Shannon, R.D. (1976) Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic
 distances in halides and chalcogenides. Acta Crystallographica, A32, 751-767.
- Sheldrick, G.M. (2008) A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallographica Section A, 64, 112122.
- Siberchicot, B. (1993) Electronic and magnetic-properties of copper-containing chromium
 sulfospinel a 1st principles study. Ieee Transactions on Magnetics, 29(6), 3249-3251.
- Snyder, G.J., Caillat, T., and Fleurial, J.P. (2001) Thermoelectric Properties of Chalcogenides
 with the Spinel Structure. Materials Research Innovations, 5(2), 67-73.
- Tewari, G., Tripathi, T., and Rastogi, A. (2010) Thermoelectric Properties of Layer Antiferromagnet CuCrS₂. Journal of Electronic Materials, 39(8), 1133-1139.
- Tressler, R., Hummel, F., and Stubican, V. (1968) Pressure-Temperature Study of Sulfospinels.
 Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 51(11), 648-651.
- Tressler, R., and Stubican, V. (1968) Magnetic Properties of A²⁺Cr₂S₄ Compounds with the NiAs
 Structure. Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 51(7), 391-394.
- Waśkowska, A., Gerward, L., Olsen, J.S., and Malicka, E. (2002) Temperature-and pressureinduced lattice distortion in $CdCr_{2-x}Ga_xSe_4$ (x= 0, 0.06, and 0.12). Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 14(47), 12423.
- Waśkowska, A., Gerward, L., Olsen, J.S., Feliz, M., Llusar, R., Gracia, L., Marques, M., and
 Recio, J. (2004) High-pressure behaviour of selenium-based spinels and related
 structures—an experimental and theoretical study. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter,
 16(1), 53.
- Waśkowska, A., Gerward, L., Olsen, J.S., Marques, M., Contreras-García, J., and Recio, J.
 (2009) The bulk modulus of cubic spinel selenides: an experimental and theoretical
 study. High Pressure Research, 29(1), 72-75.
- Wittlinger, J., Werner, S., and Schulz, H. (1997) On the amorphisation of ZnCr2S4 spinel under
 high pressure: x-ray diffraction studies. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 24(8), 597 600.
- Zub, E.M. (1983) Electrical and galvanomagnetic properties of films of $FeCr_2S_4$ Cu Cr_2S_4 , and Cu_{0.3}Fe_{0.7}Cr₂S₄. Inorganic Materials, 19(1), 133-135.

FIGURES AND TABLES CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Unit-cell volume as a function of pressure collected during increasing and decreasing
pressure. E.s.d.'s are smaller than the symbols. Line represents the fitting of our data to a BM4EoS.

Figure 2. F_E - f_E plot for the unit-cell volume in the whole range of pressure investigated in this work. Dashed line represents the fitting of the data to a BM4-EoS.

Figure 3. ΔP (difference between observed and calculated pressure for each volume data point) for both the BM3 and the BM4 approach plotted against unit-cell volume for each pressure increase.

Figure 4. Normalized bond distances (calculated with respect to the room P data) with increasing pressure. Lines represent linear fitting of the data.

Figure 5. Coordinate of the sulphur against pressure.

Figure 6. Normalized volumes of polyhedra (calculated with respect to the room P data) againstpressure. Lines represent linear fitting of the data.

Table 1. Unit cell parameters (Å) with increasing and decreasing pressure (GPa) for sample CuCr₂S₄ up to 6.73GPa.

Table 2. Intensity data collection, structure refinement details, fractional atomic coordinates and atomic displacement parameters ($Å^2$) for CuCr₂S₄ sample measured upon increasing and decreasing pressure up to 6.73GPa.

Table 3. Bond lengths (Å), polyhedral volume (Å³) and angles (°) for CuCr₂S₄ thiospinel. Data collected with increasing and decreasing pressure up to 6.73GPa.

Table 4. Elastic parameters and statistical parameters obtained by fitting to a BM3 and BM4 EoS using pressure-volume data on $CuCr_2S_4$ sample measured with increasing pressure up to 6.73GPa.

Table 5. Bulk modulus and first pressure derivative for Se and S thiospinels.

MANUSCRIPT TABLES

decreasing pressure (GPa) for sample CuCr ₂ S ₄ up to 6.73GPa.					
Pressure (GPa)	a (Å)	$V(\text{\AA}^3)$			
0.0001(0)	9.8231(1)	947.86(3)			
0.441(5)	9.8072(2)	943.26(6)			
1.211(7)	9.7805(2)	935.47(6)			
2.248(6)	9.7461(2)	925.74(5)			
2.290(7)*	9.7448(3)	925.37(9)			
3.430(6)	9.7086(2)	915.11(7)			
4.256(11)	9.6837(2)	908.07(7)			
4.580(11)*	9.6737(3)	905.26(10)			
5.013(6)	9.6611(3)	901.72(7)			
5.701(6)	9.6409(3)	896.09(8)			
6.145(9)	9.6281(3)	892.52(8)			
6.210(8)*	9.6263(3)	892.01(9)			
6.730(11)	9.6111(3)	887.82(7)			
Note: * Data collected during pressure decrease					

Table 1. Unit cell parameters (Å) with increasing and

o 6.73GPa.					
	0.0001(0)	3.430(6)	4.580(11)*	5.701(6)	6.730(11)
Space group			Fd 3 m		
Temperature (K)	293	293	293	293	293
<i>a</i> (Å)	9.8231 (1)	9.7090 (2)	9.6737 (3)	9.6409(3)	9.6111(3)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	947.86 (2)	915.1 (3)	905.27 (5)	896.09(5)	887.81 (5)
Ζ			8		
$\mu (mm^{-1})$	10.52	10.78	10.86	10.94	11.06
Crystal size (mm)		0	.130×0.090×0.06	60	
Diffractometer	Oxford Diffraction XCalibur, Point Detector	Oxfor	rd Diffraction XC	Calibur, CCD De	tector
Absorption correction			Absorb 6.0		
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	287,114,90	344, 125, 79	339, 102, 60	354, 118, 71	331, 110, 64
R _{int}	0.072	0.073	0.09	0.06	0.073
Refinement software			Shelxl-97		
$R[F2 > 2\sigma(F2)],$	0.037, 0.068,	0.037, 0.076,	0.045, 0.096,	0.064, 0.158,	0.043, 0.125,
$wR(F^2), S$	1.15	0.80	0.92	1.13	0.87
No. of reflections	114	125	102	118	110
No. of parameters	8	8	8	8	8
No. of restraints	0	0	0 50 1.09	0 0 0 1 10	0
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e A^{-})$	1.23, -0.79	0.78, -0.65	0.59, -1.08	0.84, -1.19	0.91, -0.98
3					
u = x = y = z	0.25735 (7)	0.25696 (11)	0.25668 (15)	0.25676 (18)	0.25665 (17)
$U_{\rm iso}^*/U_{\rm eq}$	0.0132 (3)	0.0128 (4)	0.0128 (6)	0.0236 (8)	0.0263 (8)
•4	~ /	× /	× /	× /	~ /
Cr					
x=y=z	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	0.0161 (3)	0.0158 (5)	0.0165 (6)	0.0278 (9)	0.0298 (8)
•					

Table 2. Intensity data collection, structure refinement details, fractional atomic coordinates and atomic displacement parameters ($Å^2$) for CuCr₂S₄ sample measured upon increasing and decreasing pressure up to 6.73GPa.

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA)
Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press.
(DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4689

2/5

	x=y=z	0.125	0.125	0.125	0.125	0.125
	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$	0.0158 (3)	0.0147 (5)	0.0150 (7)	0.0256 (9)	0.0286 (8)
S						
	U^{11}	0.0132 (3)	0.0128 (4)	0.0128 (6)	0.0236 (8)	0.0263 (8)
	U^{12}	-0.0005 (2)	-0.0001 (4)	-0.0015 (6)	-0.0002 (8)	0.0004 (7)
Cr						
	U^{11}	0.0161 (3)	0.0158 (5)	0.0165 (6)	0.0278 (9)	0.0298 (8)
	U^{12}	0.0024 (2)	0.0029 (5)	0.0047 (7)	0.0047 (8)	0.0038 (7)
Cu						
	U^{11}	0.0158 (3)	0.0147 (5)	0.0150 (7)	0.0256 (9)	0.0286 (8)

Note:* Data collected during pressure decrease; Pressures units are GPa. U11=U22=U33 and U12=U13=U23 for symmetry reasons (where U12=U13=U23=0 for the tetrahedrally coordinated site); the tetrahedrally coordinated cations are at (1/8, 1/8, 1/8) and the octahedrally coordinated cations are at (1/2, 1/2, 1/2).

Table 3. Bond lengths (Å), polyhedral volume (Å³) and angles (°) for $CuCr_2S_4$ thiospinel. Data collected with increasing and decreasing pressure up to 6.73GPa.

	0.0001(0)	3.430(6)	4.580(11)*	5.701(6)	6.730(11)
Cu – S	2.2518(11)	2.2189(19)	2.206(3)	2.200(3)	2.192(3)
Cr - S	2.3858(6)	2.3616(12)	2.3556(14)	2.3468(16)	2.3406(15)
$V_{ m CuS4}$	5.860	5.608	5.512	5.466	5.402
V _{CrS6}	18.01	17.48	17.35	17.16	17.02
$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{distortion}^{**}}$	0.0054	0.0049	0.0045	0.0046	0.0044
Cu - S - Cr	122.81(2)	122.95(4)	123.04(5)	123.01(6)	123.05(6)
Cr - S - Cr	93.41(3)	93.23(5)	93.10(7)	93.14(8)	93.09(8)
S - Cr - S	93.52(3)	93.32(5)	93.18(8)	93.23(9)	93.17(8)
S - Cr - S	86.48(3)	86.68(6)	86.82(8)	86.77(9)	86.83(8)
Note: * Data colle	cted during pro	essure decrease;	** Volume disto	ortion have been	calculated with
IVTON software following Balić Žunić and Vicković 1996; Pressures are in GPa.					

Table 4. Elastic parameters and					
statistical par	statistical parameters obtained by				
fitting to a BI	M3 and BM4	l EoS			
using pressur	e-volume da	ta on			
$CuCr_2S_4$ sam	ple measured	d with			
increasing pro	essure up to	6.73GPa.			
	BM3	BM4			
V_0 (Å ³)	947.79(6)	947.86(6)			
K _{T0} (GPa)	91.6(4)	88(1)			
K	3.7(1)	6.3(9)			
<i>K</i> ''	-0.04*	-1.1(4)			
ΔP_{max}	-0.021	-0.005			
χ^2	1.35	0.13			
*Value implied for the BM3 fitting;					
Standard deviations are given in					
parenthesis.					

Table 5. Bulk modulus and first pressure derivative for Se and S thiospinels.

Composition	Bulk modulus, K _{T0} (GPa)	First pressure derivative, <i>K</i>	Reference
CdIn ₂ S ₄	78(4)	3.1(8)	Santamaría-Pérez et al. 2012
MgIn ₂ S ₄	76(3)	2.8(7)	Santamaría-Pérez et al. 2012
$MnIn_2S_4$	78(4)	3.2(1)	Santamaría-Pérez et al. 2012
$ZnCr_2S_4$	76(9)	12(4)	Wittlinger et al. 1997
$CuCr_{1.7}V_{0.3}S_4$	91.6(4)	3.7(1)	This study
CdCr ₂ Se ₄	101(3)	3.3(1.9)	Waskowska et al. 2002
CuCr ₂ Se ₄	96(3)	n.a.	Waskowska et al. 2009
ZnCr ₂ Se ₄	98(3)	n.a.	Waskowska et al. 2009
n.a. = not available	; the EoS for our sampl	e refers to a BM3 fitting of	our data. Wittlinger et al.
1007 has most has most	alron into account for a	un agreen amig an hagaguag augh	high V' reduce (19) is not

n.a. = not available; the EoS for our sample refers to a BM3 fitting of our data. Wittlinger et al. 1997 has not been taken into account for our comparison because such high K' value (12) is not reliable for this structural type and considering the high correlation between K_{T0} and K' the miscalculation of the K' will lead to a completely unreliable K_{T0} value.











