REVISION 1

3 Identifying the spin transition in Fe ²⁺ -rich MgSiO ₃ 4 perovskite from X-ray diffraction and vibrational 5 spectroscopy 6 7 7 Razvan Caracas ^{1,2,3} , Haruka Ozawa ^{4,5} , Kei Hirose, ^{4,5} Hirofumi Ishii, ⁶ 8 Nozomu Hiraoka, ⁶ Yasuo Ohishi, ⁷ Naohisa Hirao ⁷ 9 1) Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France 10 2) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Université Claude- 11 Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France 12 3) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Site 13 Monod, 15 parvis René Descartes, 69342 Lyon, France 14 4) Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth 15 Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan 16 5) Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 17 152-8551, Japan 18 6) National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, 19 Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan 20 7) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan	2	
 perovskite from X-ray diffraction and vibrational spectroscopy Razvan Caracas^{1,2,3}, Haruka Ozawa^{4,5}, Kei Hirose,^{4,5} Hirofumi Ishii,⁶ Nozomu Hiraoka,⁶ Yasuo Ohishi,⁷ Naohisa Hirao⁷ 1) Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France 2) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Université Claude- Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France 3) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Site Monod, 15 parvis René Descartes, 69342 Lyon, France 4) Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan 5) Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan 6) National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan 7) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	3	Identifying the spin transition in Fe ²⁺ -rich MgSiO ₃
 5 Spectroscopy 6 7 Razvan Caracas^{1,2,3}, Haruka Ozawa^{4,5}, Kei Hirose,^{4,5} Hirofumi Ishii,⁶ 8 Nozomu Hiraoka,⁶ Yasuo Ohishi,⁷ Naohisa Hirao⁷ 9 1) Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France 10 2) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Université Claude- Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France 12 3) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Site Monod, 15 parvis René Descartes, 69342 Lyon, France 14 4) Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan 15 Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 17 152-8551, Japan 6) National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan 7) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	4	perovskite from X-ray diffraction and vibrational
 Razvan Caracas^{1,2,3}, Haruka Ozawa^{4,5}, Kei Hirose,^{4,5} Hirofumi Ishii,⁶ Nozomu Hiraoka,⁶ Yasuo Ohishi,⁷ Naohisa Hirao⁷ 1) Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France 2) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Université Claude- Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France 3) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Site Monod, 15 parvis René Descartes, 69342 Lyon, France 4) Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan 5) Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan 6) National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan 7) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	5	spectroscopy
 Razvan Caracas^{1,2,3}, Haruka Ozawa^{4,5}, Kei Hirose,^{4,5} Hirofumi Ishii,⁶ Nozomu Hiraoka,⁶ Yasuo Ohishi,⁷ Naohisa Hirao⁷ 1) Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France 2) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Université Claude- Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France 3) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Site Monod, 15 parvis René Descartes, 69342 Lyon, France 4) Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan 5) Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan 6) National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan 7) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	6	
 Nozomu Hiraoka,⁶ Yasuo Ohishi,⁷ Naohisa Hirao⁷ Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Université Claude- Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Site Monod, 15 parvis René Descartes, 69342 Lyon, France Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	7	Razvan Caracas ^{1,2,3} , Haruka Ozawa ^{4,5} , Kei Hirose, ^{4,5} Hirofumi Ishii, ⁶
 1) Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France 2) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Université Claude- Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France 3) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Site Monod, 15 parvis René Descartes, 69342 Lyon, France 4) Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan 5) Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan 6) National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan 7) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	8	Nozomu Hiraoka, ⁶ Yasuo Ohishi, ⁷ Naohisa Hirao ⁷
 2) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Université Claude- Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France 3) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Site Monod, 15 parvis René Descartes, 69342 Lyon, France 4) Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan 5) Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan 6) National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan 7) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	9	1) Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France
11Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France123) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Site13Monod, 15 parvis René Descartes, 69342 Lyon, France144) Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth15Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan165) Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo17152-8551, Japan186) National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park,19Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan207) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan	10	2) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Université Claude-
 Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Site Monod, 15 parvis René Descartes, 69342 Lyon, France Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	11	Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France
 Monod, 15 parvis René Descartes, 69342 Lyon, France Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	12	3) Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Site
 Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	13	Monod, 15 parvis René Descartes, 69342 Lyon, France
 Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan 5) Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan 6) National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan 7) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	14	4) Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth
 5) Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan 6) National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan 7) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	15	Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan
 17 152-8551, Japan 18 6) National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, 19 Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan 20 7) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	16	5) Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo
 National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan 	17	152-8551, Japan
19Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan207) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan	18	6) National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, Hsinchu Science Park,
20 7) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan	19	Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan
	20	7) Japan Synchrotron radiation Research Institute, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5198, Japan

21

1

Abstract

Based on numerical results from density-functional perturbation theory calculations, 22 we show that the magnetic spin transition in Fe²⁺-rich MgSiO₃ perovskite can be 23 24 identified as changes in the powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern and the 25 vibrational spectra. In particular theory predicts how the symmetry breaking and the 26 volume reduction associated with the spin transition affect both structural and 27 vibrational properties. The XRD measurements of (Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5})SiO₃ perovskite indeed 28 demonstrated that the new diffraction peaks and the peak broadening formed during 29 the spin transition can be explained by the associated symmetry breaking. We also 30 show computationally that certain vibrational peaks exhibit a shift at the transition; 31 the Grüneisen parameters of certain modes are affected by the transition, thus bearing

32 on the thermodynamical properties. Raman and/or infrared measurements before and

33 after the spin transition could identify these changes.

- 34
- 35

Introduction

36 Fe-bearing perovskite, the major mineral of the Earth's lower mantle, exhibits 37 a magnetic spin transition under compression. The transition corresponds roughly to the reduction of the number of unpaired d electrons of Fe^{2+} iron: from 4 unpaired 38 39 electrons for high-spin state to 0 unpaired electrons for the low-spin state. For Fe³⁺ 40 iron theses numbers decrease from 5 to 3 to 1 unpaired electrons into respectively the 41 high-spin, intermediate-spin and low-spin states. These numbers represent ideal 42 nominal molecular-like values; in a solid there are electronic bands and the magnetic 43 spin is given per unit cell as the difference between the total number of electrons with 44 the two different spins occupying the bands.

45 The transition depends on a series of factors, like pressure and temperature, 46 iron amount, iron crystallographic site and iron redox state. The ferrous iron on the Mg site stays in high-spin up to large pressures (Bengtson et al. 2009; Hsu et al. 47 48 2010a, 2011) when in relatively low, mantle-like concentrations (Bengtson et al. 49 2008). The ferric iron can be incorporated in both the Mg and the Si sites, with the 50 one on the Si site undergoing a spin transition at very low pressures and the one on 51 the Mg site remaining in high-spin, until the transformation to post-perovskite (e.g., 52 Stackhouse et al., 2007; Irifune et al., 2010; Caracas 2010; Hsu et al. 2011).

53 The high-spin to low-spin transition of ferrous iron has been documented 54 experimentally with several in situ measurements using nuclear or electronic 55 spectroscopies, like Mössbauer spectroscopy (Jackson et al. 2005; McCammon et al. 56 2008; Grocholski et al. 2009; Lin et al. 2012) or X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES) 57 (Badro et al. 2004; Li et al. 2004, 2006): a reduction in the local magnetization of the 58 iron atoms is seen either as a change in the Mössbauer pattern or as the disappearance 59 of the Fe $K\beta$ satellite peak in the XES spectra. Several reviews give some very good 60 overlooks of the recent literature on this subject (Hsu et al. 2010b; Lin et al. 2013).

61 In parallel with the experimental effort, first principles calculations based on 62 density functional theory showed that the transition is associated with a structural 63 distortion corresponding to the reduction in size of the Fe atoms (Umemoto et al.

64 2010). Such distortion breaks the site symmetry of the iron atoms, allowing a lateral 65 displacement that accommodates the reduction in volume. The electronic changes 66 connected to the magnetic transition have been extensively studied for various iron concentration and configuration in a series of papers (Bengtson et al. 2009; Hsu et al. 67 68 2010a; Umemoto et al. 2010). In recent phonon calculations we identified dynamical 69 instabilities in the perovskite structure with orthorhombic symmetry and low-spin 70 configuration. Following the unstable vibrational modes we were able to determine on 71 a strong physical basis the structural distortions and the symmetry breaking associated 72 to the spin transition (Caracas et al. 2011).

73 The geophysical implications of the spin transition in perovskite are yet a 74 matter of investigation. It was suggested that its effect on the bulk seismic wave 75 velocities is weak, such as at realistic iron concentrations it would not be visible in 76 seismic data (Li et al. 2005). However, the changes in seismic anisotropy, like the 77 ratio of the seismic compressional (Vp) and shear (Vs) wave velocities or the shear 78 wave splitting Vs1-Vs2, are sensitive to the spin state of iron. The spin transition can 79 induce differences of anisotropy between the top and the bottom of the lower mantle 80 that are large enough to be visible in seismic data and tomographic studies (Caracas et 81 al. 2010).

82 The investigations of the effects of the spin transition on the ternary phase 83 diagram of perovskite - post-perovskite - magnesiowüstite and on the iron 84 partitioning between the lower-mantle minerals are still in their incipient phase with 85 no final answer reached so far (Wolf et al. 2008; Metsue and Tsuchiya 2012; 86 Grocholski et al. 2012), but might be important, and one expects important effort to 87 be allocated towards this topics in the near future, from both experiments and 88 calculations. Consequently a rapid and inexpensive method of identification of the 89 spin transition in perovskite is highly desirable.

Because both the electronic and the nuclear spectroscopic methods are rather tedious to perform and require a considerable experimental setup, here we investigate alternate possibilities for identifying the spin transition. We concentrate and discuss only the case of ferrous iron on the A site. We base on the structural distortions and the symmetry breaking that are associated with this spin transition to quantify the potential signature of the transition on X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns and on vibrational spectra. We show that changes in these spectra would make them viable

97 methods for identifying the spin transition if careful accurate measurements are98 recorded.

99

100

Methodology

101 We perform first-principles calculations based on the density functional theory102 (DFT) in the ABINIT implementation (Gonze et al. 2002, 2009; Torrent et al. 2008).

103 First we employ the Planar Augmented Wavefunction (PAW) approach to 104 obtain the crystal structures and to investigate the symmetry breaking due to the spin 105 transition. In the PAW method an accurate, all-electron, representation of the 106 electronic system is placed in one-to-one correspondence with a pseudopotential-like 107 treatment of the valence electrons (Blochl 1994). We use the local density 108 approximation (LDA) for the exchange correlation energy. It was shown that the use 109 of more sophisticated exchange correlation formalisms, like the generalized gradient 110 approximation, does not change the physical description of the system, except for an 111 upward shift of the spin transition pressure (Hsu et al. 2010). We adopt $6 \times 6 \times 6$ grids 112 of special high-symmetry k points (Monkhorst and Pack 1976) to sample the 113 electronic density in the reciprocal space. We employ a 16Ha (1 Ha=27.2116eV) 114 kinetic energy cut-off for the wave functions on the coarse mesh and a 36 Ha cut-off 115 for the wave functions on the finer grid inside the PAW spheres. This set of 116 parameters ensures an accuracy of the calculation better than 1GPa in pressure and 117 1mHa/unit cell in energy. We fully relax the structures (i.e. we minimize the 118 interatomic force constants and the non-hydrostatic stresses) at several pressures and 119 iron compositions, for both the high-spin and low-spin magnetic configurations. We 120 use the resulting theoretical structures to build the X-ray diffraction pattern, which we 121 compare with experimental patterns.

In a second set of calculations we analyze the lattice dynamical properties of both high-spin and low-spin perovskite within the density-functional perturbation theory (DFPT) (Baroni et al. 2002; Gonze et al. 2005). We use the theoretical structures as determined above in PAW, to determine the phonons in the center of the Brillouin zone. However, because of current implementation restrictions, we do not use PAW for the dynamical calculations, but instead we need to employ Troullier-Martins norm-conserving pseudopotentials. We relax the structures in the standard norm-conserving formalism, using the same $6 \times 6 \times 6$ grids of special high-symmetry **k** 130 points and 40 Ha kinetic energy cutoff.

- 131 We compute the low-spin structures of the end-member FeSiO₃ term, and then
- dilute Fe by Mg. Eventually we look at the theoretical X-ray diffraction patterns for
- 133 $Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}SiO_3$, and at the vibrational pattern of $FeSiO_3$ and $Mg_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}SiO_3$.

134 Complementary to calculations we obtained in situ X-ray diffraction patterns 135 on powder Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}SiO₃ perovskite in a diamond-anvil cell at the BL10XU of 136 SPring-8. Starting material was pyroxene with composition of $Mg_0 = Fe_0 = SiO_3$ (Tateno et al. 2007). The Fe³⁺/ Σ Fe ratio of the starting material was not directly measured but 137 138 could be ~0.07 according to Sinmyo et al. (2011), in which pyroxene was synthesized 139 in a similar procedure. We compacted the sample powder into pellets and then coated 140 the surface of the sample with gold on both sides, which served as a laser absorber. 141 This coating technique reduces temperature gradients, and thus minimizes chemical 142 heterogeneity caused by Soret diffusion (Sinmyo and Hirose 2010). It was loaded into 143 a hole in a pre-indented rhenium gasket, together with thermal insulation layers of 144 dried NaCl. The assembly was compressed by beveled diamond anvils with 150 μ m 145 culet. Angle-dispersive XRD patterns were collected on an imaging plate. A 146 monochromatic incident X-ray beam with a wavelength of 0.41351 Å was collimated 147 to about 6-µm area (full-width of half maximum) on the sample. Two-dimensional 148 XRD images were integrated as a function of two-theta angle in order to have a 149 conventional one-dimensional diffraction profile using the IPAnalyzer (Seto et al. 150 2010). The sample was heated by a couple of fiber lasers using the double-sided 151 heating technique. Temperature was measured by fitting the thermal radiation 152 spectrum to the Planck radiation function using the spectro-radiometric method 153 (Ohishi et al. 2008). Pressure was determined from the unit-cell volume of gold, using 154 the equation of state proposed by Tsuchiya (2003). Pressure uncertainty arising from 155 the uncertainty in unit-cell volume was ± 0.6 GPa.

We also recorded several X-ray emission spectra on samples undergoing the spin transition. XES is an established technique widely used to study high-spin to low-spin crossover in transition-metal elements and their compounds. For samples containing iron in a high-spin state, the emission spectra consist of $K\beta_{1,3}$ main peak at 7058 eV and satellite $K\beta$ ° peak located at lower energy appearing as a result of the exchange interaction between 3p core-hole and 3d in a final state of the emission process. The iron spin crossover results in a loss of 3d magnetic moment, leading to a

163 disappearance of such satellite peak. High-resolution X-ray emission spectra of the Fe 164 $K\beta$ line were obtained for Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}SiO₃ perovskite at the BL12XU beamline of 165 SPring-8 (Ozawa et al. 2011). Such measurements were carried out at room 166 temperature in a DAC at pressures between ambient pressure and 100 GPa (run #2), 167 and 93 and 98 GPa (run #3). We used beveled anvils with 200 µm culet. Starting 168 material was prepared by heating Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}SiO₃ gel to 1273 K under the oxygen 169 fugacity slightly above the iron-wüstite buffer in run #2. In run #3, the pyroxene 170 starting material same as that in run #1 was used. The gel starting material may have higher Fe³⁺/ Σ Fe ratio of ~0.2 according to Ohta et al. (2008). The sample coated with 171 172 gold was loaded together with thermal insulation layers of dried NaCl, into an X-ray 173 translucent gasket that was composed of cubic boron nitride and beryllium. Such 174 composite gasket can increase the thickness of the sample chamber and reduce the 175 contribution from iron impurity in beryllium. The sample was compressed to high 176 pressure at 300 K and then heated by laser from both sides with scanning the laser 177 beam. The high-pressure phase was subsequently identified by XRD measurements at 178 BL10XU. Pressure was determined at room temperature based on the Raman shift of 179 the T_{2g} mode of the culet of diamond anvil (Akahama and Kawamura 2004). We used 180 monochromatic X-rays at 11 keV as an incident beam for XES measurements. It was 181 collimated to $\sim 15 \,\mu m$ in diameter at the sample. The pressure variations within the 182 area from which XES spectra were collected were less than 3 GPa. A 1m Rowland 183 circle type spectrometer, equipped with 1m spherical bent Ge (620) analyzer, was 184 used to acquire the emission spectra. These spectra were collected through the gasket 185 in order to avoid a loss of intensity due to absorption by the diamond. The spectra 186 covered the energy range from 7020 to 7080 eV with a resolution of 0.8 eV. 187 188

Results

189 190 191

192

Structural implications of the spin transition

In recent lattice dynamical calculations we showed that FeSiO₃ perovskite, with orthorhombic *Pbnm* symmetry, in both the intermediate spin and the low spin state exhibits unstable phonon modes (Caracas et al. 2011). These instabilities correspond to displacements of the Fe sub-lattice accompanied by a rearrangement of

197 parts of the O sub-lattice. The spin transition is also accompanied by a density 198 difference of 4.9% at 20 GPa going down quasi-linearly to 3.8% at 120 GPa. Based 199 on the two MgSiO₃ and FeSiO₃ end-members we are now able to construct the correct 200 guess structures for the intermediate compositions along the Mg-Fe join in both high-201 spin and in low-spin magnetic configurations. Then using these initial geometries the 202 appropriate structural relaxation eventually yields the correct final structures. For the 203 high-spin case, the orthorhombic symmetry is preserved along the whole Mg-Fe join. 204 The local replacement pattern of the two cations breaks the local symmetry in specific 205 configurations, but the large-scale average structure remains orthorhombic. The 206 starting geometry can be obtained by replacing Mg with Fe in the MgSiO₃ structure or 207 Fe with Mg in the FeSiO₃ structure, the final result being the same. For the low-spin 208 case the structure exhibits distortions that lower its symmetry down to monoclinic or 209 triclinic even for the pure FeSiO₃ end-member term. Actually, in order to be able to 210 preserve these distortions, and prevent the code from finding a local minimum, along 211 the solid solution, we need to start with the distorted low-spin structure of FeSiO₃ and 212 replace Fe with Mg in the desired amount. Indeed, starting the simulations from 213 orthorhombic FeSiO₃ fails to find the appropriate global minimum and the correct 214 low-spin crystal structures (Bengtson et al. 2009; Hsu et al. 2010a; Umemoto et al. 215 2010, Caracas et al., 2011).

216 The spin transition has thus two different structural facets: symmetry breaking 217 and volume reduction. The correlation between the spin transition and the distortions 218 of the orthorhombic structure should be reflected in changes of the symmetry of 219 certain physical properties, like the Raman and infrared spectra or the XRD patterns. 220 These changes could be observed as possible appearances of new peaks and/or 221 broadening of the existent ones. The volume and interatomic bond distance reductions 222 associated with the spin transition should be reflected in shifts of the positions of the 223 peaks in vibrational spectra and in the XRD patterns. Accurate in situ Raman/infrared 224 and XRD measurements could then capture at least some of these features. Here we 225 analyze these different changes and illustrate them for several particular terms along 226 the solid solution.

- 227
- 228
- 229

X-ray powder diffraction pattern

230 Figures 1a and 1b show calculated X-ray diffraction patterns of the theoretical 231 structure of the middle term of the solid solution, $Mg_{0.50}Fe_{0.50}SiO_3$, in respectively 232 high-spin and low-spin configurations, computed at 90 GPa. We use one unit cell with 233 4 formula units, i.e. 4 A sites, where we replace half the A atoms with Mg and half 234 with Fe. Basic math tells us there are six possibilities, i.e. combinations of four by 235 two. In the high-spin phases, there are no distortions associated to the spin, the 236 orthorhombic symmetry is preserved (even though some forbidden reflections 237 conditions might be lifted), which makes the four crystallographic sites equivalent. 238 When we proceed with the Fe/Mg replacements, based on symmetry-determined 239 multiplicities, only three different Fe/Mg atomic configurations are needed to describe 240 the high-spin state. In the low-spin phase, there are distortions associated to the spin, 241 the orthorhombic symmetry is not preserved, and the four A sites are not equivalent 242 anymore. Eventually six configurations are needed to describe all possible Mg/Fe 243 distribution in one unit cell for the low-spin state.

For the high-spin magnetic cases we choose the ferromagnetic configuration, as the structural differences between the ferromagnetic and the antiferromagnetic orthorhombic FeSiO₃ are small enough not to be detectable in diffraction. Arrows in panel *b* show the changes occurring due to the spin transition, like broadening of the peaks and appearance of new weaker peaks and shoulders.

In a powder experiment of a solid solution crystal, each configuration would contribute with its own diffraction pattern, to both peak position and intensities. For our case, though within a limited configurational space, we may distinguish between several such different atomic arrangements. The corresponding diffraction of each one of them is represented with light red lines in Figures 1a and 1b. They are then summed up to obtain the full powder diffraction spectrum, represented by the bold blue lines.

256 All the major diffraction peaks preserve their position and intensity throughout 257 the spin transition. But the spectra before and after the transition are different in the 258 detail, as shown in Figures 1a and b due to symmetry breaking. Several new peaks 259 appear in the low-spin phase as a result of degeneracy lifting, and new shoulders 260 develop and grow to result in somewhat broader peaks than in the case of the high-261 spin spectrum. This is due to the degeneracy lifting for the structural configurations. 262 In this way more individual contributions are recorded, and almost each one is but 263 slightly different from the others. This symmetry breaking lifts degeneracies between

264 diffraction peaks like the orthorhombic 110 and -110. They are the same in an 265 orthorhombic group, but different in a monoclinic or triclinic group occurring after 266 the spin transition. In the latter symmetry setup, this would result in two peaks. If the 267 non-orthorhombic distortions are small then they can overlap or appear as shoulders 268 and/or broadening of the same larger peak. Their intensity can increase too, as is the case for the -110 peak at 7.4° 20 angle and the 102 and 012 peaks above 9° 20 angles. 269 270 The latter sets of peaks are invisible in MgSiO3 perovskite, become very weakly 271 visible in the high-spin configuration because of the Mg/Fe substitution that lifts the 272 ban of this phase extinction, and have their intensity enhanced in the low-spin phase 273 because of the symmetry breaking and associated structural distortions.

274 Similarly, a set of new weak peaks appears due to lifting and changing the 275 selection rules as a result the symmetry breaking. For example the orthorhombic 276 space group bans a series of reflections, like h00, 0k0, or 00l with h, k, or l even 277 numbers or the 0kl with 1 odd, namely peaks like 012, 102, etc. (Hahn and Arroyo 278 2006). Any distortion would then break at least some of these rules. Again, if the 279 distortion is small, the resulting new peaks are weak, but visible, and would occur 280 after the spin transition. Such peaks are the 02-1 around 11.5° 20 angles, the 02-2 around 13° 20 angles, and the 123 around 15.9° 20 angles. They are all represented 281 282 with arrows in Figure 1b, and they all have their correspondence in both experiment 283 and theory.

284 The experimental XRD pattern, recorded at 89 GPa and 300 K after heating at 285 around 2000 K for 1 hour is represented in Figure 1c. Both theoretical and 286 experimental patterns use 0.414 Å wavelength radiation. Refined experimental lattice 287 parameters are $a=4.4395\pm0.0038$ Å; $b=4.6361\pm0.0027$ Å; $c=6.4144\pm0.0069$ Å; V=132.02±0.20 Å³. These values are within 1% of the theoretical, which are 288 289 respectively 4.42 Å, 4.64 Å, and 6.39 Å at 90 GPa. Consequently, the exact position 290 and intensity of the diffraction peaks are only slightly different between the 291 theoretical and the experimental structures because of these differences in 292 pressure/volume, and because of the precise iron amount and iron distribution. In 293 order to further decrease these discrepancies for our comparison, we use the 294 experimental lattice parameters to model the theoretical spectra in Figure 1a and 1b.

Apart from intensity rescaling, there is an excellent one-to-one correlation between all the major peaks of the theoretical and the experimental patterns. All these

297 major peaks can be indexed using the orthorhombic setting. Stars in panel c denote 298 several weak peaks that cannot be indexed using the orthorhombic setting. This may 299 suggest that something happened to the structure, which affected the details of the 300 atomic arrangements, but not the general topology. At least two, possibly four, of 301 these peaks have a good match to the new theoretical peaks predicted to occur during 302 the spin transition. It is possible that the remaining unindexed peaks correspond to 303 particular Mg/Fe configurations that were not explicitly covered in our theoretical 304 analysis.

305 Finally we perform additional XES measurements (runs #2 and 3) to examine 306 the spin state of iron in $Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}SiO_3$ perovskite. In the first set of experiments, the 307 sample was squeezed to 93 GPa at room temperature. The sample was then heated at 308 1700-2100K for 43 minutes and the diffraction peaks from the perovskite phase 309 appeared upon heating. Subsequently, the spin state was examined by XES at 88 GPa 310 and 300 K. Next we increased the pressure to 100 GPa and obtained the X-ray 311 emission spectrum. Afterwards, the spectra were collected with decreasing pressure 312 down to ambient pressure. In the second run, we first compressed the sample to 93 313 GPa. During subsequent heating at 2000-2300 K, the peaks of perovskite appeared. 314 After heating for 110 mins, the X-ray emission spectrum was obtained at 93 GPa and 315 room temperature. Next we increased the pressure and collected the spectrum at 98 316 GPa.

- 317
- 318319

Vibrational spectra

A second set of alternative methods proposed here for the identification of the spin transition is represented by the vibrational spectroscopies, like Raman or infrared. The volume collapse at the transition induces a hardening of the phonons visible as an upward shift of all modes at the transition. Furthermore, the symmetry breaking participates to changing the Grüneisen parameters and also may induce changes of the mode characters, which in turn can be reflected in their activation for either Raman or infrared spectroscopy.

Figure 2 shows the correspondence of the theoretical vibration modes in the zone-center between the high-spin and the low-spin phases. The calculations were done for the pure FeSiO₃ end-member term and for one configuration of the intermediate term ($Mg_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}$)SiO₃. The frequencies of the modes in the low-spin

phases are represented on the horizontal axes and in the high-spin phase on the vertical axes. If there were no changes at the spin transition, all the points would fall on the black diagonal line. The spread and the negative shift of modes in the high-spin with respect to the low-spin, suggest changes occur at the transition. These changes are strong for the pure end-member term (panel a), but changes in specific peaks could be visible even for large dilutions (panel b), with only 25% Fe on the Mg site.

337 For the end-member FeSiO₃ term, represented in Figure 2a there is a clear shift of the majority of the phonon frequencies at the spin transition, e.g. a mode at 338 400 cm⁻¹ in the high-spin phase is found in the low-spin not at 400 cm⁻¹ (this would 339 fall on the black line), but above. This shift can actually be as large as 150 cm⁻¹ or 340 341 more for some specific modes, notably the low-frequency modes dominated by the 342 displacements of the iron atoms. The shift in the higher-frequency modes, dominated 343 by O displacements is due to the modifications in the geometry and bond distances 344 within the Fe-O coordination polyhedral. The last highest-frequency modes are only 345 weakly affected by the spin transition as these modes are dominated by the breathing 346 modes of the octahedra, which do not suffer much from the magnetic collapse.

The same pattern is observed even for diluted systems, along the MgSiO₃-FeSiO₃ join. Figure 2b shows the shifts for a composition of 75%Mg and 25%Fe, not far from realistic iron concentrations. Even here the frequencies of the phonon modes exhibit a raise in wavevector number. The most significant changes are for the modes whose eigenvectors are dominated by the displacements of the iron atoms; in these cases the upward shifts can reach almost 100 cm⁻¹.

The inset in Figure 2(b) shows the pressure dependence of the frequencies for several modes, whose derivatives yield the Grüneisen parameters, clearly different between the high-spin and the low-spin phases.

- 356
- 357 358

359

Discussion

The occurrence of the spin transition for an intermediate term in a solid solution, like MgSiO₃-FeSiO₃, is a continuous process over a certain pressure (and temperature) range (Tsuchiya et al. 2006). Consequently, the peak shifts of both diffraction and vibrational patterns associated to the volume collapse due to the spin transition are smoothed out; then one would not expect to be able to detect them. Similarly, other changes of the diffraction, like appearance of new peaks, broadening of others, etc., are not sudden but continuous and would be hard to follow experimentally. However differences should occur in the patterns before and after the transition, i.e. between the high-spin and the low-spin phases, in terms of general topology and/or pressure dependence.

370 The smoothness of such a transition is clearly observed in the experimentally 371 obtained X-ray emission spectra. The relatively low-pressure range of spin transition 372 of ferrous iron in Fe-rich perovskite is consistent with theoretical predictions 373 (Bengtson et al. 2008; Umemoto et al. 2008). Figure 3 shows such a spectrum 374 recorded in situ for pressures up to 100 GPa. The thermodynamic path was mostly 375 decompression. A satellite $K\beta$ peak is clearly observed occurring with decreasing 376 pressure, a mark for the presence of a high-spin state at low pressures. At higher 377 pressure the peak does not vanish completely, when compared to the FeO low-spin 378 reference. This suggests either a non-complete spin transition, or a possibility of some residual Fe^{3+} contributing to the electronic density. 379

Finally the smoothness of the transition would make any shift in Raman or infrared frequencies hardly visible in an experimental measurement. However, as shown in the inset of Figure 2b, the pressure derivatives, i.e. the Grüneisen parameters, of some particular modes are different in the high-spin and the low-spin phases. This would make them preferred tracers of the spin transition: the Grüneisen parameters of these modes would have two distinct values at low and high pressures with an average intermediate trend as the transition advances.

387

388

389

Implications

We have shown that the spin transition of iron in Fe²⁺-bearing MgSiO₃ perovskite bears specific signatures in both XRD patterns and Raman/infrared spectra. Our assertions are based on results from first-principles calculations performed within the density-functional theory. The volume collapse and the symmetry breaking associated to this transition make that new peaks may appear in diffraction. Experimental recordings of powder diffraction data on iron-rich perovskite samples after the spin transition support our numerical results.

397 However, caution must be paid, because the relative low intensity of these 398 extra peaks makes them hard to observe in more Fe-diluted systems. Already for the 399 large Fe concentrations of our samples, the strong NaCl and Au reflections together 400 with a high background, make the observation and identification of such peaks a 401 difficult task (hence both solid and dashed arrows in Figure 1c). For example recent 402 single-crystal experimental data (Boffa Ballaran et al. 2012) on diluted samples (4 403 mol % Fe) did not observe the distortions seen here. Moreover some of the theoretical 404 peaks overlap with the reflections of Au, and thus cannot be observed experimentally.

405 Certain Raman and infrared modes exhibit an upward frequency shift during 406 the transition, and different Grüneisen parameters in the high-spin and the low-spin 407 phases. While this still waits for experimental confirmation, the predicted changes in 408 Grüneisen parameters could signify differences in the thermodynamical properties of 409 perovskite before and after the spin transition, differences not only due to volume, but 410 intrinsic to the structure.

This can have further implications for modeling the Earth's mantle, if such a transition takes place at large depths. But even if the transition in perovskite occurs beyond the thermodynamical limits of the Earth's mantle, our study suggests that more attention should be paid to the effect of the spin transition on physical properties of mantle minerals other than seismic.

416

417 Acknowledgements

Calculations have been performed on the JADE machine of CINES (Centre 418 419 Informatique National de l'Enseignement Supérieur, Montpellier, France) under 420 DARI grant x2013106368, and on the machines of the PSMN (Pole de Simulation et 421 Modélisation Numérique, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon). RC acknowledges 422 financial support from INSU of CNRS. We thank K. Fujino and S. Tateno for 423 technical support on XES measurements. The synchrotron XRD experiments were 424 conducted at BL10XU of SPring-8 (Proposal No. 2011B0087 and 2012B0087), and 425 XES experiments were performed at BL12XU with the approval of JASRI/SPring-8 426 and NSRRC, Taiwan (2011B4258/20XX-X-XXX-X). 427

428 Bibliography

429 Akahama, Y., Kawamura, H. (2004), High-pressure Raman spectroscopy of

430 diamond anvils to 250 GPa: Method for pressure determination in the multimegabar

431 pressure range, Journal of Applied Physics, 96, 3748-3751.

432 Badro, J., Rueff, J. P., Vankó, G., Monaco, G., Fiquet, G., and Guyot, F. 433 (2004), Electronic transitions in perovskite: Possible nonconvecting layers in the 434 lower mantle, Science, 305, 383-386. 435 Baroni, S., de Gironcoli, S., Dal Corso, A., and Giannozzi, P. (2001) Phonons 436 and related crystal properties from density-functional perturbation theory. Reviews in 437 Modern Physics, 73, 515–562. 438 Bengtson, A., Persson, K., and Morgan, D. (2008), Ab initio study of the 439 composition dependence of the pressure induced spin cross-over in perovskite 440 (Mg_{1 x},Fe_x)SiO₃, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 265, 535–545. 441 Bengtson, A., Li, J., and Morgan, D. (2009), Mössbauer modeling to interpret 442 the spin state of iron in (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ perovskite, Geophysical Research Letters, 36, 443 L15301, doi:10.1029/2009GL038340. 444 Blochl, P.E. (1994), Projector augmented-wave method. Physical Review B, 445 50, 17953-17979. 446 Boffa Ballaran, T., Kurnosov, A., Glazyrin, K., Frost, D. J., Merlini, M., 447 Hanfland, M., and Caracas, R. (2012) Effect of chemistry on the compressibility of 448 silicate perovskite in the lower mantle. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 333–334, 449 181-190. 450 Caracas, R. (2010), Spin and structural transitions in AlFeO₃ and 451 FeAlO₃ perovskite and post-perovskite, Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 452 182, 10-17. 453 Caracas, R. and Cohen, R.E. (2005), Effect of the chemistry of the stability 454 and elasticity of the perovskite and post-perovskite phases in the MgSiO₃-FeSiO₃-455 Al_2O_3 system and implications for the lowermost mantle, Geophysical Research 456 Letters, 32, L16310, doi:10.1029/2005GL023164, 2005a. 457 Caracas, R., and Cohen, R.E. (2007), Effect of chemistry on the physical 458 properties of perovskite and post-perovskite, in Post-Perovskite: The Last Mantle 459 Phase Transition, Geophysical Monogaphy. Series 174, doi:10.1029/174GM10. 460 Caracas, R., and Cohen, R.E. (2008), Ferrous iron in post-perovskite from 461 first-principles calculations, Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 168, 147-462 152. 463 Caracas, R., Mainprice, D., and Thomas, T. (2010) Is the spin transition in 464 Fe²⁺-bearing perovskite visible in seismology? Geophysical Research Letters, 37, L13309, doi:10.1029/2010GL043320 465

466 Caracas, R., Ozawa, H., and Hirose, K. (2011) Identification of the Spin 467 Transition in Fe-bearing Perovskite and Implications for the Lower Mantle, Abstract 468 MR34A-08, presented at 2011 Fall Meeting AGU. Gonze, X., Beuken, J.-M., Caracas, R., Detraux, F., Fuchs, M., Rignanese, G.-469 470 M., Sindic, L., Verstraete, M., Zerah, G., Jollet, F., Torrent, M., Roy, A., Mikami, M., 471 Ghosez, Ph., Raty, J.-Y., and Allan, D. C. (2002) First-principle computation of 472 material properties the ABINIT software project. Computational Materials Science, 473 25, 478–492. [http//www.abinit.org] 474 Gonze, X., Rignanese, G.-M., and Caracas, R. (2005) First-principles studies 475 of the lattice dynamics of crystals, and related properties. Zeitschrift für 476 Kristallographie, 220, 458–472. 477 Gonze, X., Amadon, B., Anglade, P.-M., Beuken, J.-M., Bottin, F., Boulanger, 478 P., Bruneval, F., Caliste, D., Caracas, R., Côté, M., Deutsch, T., Genovesi, L., 479 Ghosez, Ph., Giantomassi, M., Goedecker, S., Hamann, D.R., Hermet, P., Jollet, F., 480 Jomard, G., Leroux, S., Mancini, M., Mazevet, S., Oliveira, M.J.T., Onida, G., 481 Pouillon, Y., Rangel, T., Rignanese, G.-M., Sangalli, D., Shaltaf, R., Torrent, M., 482 Verstraete, M.J., Zerah G., and Zwanziger, J.W. (2009) ABINIT: First-principles 483 approach to material and nanosystem properties. Computer Physics Communications, 484 180, 2582-2615. 485 Grocholski, B., Catalli, K., Shim, S. H., and Prakapenka, V. (2012) 486 Mineralogical effects on the detectability of the post-perovskite boundary. 487 Proceedings of the National Academy of Science U.S.A., 109, 2275-2279. 488 Grocholski, B., Shim, S. H., Sturhahn, W., Zhao, J., Xiao, Y., and Chow, C. (2009), Spin and valence states of iron in (Mg_{0.8}Fe_{0.2})SiO₃ perovskite, Geophysical 489 490 Research Letters, 36, L24303, doi:10.1029/2009GL041262. 491 Hahn, Th., and Arroyo, M. I. (2006) International Tables for 492 Crystallography (2006). Volume A, Space-group symmetry, International Union of 493 Crystallography. 494 Hirose, K., Takafuji, N., Fujino, K., Shieh, S. R., and Duffy, T. S. (2008), Iron 495 partitioning between perovskite and post- perovskite: A transmission electron 496 microscope study, American Mineralogist, 93, 1678–1681. 497 Hsu H., Umemoto, K., Blaha, P., and Wentzcovitch, R. (2010a), Spin states 498 and hyperfine interactions of iron in (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ perovskite under pressure. Earth 499 and Planetary Science Letters, 294, 19-26.

500 Hsu, H., Umemoto K., Wu, Z., and Wentzcovitch, R. M. (2010b), Spin-State 501 Crossover of Iron in Lower-Mantle Minerals: Results of DFT+U Investigations, 502 Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 71, 169-199. 503 Hsu, H., Blaha, P., Cococcioni, M., and Wentzcovitch, R. M. (2011), Spin-504 state crossover and hyperfine interactions of ferric iron in MgSiO₃ perovskite, 505 Physical Review Letters, 106, 118501. 506 Irifune, T., Shinmei T., McCammon, C., Miyajima, N., Rubie, D. C., and 507 Frost, D. J. (2010) Iron Partitioning and Density Changes of Pyrolite in Earth's Lower 508 Mantle, Science, 327, 193-195. 509 Jackson, J. M., Sturhahn, W., Shen, G., Zhao, J., Hu, M.Y., Errandonea, D., 510 Bass, J. D., and Fei, Y. (2005), A synchrotron Mössbauer spectroscopy study of 511 (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ perovskite up to 120 GPa, American Mineralogist, 90, 199–205. 512 Li, J., Struzhkin, V. V., Mao, H. K., Shu, J., Hemley, R. J., Fei, Y., Mysen, B., 513 Dera, P., Prakapenka, V., and Shen, G. (2004), Electronic spin state of iron in lower 514 mantle perovskite, Proceedings of the National Academy of Science U.S.A., 101, 515 14027-14030. 516 Li, J., Sturhahn, W., Jackson, J. M., Struzhkin, V. V., Lin, J. F., Zhao, J., Mao, H. K., and Shen, G. (2006), Pressure effect on the electronic structure of iron in 517 518 (Mg,Fe)(Si,Al)O₃ perovskite: A combined synchrotron Mössbauer and X-ray 519 emission spectroscopy study up to 100 GPa, Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 33, 520 575-585. 521 Li, L., J. P. Brodholt, S. Stackhouse, D. J. Weidner, M. Alfredsson, and G. D. 522 Price (2005b), Elasticity of (Mg,Fe)(Si,Al)O₃-perovskite at high pressure, Earth and 523 Planetary Science Letters, 240, 529–536. 524 Lin, J. F., Alp, E. E. Mao, Z. Inoue, T. McCammon, C. Xiao, Y. Chow, P. and 525 Zhao J. (2012), Electronic spin and valence states of iron in the lower-mantle silicate 526 perovskite by synchrotron Mössbauer spectroscopy, American Mineralogist, 97, 592-527 597. Lin, J. F., Speziale, S., Mao, Z., and Marquardt, H. (2013) Effects of the 528 529 electronic spin transitions of iron in lower mantle minerals: Implications for deep 530 mantle geophysics and geochemistry, Reviews in Geophysics, 51, 531 doi:10.1002/rog.20010. 532 Mao, W.L., Meng, Y., Shen, G., Prakapenka, V.B., Campbell, A. J., Heinz, D. 533 L., Shu, J., Caracas, R., Cohen, R.E., Fei, Y., Hemley R. J., and Mao, H.-k. (2005),

16

2/19

534 Iron-rich silicates in the Earth's D" layer. Proceedings of the National Academy of 535 Science, 102, 9751-9753. 536 McCammon, C., Kantor, I., Narygina, O., Rouquette, J., Ponkratz, U., 537 Sergueev, I., Mezouar, M., Prakapenka, V. B., and Dubrovinsky, L. (2008), Stable 538 intermediate-spin ferrous iron in lower mantle perovskite, Nature Geoscience, 1, 684-539 687. 540 McCammon, C., Dubrovinsky, L., Narygina, O., Kantor, I., Wu, X., Glazyrin, K., Sergueev, I., and Chumakov, A. I. (2010), Low-spin Fe²⁺ in silicate perovskite 541 and a possible layer at the base of the lower mantle, Physics of the Earth and 542 543 Planetary Interiors, 180, 215–221. 544 Metsue, A., and Tsuchiya, T. (2012), Thermodynamic properties of (Mg,Fe²⁺)SiO₃ perovskite at the lower-mantle pressures and temperatures: An 545 546 internally consistent LSDA + U study, Geophysical Journal International, 190, 310-547 322. 548 Monkhorst, H. J., and Pack, J. D. (1976), Special points for Brillouin-zone 549 integrations. Physical Review B, 13, 5188-5192. Ohishi, Y., Hirao, N., Sata, N., Hirose, K., and Takata, M. (2008), Highly 550 551 intense monochromatic X-ray diffraction facility for high-pressure research at SPring-552 8, High Pressure Research, 28, 163-173. 553 Ozawa, H., Hirose, K., Ohta, K., Ishii, H., Hiraoka, N., Ohishi, Y., Seto, Y. 554 (2011), Spin crossover, structural change, and metallization in NiAs-type FeO at high 555 pressure, Physical Review B, 84, 134417. 556 Seto, Y., Nishio-Hamane, D., Nagai, T., and Sata, N. (2010), Development of 557 a software suite on X-ray diffraction experiments, The Review of High Pressure 558 Science and Technology, 20, 269-276. 559 Sinmyo, R., and Hirose, K. (2010), The Soret diffusion in laser-heated 560 diamond-anvil cell, Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 180, 172-178. 561 Stackhouse, S., Brodholt, J. P., and Price, D. G. (2007), Electronic spin 562 transitions in iron-bearing MgSiO₃ perovskite, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 563 253, 282-290. 564 Tateno, S., Hirose, K., Sata, N., and Ohishi, Y. (2007), Solubility of FeO in 565 (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ perovskite and the post-perovskite phase transition, Physics of the Earth 566 and Planetary Interiors, 160, 319-325.

567 Torrent, M., Jollet, F., Bottin, F., Zerah G., and Gonze, X. (2008), 568 Implementation of the projector augmented-wave method in the ABINIT code: 569 Application to the study of iron under pressure, Computational Material Science, 42, 570 337-351. 571 Tsuchiya, T. (2003), First-principles prediction of P-V-T equation of state of 572 gold and the 660-km discontinuity in Earth's mantle, Journal of Geophysical 573 Research, 108, 2462, DOI:10.1029/2003JB002446. 574 Tsuchiya, T., Wentzcovitch, R. M., da Silva, C. R. S., de Gironcoli, S. (2006) 575 Spin transition in magnesiowuestite in Earth's lower mantle. Physical Review Letters 576 96, 198501. 577 Umemoto, K., Wentzcovitch, R. M., Yu, Y. G., and Requist, R. (2008), Spin 578 transition in (Mg,Fe)SiO₃ perovskite under pressure, Earth and Planetary Science 579 Letters, 276, 198-206. 580 Umemoto, K., Hsu, H. and Wentzcovitch, R. M. (2010), Effect of site 581 degeneracies on the spin crossovers in (Mg, Fe)SiO₃ perovskite, Physics of the Earth 582 and Planetary Interiors 180, 209-214. 583 Wolf, A. S., Caracas, R., and Asimow, P.D. (2008) Thermodynamic Phase 584 Relations in the MgO-FeO-SiO₂ System in the Lower Mantle, Eos Trans. AGU, 585 89(53), Fall Meet. Suppl., Abstract MR42a-03. 586 587 588

589 Figure 1. Theoretical X-ray diffraction patterns for the (Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5})SiO₃ 590 perovskite in high- (a) and low- (b) spin configurations. The symmetry breaking that 591 is associated to the spin transition induces changes in the diffraction pattern, like 592 appearance of new peaks, shoulders and peak broadening. Red arrows in (b) point to 593 such new peaks. (c) Room-temperature XRD patterns of Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}SiO₃ collected 594 after heating at 89 GPa. The diffraction peaks from Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}SiO₃ perovskite were 595 indexed on an orthorhombic Pbnm cell. Pv, perovskite; Au, gold. In situ experimental 596 diffraction patterns show clearly the occurrence of such new peaks after the spin 597 transition. Red arrows in (c) point to the correspondence between the new diffraction 598 peaks predicted by calculations and the experimental peaks, which cannot be indexed 599 using a standard orthorhombic structure for perovskite: solid and dashed lines 600 correspond to certain and less certain correspondence. Theoretical diffraction patterns 601 are obtained using the experimental lattice parameters, and theoretical reduced atomic 602 positions, to account for the symmetry breaking. This minimizes the peak position 603 mismatch due to the standard discrepancies between DFT and experimental volumes 604 and pressures.

605

606 Figure 2. Correspondence between the theoretical frequencies of the vibrational mode 607 in zone center for pure FeSiO₃ perovskite (a) and for (Mg_{0.75}Fe_{0.25})SiO₃ perovskite 608 (b), computed at several pressures. The frequencies in the low-spin are on the 609 horizontal axis and in the high-spin are on the vertical axis. If there were no changes 610 due to the spin transition all the points would fall on the black lines, which is clearly 611 not the case. The inset in panel (b) shows the pressure (horizontal axis in GPa) dependence of the frequencies (vertical axis in wavenumbers in cm⁻¹) of several 612 613 modes in both the high-spin (solid line) and the low-spin (dashed line) phases. Their 614 derivatives, i.e. the Grüneisen parameters, are clearly different between the two spin 615 states.

616

Figure 3. (a) Evolution of X-ray emission spectra of Mg_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}SiO₃ perovskite (solid squares, run #2; open squares, run #3). Measurements were conducted at 300 K. All spectra are normalized to transmitted intensity, and shifted so that the weighted average of main ($K\beta$) plus satellite ($K\beta$ ²) emission lines is set to 7058 eV. X-ray emission spectrum of FeO at 146 GPa is also shown as a reference of Fe²⁺ in low-spin state (Ozawa et al. 2011). (b) Normalized intensity of the $K\beta$ ² peak as a function of

- 623 pressure; same symbols as (a). The intensity of the satellite peak was obtained by
- 624 subtracting each spectrum from the reference spectrum shown in (a). The intensity of
- 625 the $K\beta^{2}$ peak is proportional to the relative abundance of the high-spin and low-spin
- 626 species (Badro et al. 2004). The intensity of the satellite Fe $K\beta$ peak clearly
- 627 diminishes with pressure, but fails to disappear completely, suggesting that some
- 628 residual iron did not yet undergo the spin transition.







