1	<b>REVISED VERSION 1</b>
2	(#4593R)
3	CORRECTION (July 16 <sup>th</sup> , 2013)
4	
5	Perbøeite-(Ce) and alnaperbøeite-(Ce), two new members of the epidote -
6	törnebohmite polysomatic series: chemistry, structure, dehydrogenation
7	and clue for a sodian epidote end-member
8	
9	PAOLA BONAZZI, <sup>1,*</sup> GIOVANNI O. LEPORE, <sup>1</sup> LUCA BINDI, <sup>1</sup> CHRISTIAN CHOPIN, <sup>2</sup>
10	TOMAS A. HUSDAL <sup>3</sup> and OLAF MEDENBACH <sup>4</sup>
11	
12	<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università di Firenze, Via La Pira 4, I-50121 Florence, Italy
13	<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Géologie, Ecole normale supérieure - CNRS, 24 rue Lhomond, F-75005 Paris, France
14	<sup>3</sup> Veslefrikk 4, N-8028 Bodø, Norway
15	<sup>4</sup> Institut für Geologie, Mineralogie und Geophysik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany
16	
17	Abstract
18	In yttrian fluorite from pegmatites of the Tysfjord granite, Norway, grayish green to
19	very pale green gatelite-like crystals occur along with millimeter-size aggregates of Y-
20	silicates as a late primary phase; they are associated with allanite-(Ce), bastnäsite-(Ce) and
21	intimately inter- or overgrown by törnebohmite-(Ce). Sub- to euhedral crystals, up to 400 µm
22	in size, are chemically zoned between two near-end-member compositions that imply the
23	existence of two new members of the polysomatic gatelite group – in which ET polysomes are
24	composed of $E$ modules with epidote-type structure alternating with $T$ modules of

$\mathbf{a}$
_

25	törnebohmite-type structure. The two new minerals form a continuous solid-solution series,
26	along which two crystals of intermediate compositions served for species definition. Their
27	electron-microprobe analyses yield the empirical formulae
28	$(Ca_{1.00}Mn_{0.03}Na_{0.08}La_{0.51}Ce_{1.30}Pr_{0.16}Nd_{0.62}Sm_{0.10}Gd_{0.06}Dy_{0.03}Er_{0.01}Y_{0.06}Th_{0.01})_{\Sigma 3.97}$
29	$(Al_{3.21}Fe^{2+}_{0.79})_{\Sigma 4.00}Si_{5.01}O_{20}(OH)_2$ for perbøeite-(Ce) [IMA 2011-55] and
30	$(Ca_{1.10}Mn_{0.03}Na_{0.20}La_{0.42}Ce_{1.14}Pr_{0.16}Nd_{0.60}Sm_{0.13}Gd_{0.07}Dy_{0.03}Er_{0.01}Yb_{0.01}Y_{0.12}Th_{0.02})_{\Sigma 4.04}$
31	$(Al_{3.54}Fe^{2+}_{0.40}Mg_{0.02})_{\Sigma 3.96}Si_{4.99}O_{20}(OH)_2$ for alnaperbøeite-(Ce) [IMA 2012-54]. The respective
32	end-member formulae are ${}^{A}(Ce_{3}Ca)^{M}(Al_{3}Fe^{2+})Si_{2}O_{7}(SiO_{4})_{3}O(OH)_{2}$ , which requires $Ce_{2}O_{3}$
33	45.10, CaO 5.14, FeO 6.58, $Al_2O_3$ 14.01, $SiO_2$ 27.52, $H_2O$ 1.65, total 100.00 wt%; and
34	$^{A}(Ce_{2.5}CaNa_{0.5})^{M}(Al_{4})Si_{2}O_{7}(SiO_{4})_{3}O(OH)_{2}$ , which requires Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 40.86, CaO 5.58, Na <sub>2</sub> O 1.54,
35	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 20.31, SiO <sub>2</sub> 29.92, H <sub>2</sub> O 1.79, total 100.00 wt%. Cell parameters of perbøeite-(Ce) and
36	alnaperbøeite-(Ce) for these crystals are a 8.9277(6) and 8.9110(4), b 5.6548(6) and
37	5.6866(2), c 17.587(1) and 17.5252(7) Å, $\beta$ 116.475(8) and 116.300(5)°, V 794.8(1) and
38	796.13(7) Å <sup>3</sup> , respectively. Members of the perbøeite-(Ce)-alnaperbøeite-(Ce) solid solution
39	are topologically identical to the minerals gatelite-(Ce) and västmanlandite-(Ce). Structural
40	data (space group $P2_1/m$ ) were obtained for the holotype crystals and for a number of crystals
41	with intermediate composition. Structural refinements of a crystal annealed step-wise in air
42	confirm that most of Fe in M3 is divalent before heating and show that
43	oxidation/dehydrogenation takes place mostly in the $E$ module (M3 and H1). Perbøeite-(Ce)
44	derives from gatelite-(Ce) by the homovalent substitution [ $^{M3}Fe^{2+} \rightarrow {}^{M3}Mg$ ]. Alnaperbøeite-
45	(Ce) derives from perbøeite-(Ce) or gatelite-(Ce) by the coupled heterovalent substitutions
46	$[^{A}Na^{+} + {}^{M3}2Al^{3+} \rightarrow {}^{A}REE + {}^{M3}2(Fe^{2+} \text{ or } Mg)].$
47	Törnebohmite-(Ce) associated with alnaperbøeite-(Ce) is Na-free whereas coexisting

allanite is Na-bearing and shows the same coupled substitution between A and M sites as the one relating perbøeite-(Ce) and alnaperbøeite-(Ce) (Na<sub>0.5</sub>Al  $\leftrightarrow$  REE<sub>0.5</sub>Fe<sup>2+</sup>). This could

50	suggest, although crystallographic evidence is inconclusive, that Na incorporation in the ET
51	polysome occurs in the $E$ module alone (A2 or A1 sites), leading to the sodian $E$ end-member
52	$^{A}$ (CaREE <sub>0.5</sub> Na <sub>0.5</sub> ) $^{M}$ (Al <sub>3</sub> )Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> (SiO <sub>4</sub> )O(OH). In any event, this new epidote end-member is
53	needed to account for up to ca. 10 mol. % of the composition of allanite-group minerals, in
54	which Na <sub>2</sub> O contents may reach 0.3 wt%. Sodium has to be sought in epidote-supergroup and
55	gatelite-group minerals.
56	Keywords: new mineral, perbøeite-(Ce), alnaperbøeite-(Ce), Tysfjord granite (Norway),
57	polysomatic series, epidote supergroup, crystal structure, chemical composition.
58	
59	INTRODUCTION
60	Minerals belonging to the gatelite group, i.e. gatelite-(Ce) (Bonazzi et al. 2003) and
61	västmanlandite-(Ce) (Holtstam et al. 2005), can be regarded as iso-topological ET type
62	polysomes within a polysomatic series having epidote and törnebohmite as end-members.
63	Their structure (Figure 1) is a regular alternating stacking of (001) slabs of epidote-type
64	structure ( <i>E</i> ) and ( $\overline{1}02$ ) slabs of törnebohmite-type structure ( <i>T</i> ) (Shen and Moore 1982). The
65	T modules in both minerals have the same composition as the mineral törnebohmite,
66	$(Ce,La)_2Al[SiO_4]_2(OH)$ , whereas the chemical variability of the <i>E</i> module characterizes the
67	mineral, even if all the $E$ modules known so far belong to the allanite group. Specifically, the
68	E module is of dissakisite-(Ce) composition, CaCeAl <sub>2</sub> MgSi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> (SiO <sub>4</sub> )O(OH) (Grew et al.
69	1991; Rouse and Peacor 1993) in gatelite-(Ce) and of dollaseite-(Ce) composition,
70	CaCeAlMg <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> (SiO <sub>4</sub> )F(OH) (Peacor and Dunn 1988) in västmanlandite-(Ce). Moreover,
71	the gatelite-like minerals from the Bergslagen mining region of south-central Sweden
72	characterized by Holtstam and Andersson (2007) can be essentially described as members of
73	the solid-solution between västmanlandite-(Ce) and an unnamed mineral having the E module

of ferriallanite-(Ce) composition, CaCeFe<sup>3+</sup>AlFe<sup>2+</sup>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>(SiO<sub>4</sub>)O(OH) (Kartashov et al. 2002;
Kolitsch et al. 2012).

76 The recent finding of two new ET type polysomes extending the range of known 77 compositions led to the definition of two new species, perbøeite-(Ce) and alnaperbøeite-(Ce), 78 approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification, IMA (2011-79 055 and 2012-054, respectively). Their definition is based on the analytical evidence of a 80 continuous solid solution between two near-end-member compositions of new ET polysomes, 81 and on the structure refinement of crystals of intermediate compositions, by lack of 82 homogeneous crystals of near-end-member composition. In addition, the analysis of the 83 structural variations observed on a crystal heated in air offers the opportunity to explore 84 possible differences in response to the induced oxidation-dehydrogenation reaction within E 85 and T modules.

Holotype material is deposited in the collections of the Museo di Storia Naturale,
Sezione di Mineralogia e Litologia, Università di Firenze, Via La Pira 4, I-50121, Firenze,
Italy, under catalogue number 3110/I [perbøeite-(Ce)] and 3114/I [alnaperbøeite-(Ce)].

89

90

### GEOLOGICAL SETTING, OCCURRENCE AND PARAGENESIS

91 Hundholmen, Stetind and Nedre Eivollen pegmatites belong to a series of quartz-92 microcline pegmatites of the niobium-yttrium-fluorine family found in the Tysfjord granite 93 (Norway), a ca.  $1742 \pm 46$  Ma old granitic gneiss deformed during the Caledonian orogeny 94 (Andresen and Tull 1986). Main accessory minerals in these pegmatites include allanite-(Ce), 95 fergusonite-(Y), columbite-(Fe), beryl, various sulfides (pyrite, pyrrhotite, arsenopyrite) and 96 fluorite, particularly an yttrium-rich variety, yttrian fluorite, with abundant inclusions of 97 various REE-minerals (Husdal 2008). The new species hundholmenite-(Y) (Raade et al. 98 2007), stetindite (Schlüter et al. 2009), fluorbritholite-(Y) (Pekov et al. 2011), atelisite-(Y)

(Malcherek et al. 2012), bastnäsite-(Nd) (IMA 2011-062), cayalsite-(Y) (IMA 2011-094) and

schlüterite-(Y) (IMA 2012-015) were found in yttrian fluorite masses.
Both perbøeite-(Ce) and alnaperbøeite-(Ce) occur as isolated or loosely aggregated, subto euhedral crystals, up to 400 µm in size within white yttrian fluorite. Crystals have a stout
prismatic morphology elongated along [010]. Perbøeite-(Ce) and alnaperbøeite-(Ce) appear
very similar to each other: they are transparent with vitreous lustre and their color ranges from
pale greyish green [perbøeite-(Ce)] to very pale green [alnaperbøeite-(Ce)].
At Hundholmen [type-locality for perbøeite-(Ce)] and Stetind [type-locality for

99

107 alnaperbøeite-(Ce)] these minerals [and törnebohmite-(Ce)] occur both as inclusions in the 108 fluorite groundmass and, along with some isolated crystals of allanite-(Ce) and bastnäsite-(Ce), 109 within millimeter-size aggregates of one or several Y-silicates [fluorthalénite-(Y)/thalénite-(Y), 110 kuliokite-(Y), hundholmenite-(Y)], also included in yttrian fluorite (Fig. S1 in Supplementary 111 Materials). In the Hundholmen material pyrite was also observed as accessory mineral; in the 112 Stetind material, vyuntspakhkite-(Y) and thorite with zircon inclusions. Material from Nedre 113 Eivollen shows a somewhat different texture, in which subhedral perbøeite-(Ce)/alnaperbøeite-114 (Ce) associated with thalénite-(Y)/fluorthalénite-(Y) [and minor allanite-(Ce), törnebohmite-115 (Ce), bastnäsite-(Ce) and fluorbritholite-(Y)] fill or line millimeter-size interstices and voids in 116 the fluorite groundmass [with bastnäsite-(Ce) and fluocerite-(Ce) inclusions], the remaining 117 void spaces being ultimately filled by massive albite. Perbøeite-(Ce)/alnaperbøeite-(Ce) 118 therefore appear as late primary minerals in the pegmatite evolution. They are relatively abundant in the material studied, whereas allanite-(Ce), although commonly reported there, is 119 120 subordinate.

At the three localities, both new minerals are consistently intergrown with and/or overgrown by lamellae of törnebohmite-(Ce), or exhibit minute irregular intergrowths with törnebohmite-(Ce) (Fig. 2). As also revealed by high-contrast back-scattered electron imaging

of polished sections, especially in the Stetind material, crystals are more or less concentrically
zoned, with lower-mass cores and higher-mass rims, overgrowths and fillings of cracks or of
dissolution embayments in the crystal (Fig. S2 and S3 in Supplementary Materials).

127

128

### EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

# 129 X-ray data collection

130 Several single crystals were mounted on a CCD-equipped Oxford Diffraction 131 Excalibur 3 diffractometer. The unit-cell dimensions of twelve examined crystals are in the 132 range a = 8.90-8.95, b = 5.65-5.69, c = 17.50-17.65 Å,  $\beta = 116.2-116.7^{\circ}$  (Table 1), without 133 any evidence of doubling of the translation unit along the **a**-axis. Although the crystals were 134 examined with long exposure times, neither superstructure reflections such as in gatelite-(Ce) 135 nor the weak, continuous streaking as in västmanlandite-(Ce) were detected. One crystal 136 (ST2 02) was annealed for 48 h at selected temperatures ranging from 350 to 750 °C in a 137 Carbolite CWF1200 furnace allowing the crystal to cool slowly in the furnace down to room 138 temperature. Intensity data for natural and heat-treated crystals were collected with an Oxford 139 Diffraction X calibur 3 diffractometer with a monochromatized MoK $\alpha$  X-radiation, equipped 140 with a Sapphire 2 CCD detector (see Table 1 for details). Intensity integration and standard 141 Lorentz-polarization correction were performed with the CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction 142 2006) software package. The program ABSPACK in CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction 143 2006) was used for the absorption correction.

144

# 145 **Structure refinement**

Given the absence of superstructure *hkl* reflections with h = 2n + 1, like in the case of västmanlandite-(Ce) the structure was refined in the *P*2<sub>1</sub>/*m* space group, instead of *P*2<sub>1</sub>/*a* like in gatelite-(Ce). The atomic parameters of gatelite-(Ce) (transformed in the *P*2<sub>1</sub>/*m* setting)

149 were used as starting model. The full-matrix least-squares program SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick 150 2008) was used for the refinement of the structure. One oxygen atom (O15) was found to be 151 located out of the mirror plane (O15-O15 in the range 0.72 - 0.80 Å) and partially occupied 152 (50%). In most cases three-dimensional difference Fourier synthesis yielded the position of two H atoms, close to O11 (H1) and O10 (H2). Nonetheless, in most of the investigated 153 154 crystals the diffraction quality allowed the refinement of the atomic parameters of H1 alone. 155 The site population of all the metal positions was allowed to vary using different couples of scattering factors for ionized species as follows:  $Ca^{2+}$  vs.  $Ce^{3+}$  (A sites),  $Al^{3+}$  vs. 156  $Fe^{3+}$  (M1 and M2),  $Al^{3+}$  vs.  $Fe^{2+}$  (M3). Both scattering curves and  $\Delta f'$ ,  $\Delta f''$  coefficients were 157 158 taken from the International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, volume C (Wilson and Prince 159 1999). Details of the anisotropic refinements are summarized in Table 1.

In analogy with the epidote-supergroup<sup>1</sup> members, M3 is the key-site to discriminate a new mineral species (Armbruster et al. 2006) in gatelite-like minerals. Two of the studied crystals, one showing aluminum dominance (ST4\_11, M3 =  $Al_{0.60}Fe_{0.40}$ ) and one iron dominance (HU\_02, M3 =  $Fe_{0.60}Al_{0.40}$ ), were thus selected to characterize the two new mineral species alnaperbøeite-(Ce) and perbøeite-(Ce).

Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic-displacement parameters of ST4\_11 and HU\_02 are reported in Table 2. Table  $3^2$  reports the atom coordinates and the ADPs of the other studied crystals. Table  $4^2$  lists the observed and calculated structure factors for all the investigated crystals.

169 The X-ray powder diffraction pattern was collected with an automated Philips PV110 170 diffractometer using Ni-filtered Cu $K\alpha$  radiation by selecting greyish green crystals from 171 sample ST2 in which perbøeite-(Ce) prevails on alnaperbøeite-(Ce). However, the unit cell

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to the recent standardization of mineral group hierarchies (Mills et al. 2009), the terms 'group' and 'subgroup' used by Armbruster et al. (2006) for the epidote nomenclature have been changed to 'supergroup' and 'group', respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Tables 3 and 4 are deposited...

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4593

9/11

8

172	obtained by least-squares refinement of 25 measured reflections [ $a = 8.9120(7)$ , $b = 5.6691(3)$ ,
173	$c = 17.473(1)$ Å, $\beta = 116.147(6)^{\circ}$ , $V = 792.45(8)$ Å <sup>3</sup> ] and a comparison with calculated X-ray
174	powder patterns (Table 5) reveals a likely admixture with Al-rich grains.

175

# 176 Chemical analyses

177 The two holotype crystals and the crystal ST2 02 were embedded in epoxy and 178 polished for electron microprobe analysis (EMPA). Additional EMPA data for alnaperbøeite-179 (Ce) and perbøeite-(Ce) solid solution and coexisting minerals were obtained on polished rock 180 samples from Stetind (ST2 and ST4) and Nedre Eivollen (NE) and on a minute fragment from 181 Hundholmen. Analyses were performed using Cameca SX100 and SXFive electron 182 microprobes at Centre Camparis, Paris, in wavelength-dispersive mode at 15 kV, 15 nA beam 183 current, 2 µm beam diameter, 5 to 30 s counting time on both peak and background, using the 184 following standards: apatite (F and P K $\alpha$ ), albite (NaK $\alpha$ ), diopside (Mg, Si and Ca K $\alpha$ ), 185 orthoclase (Al and K K $\alpha$ ), MnTiO<sub>3</sub> (Ti and Mn K $\alpha$ ), hematite (FeK $\alpha$ ), SrSiO<sub>3</sub> (SrL $\alpha$ ), BaSO<sub>4</sub> 186 (BaLa), monazite (CeLa, ThMa), La<sub>3</sub>ReO<sub>8</sub> (LaLa), NdCu (NdL $\beta$ ), Sm<sub>3</sub>ReO<sub>8</sub> (SmL $\beta$ ) and 187 REE-bearing glasses (Pr, Gd, Dy, Ho and Er  $L\beta$ , Yb and Y  $L\alpha$ ), with due consideration of 188 possible interferences and  $\varphi pZ$  data reduction (PAP, Pouchou and Pichoir, 1984). Table 6 189 reports chemical analyses and atomic ratios for the three single crystals investigated. 190 Chemical analyses obtained along the solid-solution series on zoned crystals are listed in 191 Table 7<sup>3</sup> whereas Table 8 reports the analyses of coexisting minerals in Stetind (ST) and 192 Nedre Eivollen (NE). The relatively low analytical totals obtained for the REE-rich minerals 193 may reflect the difficulty in analyzing accurately all the REEs.

- 194
- 195

#### PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Table 7 is deposited...

This is a preprint, the final version is subject to change, of the American Mineralogist (MSA) Cite as Authors (Year) Title. American Mineralogist, in press. (DOI will not work until issue is live.) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4593

9/11

196	Both minerals are brittle, with a good {100} an imperfect {001} cleavage. Crystals are
197	elongated along $b$ , with the main forms {101}, {100} and {001}. They are transparent, biaxial,
198	optically positive with pleochroism from absent in alnaperbøeite-(Ce) to very weak in
199	perbøeite-(Ce) (Table 9). There is no evidence of metamictization. Density could not be
200	measured due to the zoned nature of the crystals and to the presence of lamellae of
201	törnebohmite-(Ce) within them. The calculated values of density, obtained using the empirical
202	formulae of single crystals used for the structural study, and the mean refractive indices,
203	calculated according to the Gladstone-Dale relationship (Mandarino 1976), are reported in
204	Table 9.
205	
206	MINERAL CHEMISTRY
207	Major elements in the <i>E</i> , <i>T</i> and <i>ET</i> phases
208	There is no P and Pb, virtually no F, Ti, Sr, Ba and U in the coexisting E, T and ET
209	compounds. Mg is minor in allanite, even more so in perbøeite-(Ce), and absent in
210	törnebohmite-(Ce), showing a partitioning similar to Fe among these phases. The situation is
211	different for Mn, which is substantial only in some allanite-(Ce) crystals whereas it is minor
212	to trace in gatelite-type phases and a trace in törnebohmite-(Ce). Its behavior is not related to
213	that of Fe. The significant presence of Na is a major new feature, with Na <sub>2</sub> O contents
214	increasing up to 0.84 wt% from perbøeite-(Ce) to alnaperbøeite-(Ce), variable in allanite-(Ce)
215	(up to 0.29 wt%), and as traces in törnebohmite-(Ce). Light REE are dominant over heavy
216	ones in all four phases, but clearly less so in tornebohmite-(Ce), and marginally less in
217	alnaperbøeite-(Ce) than in perbøeite-(Ce). Yttrium is present in the four phases, with average
218	oxide contents of 0.3 wt% in allanite-(Ce), 2.2 wt% in törnebohmite-(Ce) and, in the ET
219	phases, $Y_2O_3$ contents ranging between 0.3 and ca. 1.0 wt% in perbøeite-(Ce) and ca. 1.0 to
220	2.0 wt% in alnaperbøeite-(Ce), grossly correlated with Al and Na contents. Thorium is minor

to trace: very low in allanite-(Ce), variable (up to 0.5 wt% ThO<sub>2</sub>) in törnebohmite-(Ce) and,
although with much scatter, clearly increasing up to this value from perbøeite-(Ce) to
alnaperbøeite-(Ce).

224 On the basis of crystallographic knowledge, structural formulae were first tentatively 225 calculated on the same basis of nine tetrahedral + octahedral cations (Si+P+Al+Ti+Fe+Mg) 226 for all the phases of the polysomatic series, i.e. allanite-(Ce), törnebohmite-(Ce) and 227 perbøeite-(Ce)/alnaperbøeite-(Ce) (Table 7). The advantages are i) to spread the analytical 228 uncertainty on several elements rather than tying it to Si alone, ii) there is no assumption on 229 Fe and Mn valence states nor on the A-site occupancy, iii) if some REEs are not analyzed, the 230 results for the other elements are unaffected. The only assumption made is that Mn and Y are 231 assigned to the A sites.

232 This preliminary calculation showed that, for the simpler compound tornebohmite-(Ce), the mean and standard deviation values were Si = 5.99(2) and Al = 3.00(2) atoms per 233 234 chosen formula unit (apfu) for all the analyses (n = 8). Likewise, for all perbecite-235 (Ce)/alnaperbøeite-(Ce) analyses (n = 54), Si = 5.00(5) apfu and the sum of M and A cations 236  $[\Sigma(M+A)]$  was 7.96(8) apfu. This indicated the reliability of Si and Al analysis and that there 237 is very little A vacancy, if any, in the new gatelite-type phases (see below). The case of 238 allanite was more subtle, with a mean Si = 4.55(3) instead of the ideal 4.50 atoms for this 239 calculation basis. Scrutiny of analyses showed that the Si excess was directly linked to high 240 Mn values. Therefore, the assumption of all Mn in the A site does not hold for these allanites, 241 and the allanite formulae were recalculated on a Si = 3 basis, yielding a mean  $[\Sigma(M+A)]$  = 242 4.98(3) apfu for all allanite analyses (n = 10), with on average one third of the total Mn 243 content in octahedral position.

Considering that REEs are difficult to analyze and that some were not analyzed, the question arises as to whether the slight deficit in A+M cations is real. Indeed, such a deficit

246	increases from allanite-(Ce) (0.4%) to gatelite-type (0.5%) to törnebohmite-(Ce) (0.9%), i.e.
247	as a function of the REE amounts in the mineral. The slightly low mean analytical totals [97.3,
248	95.9 and 95.3 wt% for allanite-(Ce), gatelite-type and törnebohmite-(Ce), respectively] may
249	hint to the same conclusion, namely that the slight deficit of A+M cations reflects an
250	analytical deficit in REE and is therefore essentially an apparent A-site deficit. This can also
251	account for the low mean refractive indices calculated on the basis of the analyses, as
252	compared to the measured one (Table 9).
253	On this firm ground, formulae were then calculated and are presented here on the basis
254	of O <sub>20</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> for gatelite-type phases, O <sub>12</sub> (OH) for allanite-(Ce) and O <sub>8</sub> (OH) for törnebohmite-
255	(Ce), assuming divalent iron and manganese. The dominantly divalent state of iron is
256	confirmed by crystallographic data and makes the presence of trivalent manganese unlikely.
257	

# 258 **Two new** *ET* phases

259 The empirical formulae calculated from the EMP analyses of the three single crystals

260 investigated (Table 6) are:

 $261 \qquad (Ca_{1.10}Mn_{0.03}Na_{0.20}La_{0.42}Ce_{1.14}Pr_{0.16}Nd_{0.60}Sm_{0.13}Gd_{0.07}Dy_{0.03}Er_{0.01}Yb_{0.01}Y_{0.12}Th_{0.02})_{\Sigma 4.04}$ 

262 
$$(Al_{3.54}Fe^{2+}_{0.40}Mg_{0.02})_{\Sigma 3.96}Si_{4.99}O_{20}(OH)_2$$
 for ST4\_11

 $263 \qquad (Ca_{1.00}Mn_{0.03}Na_{0.08}La_{0.51}Ce_{1.30}Pr_{0.16}Nd_{0.62}Sm_{0.10}Gd_{0.06}Dy_{0.03}Er_{0.01}Y_{0.06}Th_{0.01})_{\Sigma 3.97}$ 

264  $(Al_{3.21}Fe^{2+}_{0.79})_{\Sigma 4.00}Si_{5.01}O_{20}(OH)_2$  for HU\_02, and

 $265 \qquad (Ca_{1.01}Mn_{0.03}Na_{0.09}La_{0.46}Ce_{1.25}Pr_{0.16}Nd_{0.75}Sm_{0.13}Gd_{0.05}Dy_{0.01}Ho_{0.01}Er_{0.02}Y_{0.07}Th_{0.01})_{\Sigma4.05}$ 

266  $(Al_{3.13}Fe^{2+}_{0.79}Mg_{0.03})_{\Sigma 3.95}Si_{5.02}O_{20}(OH)_2$  for ST2\_02 (before heating).

267 The total electron number  $(e_{A}^{T} + e_{M}^{T})$  calculated on the basis of the chemical formulae

- 268 derived from microprobe data (239.5, 251.0 and 254.3 for ST4\_11, HU\_02 and ST2\_02,
- respectively) is in satisfactory accord with that obtained by the structure refinements (240.5,
- 270 253.6 and 252.2, respectively). In HU\_2 and ST2\_02, the M-sites cation content

271 (Al<sub>3.21</sub>Fe<sup>2+</sup><sub>0.79</sub> and Al<sub>3.13</sub>Fe<sup>2+</sup><sub>0.79</sub>Mg<sub>0.03</sub>, respectively) suggests Fe-dominance in M3, as 272 confirmed by the structure refinements, and, given the classical population of the A sites, 273 point to an *E* module dominantly of allanite-(Ce) composition. 274 This composition is new among the *ET* polysomes (cf. Introduction) and is the basis

This composition is new among the *ET* polysomes (cf. Introduction) and is the basis for the definition of the new species perbøeite-(Ce). The end-member formula of perbøeite-(Ce) is therefore  ${}^{A}(Ce_{3}Ca)^{M}(Al_{3}Fe^{2+})Si_{2}O_{7}(SiO_{4})_{3}O(OH)_{2}$ , which requires Ce<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 45.10, CaO 5.14, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 14.01, FeO 6.58, SiO<sub>2</sub> 27.52, H<sub>2</sub>O 1.65, total 100.00 wt%.

278 The analysis of ST4 11 implies Al dominance in all M sites, M3 included, therefore 279 pointing to a novel ET compound which, unlike all others, has a trivalent cation in M3. 280 Charge balance is achieved in the A sites by substitution of trivalent REEs by divalent Ca to 281 some extent but, essentially, by monovalent Na. The resulting composition is necessarily new 282 among the ET phases and thus the basis for the definition of the new species alnaperbeeite-(Ce). The end-member formula of alnaperbeeite-(Ce) is  $^{A}(Ce_{2.5}CaNa_{0.5})^{M}(Al_{4})$ 283 284 Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>(SiO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>O(OH)<sub>2</sub>, which requires Ce<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 40.86, CaO 5.58, Na<sub>2</sub>O 1.54, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 20.31, SiO<sub>2</sub> 285 29.92, H<sub>2</sub>O 1.79, total 100.00 wt%.

286

# 287 Compositional variations: a solid-solution series

288 A first-order feature is the continuity of the chemical trends between near-end-member 289 values as shown in Figure 3, where the calculated atomic contents are plotted as a function of 290 the number of Al atoms pfu, which varies between 3 [as in end-member perbøeite-(Ce)] and 4 291 [as in end-member alnaperbøeite-(Ce)]. Typical crystal-core compositions are near 3.8–3.7 Al 292 apfu in samples ST4 and NE and may grade within the same crystal to rim compositions of 293 3.2-3.1 Al, whereas they evolve from 3.4 (cores) to 3.0 Al (rims) in sample ST2. The 294 continuity across the series is evidence for a continuous solid solution between the two new 295 minerals, with a divide between the two species at the 50:50 boundary (i.e. 3.5 Al apfu) in the

296	case of a pure binary system. Figure 3 illustrates the main substitutions acting across the
297	series: with decreasing Al, a near 1/1 increase of Fe (substitution in M3), coupled to a clear
298	decrease of Na and increase of REEs (substitution in A sites) to reach an extreme composition
299	for $Al = 3$ that has nearly exact end-member perbøeite-(Ce) stoichiometry. For the more Al-
300	rich compositions the departure of Ca contents from unity (> 1) reveals that the analyzed solid
301	solution is not purely binary between the perbøeite-(Ce) and alnaperbøeite-(Ce) end-members,
302	but ternary with some contribution (about 10 mol%) of an ET polysome having clinozoisite as
303	<i>E</i> module.
304	The associated allanite crystals (Table 8a) reflect the compositional variations
305	observed in the ET phases between samples: they are more Al-, Ca- and Na-rich (up to 2.17
306	Al, 0.99 Ca and 0.05 Na apfu) and show no Fe <sup>3+</sup> in sample NE where alnaperbøeitic crystal
307	cores are common; they are more Fe- (0 to 17% trivalent, 7% on average) and REE-rich and
308	Al-, Ca- and Na-poor in sample ST2 (from a locality where hematite occurs), in which ET
309	crystals have only perbøeitic compositions. Obviously, the same coupled substitutions relating
310	perbøeite-(Ce) and alnaperbøeite-(Ce) are also acting in coexisting allanite, to an extent
311	discussed below in more detail.
312	In contrast, associated törnebohmite-(Ce) appears, with a nearly constant Si/Al ratio

and at most 0.25 wt% CaO and 0.56 wt% ThO<sub>2</sub>, as the least variable in composition among the *E*, *T* and *ET* compounds, the only variations being among the REE (with up to 2.76 wt%  $Y_2O_3$ ).

316

# 317 DESCRIPTION OF THE STRUCTURE AND CATION DISTRIBUTION

Members of the perbøeite-(Ce)-alnaperbøeite-(Ce) solid solution are topologically identical to the minerals gatelite-(Ce) and västmanlandite-(Ce). Their structure consists of edge-sharing octahedral chains running along the **b** axis, cross-linked to each other by three

321 non-equivalent SiO<sub>4</sub> isolated tetrahedra and one Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> group. The large cavities are occupied 322 by REE, Ca and Na with Ca dominant at the A1 site and REE dominant at A2, A3 and A4 323 (Fig. 4). Three independent octahedral sites are present in the structure: M1 octahedra form 324 branched chains with M3 octahedra alternately attached on opposite sides, whereas M2 325 octahedra form single chains. As in the case of gatelite-(Ce), the crystal-chemical details of 326 the octahedral framework closely resemble those of the homologous sites in the structure of 327 epidote-supergroup minerals, in particular in those belonging to the allanite group 328 (Armbruster et al. 2006), and törnebohmite-(Ce) (Shen and Moore 1982), respectively. The 329 M1 and M2 sites (<M1-O> = 1.924-1.930 Å and <M2-O> = 1.892-1.899 Å, Table 10) are 330 occupied mainly by Al, whereas the cation population at the M3 octahedron, the largest and 331 most distorted in the structure and the most suitable to incorporate divalent cations, 332 differentiates perbøeite-(Ce) from alnaperbøeite-(Ce). In particular, in HU 02 perbøeite-(Ce) crystal the  $\langle$ M3-O $\rangle$  distance (2.107 Å) is consistent with the dominance of Fe<sup>2+</sup> at this site, 333 whereas Al prevails over  $Fe^{2+}$  in ST4 11 alnaperbøeite-(Ce) crystal (<M3-O> = 2.051 Å). 334 Taking into account the refined site scattering (Table 10) and estimating the  $Fe^{3+}$  content on 335 336 the basis of pure <M3-O> distances derived from known interatomic distances for members of the epidote supergroup (<Al-O><sub>M3</sub> = 1.968 Å, <Fe<sup>3+</sup>-O><sub>M3</sub> = 2.055 Å, <Fe<sup>2+</sup>-O><sub>M3</sub> = 2.175 337 Å; Bonazzi and Menchetti 1995), a cation population can be estimated. In particular, M3 = 338  $0.64 \text{ Fe}^{2+} + 0.08 \text{ Fe}^{3+} + 0.28 \text{ Al and } \text{M3} = 0.60 \text{ Al} + 0.40 \text{ Fe}^{2+}$  for HU 02 and ST4 11. 339 respectively. This empirical method to estimate the M3 site population suggests the presence 340 of  $Fe^{3+}$  not only in HU 02 crystal but also in most of the investigated crystals wherein  $Fe^{3+}$ 341 342 ranges from 0.00 to 0.17 apfu (Table 10). Site-scattering refinement also indicates that small 343 amounts of Fe substitute for Al in M1 and M2 sites (up to 0.05 apfu in both sites), which, in 344 keeping with the crystal chemical requirements of the epidote-supergroup minerals, should be assumed as Fe<sup>3+</sup>. When normalized on the basis of  $\Sigma(M+T) = 9$  apfu, many of the chemical 345

analyses (Tables 6 and 7) would lead to sums of positive charges slightly higher than those corresponding to  $O_{20}(OH)_2$ . Thus, the hypothesized presence of Fe<sup>3+</sup> should involve in those cases a modest hydrogen deficiency.

349 Looking at the crystal chemical formulae of HU 02 and ST4 11 (Table 6) and taking 350 into consideration the chemical relationships along the alnaperbøeite-(Ce)-perbøeite-(Ce) 351 join (Fig. 3), it is evident that all the heterovalent substitutions leading to a decrease of 352 positive charge at the A sites, i.e. Na for Ca, or Na for REE or Ca for REE, involve a 353 decrement of the mean electron number at the A sites. Indeed, it ranges from 191.3 e<sup>-</sup> in 354 HU 02 to 182.5 e<sup>-</sup> in ST4 11 (Table 10). It is not possible, however, to determine with 355 certainty at which site Na is incorporated. Taking into account the crystal with the highest Na 356 content (ST4 11, 0.20 Na apfu), ordering of Na at one specific REE site (A2, A3 or A4) 357 would involve a mean electron number < 49 approximately, and can therefore be excluded on 358 the basis of the mean electron number of these sites (Fig. 5). The monotonous decrease of the 359 mean electron number observed as a function of the alnaperbøeitic component for A2, A3, 360 and A4 but not for A1 (Fig. 5, Table 10) could be interpreted as due to Na incorporation at 361 the A2, A3 and A4 sites, with Ca substituted by small, constant amounts of REE at A1 (22.2 362 e<sup>-</sup>). On the other hand, all Na could be ordered at A1, if a simultaneous entry of variable 363 amounts of REE (approximately 0.10 apfu in ST4 11) masked the effect of Na on the site 364 scattering. In this case, the replacement of Ca by an increasing (Na+REE) amount in A1 365 along the join alnaperbøeite-(Ce)-perbøeite-(Ce) would account for the decreasing site 366 scattering at A2, A3 and A4.

The Si1 and Si2 tetrahedra, linked together to form a  $Si_2O_7$  group, as well as the Si3 tetrahedron, are quite similar to the corresponding tetrahedra in epidotes (Franz and Liebscher 2004 and references therein); likewise, the Si4 and Si5 tetrahedra can be compared to the homologue ones in törnebohmite-(Ce). We can therefore reasonably assume that no

371	substitution of Si by other cations occurs at these sites, as also implied by the mean number of
372	5.00(5) Si apfu for the 54 EMP analyses of the series.
373	As expected on the basis of the close structural relationship with gatelite-(Ce)
374	(Bonazzi et al. 2003) and västmanlandite-(Ce) (Holtstam et al. 2005), the two O-O contacts
375	suitable for H-bonding (not polyhedral edges) are O11-O4 (2.939-2.990 Å) within the E
376	module and O10-O15 (2.642-2.689 Å) within the T module, with O11 and O10 as donor
377	oxygens.
378	
379	STRUCTURE AFTER HEAT TREATMENTS
380	All geometrical and structural variations induced by heating the crystal of perbøeite-
381	(Ce) in air indicate the development of an oxidation-dehydrogenation process, quite similar to
382	that observed in allanite-group minerals (Bonazzi and Menchetti 1994; Bonazzi et al. 2009).
383	As made evident by the shortening of the mean <m3-o> distance, the divalent iron ordered at</m3-o>
384	the M3 octahedron oxidizes and the charge balance is maintained by concomitant H loss
385	according to the reaction: ${}^{M3}[Fe^{2+}] + {}^{O10,O11}[OH^-] \rightarrow {}^{M3}[Fe^{3+}] + {}^{O10,O11}[O^{2-}] + {}^{1}_{2}H_2$ . After the
386	annealing at 450 °C the <m3-o> distance approaches the values corresponding to those due</m3-o>
387	to a site population of Fe <sup>3+</sup> -Al alone (dotted line in Figure 6) thus indicating that the process is
388	almost complete at that temperature. As the divalent iron decreases, the M3 octahedron
389	becomes more regular [ $\lambda$ values, calculated according to Robinson et al. (1971), decreasing
390	from 1.037 to 1.025].
391	The loss of H compensating the oxidation of $Fe^{2+}$ is indicated by the variation of the
392	donor-acceptor distances (Table 10). In particular O11-O4 increases from 2.954(6) to
393	3.134(6) Å while O10-O15 increases more gradually, from 2.642(6) to 2.752(7) Å, suggesting
394	loss of hydrogen mainly from the H1 position, i.e. in the <i>E</i> module.

dehydrogenation at H1 and H2 respectively is balanced mainly by a pronounced shortening of

395

396

The loss of positive charge on the donor oxygens (O11 and O10) due to partial

397	the A4-O11 [from 2.605(3) to 2.403(4) Å] and a moderate shortening of A2-O10 [from
398	2.674(3) to 2.588(4) Å] distances. The oxygen O11 also approaches M2 [M2-O11 decreasing
399	from 1.883(3) to 1.819(3) Å]. On the contrary, the M2-O10 bond distance, the shortest within
400	the M2 octahedron, does not change significantly with heating.
401	With regard to the acceptor oxygens (O4 and O15), the loss of positive charge on the
402	O4 oxygen is directly compensated by the oxidation of divalent iron at M3, as shown from the
403	trend of the M3-O4 distance, which decreases from 1.973(3) to 1.892(4) Å, and, to a lesser
404	extent, by the shortening of the M1-O4 distance [from 1.844(2) to 1.819(2) Å]; among the
405	bond distances involving O15, which links Si4 and two A3, the longest A3-O15 is the only
406	decreasing one [from 2.942(5) to 2.797(5) Å].
407	At the beginning of the heating process, the resulting empirical bond-valence sums on
408	O11 and O10 are 1.29 and 1.28 v.u., respectively, while sums on O4 and O15 are 1.60 and
409	1.54 v.u., in keeping with the expected hydrogen-bonding system in these minerals. As the
410	heating temperature increases, the total bond strength on the oxygen atoms involved in the
411	O11-H1O4 bond markedly increases, whereas only small variations are observed for O10-
412	H2O15 (Table 11), indicating severe dehydrogenation only at the H1 position. In particular,
413	by combining the increase of bond strength on donor with that of acceptor oxygen, the
414	estimated amount of hydrogen loss at H1 position is 0.63 apfu, while only 0.06 apfu appear to
415	be lost at H2 position. On the other hand, the total $Fe^{3+}$ in the crystal (estimated on the basis
416	of the octahedral distances) changes from 0.14 apfu in the untreated crystal to 0.76 apfu after
417	the annealing at 750°C. The hydrogen loss compensating for the $Fe^{2+}$ oxidation, therefore,

418 seems to occur mainly within the *E* module, leaving the *T* module substantially unaffected.

419	With regard to the unit-cell parameters, the behavior is quite similar to that observed
420	in heated REE-bearing epidote-supergroup minerals (Bonazzi and Menchetti, 1994; Bonazzi
421	et al. 2009). The contraction related to $Fe^{2+} \rightarrow Fe^{3+}$ oxidation results in a decrease of the
422	$ab\sin\beta$ parameter, whereas the relaxation of the O11-H1O4 bond, which is approximately
423	directed along [001], weakens the link between the M2 and the M1+M3 octahedral chains and
424	results in a lengthening of $c$ (Figure 7).
425	
426	COMPARISON WITH RELATED STRUCTURES
427	Perbøeite-(Ce) derives from gatelite-(Ce) by the homovalent substitution [ $^{M3}Fe^{2+} \rightarrow$
428	<sup>M3</sup> Mg]. Alnaperbøeite-(Ce) derives from perbøeite-(Ce) or gatelite-(Ce) by the coupled
429	heterovalent substitutions $0.5[{}^{A}Na^{+} \rightarrow {}^{A}REE^{3+}]$ and $[{}^{M3}Al^{3+} \rightarrow {}^{M3}(Fe^{2+} \text{ or } Mg)]$ .
430	As concerns the unit-cell dimensions, alnaperbøeite-(Ce) and perbøeite-(Ce), as well
431	as västmanlandite-(Ce), differ from gatelite-(Ce) for the lack of doubling of the translation
432	unit along the <b>a</b> axis. The doubling of the translation unit along the <b>a</b> axis in gatelite-(Ce),
433	where $a_{gat.} \sim 2a_{epi.} \sim [201]_{tor.}$ , appears related to a small offset away from the (010) plane at y
434	= $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ , which is a mirror in the epidote-supergroup minerals; as a consequence, the space
435	group is $P2_1/a$ instead of $P2_1/m$ . Such symmetry deviations are indeed very slight, so that the
436	<i>hkl</i> reflections with $h = 2n+1$ are relatively weak. In a quite similar way, törnebohmite-(Ce)
437	$(P2_1/c)$ slightly deviates from the $P2_1/m$ symmetry and exhibits weak <i>hkl</i> reflections with $l =$
438	2n+1 leading to a doubling of the <i>c</i> parameter (Shen and Moore 1982). In practice, the slight
439	puckering of atomic planes present in törnebohmite-(Ce) and absent in epidotes persists when
440	E and $T$ modules are combined together in the gatelite-(Ce) structure. The larger the offset
441	from the plane, or the higher the number of atoms deviating from the mirror symmetry, the
442	stronger are the superstructure reflections. Indeed, the weak, continuous streaking at $\mathbf{a}^*/2$
443	observed in västmanlandite-(Ce) (Holtstam et al. 2005) is due to the offset from the (010)

444 mirror plane of two sites (A3 and O15, occupied by REE and oxygen, respectively), whereas
445 in both alnaperbøeite-(Ce) and perbøeite-(Ce) only O15 exhibits an offset from the mirror
446 plane.

- 447
- 448

## NOMENCLATURE REMARKS

449 In all members of the epidote-törnebohmite polysomatic series known so far the 450 composition of the *E* module differentiates each member. For internal consistency, the same 451 nomenclature rules as for epidote-supergroup minerals (Armbruster et al. 2006) are applied. 452 Accordingly, the polysomes with E = dissakisite, dollaseite, allanite or a new Na-bearing REE 453 epidote-composition deserve four different root names. The name perbøeite-(Ce) is in honor 454 of Per Bøe (b. 1937), a Norwegian geologist and Curator at the Tromsø Museum, who 455 initiated the project that led to the discovery of this species; the name alnaperbøeite-(Ce) 456 emphasizes the chemical relationships with perbøeite-(Ce), the dominance of Al in the M3 457 site and the role of Na to charge balance the dominance of a trivalent cation in the M3 site of 458 the REE-epidote module.

459 In practice, the root name perbøeite should apply to any ET polysome with the Emodule deserving the root name allanite (key-sites  $M3 = Fe^{2+}$  and A1 = Ca). The root name 460 461 alnaperbound apply to any ET polysome composition in which i) trivalent cations are 462 dominant at the key-site M3 and aluminum is dominant among them and ii) the charge balance in A sites is dominantly achieved by substitution of Na for REE (rather than  $A^{2+}$  for 463 464 REE). The writing of 'alnaperbøeite' without hyphen is deliberate: 'al' and 'na' are not 465 intended as prefixes and, as for the epidote nomenclature, no prefix should be added to the 466 root name if M1 = Al. Otherwise a proper prefix referring to homovalent substitution in M1 467 should be added. Thus, the unnamed mineral from the Bergslagen region (Holtstam et al. 2007) having M3 =  $Fe^{2+}$  and M1 =  $Fe^{3+}$  and reported as  $Fe^{3+}$ -analogue of västmanlandite-(Ce) 468

469	in the list of unnamed minerals (UM2007-35, Smith and Nickel 2007) is actually the $Fe^{3+}$ -
470	analogue of perbøeite-(Ce) and should be named ferriperbøeite-(Ce). The same name should
471	be assigned to the mineral from eastern Siberia, Russia, described by Gurzhiy et al. (2010),
472	which also exhibits an <i>E</i> module of ferrial lanite-(Ce) composition.

- 473
- 474

## A THERMODYNAMIC PUZZLE

475 An intriguing point is the occurrence at the three localities of the constituting E and T476 compounds also as single-phase crystals, along with the polysomatic ET phase. The absence 477 of reaction textures among them raises the question of the stability of the ordered 1:1 478 polysome with respect to a mechanical mixture of E + T, whereby one would expect on 479 thermodynamic grounds the occurrence of either ET + E or ET + T, depending on bulk 480 chemistry (as commonly observed in the Bergslagen area for västmanlandite + dollaseite, Holtstam et al. 2005, and 'ferriperbøeite' + ferriallanite, Holtstam and Andersson 2007; but 481 482 unlike Trimouns where gatelite occurs along with dissakisite and törnebohmite, Bonazzi et al. 483 2003). The complex texture observed in some perbøeite crystals (Fig. 2) is reminiscent of an 484 exsolution texture, but allanite has not been confirmed in it. The question is of very general 485 bearing, namely that of the stability of any polysome with respect to the mechanical mixture 486 of the end members. The very same question is raised, for instance, by the coexistence of 487 clinochlore with talc in the presence of kulkeite, the ordered 1:1 chlorite-talc mixed-layer 488 (Schreyer et al. 1982), or the occurrence of paragonite with cookeite versus that of their 489 ordered 1:1 mixed-layer, saliotite (Goffé et al. 1994).

490

491

### IMPLICATIONS: A SODIAN EPIDOTE END-MEMBER

492 Solid solution towards the new alnaperbøeite-(Ce) *ET* polysome end-member 493  $^{A}(REE_{2.5}CaNa_{0.5})^{M}(Al_{4})Si_{2}O_{7}(SiO_{4})_{3}O(OH)_{2}$  accounts for most of the compositional variation

494 observed in crystals from Tysfjord granite pegmatites, as described above. Its very existence 495 raises the question of the role of sodium in the ET polysome, in the E module and thus in 496 epidote minerals in general, those of the allanite group in particular. Indeed, törnebohmite-497 (Ce) coexisting with alnaperbøeite-(Ce) is Na-free whereas coexisting allanite-(Ce) is Na-498 bearing and shows the same charge-compensating coupled substitutions between A and M sites as identified in almaperboeite-(Ce) (Na<sub>0.5</sub>Al  $\leftrightarrow$  REE<sub>0.5</sub>Fe<sup>2+</sup>), although to a much lesser 499 500 extent (10 mol%). It is therefore extremely tempting to assign Na incorporation to the E501 module alone, *i.e.* into the A1 or A2 sites, leading to the sodian E end-member  $^{A}$ (CaREE<sub>0.5</sub>Na<sub>0.5</sub>) $^{M}$ (Al<sub>3</sub>)Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>(SiO<sub>4</sub>)O(OH). As shown above, crystallographic evidence is 502 503 inconclusive as to Na site assignment, site scattering data allowing complete order of Na in 504 A1, or disorder over A2, A3 and A4, or any combination thereof. In the latter two cases, both 505 the *E* and *T* modules would be sodian and no longer be electrically neutral.

506 In any event, if one turns to the epidote-supergroup minerals, sodium is repeatedly 507 reported as a very minor constituent (e.g. Franz and Liebscher 2004) that may become 508 noteworthy only in REE-rich phases, essentially in the allanite group (survey by Gieré and 509 Sorensen 2004). The extreme Na<sub>2</sub>O values of 3.28 wt% (Coulson 1997), 1.38 wt% (Semenov 510 et al. 1978) and 0.5 wt% (Bea 1996) reported in allanite can be discarded as due to 511 contamination because the analyses cannot yield a plausible epidote formula. However, 512 values between 0.2 and 0.3 wt% as found here are not uncommon in irrefragable allanite 513 analyses (e.g. Bea 1996, for allanites in granitoid rocks). What is their meaning? The 0.14 to 514 0.21 wt% Na<sub>2</sub>O reported in dissakisite from UHP rocks (Yang and Enami 2003) may chargebalance the Ti contents (0.54 to 0.62 wt% TiO<sub>2</sub>), through the coupled substitution  $^{A}Na^{M}Ti \leftrightarrow$ 515 <sup>A</sup>Ca<sup>M</sup>Al as suggested by the authors, but as well ThO<sub>2</sub> contents (1.73 to 2.10 wt%) through 516 the coupled substitution NaTh  $\leftrightarrow$  2REE in the A sites. However, alternative substitutions are 517 possible and requested in the absence of Ti or Th. like  ${}^{A}Na^{M}Fe^{3+} \leftrightarrow {}^{A}Ca^{M}Fe^{2+}$  (Yang and 518

519	Enami 2003) or ${}^{A}Na^{M}Al \leftrightarrow {}^{A}Ca^{M}Fe^{2+}$ or ${}^{A}(NaREE) \leftrightarrow {}^{A}(2Ca)$ (Gieré and Sorensen 2004).
520	Actually, the combination of the latter two substitutions, i.e. $Na_{0.5}Al \leftrightarrow REE_{0.5}Fe^{2+}$ , is the one
521	that relates perbøeite-(Ce) to alnaperbøeite-(Ce) and which, in allanites, leads to the sodian
522	end-member identified above as a tentative E module, namely
523	$(CaREE_{0.5}Na_{0.5})Al_3Si_2O_7(SiO_4)O(OH)$ . This new epidote end-member is obviously needed to
524	account for the composition of allanite-group minerals (for up to ca. 10 mol%). This end-
525	member is also needed to account for the chemistry of the $E$ module of the new $ET$ solid-
526	solution series, even if it is still uncertain whether this electrically neutral formula reflects the
527	actual partitioning of Na among the A sites of the ET phase. Clearly, the presence of sodium
528	has to be carefully checked for a complete chemical characterization of the epidote-
529	supergroup and gatelite-group minerals.
530	
000	
531	Acknowledgments
531 532	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We thank members of the IMA-CNMNC for demanding and perceptive comments, as
<ul><li>531</li><li>532</li><li>533</li></ul>	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We thank members of the IMA-CNMNC for demanding and perceptive comments, as well as A. Liebscher and M. Nagashima for accurate reviews. This work was funded by
<ul> <li>531</li> <li>532</li> <li>533</li> <li>534</li> </ul>	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We thank members of the IMA-CNMNC for demanding and perceptive comments, as well as A. Liebscher and M. Nagashima for accurate reviews. This work was funded by MIUR (project PRIN 2009, "Struttura, microstruttura e proprietà dei minerali") and by the
<ul> <li>531</li> <li>532</li> <li>533</li> <li>534</li> <li>535</li> </ul>	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We thank members of the IMA-CNMNC for demanding and perceptive comments, as well as A. Liebscher and M. Nagashima for accurate reviews. This work was funded by MIUR (project PRIN 2009, "Struttura, microstruttura e proprietà dei minerali") and by the CNRS-INSU Programme DyETI. X-ray intensity data were collected at CRIST, Centro
<ul> <li>531</li> <li>532</li> <li>533</li> <li>534</li> <li>535</li> <li>536</li> </ul>	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We thank members of the IMA-CNMNC for demanding and perceptive comments, as well as A. Liebscher and M. Nagashima for accurate reviews. This work was funded by MIUR (project PRIN 2009, "Struttura, microstruttura e proprietà dei minerali") and by the CNRS-INSU Programme DyETI. X-ray intensity data were collected at CRIST, Centro Interdipartimentale di Cristallografia Strutturale, University of Florence, Italy.
<ul> <li>531</li> <li>532</li> <li>533</li> <li>534</li> <li>535</li> <li>536</li> <li>537</li> </ul>	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We thank members of the IMA-CNMNC for demanding and perceptive comments, as well as A. Liebscher and M. Nagashima for accurate reviews. This work was funded by MIUR (project PRIN 2009, "Struttura, microstruttura e proprietà dei minerali") and by the CNRS-INSU Programme DyETI. X-ray intensity data were collected at CRIST, Centro Interdipartimentale di Cristallografia Strutturale, University of Florence, Italy.
<ul> <li>531</li> <li>532</li> <li>533</li> <li>534</li> <li>535</li> <li>536</li> <li>537</li> <li>538</li> </ul>	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We thank members of the IMA-CNMNC for demanding and perceptive comments, as well as A. Liebscher and M. Nagashima for accurate reviews. This work was funded by MIUR (project PRIN 2009, "Struttura, microstruttura e proprietà dei minerali") and by the CNRS-INSU Programme DyETI. X-ray intensity data were collected at CRIST, Centro Interdipartimentale di Cristallografia Strutturale, University of Florence, Italy. REFERENCES CITED
<ul> <li>531</li> <li>532</li> <li>533</li> <li>534</li> <li>535</li> <li>536</li> <li>537</li> <li>538</li> <li>539</li> </ul>	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We thank members of the IMA-CNMNC for demanding and perceptive comments, as well as A. Liebscher and M. Nagashima for accurate reviews. This work was funded by MIUR (project PRIN 2009, "Struttura, microstruttura e proprietà dei minerali") and by the CNRS-INSU Programme DyETI. X-ray intensity data were collected at CRIST, Centro Interdipartimentale di Cristallografia Strutturale, University of Florence, Italy. REFERENCES CITED Andresen, A. and Tull, J.F. (1986) Age and tectonic setting of the Tysfjord gneiss granite,
<ul> <li>531</li> <li>532</li> <li>533</li> <li>534</li> <li>535</li> <li>536</li> <li>537</li> <li>538</li> <li>539</li> <li>540</li> </ul>	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We thank members of the IMA-CNMNC for demanding and perceptive comments, as well as A. Liebscher and M. Nagashima for accurate reviews. This work was funded by MIUR (project PRIN 2009, "Struttura, microstruttura e proprietà dei minerali") and by the CNRS-INSU Programme DyETI. X-ray intensity data were collected at CRIST, Centro Interdipartimentale di Cristallografia Strutturale, University of Florence, Italy. REFERENCES CITED Andresen, A. and Tull, J.F. (1986) Age and tectonic setting of the Tysfjord gneiss granite, Efjord, North Norway. Norsk Geologisk Tidsskrift, 66, 69-80.
<ul> <li>531</li> <li>532</li> <li>533</li> <li>534</li> <li>535</li> <li>536</li> <li>537</li> <li>538</li> <li>539</li> <li>540</li> <li>541</li> </ul>	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We thank members of the IMA-CNMNC for demanding and perceptive comments, as well as A. Liebscher and M. Nagashima for accurate reviews. This work was funded by MIUR (project PRIN 2009, "Struttura, microstruttura e proprietà dei minerali") and by the CNRS-INSU Programme DyETI. X-ray intensity data were collected at CRIST, Centro Interdipartimentale di Cristallografia Strutturale, University of Florence, Italy. REFERENCES CITED Andresen, A. and Tull, J.F. (1986) Age and tectonic setting of the Tysfjord gneiss granite, Efjord, North Norway. Norsk Geologisk Tidsskrift, 66, 69-80. Armbruster, T., Bonazzi, P., Akasaka, M., Bermanec, V., Chopin, C., Gieré, R., Heuss-

543	Recommended nomenclature of epidote-group minerals. European Journal of									
544	Mineralogy, 18, 551-567.									
545	Bea, F. (1996) Residence of REE, Y, Th and U in granites and crustal protoliths; implications									
546	for the chemistry of crustal melts. Journal of Petrology, 37, 521-552.									
547	Bonazzi, P., Bindi, L. and Parodi, G. (2003) Gatelite-(Ce), a new REE-bearing mineral from									
548	Trimouns, French Pyrenees: crystal structure and polysomatic relationships with epidote									
549	and törnebohmite-(Ce). American Mineralogist, 88, 223-228.									
550	Bonazzi, P., Holtstam, D., Bindi, L., Nysten, P. and Capitani, G.C. (2009) Multi-analytical									
551	approach to solve the puzzle of an allanite-subgroup mineral from Kesebol, Västra									
552	Götaland, Sweden. American Mineralogist, 94, 121-134.									
553	Bonazzi, P. and Menchetti, S. (1994) Structural variations induced by heat treatment in									
554	allanite and REE-bearing piemontite. American Mineralogist, 79, 1176-1184.									
555	Bonazzi, P. and Menchetti, S. (1995) Monoclinic members of the epidote group: effects of the									
556	Al $\leftrightarrow$ Fe <sup>3+</sup> $\leftrightarrow$ Fe <sup>2+</sup> substitution and of the entry of REE <sup>3+</sup> . Mineralogy and Petrology,									
557	53, 133-153.									
558	Brese, N.E. and O'Keeffe, M. (1991) Bond-valence parameters for solids. Acta									
559	Crystallographica, B47, 192-197.									
560	Coulson, I.M. (1997) Post-magmatic alteration in eudyalite from the North Qoroq center,									
561	South Greenland. Mineralogical Magazine, 61, 99-109.									
562	Franz, G. and Liebscher, A. (2004) Physical and chemical properties of the epidote minerals -									
563	An introduction. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 56, 1-82.									
564	Gieré, R. and Sorensen, S.S. (2004) Allanite and other REE-rich epidote-group minerals.									
565	Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 56, 431-493.									

566	Goffé, B., Baronnet, A. and Morin, G. (1994) Saliotite, a new high-pressure, low-temperature
567	metamorphic phyllosilicate mineral: ordered 1:1 cookeite/paragonite mixed-layer.
568	European Journal of Mineralogy, 6, 897-911 [in French].
569	Grew, E.S., Essene, E.J., Peacor, D.R., Su, S.C. and Asami, M. (1991) Dissakisite-(Ce), a new
570	member of the epidote group and the Mg analogue of allanite-(Ce), from Antarctica.
571	American Mineralogist, 76, 1990-1997.
572	Gurzhiy, V. V., Karimova, O.V., Kartashov, P.M. and Krivovichev, S.V. (2010) Crystal
573	structure of a new member of the polysomatic series törnebohmite-epidote from
574	carbonatites of Eastern Siberia. International Mineralogical Association Meeting
575	Budapest, August 2010, Abstract Volume, page 744.
576	Holtstam, D. and Andersson, U.B. (2007) The REE minerals of the Bastnäs-type deposits,
577	South-Central Sweden. Canadian Mineralogist, 45, 1073-1114.
578	Holtstam, D., Kolitsch, U. and Andersson, U.B. (2005) Västmanlandite-(Ce) - a new
579	lanthanide- and F-bearing sorosilicate mineral from Västmanland, Sweden: description,
580	crystal structure, and relation to gatelite-(Ce). European Journal of Mineralogy, 17, 129-
581	141.
582	Husdal, T.A. (2008) The minerals of the pegmatites within the Tysfjord granite, northern
583	Norway. Kongsberg Mineralsymposium 2008, 5-28.
584	Kartashov, P., Ferraris, G., Ivaldi, G., Sokolova, E., and McCammon, C.A. (2002)
585	Ferriallanite-(Ce), CaCeFe <sup>3+</sup> AlFe <sup>2+</sup> (SiO <sub>4</sub> )(Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> )O(OH), a new member of the epidote
586	group: description, X-ray and Mössbauer study. Canadian Mineralogist, 40, 1641-1648.
587	Kolitsch, U., Mills, S. J., Miyawaki, R., and Blass, G. (2012) Ferriallanite-(La), a new
588	member of the epidote supergroup from the Eifel, Germany. European Journal of
589	Mineralogy, 24, 741-747.

## Always consult and cite the final, published document. See http://www.minsocam.org or GeoscienceWorld

- 590 Malcherek, T., Mihailova, B., Schlüter, J. and Husdal, T.A. (2012) Atelisite-(Y), a new rare 591 earth defect silicate of the KDP structure type. European Journal of Mineralogy, 24, 592 1053-1060. 593 Mandarino, J.A. (1976) The Gladstone-Dale relationship-part I: derivation of new constants. 594 Canadian Mineralogist, 14, 498-502. 595 Mills, S. J., Hatert, F., Nickel, E.H. and Ferraris, G. (2009) The standardisation of mineral 596 group hierarchies: application to recent nomenclature proposals. European Journal of 597 Mineralogy, 21, 1073-1080. Oxford Diffraction (2006) CrysAlis RED (Version 1.171.31.2) and ABSPACK in CrysAlis 598 599 RED. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, England. 600 Peacor, D.R. and Dunn, P.J. (1988) Dollaseite-(Ce) (magnesium orthite redefined): structure refinement and implications for  $F + M^{2+}$  substitutions in epidote-group minerals. 601 602 American Mineralogist, 73, 838-842. 603 Pekov, I.V., Zubkova, N.V., Chukanov, N.V., Husdal, T.A., Zadov, A.E. and Pushcharovsky, 604 D.Y. (2011) Fluorbritholite-(Y),  $(Y,Ca,Ln)_5[(Si,P)O_4]_3F$ , a new mineral of the britholite 605 group. Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie, Abhandlungen, 188, 191-197. 606 Pouchou, J.L. and Pichoir, F. (1984) A new model for quantitative X-ray microanalysis. La
- 607 Recherche aérospatiale, 3, 167-192 [in French].
- Raade, G., Johnsen, O., Erambert, M. and Petersen, O.V. (2007) Hundholmenite-(Y) from
  Norway a new mineral species in the vicanite group: descriptive data and crystal
- 610 structure. Mineralogical Magazine, 71, 179-192.
- 611 Robinson, K., Gibbs, G.V., and Ribbe, P.H. (1971) Quadratic elongation: a quantitative
- 612 measure of distortion in coordination polyhedra. Science, 172, 567-570.
- 613 Rouse, R.C. and Peacor, D.R. (1993) The crystal structure of dissakisite-(Ce), the Mg
- analogue of allanite-(Ce). Canadian Mineralogist, 31, 153-157.

615	Schlüter, J., Malcherek, T. and Husdal, T.A. (2009) The new mineral stetindite, CeSiO <sub>4</sub> , a										
616	cerium end-member of the zircon group. Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie,										
617	Abhandlungen, 186, 195-200.										
618	Schreyer, W., Medenbach, O., Abraham, K., Gebert, W. and Müller, W.F. (1982) Kulkeite, a										
619	new metamorphic phyllosilicate mineral: ordered 1:1 chlorite/talc mixed-layer.										
620	Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 80, 103-109.										
621	Semenov, E.J., Upendran R. and Subramanian, V. (1978) Rare earth minerals of the										
622	carbonatites of Tamil Nadu. Journal of the geological Society of India, 19, 550-557.										
623	Sheldrick, G.M. (2008) A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallographica, A64, 112-122.										
624	Shen, J. and Moore, P.B. (1982) Törnebohmite, RE <sub>2</sub> Al(OH)[SiO <sub>4</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> : crystal structure and										
625	genealogy of RE(III)Si(IV) $\leftrightarrow$ Ca(II)P(V) isomorphisms. American Mineralogist, 67,										
626	1021-1028.										
627	Smith, D.G.W. and Nickel, E.H. (2007) A system of codification for unnamed minerals:										
628	report of the Subcommittee for Unnamed Minerals of the IMA Commission on New										
629	Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification. Canadian Mineralogist, 45, 983-1055.										
630	Wilson, A. J. C. and Prince, E., Eds. (1999) International Tables for X-ray Crystallography,										
631	Volume C: Mathematical, physical and chemical tables (2nd Edition), Kluwer										
632	Academic, Dordrecht, NL.										
633	Yang, J.J. and Enami, M. (2003) Chromian dissakisite-(Ce) in a garnet lherzolite from the										
634	Chinese Su-Lu UHP metamorphic terrane: Implications for Cr incorporation in epidote-										
635	group minerals and recycling of REE into the Earth's mantle. American Mineralogist,										
636	88, 604-610.										
637											
638	CAPTIONS OF FIGURES										
639											

9/11

- FIGURE 1. Schematic representation of the structure ([010] projection) of gatelite-group
  minerals in terms of *E*-type and *T*-type modules.
- 642 FIGURE 2. Backscattered-electron image of perbøeite-(Ce) (medium gray) törnebohmite-
- 643 (Ce) (light gray) intergrowths within a matrix of yttrian fluorite (dark gray). Sample ST4,
- 644 Stetind.
- FIGURE 3. Chemical variations along the perbøeite-(Ce)–alnaperbøeite-(Ce) join. Element
  contents are given in atoms per formula unit (on the basis of Si+P+Al+Ti+Fe+Mg = 9):
  REE includes Y and Th; Ca includes Ba and Mn; Fe includes Mg. Empty symbols refer to
- 648 chemical data reported in Table 7; black symbols represent perbøeite-(Ce) and649 alnaperbøeite-(Ce) single crystals (Table 6).
- 650 FIGURE 4. Unit-cell content in perbøeite-(Ce) alnaperbøeite-(Ce).
- FIGURE 5. Variation of the mean electron number derived from the refined site scattering in each A site vs. their sum in the untreated crystals of the perbøeite-(Ce) and alnaperbøeite-(Ce) series. The monotonous decrease observed for A2, A3, and A4 as a function of the alnaperbøeitic component can be due to Na incorporation at A2, A3 and A4 sites with constant A1 population, or to Ca incorporation at A2, A3 and A4 with complete ordering into A1 of Na with enough REE to maintain site scattering (see text).
- 657 FIGURE 6. Variation of the <M3-O> octahedral distance as a function of the Fe content 658 obtained by site-scattering refinement. Dotted line (y = 1.968 + 0.087x) refers to natural (Al, Fe<sup>3+</sup>)-epidotes and dashed line (y = 1.968 + 0.207x) is calculated for an (Al, Fe<sup>2+</sup>) site 659 population ( $\langle Fe^{2^+}-O \rangle = 2.175$  Å) (Bonazzi and Menchetti 1995). Black squares refer to 660 the untreated crystals wherein Fe is present mainly as  $Fe^{2+}$ ; black circles refer to the 661 662 perbøeite-(Ce) crystal (ST2 02) heated in air at increasing temperatures. After the heating 663 at 450 °C in air the  $\langle$ M3-O $\rangle$  distance approaches the values corresponding to those due to a site population of  $Fe^{3+}$ -Al alone. 664

665	FIGURE 7. The $ab\sin\beta$ value plotted against the c unit-cell parameter for ST2_02 crystal
666	heated in air (black circles) and for the untreated crystals (black squares). As the heating
667	temperature increases, the $Fe^{2+} \rightarrow Fe^{3+}$ oxidation causes a contraction of the M3-M1
668	octrahedral chain mainly resulting in a decrease of the $ab\sin\beta$ parameter; the relaxation of
669	the O11-H1O4 bond due to the hydrogen loss weakens the link between the M2 and the
670	M1+M3 octahedral chains and results in a lengthening of c. In the absence of complete
671	chemical characterization including Fe <sup>2+</sup> /Fe <sup>3+</sup> ratio or H determination, it is not easy to
672	establish whether the spread of values observed for the untreated crystals is only due to
673	the variation of the alnaperbøeite-(Ce) component or whether a modest hydrogen
674	deficiency also occurs.

675

TABLE I – Experimental details for the selected crystals	Table 1 – E	xperimental	details for	the selected	crystals	
--	-------------	-------------	-------------	--------------	----------	--

	ST4 11	ST4 00	ST2 01	NE 01	ST4 10	ST4 02	ST2 01	ST2 02	
	514_11	514_09	515_01	NE_01	514_10	514_02	512_01	512_03	HU_02
a (Å)	8.9277(6)	8.9310(5)	8.9205(4)	8.9546(8)	8.9222(4)	8.9165(4)	8.9327(4)	8.9313(9)	8.9110(4)
b (Å)	5.6548(6)	5.6574(3)	5.6573(3)	5.6675(5)	5.6650(2)	5.6754(2)	5.6817(2)	5.6608(6)	5.6866(2)
<i>c</i> (Å)	17.587(1)	17.6000(9)	17.5715(9)	17.652(2)	17.569(1)	17.5253(7)	17.5807(7)	17.549(2)	17.5252(7)
β (°)	116.475(8)	116.517(4)	116.500(4)	116.677(7)	116.470(5)	116.321(3)	116.465(3)	116.396(8)	116.300(5)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	794.8(1)	795.71(8)	793.59(7)	800.5(1)	794.92(7)	794.92(6)	798.77(6)	794.8(2)	796.13(7)
data collection									
$\theta_{max}$ (°)	35.68	33.30	33.25	34.23	34.82	28.86	33.79	32.34	34.92
total refl. collected	8111	6562	6816	7140	7589	3598	7953	6861	7576
unique refl. $(R_{int})$	3705 (4.01)	3040 (4.43)	3030 (4.29)	3313 (7.00)	3417 (4.02)	1968 (2.46)	3209 (3.84)	2829 (4.48)	3460 (3.57
refl. with $F > 4\sigma(F)$	2637	1942	1995	1546	2224	1593	2269	1830	2679
structure refinement									
number of parameters	202	206	206	206	206	206	206	206	206
$R_1 \left[ F > 4\sigma(F) \right]$	5.19	4.57	4.28	5.08	3.94	2.76	3.60	3.77	4.14
$R_1$ all	8.19	8.15	7.25	12.41	7.34	3.79	6.05	7.04	6.12
Max $\Delta F$ peak (e <sup>-</sup> /Å <sup>3</sup> )	3.06	2.23	2.87	2.50	2.61	0.98	2.50	2.14	2.09
Min $\Delta F$ peak (e <sup>-</sup> /Å <sup>3</sup> )	-2.17	-1.66	-2.84	-1.28	-1.72	-0.90	-1.74	-1.77	-2.50
	ST2_04	HU_01	ST2_02	ST2_02-350	ST2_02-450	ST2_02-550	ST2_02-650	ST2_02-750	_
<i>a</i> (Å)	8.8996(4)	8.8950(4)	8.9039(3)	8.9044(3)	8.9002(3)	8.9031(3)	8.8979(4)	8.8936(4)	
$b(\mathbf{\hat{A}})$	5.6759(4)	5.6827(2)	5.6785(2)	5.6650(2)	5.6304(2)	5.6290(1)	5.6235(2)	5.6189(2)	
c(Å)	17.5154(9)	17.5044(7)	17.5039(6)	17.5261(7)	17.7324(6)	17.7392(6)	17.731(1)	17.7550(7)	
β (°)	116.221(4)	116.240(3)	116.301(4)	116.399(5)	116.710(3)	116.752(4)	116.815(5)	116.952(5)	
$V(Å^3)$	793.71(8)	793.63(6)	793.39(5)	791.88(6)	793.78(5)	793.85(5)	791.81(7)	790.89(7)	
data collection									
$\theta_{max}$ (°)	35.00	34.88	32.53	34.67	33.01	34.96	34.95	34.87	
total refl. collected	7621	7722	8188	7535	6736	7926	7630	7709	
unique refl. ( $R_{int}$ %)	3432 (4.23)	3475 (7.44)	2848 (3.07)	3413 (2.79)	3043 (2.87)	3424 (2.57)	3431 (3.84)	3449 (3.29)	
refl. with $F > 4\sigma(F)$	2405	1712	2280	2739	2433	2722	2643	2718	
structure refinement									
number of parameters	206	203	206	206	203	203	203	203	

$R_1 [F > 4\sigma(F)] (\%)$	4.57	4.47	2.97	3.62	3.04	3.05	3.48	3.47
$R_1$ all (%)	7.21	11.47	4.22	4.94	4.16	4.26	5.06	4.87
Max $\Delta F$ peak (e <sup>-</sup> /Å <sup>3</sup> )	4.54	1.95	1.66	2.27	1.57	2.71	2.28	2.85
Min $\Delta F$ peak (e <sup>-</sup> /Å <sup>3</sup> )	-2.62	-1.62	-2.03	-3.04	-2.71	-3.43	-3.15	-4.31

*Note*: crystals labeled ST, NE, and HU come from Stetind, Nedre Eivollen and Hundholmen pegmatites, respectively. The ST\_02 crystal was annealed for 48 h at 350, 450, 550, 650 and 750 °C.

atom	occupancy	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$
A1	$\begin{array}{c} Ca_{0.942(4)}Ce_{0.056}\\ Ca_{0.958(2)}Ce_{0.042} \end{array}$	0.7322(2) 0.7322(2)	1/4	0.4097(1) 0.40998(8)	0.0229(5) 0.0182(4)
A2	$\begin{array}{c} Ce_{0.896(6)}Ca_{0.104}\\ Ce_{0.978(4)}Ca_{0.022} \end{array}$	0.88965(6) 0.89185(5)	1/4	0.24991(3) 0.24954(2)	0.0198(2) 0.0154(1)
A3	$\begin{array}{c} Ce_{0.888(6)}Ca_{0.112}\\ Ce_{0.958(4)}Ca_{0.042} \end{array}$	0.73978(8) 0.73949(6)	1/4	0.01013(4) 0.00968(3)	0.0375(2) 0.0311(2)
A4	$\begin{array}{c} Ce_{0.858(6)}Ca_{0.142}\\ Ce_{0.950(4)}Ca_{0.050} \end{array}$	0.08128(6) 0.07839(5)	3/4	0.16453(3) 0.16574(2)	0.0198(2) 0.0148(1)
M1	$Al_{1.000} \\ Al_{0.992(7)} Fe_{0.008}$	1/2	1/2	1/2	0.0137(4) 0.0112(6)
M2	$\begin{array}{l} Al_{0.973(8)}Fe_{0.027}\\ Al_{0.973(6)}Fe_{0.027} \end{array}$	0.4827(1) 0.4813(1)	- 0.0005(3) 0.0005(2)	0.2051(1) 0.20530(8)	0.0132(5) 0.0113(4)
M3	$\begin{array}{l} Al_{0.598(9)}Fe_{0.402} \\ Fe_{0.716(7)}Al_{0.284} \end{array}$	0.1988(2) 0.1950(1)	3/4	0.3756(1) 0.37640(7)	0.0207(6) 0.0139(3)
Si1		0.1627(2) 0.1625(2)	1/4	0.4755(1) 0.4774(1)	0.0135(4) 0.0107(3)
Si2		0.8084(2) 0.8055(2)	3/4	0.3374(1) 0.3357(1)	0.0128(4) 0.0104(3)
Si3		0.3072(2) 0.3050(2)	1/4	0.3136(1) 0.3126(1)	0.0122(4) 0.0095(3)
Si4		0.6723(2) 0.6697(2)	3/4	0.1043(2) 0.1030(1)	0.0160(4) 0.0118(4)
Si5		0.1564(2) 0.1553(2)	1/4	0.0773(1) 0.0776(1)	0.0119(4) 0.0092(3)
01		0.2668(5) 0.2674(4)	0.4926(7) 0.4901(5)	0.4783(3) 0.4824(2)	0.0224(9) 0.0165(7)
O2		0.1837(5) 0.1793(4)	0.4802(7) 0.4750(5)	0.2924(3) 0.2897(2)	0.0217(8) 0.0163(7)
O3		0.6982(5) 0.6943(4)	0.9864(6) - 0.0130(5)	0.2969(3) 0.2980(2)	0.0189(8) 0.0148(7)
O4		0.4413(6) 0.4421(5)	3/4	0.4240(4) 0.4248(3)	0.016(1) 0.0124(9)
O5		0.4525(7) 0.4496(5)	1/4	0.4140(3) 0.4129(3)	0.015(1) 0.0133(9)

TABLE 2 – Refined site occupancy, fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $Å^2$ ) for alnaperbøeite-(Ce) (ST4\_11 crystal) and perbøeite-(Ce) (HU\_02 crystal).

O6		0.4193(6) 0.4188(5)	1/4	0.2603(4) 0.2601(3)	0.015(1) 0.0126(9)
07		- 0.0172(7) - 0.0166(5)	1/4	0.3969(4) 0.3967(3)	0.022(1) 0.0152(9)
08		- 0.0311(9) - 0.0435(7)	3/4	0.3207(6) 0.3114(4)	0.038(2) 0.029(1)
09		0.8654(9) 0.8723(6)	3/4	0.4391(4) 0.4386(3)	0.034(2) 0.023(1)
O10		0.5579(6) 0.5581(5)	1/4	0.1632(4) 0.1624(3)	0.015(1) 0.0105(8)
011		0.4065(7) 0.4037(5)	3/4	0.2482(4) 0.2484(3)	0.018(1) 0.0117(9)
012		0.5396(6) 0.5391(5)	3/4	0.1471(4) 0.1474(3)	0.014(1) 0.0112(9)
O13		0.2702(5) 0.2685(4)	0.4905(7) 0.4907(5)	0.1104(3) 0.1104(2)	0.0195(8) 0.0157(7)
O14		0.7958(5) 0.7942(4)	0.5232(7) 0.5243(6)	0.1334(3) 0.1321(2)	0.027(1) 0.0200(8)
015	O <sub>0.50</sub> O <sub>0.50</sub>	0.555(1) 0.5496(8)	0.817(1) 0.818(1)	0.0047(5) 0.0033(4)	0.031(2) 0.022(2)
O16		0.063(1) 0.0613(8)	1/4	- 0.0232(5) - 0.0229(4)	0.056(2) 0.042(2)
O17		0.0235(9) 0.0233(6)	1/4	0.1163(5) 0.1164(4)	0.043(2) 0.029(1)
H1		0.434(8)	3/4	0.325(4)	- 0.01(2)

Note: For each atom, the first row refers to alnaperbøeite-(Ce), the second one to perbøeite-(Ce)

(1)		(	(2) (3					
alnaperb	øeite-(Ce)	perbøe	ite-(Ce)					
<i>I</i> <sub>calc</sub>	$d_{ m calc}$	<i>I</i> <sub>calc</sub>	$d_{ m calc}$	$I_{\rm obs}$	$d_{ m obs}$	h	k	l
91.88	15.7426	91.34	15.7111	75	15.7	0	0	1
13.68	7.9914	18.32	7.9886	15	7.98	1	0	0
12.21	7.5326	16.21	7.5051	15	7.49	-1	0	2
6.91	4.7739	6.24	4.7900	-	-	-1	1	1
9.60	4.6639	9.94	4.6628	-	-	1	0	2
29.90	4.6160	33.18	4.6327	30	4.62	1	1	0
8.96	4.5925	7.21	4.6063	-	-	0	1	2
6.65	4.3908	5.88	4.3749	-	-	-1	0	4
7.13	4.1504	7.39	4.1629	-	-	1	1	1
6.17	3.9357	6.67	3.9278	-	-	0	0	4
41.60	3.4986	43.95	3.5014	40	3.489	-2	1	2
17.94	3.4681	17.67	3.4675	15	3.461	-1	1	4
5.87	3.3768	5.30	3.3767	-	-	-2	1	3
12.41	3.2633	13.09	3.2686	10	3.268	2	1	0
18.63	3.0553	17.77	3.0553	15	3.061	2	0	2
100.00	2.9831	100.00	2.9805	100	2.971	-1	1	5
7.86	2.9688	6.40	2.9625	-	-	-3	0	3
7.10	2.8815	6.28	2.8733	-	-	-3	0	4
47.37	2.8274	48.13	2.8433	50	2.828	0	2	0
32.33	2.7509	31.31	2.7503	30	2.739	0	1	5
7.67	2.6881	6.37	2.6914	-	-	2	1	2
17.24	2.6655	18.05	2.6787	15	2.667	1	2	0
22.82	2.6587	23.32	2.6584	20	2.660	2	0	3
10.29	2.6286	9.72	2.6273	-	-	-3	1	3
56.54	2.6188	58.50	2.6190	60	2.619	-3	1	2
5.43	2.4891	5.11	2.4988	-		0	2	3
16.52	2.4488	14.60	2.4447	15	2.442	-3	1	5
6.11	2.2953	7.19	2.2865	-	-	-3	0	7
11.42	2.2612	10.49	2.2662	10	2.262	-2	2	4
14.59	2.1823	15.29	2.1799	15	2.181	-4	0	2
25.80	2.1431	25.46	2.1464	25	2.140	-2	2	5
9.91	2.1037	10.20	2.1083	10	2.101	0	2	5
7.04	2.0812	6.36	2.0828	-	-	3	1	2
18.18	2.0752	16.95	2.0814	15	2.078	2	2	2
5.31	2.0656	5.24	2.0648	-	-	2	0	5
17.26	1.9369	18.37	1.9418	20	1.940	2	2	3
11.11	1.9164	11.26	1.9176	10	1.921	3	1	3
6.81	1.8692	6.26	1.8698	-	-	-2	2	7
12.70	1.8435	11.99	1.8426	10	1.842	1	1	7
6.00	1.7276	6.47	1.7300	-	_	-4	2	2

TABLE 5 –X-ray powder diffraction data

8.11	1.6945	7.60	1.6899	-	-	-1	0	10
12.41	1.6608	12.52	1.6668	-	-	-1	3	5
7.02	1.6484	6.06	1.6479	-	-	-5	1	2
12.21	1.6332	13.37	1.6325	-	-	-4	2	7
10.31	1.5891	11.00	1.5948	10	1.591	-3	3	2
5.42	1.4916	5.45	1.4902	-		-2	2	10
12.34	1.4137	11.98	1.4217	10	1.419	0	4	0

*Notes*: in columns (1) and (2) are reported the calculated powder pattern for alnaperbøeite-(Ce) and perbøeite-(Ce), obtained using atomic parameters of the crystals ST4\_11 and HU2\_02, respectively; (3) experimental powder pattern for the solid solution.

	ST4_11	range	HU2_02	range	ST2_02	range
#	15		5		4	
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.56	0.84 - 0.54	0.22	0.21 - 0.23	0.26	0.24 - 0.27
CaO	5.74	5.76 - 5.25	5.00	4.94 - 5.06	5.07	5.11 - 5.04
MnO *	0.18	0.00 - 0.24	0.20	0.16 - 0.24	0.18	0.12 - 0.29
BaO	0.04	0.00 - 0.10	0.04	0.00 - 0.08	0.05	0.02 - 0.09
$La_2O_3$	6.29	4.87 - 6.41	7.43	7.27 - 5.52	6.67	6.65 - 6.69
$Ce_2O_3$	17.29	15.63 - 17.40	19.07	18.52 - 19.48	18.35	18.28 - 18.42
$Pr_2O_3$	2.52	2.33 - 2.68	2.43	2.30 - 2.50	2.38	2.30 - 2.46
$Nd_2O_3$	9.31	8.40 - 11.74	9.41	9.04 - 10.02	11.25	11.16 – 11.34
$Sm_2O_3$	2.02	1.91 – 2.29	1.62	1.52 - 1.68	1.97	1.78 - 2.16
$Gd_2O_3$	1.13	0.83 - 1.34	0.91	0.87 - 0.95	0.78	0.77 - 0.79
$Dy_2O_3$	0.56	0.09 - 0.57	0.49	0.34 - 0.72	0.13	0.02 - 0.24
Ho <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.04	0.00 - 0.18	0.00	0.00 - 0.00	0.13	0.11 – 0.16
$Er_2O_3$	0.12	0.00 - 0.30	0.18	0.01 - 0.27	0.35	0.27 - 0.44
$Yb_2O_3$	0.12	0.05 - 0.15	0.03	0.00 - 0.10	0.08	0.00 - 0.16
$Y_2O_3$	1.29	1.00 - 1.57	0.60	0.56 - 0.65	0.75	0.68 - 0.81
ThO <sub>2</sub>	0.37	0.16 - 0.50	0.17	0.14 - 0.22	0.12	0.10 - 0.14
MgO	0.09	0.02 - 0.12	0.01	0.00 - 0.01	0.11	0.10 - 0.13
FeO *	2.67	0.95 - 3.06	5.05	4.87 - 5.25	5.05	5.02 - 5.09
$Al_2O_3$	16.71	16.48 - 19.08	14.67	14.49 - 14.88	14.29	14.17 - 14.41
SiO <sub>2</sub>	27.79	27.50 - 27.83	26.95	26.50 - 27.04	26.98	26.79 - 27.16
total	94.84	94.00 - 95.70	94.48	93.93 - 94.87	94.95	94.79 - 95.19
Na	0.20		0.08		0.09	
Ca	1.10		1.00		1.01	
$Mn^{2+}$	0.03		0.03		0.03	
Ba	0.00		0.00		0.00	
La	0.42		0.51		0.46	
Ce	1.14		1.30		1.25	
Pr	0.16		0.16		0.16	
Nd	0.60		0.62		0.75	
Sm	0.13		0.10		0.13	
Gd	0.07		0.06		0.05	
Dy	0.03		0.03		0.01	
Но	0.00		0.00		0.01	
Er	0.01		0.01		0.02	
Yb	0.01		0.00		0.00	
Y	0.12		0.06		0.07	
Th	0.02		0.01		0.01	
$\Sigma REE + Th$	2.71		2.86		2.92	
$\Sigma(A \text{ cat})$	4.04		3.97		4.05	
Mg	0.02		0.00		0.03	
$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$	0.40		0.79		0.79	
Al	3.54		3.21		3.13	
$\Sigma(M \text{ cat})$	3.96		4.00		3.95	
Si	4.99		5.01		5.02	

TABLE 6 – Electron-microprobe analyses (mean and range of # point-analyses, in wt %) and atomic ratios on a  $O_{20}(OH)_2$  basis for the selected crystals of perbøeite and alnaperbøeite.

total	12.98	12.99	13.01

Notes: \* Mn and Fe assumed as divalent.

		Allar	nite-(Ce)			Törneboh	mite-(Ce)	
Sample	NE	NE	ST2	range	ST2	range	ST4	range
#	1	1	8		5		3	
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.28	0.29	0.12	(0.06 - 0.18)	0.01	(0.00 - 0.02)	0.02	(0.01 - 0.04)
CaO	9.08	9.65	7.61	(6.31 - 8.48)	0.20	(0.17 - 0.25)	0.14	(0.09 - 0.20)
MnO	0.50	0.58	2.58	(0.73 - 4.83)	0.00	(0.00 - 0.00)	0.00	(0.00 - 0.00)
SrO	0.00	0.02	0.01	(0.00 - 0.07)	0.02	(0.00 - 0.09)	0.01	(0.00 - 0.03)
BaO	0.00	0.00	0.02	(0.00 - 0.09)	0.08	(0.02 - 0.14)	0.04	(0.00 - 0.08)
$La_2O_3$	2.99	2.66	3.24	(2.68 - 3.84)	6.53	(5.55 8.26)	4.57	(2.64 - 6.34)
$Ce_2O_3$	11.38	10.62	11.63	(11.08 - 12.30)	22.17	(18.26 - 25.61)	18.35	(12.08 - 23.08)
Pr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.88	1.75	1.84	(1.70 - 1.96)	3.84	(3.51 - 4.02)	3.43	(3.28 - 3.63)
$Nd_2O_3$	7.46	7.96	8.38	(7.25 - 9.20)	19.33	(16.81 - 20.53)	21.03	(17.68 - 26.39)
$Sm_2O_3$	1.73	1.62	1.51	(1.19 - 1.76)	4.49	(3.12 - 5.72)	6.47	(4.89 - 7.77)
$Gd_2O_3$	0.65	0.89	0.49	(0.00 - 0.85)	2.22	(1.69 - 3.32)	3.33	(2.59 - 3.88)
$Dy_2O_3$	0.11	0.00	0.13	(0.00 - 0.33)	0.85	(0.44 - 1.30)	1.56	(1.24 - 1.75)
Ho <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.03	0.00	0.05	(0.00 - 0.23)	0.21	(0.00 - 0.44)	0.20	(0.11 - 0.34)
$Er_2O_3$	0.22	0.09	0.16	(0.00 - 0.36)	0.00	(0.00 - 0.00)	0.43	(0.28 - 0.53)
Yb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.10	0.03	0.05	(0.00 - 0.08)	0.14	(0.00 - 0.22)	0.19	(0.15 - 0.21)
$Y_2O_3$	0.34	0.34	0.29	(0.17 - 0.38)	1.74	(0.97 - 2.76)	2.14	(2.02 - 2.24)
ThO <sub>2</sub>	0.00	0.04	0.04	(0.00 - 0.17)	0.21	(0.00 - 0.56)	0.07	(0.00 - 0.20)
MgO	0.03	0.07	0.15	(0.10 - 0.21)	0.00	(0.00 - 0.02)	0.00	(0.00 - 0.00)
MnO	0.50	0.58	2.58	(0.73 - 4.83)	0.00	(0.00 - 0.00)	0.00	(0.00 - 0.00)
FeO tot.	10.78	9.96	11.90	(11.33 - 12.73)	0.04	(0.00 - 0.08)	0.00	(0.00 - 0.00)
$Al_2O_3$	18.47	19.16	16.55	(15.01 - 16.86)	9.77	(9.37 - 10.10)	9.87	(9.74 - 10.10)
SiO <sub>2</sub>	30.99	31.24	30.35	(29.77 - 30.81)	22.87	(22.02 - 23.54)	23.35	(22.95 - 23.90)
Total	97.02	96.97	97.10	(96.31 - 98.11)	94.72	(92.44 - 96.02)	95.20	(94.85 - 95.51)
Basis	25 charges	25 charges	25 charges		17 charges		17 charges	
Na	0.053	0.054	0.023		0.002		0.003	
Ca	0.945	0 994	0.811		0.019		0.013	
Mn	0.041	0.047	0.217		0.000		0.000	
Sr	0.000	0.001	0.001		0.001		0.001	
Ba	0.000	0.000	0.001		0.003		0.001	
La	0.107	0.094	0.119		0.211		0.146	
Ce	0.404	0.374	0.423		0.711		0.583	

Table 8a. Electron-microprobe analyses of allanite (A) and törnebohmite (T) coexisting with perbøeite/alnaperbøeite in Stetind (ST) and Nedre Eivollen (NE).

Pr	0.067	0.061	0.067	0.123	0.108
Nd	0.259	0.273	0.298	0.605	0.652
Sm	0.058	0.054	0.052	0.136	0.193
Gd	0.021	0.028	0.016	0.064	0.096
Dy	0.003	0.000	0.004	0.024	0.044
Но	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.006	0.006
Er	0.007	0.003	0.005	0.000	0.012
Yb	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.004	0.005
Y	0.018	0.017	0.015	0.081	0.099
Th	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.001
REE + Th	0.948	0.906	1.004	1.969	1.945
$\Sigma(A \text{ cat})$	1.987	2.002	2.057	1.994	1.963
Mg	0.004	0.010	0.022	0.000	0.000
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	0.875	0.801	0.989	0.003	0.000
Al	2.113	2.170	1.939	1.009	1.009
$\Sigma(M \text{ cat})$	2.992	2.981	2.950	1.012	1.009
Si	3.009	3.002	3.017	2.003	2.026
Σcat	7.987	7.986	8.023	5.007	4.998

*Note* : Ti and P below detection limit. # = number of point analyses.

	fluorite	fluorite	kuliokite	hundhol.?	F-thalenite	thalenite	F-brithol.
Sample	NE	ST2	ST4	ST2	NE	NE	NE
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.32	0.22	0.01	0.20	0.01	0.00	0.11
CaO	52.53	58.65	0.70	5.43	0.07	0.18	9.89
SrO	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02
BaO	0.00	0.04	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00
$La_2O_3$	0.64	0.30	0.04	2.74	0.19	0.80	1.32
$Ce_2O_3$	1.97	0.85	0.11	9.42	1.19	5.12	7.63
$Pr_2O_3$	0.33	0.13	0.19	1.43	0.42	1.45	1.51
$Nd_2O_3$	1.42	1.00	2.07	11.31	3.51	8.19	10.40
$Sm_2O_3$	0.70	0.30	2.12	5.23	3.05	4.43	4.95
$Gd_2O_3$	0.71	0.39	5.83	5.42	5.42	5.40	5.23
$Dy_2O_3$	1.08	0.76	6.57	4.01	7.50	6.59	5.87
Ho <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.34	0.19	0.91	0.39	0.92	0.93	0.67
$Er_2O_3$	0.89	0.57	6.03	2.95	7.35	5.76	3.91
Yb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.09	0.57	3.69	1.69	6.63	5.39	2.92
$Y_2O_3$	14.93	11.09	39.62	18.85	28.53	23.21	16.57
ThO <sub>2</sub>	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.13	0.20
MgO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MnO	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.30
FeO tot.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.25
$Al_2O_3$	0.00	0.00	6.85	1.33	0.00	0.00	0.00
SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.00	0.00	15.53	14.35	28.20	28.19	21.77
F	44.20	46.94	11.76	6.86	3.06	0.10	2.53
-F = O	-18.61	-19.77	-4.95	-2.89	-1.29	-0.04	-1.07
Total	102.62	102.24	97.14	89.17	94.79	95.86	94.98
Dagia	1 action	1 action	22 abarras	$S_i = 7 - f_i$	21 abarras	21 abarras	25 abarras
Basis		1 cation	23 charges	$S_1 = / p_1 u$	21 charges	21 charges	25 charges
Na	0.009	0.006	0.003	0.189	0.002	0.000	0.029
Ca	0.827	0.886	0.097	2.838	0.008	0.021	1.458
Sr	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.002
Ва	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
La	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.493	0.008	0.032	0.067
Ce	0.011	0.004	0.005	1.682	0.047	0.202	0.384
Pr	0.002	0.001	0.009	0.254	0.017	0.057	0.076

Table 8b. Electron-microprobe analyses of minerals coexisting with perbøeite/alnaperbøeite in Stetind (ST) and Nedre Eivollen (NE).

Nd	0.007	0.005	0.096	1.970	0.136	0.315	0.511
Sm	0.004	0.001	0.094	0.879	0.114	0.165	0.235
Gd	0.003	0.002	0.250	0.876	0.195	0.193	0.239
Dy	0.005	0.003	0.273	0.630	0.262	0.229	0.260
Но	0.002	0.001	0.037	0.061	0.032	0.032	0.029
Er	0.004	0.003	0.245	0.452	0.250	0.195	0.169
Yb	0.005	0.002	0.145	0.251	0.219	0.177	0.123
Y	0.117	0.083	2.724	4.893	1.644	1.332	1.213
Th	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.003	0.006
REE + Th	0.163	0.107	3.881	12.445	2.921	2.932	3.312
Mg	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Mn	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.035
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.167	0.000	0.000	0.029
Al	0.000	0.000	1.043	0.765	0.000	0.000	0.000
Si	0.000	0.000	2.007	7.000	3.053	3.039	2.995
F	2.055	2.094	4.805	10.583	1.048	0.034	1.101
ΣCat	1.000	1.000	7.033	23.413	5.987	5.993	7.860

*Note* : Ti and P below detection limit, also for fluor-britholite-(Y).

	alnaperbøeite-(Ce)	perbøeite-(Ce)
morphology	prismatic, elongated along [010]	prismatic, elongated along [010]
color	grayish very pale green	grayish green
streak	white	white
lustre	vitreous, transparent	vitreous, transparent
hardness (Mohs)	6-7	6-7
tenacity	brittle	brittle
cleavage	{100} good, {001} imperfect	{100} good, {001} imperfect
parting	none observed	none observed
fracture	irregular	irregular
calculated density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )*	4.308	4.474
mean refractive index*	1.757	1.775
n <sub>x</sub>	1.778(2) colorless	1.788(2) colorless
ny	1.784(2) colorless	1.793(2) grayish blue
nz	1.810(5) colorless	1.820(5) colorless
$2V_z$	33.5(5)°	30.0(5)°
optical plane	(010)	(010)
Z ∧(001) [?]	30(3)°	17(1)°
dispersion	inclined (slightly stronger)	inclined (very weak)

TABLE 9 – Physical and optical properties of alnaperbøeite-(Ce) and perbøeite-(Ce)

\*calculated with the chemical analyses given in Table 6. The mean refractive index was obtained according to the Gladstone-Dale relationship (Mandarino 1976).

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$											
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1		ST4_11	ST4_09	ST3_01	NE_01	ST4_10	ST4_02	ST2_01	ST2_03	HU_02
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<a1-o><sup>VII</sup></a1-o>	2.487	2.488	2.481	2.487	2.481	2.477	2.480	2.474	2.470
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		n. e	22.2	22.0	22.0	23.1	22.4	21.1	22.1	22.1	21.6
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<a2-o><sup>VIII</sup></a2-o>	2.570	2.573	2.571	2.584	2.574	2.575	2.580	2.579	2.575
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		n. e	54.0	55.0	55.2	54.6	55.8	56.8	56.1	56.4	57.2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\langle A3-O \rangle^{VIII}$	2.598	2.603	2.601	2.610	2.597	2.596	2.603	2.598	2.595
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		n. e	53.7	54.7	55.0	55.0	55.7	56.2	55.6	55.9	56.4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\langle A4-O \rangle^X$	2.653	2.650	2.646	2.658	2.623	2.652	2.656	2.652	2.676
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		n. e	52.6	53.7	53.7	53.3	54.4	55.9	55.3	55.4	56.1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<m1_0></m1_0>	1 923	1 928	1 924	1 930	1 925	1 928	1 928	1 926	1 031
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		n e <sup>-</sup>	13.0	13.3	13.1	13.4	13.3	13.1	13.3	13.4	13.1
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		$\sim M^2 - 0$	1 8 9 5	1 89/	1 803	1 808	1 8 9 5	1 897	1 897	1 807	1 800
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<1V12-0>	13 /	13.6	13 /	13.7	13.3	13.2	13.5	13.5	13 /
$\begin{array}{r rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		$\sim M3 O$	2 051	2 051	2 062	2.061	2 067	2 085	2 094	2 008	2 107
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<nij-0></nij-0>	2.031	2.031	2.002	2.001	2.007	2.085	2.094	2.098	2.107
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$M_{3}(\mathbf{F}_{2}^{3+})$	16.2	16.9	16.9	19.2	19.8	20.7	21.1	21.0	22.4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(Fe ) <sub>calc</sub>	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.08
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<si1-0></si1-0>	1.626	1.622	1.618	1.620	1.619	1.626	1.621	1.618	1.625
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<si2-o></si2-o>	1.615	1.615	1.619	1.623	1.616	1.618	1.619	1.616	1.617
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<\$i3-0>	1.646	1.648	1.648	1.647	1.645	1.641	1.647	1.637	1.639
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<si4-o></si4-o>	1.634	1.638	1.635	1.639	1.630	1.631	1.635	1.628	1.637
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		<si5-o></si5-o>	1.620	1.621	1.623	1.615	1.615	1.620	1.620	1.617	1.617
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		011-04	2.967(10)	2.975(9)	2,974(9)	2.99(1)	2.968(7)	2.945(7)	2.975(7)	2.965(8)	2.956(7)
ST2_04         HU_01         ST2_02         ST2_03-350         ST2_02-450         ST2_02-550         ST2_02-650         ST2_02-750 <a1-o><sup>VII</sup>         2.466         2.469         2.471         2.472         2.481         2.484         2.481         2.483           n. e<sup>-</sup>         22.2         21.4         21.1         21.1         21.5         21.1         21.1         20.8           <a2-o><sup>VIII</sup>         2.581         2.575         2.571         2.562         2.558         2.553         2.550</a2-o></a1-o>		010-015	2.689(10)	2.68(1)	2.66(1)	2.66(1)	2.661(7)	2.665(8)	2.686(7)	2.665(8)	2.653(8)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	•		ST2_04	HU_01	ST2_02	ST2_03-350	ST2_02-450	ST2_02-550	ST2_02-650	ST2_02-750	
n. e <sup>-</sup> 22.2 21.4 21.1 21.1 21.5 21.1 21.1 20.8 <a2-o><sup>VIII</sup> 2.581 2.581 2.575 2.571 2.562 2.558 2.553 2.550</a2-o>		<a1-o><sup>VII</sup></a1-o>	2.466	2.469	2.471	2.472	2.481	2.484	2.481	2.483	
<a2-o><sup>VIII</sup> 2.581 2.581 2.575 2.571 2.562 2.558 2.553 2.550</a2-o>		n. e <sup>-</sup>	22.2	21.4	21.1	21.1	21.5	21.1	21.1	20.8	
		$\langle A2-O \rangle^{VIII}$	2.581	2.581	2.575	2.571	2.562	2.558	2.553	2.550	

TABLE 10 – Selected structural parameters for the investigated crystals

n. e	57.0	57.0	55.8	56.9	56.9	56.9	56.5	56.9
<a3-o><sup>VIII</sup></a3-o>	2.599	2.594	2.594	2.593	2.588	2.588	2.581	2.578
n. e	55.9	56.2	56.1	56.1	56.1	56.1	56.5	56.9
<a4-0><sup>X</sup></a4-0>	2.647	2.650	2.649	2.645	2.646	2.645	2.641	2.637
n. e	55.9	55.9	55.8	55.7	55.7	55.7	56.1	56.1
<m1-o></m1-o>	1.925	1.928	1.926	1.924	1.916	1.917	1.917	1.916
n. e	13.0	13.7	13.1	13.3	13.4	13.4	13.5	13.6
<m2-o></m2-o>	1.892	1.898	1.893	1.893	1.896	1.897	1.898	1.897
n. e	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.3	13.5	13.4	13.3	13.5
<m3-o></m3-o>	2.099	2.103	2.102	2.079	2.029	2.029	2.026	2.025
n. e	22.5	22.6	22.0	21.8	21.6	21.7	21.8	21.3
$^{M3}(\text{Fe}^{3+})_{\text{calc}}$	0.17	0.15	0.07	0.25	0.63	0.65	0.69	0.63
<si1-0></si1-0>	1.616	1.621	1.623	1.622	1.627	1.626	1.628	1.623
<si2-o></si2-o>	1.618	1.618	1.615	1.619	1.619	1.619	1.616	1.617
<si3-o></si3-o>	1.646	1.638	1.641	1.641	1.646	1.648	1.645	1.646
<si4-o></si4-o>	1.634	1.627	1.638	1.630	1.628	1.634	1.627	1.629
<si5-o></si5-o>	1.618	1.614	1.620	1.619	1.614	1.612	1.609	1.611
011-04	2.949(9)	2.939(9)	2.954(6)	2.972(7)	3.094(1)	3.113(6)	3.121(6)	3.134(6)
010-015	2.661(9)	2.672(9)	2.642(6)	2.670(7)	2.717(7)	2.728(6)	2.746(7)	2.752(7)

*Note*: distances are given in (Å); n.  $e^{-}$  = mean electron number obtained from occupancy refinement. Standard deviations for the individual metaloxygen distances are in the 0.001 – 0.009 (Å) range; the highest values regard the distances involving the O15 atom.

ST2_02	A2	A3	A4	M1	M2	M3	Si4	
	$Ce^{3+}_{0.97}Ca_{0.03}$	$Ce^{3+}_{0.95}Ca_{0.05}$	Ce <sup>3+</sup> 0.94Ca <sub>0.06</sub>	$Al_{0.99}Fe^{3+}_{0.01}$	$Al_{0.97}Fe^{3+}_{0.03}$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{0.62}\mathrm{Al}_{0.31}\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}_{0.07}$	Si <sub>1.00</sub>	_
011			0.293		$0.497^{x^2}$			1.29
O4				$0.557^{x2}$		0.483		1.60
O10	0.248				0.515 <sup>x2</sup>			1.28
015		0.119+0.362					1.056	1.54
ST2_02-750	A2	A3	A4	M1	M2	M3	Si4	
	Ce <sub>0.97</sub> Ca <sub>0.03</sub>	Ce <sub>0.97</sub> Ca <sub>0.03</sub>	Ce <sub>0.95</sub> Ca <sub>0.05</sub>	$Al_{0.95}Fe^{3+}_{0.05}$	$Al_{0.96}Fe^{3+}_{0.04}$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}_{0.63}\mathrm{Al}_{0.36}\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{0.01}$	Si <sub>1.00</sub>	
O11			0.506		$0.595^{x^2}$			1.70
O4				$0.598^{x^2}$		0.619		1.82
O10	0.311				$0.507^{x^2}$			1.32
015		0.176+0.363					1.016	1.56

TABLE 11 – Bond-strengths (*v.u.*) on the four oxygen atoms involved in the hydrogen bonding system in the untreated ST2\_02 crystal before and after the heating in air at 750° C.

*Note*: calculated from the bond-valence curves of Brese and O'Keeffe (1991).















 $absin\beta(\text{Å}^2)$