1	Revision $1 - 06/26/2013$
2	Monipite, MoNiP, a new phosphide mineral in a Ca-Al-rich inclusion from the Allende
3	meteorite
4	
5	Chi Ma*, John R. Beckett, George R. Rossman
6	Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences
7	California Institute of Technology
8	Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
9	
10	ABSTRACT
11	Monipite (IMA 2007-033), MoNiP, is a new phosphide mineral that occurs as one 1
12	\times 2 μ m crystal in a Type B1 Ca-Al-rich inclusion (CAI) ACM-2 from the Allende
13	CV3 carbonaceous chondrite. It has a $P\overline{6}2m$ Fe ₂ P type structure with $a = 5.861$ Å, c
14	= 3.704 Å, V= 110.19 Å ³ , and Z = 3. The calculated density using our measured
15	composition is 8.27 g/cm ³ , making monipite the densest known mineral phosphide.
16	Monipite probably either crystallized from an immiscible P-rich melt that had
17	exsolved from an Fe-Ni-enriched alloy melt that formed during melting of the host
18	CAI or it exsolved from a solidified alloy. Most of the original phosphide in the type
19	occurrence was later altered to apatite and Mo-oxides, leaving only a small residual
20	grain. Monipite occurs within an opaque assemblage included in melilite that
21	contains kamiokite (Fe ₂ Mo ₃ O ₈), tugarinovite (MoO ₂), and a Nb-rich oxide
22	((Nb,V,Fe)O ₂), none of which has previously been reported in meteorites, together
23	with apatite, Ni ₂ Fe metal, and vanadian magnetite.
24	
25	Keywords: monipite, MoNiP, new mineral, tugarinovite, kamiokite, Allende, carbonaceous
26	chondrites, EBSD, Ca-, Al-rich inclusions
27	
28	*E-mail: chi@gps.caltech.edu
29	

30 INTRODUCTION

Schreibersite and barringerite are well known phosphides from iron and stony iron meteorites but phosphides are rare in carbonaceous chondrites, very rare in CV3 chondrites (Jambor et al. 2000; Riches et al. 2010) and, to our knowledge, have not been reported at all in Allende. It is phosphates, not phosphides, that are typically observed in carbonaceous chondrites (e.g., Armstrong et al. 1985, 1987). The reason for this is straightforward. Conditions during metasomatism of Allende and similar meteorites were quite oxidizing such that phosphates became the stable P-bearing minerals. Exposed phosphides were generally destroyed but, here, we describe monipite, a rare phosphide survivor of the metasomatism of the Allende CV3 carbonaceous chondrite, and explore its origin and evolution. Preliminary results are given in Ma et al. (2009a).

The ternary phosphide MoNiP is a well-known synthetic material because of its potential use as a hydrodesulfurization catalyst during petroleum refining (e.g., Sun et al. 2004; Nagai et al. 2005) and because it is a superconductor with a high, for a phosphide, critical temperature (Shirotani et al. 2000). Monipite, natural MoNiP, is the first phosphide mineral with molybdenum as a major constituent and the second mineral with the barringerite Fe₂P type structure. It is also the first reported occurrence of a phosphide in Allende.

MINERAL NAME AND TYPE MATERIAL

The new mineral and its name have been approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification of the International Mineralogical Association (IMA 2007-033). Monipite is the Mo-, Ni-dominant analog of barringerite, Fe₂P. The mineral name monipite is derived from the three major elemental constituents (Molybdenum, Nickel, and Phosphorus). A polished thin section, prepared from a 1-cm-diameter Allende fragment (Caltech Meteorite Collection No. Allende-12A) contains the holotype material of monipite within a CAI. This section was deposited in the Smithsonian Institution's National Museum of Natural History with the catalog number USNM 7554. Type allendeite, grossmanite, hexamolybdenum and hibonite-(Fe), which were described by Ma and Rossman (2009b), Ma et al. (2009b) and Ma (2010), are also present in this section.

APPEARANCE, PHYSICAL, AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES

The holotype grain of monipite is $1 \times 2 \mu m$ in the plane of the section (Fig. 2; see Fig. 1 for a larger scale petrographic context). It is in contact with apatite, tugarinovite (MoO₂), and a Ru-Mo-Ni enriched alloy. The grain is opaque and non-cathodoluminescent under the electron beam in an SEM. Luster, streak, hardness, cleavage, fracture, and details of the optical properties were not determined because of the small grain size. The calculated density using our measured composition and the structure and cell parameters of synthetic MoNiP from Guérin and Sergent (1977) is 8.27 g/cm³ (see below).

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

Backscatter electron (BSE) images were obtained using a ZEISS 1550VP field emission SEM and a JEOL 8200 electron microprobe with solid-state BSE detectors. Quantitative elemental micro-analyses were conducted with the JEOL 8200 electron microprobe operated at 15 kV and 5 nA in focused beam mode. Standards for the analysis of monipite were Mo (Mo $L\alpha$), Ni (Ni $K\alpha$), GaP (P $K\alpha$), Ru (Ru $L\alpha$), Rh (Rh $L\alpha$), Fe (Fe $K\alpha$), and Co (Co $K\alpha$). Analyses were processed using the CITZAF correction procedure (Armstrong 1995). An Oxford INCA X-ray energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) on the ZEISS SEM was also used for elemental analysis. These data were processed using the XPP correction procedure (Pouchou and Pichoir 1991).

Compositions of phases from the host inclusion, oxides and apatite from the opaque assemblage containing monipite are given in Table 1. In Table 2 we give compositions of alloys and monipite. Opaque assemblages are complex, multi-phase objects that occur in carbonaceous chondrites [see Blum et al. (1989) for a general description] and are generally thought to be oxidation/sulfidation products of alloys or alloy-rich phase assemblages. Some of the compositions reported in Table 1 reflect mixtures of the target phase with nearby or surrounding phases. Such data are the best we can do at present and are given to maximize information about the phase assemblages in the vicinity of monipite.

CRYSTALLOGRAPHY

Single-crystal electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) analyses at a sub-micrometer scale were performed using an HKL EBSD system on the ZEISS 1550VP scanning electron microscope operated at 20 kV and 9 nA in focused beam mode with a 70° tilted stage and in a

93

94

95 96

97

98

99

100 101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113 114 115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

variable pressure mode (25 Pa). The EBSD system was calibrated using a single-crystal silicon standard. The structure of monipite was determined and cell constants obtained by matching the observed EBSD pattern against the structures of synthetic phases in the Mo-Ni-P system (Guérin and Sergent 1977; Shirotani et al. 2000). The $P\overline{6}2m$ MoNiP structure (Guérin and Sergent 1977) vields the lowest mean angular deviation (MAD) with MAD values of $0.3^{\circ} \sim 0.7^{\circ}$ (Fig. 3). Alternative candidate structures had much higher MAD values ($\sim 1.0^{\circ}$). From the cell parameters of MoNiP (Guérin and Sergent 1977), the cell parameters for monipite are a = 5.861 Å, c = 3.704 Å, V = 110.19 Å³, Z = 3. Note that errors are not given for these cell parameters because they are taken directly from the data of the matching synthetic phase. Accepting these parameters for monipite, the calculated density using our measured composition is then 8.27 g/cm³, which is the highest known density for a natural phosphide. Monipite assumes a Fe₂P type structure constructed from successive layers of Ni + P and Mo + P with Ni and Mo in tetrahedral and square-pyramidal coordination, respectively (Guérin and Sergent 1977; Shirotani et al. 2000). The X-ray powder-diffraction data (in Å for $CuK\alpha 1$) are taken from PDF 71-0202. The strongest calculated lines are [d in Å, intensity, I, scaled to 100 for the most intense peak, (hkl)] [2.298, 100, (111)], [1.918, 73, (210)], [2.094, 69, (201)], [1.852, 24, (002)], [1.408, 20, (310)],[1.316, 18, (311)], [1.332, 17, (212)], and [1.111, 14, (321)]. Raman micro-analysis of monipite was carried out using a Renishaw M1000 micro-Raman spectrometer system and a 514.5 nm laser using methods described in Ma and Rossman (2008, 2009a). The Raman spectrum for monipite (Fig. 4), shows Raman features at 280, 350, and 430 cm⁻¹.

OCCURRENCE AND ASSOCIATED MINERALS

Monipite occurs as one irregular grain, $1.3 \times 2.0 \,\mu m$ in size (Figs. 1 - 2), in the central portion of an opaque assemblage (Blum et al. 1989) enclosed mostly within melilite from a fragment of a coarse-grained Ca-, Al-rich inclusion, ACM-2. There are also some coarse spinel grains in contact with the opaque assemblage. The monipite is approximately 1100 µm from the surface of the inclusion as defined by a Wark-Lovering rim in the plane of the section, which consists of a series of mono- and bi-mineralic layers, abutting matrix; these rims surround most coarse-grained inclusions in Allende (Fig. 1a; see Wark and Boynton 2001 and references

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

therein). Since the Wark-Lovering rim is absent on the side closest to the monipite, we can conclude that only a portion of the original inclusion has survived. The distance to the opposite, now missing, free surface, which would also have been bounded by a Wark-Lovering rim, is unknown but we argue below that the Wark-Lovering rim shown in Fig. 1a marks the free surface closest to the monipite. The surviving portion of the host inclusion is $\sim 1400 \times 2800 \,\mu m$ across in the plane of the type section (Fig. 1a). The observed host inclusion is melilite-rich (>90 % modal melilite) with minor amounts of clinopyroxene (a solid solution between Al, Ti-diopside and grossmanite) and MgAl₂O₄ spinel, most of the latter residing in a band ~200 μm inboard from the Wark-Lovering rim. These features are potentially consistent with either a compact type A (CTA) inclusion, in which the mode is everywhere dominated by melilite with minor spinel and clinopyroxene, or the melilite-rich mantle portion of a type B1 inclusion. In type B1 inclusions, the melilite-rich mantle surrounds a core containing major modal clinopyroxene along with melilite, spinel, and, usually, anorthite. Since these inclusion types have significantly different bulk compositions and may have had significantly different histories (e.g., Grossman et al. 2008a), it is desirable to distinguish between these two possibilities. We, therefore, begin with a consideration of the host inclusion and its petrographic type and then describe the immediate environs of the type monipite grain. Based on multiple sections cut through the inclusion of this study, the plane of the thin section is roughly perpendicular of the surface of the original inclusion and, assuming that the inclusion was originally spherical, we estimate that it was roughly 7 mm in diameter (estimated via Figure 1a for a chord 1380 μm across with a segment height of 260 μm). Such a large CAI would be consistent with a type B1 inclusion but unusual for CTA inclusions, which tend to be much smaller, although TS12, a CTA described by Simon et al. (1999), is 8 mm long × 1.5 mm across in section. Although a CTA is possible based on observed dimensions of the inclusion fragment sampled by USNM 7554, it is much more likely that ACM-2 is a type B1 inclusion. The major element chemistries of melilite and spinel in ACM-2 are not diagnostic for inclusion type. Highly magnesian melilites in a melilite-rich CAI would be a signature for a type B1 inclusion but the relatively aluminous melilites observed in ACM-2 are consistent with both type B1 and CTA inclusions. The composition of clinopyroxene, however, does provide an additional clue to the identity of the host inclusion. Clinopyroxene occurring near the Wark-

Lovering rim is highly titaniferous with TiO₂* (all Ti calculated as TiO₂) as high as 19 wt %.

154 The type grossmanite crystal, which was described by Ma and Rossman (2009b), also occurs in 155 USNM 7554 and its location is indicated in Fig. 1. Grossmanites are not diagnostic for inclusion 156 type because they found in both CTAs and in the melilite-rich mantles of type B1 inclusions. 157 However, clinopyroxene in the vicinity of the monipite-bearing opaque assemblage is Al, Tidiopside, characterized by relatively modest TiO₂* contents (e.g., Table 1) ranging from 6 - 9 wt 158 159 %. This strongly suggests that the host inclusion is a type B1 (Simon et al. 1999). It seems 160 likely that the original inclusion broke at or near the interface between the mantle and core, a 161 natural zone of weakness, and that the monipite-bearing section shown in Fig. 1 is part of a 162 fragment that adhered to the matrix after removal of the bulk of the inclusion. 163 The monipite crystal (Fig. 2) is in contact with a Ru-Mo-Ni metal grain 164 (Ru_{0.47}Mo_{0.28}Ni_{0.22}Rh_{0.04}), which has a P6₃/mmc structure based on EBSD measurements, apatite 165 (identity confirmed via EBSD versus merrillite), and tugarinovite (MoO₂), which also occurs 166 partially included in the Ru-enriched alloy. An Nb-rich oxide (Nb,V,Fe)O₂, an unnamed cubic 167 Mo-Fe oxide, V-Mo-bearing magnetite, kamiokite, spinel, tugarinovite, and awaruite are also 168 present elsewhere in the opaque assemblage; compositions obtained via SEM-EDS or EPMA are 169 given in Tables 1-2. The Nb-rich oxide has, after extracting P₂O₅ and CaO in the form of apatite 170 a formula of $(Nb_0 5_4 V_0 27 Fe_{0.15} Mg_{0.05} Al_{0.04}) O_2$. This phase is different from the one described by 171 Lovering et al. (1979). Their grains have much higher molar Ca/Nb (~1) and are likely to be 172 pyrochlores, as suggested by the authors. 173 The monipite-Ru-Mo-Ni alloy- tugarinovite assemblage is surrounded by apatite and an 174 asymmetric suite of partial bi- to polymineralic rings with the Nb-rich oxide 175 (Nb_{0.54}V_{0.27}Fe_{0.15}Mg_{0.05}Al_{0.04})O₂ restricted to the pyroxene-spinel bounded side of the opaque 176 assemblage and Mo-Fe oxides generally restricted to the awaruite side in Fig. 2. Apatite 177 (identity confirmed via EBSD) is found throughout the opaque assemblage in Fig. 2 up to the 178 interface with the large Ni₂Fe grain but no Ru enriched alloys are observed in this region, 179 although they do occur as submicron inclusions included in the large Ni₂Fe grain. Tugarinovite 180 gives way to one or more Mo-Fe oxides with an overall molar Mo/Fe ~ 2, at least one of which is cubic (i.e., not tugarinovite or kamiokite), and kamiokite ($Fe_2^{2+}Mo_3^{4+}O_8$). Continuing left in Fig. 181 2, these phases are largely replaced by a Mo-, V-rich magnetite. A grain of kamiokite is, 182 183 however, observed at the edge of the large Ni₂Fe metal grain shown in Figs. 1b and 2 and both 184 kamiokite and Ru-Os-Mo enriched alloy grains occur as inclusions within it. Sprays of V-rich

magnetite and Ni₂Fe metal extend outward from the monipite-bearing assemblage along cracks (Fig. 1b), suggesting mobility of Fe, Ni, and V.

We did not observe any additional monipite-bearing phase assemblages in ten sections taken in series through the CAI shown in Figs. 1-2. Molybdenite (MoS₂) is observed in one opaque assemblage with pentlandite and Pt-Ir enriched Ni-Fe alloy (Ni₅₀Fe₃₀Pt₁₇Ir₃) but Mo is more typically found in the form of oxides. Kamiokite is observed as inclusions in two Ni-Fe alloys; for these grains, no associated opaque assemblages were observed, although one of them had a phosphate in contact with the Ni-Fe alloy. We also encountered two opaque assemblages containing both phosphate and Mo oxides (Fig. 5). In one of these (Fig. 5a), a mixture of apatite and Mo-Fe oxide / tugarinovite forms a patch partially intruding a large grain of Ni-Fe alloy. The other example (Fig. 5b) contains a rounded cluster of tugarinovite and apatite bounded by kamiokite and a Pt-enriched Fe-Ni alloy.

Phase compositions are given in Tables 1-2. From EPMA, the monipite crystal has an empirical formula of $(Mo_{0.84} Fe_{0.06} Co_{0.04} Rh_{0.03})_{\Sigma 0.97} (Ni_{0.89} Ru_{0.09})_{\Sigma 0.98} P$. Spinel is Mg-, Al-rich but generally zoned in Fe with iron decreasing from rim to core. Clinopyroxene compositions are discussed above. Chlorine and F were not detected in apatite using SEM-EDS but we did not attempt to quantify halogen concentrations using EPMA. Apatite in an opaque assemblage from an Allende CAI described by Armstrong et al. (1985) contained 1.6 wt.% Cl so it is possible that the apatite shown in Figs. 1-2 also contains significant concentrations of Cl. To our knowledge, monipite is the first known occurrence of a phosphide in Allende, although phosphides have been reported in other CV3 chondrites such as Efremovka (Jambor et al. 2000) and NWA 6101 (Riches et al. 2010).

ORIGIN AND SIGNIFICANCE

Monipite joins allabogdanite (Fe,Ni)₂P, andreyivanovite (FeCrP), barringerite (Fe₂P), and florenskyite (FeTiP), as the minerals with an M_2 P stoichiometry, where "M" represents a cation. Other phosphide minerals (e.g., schreibersite) have an M_3 P or M_4 P stoichiometry. Monipite is also the only phosphide mineral with Mo as a major component. This is also the first reported meteoritic occurrence of kamiokite and tugarinovite (and of the unnamed Nb-V and Mo-Fe oxides) in a meteorite. In this section, we briefly consider constraints on the origin of monipite. We begin with a consideration of monipite stability and general thermal history constraints

derived from Type B1 inclusions, then sort out the signatures from low temperature metasomatic 217 processes and, finally, place monipite within the overall history of the CAI. 218 Monipite is readily synthesized on composition in sealed silica tubes between 800 and 219 1200°C (Guérin and Sergent 1977; Oryshchyn et al. 2001) and at high pressure (Shirotani et al. 220 2000) but neither high nor low temperature stability limits have been established. MoNiP is also 221 known to precipitate readily in arc melted, very rapidly cooled, Pd-Ni-Cu-P glassy alloys, even 222 at small concentrations of Mo (\leq 3 wt. %; Ma and Inoue 2003), suggesting that MoNiP may be 223 stable to the high temperatures encountered during melting of the host CAI. Thermodynamic 224 and relevant high temperature phase equilibria data are, however, lacking for monipite, so we 225 cannot use them for a quantitative evaluation of the stability of this phase. 226 The high temperature history of the host CAI would normally provide the basic 227 framework for discussing the origin of monipite but most of the CAI is missing and we must, 228 therefore, resort to, generic constraints based on other Allende type B1 inclusions. A variety of 229 textural and compositional constraints (Stolper 1982; Stolper and Paque 1986; Grossman et al. 230 2008b) imply maximum temperatures for type B1 inclusions of roughly 1400-1450°C with 231 subsequent cooling at rates of degrees to tens of degrees °C/hr under highly reducing conditions. 232 Brief excursions to higher temperatures are possible but both petrographic and isotopic 233 constraints argue against any extended residence (Stolper and Paque 1986; Richter et al. 2002). 234 For Type B1 inclusions, formation of the melilite-rich mantle appears to have been a 235 consequence of the volatilization of Mg and Si from near surface regions of the partially molten 236 droplet followed by nucleation and growth of melilite in the Mg-, Si-depleted region (e.g., 237 Mendybaev et al. 2006). Precursors to the monipite-bearing assemblage shown in Figs. 1-2 and 238 5 and of other assemblages in this CAI now containing the Mo-rich phases tugarinovite and 239 kamiokite were engulfed by melilite when the mantle crystallized. Given the highly reducing 240 conditions during melting of the host CAI (e.g., Stolper et al. 1982; Grossman et al. 2008b), far 241 more reducing than the Mo-MoO₂ buffer, all Mo would have been in reduced form, either in a 242 liquid/crystalline alloy or as a phosphide (i.e., tugarinovite, kamiokite, and apatite are secondary 243 phases). At the ~1400°C peak temperatures of the host CAI, Fe-Ni alloys are crystalline but 244 small amounts of C, P, or S can greatly decrease the liquidus temperature (e.g., Vogel and 245 Horstmann 1953; Gabriel et al. 1987; Waldner and Pelton 2004). The rounded shape of most opaque assemblages in Type B inclusions suggests either brief excursions to temperatures above 246

- 247 ~1450°C or the inclusion of small amounts of C, P, and/or S. The monipite-bearing assemblage
- 248 (Figs. 1-2) and similar opaque assemblage observed in another section through the CAI (Fig. 5)
- both embay adjacent Fe-Ni alloy and are partially surrounded by spinel and Al, Ti-diopside.
- 250 These objects differ from typical opaque assemblages in Allende CAIs in containing
- anomalously large amounts of Mo, which also decreases liquidus temperatures for Fe-Ni-rich
- compositions and, most importantly, P.
- Allende CAIs have been altered through oxidation and vapor transport and it is important
- 254 to evaluate how these secondary processes may have affected monipite and nearby phases. We
- 255 take the temperature fO₂ conditions for Ni₂Fe alloy-magnetite equilibrium from McMahon and
- 256 Haggerty (1980; $log fO_2 = 8.855-28557/T(K)$) and temperatures in the range of 500-800°C as
- 257 representative of this event (Armstrong et al. 1987; Blum et al. 1989). The Mo-MoO₂ buffer
- 258 (O'Neill 1986) is approximately two *log* units more reducing than the McMahon-Haggerty
- 259 conditions, so that tugarinovite (MoO₂) is the stable Mo-O phase during the alteration event, but
- it is several orders of magnitude more oxidizing than fO₂s associated with the CAI melting event,
- so tugarinovite, even had it existed in a precursor, would not have survived the melting event.
- Tugarinovite is a secondary phase. Similar arguments can be used to assert that kamiokite is also
- secondary. Large chemical potential gradients are implied by the observed phase assemblages.
- For example, the activity of MoO_2 is ~ 1 where tugarinovite is present but only 5 μ m away,
- 265 kamiokite contacts Ni₂Fe, for which the equilibrium can be expressed as

$$Fe_{(s)} + 3 MoO_{2(s)} + O_{2(g)} = Fe_2Mo_3O_{8(kam)},$$
 (1)

- 267 where "kam" refers to kamiokite. Writing an equilibrium constant expression for this reaction
- and solving for the activity of MoO₂ leads to

$$a_{MoO_2} = \exp\left\{ \left[\frac{G_{\to(1)}^{\circ}}{RT} - \ln a_{Fe}^2 - \ln f_{O_2} \right] / 3 \right\}. \tag{2}$$

- 270 Again, using McMahon and Haggerty to determine temperature fO₂ with standard state data for
- Fe-Mo oxides from Chase et al. (1985) and Koyama et al. (2003), and activities of Fe in Fe-Ni
- solutions (Chuang et al. 1986), we obtain a_{MoO_2} of 0.07 (500°C) to 0.18 (800°C). The opaque
- 273 assemblage shown in Fig. 2 sustained large gradients in MoO₂ activities over just 5 μm with
- 274 activities decreasing outward from the center.
- The Ru_{0.47}Mo_{0.28}Ni_{0.22}Rh_{0.04} alloy adjacent to monipite in Figs. 1b and 2 has a P6₃/mmc
- structure, which is the same as the element Ru, suggesting that this is a terminal Ru-rich alloy. If

so, this is probably a high temperature phase, because Ni solubility in Ru-Ni alloys is less than
10 mole% for temperatures below 900°C, although it is possible that Mo stabilizes Ni in Ru-rich
solutions. Available thermodynamic data on terminal Ru-rich alloys in the Ru-Ni and Ru-Mo
binaries (Kleykamp 1989; Mazhuga et al. 1998) indicate substantial negative deviations from
ideality. If the alloy equilibrated to low temperatures with contacting tugarinovite, we can write
the formation reaction

283
$$Mo_{(s)} + O_{2(g)} = MoO_{2(tug)},$$
 (3)

where "s" refers to the solid Ru-Mo-Ni alloy, "g" to gas, and "tug" to tugarinovite and a corresponding equilibrium constant expression, which can be rearranged to solve for the activity of Mo in the alloy:

$$a_{Mo} = exp\left[\frac{\mathring{G}_{\rightarrow(3)}}{RT} - \ln f_{O_2}\right], \tag{4}$$

where $G_{\rightarrow(3)}^{\circ}$ is the free energy of reaction (3) and it is assumed that tugarinovite is pure MoO₂ (the small grain size of tugarinovite makes it impossible to assess purity based on the analysis given in Table 2). Taking the free energy of formation of MoO₂ from the JANAF tables (Chase et al. 1985), leads to calculated activity coefficients of 0.06 (500°C) - 0.20 (800°C), which reflect negative deviations from ideality, consistent with available thermodynamic data (e.g., Kleykamop 1989; Mazhuga et al. 1998). Thus, we cannot distinguish on this basis whether the Ru-Mo-Ni alloy is a relict high temperature phase that did not equilibrate with MoO₂ or it is an alteration phase that did equilibrate with tugnarovite.

Nickel, Fe, V, Mo, and P are generally viewed as being mobile during the alteration of Allende CAIs (e.g., Campbell et al. 2003; Paque et al. 2007) so that the current Mo/P of the monipite-bearing opaque assemblage, in particular, is probably not the same as it was prior to alteration. This makes specific identification of possible precursor phases difficult to establish based on major element chemistry. However, the observation of multiple occurrences of apatite, kamiokite and tugarinovite in the host CAI, suggests that these phases represent important pathways by which metamorphism of Mo-, P-enriched precursors within this CAI was expressed. Campbell et al. (2003), for example, argued based on the PGE signature that schreibersite was originally present in the precursor to an opaque assemblage they studied in Allende but that the schreibersite was destroyed during metasomatism. In the present opaque inclusion, Mo and P concentrations in the precursor were much higher, so that monipite rather than schreibersite was

the dominant phosphide and Mo concentrations were sufficiently high to support the formation of large amounts of Mo oxides.

Armstrong et al. (1985) and Bischoff and Palme (1987) described opaque assemblages from Allende CAIs that contain Mo-rich areas but these differ from the objects of this study in three important respects. First, Mo is in the form of powellite-scheelite (i.e., Ca molybdate-tungstate) rather than the Fe-Mo oxides tugarinovite and kamiokite; second, apatite is rare or absent; and, third, no phosphides are observed. These three observations are not unrelated. In the presence of large amounts of phosphide, incoming Ca vapors, probably mostly in the form of Ca(OH)₂ (Hashimoto 1992), reacted with P in Fe-Ni alloys and phosphides to form apatite. For monipite the overall reaction would have been

 $20\text{MoNiP} + 25\text{O}_2 + 12\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2 + 10\text{H}_2\text{O} = 4\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_5(\text{OH}) + 20\text{MoO}_2 + 20\text{Ni}$ (5) for the hydrated form of apatite and a similar reaction can be written for chloride transport. In the absence of significant amounts of phosphides, as is more typical of opaque assemblages (Armstrong et al. 1985; Bischoff and Palme 1987), a Ca molybdate forms in preference to the oxides tugarinovite and kamiokite. Note in Eqn. (5), that the molar Mo/P ratio of the product apatite + tugarinovite is 1 because the monipite source has a fixed ratio. Based on modes within the opaque assemblage shown in Fig. 1b, the molar Mo/P ratio would be approximately 2. This suggests that either P was preferentially lost from the opaque assemblage relative to Mo or, perhaps more likely, that there was more Mo than P in the precursor (i.e., the precursor consisted of monipite and additional Mo-bearing phases, probably in the form of alloys).

The above considerations suggest the following basic scenario for the formation of monipite. The host CAI is the product of melting to temperatures on the order of 1400°C, followed by cooling at degrees to tens of degrees per hour. During this melting event, Mo, P, Fe, and Ni formed immiscible metallic melts within the silicate melt of the host. During cooling, either monipite crystallized from the metallic melt (or a P-rich immiscible melt within the alloy melt), or it exsolved at lower temperatures from a Mo-P enriched crystalline alloy. At a later time, the host CAI was subjected to an alteration event that oxidized Mo and P to form apatite and Mo oxides. There are currently insufficient thermodynamic, kinetic, and phase equilibria data involving monipite to quantify the history of this phase but, if available, they could, in principle, be used to help constrain several important facets of CAI evolution including the

338

339340

341

342

343

344

345346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367


nature of the melting event and of siderophile precursor materials and the evolution of Mo and P in CAIs both during the melting event and during later alteration. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS The Caltech GPS Analytical Facility is supported, in part, by NSF grants NSF EAR-0318518 and DMR-0080065. We also acknowledge NASA grant NNG04GG14G and NSF grant EAR-0947956. We thank Alan Rubin, an anonymous reviewer and associate editor Oliver Tschauner for helpful reviews. REFERENCES CITED Armstrong, J.T., El Goresy, A., and Wasserburg, G.J. (1985) Willy: A prize noble Ur-Fremdling—Its history and implications for the formation of Fremdlinge and CAI. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 49, 1001-1022. Armstrong, J.T., Hutcheon, I.D., and Wasserburg, G.J. (1987) Zelda and company: Petrogenesis of sulfide-rich Fremdlinge and constraints on solar nebula processes. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 51, 3155-3173. Armstrong, J.T. (1995) CITZAF: A package of correction programs for the quantitative electron beam X-ray analysis of thick polished materials, thin films, and particles. Microbeam Analysis, 4, 177-200. Bischoff, A. and Palme, H. (1987) Composition and mineralogy of refractory-metal-rich assemblages from a Ca, Al-rich inclusion in the Allende meteorite. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 51, 2733-2748. Blum, J.D., Wasserburg, G.J., Hutcheon, I.D., Beckett, J.R., and Stolper, E.M. (1989) Origin of opaque assemblages in C3V meteorites: Implications for nebular and planetary processes. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 53, 543-556. Campbell, A.J., Simon, S.B., Humayun, M., and Grossman, L. (2003) Chemical evolution of metal in refractory inclusions in CV3 chondrites. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 67, 3119-3134. Chase, M.W., Davies, C.A., Downey, J.R., Frurip, D.J., McDonald, R.A., and Syverud, A.N. (1985) JANAF thermochemical tables third edition. Physical and Chemical Reference data, 14, Supplement 1, 1-1856.

368	Chuang, YY., Chang, Y.A., Schmid, R., and Lin, JC. (1986) Magnetic contributions to the
369	thermodynamic functions of alloys and the phase equilibria of the Fe-Ni system below
370	1200 K. Metallurgical Transactions, 17A, 1361-1372.
371	Gabriel, A., Gustafson, P., and Ansara, I. (1987) A thermodynamic evaluation of the C-Fe-Ni
372	system. Calphad, 11, 203-218.
373	Grossman, L., Simon, S.B., Rai, V.K., Thiemens, M.H., Hutcheon, I.D., Williams, R.W., Galy,
374	A., Ding, T., Fedkin, A.V., Clayton, R.N., and Mayeda, T.K. (2008a) Primordial
375	compositions of refractory inclusions. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 72, 3001-
376	3021.
377	Grossman, L., Beckett, J.R., Fedkin, A.V., Simon, S.B., and Ciesla, F.J. (2008b) Redox
378	conditions in the solar nebula: Observational, experimental, and theoretical constraints.
379	Reviews in Mineralogy & Geochemistry, 68, 93-140.
380	Guérin, P.R. and Sergent, M. (1977) Structure Cristalline de NiMoP. Acta Crystallographica,
381	B33, 2820-2823.
382	Hashimoto, A. (1992) The effect of H ₂ O gas on volatilities of planet-forming major elements: I.
383	Experimental determination of thermodynamic properties of Ca-, Al-, and Si-hydroxide
384	gas molecules and its application to the solar nebula. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
385	Acta, 56, 511-532.
386	Jambor, J.L., Kovalenker, V.A., and Roberts, A.C. (2000) New mineral names. American
387	Mineralogist, 85, 873-877.
388	Kleykamp, H. (1989) Constitution and thermodynamics of the Mo-Ru, Mo-Pd, Ru-Pd, and Mo-
389	Ru-Pd systems. Journal of Nuclear Materials, 167, 49-63.
390	Koyama, K., Morishita, M., Harada, T., and Maekawa, N. (2003) Determination of standard
391	Gibbs energies of formation of Fe ₂ Mo ₃ O ₁₂ , Fe ₂ Mo ₃ O ₈ , Fe ₂ MoO ₄ , and FeMoO ₄ of the Fe-
392	Mo-O ternary system and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ phase of the Fe-Mo binary system by electromotive force
393	measurement using a Y_2O_3 -stabilized ZrO_2 solid electrolyte. Metallurgical and Materials
394	Transactions, 34B, 653-659.
395	Lovering, J.F., Wark, D.A., and Sewell, D.K.B. (1979) Refractory oxide, titanite, niobate and
396	silicate accessory mineralogy of some type B Ca-Al-rich inclusions in the Allende
397	meteorite. Lunar and Planetary Science, 10, 745-747.

398 Ma, C. and Inoue, A. (2003) Microstructure and mechanical properties of Cr, Mo, Fe, Ta 399 modified Pd-Ni-Cu-P glassy alloys prepared by copper-mold casting. Materials 400 Transactions, 44, 188-196. 401 Ma, C. and Rossman, G.R. (2008) Barioperovskite, BaTiO₃, a new mineral from the Benitoite Mine, California. American Mineralogist, 93, 154-157. 402 403 Ma, C. and Rossman, G.R. (2009a) Tistarite, Ti₂O₃, a new refractory mineral from the Allende meteorite. American Mineralogist, 94, 841-844. 404 Ma, C. and Rossman, G.R. (2009b) Grossmanite, CaTi³⁺AlSiO₆, a new pyroxene from the 405 Allende meteorite. American Mineralogist, 94, 1491-1494. 406 407 Ma, C., Beckett, J.R., and Rossman, G.R. (2009a) Discovery of a new phosphide mineral, 408 monipite (MoNiP), in an Allende type B1 CAI. Meteoritics & Planetary Science, 44, 409 A127. 410 Ma, C., Beckett, J.R., and Rossman, G.R. (2009b) Allendeite and hexamolybdenum: two new 411 ultra-refractory minerals in Allende and two missing links. Lunar and Planetary Science 412 Conference 40, Abstract #1402. 413 Ma, C. (2010) Hibonite-(Fe), (Fe,Mg)Al₁₂O₁₉, a new alteration mineral from the Allende 414 meteorite. American Mineralogist, 95, 188-191. Mazhuga, T.G., Danilenko, V.M., Velikanova, T.Ya., and Semenova, E.L. (1998) 415 416 Thermodynamic calculation of phase equilibria in the Ti-Ru, Ti-Os, Ni-Ru binary 417 systems. Calphad, 22, 59-67. 418 McMahon, B.M. and Haggerty, S.E. (1980) Experimental studies bearing on the magnetite-alloy-419 sulfide association in the Allende meteorite: Constraints on the conditions of chondrule 420 formation. Proceedings of the Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, 11, 1003-1025. 421 Mendybaev, R.A., Richter, F.M., and Davis, A.M. (2006) Crystallization of melilite from 422 CMAS-liquids and the formation of the melilite mantle of Type B1 CAIs: Experimental 423 simulations. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 70, 2622-2642. 424 Nagai, M., Fukiage, T., and Kurata, S. (2005) Hydrodesulfurization of dibenzothiopene over 425 alumina-supported nickel molybdenum phosphide catalysts. Catalysis Today, 106, 201-426 205. 427 O'Neill, H.St.C. (1986) Mo-MoO₂ (MOM) oxygen buffer and the free energy of formation of 428 MoO₂. American Mineralogist, 71, 1007-1010.

429	Oryshchyn, S.V., Le Senechal, C., Deputier, S., Bauer, J., Guerin, R., and Akselrud, L.G. (2001)
430	New ternary phases in the Mo-Ni-P system: Synthesis and crystal structures. Journal of
431	Solid State Chemistry, 160, 156-166.
432	Paque, J.M., Burnett, D.S., and Beckett, J.R. (2007) Zoning patterns of Fe and V in spinel from a
433	type B Ca-Al-rich inclusion: Constraints on subsolidus thermal history. Meteoritics &
434	Planetary Science, 42, 899-912.
435	Pouchou, JL. and Pichoir, F. (1991) Quantitative analysis of homogeneous or stratified
436	microvolumes applying the model "PAP". In Electron Probe Quantitation (Heinrich,
437	K.F.J. and Newbury, D.E., eds.), Plenum Press, 31-75.
438	Riches, A.J.V., Liu, Y., Zhang, A., and Taylor, L.A. (2010) Description of newly-identified CV3
439	chondrites: Salient textural and mineralogical characteristics. Lunar and Planetary
440	Science 41, Abstract #2561.
441	Richter, F.M., Davis, A.M., Ebel, D.S., and Hashimoto, A. (2002) Elemental and isotopic
442	fractionation of Type B calcium-, aluminum-rich inclusions: Experiments, theoretical
443	considerations, and constraints on their evolution. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta,
444	66, 521-540.
445	Shirotani, I., Takaya, M., Kaneko, I., Sekine, C., and Yagi, T. (2000) Superconductivity of
446	MRuP and MNiP ($M = Mo \text{ or } W$) prepared at high pressure. Solid State
447	Communications, 116, 683-686.
448	Simon, S.B., Davis, A.M., and Grossman, L. (1999) Origin of compact type A refractory
449	inclusions from CV3 carbonaceous chondrites. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 63,
450	1233-1248.
451	Stolper, E. (1982) Crystallization sequences of Ca-Al-rich inclusions from Allende: An
452	experimental study. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 46, 2159-2180.
453	Stolper, E. and Paque, J.M. (1986) Crystallization sequences of Ca-Al-rich inclusions from
454	Allende: The effects of cooling rate and maximum temperature. Geochimica et
455	Cosmochimica Acta, 50, 1785-1806.
456	Stolper, E., Paque, J., and Rossman, G.R. (1982) The influence of oxygen fugacity and cooling
457	rate on the crystallization of Ca-Al-rich inclusions from Allende. Lunar and Planetary
458	Science 13, 772-773.

459	Sun, F., Wu, W., Wu, Z., Wei, Z., Yang, Y., Jiang, Z., Tian, F., and Li, C. (2004)
460	Dibenzothiopene hydrosulfurization activity and surface sites of silica-supported MoP,
461	Ni ₂ P, and Ni-Mo-P catalysts. Journal of Catalysis, 228, 298-310.
462	Vogel, R. and Horstmann, D. (1953) Das Zustandsschaubild Eisen-Eisenphosphid-
463	Molybdänphosphid-Molybdän. Archiv für das Eisenhüttenwesen, 24, 369-374.
464	Waldner, P. and Pelton, A.D. (2004) Critical thermodynamic assessment and modeling of the Fe-
465	Ni-S system. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions, 35B, 897-907.
466	Wark, D.A. and Boynton, W.V. (2001) The formation of rims on calcium-aluminum-rich
467	inclusions: Step I—Flash heating. Meteoritics & Planetary Science, 36, 1135-1166.
468	
469	



472

473

474 475

476

477

Figure 1a. Backscatter electron (BSE) image of CAI host of the monipite-bearing phase assemblage in USNM 7554. The location of monipite is enclosed by a circle.




Figure 1b. BSE image showing the region where the monipite micro-crystal and its associated phases are located. The opaque assemblage is bounded on one side mostly by Al,Ti-diopside and spinel and on the other mostly by melilite.




Figure 2. Enlarged BSE image showing the monipite micro-crystal and its associated phases.




Figure 3. (a) EBSD pattern of the monipite crystal, (b) Pattern indexed using the MoNiP $P\overline{62m}$ structure of Guérin and Sergent (1977).





Figure 4. Raman spectrum of type monipite.



504

505

Figure 5. Phosphate - Mo oxide - magnetite - awaruite assemblages. (a) The opaque assemblage is partially enclosed by Al,Ti-diopside with a surrounding rosette of anorthite blebs, the latter most likely reflecting trapped melt. Ni-Fe alloy are scattered along a crack that extends from the opaque assemblage. (b) Phosphate - tugarinovite region surrounded by Pt-bearing Fe-Ni alloy and kamiokite.

Table 1: Oxides, phosphates, and silicates within and around or near the monipite-bearing phase assemblage

Phase	Al,Ti-diopside	melilite	spinel	kamiokite	V-rich magnetite	(Nb,V,Fe)O ₂ ^a	apatite ^b	Tugarinovite ^c	Mo-Fe-oxide ^d
Section	USNM 7554	USNM 7554	USNM 7554	USNM 7554	USNM 7554	USNM 7554	USNM 7554	USNM 7554	USNM 7554
type	EPMA ^e	EPMA	SEM-EDS ^f	SEM-EDS	SEM-EDS	SEM-EDS	SEM-EDS	SEM-EDS	SEM-EDS
# analyses	7	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Na ₂ O	0.01 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
MgO	8.0 (0.6) ^e	2.84 (0.03)	17.4 (0.3) ^f	2.5 (0.1)	1.1 (0.1)	1.2 (0.2)	1.9 (0.2)	0.9 (0.3)	0.8 (0.3)
Al ₂ O ₃	20.5 (0.9)	29.4 (0.1)	63.7 (0.6)	n.d.	3.0 (0.2)	1.2 (0.2)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
SiO ₂	38 (1)	26.5 (0.1)	n.d.	n.d.	1.1 (0.2)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
P_2O_5	n.d. ^g	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	11.6 (0.6)	41.9 (0.9)	3.1 (0.6)	22 (1)
CaO	25.5 (0.2)	41.9 (0.2)	n.d.	0.81 (0.1)	1.7 (0.1)	20.0 (0.5)	47.8 (0.8)	4.1 (0.4)	28.8 (0.9)
TiO ₂	7(1)	0.01 (0.01)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
V_2O_3	0.7 (0.3)	0.01 (0.01)	2.6 (0.2)	n.d.	13.4 (0.3)	13.1 (0.6)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.10 (0.03)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.2 (0.2)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
MnO	0.01 (0.01)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
FeO*h	0.09 (0.01)	0.05 (0.04)	16.3 (0.5)	21.7 (0.4)	71.4 (0.5)	6.7 (0.5)	0.6 (0.7)	6.8 (0.8)	14 (1)
NiO	0.08 (0.02)	0.03 (0.03)	n.d.	1.0 (0.3)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Nb ₂ O ₅	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	46 (1)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
MoO ₂	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	74.0 (0.6)	7.2 (0.4)	n.d.	7.9 (0.9)	85 (1)	34 (1)
Total	99.99	100.76	100.0	100.0	100.1	99.8	100.1	99.9	99.6
# Oxygens	6 ⁱ	7	4	8	4 ^j				
Na	n.d.	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.				
Mg	0.45	0.19	0.67	0.32	0.06				
Al	0.90	1.57	1.93	n.d.	0.12				
Si	1.42	1.20	n.d.	n.d.	0.04				
P	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.00	n.d.				
Ca	1.02	2.03	n.d.	0.07	0.06				
Ti	-	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.				
Ti ³⁺	0.05	-	-	-	-				
Ti ⁴⁺	0.14	_	_	_	_				
V	0.02	0.00	0.05	n.d.	0.39				
Cr	0.00	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	0.03				1
Mn	0.00	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.				
Fe*	0.00	0.00	-	1.56	-				
Fe ²⁺	-	-	0.35	-	1.06				1
Fe ³⁺	-	-	-	-	1.11				
Ni	0.00	n.d.	n.d.	0.07	n.d.				
Zr	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.				
Mo	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	2.99	0.12				
IVIO									

^aAnalysis contaminated by apatite.

^bAnalysis contaminated by tugarinovite.

^cAnalysis contaminated by apatite, Mo-Fe-oxide.

^dAnalysis contaminated by apatite and tugarinovite. This phase has a cubic structure, based on EBSD.

^eErrors given inside parentheses for EPMA analyses are one standard deviation of the mean based on all of the analyses.

^fErrors given inside parentheses for SEM-EDS analyses are one standard deviation computed from counting statistics.

^gn.d.: not determined.

^hAll Fe as FeO.

ⁱFormula computed assuming exactly 4.00 cations in a formula unit based on 6.00 oxygens by adjusting cations of Ti^{3+} and Ti^{4+} . ^jFormula computed assuming exactly 3.00 cations in a formula unit based on 4.00 oxygens by adjusting cations of Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} .

Table 2: Alloys and Phosphides in Monipite-bearing Phase Assemblages

Section USNM 7554 USNM 7554 USNM 7554 type EPMA a SEM-EDSb SEM-EDS # 3 1 1 analyses 1 1 1 P 16.8 (0.2)a n.d. n.d. Fe 1.73 (0.07) n.d. 32.8 (0.8) Co 1.25 (0.05) n.d. n.d. Ni 28.5 (0.2) 14.1 (0.8)b 65.9 (0.9) Mo 43.9 (0.6) 29 (1) n.d. Ru 5.2 (0.1) 53 (1) n.d. Rh 1.93 (0.07) 4(1) n.d. Pt n.d. 1.3 (0.7) Total 99.31 100.1 100.0 Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d. <td< th=""><th>Phase</th><th>monipite</th><th>Ru-Mo-Ni alloy</th><th>Ni₂Fe alloy</th></td<>	Phase	monipite	Ru-Mo-Ni alloy	Ni ₂ Fe alloy
# 3 1 1 1 analyses P 16.8 (0.2) ^a n.d. n.d. Fe 1.73 (0.07) n.d. 32.8 (0.8) Co 1.25 (0.05) n.d. n.d. Ni 28.5 (0.2) 14.1 (0.8) ^b 65.9 (0.9) Mo 43.9 (0.6) 29 (1) n.d. Ru 5.2 (0.1) 53 (1) n.d. Rh 1.93 (0.07) 4(1) n.d. Pt n.d. ^c n.d. 1.3 (0.7) Total 99.31 100.1 100.0 Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	Section			
# 3 1 1 1 analyses P 16.8 (0.2) ^a n.d. n.d. n.d. Fe 1.73 (0.07) n.d. 32.8 (0.8) Co 1.25 (0.05) n.d. n.d. n.d. Ni 28.5 (0.2) 14.1 (0.8) ^b 65.9 (0.9) Mo 43.9 (0.6) 29 (1) n.d. Ru 5.2 (0.1) 53 (1) n.d. Rh 1.93 (0.07) 4(1) n.d. Pt n.d. ^c n.d. 1.3 (0.7) Total 99.31 100.1 100.0 Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	type	EPMA ^a	SEM-EDS ^b	SEM-EDS
P 16.8 (0.2) ^a n.d. n.d. Fe 1.73 (0.07) n.d. 32.8 (0.8) Co 1.25 (0.05) n.d. n.d. Ni 28.5 (0.2) 14.1 (0.8) ^b 65.9 (0.9) Mo 43.9 (0.6) 29 (1) n.d. Ru 5.2 (0.1) 53 (1) n.d. Rh 1.93 (0.07) 4(1) n.d. Pt n.d. 1.3 (0.7) Total 99.31 100.1 100.0 Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.		3	1	1
Fe 1.73 (0.07) n.d. 32.8 (0.8) Co 1.25 (0.05) n.d. n.d. Ni 28.5 (0.2) 14.1 (0.8) ^b 65.9 (0.9) Mo 43.9 (0.6) 29 (1) n.d. Ru 5.2 (0.1) 53 (1) n.d. Rh 1.93 (0.07) 4(1) n.d. Pt n.d. 1.3 (0.7) Total 99.31 100.1 100.0 Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 Te 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	analyses			
Co 1.25 (0.05) n.d. n.d. Ni 28.5 (0.2) 14.1 (0.8) ^b 65.9 (0.9) Mo 43.9 (0.6) 29 (1) n.d. Ru 5.2 (0.1) 53 (1) n.d. Rh 1.93 (0.07) 4(1) n.d. Pt n.d. 1.3 (0.7) Total 99.31 100.1 100.0 Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	P	$16.8 (0.2)^{a}$	n.d.	n.d.
Ni 28.5 (0.2) 14.1 (0.8)b 65.9 (0.9) Mo 43.9 (0.6) 29 (1) n.d. Ru 5.2 (0.1) 53 (1) n.d. Rh 1.93 (0.07) 4(1) n.d. Pt n.d.* 1.3 (0.7) Total 99.31 100.1 100.0 Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 Tellow to the control of the control o	Fe	1.73 (0.07)	n.d.	32.8 (0.8)
Mo 43.9 (0.6) 29 (1) n.d. Ru 5.2 (0.1) 53 (1) n.d. Rh 1.93 (0.07) 4(1) n.d. Pt n.d.° n.d. 1.3 (0.7) Total 99.31 100.1 100.0 Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	Co	1.25 (0.05)		n.d.
Ru 5.2 (0.1) 53 (1) n.d. Rh 1.93 (0.07) 4(1) n.d. Pt n.d.° n.d. 1.3 (0.7) Total 99.31 100.1 100.0 Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	Ni	28.5 (0.2)	$14.1 (0.8)^{b}$	65.9 (0.9)
Rh 1.93 (0.07) 4(1) n.d. Pt n.d.° n.d. 1.3 (0.7) Total 99.31 100.1 100.0 Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 Telestrian 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	Mo	43.9 (0.6)	29 (1)	n.d.
Pt n.d.° n.d. 1.3 (0.7) Total 99.31 100.1 100.0 Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 4 Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	Ru	5.2 (0.1)		n.d.
Total 99.31 100.1 100.0 Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	Rh	1.93 (0.07)	4(1)	n.d.
Based on 1 P Atomic % Atomic % P 1 Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	Pt	n.d. ^c	n.d.	1.3 (0.7)
P 1 Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	Total	99.31	100.1	100.0
P 1 Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.				
P 1 Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.				
Fe 0.06 n.d. 34.23 Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.		Based on 1 P	Atomic %	Atomic %
Co 0.04 n.d. n.d. Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	P	1		
Ni 0.89 21.67 65.39 Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	Fe	0.06	n.d.	34.23
Mo 0.84 27.67 n.d.	Co	0.04	n.d.	n.d.
	Ni	0.89	21.67	65.39
Ru 0.09 47.13 n.d.	Mo	0.84	27.67	n.d.
	Ru	0.09	47.13	n.d.
Rh 0.03 3.53 n.d.	Rh	0.03	3.53	n.d.
Pt n.d. n.d. 0.38	Pt	n.d.	n.d.	0.38
Sum 2.96 100.00 100.00	Sum	2.96	100.00	100.00

^aErrors given inside parentheses for EPMA analyses are one standard deviation of the mean based on all of the analyses.

^bErrors given inside parentheses for SEM-EDS analyses are one standard deviation computed from counting statistics.

^cn.d.: not determined.