(Revision 1)

Ab initio computation on the Fe L-edge X-ray emission spectroscopy of

Fe-bearing MgSiO$_3$ perovskite

Xianlong Wang and Taku Tsuchiya

Geodynamics Research Center, Ehime University, 2-5 Bunkyo-cho, Matsuyama 790-8577, Japan

Phone: +81-89-927-8198, Fax: +81-89-927-8167, E-mail: xianlong@sci.ehime-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We systematically investigated the L-edge X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES), a 3$d$-to-2$p$ transition, of Fe$^{2+}$- and Fe$^{3+}$- bearing MgSiO$_3$ perovskite under high pressure based on the internally consistent LSDA+$U$ technique combined with the Slater-transition method. The Fe L-edge XES spectra can be used to directly interpolate the distribution of Fe-3$d$ electrons including the spin states and coordination environments of iron. Our results show that the spin transition from the high-spin state to low-spin state of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ can be identified easily by the L-edge XES technique. The valence state of Fe (2+ or 3+) can be verified by this, since a shift of the first main peak of Fe$^{2+}$ across the spin transition of 2 eV, in good agreement with the experimental value (~1.6 eV), is significantly smaller than that of Fe$^{3+}$ of 4 eV. The width of the L-edge XES of Fe$^{3+}$ also depends strongly on the substitution sites (Mg or Si), meaning that its coordination environments might also be distinguishable based on the Fe L-edge XES spectra. These strong sensitivities to the Fe’s states suggest that the
high-resolution Fe $L$-edge XES would be a useful experimental technique to investigate
Fe-bearing silicate minerals.
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1. **Introduction**

Iron is one of the dominant impurities in the minerals of the potential Earth’s lower mantle
(LM) such as in MgSiO$_3$ and MgO (e.g., Hemley and Cohen 1992; Irifune and Tsuchiya
2007). Therefore, behavior of Fe in different spin states, valence states, and coordination
environments need to be clarified in order to improve our understanding of the LM.

Researchers have already focused extensively on the behavior of Fe in LM minerals, which
can be affected by several factors such as pressure and concentration of dissolving trivalent
aluminium (Al$^{3+}$), which is believed to be another dominant impurity in LM minerals
(Irifune 1997; Bardro et al. 2003, 2004; Li et al. 2004, 2006; Frost and Langenhorst 2002;
Nishio-Hamane et al. 2005; Lin et al. 2005; Catalli et al. 2010; Fujino et al. 2012). Frost and
Langenhorst (2002) confirmed that a fraction of Fe$^{3+}$, Fe$^{3+}/\sum$Fe, in Fe-bearing MgSiO$_3$
perovskite (Pv) increases with the concentration of increasing Al. The pressure-induced spin
transition of Fe in Fe-bearing MgO (ferropericlase) and MgSiO$_3$ Pv and postperovskite
(PPv) was observed via Fe $K$-edge X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES) or Mössbauer
spectroscopy (Bardro et al. 2003, 2004; Li et al. 2004, 2006; Lin et al. 2005; McCammon et
al. 2008; Catalli et al. 2010; Fujino et al. 2012). However, interpretations of the results
obtained by these techniques are sometimes ambiguous. For example, very similar spectral
variations observed with increasing pressure were assigned to the spin transition of Fe from
the initial high-spin (HS) to the final low-spin (LS) state (Li et al. 2004, 2006) or to the final
intermediate spin (IS) state (McCammon et al. 2008) in Mg-Pv. Furthermore, not only the
stable spin states but also their site dependence continued to be debated. Jackson et al.
(2005) reported that in Fe$^{3+}$-bearing Mg-Pv, iron at the Mg site (hereafter denoted A site)
became LS in the LM pressure range. Nevertheless, some other experimental results showed
that Fe$^{3+}$ in the A site remains HS even up to 136 GPa (Li et al. 2006; Catalli et al. 2010).
Recently, based on spectral measurements, Fujino et al. (2012) proposed that Fe$^{3+}$ in the A
site of Mg-Pv would move to the Si site (hereafter denoted B site) after 40 GPa and then
would undergo a spin transition. Meanwhile, theoretical simulations were also conducted for
better understanding of the spin transition behavior of Fe (Tsuchiya et al. 2006; Hsu et al.
2011; Fukui et al. 2012; Tsuchiya and Wang, 2013), since the spin transition of Fe was
suggested to impact physical properties of LM minerals such as density, sound velocity,
thermal conductivity, and so on (Lin and Tsuchiya 2008; Catalli et al. 2010). Theoretical
results also showed that the Fe spin transition strongly depends on its substitution sites and
valence charges. Fe$^{2+}$ incorporated into MgO and Fe$^{3+}$ at the B site of Mg-Pv underwent the
HS-LS transition in the LM pressure range, while no spin transition was observed when Fe
was substituted at the A site of Mg-Pv with geophysically relevant Fe concentrations
(Tsuchiya et al. 2006; Bengtson et al. 2009; Hsu et al. 2011, 2012; Metsue and Tsuchiya
2011, 2012; Fukui et al. 2012; Tsuchiya and Wang, 2013). In those studies, no stability of
the IS state was ensured, suggesting that there are still technical difficulties in high-pressure
experiments to identify the valence state and coordination environment of Fe clearly. Indeed,
the Fe K-edge XES technique often used in experiments (Bardro et al. 2003, 2004; Li et al. 2004, 2006; Lin et al. 2005; 2008; McCammon et al. 2008; Catalli et al. 2010; Fujino et al. 2012) can only illustrate the spin state of Fe clearly, whereas it is not easy to distinguish between Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ and to identify the position, the A or B site, since the K-edge XES detects the Fe 3p-orbital feature only.

Because the 2p-to-3d transition is an electric-dipole transition that is allowed according to the selection rules, the Fe L-edge XES can directly describe the distribution and intensity of Fe-3d characteristics and therefore can be used to illustrate features of valence orbitals of Fe in Fe-bearing LM minerals. Actually, one pioneering measurement on the Fe L-edge XES of ferropericlase at high pressure was already done using the Resonant XES technique (Lin et al. 2010). Their results showed that the pressure-induced spin crossover of ferrous Fe in MgO could be identified through the analysis of its L-edge XES, whose main peak was pushed up about 1.6 eV across the HS-LS transition. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no experimental or theoretical reports about the Fe L-edge XES of Fe-bearing Mg-Pv, where the substitution mechanism of Fe is much more complicated than in MgO. In this study, we systematically investigated the Fe L-edge XES of Fe-bearing Mg-Pv under high pressure by using first-principles methods combined with the Slater-transition method to show the L-edge XES features of Fe in Fe-bearing Mg-Pv and to offer theoretical references for future experiments, where Fe in different spin states (HS or LS), valence states (2+ or 3+), and substituted sites (A or B site) were considered.

2. Computational Details
The lattice structures of Fe-bearing Mg-Pv are obtained including the screened Coulomb interaction ($U$) for the Fe-3$d$ electrons based on the internally consistent LSDA+$U$ formalism (Cococcioni and de Gironcoli, 2005; Tsuchiya et al. 2006; Metsue and Tsuchiya 2011; Tsuchiya and Wang 2013) implemented in the QE code, (Giannozzi et al. 2009) where the plane wave basis is used to describe valence orbitals and the effects of core electrons and nuclei are approximated by pseudo-potentials. All structure models presented in this work are simulated by taking a $2 \times 2 \times 1$ supercell of orthorhombic Mg-Pv containing 80 atoms with one substituted Fe ion, corresponding to the an iron concentration of 0.0625. The plane wave cutoff energy is 50 Ry and a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ Monkhorst-Pack grid is adopted for the supercell to sample the irreducible parts of the Brillouin zone (Monkhorst and Pack 1976). All these parameters are the same as those applied in our previous works for Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$-bearing Pv and PPv (Metsue and Tsuchiya 2011, 2012; Tsuchiya and Wang 2013). The two valence states of 2+ and 3+, two spin states of the HS and LS, and two substituted A and B sites of Fe are examined here. The IS state is also considered for some cases. To analyze one Fe$^{3+}$ ion at the A or B site clearly, one Al$^{3+}$ ion is simultaneously introduced at the B or A site, respectively, for charge neutrality. The position of Al$^{3+}$ is selected to minimize the Fe$^{3+}$–Al$^{3+}$ distance, which is electrostatically more favorable than dissociated configurations of these trivalent cations (e.g., Tsuchiya and Wang 2013). In summary, in total seven different spin and iron configurations are investigated in this study: (1) Fe$^{2+}$ doped at the A site in the HS (hereafter denoted as $A_{\text{HS}}^{2+}$), (2) in the LS ($A_{\text{LS}}^{2+}$), and (3) in the IS ($A_{\text{IS}}^{2+}$); (4) Fe$^{3+}$ substituted at the A site in the HS ($A_{\text{HS}}^{3+}$) and (5) in the LS ($A_{\text{LS}}^{3+}$); (6) Fe$^{3+}$ doped at the B site in the HS ($B_{\text{HS}}^{3+}$) and (7) in the LS ($B_{\text{LS}}^{3+}$). For each case, all the structural
degrees of freedom, the cell parameters, and the atomic coordinates are fully relaxed at static pressures of 0, 30, 60, and 120 GPa with the $U$ values non-empirically optimized for each iron configuration at each pressure by linear response procedures (Cococcioni and de Gironcoli 2005). The $U$ values applied in this study are listed in Table I, which are taken from our previous works about Fe$^{2+}$-bearing (Metsue and Tsuchiya 2012) and Fe$^{3+}$-bearing Mg-Pv (Tsuchiya and Wang 2013). Spectral features for the obtained structures are then calculated by using the CP2K code (http://cp2k.berlios.de), which is based on the Gaussian and augmented plane wave (GAPW) all-electron formalism (Lippert et al. 1999) combined with the Slater-transition potential method (Iannuzzi and Hutter 2007). These methods have been used in our previous works to calculate the X-ray spectra of nitrogen doped graphene, and our simulated results agree well with experimental measurements (Wang et al. 2011, 2013). The Ahlrichs-pVDZ basis set is used for representing the atomic orbitals (Schäfer et al. 1992), while a cutoff of 280 Ry is adopted to expand the plane wave. The spectra are convoluted by using the Gaussian function with a width of 0.3 eV (Iannuzzi and Hutter 2007). To illustrate effects of the Hubbard $U$ correction clearly, the electronic structures and spectral features obtained in the framework of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) (Perdew et al. 1996) are also calculated. Our test calculations show that the electronic structures presented in this paper obtained by using the CP2K code agree well with the QE code counterparts.

3. About $U$ Values

The LDA and GGA methods, mean-field type treatments, can describe systems with
delocalized electrons well. However, they cannot accurately simulate the partially filled bands, where the electrons are strongly correlated and localized. Usually, representation for the large on-site Coulombic interaction among localized electrons can be improved by introducing the Hubbard $U$ correction (e.g., Anisimov et al. 1991). To better extract the properties of Fe-bearing Mg-Pv, the Hubbard $U$ correction is included in this work by means of an internally consistent method as mentioned in the previous section. The $U$ values applied in the present calculations are presented in Table 1. Except for the case of $A_{\text{HS}}^{2+}$ at 0 GPa, the Hubbard $U$ values are almost independent of pressure and Fe’s valence state, and those for the LS state are generally 1.38–1.88 eV larger than the corresponding values of the HS state. As shown in Fig. 3, this is partially because the distributions of the $d$ orbitals in LS Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ are much more localized than the counterpart of HS Fe, which therefore acquires smaller $U$ values due to weaker localization of the $d$ electrons. In contrast, only at 0 GPa, $A_{\text{HS}}^{2+}$ acquire a $U$ value of 6.74 eV, which is anomalously larger than that of $A_{\text{LS}}^{2+}$ (5.05 eV). This is likely related to the pressure that is out of the stability range of Mg-Pv.

A similar theoretical work about the $U$ values in Fe- and Al-bearing Mg-Pv were done via the same method Hsu et al. (2012) used and their $U$ values showed some discrepancies with our values ($\pm0.25$ eV at most). They, however, determined the $U$ values for systems with an Fe concentration of 12.5%, whereas we examined a smaller and more reasonable Fe concentration of 6.25% in this work. The major reason for the small differences in $U$ in two works could possibly be attributed to the fact that the $U$ value could change depending on the Fe concentrations. Even though there are some discrepancies in $U$, those were found to produce insignificant effects on the XES spectra as shown below.
4. Results and Discussion

Before discussing the calculated electronic structures in detail, we first present the distribution of the 3d electrons of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ in the HS and LS to understand the simulated results more clearly (Figure 1) based on the traditional crystal field theory (Burns 1993). Since the valence electron configuration of neutral Fe$^0$ is 3d$^6$4s$^2$, the HS state of Fe$^{2+}$ (3d$^6$) has a magnetic moment of 4 $\mu_\text{B}$, indicating that five d electrons occupy the spin-up state while the remaining occupy the spin-down state (Fig. 1a). For the case of LS Fe$^{2+}$, half (three) of the d electrons occupy the spin-up and -down state equally, leading to a total magnetic moment of zero (Fig. 1b). As shown in Fig. 1 (c) and (d), the HS and LS states of Fe$^{3+}$ respectively acquire the magnetic moments of 5 $\mu_\text{B}$ and 1 $\mu_\text{B}$, since Fe$^{3+}$ possesses only five d electrons.

As the partial density of states (pDoS) of the Fe-3d orbital in A$_{\text{HS}}^{3+}$ under pressures of 30 and 120 GPa (see Fig. 2), the electronic structure of Fe in Fe-bearing Mg-Pv is insensitive to pressure, and only the width of occupied bands slightly increases with increasing pressure due to a decrease in the distances between Fe and its neighboring oxygen. Since the pressure-induced spin transitions of Fe in Fe-bearing Mg-Pv are usually observed in the range of 40 ~ 100 GPa (eg., Badro et al. 2004), the calculated pDoS of the Fe 3d orbital in the HS (LS) state at 30 (120) GPa corresponds to pressures before (after) the spin transition and are respectively shown in Fig. 3. The simulated distributions of Fe 3d electrons are consistent with the schematic diagrams shown in Fig. 1, such as that A$_{\text{HS}}^{2+}$ and A$_{\text{LS}}^{2+}$ have a total magnetic moment of 4.0 $\mu_\text{B}$ and 0.0 $\mu_\text{B}$, respectively. The electronic
structures obtained within the GGA are distinctly different from that of the LSDA+\(U\). For example, systems containing \(A_{\text{HS}}^{2+}\) and \(B_{\text{LS}}^{3+}\) become metallic based on the GGA, while the LSDA+\(U\) clearly show that they have insulating ground states, respectively, with 1.18 and 1.44 eV band gaps. For some other cases, the GGA was found to produce insulating ground states but with band gaps that were too small. The LSDA+\(U\) can mend this notably.

Experimental observation showed that at 23 GPa and depending on temperature, \((\text{Mg}_{0.93}\text{Fe}_{0.07})\text{SiO}_3 \text{ Pv}\) has an insulating band gap from 0.41 to 0.92 eV (Katsura et al. 1998). For each case, the 3\(d\) occupation depends strongly on the spin states of Fe, and it becomes much more localized after the HS to LS state transition, partially because the orbital splitting caused by the crystal field does not affect the distribution of LS occupied states. For example, when Fe substitutes at the B site and acquires the splitting between \(t_{2g}\) and \(e_g\) orbitals induced by the octahedral crystal field, both \(t_{2g}\) and \(e_g\) states of the spin-up branch are occupied in the HS configuration, while Fe-3\(d\) electrons only distribute along the \(t_{2g}\) orbital in the LS cases as already mentioned schematically in Fig. 1.

The simulated XES of \(A^{2+}\), \(A^{3+}\), and \(B^{3+}\) are, respectively, presented in Figs. 4, 5, and 6. Similar to the pDoS, the \(L\)-edge XES of Fe also depends weakly on pressure, so that only the spectra under 30, 60, and 120 GPa, corresponding to pressures before, undergoing, and after the HS-LS transition, respectively, are shown. The spectra simulated within the GGA are also presented to help illustrate the effects of \(U\) correction. Within the LSDA+\(U\), one main peak located at 709.0 eV is observed for the \(A_{\text{HS}}^{2+}\) case at 30 GPa, while one localized main peak appears at 711.0 eV for the \(A_{\text{LS}}^{2+}\) case at 120 GPa, indicating that the main peak of \(L\)-edge XES of Fe\(^{2+}\) substituted in the A site would be pushed up by \(\sim2\) eV
across the HS-LS transition. The sharpness of the main peaks of the LS Fe reflects the higher localization of the 3d electron in the LS state as shown in Fig. 3. Though the intensity of the main peak of $A_{\text{HS}}^{2+}$ decreases with increasing pressure, the shift of the main peak and the change in its sharpness can therefore be available to identify the spin state of Fe$^{2+}$ in Mg-Pv. In contrast, the spectral features from the GGA do not show any difference in the main peak position between the HS and LS cases except for some small localization. Our results based on the LSDA+$U$ agree well with experimental observations (Lin et al. 2010), where a shift up (~1.6 eV) of the main peak of the Fe $L$-edge XES of ferropericlase was clearly observed during the spin transition. This again demonstrates that the Hubbard $U$ correction is a key to describing the XES features of Fe-bearing minerals properly. For IS Fe$^{2+}$ at the A site, acquiring a magnetic moment of 1 $\mu_B$, its stabilization in Mg-Pv is still under discussion. It was experimentally suggested to be a stable configuration (McCammon et al. 2008). However, previous theoretical works proposed a contrary opinion, since it has an enthalpy as much as 0.5 eV/Fe higher than the most stable case, HS Fe$^{2+}$ at the A site, for the whole LM pressure range (Bengtson et al., 2008; Hsu et al., 2010). The Fe $L$-edge XES technique should be a potential indicator about whether IS Fe$^{2+}$ at the A site will appear or not and can provide useful information for experimental analysis. Therefore, the $L$-edge XES spectra of IS Fe$^{2+}$ in the A site of Pn at 30 GPa were simulated as a test to show the general features of IS Fe$^{2+}$ at the A site, where the $U$ value of 5.03 eV, obtained through the linear response method, was applied. As shown in Fig. 4(d), one main peak located at ~711 eV, which is very close to that of LS Fe$^{2+}$, is observed in the calculated XES of IS Fe$^{2+}$. Since the major spectral features of IS Fe$^{2+}$ are very similar to LS Fe$^{2+}$, it is difficult to
distinguish IS Fe$^{2+}$ from LS Fe$^{2+}$ by using the Fe L-edge XES technique. Note that even though using very similar methods, the $U$ values could be slightly different depending on the discrepancies of the calculation conditions, (e.g., iron concentration, as we mentioned previously). (Hsu et al. 2012; Tsuchiya and Wang 2013) To clarify how the uncertainty in $U$ affects the XES features, we examined the spectra of all iron configurations at 30 GPa with variations of the $U$ value. $U \pm 1$ eV, which is sufficiently larger than the typical numerical uncertainty in $U$, were applied. For both HS and LS Fe, their major spectral features (e.g., the main peak positions) were found to still remain unchanged, while it only changed the relative intensities of the main peaks a little.

As shown in Figs. 5 and 6, the spectral features of Fe$^{3+}$ obtained via GGA are also significantly different from those obtained via LSDA+$U$. We hereafter focus only on the LSDA+$U$ results. Unlike the cases of A$_{HS}^{2+}$, where only one sharp main peak appears, two and four main peaks are, respectively, observed in the range of 1.8 eV and 3.5 eV for the A$_{HS}^{3+}$ and B$_{HS}^{3+}$ cases at 30 GPa. This can be explained by the relatively broader distribution of the occupied states of Fe$^{3+}$ 3$d$ compared to that of Fe$^{2+}$ (see Fig. 3), since the 3$d$ levels for Fe$^{3+}$ are deeper due to a stronger attractive potential, which leads to a stronger hybridization with the O 2$p$ valence band. Differences between spectra of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ were therefore attributed mainly to their different attractive potentials. These clear differences in the main peaks suggest that their profile and position could be a good indicator to distinguish between A$_{HS}^{2+}$, A$_{HS}^{3+}$, and B$_{HS}^{3+}$. They are pushed up and converged to one main peak located about 712.0 eV after the spin transition, indicating that similarly to the case of Fe$^{2+}$, the spin transition of Fe$^{3+}$ can also be identified by the shift and the change in sharpness of their main
peaks. These spectral transmutations across the spin transition basically reflect the
differences in the ground states electronic structures as shown in Fig. 3. As we mentioned
above, the distribution of the occupied states of LS Fe 3d is much narrower than HS Fe,
since the orbital splitting caused by the crystal field is included only in the occupied states of
HS Fe 3d. In contrast, the splitting can be observed above the occupied states in LS Fe 3d,
which is not detected by L-edge XES. The shift of the first main peaks of Fe$^{3+}$ (4 eV) is,
however, about two times larger than that of Fe$^{2+}$ (2 eV). Therefore, the valence state (2+ or
3+) of Fe would also be clearly distinguishable by detecting the shift of the first main peaks
in the Fe L-edge XES across the spin transition. As shown in Figs. 5(d) and 6(d), the widths
not only of the main peaks but also of the whole spectra for the $A_{\text{HS}}^{3+}$ case (7.0 eV) are
notably narrower than the counterparts for the $B_{\text{HS}}^{3+}$ case (9.0 eV). This is because the
average distance between oxygen and Fe of 1.87 Å in the $B_{\text{HS}}^{3+}$ case is substantially shorter
than the counterpart (2.03 Å) in the $A_{\text{HS}}^{3+}$ case, and thus the hybridization between iron and
oxygen is larger in the B site than in the A site. These results suggest that the width of the
main peaks or whole spectra could be used to identify the coordination environment of Fe$^{3+}$
(the Mg or Si site). These discussions strongly suggest that all of the spin states, valence
states, and substitution sites of Fe in Mg-Pv are potentially distinguishable by analyzing the
features of the Fe L-edge XES. In summary, the spin state could be clearly identified by the
shift and sharpness of the main peaks across the spin transition, the valence state can be
identified by the profile of the main peaks in the HS state and also by the shift of the first
main peak across the spin transition, and the substituted site could be identified also by the
forms of both main peaks and whole spectra. Finally, we would like to mention the
limitations of our present simulation. The multiplet effects describing the coupling between
the 2p and 3d orbitals, which can introduce some minor spectral features such as the satellite
peak (Kβ′) of the Fe K-edge XES (Bardro et al. 2004), are not included in this work, since
the simple Slater-transition method is applied. However, we believe that our results can
describe the spectral features of Fe-bearing Mg-Pv under high pressure sufficiently, mainly
because high-pressure Fe L-edge spectral experiments can usually detect only the major
spectral features due to non-hydrostaticity, limited amount of sample, etc. (Lin et al. 2010).
This is also the reason why all the discussion in this work focused on the main features of
the simulated spectra. Since the 2p hole spin-orbit coupling was also not considered in
present calculations, the simulated spectra do not show the splitting between the L2 and L3
peak. Our simulated results can present the features of a dominant L3 peak, which is the
main target of mineral spectral analysis (van Aken et al. 1998; Lauterbash et al. 2000; Lin et
al. 2010). Both L2 and L3 peaks show similar features, but the magnitude of the L2 peak is
lower than L3.

5. Summary

The L-edge XES features of Fe in Fe-bearing MgSiO3 Pv were investigated by the
all-electron density functional method for the first time, combined with the internally
consistent LSDA+U and the Slater-transition potential techniques. Different spin states
(high- and low-spin), valence states (2+ and 3+), and substitution sites (Mg and Si site) of Fe
were examined. Our results showed that both the spin states and the valence states of Fe can
clearly be illustrated by a shift of the first main peaks across the spin transition. Furthermore,
the widths of the main peaks and the whole spectra of Fe in the high-spin state were found to be available to distinguish the substituted sites. Based on these theoretical predictions we propose that the Fe $L$-edge XES measurement is a useful experimental technique to study the state of iron in Fe-bearing lower mantle minerals. We invite corresponding experiments.
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Table 1. On-site Coulomb interaction ($U$ in eV) optimized for Fe in different valence states (2+ and 3+), spin states (HS and LS state), and substitution sites (A and B site).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P(GPa)</th>
<th>$A_{HS}^{2+}$</th>
<th>$A_{LS}^{2+}$</th>
<th>$A_{HS}^{3+}$</th>
<th>$A_{LS}^{3+}$</th>
<th>$B_{HS}^{3+}$</th>
<th>$B_{LS}^{3+}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>5.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure captions**

**Figure 1.** Schematic diagrams of the distributions of Fe 3d electrons. (a) and (b) respectively show the Fe$^{2+}$ cases in the high-spin and low-spin state, and (c) and (d) the corresponding parts of the Fe$^{3+}$ cases. Up (down) arrows represent the electron with spin up (down), respectively.

**Figure 2.** Fe 3d partial density of states (pDoS) for $A_{\text{HS}}^{3+}$ under pressures of 30 GPa and 120 GPa are shown in red-solid and blue-dashed line respectively. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ respectively denote the spin-up and -down states. The vertical dashed line located at 0 eV represents the Fermi level.

**Figure 3.** Fe 3d pDoS for $A_{\text{HS}}^{2+}$ (a), $A_{\text{LS}}^{2+}$ (b), $A_{\text{HS}}^{3+}$ (c), $A_{\text{LS}}^{3+}$ (d), $B_{\text{HS}}^{3+}$ (e), and $B_{\text{LS}}^{3+}$ (f).

**Figure 4.** Calculated Fe L-edge XES spectra of $A_{\text{HS,LS}}^{3+}$-bearing Mg-Pv. The GGA results at 30, 60, and 120 GPa are shown in (a), (b), and (c), while the counterparts from the LSDA+$U$ are in (d), (e), and (f), respectively. To clearly illustrate the spectral features of HS Fe based on the LSDA+$U$ method, its intensity is magnified two times.

**Figure 5.** Calculated Fe L-edge XES spectra of $A_{\text{HS,LS}}^{3+}$-bearing Mg-Pv. The GGA results at 30, 60, and 120 GPa are shown in (a), (b), and (c), while the LSDA+$U$ counterparts are in (d), (e), and (f), respectively.
Figure 6. Calculated Fe L-edge XES spectra of $\text{B}_{\text{Ir}}^{3+}$-bearing Mg-Pv. The GGA results at 30, 60, and 120 GPa are shown in (a), (b), and (c), while the counterparts from the LSDA+$U$ are in (d), (e), and (f), respectively.
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