Interlayer structure model of tri-hydrated low-charge smectite by X-ray diffraction and Monte Carlo modeling in the Grand Canonical ensemble.
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The present study aims primarily at refining a structure model for interlayer cations and H$_2$O molecules in tri-hydrated (3W) smectite ($d_{001} = 18-19$ Å). The < 2 μm fraction of the SWy-2 source clay (low-charge montmorillonite) was saturated by Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$ or Na$^+$ cations, before collection of X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns at 98% relative humidity. Experimental $d_{001}$ values derived for the essentially homogeneous 3W hydrates provided volume constraints for Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations. Computed atomic density distribution of interlayer species were used in turn to calculate XRD intensities of 00/ reflections. The agreement between calculated and experimental 00/ intensities allowed validating the GCMC results of both interlayer H$_2$O content and distribution of interlayer species (cations and H$_2$O molecules). Computed atomic density profiles do not correspond to the usual model of three discrete planes of H$_2$O molecules but rather exhibit two sharp planes of H$_2$O molecules wetting the clay surfaces (at ~2.7 Å from the clay layer surface). Additional H$_2$O molecules belong to cation hydration shells or define a poorly organized ensemble filling internal voids. This alternative model suggests that the stability of the 3W hydrate results from the dual interaction of some H$_2$O molecules with interlayer cation, through their second hydration shell, and with the 2:1 clay surface. Computed atomic density profiles were approximated to propose an interlayer structure model for 3W smectite. This simplified model includes two sets of two planes (symmetrical relative to the interlayer mid-plane) for H$_2$O molecules and one set for interlayer cations. This model allows reproducing experimental XRD patterns for the different samples investigated and thus represents a valid set of parameters for routine quantitative analysis of XRD profiles in an effort to determine smectite reactivity close to water saturated conditions. Implications of such studies are crucial to provide experimental constraints on the behavior of the main...
vector of element transfer under conditions common in surficial environments and prevailing in waste repositories. In addition, the present study provides an experimental validation of structure models derived from the widely used ClayFF model, and thus allows its use to predict the fate of water in clayey systems close to water saturated conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Smectite clays are ubiquitous in surface environments and sedimentary rocks, frequently as a major mineral component. Smectite layered structure is composed of two tetrahedral sheets sandwiching an octahedral sheet to form a TOT or 2:1 layer. Isomorphic substitutions by lower-valence cations occurring in tetrahedral and/or octahedral sheets induce a net negative charge of the TOT layer. This deficit is compensated for by the presence in the interlayer space of exchangeable cations whose hydration properties control smectite colloidal behavior and crystalline swelling (Mooney et al. 1952; Sato et al. 1992; Berend et al. 1995; Boek et al. 1995a; Cases et al. 1997; Young and Smith 2000; Marry and Turq 2003). The latter is characterized by the stepwise expansion of the layer-to-layer distance with increasing water activity. This expansion has been commonly described in the literature as the incorporation of 1, 2, and, less frequently, 3 planes of interlayer H$_2$O molecules, leading to the well-known 1W ($d_{001} = 11.6-12.9$ Å), 2W ($d_{001} = 14.9-15.7$ Å), and 3W ($d_{001} = 18-19$ Å) hydration states, in addition to the dehydrated one (0W, $d_{001} = 9.6-10.2$ Å – (Nagelschmidt 1936; Bradley et al. 1937; Hendricks and Merrill 1938; Mooney et al. 1952; Norrish 1954; Walker 1955). Smectite hydration plays a pivotal role in the physical and chemical behaviors of the environments where smectite is found (e.g., Burst 1969; Bouma et al. 1977; Vrolijk 1990; Matsuda et al. 2004; Takahashi et al. 2005; Boutareaud et al. 2008; Boullier et al. 2009; Tertre et al. 2011a, 2011b; Bittelli et al. 2012). More specifically, smectite hydration degree can strongly impact dynamical properties of interlayer cations (Malikova et al. 2006, 2007, 2010; Marry et al. 2011; Michot et al. 2012) and thus the transfer and fate of H$_2$O and pollutants. For example, the prediction of radionuclide migration in clay-based engineered barriers is controlled essentially by smectite influence on solute transfers (Turner et al. 1996; Baeyens and Bradbury 1997; Suzuki et al. 2004;
Smectite hydration and interlayer structure of 0W, 1W, and 2W hydrates have been extensively studied for decades both from experimental and computational perspectives, mainly using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Monte Carlo simulations (Fu et al. 1990; Boek et al. 1995a, 1995b; Chang et al. 1995, 1997; Skipper et al. 1995; Karaborni et al. 1996; Moore and Reynolds 1997; Zeng et al. 2004; Meleshyn and Bunnenberg 2005; Smith et al. 2006; Sakharov and Lanson 2013 and references therein), respectively. These studies provided a comprehensive picture of interlayer H₂O and cation configurations in both 1W and 2W hydration states, leading to structure models commonly used for the calculation of XRD profiles. Such calculations have allowed investigating smectite reactivity under various conditions such as cation exchange, water activity, and temperature (e.g., Ferrage et al. 2005a, 2007a; Oueslati et al. 2009; Dazas et al. 2013). No structure model is available for the 3W hydrate however, likely because of the scarcity of experimental studies devoted to this hydration state. The 3W smectite interlayer structure was thus approximated as a marginal contribution from XRD data (Pons et al. 1981a, 1981b; Cases et al. 1992, 1997; Berend et al. 1995; Kawamura et al. 1999; Holmboe et al. 2012; Dazas et al. 2013) or derived from theoretical simulations (Chang et al. 1995; Tambach et al. 2004; Tao et al. 2010) without quantitative comparison with independent data. Modeling of XRD data from 3W-smectite containing structures and mixtures thus remains highly imprecise despite the overwhelming presence of this hydration state under conditions close to water saturation, where most of the solute transfer processes occur (Melkior et al. 2005, 2007, 2009; Van Loon et al. 2005; Appelo et al. 2010).
In the present study, the interlayer structure of 3W montmorillonite was investigated for four interlayer cations (Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$). Within this scope, results from XRD profile modeling and Monte Carlo simulations performed in the Grand Canonical ensemble where thus collated, following a strategy similar to that used by Ferrage et al. (2011) for 1W and 2W saponites. Upon validation, molecular simulation results are used (i) to gain a comprehensive understanding of the parameters controlling the structure and stability of the 3W hydrate and (ii) to derive a simplified interlayer structure model allowing the routine calculation of XRD profiles.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples

The SWy-2 reference montmorillonite from the Source Clay Repository (http://www.clays.org/SOURCE%20CLAYS/SCavailable.html) was used. This montmorillonite is originally Na-saturated, and exhibits a low octahedral charge and extremely limited tetrahedral substitutions with structural formula $[(\text{Al}_{3.00}\text{Mg}_{0.52}\text{Fe}_{0.43})(\text{Si}_{7.97}\text{Al}_{0.03})\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH})_4]\text{M}^+_{0.70}$ (< 2 μm size fraction – Stucki et al. 1984; Mermut et al. 2001). The < 2 μm fraction was obtained by centrifugation and used to prepare 4 samples with a variety of interlayer cations. Ion exchange was performed at room temperature with 1 mol.L$^{-1}$ aqueous solutions of Mg$^+$, Ca$^+$, Ba$^+$, and Na-chlorides. SWy-2 suspensions were shaken mechanically for 24 h in each saline solution (~50 mg of solid in ~50 mL solution) before separation of the solid fraction by centrifugation and addition of fresh saline solution. Saturation steps were repeated three times to ensure a complete cation exchange. Removal of the excess chloride was then performed by washing the solid three times by immersion.
for 24 h in deionized water (Siemens® UltraClear, 18.2 MΩ.cm⁻¹). Samples are hereafter referred to as Mg-, Ca-, Ba- and Na-SWy.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) and profile modeling of 00l reflections

Oriented slides were prepared for all four samples by drying at room temperature an aqueous clay suspension on a glass slide. XRD patterns were then recorded using a Bruker D8 equipped with a MHG Messtechnik humidity controller coupled to an Anton Paar CHC+ chamber. Intensities were measured with a SolXE Si(Li) solid-state detector from Baltic Scientific Instruments for 4 s per 0.04° 2θ step over the 2-50° 2θ CuKα range. The divergence slit, the two Soller slits, the antiscatter and resolution slits were 0.3°, 2.3°, 2.3°, 0.3°, and 0.1°, respectively. Samples were initially equilibrated at ~50% relative humidity (RH) in the chamber. The humidity was then increased steadily up to 98%RH (~5 hour ramps), where diffraction patterns were recorded. Each pattern was recorded twice to ensure the stability of the hydration state. The layer-to-layer distances were found to be 19.23 Å, 18.93 Å, 18.90 Å, and 18.73 Å for Mg-SWy, Ca-SWy, Ba-SWy, and Na-SWy, respectively (Table 1). The low values determined for the ξ parameter, calculated as the standard deviation of the \( l \times d_{00l} \) values (in Å) for the ten 00l reflections measurable over the 2-50° 2θ range (Table 1), indicated a homogeneous 3W state (Ferrage et al. 2005b, 2007b). The algorithms developed initially by Drits and co-workers were used to fit XRD data over the recorded 2-50° 2θ range (Drits and Sakharov 1976; Drits and Tchoubar 1990). Instrumental and experimental factors such as horizontal and vertical beam divergences, goniometer radius, and length and thickness of the oriented slides were measured and introduced without further adjustment. The mass absorption coefficient (\( \mu^* \)) was set to 45 cm²g⁻¹, as recommended by Moore and...
Reynolds (1997). Additional variable parameters included the size of coherent scattering domains along the c* axis which was characterized by a maximum value, set to 80 layers, and by a mean value N equal to 7.5, 7.0, 7.5 and 5.7 layers for Mg-SWy, Ca-SWy, Ba-SWy and Na-SWy, respectively. Usual z-coordinates of atoms building the smectite TOT layer (Moore and Reynolds 1997) were used. Consistent with the rational series of \(d_{001}\) values, preliminary fits of XRD data revealed a proportion of 3W layers of 97-98\% for Mg-SWy, Ca-SWy, and Ba-SWy and of 92\% for Na-SWy (Table 1).

Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations

Monte Carlo simulations were performed in the Grand Canonical ensemble (Adams 1974, 1975; Allen and Tildesley 1994) to assess the content of interlayer H\(_2\)O and the equilibrium state of interlayer species (H\(_2\)O and cations) at 98\% RH and 300 K, using a homemade program (Delville 1991; Rinnert et al. 2005; Michot et al. 2007, 2012; Porion et al. 2008; Ferrage et al. 2011; Jiménez-Ruiz et al. 2012). The rigid simulation box included 3 interlayers and related 2:1 layers (Figure 1). Each layer encompassed 6 and 4 unit cells along the a and b directions, respectively, with a \(-a/3\) layer displacement leading to a face to face configuration for ditrigonal cavities. The layer-to-layer distance was consistent with experimental \(d_{001}\) values (Table 1). The ideal composition \([\{Al_{3.25}Mg_{0.75}\}(Si_{8.00})O_{20}(OH)_4\}]^{+}\) was used for 2:1 layers. Mg-for-Al substitutions were located randomly in each layer with an exclusion rule preventing two Mg atoms from occupying neighboring octahedra. The ClayFF force field (Cygan et al. 2004) and SPC (Berendsen et al. 1981) water model were selected to simulate the clay-water interactions. Lennard-Jones potentials of interlayer cations derived
by Åqvist (1990) were used. For a given partial pressure, water chemical potential is given by the following relation:

\[ \frac{\mu}{kT} = \frac{\mu^0}{kT} + \ln \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho^0} \right) \]  
(Eq. 1)

with

\[ \frac{\mu^0}{kT} = \ln \left( \Lambda^3 \times \rho \right) \]  
(Eq. 2)

considering H₂O vapor as an ideal fluid, \( \Lambda \) being H₂O de Broglie wavelength (0.238376 Å), \( k \) the Boltzmann constant and \( \rho \) H₂O vapor density at 300 K (7.7087481×10⁻⁷ molecules Å⁻³).

GCMC simulations encompassed 6 000 blocks, each with 10 000 elementary steps allowing stabilization of interlayer H₂O molecules content. At each step one of the clay interlayers was selected randomly, and with an equal probability an attempt was made (i) to remove a H₂O molecule, (ii) to add a H₂O molecule in a random configuration, or (iii) to move a randomly chosen cation or H₂O molecule. During calculations, an Ewald summation (Heyes 1994) was used in addition to the three-dimensional minimum image convention to ensure the convergence of the electrostatic energy. A final run including 1 000 blocks of 5 000 steps was performed to generate the equilibrium properties of interlayer species and to derive radial cation-H₂O distribution functions and uncertainties on computed parameters (calculated as twice the standard deviation). Finally, interlayer atomic density profiles were subdivided in 40 slices parallel to the layer plane to calculate 00l XRD reflections.

**RESULTS**

**GCMC simulations**
Atomic density profiles derived from GCMC simulations are shown in Figure 2a, whereas Table 2 reports H₂O contents computed at equilibrium. The latter values are similar for all cations investigated and range from 14.82-16.02 H₂O molecules per formula unit (p.f.u. – Table 2). Similarly, atomic density profiles of oxygen and hydrogen display similar features for all samples (Figure 2a). Oxygen profiles systematically exhibit two discrete maxima at ~2.7 Å from the 2:1 layer surface with a full width at half maximum intensity (FWHM) of ~0.94 Å. An additional “plane” of H₂O molecules layer is centered on the interlayer mid-plane (Figure 2a). Contrasting with the previous set of two discrete maxima, this third “plane” is poorly resolved, indicative of an increased organizational disorder. Hydrogen profiles are weakly modulated except close to the 2:1 layer surface where two discrete and sharp maxima result from the polarization of H₂O molecules (Henderson 2002; Ferrage et al. 2011). Finally, cations are located mainly on the interlayer mid-plane (Mg- and Ca-SWy) with the presence of two distinct maxima for Ba- and Na-SWy owing to a slight positional shift towards the 2:1 layer (Figure 2a).

Simulation of 00l reflections with GCMC-computed atomic profiles

Although atomic density profiles are similar for all samples (Figure 2a), the sensitivity of XRD towards interlayer species depends on their electron content. GCMC-computed atomic density profiles can be converted to electronic density profiles (considering neutral atoms) to assess the actual contrast between different interlayer configurations. With 8 and 1 e⁻ for oxygen and hydrogen, respectively, the electronic density profiles of interlayer H₂O molecules resemble those of oxygen and do not differ strongly from one sample to the other (Figure 2b). On the contrary, the Z-contrast is enhanced for
cations (Na: 11 e\(^-\), Mg: 12 e\(^-\), Ca: 20 e\(^-\), and Ba: 56 e\(^-\)), with additional contrast induced by their contrasting valence. Both effects lead to distinct electronic density profiles as a function of interlayer cation (Figure 2b).

These electron density profiles may be used in turn to calculate 00l reflection intensity distributions (Figure 3a). The quality of the fit to the data validates the GCMC-computed atomic density profiles shown (Figure 2a) and allows using this computational technique to gain additional insights into the local configuration of interlayer cations and H\(_2\)O molecules in 3W montmorillonite.

**DISCUSSION**

**Assessment of the methodology used to determine interlayer configuration in 3W montmorillonite**

**Validation of GCMC interlayer configuration.** The approach used in the present study to determine the interlayer structure of 3W montmorillonite is similar to that developed by Ferrage et al. (2011) for 1W and 2W synthetic saponites, with the quantitative comparison of GCMC simulations and experimental results. Such quantitative cross-validation represents a step further for the validation of molecular simulations compared to the common qualitative comparison between computational and experimental results (e.g., Kawamura et al. 1999; Greathouse and Storm 2002; Porion et al. 2003; Ferrage et al. 2005c; Heinz et al. 2005; Rinnert et al. 2005; Smith et al. 2006; Tambach et al. 2006). In the present work the number of experimental constraints is reduced compared to the original work of Ferrage et al. (2011) which included neutron and X-ray diffraction data as well as gravimetric measurement of water contents. The interlayer H\(_2\)O content of 3W montmorillonite cannot
be compared directly to water sorption data owing to the presence of large contents of interparticular (pore) water which precludes the experimental determination of crystalline water at high RH values (Sing 1982; Michot et al. 1995; Ferrage et al. 2010). The validity of the present GCMC interlayer configurations was not assessed either through the comparison with neutron diffraction data on deuterated specimens (ND-D₂O) to benefit from the additional sensitivity to interlayer D₂O distribution due to the scattering length of the deuterium isotope. Ferrage et al. (2011) evidenced however a similar sensitivity of both XRD and ND-D₂O to the modeling of water-clay interactions. The good quality fits obtained in the present study (Figure 3a) thus indicate a realistic description of clay-water interactions in 3W montmorillonite when using the ClayFF force field and SPC water model. In addition, by using a set of different cations, the present study provides additional information on cation-clay and cation-water interactions. Although interlayer H₂O profiles are similar for all samples, the different scattering factors of cations induce contrasting contributions to XRD (Figure 2b), experimental variations of 00l reflection intensities being likely related to the cation contribution (Figure 3a). Changes affect mainly the 003:004 and 006:007 intensity ratios, differences being most significant for the Ba-SWy (Figure 3a), consistent with the high Z-number of Ba.

Sensitivity of XRD to interlayer species configuration. Figure 4 reports two sensitivity tests assessing the accuracy of XRD constraints on the interlayer H₂O content (Table 1) and on the position of maxima in the atomic H₂O profile derived from GCMC simulations (Figure 2a). The interlayer H₂O content is first modified by ±10% keeping the distribution profile shown in Figure 2a. Significant misfit between calculated and experimental XRD intensity profiles arise both from the increasing and from the decrease of interlayer H₂O content (to 17.75 and 14.52 H₂O molecules p.f.u., respectively – Figure 4a).
Upon normalization to the 001 reflection, an increased interlayer H$_2$O content induces indeed an intensity increase of the 002, 004, and 006 reflections. Even a ± 5% variation of the interlayer H$_2$O content produces noticeable effect on calculated profiles. In the second test, the interlayer H$_2$O content was kept unchanged but the distribution profile shown in Figure 2a was stretched along the z-axis thus modifying the position of density maxima, and more specifically that of the two discrete and sharp ones at ~2.7 Å from the 2:1 layer. Upon normalization to the 001 reflection, a stretch of the distribution profile inducing a shift of these two density maxima by 0.1 Å results in severe misfits between calculated and experimental XRD profiles (Figure 4b). A similar effect was reported by Ferrage et al. (2011) to result from the 0.15 Å positional shift of the two main planes of H$_2$O molecules in 2W saponites. Both tests support the accuracy of GCMC configurations computed in the present study, and allow gaining further insights into the interactions of interlayer cations and H$_2$O molecules with the 2:1 layer.

**Configuration of interlayer H$_2$O and cations in 3W montmorillonite**

Pioneering XRD studies of H$_2$O structure in hydrated montmorillonite (including the 3W state) led to the hypothesis of several planes of interlayer H$_2$O molecules (Nagelschmidt 1936; Bradley et al. 1937; Hendricks and Merrill 1938; Mooney et al. 1952; Walker 1955). In these models, H$_2$O molecules form a pseudo-hexagonal planar network, each plane being composed of about 4 to 6 H$_2$O molecules p.f.u., the intercalation of one additional plane increasing the layer-to-layer distance by ~3 Å. Although still considered, these models differ significantly from the GCMC configurations computed in the present study. Oxygen and hydrogen density profiles reveal indeed two discrete planes of H$_2$O molecules whereas the
“third” plane, which incorporates most of interlayer H₂O molecules, appears broad and poorly defined (Figure 2a). Contrasting to lower hydration states, the 3W hydration state ($d_{001} = 18-19$ Å) cannot be described simply by the juxtaposition of three similar planes of H₂O molecules, each ~3 Å thick, and the origin for the stability of the 3W state at ~18.5 Å needs to be sought elsewhere. A possible alternative for the existence of a discrete 3W hydration state is the influence of cations and more specifically local cation-water-clay interactions. Consistently, de La Calle and Suquet (1988) showed that the different $d_{001}$ values observed for Ca-saturated vermiculite when increasing RH (14.66 and 14.92 Å) were related to distinct configurations of cation hydration shells and contrasting numbers of coordinating H₂O molecules. In addition, these authors determined that layer stacking mode was modified together with the change in hydration shell configuration. The existence of two stable 2W states for Ca-vermiculite was thus interpreted as resulting from the fine interactions between cations, H₂O molecules from their hydration shells, and the 2:1 surfaces, rather than from the steady filling of H₂O planes, as considered at the time. Within this context, molecular simulations thus represent especially relevant probes of local interactions between cations and coordinating H₂O molecules to refine our understanding of the interlayer structure of 3W smectites. The radial distribution function ($g(r)$) of cation-oxygen distances and related number of coordinating H₂O molecules ($N_{H2O}(r)$) are thus plotted in Figure 5. The number of H₂O molecules belonging to the first and second hydration shells (1HS and 2HS, respectively) can be derived from the $N_{H2O}(r)$ values on its first and second plateaus (Figure 5, Table 2).

Configuration of the first and second hydration shells. The average cation-H₂O distance in 1HS ranges from 2.16-2.79 Å (Mg-SWy and Ba-SWy, respectively – Table 2), and is consistent with cation radii (Shannon 1976). The associated number of H₂O molecules in 1HS
obtained for the different cations (Table 2) vary from 5.74-9.34 H$_2$O molecules per cation (Na-SWy and Ba-SWy, respectively – Table 2), consistent with literature data on the hydration of sodium (Barnett and Landman 1993; Obst and Bradaczek 1996; Allen et al. 2000; Titiloye and Skipper 2000; White et al. 2000; Carrillo-Tripp et al. 2003; Smirnov and Trostin 2007; Mahler and Persson 2012; Morrow et al. 2013), magnesium (Peschke et al. 1998; Boero et al. 2002; Ikeda et al. 2007; Waluyo et al. 2011), calcium (Skipper et al. 1994; Jalilehvand et al. 2001) and barium (Peschke et al. 1998) in solution. Cation-clay and H$_2$O-clay interactions, and consequently confinement, thus appear to have a minor influence on H$_2$O molecules from the 1HS. Differentiation of these H$_2$O molecules (Figures 6a and 7) allows identifying three types of H$_2$O molecules. The first type includes H$_2$O molecules from 1HS that are located close to the interlayer mid-plane (Figures 6a and 7). H$_2$O molecules of the second type define the atomic density maxima at ~2.7 Å from the 2:1 layer surfaces (Figures 2a and 6a). This value, similar for all samples, is consistent both with the formation of strong H-bonds with surface oxygens from the 2:1 layer and with X-ray reflectivity results (Brown and Sturchio 2002; Fenter and Sturchio 2004; Lee et al. 2007) and indicates the presence of two planes of H$_2$O molecules wetting the 2:1 layer surfaces. Finally, H$_2$O molecules of the third type define the plateau between the previous two planes and essentially fill interlayer voids, further from the 2:1 layer surface. A similar analysis is possible for H$_2$O molecules from 2HS that define the diffuse maximum at 3.5-5.5 Å on the radial distribution function of cation-oxygen distances (Figure 5, Table 2). In contrast to 1HS, the number of H$_2$O molecules belonging to 2HS is similar for all samples within a narrow range of 13.7-15.7 molecules per cation (Table 2). The reduced scatter, compared to 1HS, suggests a minor influence of the cation radii. Comparison of atomic density profiles corresponding to H$_2$O molecules from 1HS and 2HS (Figures 6a and 6b, respectively) indicate
that the latter belong to the second and third types of H$_2$O molecules, with a significant fraction of H$_2$O molecules from 2HS also wetting the 2:1 layer surface (Figures 6b and 8). Although reduced, the number of H$_2$O molecules of the second type not belonging to interlayer cation hydration spheres remains important together with the number of H$_2$O molecules filling interlayer voids with the persistence of the uniform plateau (solid gray lines – Figure 6b). In addition, a fraction of H$_2$O from 2HS is shared between cations (Figure 8), and more specifically so for Na-SWy owing to the twofold number of monovalent cations. Additional calculations allowed quantifying the fraction of shared H$_2$O molecules both from 1HS and 2HS (Table 2). In particular, it is possible to note that the fraction of H$_2$O molecules hydrating two cations remains limited to a few percent for divalent cations, this proportion increasing to ~20% for monovalent Na, which even shares a limited fraction of H$_2$O molecules from 1HS (Table 2).

**Origin of the stability of the montmorillonite 3W hydrate.** A detailed analysis of H$_2$O molecules in cation 1HS and 2HS allows drawing a general picture of interlayer H$_2$O configuration in 3W montmorillonite and to decipher factors ruling its stability in layer-to-layer distance at ~19 Å (Table 1). Analysis of H$_2$O molecules from 1HS does not provide any insight into the relation between hydrated cations and the clay surface, the distance involved being too large (Figure 7). In addition, contrasting configurations reported for 1HS both in terms of cation-H$_2$O molecule distance and of number of coordinating H$_2$O molecules (Table 2) cannot account for the similarity of $d_{001}$ values measured for the different interlayer cations (Table 1). On the contrary, detailed analysis of H$_2$O molecules from 2HS reveals that a significant fraction of these molecules are involved also in the wetting of the 2:1 layer surface (Figure 8). In addition, shared H$_2$O molecules from 2HS ensure the formation of a 2D network. Both effects are similar to those proposed by De La Calle and
Suquet (1988) as being responsible for the stability of 1W and 2W hydrates in vermiculite and saponite. The limited contrast, both in terms of cation-H$_2$O molecule distance and of number of coordinating H$_2$O molecules, between the 2HS of the various cations investigated yield similar layer-to-layer distances (Tables 1 and 2). This hypothesis is consistent with contrasting proportions of H$_2$O molecules from 1HS and 2HS, compared to other types of H$_2$O molecules, between the different cations despite similar $d_{001}$ values. For example, the proportion of H$_2$O molecules not bound to interlayer Na is strongly reduced compared to other samples saturated with divalent cations (Figures 6b). Second and third types of H$_2$O molecules thus likely contribute only to wetting the 2:1 layer surface or to filling interlayer voids, respectively, whereas layer-to-layer distance is controlled by H$_2$O molecules from 2HS. Note however that layer-to-layer distance of 3W montmorillonite will likely vary with overall H$_2$O contents as previously reported for 1W and 2W hydrates (Ferrage et al. 2005b, 2007a, 2007c). Finally, the importance of H$_2$O molecule sharing in Na-SWy allows hypothesizing that stable hydrates with higher layer-to-layer distances could exist as proposed by Bradley et al. (1937) for a Na-montmorillonite at ~21.4 Å.

**Simplified interlayer model for XRD simulation of 3W hydrates**

Although comparison of experimental and computational data validates the simulated interlayer configurations of 3W montmorillonite for a variety of interlayer cations, GCMC simulations cannot be used systematically to quantitatively analyze XRD data from a large number of samples. The latter analysis relies essentially on XRD profile modeling of 00/ reflections and requires a realistic and simplified description of interlayer structure (Moore and Reynolds 1997). Such a description considers usually one or several planes of H$_2$O
molecules and/or cations characterized by i) a z-coordinate along the c* axis, ii) a quantity of atoms in this plane, and iii) a Debye-Waller factor (B) accounting for the positional disorder of interlayer species. The B factor thus accounts for the distribution of atoms perpendicular to the layer plane described by atomic density profiles derived from Monte Carlo simulations. When using the B parameter, this physical distribution of species along the c*-axis is approximated by a Gaussian function whose FWHM is (Shashikala et al. 1993; Dazas et al. 2013):

\[
\text{FWHM} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\ln(2)}}{\xi}
\]

(Eq. 3)

The GCMC configuration computed for 3W hydrates (Figure 2b) can thus be approximated by a model with a few planes of interlayer cations and H$_2$O molecules with contrasting B values (Figures 2c and 2d). The two maxima of the atomic density profiles corresponding to H$_2$O molecules of the second type can be described by a set of two planes, symmetrical with respect to the interlayer mid-plane, and located at 3.35-3.60 Å from the interlayer mid-plane. Taking into account the variable $d_{001}$ values, these planes are systematically located at ~2.7 Å from the 2:1 layer surface, in agreement with X-ray reflectivity results for the presence of H$_2$O molecules wetting clay surfaces (Brown and Sturchio 2002; Fenter and Sturchio 2004; Lee et al. 2007). Each of these two planes contains 3.0-3.2 H$_2$O molecules p.f.u., that is ~20% of the total interlayer H$_2$O content, with a B parameter of ~10-15 Å$^2$ (Table 3, Figure 2c). All attempts to approximate the plateau of the oxygen density profile with a single contribution proved unsuccessful, as the B value associated to such a single plane would be extremely high leading to H$_2$O molecules being too close to the 2:1 layer surface, or even passing it. A second set of two planes, symmetrical with respect to the interlayer mid-plane, was thus considered at 1.20-1.35 Å from the
interlayer mid-plane. This distance corresponds to 21% of that from the interlayer mid-plane to the 2:1 layer surface. Each of these two planes contains 4.2-4.8 H$_2$O molecules p.f.u., that is ~30% of the total interlayer H$_2$O content, with a B parameter of ~100 Å$^2$ (Table 3, Figure 2c). A set of two planes, symmetrical with respect to the interlayer mid-plane, was considered for interlayer cations, as such a doublet allowed better fits to XRD data, specifically for Ba-SWy, compared to a single plane centered on the interlayer mid-plane (data not shown). These planes are located at 0.00-1.20 Å from the interlayer mid-plane, B values depending on the nature of the interlayer cation (20-45 Å$^2$ – Table 3). The positive correlation between the cation ionic potential (valence over ionic radius ratio) and the distance from interlayer cations to the surface of the 2:1 layer (Figure 9) allows approximating the actual position of cationic planes for species not reported in the present study. This simplified structure model of 3W smectite interlayer space allows reproducing satisfactorily the XRD data for all samples investigated (Figure 3b), and can thus be used for routine quantitative analysis of XRD data recorded from smectite-bearing clayey samples recorded at high H$_2$O activity. Table 4 thus recaps relevant parameters of this simplified structure model for 3W smectite in an effort to complement the structure model of 0W, 1W, and 2W proposed by Moore and Reynolds (1997) and further refined by Ferrage et al. (2005b, 2005c, 2007a, 2007b, 2007c, 2010).

**IMPLICATIONS**

A key issue in assessing the safety of municipal and nuclear waste disposal is the ability to model solute transport in clay porous media under near-saturated water condition, and, possibly, constrained confinement. In such a context inter-particle porosity controls, at least in part, the diffusion of anions, cations, and H$_2$O whereas interlayer porosity influences...
also the diffusion of the latter two species (Pavlović and Stamatović 1992; Melkior et al. 2005; 2009). Inter-particle porosity can be estimated from the apparent dry bulk density, the extent of crystalline swelling, and the total constrained volume (Fernández and Rivas 2005; Bourg et al. 2006; Van Loon et al. 2007; Muurinen 2009; Holmboe et al. 2012). Within this scope, the structure model of 3W smectite proposed in the present study will allow quantifying crystalline swelling by XRD under near-saturated conditions, thus providing further constraints on the extent of crystalline swelling and on the organization and mobility of interlayer H₂O molecules. In addition, interlayer H₂O contents refined in the present study for high H₂O activity conditions allow a stronger control on the calculation of H₂O present in the inter-particle porosity. This additional control complements previous studies devoted to quantifying the different types of H₂O molecules in swelling clay porous media (H₂O molecules in 1W or 2W layers, and pore water – Ferrage et al. 2010; Dazas et al. 2013).

Ferrage et al. (2010) showed for saponites that the water content derived from XRD profile modeling was consistent with total water content for RH values < 70%. For higher RH values, these authors assigned the increase in total water content to sorption of capillary water in the pore network owing to stability of the 2W hydrate, most likely as the result of tetrahedral charge location.
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### Table 1. Experimental parameters of 3W montmorillonite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$d_{001}$ value (Å)</th>
<th>$\xi$ parameter (Å)</th>
<th>Layer abundance 3W/2W (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg-SWy</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>98/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-SWy</td>
<td>18.93</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>98/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba-SWy</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>97/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-SWy</td>
<td>18.73</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>92/8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* The layer-to-layer distance ($d_{001}$) and the relative contents of 3W and 2W layers were determined from the simulation of XRD data. The rationality estimate ($\xi$) was calculated as the standard deviation of $l \times d_{001}$ values (in Å) for the ten 00l reflections measurable over the 2-50° 2θ range.
Table 2. Content and local environment of interlayer H₂O molecules from the first (1HS) and second (2HS) cation hydration shells deduced from GCMC simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Water content (p.f.u.)</th>
<th>1HS</th>
<th>2HS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cat.-H₂O distance (Å)</td>
<td>nH₂O (per cation)</td>
<td>nH₂O shared (p.f.u.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg-SWy</td>
<td>16.02 ±0.07</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>6.19 ±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-SWy</td>
<td>15.34 ±0.05</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>7.93 ±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba-SWy</td>
<td>15.19 ±0.06</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>9.34 ±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-SWy</td>
<td>14.82 ±0.06</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>5.74 ±0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Inter-species distances and numbers of H₂O molecules are extracted from the radial distribution functions and hydration number functions, respectively, shown in Figure 5.
ᵃ From Shannon (1976)
Table 3. Structural parameters of the different planes of interlayer species approximating the atomic density functions derived from GCMC simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>1\textsuperscript{st} plane of H\textsubscript{2}O molecules</th>
<th>2\textsuperscript{nd} plane of H\textsubscript{2}O molecules</th>
<th>Plane of interlayer cations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>z-position</td>
<td>quantity</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg-SWy</td>
<td>± 3.61</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-SWy</td>
<td>± 3.47</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba-SWy</td>
<td>± 3.44</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-SWy</td>
<td>± 3.37</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The z-positions (in Å) of the different planes are given relative to the interlayer mid-plane. The quantity of interlayer H\textsubscript{2}O molecules and cations are given per formula unit, whereas the Debye-Waller factor (B) is given in Å\textsuperscript{2}. 
**Table 4.** Simplified interlayer structure model allowing XRD simulation of 3W smectite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>z-position (Å)</th>
<th>Quantity (p.f.u.)</th>
<th>B (Å²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>± 3.50(15)</td>
<td>3.15(15) H₂O</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 1.27(12)</td>
<td>4.50(45) H₂O</td>
<td>~100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 0.00-1.20a</td>
<td>0.5 M⁺</td>
<td>20-45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* The z-positions of the different planes are given relative to the interlayer mid-plane.

*a* The actual position of cation planes may be approximated from the linear regression equation shown on Figure 9.
**Figure captions**

**Figure 1.** Simulation box for Grand Canonical Monte Carlo simulation of 3W Ca-SWy montmorillonite. The rigid box encompasses $6 \times 4$ unit cells along the $a$ and $b$ directions, respectively, and three interlayers. The layer-to-layer distance is constrained by experimental $d_{001}$ values. During simulations, the clay box is equilibrated with a water vapor reservoir set at 98% RH. Water molecules can enter or exit the interlayer spaces and all interlayer species (H$_2$O molecules and cations) can move to reach an equilibrated state.

**Figure 2:** Interlayer configurations deduced from GCMC simulations. (a) Atomic density profiles of hydrogen (dotted line), oxygen (solid line), and cations (bold solid line). (b) Electron density profiles for H$_2$O (solid line) and cations (bold solid line). (c) Comparison between electron density profiles of interlayer H$_2$O from GCMC simulations (gray solid line) and the approximation by discrete planes of H$_2$O molecules (dotted line). The solid line sums up the contributions of the different planes for the latter model. (d) Comparison between electron density profiles of interlayer cations from GCMC simulations (gray solid line) and the approximation by discrete planes of cations (dotted line). The solid line sums up the contributions of the different planes for the latter model.

**Figure 3.** Experimental and calculated XRD patterns of 3W montmorillonite. Experimental patterns are shown as solid circles whereas calculated profiles generated from (a) GCMC simulations or (b) simplified interlayer models (see text for details) are shown as gray solid
lines. Vertical gray bars indicate the scaling up of the high-angle region intensities with respect to the 2-6° 2θ region. Difference plots are shown at the bottom of the figure and display reflections from accessory minerals.

Figure 4. Sensitivity tests of calculated XRD profiles to the interlayer configuration for Mg-SWy. (a) Influence of the interlayer H2O content. The GCMC optimized H2O content was varied by ± 10% (Table 2). (b) Influence of the z-coordinates of H2O molecules. The distribution profile of interlayer H2O shown in Figure 2a was stretched along the z-axis to shift the two discrete and sharp maxima at ~2.7 Å from the 2:1 layer by 0.1 Å. Arrows indicate significant misfits between experimental and calculated intensities. Others labels and notations as in Figure 3.

Figure 5. GCMC computed radial distribution function \[g_{\text{cat.-O}}(r)\] – solid line and associated number of H2O molecules around interlayer cations \[N(r)\] – gray solid line.

Figure 6. Atomic density profiles for the different type of interlayer H2O molecules. (a) H2O molecules from the first hydration shell are shown in black, whereas H2O molecules filling the interlayer space appear in gray. (b) H2O molecules from the first and second hydration shells are shown in black, H2O molecules filling the interlayer space appear in gray. Oxygen, hydrogen, and cations are shown as solid, dotted and bold solid lines, respectively.
Figure 7. Snapshots of the GCMC computed configuration of H$_2$O molecules from the cation first hydration shell in 3W smectite. Each configuration is shown in projection along the $b$- and $c$-axes (top and bottom, respectively). H$_2$O molecules not from 1HS are shown as sticks to highlight cation first hydration shell polyhedra (in gray).

Figure 8. Snapshots of the GCMC computed configuration of H$_2$O molecules from the cation second hydration shell in 3W smectite. Projections and patterns as in Figure 7.

Figure 9. Distance from interlayer cation to the surface of the 2:1 layer in 3W smectite as a function of the cation ionic potential (valence over ionic radius ratio). Ionic radii considered are listed in Table 2.
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