

1 **Revision 4**

2  
3 **A new biogenic, struvite-related phosphate, the ammonium-analogue of**  
4 **hazenite,  $(\text{NH}_4)\text{NaMg}_2(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 14\text{H}_2\text{O}$**

5  
6 Hexiong Yang<sup>1</sup>, Livia Martinelli<sup>2,3</sup>, Flavia Tasso<sup>3</sup>, Anna Rosa Sprocati<sup>3</sup>, Flavia Pinzari<sup>2,4</sup>,  
7 Zhenxian Liu<sup>5</sup>, Robert T. Downs<sup>1</sup>, and Henry J. Sun<sup>6</sup>

8 <sup>1</sup>Department of Geosciences, University of Arizona, 1040 E. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA

9 <sup>2</sup>Istituto Centrale per il Restauro e la Conservazione del Patrimonio Archivistico e Librario, Rome, Italy

10 <sup>3</sup>Unità Tecnica Caratterizzazione, Prevenzione e Risanamento Ambientale, ENEA-CASACCIA, Rome,  
11 Via Anguillarese 301, 00123 Rome, Italy

12 <sup>4</sup>Consiglio per la Ricerca e la sperimentazione in Agricoltura Centro di ricerca per lo studio delle relazioni  
13 tra pianta e suolo. Via della Navicella 2-4, 00184 Rome, Italy

14 <sup>5</sup>Geophysical Laboratory, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington, D.C. 20015, USA

15 <sup>6</sup>Desert Research Institute, 755 Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119, USA

16  
17 **Abstract**

18 A new biogenic, struvite-related phosphate, the ammonium analogue of hazenite  
19 (AAH), ideally  $(\text{NH}_4)\text{NaMg}_2(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 14\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , has been found in cultures containing the  
20 bacterial strain *Virgibacillus* sp. NOT1 (GenBank Accession Number: JX417495.1)  
21 isolated from an XVII Century document made of parchment. The chemical composition  
22 of AAH, determined from the combination of electron microprobe and X-ray structural  
23 analyses, is  $[(\text{NH}_4)_{0.78}\text{K}_{0.22}]\text{NaMg}_2(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 14\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Single-crystal X-ray diffraction shows  
24 that AAH is orthorhombic with space group *Pmnb* and unit-cell parameters  $a =$   
25  $6.9661(6)$ ,  $b = 25.236(3)$ ,  $c = 11.292(1)$  Å, and  $V = 1985.0(3)$  Å<sup>3</sup>. Compared to hazenite,  
26 the substitution of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  for  $\text{K}^+$  results in a noticeable increase of the average A-O ( $A =$   
27  $\text{NH}_4^+ + \text{K}^+$ ) bond length and the unit-cell volume for AAH, as also observed for struvite  
28 vs. struvite-K. Both infrared and Raman spectra of AAH resemble those of hazenite, as  
29 well as struvite. Our study reveals that AAH forms only in cultures with Na-bearing  
30 solutions and pH below 10.0. No AAH or hazenite was found in experiments with  
31

32 the K-bearing solutions, suggesting the necessity of a Na-bearing solution for AAH  
33 formation.

34 **Key words:** ammonium phosphate, hazenite, struvite-type materials, biomineral, crystal  
35 structure, X-ray diffraction, infrared and Raman spectra

36

37

## Introduction

38 Phosphate formation through microbial activities is one of the most common  
39 mechanisms for the biological transformation of inorganic phosphates (Gibson 1974;  
40 Kamnev et al. 1999; Desmidt et al. 2013). Among all biogenic phosphates, struvite,  
41  $(\text{NH}_4)\text{MgPO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , is the most widespread in a variety of environments, such as bat  
42 droppings, decomposing foods, infection (e.g., urinary tract) stones in humans, water  
43 treatment facilities, and in a range of bacterial cultures (Sánchez-Román et al., 2007;  
44 Weil 2008; Desmidt et al. 2013 and references therein). The specific roles that  
45 microorganisms play in struvite formation are not well understood. It has been speculated  
46 that bacterial cell surfaces may serve as nucleation sites and biological activities provide  
47 a steady supply of phosphate and ammonia as the crystals grow (e.g., Ben Omar 1994,  
48 1995, 1998; Chen et al. 2010).

49 A number of compounds are isotypic with, or structurally analogous to, struvite  
50 (Dickens and Brown 1976; Weil 2008; Yang et al. 2011). A general chemical formula for  
51 struvite-type materials can be expressed as  $A^+M^{2+}(\text{XO}_4) \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , where  $n = 6 - 8$ ,  $X = \text{P}$  or  
52  $\text{As}$ ,  $A = \text{NH}_4$ ,  $\text{K}$ ,  $\text{Rb}$ ,  $\text{Cs}$ , and  $\text{Tl}$ , and  $M = \text{Mg}$ ,  $\text{Fe}$ ,  $\text{Co}$ ,  $\text{Ni}$ ,  $\text{Zn}$ ,  $\text{Mn}$ . A common structural  
53 feature of struvite-type compounds is that all  $M$  cations are octahedrally coordinated by  
54 six  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecules and no  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecule is shared between  $M(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6$  octahedra. The  $\text{XO}_4$   
55 tetrahedra and  $M(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6$  octahedra are interlinked through hydrogen bonding. The  
56 struvite-type structure was once thought unable to accommodate  $A$  cations smaller than  
57  $\text{K}^+$  (Banks et al. 1975). Nevertheless, Mathew et al. (1982) synthesized a Na-analogue of  
58 struvite,  $\text{NaMg}(\text{PO}_4) \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , in which the small  $\text{Na}^+$  (relative to  $\text{K}^+$ ) is compensated by an

59 additional H<sub>2</sub>O molecule. More intriguingly, Yang and Sun (2004) and Yang et al. (2011)  
60 described the new biomineral hazenite, KNaMg<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·14H<sub>2</sub>O, which possesses many  
61 structural features similar to those for both struvite-(K), KMg(PO<sub>4</sub>)·6H<sub>2</sub>O (Mathew and  
62 Schroeder 1979; Graeser et al. 2008) and synthetic NaMg(PO<sub>4</sub>)·7H<sub>2</sub>O (Mathew et al.  
63 1982). Hazenite represents the first struvite-type phosphate that contains both K and Na  
64 as the A ions. In this paper, we report a new biologically-formed phosphate, an  
65 ammonium analogue of hazenite, ideally (NH<sub>4</sub>)NaMg<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·14H<sub>2</sub>O.

66

67

## Experimental Methods

68

### Formation of the ammonium analogue of hazenite (AAH)

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

The AAH crystals used in this study were formed in cultures containing the  
bacterial strain *Virgibacillus* sp.NOT1 (GenBank Accession Number: JX417495.1),  
which was isolated from an XVII Century document made of parchment and identified  
through 16S rDNA sequencing. The growth medium was prepared in Blood Agar Base  
N.2 (Oxoid, Code: CM0271) with the following components: Proteose peptone 15.0 g/L;  
liver digest 2.5 g/L; yeast extract 5.0 g/L; sodium chloride 5.0 g/L, agar 12.0 g/L. The  
final pH was 7.4(2) at 25°C. Four different salts at two or three different concentrations  
were added to the growth media (Table 1). A 10-ml aliquot of each salt-supplemented  
agar medium was used for the pH measurements before solidification using a glass  
electrode specific for high viscosity samples (Metrhom 6.0239.100 Viscotrode). The  
plates were then inoculated by surface streaking and incubated aerobically at 25 °C.  
Cultures were checked for crystal formation periodically for up to 60 days. As the growth  
media began to dry, elongated tabular or prismatic AAH crystals (up to 0.50 × 0.12 ×  
0.08 mm) appeared on or in the bacterial colonies in runs #1, 2, and 4 (Fig. 1).

### Characterization of AAH crystals

85 The AAH crystals were first examined using a Variable Pressure EVO 50  
86 Scanning Electron Microscope. Qualitative chemical analysis of AAH was performed  
87 with electron-dispersive spectroscopy following the procedure given by Gazulla et al.  
88 (2013), which revealed the major elements P, Mg, Na, K, and N, plus trace Ca. The  
89 presence of N in AAH was further confirmed by the infrared (IR) spectroscopy and X-ray  
90 structure determination (see below).

91 The quantitative analysis of the AAH chemical composition was conducted with a  
92 CAMECA SX100 electron microprobe at 10 kV and 5 nA, with a beam size of 20  $\mu\text{m}$  to  
93 minimize the sample damage by the electron beam. The average composition of five  
94 analysis points is (wt.%):  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$  42.2(4), MgO 23.7(3),  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$  9.0(1),  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  2.5(2), and CaO  
95 0.16(8), with a sum of 77.5(6) wt.%. Due to the high degree of hydration and the rapid  
96 deterioration of the sample during the microprobe analysis, this composition was used  
97 only for the estimation of cation ratios. By assuming two P cations per formula, the  
98 relative ratio of P:Mg:Na:K is 2.00:1.98:0.98:0.18. The actual composition of the crystal,  
99  $[(\text{NH}_4)_{0.78}\text{K}_{0.22}]\text{NaMg}_2(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 14\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , was determined by the combination of the electron  
100 microprobe and X-ray structural analyses (see below), which can be idealized as  
101  $(\text{NH}_4)\text{NaMg}_2(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 14\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

102 The IR and Raman spectra of AAH were acquired at the U2A beam line of the  
103 National Synchrotron Light Source at Brookhaven National Laboratory. The details of  
104 experimental procedures and the optical layout of the beamline have been described by  
105 Liu et al. (2002).

106 Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of AAH (from run 2) were collected at  
107 ambient temperature on a Bruker X8 APEX2 CCD X-ray diffractometer equipped with  
108 graphite-monochromatized  $\text{MoK}_\alpha$  radiation. All reflections were indexed on the basis of  
109 an orthorhombic unit-cell (Table 2). The intensity data were corrected for X-ray  
110 absorption using the Bruker program SADABS. The systematic absences of reflections  
111 suggest possible space group  $Pmnb$  (#62) or  $P2_1nb$  (#33). The structure model of hazenite

112 (Yang et al. 2011) was adopted and refined using SHELX97 (Sheldrick 2008) based on  
113 the space group *Pmnb*, because it yielded the better refinement statistics in terms of bond  
114 lengths and angles, atomic displacement parameters, and *R* factors. The H atoms in all  
115 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules were located, but not those in NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. The positions of all atoms were  
116 refined with anisotropic displacement parameters, except for H atoms, which were  
117 refined with a fixed isotropic displacement parameter ( $U_{eq} = 0.04$ ). The site occupancy of  
118 K was refined against N, yielding a relative ratio of 0.22(1) : 0.78(1). This ratio was  
119 adopted in our empirical formula for AAH. Final coordinates and displacement  
120 parameters of atoms are listed in Table 3, and selected bond-distances in Table 4.

121

## 122 Discussion

### 123 Crystal Structure

124 AAH is isostructural with hazenite, which exhibits many structural features  
125 resembling those of struvite-type materials (Yang and Sun 2004; Yang et al. 2011). The  
126 crystal structure of AAH is characterized by six distinct non-hydrogen cation sites,  
127 including two octahedral sites for Mg<sup>2+</sup> (Mg1 and Mg2), two tetrahedral sites for P<sup>5+</sup> (P1  
128 and P2), one trigonal prismatic site for Na<sup>+</sup>, and one six-coordinated, very irregular site  
129 for A<sup>+</sup>. These cation sites form three types of layers that are stacked along the *b*-axis in a  
130 repeating sequence of ABCBABC... (Fig. 2), where layer A consists of Mg1(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub>  
131 octahedra and NaO<sub>6</sub> trigonal prisms, layer B of P1O<sub>4</sub> and P2O<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra, and layer C of  
132 Mg2(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>6</sub> octahedra and AO<sub>6</sub> polyhedra. These layers are linked together by hydrogen  
133 bonds, plus the A-O bonds between layers B and C (A-O5-P2). As noted by Yang et al.  
134 (2011), the struvite-type structure, which displays a layer stacking sequence of  
135 BCBCBC..., can be readily derived from the hazenite-type structure by replacing its layer  
136 A with layer C.

137 In general, because the effective radius of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (1.48 Å) is larger than that of K<sup>+</sup>  
138 (1.38 Å for six-coordination) (Shannon 1976), the substitution of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> for K<sup>+</sup> in a crystal

139 will result in the increase in both the average A-O bond length and the unit-cell volume,  
140 as have been observed in numerous compounds (e.g. Abu El-Fadl et al. 2006; Bogdanov  
141 et al. 2011; Shin 2011; Lim and Lee 2013). For AAH and hazenite, the average A-O bond  
142 lengths are 2.972 and 2.919 Å (Yang et al. 2011), respectively (Table 4), and the unit-cell  
143 volumes are 1985.0(5) and 1958.7(2) Å<sup>3</sup> (Table 5). Similar results are also found for  
144 struvite vs. struvite-K (Table 5).

145

### 146 **Infrared and Raman spectra**

147         Figures 3 and 4 show the IR and Raman spectra of AAH. There have been  
148 copious IR and Raman spectroscopic studies on struvite-related materials (Banks et al.  
149 1975; Angoni et al. 1998; Stefov et al. 2004, 2005; Frost et al. 2005; Koleva 2007; Cahil  
150 et al. 2008). Yang and Sun (2004) and Yang et al. (2011) showed that the IR and Raman  
151 spectra of hazenite are similar to those of struvite and made the tentative assignments of  
152 major bands for both spectra. These assignments should be applicable to AAH as well  
153 because of their isotypism. Nonetheless, as in the case for struvite vs. struvite-K (e.g.,  
154 Stefov et al. 2005; Cahil et al. 2008), the IR spectrum of AAH is expected to be more  
155 complicated than that of hazenite owing to the presence of the significant amount of  
156 NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. The presence of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in crystals generally gives rise to two discernible groups of  
157 bands: one at ~1430 cm<sup>-1</sup> attributable to the H-N-H bending vibrations and the other at  
158 ~3300 cm<sup>-1</sup> originating from the N-H stretching vibrations, although the exact numbers  
159 and positions of bands in each group may vary, depending on the bonding environments  
160 and the local symmetry of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. For AAH, the bands ascribable to the H-N-H bending  
161 vibrations are observed at ~1379 and 1388 cm<sup>-1</sup>. However, it is difficult to  
162 unambiguously assign which bands arise from the N-H stretching vibrations due to  
163 overlap with the O-H stretching vibrations in the range of 2700 to 3700 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

164         Compared to the band positions for the H-N-H bending vibrations for AAH, those  
165 for struvite are at much greater wavenumbers (~1432 and 1468 cm<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 3). This

166 evident difference is related to the bonding environments around  $\text{NH}_4^+$  in the two  
167 compounds. In struvite,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  is coordinated by six O atoms, with a wide range of the N-  
168 O distances, from 2.800 to 3.498 Å, and an average N-O distance of 3.136 Å (Ferraris et  
169 al. 1986), whereas all six O atoms coordinated to A in AAH fall between 2.720 and 3.095  
170 Å, with an average A-O distance of 2.972 Å (Table 4) [see Yang and Sun (2004) for a  
171 detailed discussion on the bonding differences around the A site between the hazenite-  
172 and struvite-type structures]. While the large separation between the two H-N-H bending  
173 modes ( $1468 - 1432 = 36 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) for struvite is primarily a consequence of the marked  
174 distortion of its A site, the greater wavenumbers of the two bending bands in struvite  
175 (relative to those in AAH) may be explained by its longer average N-O distance. For a N-  
176 H...O bond, the longer N-O distance means a stronger N-H and weaker H...O bonding,  
177 which makes the H-N-H bending more difficult and the corresponding bands appear at  
178 higher wavenumbers.

179

### 180 **Implications of AAH**

181 As shown in Table 1, AAH appears to form only in cultures with the Na-bearing  
182 solutions and pH below 10.0 (runs #1, 2, and 4). No AAH or hazenite was found in  
183 experiments with the K-bearing solutions (runs 7-10). Hence, a Na-rich environment  
184 seems to be essential for the formation of both AAH and hazenite (Yang and Sun 2004).  
185 In addition, we observed both AAH and struvite in run #1, suggesting that they are  
186 overlapping in formation environments. In nature, Mono Lake in California, which is  
187 known for its unique biological and geochemical features, currently consists of a  
188 hypersaline (84–92 g/L), alkaline (pH = 9.8)  $\text{Na-CO}_3\text{-Cl-SO}_4$  brine (Yang et al. 2011 and  
189 references therein). This environment is obviously analogous to that of our experimental  
190 run #1 or #2. Hazenite was discovered on the south shore of Mono Lake (Yang et al.  
191 2011), where no struvite has been documented. However, on the north shore of the lake,  
192 especially on Paoha Island, where guano is relatively well preserved, struvite is quite

193 abundant (Cooper and Dunning 1969; Walker 1988). These places, therefore, could serve  
194 as candidates for the formation and discovery of AAH in nature.

195         Research on the precipitation of struvite from sewage has been an attractive  
196 subject as it may offer a potential route for dephosphorization of wastewater from  
197 industries and recovery of phosphates for fertilizers (e.g., Doyle and Parsons 2002; Shu et  
198 al. 2006; Forrest et al. 2008; Machnicha et al. 2008; Muster et al. 2013). Given the strong  
199 resemblances in both chemistry and structure between struvite and AAH, a better  
200 understanding of the formation mechanism of AAH, especially in terms of the extent of  
201 bacterial involvements, will unquestionably provide additional knowledge of  
202 biomineralization of struvite-type phosphate materials and might lead to another route  
203 for dephosphorization of wastewater. Furthermore, the struvite-type structure allows a  
204 complete substitution of  $\text{AsO}_4^{3+}$  for  $\text{PO}_4^{3+}$  (Weil 2008 and references therein). Thus, it  
205 would be intriguing to explore whether the hazenite-type structure can also have the As-  
206 analogues, synthetic or natural.

207

208

### **Acknowledgements**

209         This study was supported by the Science Foundation Arizona. The authors are  
210 grateful to Piero Colaizzi from the Istituto Centrale per il Restauro e la Conservazione del  
211 Patrimonio Archivistico e Librario of Rome for his kind technical assistance with SEM-  
212 EDS. The careful and constructive reviews by Drs. W. Kolitsch and J. Pasteris are greatly  
213 appreciated.

214

215

216

### **References Cited**

217 Abu El-Fadl, A., Soltan, A.S., and Shaalan, N.M. (2006) Influence of cationic



- 218 substitution on lattice constants and optical characterization in solution grown  
219 mixed crystals of potassium-ammonium zinc chloride. *Crystal Research and*  
220 *Technology*, 41, 1013-1019.
- 221 Angoni, K., Popp, J., and Kiefe, W. (1998) A vibrational spectroscopy study of “urinary  
222 Sand”. *Spectroscopy Letters*, 31, 1771-1782.
- 223 Abbona, F., Calleri, M., and Ivaldi, G. (1984) Synthetic struvite,  $\text{MgNH}_4\text{PO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ :  
224 Correct polarity and surface features of some complementary forms, *Acta*  
225 *Crystallographica*, B40, 223-227.
- 226 Banks, E., Chianelli, R., and Korenstein, R. (1975) Crystal chemistry of struvite analogs  
227 of the type  $\text{MgMPO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $\text{M}^+ = \text{K}^+, \text{Rb}^+, \text{Cs}^+, \text{Tl}^+, \text{NH}_4^+$ ). *Inorganic Chemistry*,  
228 14, 1634-1639.
- 229 Ben Omar, N., Entrena, M., Gonzalez-Munoz, M.T., Arias, J.M., and Huetas, F. (1994)  
230 The effects of pH and phosphate on the production of struvite by *Myxococcus*  
231 *Xanthus*. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 12, 81-90.
- 232 Ben Omar, N., Matinez-Canamero, M., Gonzalez-Munoz, M.T., Arias, J.M., and Huetas,  
233 F. (1995) *Myxococcus xanthus*' killed cells as inducers of struvite crystallization:  
234 Its possible role in the biomineralization processes. *Chemosphere*, 30, 2387-2396.
- 235 Ben Omar, N., Gonzalez-Munoz, M.T., Penalver, J.M.A. (1998) Struvite crystallization  
236 on *Myxococcus* cells. *Chemosphere*, 36, 475-481.
- 237 Bogdanov, E.V., Vasil'ev, A.D., Flerov, I.N., and Laptash, N.M. (2011) Effects of cation  
238 substitution in fluorine-oxygen molybdates  $(\text{NH}_4)_{2-x}\text{A}_x\text{MoO}_2\text{F}_4$ . *Physics of the*  
239 *Solid State*, 53, 303-308.
- 240 Cahil, A, Soptrajanov, B., Najdoski, M, Lutz, H.D., Engelen, B., and Stefov, V. (2008)  
241 Infrared and Raman spectra of magnesium ammonium phosphate hexahydrate  
242 (struvite) and its isomorphous analogues. Part VI: FT-IR spectra of isomorphous  
243 isolated species.  $\text{NH}_4^+$  ions isolated in  $\text{MKPO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Mg}, \text{Ni}$ ) and  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$

- 244 ions isolated in  $\text{MgNH}_4\text{AsO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . *Journal of Molecular Structure*, 876, 255-  
245 259.
- 246 Chen, L., Shen, Y., Xie, A., Huang, F., Zhang, W., and Liu, S. (2010) Seed-mediated  
247 synthesis of unusual struvite hierarchical superstructures using bacterium. *Crystal*  
248 *Growth & Design*, 10, 2073-2082.
- 249 Cooper, J.F. and Dunning, G.E. (1969) Struvite found at Mono Lake. *Mineral*  
250 *Information Service*, 22, 44-45.
- 251 Desmidt, E., Ghyselbrecht, K., Monballiu, A., Rabaey, K., Verstraete, W., and  
252 Meesschaert, B. D. (2013) Factors influencing urease driven struvite precipitation.  
253 *Separation and Purification Technology*, 110, 150-157.
- 254 Dickens, B. and Brown, W.E. (1972) The crystal structure of  $\text{CaKAsO}_4 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . *Acta*  
255 *Crystallographica*, B28, 3056-3065.
- 256 Doyle, J.D. and Parsons, S.A. (2002) Struvite formation, control, and recovery. *Water*  
257 *Research*, 36, 3925-3940.
- 258 Ferraris, G., Fuess, H., and Joswig, W. (1986) Neutron diffraction study of  
259  $\text{Mg}(\text{NH}_4)(\text{PO}_4) \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (struvite) and survey of water molecules donating short  
260 hydrogen bonds. *Acta Crystallographica B*, 42, 253-258.
- 261 Forrest, A.L., Fattah, K.P., Mavinic, D.S. and Koch, F.A. (2008) Optimizing struvite  
262 production for phosphate recovery in WWTP. *Journal of Environmental*  
263 *Engineering*, 134, 395-402.
- 264 Frost, R.L., Weier, M.L., Martens, W.N., Henry, D.A., and Mills, S.J. (2005) Raman  
265 spectroscopy of newberyite, hannayite, and struvite. *Spectrochimica Acta*, A62,  
266 181-188.
- 267 Gazulla, M.F., Rodrigo, M., Blasco, E., and Orduna, M. (2013) Nitrogen determination  
268 by SEM-EDS and elemental analysis. *X-ray Spectrometry*, 42 (in press. DOI  
269 10.1002/xrs.2490).
- 270 Gibson, R.I. (1974) Descriptive human pathological mineralogy. *American Mineralogist*,

- 271 59, 1177-1182.
- 272 Graeser, S., Postl, W., Bojar, H.-P., Berlepsch, P., Armbruster, T., Raber, T., Ettinger, K.,  
273 Walter, F. (2008): Struvite-(K),  $\text{KMgPO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , the potassium equivalent of  
274 struvite – a new mineral. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, 20, 629-633.
- 275 Kamnev, A.A., Antonyuk, L.P., Colina, M., Chernyshev, A.V., Ignatov, V. (1999)  
276 Investigation of a microbially produced structural modification of magnesium-  
277 ammonium orthophosphate. *Monatshefte für Chemie*, 130, 1431-1442.
- 278 Koleva, V.G. (2007) Vibrational behavior of the phosphates ions in dittmarite-type  
279 compounds  $\text{M}'\text{M}''\text{PO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $\text{M}' = \text{K}^+, \text{NH}_4^+$ ;  $\text{M}'' = \text{Mn}^{2+}, \text{Co}^{2+}, \text{Ni}^{2+}$ ).  
280 *Spectrochimica Acta*, A66, 413-418.
- 281 Lim, A.R. and Lee, M. (2013) Structural properties in mixed  $\text{LiK}_{1-x}(\text{NH}_4)_x\text{SO}_4$  ( $x = 0,$   
282  $0.06,$  and  $1$ ) crystals by nuclear magnetic resonance. *Journal of Molecular*  
283 *Structure*, 1033, 113-120.
- 284 Liu, Z., Hu, J., Mao, H.K., and Hemley, R.J. (2002) High-pressure synchrotron x-ray  
285 diffraction and infrared microspectroscopy: applications to dense hydrous phases.  
286 *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 14, 10641–10646.
- 287 Machnicha, A., Grubel, K., and Suschka, J. (2008) Enhanced biological phosphorus  
288 removal and recovery. *Water Environment Research*, 80, 617-623.
- 289 Mathew, M., and Schroeder, L.W. (1979) Crystal structure of a struvite analogue,  
290  $\text{MgKPO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . *Acta Crystallographica*, B35, 11-13.
- 291 Mathew, M., Kingsbury, P., Takagi, S., and Brown, W.E. (1982) A new struvite-type  
292 compound, magnesium sodium phosphate heptahydrate. *Acta Crystallographica*,  
293 B38, 40-44.
- 294 Muster, T.H., Douglas, G.B., Sherman, N., Seeber, A., Wright, N., and Guezuekara, Y.  
295 (2013) Towards effective phosphorus recycling from wastewater: Quantity and  
296 quality. *Chemosphere*, 91, 676-684.
- 297 Sánchez-Román, M., Rivadeneyra, M.A., Vasconcelos, C., and McKenzie, J.A. (2007)  
298 Biomineralization of carbonate and phosphate by moderately halophilic bacteria.  
299 *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 61, 273-84.
- 300 Shannon, R.D. (1976) Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic

- 301 distances in halides and chalcogenides. *Acta Crystallographica*, A32, 751–767.
- 302 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008) A short history of *SHELX*. *Acta Crystallographica*, A64, 112-
- 303 122.
- 304 Shin, H.K. (2011) Protonic conductivity and phase transition in  $K_{1-x}(NH_4)_xHSO_4$ . *Solid*
- 305 *State Ionics*, 189, 29-32.
- 306 Shu, L., Schneider, P., Jegatheesan, V., and Johnson, J. (2006) An economic evaluation
- 307 of phosphorous recovery as struvite from digester supernatant. *Bioresource*
- 308 *Technology*, 97, 2211-2216.
- 309 Stefov, V., Soptrajanov, B., Spirovski, F., Kuzmanovski, I., Lutz, H.D., and Engelen, B.
- 310 (2004) Infrared and Raman spectra of magnesium ammonium phosphate
- 311 hexahydrate (struvite) and its isomorphous analogues. I. Spectra of protiated and
- 312 partially deuterated magnesium potassium phosphate hexahydrate. *Journal of*
- 313 *Molecular Structure*, 689, 1-10.
- 314 Stefov, V., Soptrajanov, B., Kuzmanovski, I., Lutz, H.D., and Engelen, B. (2005)
- 315 Infrared and Raman spectra of magnesium ammonium phosphate hexahydrate
- 316 (struvite) and its isomorphous analogues. III. Spectra of protiated and partially
- 317 deuterated magnesium ammonium phosphate hexahydrate. *Journal of Molecular*
- 318 *Structure*, 752, 60-67.
- 319 Walker, J. (1988) Paoha Island phosphates. *Quarterly of San Bernardino County Museum*
- 320 *Association*, 35, 46-47.
- 321 Weil, M. (2008) The struvite-type compounds  $M[Mg(H_2O)_6](XO_4)$ , where  $M = Rb, Tl$
- 322 and  $X = P, As$ . *Crystal Research and Technology*, 43, 1286-1291.
- 323 Yang, H. and Sun, H.J. (2004) Crystal structure of a new phosphate compound,
- 324  $Mg_2KNa(PO_4)_2 \cdot 14H_2O$ . *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*, 177, 2991-2997.
- 325 Yang, H., Sun, H. J., and Downs, R.T. (2011) Hazenite,  $KNaMg_2(PO_4)_2 \cdot 14H_2O$ , a new
- 326 biologically related phosphate mineral, from Mono Lake, California, U.S.A.
- 327 *American Mineralogist*, 96, 675-681.
- 328
- 329
- 330

331

332

333

334

335 **List of Tables**

336

337 Table 1. Agar media prepared for the biomineralization experiments.

338

339 Table 2. Crystallographic data and refinement results for the ammonium-analogue of  
340 hazenite.

341

342 Table 3. Coordinates and displacement parameters of atoms for the ammonium-analogue  
343 of hazenite.

344

345 Table 4. Selected non-hydrogen bond distances ( $\text{\AA}$ ) in hazenite and its ammonium-  
346 analogue.

347

348 Table 5. Comparison of unit-cell data for hazenite vs. its ammonium-analogue and  
349 struvite vs. struvite-K.

350

351

352

353

354

355

356 **List of Figure Captions**

357

358 Figure 1. Crystals of the ammonium-analogue of hazenite. (a) Crystals taken from growth  
359 run 2 (see Table 1), which are all surrounded by white bacterial colonies. (b), (c),  
360 and (d) are back-scattered-electron images of three colonies on the top of Figure  
361 1a (in order from left to right).

362

363 Figure 2. Crystal structure of the ammonium-analogue of hazenite. Tetrahedra =  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$   
364 groups and octahedra =  $\text{Mg}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6$ . The largest, medium, and smallest spheres  
365 represent A (=  $\text{NH}_4 + \text{K}$ ), Ow9 (the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  molecule bonded to Na only), and Na,  
366 respectively. See the text for the definition of layers A, B, and C.

367


368 Figure 3. Infrared spectrum of the ammonium-analogue of hazenite, along with that of  
369 struvite taken from the RRUFF project ([rruff.info/R050511](http://rruff.info/R050511)) for comparison.

370

371 Figure 4. Raman spectrum of the ammonium-analogue of hazenite, along with that of  
372 hazenite taken from the RRUFF project ([rruff.info/R100029](http://rruff.info/R100029)) for comparison. The  
373 spectra are shown with vertical offset for more clarity.


**(a)**

2 mm




**AAH Crystals**

(b)



200  $\mu\text{m}^*$  | Mag = 152 X | Chamber = 31 Pa | Brightness = 35.0 % | I Probe = 253 pA | EHT = 20.00 kV  
File Name = Na2CO3 5% new 36.tif | Signal A = CZ BSD | Contrast = 78.0 % | Spot Size = 442 | WD = 12.5 mm


(c)



200 $\mu\text{m}^*$  -----	Mag = 265 X File Name = Na2CO3 5% new 43.tif	Chamber = 31 Pa Signal A = CZ BSD	Brightness = 37.7 % Contrast = 73.8 %	I Probe = 253 pA Spot Size = 442	EHT = 20.00 kV WD = 12.0 mm
-------------------------------	---	--------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------



(d)



100  $\mu\text{m}^*$

Mag = 147 X

File Name = Na2CO3 5% new 39.tif

Chamber = 31 Pa

Signal A = CZ BSD

Brightness = 33.6 %



Contrast = 78.3 %

I Probe = 253 pA


Spot Size = 442

EHT = 20.00 kV

WD = 12.5 mm



Absorbance (arb. unit)



AAH

Struvite

Wavenumber ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )

**Relative intensity**




Table 1. Agar media prepared for the biomineralization experiments.

Run #	Supplemented salt	Concentration	Final agar pH at 25°C	Products identified
1	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	2%	9.5(3)	ammonium hazenite, struvite
2	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	5%	9.9(2)	ammonium hazenite
3	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	10%	10.0(2)	No visible crystals
4	NaCl	2%	6.9(1)	ammonium hazenite (very few)
5	NaCl	5%	6.9(4)	halite
6	NaCl	10%	6.7(3)	halite
7	KCl	5%	7.0(2)	sylvite
8	KCl	15%	6.6(1)	sylvite
9	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	5%	9.9(5)	struvite-K
10	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	15%	10.5(6)	kalincinite

\*: The pH value for each run is an average of five measurements.

Table 2. Summary of crystallographic data and refinement results for ammonium analogue of hazenite

Ideal structural formula	(NH <sub>4</sub> )NaMg <sub>2</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ·14H <sub>2</sub> O
Space group	<i>Pmnb</i> (No. 62)
<i>a</i> (Å)	6.9661(6)
<i>b</i> (Å)	25.236(3)
<i>c</i> (Å)	11.2919(11)
<i>V</i> (Å <sup>3</sup> )	1985.0(3)
<i>Z</i>	4
$\rho_{\text{calc}}$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.795
$\lambda$ (Å)	0.71069
$\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.445
$\theta$ range for data collection	1.56 to 28.28
No. of reflections collected	21864
No. of independent reflections	2646
No. of reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	1962
No. of parameters refined	198
R(int)	0.068
Final R factors [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ]	$R_1 = 0.042$ , $wR_2 = 0.091$
Final R factors (all data)	$R_1 = 0.066$ , $wR_2 = 0.099$
Goodness-of-fit	1.09

Table 3. Coordinates and displacement parameters of atoms for ammonium analogue of hazenite

Atom	x	y	z	Uiso	U11	U22	U33	U23	U13	U12
A	¼	0.22146 (6)	0.4983 (1)	0.0386 (6)	0.0505 (11)	0.0295 (9)	0.0356 (10)	-0.0080 (6)	0	0
Na	¼	0.00057 (7)	0.9266 (2)	0.0367 (6)	0.0280 (10)	0.0420 (11)	0.0401 (11)	0.0115 (8)	0	0
Mg1	¼	0.95466 (4)	0.6394 (1)	0.0143 (4)	0.0139 (6)	0.0142 (6)	0.0149 (6)	0.0021 (4)	0	0
Mg2	¼	0.22385 (4)	0.8370 (1)	0.0160 (4)	0.0185 (6)	0.0152 (6)	0.0141 (6)	0.0007 (4)	0	0
P1	¼	0.12865 (3)	0.7211 (1)	0.0149 (2)	0.0157 (4)	0.0152 (4)	0.0139 (4)	-0.0009 (3)	0	0
P2	¼	0.12589 (3)	0.2172 (1)	0.0142 (2)	0.0132 (4)	0.0147 (4)	0.0145 (4)	0.0023 (3)	0	0
O1	¼	0.13574 (10)	0.8572 (2)	0.0215 (5)	0.0230 (12)	0.0289 (13)	0.0127 (12)	-0.0019 (10)	0	0
O2	¼	0.18372 (9)	0.6614 (2)	0.0222 (5)	0.0269 (13)	0.0179 (11)	0.0218 (12)	0.0019 (10)	0	0
O3	0.4317 (2)	0.09817 (6)	0.6845 (1)	0.0201 (4)	0.0172 (8)	0.0206 (8)	0.0224 (9)	-0.0029 (6)	0.0002 (7)	0.0024 (7)
O4	¼	0.06616 (9)	0.1907 (2)	0.0241 (6)	0.0229 (13)	0.0153 (12)	0.0341 (14)	-0.0001 (10)	0	0
O5	¼	0.13598 (10)	0.3515 (2)	0.0219 (5)	0.0224 (12)	0.0281 (13)	0.0151 (12)	0.0007 (10)	0	0
O6	0.9311 (2)	0.15090 (6)	0.1616 (1)	0.0192 (4)	0.0162 (8)	0.0216 (8)	0.0198 (8)	0.0063 (7)	0.0019 (7)	-0.0014 (7)
OW7	¼	0.00987 (12)	0.5072 (3)	0.0292 (7)	0.0207 (14)	0.0318 (16)	0.0352 (16)	0.0180 (12)	0	0
OW8	¼	0.89480 (13)	0.7615 (3)	0.0366 (8)	0.0145 (13)	0.0469 (18)	0.0484 (19)	0.0336 (14)	0	0
OW9	0.5359 (3)	0.91630 (8)	0.5449 (2)	0.0253 (4)	0.0237 (10)	0.0349 (11)	0.0173 (9)	-0.0010 (7)	0.0028 (8)	-0.0107 (8)
OW10	0.9586 (3)	0.99535 (7)	0.7373 (2)	0.0233 (4)	0.0203 (9)	0.0194 (9)	0.0302 (10)	0.0001 (7)	-0.0064 (8)	-0.0016 (8)
OW11	0.5341 (3)	0.25019 (8)	0.7262 (2)	0.0292 (4)	0.0306 (10)	0.0182 (9)	0.0387 (11)	0.0070 (9)	-0.0124 (9)	0.0004 (9)
OW12	0.9644 (3)	0.19572 (7)	0.9484 (2)	0.0238 (4)	0.0273 (10)	0.0267 (10)	0.0174 (9)	0.0022 (7)	0.0000 (8)	0.0048 (8)
OW13	¼	0.15560 (11)	0.7295 (3)	0.0281 (6)	0.0171 (13)	0.0255 (14)	0.0417 (16)	-0.0129 (12)	0	0
OW14	¼	0.29694 (11)	0.9275 (3)	0.0376 (8)	0.0681 (22)	0.0171 (14)	0.0276 (16)	-0.0019 (12)	0	0
OW15	0.0114 (3)	0.05941 (8)	0.9812 (2)	0.0329 (5)	0.0421 (13)	0.0272 (11)	0.0293 (11)	-0.0019 (8)	0.0093 (10)	-0.0003 (9)
H11	0.834 (4)	0.026 (1)	0.487 (3)							
H21	0.843 (4)	0.880 (1)	0.789 (3)							
H31	0.453 (5)	0.899 (1)	0.573 (3)							

H32	0.546 (5)	0.909 (1)	0.473 (3)
H41	0.066 (5)	0.976 (1)	0.757 (3)
H42	0.994 (4)	0.025 (1)	0.727 (3)
H51	0.446 (5)	0.228 (1)	0.715 (3)
H52	0.512 (5)	0.277 (1)	0.717 (3)
H61	0.932 (5)	0.182 (1)	0.016 (3)
H62	0.053 (5)	0.174 (1)	0.918 (3)
H71	0.841 (4)	0.136 (1)	0.726 (3)
H81	¾	0.317 (2)	0.896 (5)
H82	¾	0.300 (2)	0.011 (4)
H91	0.053 (5)	0.081 (1)	0.946 (3)
H92	0.960 (5)	0.076 (1)	0.038 (3)

=====

Note:  $A = 0.78(\text{NH}_4) + 0.22\text{K}$ ; The Uiso parameters for all H atoms were fixed at 0.04.



Table 4. Selected non-hydrogen bond distances (Å) in hazenite and its ammonium analogue

hazenite		NH <sub>4</sub> -analogue of Hazenite	
K-O5	2.672(3)	A-O5	2.720(3)
-OW11 (x2)	2.980(2)	-OW11 (x2)	3.068(2)
-OW12 (x2)	2.908(2)	-OW12 (x2)	2.940(2)
-OW13	3.064(3)	-OW13	3.095(3)
Avg.	2.919	Avg.	2.972
Na-OW10 (x2)	2.563(3)	Na-OW10 (x2)	2.589(3)
-OW15 (x2)	2.425(3)	-OW15 (x2)	2.429(2)
-OW15 (x2)	2.472(3)	-OW15 (x2)	2.478(2)
Avg.	2.487	Avg.	2.498
Mg1-OW7	2.032(3)	Mg1-OW7	2.042(3)
-OW8	2.040(3)	-OW8	2.046(3)
-OW9 (x2)	2.066(2)	-OW9 (x2)	2.074(2)
-OW10 (x2)	2.083(2)	-OW10 (x2)	2.095(2)
Avg.	2.062	Avg.	2.071
Mg2-OW11 (x2)	2.060(2)	Mg2-OW11 (x2)	2.066(2)
-OW12 (x2)	2.074(2)	-OW12 (x2)	2.077(2)
-OW13	2.093(3)	-OW13	2.107(3)
-OW14	2.109(3)	-OW14	2.109(3)
Avg.	2.078	Avg.	2.084
P1-O1	1.544(3)	P1-O1	1.548(3)
-O2	1.540(3)	-O2	1.544(3)
-O3 (x2)	1.530(2)	-O3 (x2)	1.538(2)
Avg.	1.536	Avg.	1.542
P2-O4	1.535(3)	P2-O4	1.537(3)
-O5	1.534(3)	-O5	1.538(3)
-O6 (x2)	1.543(2)	-O6 (x2)	1.544(2)
Avg.	1.539	Avg.	1.541

Table 5. Comparison of unit-cell data for hazenite vs. NH<sub>4</sub>-analogue of hazenite and struvite vs. struvite-K.

Name	Locality	<i>a</i> (Å)	<i>b</i> (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	<i>V</i> (Å <sup>3</sup> )	References
Hazenite	Synthetic	6.9316(5)	25.1754(18)	11.2189(10)	1957.8(3)	Yang and Sun (2004)
Hazenite	Mono Lake	6.9349(4)	25.1737(15)	11.2195(8)	1958.7(2)	Yang et al. (2011)
NH <sub>4</sub> -Hazenite	Run #1	6.962(1)	25.223(7)	11.293(2)	1983(1)	This study
NH <sub>4</sub> -Hazenite	Run #2	6.9661(6)	25.236(3)	11.292(1)	1985.0(3)	This study
Struvite	Synthetic	6.955(1)	6.142(1)	11.218(2)	479.2(2)	Ferraris et al. (1986)
	Synthetic	6.966(1)	6.142(1)	11.217(2)	479.9(1)	Abbona et al. (1984)
Struvite	Run #1	6.956(2)	6.145(1)	11.226(4)	479.9(4)	This study
Struvite-K	Synthetic	6.873(2)	6.160(2)	11.087(3)	469.4(3)	Mathew (1979)
	Switzerland	6.892(2)	6.166(2)	11.139(4)	473.4(3)	Graeser et al. (2008)
Struvite-K	Run #9	6.8741(4)	6.1481(4)	11.1094(6)	469.51(4)	This study