Redox effects on calcite-portlandite-fluid equilibria at forearc conditions: carbon mobility, methanogenesis, and reduction melting of calcite
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ABSTRACT

Oxygen fugacity ($f_{O_2}$) is a fundamental parameter that controls carbon mobility in aqueous geological environments such as subduction zones, where reduced serpentinite fluids have the potential to infiltrate oxidized carbonate-bearing lithologies. Using experiments and calculations, we describe how mineral-fluid equilibria evolve as $f_{O_2}$ decreases in the model Ca-C-O-H system at forearc conditions (300-700 °C and 2-10 kbar). Experimental calcite solubility was constant at $f_{O_2}$ values from quartz-fayalite-magnetite (QFM) to hematite-magnetite (HM). At lower $f_{O_2}$ values of iron-magnetite (IM) or wüstite-magnetite (WM), calcite reacted with H$_2$ to form...
methane plus portlandite or melt. These results were consistent with thermodynamic calculations and indicate that carbon mobility, as parameterized by total aqueous carbon ([C$_{TOT}$]), is strongly dependent on $fO_2$. At constant pressure and temperature, carbon mobility is minimized at oxidizing conditions, where [C$_{TOT}$] is controlled by calcite solubility. Carbon mobility is maximized at the most reducing conditions because all the carbon in the system is present as CH$_4$. An intermediate region of carbon mobility exists in which calcite is stable with a CH$_4$-bearing fluid. As pressure increases from 2 to 10 kbar, the $fO_2$ range over which calcite is stable with a methane-rich fluid shifts to more reducing conditions. The variety of aqueous geological conditions with the potential for redox enhancement of carbon mobility becomes more restricted with depth. Reduction melting was observed at 700 °C and 6 kbar, and at 650 °C and 10 kbar, due to the partial reaction of calcite to portlandite at conditions above the hydrous melting curve of calcite+portlandite. Although likely metastable in the present experiments, reduction melting may occur in nature whenever H$_2$ partially reduces carbonate minerals at pressures and temperatures above the hydrous melting curve of calcite+portlandite. Whether it causes melting or not, calcite reduction is likely an important mechanism for abiotic methanogenesis in natural systems such as subduction zone forearcs or similar environments with the potential for interaction of reduced fluids with carbonate minerals. Because calcite solubility at oxidized conditions is already known to increase substantially with pressure, the additional increase in carbon mobility provided by calcite reduction implies that subduction zones may host some of the most carbon-rich aqueous fluids on Earth.
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A large portion of inorganic carbon returned to the mantle during subduction is contained in calcite, aragonite, and other carbonate minerals. Much of the carbonate is present as primary clasts and/or matrix cement in pelagic sediments (Sano and Williams 1996), or as hydrothermal veins in metamorphosed oceanic lithosphere (Morgan and Milliken 1996). Along the subduction path, carbon mobility in aqueous fluids is expected to increase dramatically with depth due to the well-established enhancement of calcite solubility at elevated pressure ($P$) and temperature ($T$). For example, experiments in pure water show that the solubility of calcite at 700 °C is ~1000 times higher at 10 kbar than at 1 kbar (Caciagli and Manning 2003). Addition of NaCl amplifies this solubility increase: at 700 °C and 10 kbar, calcite solubility in NaCl-H$_2$O solutions where $X_{NaCl}$=0.3 (mole fraction) is about ten times higher than in pure water at the same $P$ and $T$ (Newton and Manning 2002).

Because carbon speciation depends on redox conditions, calcite solubility is also likely to vary with oxygen fugacity ($fO_2$). Following Le Chatelier’s principle, decreasing $fO_2$ theoretically favors calcite (CaCO$_3$(cc)) dissolution, as illustrated by the following reactions:

\[ \text{CaCO}_3(\text{cc}) + 2\text{H}^+ = \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 \]  

\[ \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{O}_2 \]
Decreasing $fO_2$ favors $CO_2$ conversion to $CH_4$ as Reaction 2 moves to the right. This decrease in $CO_2$ drives Reaction 1 to the right, yielding additional consumption of calcite. Following a similar logic in the Ca-S-O-H system, experiments and calculations have shown that anhydrite solubility increases as $fO_2$ decreases from the hematite-magnetite (HM) buffer to the nickel-nickel oxide buffer (NNO) (Newton and Manning 2005). However, no experimental or theoretical study has addressed the effect of $fO_2$ on the solubility of any carbonate mineral, despite the fact that $fO_2$ can vary over many orders of magnitude in common geologic settings.

One such setting is the subduction zone forearc. Geophysical and geological evidence suggests that the forearc mantle is extensively serpentinized (Hyndman and Peacock 2003), and thermodynamic and experimental data indicate that deserpentinization in the slab occurs beneath the forearc (Ulmer and Tromsdorff 1995, Schmidt and Poli 1998). Because olivine-stabilized serpentinization and deserpentinization equilibrate at very low $fO_2$ values (Frost 1985, Peretti et al. 1992), forearcs are likely to contain highly reduced fluids. Such fluids have the potential to infiltrate oxidized carbonate-bearing lithologies such as pelagic sediments (Peacock 1990; Sano and Williams 1996; Lécuyer and Ricard 1999) or completely serpentinized oceanic peridotites (Morgan and Milliken 1996; Schmidt and Poli 1998). In such interactions, low $fO_2$ may amplify the already significant enhancement of calcite solubility by pressure and temperature (Caciagli and Manning 2004). Hydrogen-rich fluids may also destabilize carbonate minerals, as described in a recent report of calcite reduction along a serpentine-marble contact in the Corsican Alps (Malvoisin et al. 2012).

Knowledge of the effect of $fO_2$ on calcite solubility and phase equilibria in the Ca-C-O-H system is critical for understanding carbon mobility in aqueous geological environments such as subduction zones and, more broadly, throughout the global geological carbon cycle. Previous
experiments demonstrated that calcite can be reduced to graphite and hydrocarbons by H₂ gas (Giardini et al. 1968), but it is unclear how such results are applicable to equilibria in supercritical aqueous fluids. Malvoisin et al (2012) used petrological constraints and thermodynamics to compute a redox gradient in a natural occurrence of blueschist-grade carbonate reduction in which calcite and quartz reacted with an H₂-rich fluid to form graphite and wollastonite, but did not attempt a systematic study of fO₂ effects over a wider range of conditions. Here, we present a more generalized experimental and theoretical study of the effect of fO₂ on calcite solubility and phase equilibria in the model Ca-C-O-H system over a broad range of conditions: 300-700 °C and 2-10 kbar. Our results may aid in the development of integrated models of carbon mobility in subduction zones, oceanic hydrothermal systems, and other aqueous environments of variable redox states.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental

Sand-sized single grain calcite fragments (0.5-1 mg) were cleaved from a rhombohedron of optical-grade spar provided by the Department of Mineral Sciences, Smithsonian Institution (specimen NMNH 144953-34). SEM analyses confirmed high CaCO$_3$ purity, with a trace Mn concentration of a few hundred ppm. Grains were gently rounded on sandpaper, cleaned in pure H$_2$O in a sonicator, and dried at 110°C. If a rounded grain was intact after an experiment, it was sonicated and reused in a subsequent experiment. Some experiments contained synthetic calcite powder, as confirmed via x-ray diffraction (XRD). In two experiments, calcite powder was composed of isotopically labeled Ca$^{13}$CO$_3$ (>99% isotopic and chemical purity, Sigma-Aldrich). All experiments contained 10-20 milligrams of ultrapure H$_2$O (18 MΩ, double-distilled and deionized). Table 1 shows that the molar ratio H$_2$O:CaCO$_3$ was variable within the suite of experiments, due to the progressive dissolution of reused calcite grains and due to variations in initial water content. As will be explained in the Discussion section, such variations were acceptable because no experiment was performed at an fO$_2$ value at which this range in bulk compositions affected the final equilibrium assemblage.

Most experiments contained an internal oxygen fugacity (fO$_2$) buffer (Eugster 1957): HM, NNO, quartz-fayalite-magnetite (QFM), Co-CoO (CCO), iron-magnetite (IM), or wüstite-magnetite (WM). All buffer materials were synthetic and pure (>99%). FeO was synthesized in a gas mixing furnace and its identity was confirmed via x-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis; the remaining buffer materials were produced commercially. Each buffer was loaded into a welded
Pt capsule (1.5 mm OD) along with ultrapure H$_2$O. The welded buffer capsule plus calcite and more H$_2$O were loaded into an outer Au capsule. Two experiments did not contain buffer capsules. In all cases, the outer Au capsule was welded; the success of the weld was confirmed by absence of water loss after a pinch test and heating at 110$^\circ$C in a 1-atm oven. For experiments in which calcite solubility was measured, a calcite grain was contained in a second inner Pt capsule in order to minimize contact with quench material and facilitate post-run removal. This capsule was crimped to permit penetration of the fluid while containing the crystal in case of breakage.

Experiments were performed in piston cylinder apparatus at UCLA and Geophysical Laboratory at pressures of 6-15 kbar and temperatures of 500-700$^\circ$C. At UCLA, the assembly was a graphite heater in an NaCl pressure medium. At Geophysical Laboratory, the assembly was a graphite heater in an MgO-talc pressure medium with a Pyrex sleeve. During quench, temperatures decreased to below 100$^\circ$C within 15-20 seconds. One experiment (CG10) was performed at 3 kbar in a cold-seal hydrothermal apparatus with an H$_2$O pressure medium with quench times to below 100 $^\circ$C in 20-30 minutes.

After each experiment, the outer Au capsule was cleaned and weighed to confirm that no water was lost during the experiment. Each capsule was then carefully punctured and dried overnight at 110$^\circ$C. For solubility experiments, the dry capsule was weighed to determine the mass of H$_2$O in the experimental fluid. Each buffer capsule was weighed to confirm that no water was lost, punctured to confirm the presence of liquid water, and then opened to confirm the existence of the required solid phases for each buffering assemblage. Experiments containing buffers that did not contain all phases required for equilibrium were rejected. Success of the NNO, QFM, HM, and CCO buffers was confirmed optically. IM and WM were confirmed via...
XRD. Unbuffered experiments, performed at UCLA, were assumed to equilibrate at an \( f_{O_2} \) value near NNO, based on previous experimental measurements in the same assembly type (Newton and Manning 2005).

The product calcic phases (calcite, portlandite and/or quench melt) were identified using a variety of techniques: binocular microscopy, polarized microscopy, immersion oils, Raman spectroscopy, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Note that the model system Ca-C-O-H features portlandite \((\text{Ca(OH)}_2)\) as a representative calcite reduction product. In natural systems, e.g., the Malvoisin et al. (2012) serpentinite-marble reaction zone, the presence of additional components such as Si and Al would lead to the formation of more chemically complex calcic products such as wollastonite or grossular.

In the solubility experiments, the crimped Pt capsule containing the run product was retrieved and weighed. Solubility \((s)\) was computed by dividing the mass loss of the run product by the mass of the coexisting \(\text{H}_2\text{O} \) \((m_{\text{H}_2\text{O}})\):

\[
s = \frac{m_f - m_i}{m_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} \tag{3}
\]

where \(m_f\) and \(m_i\) are the final and initial masses of the run product, respectively. Mass measurements were performed with a UMX2 ultramicrobalance (±1 s.d.<0.25µg).

Volatile species for two experiments were extracted by puncturing the capsules in a gas vial under a slight vacuum. The headspace contents were then extracted with a locking gas syringe and injected into a gas chromatograph mass spectrometer (GCMS) equipped with a CarbonPlot column for qualitative analysis (Fig. 1).
Thermodynamic calculations

Thermodynamic calculations in the Ca-C-O-H system were performed using a modified version of EQBRM (Anderson and Crerar 1993). The following phases and fluid species were considered: calcite, portlandite, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \), \( \text{O}_2(g) \), \( \text{H}_2(aq) \), \( \text{CO}_2(aq) \), \( \text{CO}_3(aq) \), \( \text{CH}_4(aq) \), \( \text{CaCO}_3(aq) \), \( \text{Ca}^{+2} \), \( \text{CaHCO}_3^+ \), \( \text{CaOH}^+ \), \( \text{H}^+ \), \( \text{OH}^- \), \( \text{HCO}_3^- \), and \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \). Standard states were selected to be unit activity of the pure phase at any \( P \) and \( T \) for minerals and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \), unit activity of the hypothetical one molal solution referenced to infinite dilution for aqueous species, and unit activity of the pure phase at 1 bar and any \( T \) for \( \text{O}_2(g) \). The standard state Gibbs free energies of calcite and portlandite were taken from the model of Dolejš and Manning (2010) based on previous solubility experiments (Walther 1986; Walther and Long 1986; Fein and Walther 1989; Caciagli and Manning 2003). The \( P-T \) range of the previous calcite experiments overlaps the conditions of the current study; however, because the previous portlandite experiments were limited to 300-600 °C and 1-3 kbar, the Dolejš-Manning model was necessary to extrapolate \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) solubilities to 700 °C and/or 10 kbar. Standard state Gibbs free energies for aqueous and gaseous species were taken from the GEOPIG slop98.dat database (Helgeson et al. 1978; Shock et al. 1989; Shock et al. 1997). Log \( K \) values at 10 kbar (Table 2) for aqueous species were extrapolated from the 5 kbar limit of slop98.dat by assuming linearity with the log density of water (see Manning, 2013).

Activity of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) was assumed to be unity. However, this assumption becomes invalid at low \( f\text{O}_2 \) due to the increased concentrations of reduced fluid species. Therefore, the calculations were limited to \( f\text{O}_2 \) values above which the mole fraction of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) was 0.9 or greater. Activity coefficients for charged species were computed using the Davies equation with an extended term.
of 0.3 (Davies 1962). Activity coefficients of neutral species were assumed to be unity. Manning (2013) showed that speciation calculations at high P and T are insensitive to choice of activity model.

The bulk composition of the Ca-C-O-H system was fixed by defining the molar ratio, $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{CaCO}_3$, of the system. This is effectively a closed-system water-rock ratio and facilitates comparison of experiments with thermodynamic calculations.

A series of graphite-fluid equilibria in the system C-O-H were computed, following French (1966). In addition to graphite, the following gaseous species were considered: $\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{H}_2$, $\text{O}_2$, $\text{CH}_4$, $\text{CO}$, and $\text{CO}_2$. Standard states were selected to be unit activity of the pure phase at any $P$ and $T$ for graphite and at 1 bar and any $T$ for gaseous species. Standard state Gibbs free energies were taken from the slop98.dat database. Fugacity coefficients were computed using the CORK equation of state (Holland and Powell 1991). Ideal mixing of nonideal species was assumed (Lewis and Randall 1923).

RESULTS

Run products

The product solid phases were calcite, portlandite, quenched melt, or a combination thereof (Tables 1 and 2). No graphite or other C-bearing phases were observed. Aqueous fluid was always in excess. Calcite was clear and morphologically similar to the initial rounded grain, although rhombohedral dissolution/precipitation features were visible via SEM. Portlandite was conspicuously platy, faintly iridescent, and clear. Quenched melt was white due to the presence
of microcrystals formed during quench (Wyllie and Tuttle 1960). Several textural features of the quenched melt were consistent with liquid rheology at \( P \) and \( T \). The quenched melt was smooth and subglobular. At least one surface of each quenched melt grain was microscopically imprinted with the striated texture of the inner capsule wall (Figs. 2B&C). Finally, the quenched melt phase contained an array of cardioid-shaped vesicles with aligned morphologies, suggesting that vapor bubbles were trapped in the melt during quenching.

The final phase assemblage depended primarily on \( f_O^2 \). Regardless of \( P \) or \( T \), experiments at HM, NNO, QFM, and CCO resulted in a final assemblage of calcite+fluid. The inner surfaces of the capsules in these experiments were dusted with additional calcite quench crystals in the form of micron-scale rhombohedra that were distributed evenly on all available surfaces (Caciagli and Manning 2003). Experiment CU45, which contained initial Ca\(^{13}\)CO\(_3\) powder, was performed at NNO and contained no \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\) in the quench fluid (Fig. 1, Table 1). Regardless of \( P \) or \( T \), experiments at the lower \( f_O^2 \) values of IM and WM resulted in a final assemblage that contained portlandite and/or quenched melt. Calcite was sometimes present with portlandite, but never with quenched melt. In some experiments, macroscopic portlandite flakes were aggregated around a residual well-rounded calcite grain (Fig. 2A). Quenched melt was observed in two experiments at WM at 650 °C and 700 °C, but not at lower \( T \) or higher \( f_O^2 \). Experiment CU29, which contained initial Ca\(^{13}\)CO\(_3\) powder, was performed at IM and contained abundant \(^{13}\)CH\(_4\) in the quench fluid (Fig. 1, Table 1).

Solubility measurements

Solubility measurements
Solubility data at 700°C and 10 kbar are shown in Table 3 and Fig. 3A. In experiments buffered at $fO_2$ values of QFM and higher, calcite solubility was constant: i.e., independent of $fO_2$. The average solubility value at QFM and higher was 19.6 ± 5.9 mmolal (±2sd), within error of the solubility measured in a previous unbuffered experiment at identical $P$ and $T$ (Fig. 3A, open triangle) (Caciagli and Manning 2003). This result is expected because the previously unbuffered experiment was performed at UCLA in the identical graphite-NaCl assembly type in which ambient $fO_2$ has been previously determined to be approximately equal to NNO (Newton and Manning 2005).

Although solubilities were constant at QFM and higher, the values increased as $fO_2$ decreased below QFM. The solubility at WM (experiment S10) was 2-4 times higher than the average value of calcite solubility at QFM and higher (Fig. 3A). As in experiment S10, solubility at WM and 6 kbar (experiment S11, Table 3) was approximately 2 times greater than calcite solubility at $fO_2 \geq$ QFM and 10 kbar. This result could not be attributed to a pressure difference because calcite solubility is known to increase with pressure at constant temperature (Caciagli and Manning, 2003). As will be shown in the Discussion section, the experimental solubilities at WM in S10 and S11 cannot be explained by an equilibrium effect.

Calculations

Thermodynamic calculations permit an exploration of equilibria below 500 °C where equilibrium $fO_2$ buffering is kinetically impeded on experimental timescales due to the slow diffusion of H$_2$ through platinum (Chou et al. 1978). Moreover, calculations permit the study of
equilibria within the region of $f_O^2$ space that is experimentally inaccessible due to the unavailability of mineral buffers between CCO and WM/IM.

700 °C and 10 kbar. Figs. 3B-D show the calculated $f_O^2$-dependence of fluid-saturated phase equilibria in the system Ca-C-O-H at 700°C, 10 kbar, and an initial molar $H_2O:CaCO_3$ ratio of 100. The results are plotted versus the parameter $\Delta \log(QFM)$, which is $f_O^2$ normalized to QFM at fixed $P$ and $T$:

$$\Delta \log(QFM) = \log f_O^2 - \log f_O^2(QFM)$$

Fig. 3B shows the fluid speciation. Fig. 3C shows the sum of the concentrations (mmolal) of all Ca-bearing species, $[Ca_{TOT}]$. Note that $[Ca_{TOT}]$ is a solubility parameter; its value is controlled by equilibrium between the fluid and the stable mineral assemblages. For example, $[Ca_{TOT}]$ reflects calcite solubility in the calcite+fluid field and portlandite solubility in the portlandite+fluid field. Fig. 3D shows the $f_O^2$ range over which the assemblages calcite+fluid, calcite+portlandite+fluid ($cc+po$), and portlandite+fluid are stable. In detail, the assemblage calcite+portlandite+fluid may be metastable relative to melt above ~600 °C at 10 kbar (see Discussion); however, for simplification, melt is not considered in the calculation at 700 °C and 10 kbar. To facilitate a discussion of $f_O^2$ effects on carbon mobility (see Discussion), the calcite+fluid field is divided into two regions by an $f_O^2$ boundary denoted as $cc^*$. The value of $cc^*$ is defined as the $f_O^2$ at which $[Ca_{TOT}]$ is 1% greater than $[Ca_{TOT}]$ at HM relative to the $[Ca_{TOT}]$ plateau within the $cc+po$ field. At $f_O^2$ values greater than $cc^*$, $[Ca_{TOT}]$ is approximately constant; below $cc^*$, $[Ca_{TOT}]$ increases as $f_O^2$ decreases.
Measured calcite solubilities in the $fO_2$ range from QFM to HM were constant, consistent with computed values of [Ca$_{TOT}$]. This independence of [Ca$_{TOT}$] with respect to $fO_2$ indicates that, at QFM and higher, calcite-fluid equilibria are primarily governed by O$_2$-independent reactions involving calcite and aqueous carbonate species. From QFM to HM, the calculated [Ca$_{TOT}$] value was ~31 mmolal, similar to the average measured value of ~20 mmolal over the same $fO_2$ range. Considering the scatter in the experimental data used for the log K extrapolations to higher pressures (Manning 2013), and considering that solubility can change by orders of magnitude as pressure increases, the agreement between the experimental and calculated values is considered reasonably good.

As $fO_2$ decreases below QFM, the phase assemblages and speciation of the fluid begin to change. Between $cc^*$ and the $cc+po$ field, the stable assemblage remains calcite+fluid, although calcite solubility is no longer independent of $fO_2$. Calcite solubility increases as [Ca$_{TOT}$] becomes $fO_2$-dependent due to the release of Ca and C to the fluid during CaCO$_3$ reduction, as shown by combining Reactions 1 and 2.

Methane concentration and [Ca$_{TOT}$] continue to increase as $fO_2$ decreases until portlandite becomes saturated and the system enters the $cc+po$ field. In this field, [Ca$_{TOT}$] is constant because decreasing $fO_2$ results in Ca transfer from calcite to portlandite, following the equilibrium

$$\text{CaCO}_3\text{(cc)} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca(OH)}_2\text{(po)} + \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{O}_2 \quad (5)$$

Within the $cc+po$ field, [CH$_4$] increases as $fO_2$ decreases. Below log $fO_2$ $\sim -1.7$, [CH$_4$] is greater than [CO$_2$]. Thus, although calcite contains oxidized carbon, a calcite-bearing
assemblage coexists with a CH$_4$-rich fluid within the \textit{cc+po} field. With further decrease in $fO_2$ within the \textit{cc+po} field, calcite is consumed until it disappears at the high $fO_2$ boundary of the portlandite+fluid field. The $fO_2$ value for this calcite-out boundary is fixed by the bulk composition of the system (see below).

Within the portlandite+fluid field, CH$_4$ is approximately constant and unaffected by $fO_2$ because portlandite is C-free and methane is by far the most abundant species in the fluid. Therefore, [CH$_4$] within the portlandite+fluid field may be derived directly from knowledge of the bulk fraction of carbon in the system. Values for [Ca$_{TOT}$] in the portlandite+fluid field are controlled by portlandite solubility and are $fO_2$-dependent near the \textit{cc+po} boundary, primarily owing to reduction of CaHCO$_3^+$.

**300 °C and 500 °C.** Figure 4 shows the results of thermodynamic calculations in the Ca-C-O-H system at 300-500 °C, 2-10 kbar, and H$_2$O:CaCO$_3$=100. For ease of viewing, only species containing C or Ca are shown. The maximum [CH$_4$] is the same in all diagrams, as defined by the bulk carbon composition.

Although variations in fluid speciation are chiefly dependent on $fO_2$, they also depend to a lesser degree on $P$ and $T$. Within the \textit{cc} region, the dominant C-species is CO$_2$, except at 300 °C and 10 kbar where CaCO$_3$(aq) is the most abundant species. The species CaHCO$_3^+$ is favored at higher pressures and Ca(OH)$^+$ is favored at higher temperatures. Carbon monoxide is present in minor to trace concentrations. The concentrations of some species change non-linearly. For example, at 10 kbar, [CaOH$^+$]/[Ca$^{2+}$] at oxidized conditions shows a maximum at 500 °C relative to 300 °C and 700 °C (compare to Fig. 3B).
The plots illustrate the pressure dependence of calcite solubility, as shown by the substantial increase in the concentration of C- and Ca-bearing species in the calcite+fluid field from 2 kbar to 10 kbar. With the caveat that the thermodynamic properties of portlandite dissolution are extrapolated to 10 kbar, portlandite solubility also increases with pressure, as shown by the increase in $[\text{Ca}_{\text{TOT}}]$ within the portlandite+fluid field from 2 to 10 kbar.

**Bulk composition effects.** The minimum $fO_2$ of calcite stability, equivalent to the low $fO_2$ limit of the $cc+po$ field, depends on the total fraction of carbon in the bulk system, as parameterized by the ratio $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{CaCO}_3$. This concept is illustrated with a pair of calculations at 650 °C and 10 kbar (Fig. 5). As $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{CaCO}_3$ decreases and the fraction of total carbon in the system increases, the $cc+po$ field expands to lower $fO_2$. In other words, as the fraction of bulk $\text{CaCO}_3$ increases, the value of $[\text{H}_2]$ required to destabilize calcite also increases.

Bulk composition also controls the maximum carbon concentration in the fluid, which occurs within the portlandite+fluid field. Because calcite is not stable, all the carbon in the system is partitioned into the fluid, and carbon concentration is directly correlated to the total carbon. Graphite saturation could limit carbon concentration below the maximum possible value; however, graphite saturation is impeded at low $fO_2$ except when the mole fraction of carbon in the fluid is very high (see Discussion).

The range in bulk composition in the present experiments is substantial, with $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{CaCO}_3$ ranging from 11 to 600. Such variations, however, did not affect the experimental outcomes (Fig. 5). The only phase boundary affected by bulk composition is the lower $fO_2$ limit of the $cc+po$ field; however, each experiment was performed at an $fO_2$ value far from this limit, well within either the calcite+fluid or the portlandite+fluid fields. This may be illustrated by inspection of
experiment CU29, the most C-rich of all portlandite-generating experiments, where $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{CaCO}_3 = 43$ and $f\text{O}_2$ was buffered at WM. At the conditions shown in Fig. 5, the $\Delta\log(\text{QFM})$ value of WM is -4.15, approximately 1.5 log units below the lower $f\text{O}_2$ limit of $cc+po$ at $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{CaCO}_3 = 30$.

**DISCUSSION**

**Assessment of equilibrium**

Although reversals were not performed (i.e., no experiments were conducted with starting portlandite), the experiments that resulted in a final assemblage of calcite+fluid or portlandite+fluid were likely equilibrated. First, each of these post-run assemblages was consistent with that predicted from the calculations. Second, previous solubility measurements suggest that the calcite+fluid assemblage equilibrates in less than 12 hours at oxidizing conditions similar to those of our study (Caciagli and Manning 2003). Furthermore, agreement between solubility measurements at 8 and 17 hours in the current study (experiments S06 and S08) suggests that calcite-fluid equilibrium occurred in less than eight hours. Finally, early experimental work in the Ca-C-O-H system (Wyllie and Tuttle 1960; Wyllie and Boettcher 1969) indicates that calcite-portlandite equilibration occurs in less than eight hours, with phase transformations occurring in minutes at $P$ and $T$ conditions of the current study.

A possible complication to rapid equilibration is that calcite reduction is tied to aqueous abiotic methanogenesis, a process known to be experimentally sluggish at low-grade hydrothermal conditions (McCollom and Seewald 2001). Therefore, in some cases post-run
calcite may have been metastable if methanogenesis were kinetically inhibited. However, no experiment containing post-run calcite+fluid was performed at an $fO_2$ value where $\text{CH}_4$ was calculated to be a significant species in the fluid. Moreover, platinum, present in the inner capsules, is an effective industrial methane catalyst (Roferdepoorter 1981) and pressure enhances the kinetics of aqueous methanogenesis (Lazar et al, in review). Coupled with high $\text{CH}_4/\text{CO}_2$ in the quench gas of an experiment at IM (CU29) and portlandite formation within 1-2 hours at 650°C-700°C (experiments CG06 and CU13), it appears that suppression of methane did not occur.

Several experiments resulted in assemblages that were not predictable from equilibrium calculations. The equilibrium assemblage at IM or WM is portlandite+fluid at all $P$ and $T$ in the study; however, several experiments performed at WM (CG10, CU13, CU28, and S10) contained calcite+portlandite+fluid in the run products. These results may be explained by the interpretation that calcite was unstable, and that reduction of calcite to portlandite was incomplete in these experiments. Calcite instability also explains the elevated solubility measurement in experiment S10 (Fig. 3A). Because the molecular weight of calcite is greater than the molecular weight of portlandite, conversion of calcite to portlandite implies a net weight loss to the fluid. The weight loss in experiment S10, therefore, is likely proportional to the fraction of initial unstable calcite that was reacted to form portlandite.

Incomplete reduction of calcite to portlandite also led to melting in experiments of short duration. We interpret this melting to be metastable for the following reasons. Both experiments containing quenched melt, CG06 and S11, were performed at WM, well within the portlandite+fluid stability field at all experimental conditions. However, these experiments were performed at P-T conditions below the hydrous melting curve of portlandite (Fig. 6; Wyllie and
Boettcher 1969). Moreover, experiments at WM of longer duration did not yield a quenched melt. Because the P-T conditions of the quenched melt experiments were above the hydrous calcite+portlandite melting curve (Fig. 6), melting may be explained by incomplete conversion of calcite to portlandite, i.e., a metastable freezing point depression. An important caveat is that the Wyllie and Boettcher curves (1969) were derived from experiments at oxidized conditions. A reduction in water activity due to H₂ formation at WM would have expanded the portlandite+fluid region, making melting less likely. However, the fact that quenched melt was generated in the two experiments indicates that, even if a shift in the hydrous melting curve occurred due to reduced water activity, the extent of such a shift did not suppress metastable melting.

Although melting in the present experiments was interpreted to be metastable, the calculations imply the existence of conditions at which reduction of calcite to portlandite could generate a stable melt. Our calculations do not explicitly account for a melt phase, which we reserve for future work; however, to a first order, a melt stability field may approximately overlap the metastable extension of the $cc+po$ field beyond the hydrous calcite+portlandite melting curve. Redox melting, i.e., melting that occurs upon a change in the oxidation state of a system, has been previously associated with oxidation processes: e.g., basaltic magma genesis during oxidation of CH₄ to CO₂ and H₂O in the mantle wedge (Song et al. 2009) and formation of carbonatite melts during oxidation of diamond to CO₂ in the upper mantle (Rohrbach and Schmidt 2011). However, the melting of calcite considered here occurs during reduction. Such a process could occur in subduction zones if serpentine-derived H₂-rich fluids infiltrate carbonate lithologies.
Redox-dependent carbon mobility

The redox dependence of fluid speciation and phase stabilities in the Ca-C-O-H system has important implications for carbon mobility (Fig. 7-8). To illustrate, three regions of carbon mobility are defined, shown schematically in Fig. 7F: maximum, intermediate, and minimum. The region of maximum carbon mobility occurs at low $f_{O_2}$ in the portlandite+fluid field, where carbon is perfectly mobile. Here, calcite is not stable and all of the carbon in the system is contained in the fluid, mostly as CH$_4$. The region of minimum carbon mobility occurs at oxidized conditions in the calcite+fluid field at $f_{O_2}$ values greater than $c_c^*$. Within this region, $[CTOT]$ is limited by calcite-fluid equilibria, i.e., no carbon is partitioned into the fluid in excess of that fixed by equilibrium calcite solubility. The region of intermediate carbon mobility is defined as the range in which $[CTOT]$ increases continuously as $f_{O_2}$ decreases from $c_c^*$ to the upper $f_{O_2}$ boundary of the portlandite+fluid field. Within this range, $[CTOT]$ increases as [CH$_4$] increases by partial reduction of calcite to portlandite. As explained in the previous section, the low $f_{O_2}$ limit of the region of intermediate carbon mobility migrates to lower $f_{O_2}$ as H$_2$O:CaCO$_3$ decreases. Independent of fluid-rock ratio, fluids that equilibrate within the intermediate and/or maximum mobility regions may be said to have reduction-enhanced carbon mobility.

Maximum carbon mobility occurs in highly reduced geological fluids. Over the range of $P$ and $T$ in Figs. 7 and 8, the upper $f_{O_2}$ limit of the region of maximum mobility is approximately two log units below QFM. This reduced state may be attained during many natural terrestrial petrologic processes such as hydrous metamorphism of Fe-rich silicates (Eugster and Wones 1962; Frost 1979), accumulation of radiolytic H$_2$ in Precambrian shields (Lin et al. 2005), basalt
diking in coal deposits (Klöck et al. 1986) and equilibrium in the lower mantle (Frost et al. 2004). However, the most common highly reducing geologic process in the lithosphere is serpentinization, which can buffer \( fO_2 \) to up to seven log units below QFM (Frost 1985).

Carbon mobility in fluids may also be reduction-enhanced, albeit to a lesser degree, at redox conditions that are less extreme than the \( fO_2 \) values of highly reducing serpentinization. For example, during low-grade metamorphism (e.g., 300 °C and 2 kbar), QFM lies within the intermediate mobility region (Fig. 7D). Because hydrothermal alteration of terrestrial basalt is commonly thought to proceed at redox conditions at or near QFM (Lyons et al. 2005), this implies that carbon mobility is reduction-enhanced during basaltic metamorphism.

Pressure and temperature affect the range of redox conditions of the intermediate carbon mobility region, with consequences for the variety of natural geological processes in which reduction-enhanced carbon mobility is possible. As pressure increases, QFM becomes more oxidizing than \( cc^* \), the upper \( fO_2 \) limit of the region of intermediate mobility; i.e., the maximum \( fO_2 \) of reduction-enhanced carbon mobility decreases with increasing pressure. For example, at 2 kbar, QFM is sufficiently reducing that its \( fO_2 \) value lies within the intermediate mobility region from 300-500 °C (Fig. 8B), whereas QFM at 10 kbar lies within the minimum mobility region (Fig. 8A). This result indicates that the potential for basaltic metamorphism to result in reduction-enhanced carbon mobility decreases with increasing depth in geological systems. Basaltic metamorphism at very low pressures (< 500 bars) attending hydrothermal alteration in mid-ocean ridge systems may result in reduction-enhanced carbon mobility. At moderate to high metamorphic grades within subduction zones, serpentinization may be the only common fluid-rock process with the ability to generate fluids with reduction-enhanced carbon concentrations.
A recent report of graphite in eclogite-blueschist marble formed during reduction of carbonate by serpentinite-derived H$_2$ (Malvoisin et al. 2012) suggests that carbon mobility in subduction zones could be limited by graphite saturation. However, carbon concentration in a graphite-saturated fluid is very high, implying that carbon is highly mobile in reduced fluids with or without graphite. This may be illustrated by comparing the mole fraction of carbon (X$_C$) in fluids at graphite saturation to X$_C$ in fluids at calcite and/or portlandite saturation in the model Ca-C-O-H system. The results are plotted in Fig. 9, at conditions identical to Fig. 7, and show that X$_C$ at graphite saturation exceeds X$_C$ in the model Ca-C-O-H system for all values of fO$_2$. Graphite saturation could be promoted in the low fO$_2$ portlandite+fluid region by increasing X$_C$ in the model system (i.e., decreasing H$_2$O:CaCO$_3$), implying that graphite saturation is associated with increased, not decreased, carbon mobility.

Because carbon mobility increases primarily due to an increase in methane concentration, calcite reduction could be an important mechanism for abiotic methanogenesis, important in a variety of astrobiological and geochemical processes (McCollom and Seewald 2007). If calcite is abundant in or near serpentinites in subduction zones, then methanogenesis via calcite reduction may be ubiquitous in subducted oceanic lithosphere. In support of this inference, several recent papers have reported CH$_4$-rich, CO$_2$-poor fluid inclusions with likely abiotic origins in subducted ultramafic lithologies (Shi et al. 2005; Liu and Fei 2006; Sachan et al. 2007; Song et al. 2009). Even in the absence of reduction, carbon mobility in subduction zones is likely high, owing to the substantial pressure enhancement of calcite solubility in aqueous fluids (Caciagli and Manning, 2003). However, the additional effect of carbonate reduction implies that subduction zones may host some of the most C-rich fluids in the global geological carbon cycle.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Gas chromatograph mass spectrometer analyses of Ca$^{13}$CO$_3$ experiments CU45 ($fO_2$=NNO) and CU29 ($fO_2$=IM). A: gas chromatograms; B: mass spectra of CH$_4$.

Fig. 2. SEM images of run products of selected experiments at reducing conditions. A: Portlandite flakes on a partially dissolved calcite grain (CU28; $fO_2$=IM); B&C: Crystallized melt formed during reaction of calcite. Vesicles and striations are visible on the surface in contact with the inner capsule wall (S11; $fO_2$=WM)

Fig. 3. Experiments and calculations in the Ca-C-O-H system at 700 °C and 10 kbar. Vertical dotted lines: experimental $fO_2$ buffers WM, CCO, QFM, NNO, and HM. Vertical dashed line: $cc^*$ solubility transition point. Vertical shaded rectangle: calcite+portlandite+fluid stability field. Vertical solid line: limit of calculation, where X(H$_2$O) = 0.9. A: Solubility measurements from this study and Caciagli and Manning (2003) (C&M 2003). Horizontal lines: average and ±2sd error envelope of experiments at QFM, NNO, and HM. Individual error bars are not visible at this scale. Because the WM-buffered experiment S11 was performed at 6 kbar, its solubility measurement is not shown. B: Equilibrium fluid speciation. Species with concentrations below $10^{-4}$ molal are omitted from the diagram. Water activity is assumed to be unity. C: Total Ca concentration in fluid, [Ca$_{TOT}$]. D: Fluid-saturated mineral assemblages; $cc$+$po$ is calcite+portlandite and is metastable at 700 °C and 10 kbar.
Fig. 4. Equilibrium fluid speciation calculations in the Ca-C-O-H system. Only Ca- and C-bearing species are shown. Experimental $fO_2$ buffers WM, CCO, QFM, NNO, and HM are denoted above each figure. Vertical dashed line: cc* solubility transition point. Vertical shaded rectangle: calcite+portlandite+fluid stability field. Vertical solid line: limit of calculation, where $X(H_2O) = 0.9$. This figure may be compared to Fig. 3B, although the vertical scales differ.

Fig. 5. Effect of bulk composition on the behavior of total carbon in solution, $[C_{TOT}]$, and the width of the calcite+portlandite+fluid field ($cc+po$) at 650 °C and 10 kbar. The WM buffer at these conditions is $\Delta \log(QFM) = -4.15$. Vertical dashed line: cc* solubility transition point. Vertical striped-shaded regions: calcite+portlandite+fluid ($cc+po$) stability fields. The bulk $H_2O:CaCO_3$ ratio of 30 is represented by the solid line for $[C_{TOT}]$ and the NE-SW trending striped $cc+po$ region. The bulk $H_2O:CaCO_3$ ratio of 1000 is represented by the dotted line for $[C_{TOT}]$ and the NW-SE trending striped $cc+po$ region.

Fig. 6. Hydrous melting curves in the Ca-C-O-H system from Wyllie and Boettcher (1969). Filled stars represent experiments in which melt was generated via reduction.

Fig. 7. Carbon and calcium in equilibrated Ca-C-O-H fluids. Vertical dashed line: cc* solubility transition point. Vertical shaded rectangle: calcite+portlandite+fluid stability field (metastable in C). Vertical solid line: limit of calculation, where $X(H_2O) = 0.9$. A-E: Total carbon concentration ($[C_{TOT}]$, dotted lines) and total calcium concentration ($[Ca_{TOT}]$, solid lines). F: Schematic $[C_{TOT}]$ diagram showing schematic carbon mobility regions.
Fig. 8. Effect of pressure on redox-dependent phase equilibria in the Ca-C-O-H system, 300-500 °C, 2 and 10 kbar. Dashed lines: cc* solubility transition point. Dotted lines: experimental fO2 buffers IM, QFM, and HM. Shaded regions: calcite+portlandite+fluid stability field (cc+po). Carbon mobility regions are plotted on right axes.

Fig. 9. Equilibrium mole fraction of carbon (Xc) in the Ca-C-O-H fluids of the present study (dotted lines) and in C-O-H fluids at graphite saturation (solid lines). Filled circles represent the stability limit of graphite.
A) 300 °C 10 kbar

B) 500 °C 10 kbar

C) 300 °C 2 kbar

D) 500 °C 2 kbar

The diagrams depict the log concentration (molal) of various species such as CH₄, Ca(OH)⁺, Ca²⁺, CaHCO₃⁺, CO₂, HCO₃⁻, CO₃²⁻, and CaCO₃(aq) as a function of Δ log QFM. The graphs illustrate the thermodynamic stability of these species under different temperature and pressure conditions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Buffer</th>
<th>$\Delta$ Log QFM</th>
<th>Log fO2 (°C)</th>
<th>T (kbar)</th>
<th>Time (hrs)</th>
<th>Starting morphology</th>
<th>$H_2O$-CaCO$_3$ (molar)</th>
<th>Run products$^a$</th>
<th>GC-MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG10</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>-5.8</td>
<td>-29.2</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Single grain</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>P, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU25</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>-26.1</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Single grain</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU28</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>-26.1</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Single grain</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>P, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU29</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>-26.1</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Labeled powder</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU06</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Single grain</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>P, M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU09</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Single grain</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU13</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>-20.4</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Single grain</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>P, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG02</td>
<td>CCO</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-18.5</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Single grain</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG04</td>
<td>CCO</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-18.5</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Single grain</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU15</td>
<td>CCO</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-23.7</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Single grain</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU35</td>
<td>NNO</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-20.0</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Unlabeled powder</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU23</td>
<td>unbuff</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-20.3</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Single grain</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU42</td>
<td>NNO</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-18.6</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Single grain</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU45</td>
<td>NNO</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Labeled powder</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU17</td>
<td>unbuff</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-22.5</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Single grain</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Mineral stability experiments in the Ca-C-O-H system. $^a$Fluid-saturated run products: C=calcite, P=portlandite, M=melt. $^b$Unbuffered; fO2 approximately NNO.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>300 C, 2 kbar</th>
<th>300 C, 10 kbar</th>
<th>500 C, 2 kbar</th>
<th>500 C, 10 kbar</th>
<th>650 C, 10 kbar</th>
<th>700 C, 10 kbar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂O = H⁺ + OH⁻</td>
<td>-10.26</td>
<td>-8.70</td>
<td>-10.23</td>
<td>-7.95</td>
<td>-7.74</td>
<td>-7.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ + H₂O = H⁺ + HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>-7.53</td>
<td>-5.90</td>
<td>-9.41</td>
<td>-7.76</td>
<td>-9.15</td>
<td>-9.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃⁻ = H⁺ + CO₃²⁻</td>
<td>-10.12</td>
<td>-7.75</td>
<td>-11.69</td>
<td>-8.89</td>
<td>-9.74</td>
<td>-10.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO₃(cc) = Ca²⁺ + CO₃²⁻</td>
<td>-11.02</td>
<td>-7.02</td>
<td>-16.25</td>
<td>-9.49</td>
<td>-10.81</td>
<td>-11.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaHCO₃⁻ = Ca²⁺ + HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>-3.00</td>
<td>-3.00</td>
<td>-5.02</td>
<td>-3.95</td>
<td>-4.75</td>
<td>-5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO₃(aq) = Ca²⁺ + CO₃²⁻</td>
<td>-5.65</td>
<td>-4.74</td>
<td>-7.84</td>
<td>-4.91</td>
<td>-5.03</td>
<td>-5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaOH⁻ = Ca²⁺ + OH⁻</td>
<td>-3.55</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>-5.61</td>
<td>-2.67</td>
<td>-1.96</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ + 3CO₂ = 4CO + 2H₂O</td>
<td>-17.90</td>
<td>-20.68</td>
<td>-10.36</td>
<td>-12.61</td>
<td>-8.38</td>
<td>-6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ + H₂O = CO + 3H₂</td>
<td>-13.78</td>
<td>-17.80</td>
<td>-4.46</td>
<td>-8.13</td>
<td>-3.08</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO₃(cc) + H₂O = Ca(OH)₂(po) + CO₂</td>
<td>-5.60</td>
<td>-6.23</td>
<td>-3.49</td>
<td>-3.09</td>
<td>-1.89</td>
<td>-1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(OH)₂(po) = Ca²⁺ + 2OH⁻</td>
<td>-8.29</td>
<td>-4.54</td>
<td>-12.11</td>
<td>-5.66</td>
<td>-5.51</td>
<td>-5.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Log K values used in thermodynamic calculations. Values at 10 kbar for aqueous species were extrapolated from the 5 kbar limit of the slop98.dat database by assuming linearity with the log density of water (see Manning, 2013). cc=calcite; po=portlandite; aq=aqueous
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Buffer</th>
<th>$\Delta$ Log fO$_2$</th>
<th>Log fO$_2$ (kbar)</th>
<th>Time (hrs)</th>
<th>H$_2$O in (mg)$^a$</th>
<th>Calcite in (mg)$^a$</th>
<th>H$_2$O:CaCO$_3$ (molar)</th>
<th>Weight loss (mg)$^b$</th>
<th>Solubility (mmolal)$^b$</th>
<th>Run Products$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>-20.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29.5064(4)</td>
<td>0.7043(5)</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>0.1849(2)</td>
<td>62.13(8)   C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>-20.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29.0339(6)</td>
<td>0.6697(2)</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>0.1346(2)</td>
<td>45.97(7)   M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S06</td>
<td>QFM</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>58.4876(4)</td>
<td>0.5399(2)</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.1235(2)</td>
<td>20.93(3)   C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S08</td>
<td>QFM</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30.6549(3)</td>
<td>0.3275(2)</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>0.0589(3)</td>
<td>19.06(9)   C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S02</td>
<td>NNO</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-15.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53.7447(18)</td>
<td>1.2232(2)</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.1304(4)</td>
<td>24.06(7)   C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S09</td>
<td>NNO</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-15.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26.9597(5)</td>
<td>0.2651(2)</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>0.0408(1)</td>
<td>15.02(5)   C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S04</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48.4272(1)</td>
<td>0.7698(2)</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>0.0928(1)</td>
<td>18.99(2)   C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S05</td>
<td>HM</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54.0052(2)</td>
<td>0.6692(3)</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>0.1063(5)</td>
<td>19.52(10)  C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Solubility measurements at 700°C. $^a$Weighing errors are ±1 s.d. of five repeated measurements. $^b$Errors are propagated from ±1± s.d. weighing errors. $^c$Fluid-saturated run products: C=calcite, P=portlandite, M=melt.