

1 Lessons from a lost technology: the secrets of Roman concrete

2 Jan Elsen^{1,*}, Ozlem Cizer² and Ruben Snellings³

3

4 ¹ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, B-
5 3001 Leuven, Belgium.

6

7 ² Department of Civil Engineering, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, B-3001 Leuven,
8 Belgium.

9

10 ³ Construction Materials Laboratory, Institute of Materials, EPFL, CH-1015 Lausanne,
11 Switzerland.

12 * Present address: Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, K.U.Leuven, Geo-
13 instituut-Postbus 2410, Celestijnenlaan 200E, B-3001 Heverlee,
14 Email: jan.elsen@ees.kuleuven.be

15

16 'Roman concrete' was used as building material during Roman Imperial times for a great
17 number of famous constructions with different functions. Well-known examples are
18 aqueducts, for example the Pont du Gard in France and the Aqua Alexandrina in Rome,
19 and numerous monuments such as the famous Coliseum and Pantheon in Rome. While
20 visually less apparent today, ancient harbors also were an important part of
21 constructions supporting the power of the Roman imperial system and were invaluable
22 to control the Mediterranean Sea trade. The article 'Unlocking the secrets of Al-
23 tobermorite in Roman seawater concrete' by Jackson et al. (2013) describes the
24 investigation of a number of extremely interesting samples drilled from 2000-year-old
25 Roman maritime concretes in 11 harbors along the Mediterranean coast (the
26 ROMACONS project 2002-2009 (Oleson et al. 2004)).

27 This article delivers an outstanding contribution because the described research
28 integrates interdisciplinary findings from mineral physics, geochemistry, engineering
29 and archaeometry to investigate and explain reasons for the exceptional quality of these

30 Roman constructions even after two thousand years exposure to an aggressive sea-
31 water environment. The article also gives novel results regarding the role of Al-
32 tobermorite as the main cementitious binder in Roman concrete. These results offer a
33 source of new perspectives in future research on new binder types in the context of
34 more sustainable and more durable concrete structures.

35 Ancient 'Roman concretes' are complex composite cementitious materials with a
36 hydraulic binder ('hydraulic' refers to the ability of the binder to harden under water)
37 composed of a mixture of hydrated lime with volcanic material. Both the ancient Greeks
38 and Romans knew that certain volcanic deposits when finely ground and mixed with
39 lime and aggregates yielded hydraulic mortars and concrete with superior compressive
40 strength (Blézard 1998). The Greek knowledge of the use of highly siliceous volcanic
41 Santorini Earth (volcanic ash) goes back to 500-300 B.C., and around the third century
42 B.C. the Romans discovered the effective use of 'Pulvis Puteolanus' (meaning earthy
43 material from the region of Puteoli and currently named pozzolana) near Mount
44 Vesuvius. The use of these natural pozzolana and other SCMs (Supplementary
45 Cementitious Materials (Snellings et al. 2012)) will help in achieving improved
46 durability of modern concretes and sustainable binder systems.

47 The advanced experimental methods utilized by the multidisciplinary authored team
48 demonstrate that sharing knowledge and technical expertise from different scientific
49 areas opens up fertile grounds for breakthrough research. In this case especially
50 scanning transmission X-ray microscopy shed new light on the distribution of Al in the
51 Al-tobermorite and C-A-S-H (calcium-aluminosilicate-hydrate) minerals, which form the
52 main reaction products of Roman seawater concrete. The application of Al and Si X-ray
53 absorption spectroscopy on dedicated instruments using synchrotron radiation at a

54 nanometer spatial resolution is a first in the field and will certainly find more
55 widespread application in construction materials science, applied mineralogy and
56 archaeometry. This analytical combination, with established characterization techniques
57 in the field of historic mortars and concrete, such as solid state Al and Si NMR
58 spectroscopy, and EMPA and SEM-EDX microanalysis, allowed the novel results to be
59 compared and interpreted within the current state-of-the-art on cementitious binders.

60 The result is that the investigated ancient Roman seawater concrete is a highly complex
61 and composite cementitious system with a remarkably heterogeneous structure. Its mix
62 design needed no more than 10 wt% lime (quicklime, CaO) hydrated purposely in
63 seawater after mixing with the key ingredient of alumina-rich volcanic ash. This notably
64 unique combination formed the fundamental cementitious binding component, the glue,
65 which, when mixed with pozzolanic coarse aggregates of zeolitic tuff, composed a
66 unique concrete mixture that could set quickly under seawater, become extremely
67 strong, and remain cohesive and intact in aggressive seawater for over 2000 years. The
68 extraordinary durability of this massive seawater concrete is exceptional and must be
69 related to the stability of the binder phase in an aggressive marine environment. Among
70 the most interesting findings of the paper is the high content and differential
71 distribution of Al in the C-A-S-H and Al-tobermorite binder phases. The chemical action
72 of alkali cations, both from the volcanic ash and seawater, and the self-elevated
73 moderate temperatures ($< 85\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) reached during the hydration of quicklime and
74 formation of poorly crystalline C-A-S-H were identified to be critical to the formation of
75 Al-tobermorite. Another eye-catching finding is related to the presence of sulfate which
76 is known to cause severe damages (i.e. delayed ettringite formation, expansion and
77 cracking) in today's concrete when it experiences internal temperatures above 70°C , but
78 surprisingly has no harm to this ancient seawater concrete. This particular performance

79 is because sulfate ions are not bound in C-A-S-H but produce individual clusters of
80 ettringite integrated soundly in the overall cementitious matrix. In this respect, the role
81 of Al in stabilizing the phase assemblage, prohibiting dissolution, and deleterious
82 expansive reactions is becoming clear (Chappex and Scrivener 2013), and connects to
83 insights on mineral dissolution gained in the field of mineralogy and geochemistry
84 (Schott et al. 2009). Furthermore, the work of Jackson et al. (2013) delivers an
85 important fact that pozzolanic aggregates rich in silica, alumina and alkalis undoubtedly
86 contribute to the extended durability of this pozzolana-containing concrete without
87 causing any damage from alkali-silica reaction, as opposed to today's common
88 perception of concrete durability.

89 These findings clearly demonstrate successful ancient practice of Al- and alkali-rich
90 binders which are now appearing as sustainable and durable alternatives to Portland
91 cement (Juenger et al. 2008). The well-recognised but overlooked superiority of the
92 ancient seawater concrete containing natural pozzolan is obviously a tribute to the
93 ingenuity of Roman scientists some 2000 years ago, as well as a proven model of
94 extended durability and sustainability from which we should learn in order to achieve
95 improved durability of modern concrete structures and sustainable binder systems
96 incorporating Al-tobermorite.

97 REFERENCES CITED

98 Blezard, R.G. (1998) The history of calcareous cements. In: Lea's chemistry of cement
99 and concrete, fourth edition (P.C. Hewlett, editor). Butterworth Heinemann,
100 London.

- 101 Chappex, T. and Scrivener, K.L. (2013) The effect of aluminum in solution on the
102 dissolution of amorphous silica and its relation to cementitious systems. Journal of
103 the American Ceramic Society, 96, 592-597.
- 104 Jackson, M.D., Chae, S.R., Mulcahy, S.R., Meral, C., Taylor, R., Li, P., Emwas, A.M., Moon,
105 J., Yoon, S., Vola, G., Wenk, H.-R. and Monteiro, P.J.M. (2013) Unlocking the Secrets
106 of Al-Tobermorite in Roman Seawater Concrete. American Mineralogist, in press.
- 107 Juenger, M.C.G., Winnefeld, F., Provis, J.L. and Ideker, J.H. (2011) Advances in alternative
108 cementitious binders. Cement and Concrete Research, 41, 1232-1243.
- 109 Oleson, J. P., Brandon, C., Cramer, S. M., Cucitore, R., Gotti, E. and Hohlfelder, R. L. (2004)
110 The ROMACONS Project: A contribution to the historical and engineering analysis of
111 hydraulic concrete in Roman maritime structures. International Journal Nautical
112 Archaeology, 33, 199–229.
- 113 Schott, J., Pokrovsky, O.S. and Oelkers, E.H. (2009) The link between mineral
114 dissolution/precipitation kinetics and solution chemistry. Reviews in Mineralogy
115 and Geochemistry, 70, 207-258.
- 116 Snellings, R., Mertens, G. and Elsen, J. (2012) Supplementary Cementitious
117 Materials. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 74, 211-278.
- 118
- 119
- 120