1 Revision 2

2	
3	Lead-tellurium oxysalts from Otto Mountain near Baker, California: IX. Agaite,
4	Pb ₃ Cu ²⁺ Te ⁶⁺ O ₅ (OH) ₂ (CO ₃), a new mineral with CuO ₅ –TeO ₆ polyhedral sheets.
5	
6	Anthony R. Kampf ^{1,*} , Stuart J. Mills ² , Robert M. Housley ³ , and Joseph Marty ⁴
7	
8	¹ Mineral Sciences Department, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County,
9	900 Exposition Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90007, U.S.A.
10	² Geosciences, Museum Victoria, GPO Box 666, Melbourne 3001, Victoria, Australia
11	³ Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena,
12	CA 91125, U.S.A.
13	⁴ 5199 E. Silver Oak Road, Salt Lake City, UT 84108, U.S.A.
14	
15	*e-mail: akampf@nhm.org
16	
17	Abstract
18	Agaite, $Pb_3Cu^{2+}Te^{6+}O_5(OH)_2(CO_3)$, is a new tellurate from the Aga mine on Otto
19	Mountain near Baker, California, U.S.A. The new mineral is known from only one specimen. It
20	occurs in vugs in quartz associated with cerussite, Br-rich chlorargyrite, chrysocolla, goethite,
21	khinite, markcooperite, muscovite, phosphohedyphane, timroseite, and wulfenite. It is interpreted
22	as having formed from the partial oxidation of primary sulfides and tellurides during or following
23	brecciation of quartz veins. Agaite is orthorhombic, space group Pca2 ₁ , with unit cell dimensions

24	$a = 10.6522(7), b = 9.1630(5), c = 9.6011(7) \text{ Å}, V = 937.12(11) \text{ Å}^3 \text{ and } Z = 4$. Agaite crystals
25	form as blades flattened on $\{010\}$ and probably elongated on [001], and are up to about 20 μm
26	thick and 200 μ m in length. The color is blue, the streak is pale blue, and the luster is adamantine.
27	The Mohs hardness is estimated at between 2 and 3. Agaite is brittle with an irregular fracture
28	and one perfect cleavage on $\{010\}$. The calculated density based on the empirical formula is
29	6.987 g/cm ³ . Agaite is biaxial (–), with calculated indices of refraction of $\alpha = 2.015$, $\beta = 2.065$,
30	and $\gamma = 2.070$. The measured 2 <i>V</i> is 34(5)° and the optical orientation is $X = \mathbf{b}$, $Y = \mathbf{c}$, and $Z = \mathbf{a}$. It
31	is pleochroic: $X =$ pale blue, Y and $Z =$ blue; $X < Y = Z$. Electron microprobe analyses (average of
32	4) provided: PbO 65.91, CuO 7.75, TeO ₃ 17.41, CO ₂ 4.33 (structure), H ₂ O 1.78 (structure), total
33	97.18 wt%. The empirical formula (based on 10 O atoms <i>pfu</i>) is:
34	$Pb_{3.00}Cu^{2+}_{0.99}Te^{6+}_{1.01}O_5(OH)_2(CO_3)$. The eight strongest powder X-ray diffraction lines are $[d_{obs}]$
35	in Å (<i>hkl</i>) <i>I</i>]: 4.26 (012) 28, 4.165 (211) 14, 3.303 (022, 310, 221) 100, 2.7472 (131, 203, 312)
36	68, 2.571 (032, 401, 231) 14, 2.0814 (332, 422) 21, 2.0306 (511) 17, and 1.7468 (multiple) 40.
37	The crystal structure of agaite ($R_1 = 0.033$ for 1913 reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma F$) contains edge-
38	sharing chains of $Cu^{2+}O_5$ square pyramids and $Te^{6+}O_6$ octahedra parallel to a that are joined by
39	corner-sharing in the c direction, forming polyhedral sheets parallel to $\{010\}$. The polyhedral
40	sheet is very similar to those in the structures of timroseite and paratimroseite. The thick
41	interlayer region contains 8- and 9-coordinated Pb ²⁺ , as well as CO ₃ and OH groups. The Pb
42	coordinations have lopsided distributions of bond lengths attributable to the localization of the
43	$Pb^{2+} 6s^2$ lone-pair electrons.

45 Keywords: Agaite; new mineral; tellurate; crystal structure; $Pb^{2+} 6s^2$ lone-pair; timroseite;

46 paratimroseite; Otto Mountain, California.

47	
48	INTRODUCTION
49	The remarkable secondary mineral assemblage at Otto Mountain, near Baker, California,
50	U.S.A. (Housley et al. 2011) has now yielded a total of ten new Pb-Te oxysalts: ottoite,
51	housleyite, thorneite, markcooperite, timroseite, paratimroseite, telluroperite, chromschieffelinite,
52	fuettererite, and agaite (see Table 1). The last of these, agaite, is described herein. Agaite is
53	named for the type locality, the Aga mine, and for A. G. Andrews, from whose initials the name
54	of the mine is derived. Andrews is one of two persons who are responsible for the development
55	of the mining claims on Otto Mountain. In 1940, Otto Fuetterer filed six claims on the hill,
56	named Good Hope 1-6. The following year, A. G. Andrews, a friend of Fuetterers, filed 18
57	adjacent claims named Aga 1-18; in 1942 Andrews added two more, Aga 19 and 20. The two
58	men held these claims together until sometime after 1950 when Fuetterer became sole owner of
59	all 26 claims.
60	The new mineral and name has been approved by the Commission on New Minerals,
61	Nomenclature and Classification of the International Mineralogical Association (IMA 2011-
62	115). One holotype specimen is deposited in the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles
63	County, catalogue number 63590.
64	
65	OCCURRENCE
66	The only known specimen of agaite was found by one of the authors (JM) at the Aga
67	mine (35.27215°N, 116.09487°W, elevation 1055 feet) on Otto Mountain, 1 mile northwest of
68	Baker, San Bernardino County, California, U.S.A. On this specimen there is only one small
69	cluster of agaite crystals on quartz in association with cerussite, Br-rich chlorargyrite,

70	chrysocolla, goethite, khinite, markcooperite, muscovite, phosphohedyphane, timroseite, and
71	wulfenite. Other species identified in the mineral assemblages at Otto Mountain include
72	acanthite, anglesite, anatacamite, atacamite, boleite, brochantite, burckhardtite, calcite,
73	caledonite, celestine, chalcopyrite, chromschieffelinite, clinoatacamite, devilline, diaboleite,
74	eztlite, fluorite, fornacite, fuettererite, galena, gold, hematite, hessite, housleyite, iodargyrite,
75	jarosite, kuranakhite, linarite, malachite, mimetite, mottramite, munakataite, murdochite, ottoite,
76	paratimroseite, perite, plumbojarosite, pyrite, sonoraite, telluroperite, thorneite, vanadinite, and
77	vauquelinite.
78	Agaite and most the other secondary minerals of the quartz veins is interpreted as having
79	formed from the partial oxidation of primary sulfides (e.g. galena and chalcopyrite) and tellurides
80	(e.g. hessite) during or following brecciation of the quartz veins. Additional background on the
81	occurrence is provided in Kampf et al. (2010a) and Housley et al. (2011).
82	
83	P HYSICAL AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES
84	Agaite occurs as blades flattened on $\{010\}$ and probably elongated on $[001]$ up to about
85	20 μ m thick and 200 μ m in length (Fig. 1). No twinning was observed optically under crossed
86	polars or based upon single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The color is blue, the streak is pale blue,
87	and the luster is adamantine. The Mohs hardness could not be measured, but is estimated to be
88	between 2 and 3, based upon the behavior of crystals when broken. The new mineral is brittle
89	with irregular fracture and one perfect cleavage on $\{010\}$. The density could not be measured
90	because it is greater than those of available high-density liquids and there is insufficient material
91	for physical measurement. The calculated density based on the empirical formula is 6.987 g/cm^3
92	and that based on the ideal formula is 6.993 g/cm ³ . Insufficient material was available for

93 chemical tests; however, it is likely that the mineral dissolves in cold, dilute HCl with some
94 effervescence due to the presence of CO₃.

The indices of refraction could not be measured because of the small amount of material 95 available and the difficulty in working with liquids of sufficiently high index of refraction. We 96 have endeavored to provide optical properties based upon a combination of measurements and 97 calculations. Agaite is biaxial (-), with indices of refraction $\alpha = 2.015$, $\beta = 2.065$, and $\gamma = 2.070$. 98 These were calculated from the retardation, $\gamma - \beta = 0.005$, (measured with a Berek compensator), 99 $2V_{\text{meas.}} = 34(5)^{\circ}$ (measured on a spindle stage), and $n_{\text{av}} = 2.050$ (based upon the Gladstone–Dale 100 relationship for the ideal composition; Mandarino 2007). The dispersion could not be observed. 101 The optical orientation is: $X = \mathbf{b}$, $Y = \mathbf{c}$, and $Z = \mathbf{a}$. Agaite is pleochroic: X = pale blue, Y and Z =102 blue: X < Y = Z. 103

104

105

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

106 Quantitative chemical analyses (4) of agaite were performed using a JEOL8200 electron microprobe (WDS mode, 15 kV, 5 nA, 1 µm beam diameter) at the Division of Geological and 107 Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology. The standards used were: galena (for Pb), 108 109 cuprite (for Cu), and Sb₂Te₃ (for Te). Analytical results are given in Table 2. No other elements were detected in EDS analyses. There was insufficient material for CHN analyses, so CO_2 and 110 H_2O were calculated on the basis of 5 total cations (Pb + Cu + Te), charge balance and 10 total O 111 atoms *pfu*, as determined by the crystal structure analysis (see below). Note that agaite is prone to 112 113 electron beam damage, which contributes to the low analytical total. This is a common feature observed in most secondary tellurate species (e.g. Kampf et al. 2010a-e; Kampf et al. 2012a,b; 114 Mills et al. 2009, 2010). 115

8/29 10/17

116 The empirical formula (based on 10 O atoms pfu) is: Pb_{3.00}Cu²⁺_{0.99}Te⁶⁺_{1.01}O₅(OH)₂(CO₃). 117 The simplified formula is Pb₃Cu²⁺Te⁶⁺O₅(OH)₂(CO₃), which requires PbO 67.86, CuO 8.06, 118 TeO₃ 17.80, CO₂ 4.46, H₂O 1.83, total 100 wt%.

- 119
- 120

X-RAY CRYSTALLOGRAPHY AND STRUCTURE DETERMINATIONS

All powder and single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were obtained on a Rigaku R-Axis 121 Rapid II curved imaging plate microdiffractometer utilizing monochromatized Mo $K\alpha$ radiation. 122 123 Observed powder *d*-values (with standard deviations) and intensities were derived by profile fitting using JADE 9.3 software. Data (in Å) are given in Table 3. Unit cell parameters refined 124 from the powder data using JADE 9.3 with whole pattern fitting are: a = 10.620(6), b = 9.116(5), b125 c = 9.555(6) Å, and V = 925.0(9) Å³. The observed powder data fit well with those calculated 126 127 from the structure, also using JADE 9.3. The relatively low precision of the cell refined from the 128 powder data is attributable to the use of Mo $K\alpha$ radiation. The Rigaku CrystalClear software package was used for processing of the diffraction 129 data, including the application of an empirical multi-scan absorption correction using ABSCOR 130

131 (Higashi 2001). The structure was solved by direct methods using SIR2004 (Burla et al. 2005).

132 SHELXL-97 software (Sheldrick 2008) was used for the refinement of the structure. All sites

133 were assigned full occupancy. Details concerning data collection and structure refinement are

provided in Table 4. Fractional coordinates and atom displacement parameters are provided in

Table 5, selected interatomic distances in Table 6 and bond valences in Table 7.

136

137

DESCRIPTION OF THE STRUCTURE

138	The structure of agaite (Fig. 2) contains edge-sharing chains of $Cu^{2+}O_5$ square pyramids
139	and $Te^{6+}O_6$ octahedra parallel to a that are joined by corner-sharing in the c direction, forming
140	polyhedral sheets parallel to $\{010\}$ (Fig. 3). The Cu ²⁺ O ₅ square pyramid exhibits typical Jahn-
141	Teller distortion, with four short equatorial bonds and one much longer apical bond. One
142	equatorial edge is shared with a $Te^{6+}O_6$ octahedron and one equatorial-apical edge is shared with
143	a $Te^{6+}O_6$ octahedron. The latter requires the apex of the square pyramid to be very markedly
144	canted in the direction of the shared edge.
145	The thick interlayer region contains three different Pb^{2+} –O coordinations, as well as CO_3
146	and OH groups. The CO ₃ groups are aligned approximately parallel to $\{010\}$ and are located
147	midway between the polyhedral sheets. Pb1 is 9-coordinated and both Pb2 and Pb3 are 8-
148	coordinated. All three Pb coordinations have lopsided distributions of bond lengths attributable to
149	the localization of the $Pb^{2+} 6s^2$ lone-pair electrons (Fig. 4). The relatively weak bonding between
150	the polyhedral sheets accounts for the perfect {010} cleavage.
151	The edge- and corner-sharing polyhedral sheet consisting of $Cu^{2+}O_5$ square pyramids and
152	$Te^{6+}O_6$ octahedra in the structure of agaite is very similar to the sheets in the structures of
153	timroseite and paratimroseite (Kampf et al. 2010e). In fact, the sheets are essentially identical if
154	half of the Cu polyhedra in the timroseite and paratimroseite sheets are selectively removed as
155	shown in Figure 3. Further evidence of the similarity of the sheets is provided by the cell
156	parameters corresponding to the sheet dimensions: for agaite $a = 10.6522 (2 \times 5.3261)$ and $c =$
157	9.6011 Å; for tinmroseite $a = 5.2000$ and $b = 9.6225$ Å; and for paratimroseite $a = 5.1943$ and $b =$
158	9.6198 Å.
159	The only other known tellurate-carbonate, thorneite (Kampf et al., 2010c), is also found at

160 Otto Mountain, but is found there at the Bird Nest drift and not at the Aga mine. As seen by its

161	formula, $Pb_6(Te_2O_{10})(CO_3)Cl_2(H_2O)$, thorneite contains no Cu^{2+} . Its crystal structure bears no
162	resemblance to that of agaite and, in fact, it is the only mineral with a structure containing edge-
163	sharing tellurate dimers.
164	
165	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
166	The paper benefited from comments by reviewers Fernando Colombo and Jiří Sejkora.
167	The Caltech EMP analyses were supported by a grant from the Northern California Mineralogical
168	Association. The remainder of this study was funded by the John Jago Trelawney Endowment to
169	the Mineral Sciences Department of the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County.
170	
171	References
172	Burla, M.C., Caliandro, R., Camalli, M., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G.L., De Caro, L.,
173	Giacovazzo, C., Polidori, G., and Spagna, R. (2005) SIR2004: an improved tool for crystal
174	structure determination and refinement. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 38, 381-388.
175	Brown, I.D. and Altermatt, D. (1985) Bond-valence parameters from a systematic analysis of the
176	inorganic crystal structure database. Acta Crystallographica, B41, 244-247.
177	Higashi, T. (2001) ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
178	Housley, R. M., Kampf, A. R., Mills, S. J., Marty, J., and Thorne, B. (2011) The remarkable
179	occurrence of rare secondary tellurium minerals at Otto Mountain near Baker, California –
180	including seven new species. Rocks and Minerals, 86, 132-142.
181	Kampf, A. R., Housley, R. M., Mills, S. J., Marty, J. and Thorne, B. (2010a) Lead-tellurium
182	oxysalts from Otto Mountain near Baker, California: I. Ottoite, Pb2TeO5, a new mineral
183	with chains of tellurate octahedra. American Mineralogist, 95, 1329-1336.

184	Kampf, A. R., Marty, J. and Thorne, B. (2010b) Lead-tellurium oxysalts from Otto Mountain		
185	near Baker, California: II. Housleyite, Pb ₆ CuTe ₄ TeO ₁₈ (OH) ₂ , a new mineral with Cu-Te		
186	octahedral sheets. American Mineralogist, 95, 1337–1342.		
187	Kampf, A. R., Housley, R. M. and Marty, J. (2010c) Lead-tellurium oxysalts from Otto		
188	Mountain near Baker, California: III. Thorneite, Pb ₆ (Te ₂ O ₁₀)(CO ₃)Cl ₂ (H ₂ O), the first		
189	mineral with edge-sharing octahedral dimers. American Mineralogist, 95, 1548–1553.		
190	Kampf, A. R., Mills, S. J., Housley, R. M., Marty, J. and Thorne, B. (2010d) Lead-tellurium		
191	oxysalts from Otto Mountain near Baker, California: IV. Markcooperite, Pb ₂ (UO ₂)TeO ₆ ,		
192	the first natural uranyl tellurate. American Mineralogist, 95, 1554–1559.		
193	Kampf, A. R., Mills, S. J., Housley, R. M., Marty, J. and Thorne, B. (2010e) Lead-tellurium		
194	oxysalts from Otto Mountain near Baker, California: V. Timroseite,		
195	$Pb_2Cu^{2+}_5(Te^{6+}O_6)_2(OH)_2$, and paratimroseite, $Pb_2Cu^{2+}_4(Te^{6+}O_6)_2(H_2O)_2$, new minerals		
196	with edge-sharing Cu-Te octahedral chains. American Mineralogist, 95, 1560-1568.		
197	Kampf, A. R., Mills, S. J., Housley, R. M., Marty, J. and Thorne, B. (2010f) Lead-tellurium		
198	oxysalts from Otto Mountain near Baker, California: VI. Telluroperite, Pb ₃ Te ⁴⁺ O ₄ Cl ₂ , the		
199	Te analogue of perite and nadorite. American Mineralogist, 95, 1569–1573.		
200	Kampf, A. R., Mills, S. J. and Housley, R. M. (2010g) The crystal structure of munakataite,		
201	Pb ₂ Cu ₂ (Se ⁴⁺ O ₃)(SO ₄)(OH) ₄ , from Otto Mountain, San Bernardino County, California,		
202	USA. Mineralogical Magazine, 74, 991–998.		
203	Kampf, A. R., Mills, S. J., Housley, R. M., Rumsey, M. S., and Spratt, J. (2012a) Lead-tellurium		
204	oxysalts from Otto Mountain near Baker, California: VII. Chromschieffelinite,		
205	Pb ₁₀ Te ₆ O ₂₀ (CrO ₄)(H ₂ O) ₅ , the chromate analogue of schieffelinite. American Mineralogist,		
206	97, 212–219.		

207	Kampf, A. R., Mills, S. J., Housley, R. M., and Marty, J. (2012b) Lead-tellurium oxysalts from
208	Otto Mountain near Baker, California: VIII. Fuettererite, Pb ₃ Cu ²⁺ ₆ Te ⁶⁺ O ₆ (OH) ₇ Cl ₅ , a new
209	mineral with double spangolite-type sheets. American Mineralogist, 97, xxx-xxx.
210	Krivovichev, S. V. and Brown, I. D. (2001) Are the compressive effects of encapsulation an
211	artifact of the bond valence parameters? Zeitschrift für Kristallographie, 216, 245–247.
212	Mandarino, J.A. (2007) The Gladstone–Dale compatibility of minerals and its use in selecting
213	mineral species for further study. Canadian Mineralogist, 45, 1307–1324.
214	Mills, S.J., Kampf, A.R., Kolitsch, U., Housley, R.M., and Raudsepp, M. (2010) The crystal
215	chemistry and crystal structure of kuksite, $Pb_3Zn_3Te^{6+}P_2O_{14}$, and a note on the crystal
216	structure of yafsoanite, (Ca,Pb) ₃ Zn(TeO ₆) ₂ . American Mineralogist, 95, 933–938.
217	Mills, S.J., Kolitsch, U., Miyawaki, R., Groat, L.A., and Poirier, G. (2009) Joëlbruggerite,
218	Pb ₃ Zn ₃ (Sb ⁵⁺ ,Te ⁶⁺)As ₂ O ₁₃ (OH,O), the Sb ⁵⁺ analogue of dugganite, from the Black Pine
219	mine, Montana. American Mineralogist, 94, 1012–1017.
220	Sheldrick, G. M. (2008) A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallographica, A64, 112–122.
221	

222	FIGURE CAPTIONS
223	
224	Figure 1. SEM image of agaite on quartz.
225	
226	Figure 2. Clinographic projection of the structure of agaite. Unit cell outline is shown as dashed
227	lines.
228	
229	Figure 3. The polyhedral sheets in the structures of agaite, timroseite, and paratimroseite. The
230	sheets are essentially the same, if the unshaded CuO_5 and CuO_6 polyhedra in the sheets in
231	timroseite and paratimroseite are ignored.
232	
233	Figure 4. Pb coordinations in agaite. The lopsided distributions of bond lengths are attributable to
234	the localization of the lone-pair electrons. Bond lengths are given in Å.
235	

8/29 10/17

Mineral	Ideal Formula	Reference	
Ottoite	$Pb_2Te^{6+}O_5$	Kampf et al. (2010a)	
Housleyite	$Pb_6Cu^{2+}Te^{6+}_4O_{18}(OH)_2$	Kampf et al. (2010b)	
Thorneite	$Pb_6(Te^{6+}_2O_{10})(CO_3)Cl_2(H_2O)$	Kampf et al. (2010c)	
Markcooperite	$Pb_2(UO_2)Te^{4+}O_6$	Kampf et al. (2010d)	
Timroseite	$Pb_2Cu^{2+}{}_5(Te^{6+}O_6)_2(OH)_2$	Kampf et al. (2010e)	
Paratimroseite	$Pb_2Cu^{2+}_{4}(Te^{6+}O_6)_2(H_2O)_2$	Kampf et al. (2010e)	
Telluroperite	$Pb_{3}Te^{4+}O_{4}Cl_{2}$	Kampf et al. (2010f)	
Chromschieffelinite	$Pb_{10}Te^{6+}{}_{6}O_{20}(CrO_4)(H_2O)_5$	Kampf et al. (2012a)	
Fuettererite	$Pb_{3}Cu^{2+}_{6}Te^{6+}O_{6}(OH)_{7}Cl_{5}$	Kampf et al. (2012b)	
Agaite	$Pb_3Cu^{2+}Te^{6+}O_5(OH)_2(CO_3)$	This study	

Table 1. New minerals described from Otto Mountain.

Constituent	Average	Range	SD
PbO	65.91	65.43–66.61	0.55
CuO	7.75	7.60–7.98	0.17
TeO ₃	17.41	17.18–17.60	0.21
CO ₂ *	4.33		
H ₂ O*	1.78		
Total	97.18		

Table 2. Chemical analytical data for agaite.

Iobs	$d_{ m obs}$	$d_{ m calc}$	Icalc	h k l	I _{obs}	$d_{ m obs}$	$d_{ m calc}$	<i>I</i> _{calc}	h k l
4	9.20(8)	9.1630	6	010	11	2.1308(7)	2.1262	21	024
5	5.670(7)	5.6280	7	111	21	2.0914(5)	∫ 2.0856	17	332
7	4.827(8)	4.8006	16	002	21	2.0814(3)	2.0760	18	422
11	4.686(10)	4.6575	8	201	17	2.0306(6)	2.0283	15	511
28	4.26(2)	4.2523	16	012			1.8938	3	521
14	4.165(7)	4.1519	38	211			1.8874	3	341
6	3.99(10)	3.9493	4	112	8	1.880(6)	{ 1.8760	3	333
4	3.590(11)	3.5659	5	202			1.8690	4	423
11	3.485(13)	3.4733	5	220			1.8519	6	432
		3.3143	72	022			1.7583	15	243
100	3.303(2)	3.3108	27	310	40	1 7468(8)	1.7501	4	414
		3.2661	100	221	40	1.7408(8)) 1.7411	15	513
11	3.19(10)	3.1647	4	122			1.7366	6	440
7	3.06(2)	3.0543	7	030	10	1.7174(9)	1.7191	15	531
13	2011(5)	∫ 2.9360	5	130			1.7053	6	251
15	2.911(3)	2.9067	8	113	14	1.6810(12)	1.6805	16	225
10	2.810(3)	2.8140	4	222	8	1 66/11(10)	∫ 1.6665	9	334
		2.8077	14	131	0	1.0041(10)	1.6616	5	424
68	2.7472(12)	2.7432	67	203	4	1.630(3)	1.6375	3	144
		2.7255	15	312			1.6285	7	350
10	2.669(6)	2.6631	15	$4\ 0\ 0$	3	1 602(4)	∫ 1.6070	3	135
		2.5770	7	032	5	1.002(4)	1.6002	7	006
14	2.571(4)	2.5662	6	401			1.5729	7	153
		2.5541	10	231	11	1 5580(8)	∫ 1.5548	5	235
5	2.500(8)	2.5047	4	132	11	1.5560(8)	1.5525	4	603
11	2327(5)	∫ 2.3287	4	402			1.4566	4	054
11	2.527(5)	2.3219	9	014	10	1.4470(8)	{ 1.4514	3	353
7	2.296(3)	2.2908	14	040			l 1.4407	4	316
8	2.262(10)	2.2570	9	412	3	1.4104(4)	1.4094	4	515
5	2.1853(16)	2.1883	5	204	3	1.3710(7)	1.3716	4	406

Table 3. X-ray powder diffraction data for agaite.

Note: Only calculated lines with intensities greater than 5 are shown, unless they correspond to observed lines.

249	Table 4. Data collection and structure refinement details for agaite.
250	

251	Diffractometer	Rigaku R-Axis Rapid II
252	X-ray radiation	Mo <i>K</i> α (λ = 0.71075 Å)
253	Temperature	298(2) K
254	Structural Formula	$Pb_3Cu^{2+}Te^{6+}O_5(OH)_2(CO_3)$
255	Space group	$Pca2_1$
256	Unit cell dimensions	a = 10.6522(7) Å
257		b = 9.1630(5) Å
258		c = 9.6011(7) Å
259	Ζ	4
260	Volume	937.12(11) Å ³
261	Density (for above formula)	6.993 g cm^{-3}
262	Absorption coefficient	59.065 mm^{-1}
263	F(000)	1660
264	Crystal size	$70 \times 40 \times 10 \ \mu m$
265	θ range	3.62 to 27.45°
266	Index ranges	$-13 \le h \le 13, -11 \le k \le 11, -12 \le l \le 12$
267	Reflections collected/unique	$8887/2143 \ [R_{\rm int} = 0.067]$
268	Reflections with $F_{o} > 4\sigma F$	1913
269	Completeness to $\theta = 27.45^{\circ}$	99.8%
270	Max. and min. transmission	0.5896 and 0.1040
271	Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2
272	Parameters refined	146
273	GoF	0.966
274	Final R indices $[F_0 > 4\sigma F]$	$R_1 = 0.0328, wR_2 = 0.0584$
275	<i>R</i> indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0387, wR_2 = 0.0608$
276	Flack parameter	0.007(8)
277	Extinction coefficient	0.00000(5)
278	Largest diff. peak/hole	$+2.69/-1.49 \text{ e A}^{-3}$
279	Notes: $R_{\rm int} = \Sigma F_o^2 - F_o^2({\rm mean}) $	$\Sigma[F_o^2]$. GoF = S = { $\Sigma[w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2]/(n-p)$ } ^{1/2} . $R_1 = \Sigma F_o $ -
280	$ F_{\rm c} / \Sigma F_{\rm o} $. $wR_2 = \{\Sigma [w(F_{\rm o}^2 - F_{\rm c}^2)]$	$\int [w(F_o^2)^2] \frac{1}{2} w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ where <i>a</i> is 0., <i>b</i> is 0
281	and P is $[2F_c^2 + Max(F_o^2, 0)]/3$.	
282		

284					1 1		e				
285		x/a	y/b	z/c	$U_{ m eq}$	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	U_{23}	U_{13}	U_{12}
286	Pb1	0.38350(4)	0.73371(6)	0.57261(5)	0.01575(13)	0.0170(2)	0.0174(3)	0.0129(3)	0.0008(3)	-0.0011(3)	0.00409(18)
287	Pb2	0.80327(4)	0.71021(6)	0.56008(7)	0.01820(14)	0.0148(2)	0.0210(3)	0.0188(2)	0.0014(3)	0.0004(3)	-0.0020(2)
288	Pb3	0.61180(5)	0.03814(7)	0.42405(7)	0.02222(16)	0.0201(3)	0.0174(3)	0.0292(4)	-0.0003(3)	0.0005(3)	-0.0006(2)
289	Te	0.37010(7)	0.52602(11)	0.26536(10)	0.0103(2)	0.0089(4)	0.0150(5)	0.0071(4)	-0.0001(4)	-0.0003(3)	0.0009(4)
290	Cu	0.60759(14)	0.4391(2)	0.40337(18)	0.0128(4)	0.0105(7)	0.0187(10)	0.0093(9)	-0.0010(8)	-0.0004(6)	0.0020(7)
291	С	0.3597(13)	0.0182(16)	0.285(2)	0.022(4)	0.013(7)	0.015(8)	0.036(11)	-0.008(7)	-0.002(7)	0.002(6)
292	01	0.3605(8)	0.0268(11)	0.4147(12)	0.027(3)	0.020(5)	0.039(7)	0.022(6)	-0.001(5)	0.011(5)	0.004(5)
293	O2	0.2545(10)	0.0212(11)	0.2157(12)	0.028(3)	0.022(5)	0.030(7)	0.032(7)	0.010(5)	-0.008(5)	-0.009(5)
294	O3	0.4655(9)	0.0042(13)	0.2140(13)	0.033(3)	0.023(6)	0.046(8)	0.029(7)	0.006(5)	0.005(5)	0.018(6)
295	O4	0.2538(10)	0.6677(9)	0.3293(11)	0.014(2)	0.011(4)	0.015(5)	0.016(5)	-0.005(5)	-0.004(4)	-0.004(5)
296	05	0.2579(10)	0.3716(10)	0.3093(12)	0.019(2)	0.020(4)	0.030(6)	0.009(4)	0.005(5)	0.002(3)	-0.013(6)
297	06	0.6933(7)	0.4803(9)	0.5782(11)	0.015(2)	0.008(4)	0.028(5)	0.008(5)	-0.002(5)	0.006(4)	0.000(4)
298	O7	0.5136(8)	0.4012(10)	0.2338(9)	0.0121(19)	0.015(4)	0.011(5)	0.011(5)	0.001(4)	0.000(4)	0.003(4)
299	08	0.4461(8)	0.5275(10)	0.4492(10)	0.016(2)	0.013(4)	0.023(6)	0.011(5)	0.000(4)	0.000(4)	-0.001(4)
300	OH9	0.4810(8)	0.6911(11)	0.2088(9)	0.020(2)	0.013(5)	0.029(7)	0.018(5)	0.003(5)	0.014(4)	-0.005(4)
301	OH10	0.5938(7)	0.8010(12)	0.4712(10)	0.020(2)	0.007(4)	0.028(6)	0.025(6)	-0.005(5)	-0.001(4)	-0.005(4)
302	Note: All sites were assigned full occupancy.										

Table 5. Fractional coordinates and atomic displacement parameters for agaite.

06						
07	Pb1–O7	2.264(8)	Pb2–O6	2.417(8)	Pb3–OH10	2.228(11)
08	Pb1–O8	2.327(9)	Pb2–OH10	2.530(9)	Pb3–O3	2.568(12)
09	Pb1–OH10	2.519(9)	Pb2–O5	2.567(11)	Pb3–O2	2.570(11)
10	Pb1–O4	2.780(10)	Pb2–O5	2.591(11)	Pb3–O1	2.680(9)
11	Pb1–O6	2.820(8)	Pb2–OH9	2.711(8)	Pb3–O1	2.717(9)
12	Pb1–O4	2.929(10)	Pb2–O1	2.851(11)	Pb3–O3	2.929(13)
13	Pb1–O1	3.094(11)	Pb2–O8	2.862(9)	Pb3–O2	3.188(12)
14	Pb1–O3	3.193(12)	Pb2–O2	2.945(10)	Pb3–O4	3.222(9)
15	Pb1–O2	3.315(11)	<pb-o></pb-o>	2.684	<pb-o></pb-o>	2.763
16	<pb-o></pb-o>	2.805				
17						
18	C01	1.248(22)	Te-O4	1.896(10)	Cu–O7	1.942(9)
19	CO2	1.304(18)	Te-O5	1.900(10)	Cu–O6	1.948(10)
20	CO3	1.323(18)	Te-O6	1.921(10)	Cu–O8	1.952(9)
21	<co></co>	1.292	Te-O7	1.933(8)	Cu–O4	1.972(10)
22			Te-O8	1.942(9)	Cu–O5	2.527(11)
23	ОН9…ОЗ	2.875(15)	ТеОН9	1.994(9)	<cu–o></cu–o>	2.068
24	OH10…O5	2.823(14)	<te-o></te-o>	1.931		
~ -		~ /				

Table 6. Selected bond lengths (Å) in agaite.

328 Table 7. Bond valence sums for agaite. Values are expressed in valence units.

	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	OH9	OH10	Σ
С	1.468	1.262	1.199								3.929
Te				1.058	1.047	0.958	0.989	0.935	0.812		5.799
Cu				0.453	0.101	0.483	0.491	0.478			2.007
Pb1	0.099	0.063	0.081	0.189 0.139		0.174	0.541	0.476		0.322	2.084
Pb2	0.163	0.135			0.292 0.278	0.396		0.160	0.217	0.314	1.951
Pb3	0.231 0.215	0.290 0.082	0.291 0.139	0.077						0.582	1.907
H9			0.167						0.833		1.000
H10					0.173					0.827	1.000
Σ	2.176	1.832	1.877	1.916	1.891	2.011	2.021	2.049	1.862	2.045	

Notes: Pb^{2+} –O bond strengths from Krivovichev and Brown (2001); C^{4+} –O, Te^{6+} –O and Cu^{2+} –O bond

strengths from Brown and Altermatt (1985); hydrogen-bond strengths based on O^{...}O bond lengths, also
from Brown and Altermatt (1985).







